Abstract

In this work, we pursue the investigation and the development of Stein’s method in the infinitely divisible setting and its relation with functional analysis. Section 2 starts with standard notations and definitions together with a multidimensional characterization theorem for infinitely divisible distributions with finite first moment. Based on this result and on a truncation procedure, Section 3 develops characterization results for multivariate self-decomposable laws without finite first moment highlighting the role of the Lévy-Khintchine representation of the characteristic function of the target self-decomposable distribution. In particular, these results apply to multivariate stable laws with stability parameter belonging to \((0, 1]\). In Section 4, Stein’s equation for self-decomposable distributions without finite first moment is set down and solved thanks to a combination of semigroup technics and Fourier analysis. Finally, in the last section of this note, we take a new look at Poincaré-type inequalities for self-decomposable laws with finite first moment. A proof based on semigroup and on Fourier analysis is presented. Several algebraic quantities from Markov diffusion operators theory are computed in this non-local setting and in particular for the rotationally invariant \(\alpha\)-stable laws with \(\alpha \in (1, 2)\). Finally, rigidity and stability results for the Poincaré \(U\)-functional of the rotationally invariant \(\alpha\)-stable distribution are obtained thanks to spectral analysis and Dirichlet form theory.
1 Introduction

These notes are a sequel of the works [1, 2] where Stein’s method for univariate and multivariate self-decomposable laws with finite first moment has been initiated. Introduced in [48, 49], Stein’s method is a collection of technics which allow to control the discrepancy, in a suitable metric, between probability measures and to provide quantitative rates of convergence for weak limit theorems. Mainly developed for the Gaussian and the Poisson laws [13], several non-equivalent works have focused on extensions and generalizations of Stein’s method outside the classical univariate Gaussian and Poisson settings. In this regard, let us cite [6, 9, 33, 39, 23, 31, 34, 21, 51] and [7, 26, 27, 43, 41, 12, 42, 35, 36, 45, 25, 2] for univariate and multivariate extensions and generalizations. For good introductions on this topic, let us refer the reader to the standard references and surveys [20, 8, 46, 15, 11]. Moreover, in the works mentioned above, the target probability distribution admits, at least, a finite first moment. In the literature regarding Stein’s method, only the work [17] develops Stein’s method for the univariate \( \alpha \)-stable distributions with stability parameter between \( (0, 1] \). In these notes, we implement a Stein’s method framework for non-degenerate multivariate self-decomposable distributions without Gaussian component.

Let us recall that self-decomposable distributions form a subclass of infinitely divisible distributions. Moreover, they are weak limits for normalized sums of independent summands and naturally generalize stable distributions. Originally introduced by Paul Lévy in [30], self-decomposable distributions and their properties have been studied in depth by many authors (see, e.g., [32, 47]).

The Stein methodology developed below for multivariate self-decomposable distributions relies on a specific semigroup of operators already put forward in our previous works [1, 2]. The generator of this semigroup is an integro-differential operator whose non-local part depends in a subtle way on the Lévy measure of the target self-decomposable distribution (see Lemma 4.1). Indeed, the non-local part of this operator differs from the one obtained in [1, 2] since the Fourier symbols of the associated semigroup of operators do not exhibit \( C^1 \)-smoothness. However, by exploiting the polar decomposition of the Lévy measure of the target self-decomposable distribution together with the monotonicity of the associated \( k \)-function, \( C^1 \)-regularity of the semigroup of operators is reached and as such natural candidates for the corresponding Stein equation and Stein solution are set down. Moreover, the obtained Stein equation reflects the Lévy-Khintchine representation used to express the characteristic function of the target self-decomposable distribution. This naturally induces three types of Stein equations reminiscent of the following classical distinction between stable laws: \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \), \( \alpha = 1 \) and \( \alpha \in (1, 2) \).

Then, based on these new findings, we revisit Poincaré-type inequalities for self-decomposable distributions with finite first moment. Initially obtained in [14] and in [28], these Poincaré-type inequalities reflect the infinite divisibility of the reference measure (without Gaussian component) and as such put into play a non-local Dirichlet form contrasting with the standard local Dirichlet form associated with the Gaussian probability measures. Our new proof of these Poincaré-type inequalities is based on the semigroup of operators already used to set down (and solve) Stein equation in [2] and is in line with the proof of the Gaussian Poincaré inequality based on the differentiation of the variance along the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup (see, e.g., [5]). Moreover, in this non-local setting, we compute several algebraic quantities from Markov diffusion operators theory in order to reach rigidity and stability results for the Poincaré \( U \)-functional defined in (5.11) and associated with the rotationally invariant \( \alpha \)-stable distributions. Rigidity results for infinitely divisible distributions with finite second moment were obtained in [16, Theorem 4.5] whereas the corresponding stability results were obtained in [2, Theorem 4.5] through Stein’s method and
variational technics inspired by [19]. Here, for the rotationally invariant α-stable distribution, with \( \alpha \in (1, 2) \), we revisit the method of [19] using the framework of Dirichlet forms. Coupled with a truncation procedure, rigidity and stability of the Poincaré \( U \)-functional are stated in Corollary 5.1, Corollary 5.2 and Theorem 5.3. This truncation procedure allows us to build an optimizing sequence for the \( U \)-functional. This sequence of functions can be spectrally interpreted as a singular sequence verifying a Weyl-type condition associated with the corresponding Poincaré constant (see Conditions (5.12) and (5.27) below).

Let us further describe the content of these notes. In the next section, we introduce notations and definitions used throughout this work and prove a characterization theorem for multivariate infinitely divisible distributions with finite first moment. In Section 3, based on the previous characterization result and on a truncation procedure, we obtain several characterization theorems for stable and self-decomposable laws without finite first moment. In Section 4, we solve Stein equation for non-degenerate multivariate self-decomposable distributions under low moment assumptions. Finally, in Section 5, Poincaré-type inequalities for self-decomposable distributions with finite first moment are studied as well as rigidity and stability results for the Poincaré \( U \)-functional of the rotationally invariant \( \alpha \)-stable distribution with \( \alpha \in (1, 2) \). A technical appendix finishes our manuscript.

2 Notations and Preliminaries

Throughout, let \( \| \cdot \| \) and \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) be respectively the Euclidean norm and inner product on \( \mathbb{R}^d, d \geq 1 \). Let also \( \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) be the Schwartz space of infinitely differentiable rapidly decreasing real-valued functions defined on \( \mathbb{R}^d \), and finally let \( \mathcal{F} \) be the Fourier transform operator given, for \( f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \), by

\[
\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)e^{-i\langle \xi, x \rangle} \, dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.
\]

On \( \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \), the Fourier transform is an isomorphism and the following inversion formula is well known

\[
f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)e^{i\langle \xi, x \rangle} \frac{d\xi}{(2\pi)^d}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
\]

Next, \( C_b(\mathbb{R}^d) \) is the space of bounded continuous functions on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) endowed with the uniform norm \( \| f \|_{\infty} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|, \ f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d) \). For \( \mu \) a probability measure on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) and for \( 1 \leq p < +\infty \), \( L^p(\mu) \) is the Banach space of equivalence classes of functions defined \( \mu \)-a.e.on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) such that \( \| f \|_{L^p(\mu)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^p \, d\mu(dx) < +\infty, \ f \in L^p(\mu) \). Similarly, \( L^{\infty}(\mu) \) is the space of equivalence classes of functions bounded everywhere and \( \mu \)-measurable. For any bounded linear operator, \( T \), from a Banach space \( (\mathcal{X}, \| \cdot \|_X) \) to another Banach space \( (\mathcal{Y}, \| \cdot \|_Y) \) the operator norm is, as usual,

\[
\| T \|_{\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}} = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{X}, \| f \|_X \neq 0} \frac{\| T(f) \|_Y}{\| f \|_X}. \tag{2.1}
\]

More generally, for any \( r \)-multilinear form \( F \) from \( (\mathbb{R}^d)^r, \ r \geq 1, \) to \( \mathbb{R} \), the operator norm of \( F \) is

\[
\| F \|_{op} := \sup \left\{ |F(v_1, \ldots, v_r)| : v_j \in \mathbb{R}^d, \| v_j \| = 1, \ j = 1, \ldots, r \right\}. \tag{2.2}
\]
Through the whole text, a Lévy measure is a positive Borel measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\nu(\{0\}) = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(1 \wedge \|u\|^2)\nu(du) < +\infty$. An $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued random vector $X$ is infinitely divisible with triplet $(b, \Sigma, \nu)$ (written $X \sim ID(b, \Sigma, \nu)$), if its characteristic function $\varphi$ writes, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, as

$$\varphi(\xi) = \exp \left( i \langle b; \xi \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle \xi; \Sigma \xi \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( e^{i \langle \xi; u \rangle} - 1 - i \langle \xi; u \rangle \mathbb{1}_D(u) \right) \nu(du) \right), \quad (2.3)$$

with $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\Sigma$ a symmetric positive semi-definite $d \times d$ matrix, $\nu$ a Lévy measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $D$ the closed Euclidean unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^d$.

The representation (2.3) is mainly the one to be used, from start to finish, with the (unique) generating triplet $(b, \Sigma, \nu)$. However, other types of representations are also possible and two of them are presented next. First, if $\nu$ is such that $\int_{\|u\| \leq 1} \|u\|\nu(du) < +\infty$, then (2.3) becomes

$$\varphi(\xi) = \exp \left( i \langle b_0; \xi \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle \xi; \Sigma \xi \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( e^{i \langle \xi; u \rangle} - 1 - i \langle \xi; u \rangle \right) \nu(du) \right), \quad (2.4)$$

where $b_0 = b - \int_{\|u\| \leq 1} u\nu(du)$ is called the drift of $X$. This representation is cryptically expressed as $X \sim ID(b_0, \Sigma, \nu)_0$. Second, if $\nu$ is such that $\int_{\|u\| > 1} \|u\|\nu(du) < +\infty$, then (2.3) becomes

$$\varphi(\xi) = \exp \left( i \langle b_1; \xi \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle \xi; \Sigma \xi \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( e^{i \langle \xi; u \rangle} - 1 - i \langle \xi; u \rangle \right) \nu(du) \right), \quad (2.5)$$

where $b_1 = b + \int_{\|u\| > 1} u\nu(du)$ is called the center of $X$. In turn, this last representation is now cryptically written as $X \sim ID(b_1, \Sigma, \nu)_1$. In fact, $b_1 = \mathbb{E}X$ as, for any $p > 0$, $\mathbb{E}\|X\|_p < +\infty$ is equivalent to $\int_{\|u\| > 1} \|u\|^p\nu(du) < +\infty$. Also, for any $r > 0$, $\mathbb{E}e^{r\|X\|} < +\infty$ is equivalent to $\int_{\|u\| > 1} e^{r\|u\|}\nu(du) < +\infty$.

In the sequel, we are also interested in some distinct classes of infinitely divisible distributions, namely the stable ones and the self-decomposable ones. Recall that an ID random vector $X$ is $\alpha$-stable, $0 < \alpha < 2$, if $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$, if $\Sigma = 0$ and if its Lévy measure $\nu$ admits the following polar decomposition

$$\nu(du) = \mathbb{1}_{(0, +\infty)}(r)\mathbb{1}_{S^{d-1}}(x) \frac{dr}{r^{\alpha+1}}\sigma(dx), \quad (2.6)$$

where $\sigma$ is a finite positive measure on $S^{d-1}$, the Euclidean unit sphere of $\mathbb{R}^d$. When $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, then $\int_{\|u\| \leq 1} |u_j|\nu(du) < +\infty$, for all $1 \leq j \leq d$, $\varphi$ and so

$$\varphi(\xi) = \exp \left( i \langle \xi; b_0 \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( e^{i \langle \xi; u \rangle} - 1 \right) \nu(du) \right) \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (2.7)$$

with, again, $b_0 = b - \int_{\|u\| \leq 1} u\nu(du)$.

Now, recall that an ID random vector $X$ is self-decomposable (SD) if $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$, if $\Sigma = 0$, and if its Lévy measure $\nu$ admits the polar decomposition

$$\nu(du) = \mathbb{1}_{(0, +\infty)}(r)\mathbb{1}_{S^{d-1}}(x) \frac{k_x(r)}{r^{\alpha}}dr\sigma(dx), \quad (2.8)$$

where $\sigma$ is a positive finite measure on $S^{d-1}$ and where $k_x(r)$ is a function which is nonnegative, decreasing in $r$, $(k_x(r_1) \leq k_x(r_2))$, for $0 < r_2 \leq r_1$ and measurable in $x$. In the sequel, without loss
of generality, \( k_x(r) \) is assumed to be right-continuous in \( r \in (0, +\infty) \), to admit a left-limit at each \( r \in (0, +\infty) \) and \( \int_0^{+\infty} (1 \wedge r^2) k_x(r) dr / r \) is independent of \( x \).

Next, (see, e.g., [40, Chapter 12]) let us denote by \( V_b^\alpha(g) \) the variation of a function \( g \) over the interval \( [a, b] \subseteq (0, +\infty) \),

\[
V_b^\alpha(g) = \sup_{\mathcal{P}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |g(x_i) - g(x_{i-1})|, \tag{2.9}
\]

where the supremum is taken over all subdivisions \( \mathcal{P} = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = b\} \) of \( [a, b] \).

Since \( k_x(r) \) is of bounded variation in \( r \) on any \( (a, b) \subseteq (0, +\infty) \), \( a > 0, b > 0 \) and \( a \leq b \), and right-continuous in \( r \in (0, +\infty) \), the following integration by parts formula holds true

\[
\int_a^b k_x(r) f'(r) dr = - \int_a^b f(r) dk_x(r), \quad x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}, \tag{2.10}
\]

for all \( f \) continuously differentiable on \( (a, b) \) such that \( \lim_{r \to a^+} f(r) k_x(r) = 0 \) and \( \lim_{r \to b^-} f(r) k_x(r) = 0 \), \( x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \).

Let us now introduce some natural distances between probability measures on \( \mathbb{R}^d \). Let \( \mathbb{N}^d \) be the space of multi-indices of dimension \( d \). For any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d \), \( |\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^{d} |\alpha_i| \) and \( D^\alpha \) denote the partial derivatives operators defined on smooth enough functions \( f \), by \( D^\alpha(f)(x_1, \ldots, x_d) = \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_{x_d}^{\alpha_d}(f)(x_1, \ldots, x_d) \), for all \( (x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d \). Moreover, for any \( r \)-times continuously differentiable function, \( h \), on \( \mathbb{R}^d \), viewing its \( \ell \)-th derivative \( D^\ell(h) \) as a \( \ell \)-multilinear form, for \( 1 \leq \ell \leq r \), let

\[
M_r(h) := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|D^\ell(h)(x)\|_{op} = \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{\|D^{\ell-1}(h)(x) - D^{\ell-1}(h)(y)\|_{op}}{\|x - y\|}. \tag{2.11}
\]

For \( r \geq 0 \), \( \mathcal{H}_r \) is the space of bounded continuous functions defined on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) which are continuously differentiable up to (and including) the order \( r \) and such that, for any such function \( f \),

\[
\max_{0 \leq \ell \leq r} M_r(f) \leq 1 \tag{2.12}
\]

with \( M_0(f) := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)| \). Then, the smooth Wasserstein distance of order \( r \), between two random vectors \( X \) and \( Y \) having respective laws \( \mu_X \) and \( \mu_Y \), is defined by

\[
d_{W_r}(\mu_X, \mu_Y) = \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}_r} |\mathbb{E}h(X) - \mathbb{E}h(Y)|. \tag{2.13}
\]

Moreover, for \( r \geq 1 \), \( d_{W_r} \) admits the following representation (see [2, Lemma A.2.])

\[
d_{W_r}(\mu_X, \mu_Y) = \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}_r \cap C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^d)} |\mathbb{E}h(X) - \mathbb{E}h(Y)|, \tag{2.14}
\]

where \( C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^d) \) is the space of infinitely differentiable compactly supported functions on \( \mathbb{R}^d \). In particular, for \( r \geq 1 \),

\[
d_{W_r}(\mu_X, \mu_Y) \leq d_{W_1}(\mu_X, \mu_Y). \tag{2.15}
\]

As usual, for two probability measures, \( \mu_1 \) and \( \mu_2 \), on \( \mathbb{R}^d \), \( \mu_1 \) is said to be absolutely continuous with respect to \( \mu_2 \), denoted by \( \mu_1 \ll \mu_2 \), if for any Borel set, \( B \), such that \( \mu_2(B) = 0 \), it follows that \( \mu_1(B) = 0 \).
To end this section, let us state the following characterization result of ID random vectors with finite first moment, valid, for example, for stable random vector with stability index $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ has its origin in the univariate result [11, Theorem 3.1].

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $X$ be a random vector such that $\mathbb{E}|X_i| < +\infty$, for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$. Let $\nu$ be a Lévy measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\int_{\|u\| \geq 1} \|u\| \nu(du) < +\infty$. Then,

$$\mathbb{E}Xf(X) = \mathbb{E}XE f(X) + \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(X + u) - f(X)) \nu(du),$$

for all $f$ bounded Lipschitz function on $\mathbb{R}^d$, if and only if $X$ is an ID random vector with Lévy measure $\nu$ (and $b = \mathbb{E}X - \int_{\|u\| > 1} \nu(du)$).

**Proof.** Let us assume that $X$ is an ID random vector with finite first moment and with Lévy measure $\nu$. Then, thanks to [28, Proposition 2], for all $f$ and $g$ bounded Lipschitz functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\text{Cov}(f(X), g(X)) = \int_0^1 \mathbb{E} \left( \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(X + u) - f(X)) (g(Y + u) - g(Y)) \nu(du) \right) dz, \right.$$

where $(X, Y)$ is an ID random vector in $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ defined through an interpolation scheme as in [28, Equation (2.7)]. Now, since $X$ has finite first moment, one can take for $g$ the function $g_t(x) = \langle t; x \rangle$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and for some $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then, by linearity

$$\langle t; \mathbb{E}Xf(X) \rangle = \langle t; \mathbb{E}XE f(X) + \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(X + u) - f(X)) \nu(du) \rangle,$$

since $X = \mathbb{E}X$, where $=_{\mathbb{E}}$ stands for equality in distribution. This concludes the direct part of the proof. Conversely, let us assume that

$$\mathbb{E}Xf(X) = \mathbb{E}XE f(X) + \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(X + u) - f(X)) \nu(du),$$

holds true in $\mathbb{R}^d$ for all $f$ bounded Lipschitz function on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Consider the function $\varphi_t$ defined, for all $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, by

$$\varphi_t(x, \xi) = e^{it \langle x; \xi \rangle}.$$

Then, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E}X \varphi_t(X, \xi) = \mathbb{E}XE \varphi_t(X, \xi) + \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\varphi_t(X + u, \xi) - \varphi_t(X, \xi)) \nu(du),$$

where the equality is understood to be in $\mathbb{R}^d$. In particular, one has

$$\mathbb{E}<\xi; X\varphi_t(X, \xi) = \langle \xi; \mathbb{E}X \rangle \mathbb{E} \varphi_t(X, \xi) + \langle \xi; \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\varphi_t(X + u, \xi) - \varphi_t(X, \xi)) \nu(du) \rangle.$$

Denoting by $\Phi_t$ the function defined by $\Phi_t(\xi) = \mathbb{E} \left(e^{it \langle X; \xi \rangle}\right)$, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the previous equality boils down to

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\Phi_t(\xi)) = i \Phi_t(\xi) \left(\langle \xi; \mathbb{E}X \rangle + \langle \xi; \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{it \langle \xi; u \rangle} - 1) \nu(du) \rangle \right).$$
Moreover, one notes that \( \Phi_0 (\xi) = 1 \). Then, for all \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and all \( t \in \mathbb{R} \),
\[
\Phi_t (\xi) = \exp \left( it \langle \xi ; \mathbb{E} X \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( e^{it \langle u; \xi \rangle} - 1 - it \langle \xi ; u \rangle \right) \nu (du) \right).
\]
Taking \( t = 1 \), the characteristic function of \( X \) is then given, for all \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \), by
\[
\varphi (\xi) = \exp \left( i \langle \xi ; \mathbb{E} X \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( e^{i \langle u; \xi \rangle} - 1 - i \langle \xi ; u \rangle \right) \nu (du) \right),
\]
namely, \( X \) is ID with Levy measure \( \nu \) (and \( b = \mathbb{E} X - \int_{\|u\| > 1} u \nu (du) \)).

**Remark 2.1.** (i) Let \( \varepsilon \in (0, 1] \) and let \( X_\varepsilon \sim \text{ID} (b_\varepsilon, 0, \nu_\varepsilon) \), with Lévy measure \( \nu_\varepsilon \) such that \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|u\|^2 \nu_\varepsilon (du) < +\infty \) and with \( b_\varepsilon = - \int_{\|u\| > 1} u \nu_\varepsilon (du) \). Then, \( X_\varepsilon \) is a centered random vector of \( \mathbb{R}^d \) with covariance matrix \( \Sigma_\varepsilon = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} uu^t \nu_\varepsilon (du) \), where \( u^t \) is the transpose of \( u \in \mathbb{R}^d \). Assume further that \( \Sigma_\varepsilon, \varepsilon > 0 \), is non-singular, equivalently (see, e.g., \[18\] Lemma 2.1]) that \( \nu_\varepsilon \) is not concentrated on any proper linear subspace of \( \mathbb{R}^d \), equivalently that the law of \( X_\varepsilon \) is not concentrated on any proper linear hyperplane of \( \mathbb{R}^d \).

Then, by \[18\] Theorem 2.2, whenever \( \Sigma_\varepsilon \), is non-singular for every \( \varepsilon \in (0, 1] \), the following two conditions are equivalent:

(a) As \( \varepsilon \to 0^+ \), \( \bar{X}_\varepsilon = \Sigma_\varepsilon^{-1/2} X_\varepsilon \) converges in distribution to a centered multivariate Gaussian random vector with identity covariance matrix.

(b) For every \( \kappa > 0 \)
\[
\int_{\| \Sigma_\varepsilon^{-1} u ; u \| > \kappa} \langle \Sigma_\varepsilon^{-1} u; u \rangle \nu_\varepsilon (du) \to 0 \quad \varepsilon \to 0^+ \tag{2.16}
\]
(We refer the reader to \[18\] for the above requirements as well as for sufficient conditions, on \( \nu_\varepsilon \), ensuring their non-vacuity.)

Moreover, by Theorem 2.1 for all \( f \in \mathcal{S} (\mathbb{R}^d) \) and all \( \varepsilon > 0 \),
\[
\mathbb{E} \bar{X}_\varepsilon f (\bar{X}_\varepsilon) = \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f (\bar{X}_\varepsilon + u) - f (\bar{X}_\varepsilon)) u \bar{\nu}_\varepsilon (du), \tag{2.17}
\]
with \( \bar{\nu}_\varepsilon \) the pushforward of \( \nu_\varepsilon \) by \( \Sigma_\varepsilon^{-1/2} \). Note that \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} uu^t \bar{\nu}_\varepsilon (du) = I_d \), where \( I_d \) is the \( d \times d \) identity matrix, and that, in view of (2.19),
\[
\bar{\nu}_\varepsilon (\|u\| \geq \kappa) \to 0, \quad \left\| I_d - \int_{\|u\| \leq \kappa} uu^t \bar{\nu}_\varepsilon (du) \right\|_{op} \to 0, \quad \kappa > 0 \quad \varepsilon \to 0^+. \tag{2.18}
\]

Now, set \( F_\varepsilon (z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f (z + u) - f (z)) u \bar{\nu}_\varepsilon (du) \) and \( F (z) = \nabla f (z) \), for all \( z \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and all \( \varepsilon \in (0, 1) \). Observe that, for all \( z \in \mathbb{R}^d \), all \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and all \( \kappa > 0 \),
\[
\| F_\varepsilon (z) - F (z) \| \leq \left\| \int_{\|u\| \leq \kappa} (f (z + u) - f (z) - \langle \nabla f (z); u \rangle) u \bar{\nu}_\varepsilon (du) \right\|,
\]
\[
+ \left\| \int_{\|u\| \geq \kappa} (f (z + u) - f (z) - \langle \nabla f (z); u \rangle) u \bar{\nu}_\varepsilon (du) \right\|
\]
\[
\leq \frac{\kappa}{2} M_2 (f) \int_{\|u\| \leq \kappa} \|u\|^2 \bar{\nu}_\varepsilon (du) + 2 M_1 (f) \int_{\|u\| \geq \kappa} \|u\|^2 \bar{\nu}_\varepsilon (du).
\]
Letting first $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ and then $\kappa \to 0^+$, one sees that $F_\varepsilon$ converges, uniformly to $F$, as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$. Then, since $\tilde{X}_\varepsilon$ converges in distribution to $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_d)$, the identity (2.17) is preserved as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$, giving, for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the classical Gaussian characterizing identity,

$$
\mathbb{E} Z f(Z) = \mathbb{E} \nabla(f)(Z).
$$

(ii) Let $\nu_\alpha$ be the Lévy measure given, for $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, by

$$
\nu_\alpha(du) = 1_{(0, +\infty)}(r) 1_{S^{d-1}}(x) \frac{c_{\alpha,d}}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \sigma(dx),
$$

(2.19)

with $c_{\alpha,d} > 0$, a normalizing constant specified later, and with $\sigma$ the uniform measure on $S^{d-1}$. Then, $X_\alpha \sim ID(b_\alpha, 0, \nu_\alpha)$, with $b_\alpha = -\int_{\|u\| \geq 1} u\nu_\alpha(du)$, is a rotationally invariant $\alpha$-stable random vector with corresponding characteristic function $\varphi_\alpha$

$$
\varphi_\alpha(\xi) = \exp \left(-\frac{\|\xi\|^\alpha}{2}\right), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d,
$$

for $c_{\alpha,d}$ given by

$$
c_{\alpha,d} = \frac{-\alpha(\alpha - 1)\Gamma((\alpha + d)/2)}{4\cos(\alpha\pi/2)\Gamma((\alpha + 1)/2)\pi^{(d-1)/2}\Gamma(2 - \alpha)}.
$$

(2.20)

Clearly, as $\alpha \to 2^-$, $X_\alpha$ converges in distribution to a centered Gaussian random vector $Z$ with identity covariance matrix. Next, by Theorem 2.1, for all $f$ bounded Lipschitz function on $\mathbb{R}^d$,

$$
\mathbb{E} X_\alpha f(X_\alpha) = \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(X_\alpha + u) - f(X_\alpha)) u\nu_\alpha(du),
$$

(2.21)

and observe, at first, that for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$
\lim_{\alpha \to 2^-} \mathbb{E} X_\alpha f(X_\alpha) = \mathbb{E} Z f(Z).
$$

Next, let $D_\alpha(f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(z + u) - f(z)) u\nu_\alpha(du)$, and observe now that the Fourier symbol, $\sigma_\alpha$, of this operator satisfies, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$
\langle \sigma_\alpha(\xi); i\xi \rangle = ic_{\alpha,d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( e^{i\langle u; \xi \rangle} - 1 \right) \frac{\langle u; \xi \rangle du}{\|u\|^{d+\alpha}}
$$

$$
= -\frac{\alpha}{2} \|\xi\|^\alpha.
$$

Finally, for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$
\mathbb{E} D_\alpha(f)(X_\alpha) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) \sigma_\alpha(\xi) \exp \left(-\frac{\|\xi\|^\alpha}{2}\right) \frac{d\xi}{(2\pi)^d} \xrightarrow{\alpha \to 2^-} \mathbb{E} \nabla(f)(Z),
$$

so that the characterizing identity (2.21) is preserved when passing to the limit, converging, again, for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, to

$$
\mathbb{E} Z f(Z) = \mathbb{E} \nabla(f)(Z).
$$
3 Characterizations of Self-Decomposable Laws

In this section, we provide various characterization results, for stable distributions and some self-decomposable ones, not covered by Theorem 2.1. However, the direct parts of these results are simple consequences of Theorem 2.1 together with truncation and discretization arguments. The stable results recover, in particular, the one-dimensional results independently obtained in [17].

Below, and throughout, we will make use of the transformation $T_c$ applied to positive (Lévy) measures and defined for all $c > 0$ and all Borel sets, $B$, of $\mathbb{R}^d$ by

$$T_c(\nu)(B) = \nu(B/c).$$

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $X$ be a random vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and let $\nu$ be a Lévy measure such that, for all $c > 0$,

$$\nu(du) = c^{-\alpha}T_c(\nu)(du). \tag{3.1}$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{E}\langle X; \nabla(f)(X) \rangle = \mathbb{E}\langle b_0; \nabla(f)(X) \rangle + \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(X + u) - f(X)) \nu(du),$$

where $b_0 = b - \int_{\|u\| \leq 1} u \nu(du)$, for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if $X$ is a stable random vector with parameter $b$, stability index $\alpha$ and Lévy measure $\nu$.

**Proof.** Let us first assume that $X$ is a stable random vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with parameters $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$, stability index $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and Lévy measure $\nu$. Then, [17, Theorem 14.3, (ii)], $\nu$ is given by

$$\nu(du) = \mathbb{1}_{(0, +\infty)}(r)\mathbb{1}_{S^{d-1}}(x) \frac{dr}{r^{1+\alpha}} \sigma(dx),$$

where $\sigma$ is a finite positive measure on the Euclidean unit sphere of $\mathbb{R}^d$, and let $b_0 = b - \int_{\|u\| \leq 1} u \nu(du)$. Next, let $R > 1$,

$$\nu_R(du) := \mathbb{1}_{(0,R)}(r)\mathbb{1}_{S^{d-1}}(x) \frac{dr}{r^{\alpha+1}} \sigma(dx).$$

and, let $X_R$ be the ID random vector defined through its characteristic function by

$$\varphi_R(\xi) := \exp \left( i\langle \xi; b_0 \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( e^{i\langle \xi; u \rangle} - 1 \right) \nu_R(du) \right), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Note, in particular, that $X_R$ is such that $\mathbb{E}\|X_R\| < +\infty$. Then, by Theorem 2.1 for all $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\mathbb{E}X_R g(X_R) = b_0 \mathbb{E}g(X_R) + \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(X_R + u) \nu_R(du).$$

Now, choosing $g = \partial_i(f)$ for some $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and for $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$, it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}X_R \partial_i(f)(X_R) = b_0 \mathbb{E}\partial_i(f)(X_R) + \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_i(f)(X_R + u) \nu_R(du). \tag{3.2}$$
To continue, project the vectorial equality [3.2] onto the direction $e_i = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, to get, for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$,

$$
\mathbb{E} X_{R,i} \partial_i(f)(X_R) = b_{0,i} \mathbb{E} \partial_i(f)(X_R) + \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_i(f)(X_R + u) u_i \nu_R(du),
$$

(3.3)

where $X_{R,i}$ and $b_{0,i}$ are the $i$-th coordinates of $X_R$ and of $b_0$ respectively. Adding-up these last identities, for $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$, leads to

$$
\mathbb{E}(X_R; \nabla(f)(X_R)) = \langle b_0; \mathbb{E} \nabla(f)(X_R) \rangle + \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla(f)(X_R + u); u \rangle \nu_R(du).
$$

Now, observe that $X_R$ converges in distribution towards $X$ since by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, $\varphi_R(\xi) \rightarrow \varphi(\xi)$, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Hence,

$$
\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E}(X_R; \nabla(f)(X_R)) = \mathbb{E}(X; \nabla(f)(X)), \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \langle b_0; \mathbb{E} \nabla(f)(X_R) \rangle = \langle b_0; \mathbb{E} \nabla(f)(X) \rangle.
$$

Moreover, from the polar decomposition of the Lévy measure $\nu_R$,

$$
\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla(f)(X_R + u); u \rangle \nu_R(du) = \mathbb{E} \int_{(0,R) \times S^{d-1}} \langle \nabla(f)(X_R + rx); x \rangle \frac{dr}{r^\alpha} \sigma(dx).
$$

Next, for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$
\int_{(0,R) \times S^{d-1}} \langle \nabla(f)(z + rx); x \rangle \frac{dr}{r^\alpha} \sigma(dx) = \int_0^R \left( \int_{S^{d-1}} \langle \nabla(f)(z + rx); x \rangle \sigma(dx) \right) \frac{dr}{r^\alpha}.
$$

Set $H_z(r) = \int_{S^{d-1}} f(z + rx) \sigma(dx)$, for all $r > 0$ and all $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Moreover, for all $r > 0$

$$
\frac{d}{dr} (H_z(r)) = \int_{S^{d-1}} \langle \nabla(f)(z + rx); x \rangle \sigma(dx).
$$

Thus,

$$
\int_{(0,R) \times S^{d-1}} \langle \nabla(f)(z + rx); x \rangle \frac{dr}{r^\alpha} \sigma(dx) = \int_{(0,R)} \frac{d}{dr} (H_z(r) - H_z(0)) \frac{dr}{r^\alpha}.
$$

A standard integration by parts argument, combined with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, implies that

$$
\int_{(0,R) \times S^{d-1}} \langle \nabla(f)(z + rx); x \rangle \frac{dr}{r^\alpha} \sigma(dx) = \frac{(H_z(R) - H_z(0))}{R^\alpha} + \alpha \int_0^R (H_z(r) - H_z(0)) \frac{dr}{r^{\alpha + 1}} = \frac{(H_z(R) - H_z(0))}{R^\alpha} + \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(z + u) - f(z)) \nu_R(du).
$$

Next, integrating with respect to the law of $X_R$, one gets that

$$
\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla(f)(X_R + u); u \rangle \nu_R(du) = \frac{1}{R^\alpha} \mathbb{E} \int_{S^{d-1}} (f(X_R + Rx) - f(X_R)) \sigma(dx)
$$

$$
+ \alpha \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(X_R + u) - f(X_R)) \nu_R(du).
$$
Again, since \( \alpha \in (0,1) \), \( f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and \( \sigma (\mathbb{S}^{d-1}) < +\infty \),

\[
\lim_{R \to +\infty} \frac{1}{R^n} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} (f(X_R + Rx) - f(X_R)) \sigma(dx) = 0.
\]

Finally, to conclude the direct implication, one needs to prove that

\[
\lim_{R \to +\infty} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(X_R + u) - f(X_R)) \nu_R(du) = \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(X + u) - f(X)) \nu(du).
\]

To this end, for all \( R > 1 \) and all \( z \in \mathbb{R}^d \), set \( F_R(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(z + u) - f(z)) \nu_R(du) \) and \( F(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(z + u) - f(z)) \nu(du) \). Since \( \alpha \in (0,1) \) and \( f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \), it is clear that both functions are well-defined, bounded and continuous on \( \mathbb{R}^d \). Moreover, for all \( R > 1 \) and all \( z \in \mathbb{R}^d \)

\[
|F_R(z) - F(z)| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(z + u) - f(z)) \mathbf{1}_{\{\|u\| \geq R\}} \nu(du) \right| \leq 2\|f\|_{\infty} \int_{\|u\| \geq R} \nu(du).
\]

Thus, \( F_R \) converges uniformly on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) towards \( F \). Finally, since \( X_R \) converges in distribution to \( X \),

\[
\lim_{R \to +\infty} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(X_R + u) - f(X_R)) \nu_R(du) = \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(X + u) - f(X)) \nu(du),
\]

which concludes the first part of the proof. To prove the converse implication, let us assume that, for all \( f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \),

\[
\mathbb{E}\langle X; \nabla(f)(X) \rangle = \mathbb{E}\langle b_0; \nabla(f)(X) \rangle + \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(X + u) - f(X)) \nu(du).
\]

Denoting \( \varphi_X \) the characteristic function of \( X \), the equality (3.4) can be rewritten as

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(\langle x; \nabla(f) \rangle)(\xi) \varphi_X(\xi)d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(\langle b_0; \nabla(f) \rangle)(\xi) \varphi_X(\xi)d\xi
\]

\[
+ \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{i\langle u; \xi \rangle} - 1) \nu(du) \right) \varphi_X(\xi)d\xi.
\]

Using standard Fourier arguments and the fact that \( f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \),

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) \langle \xi; \nabla(\varphi_X)(\xi) \rangle d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) \left( i\langle b_0; \xi \rangle + \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{i\langle u; \xi \rangle} - 1) \nu(du) \right) \varphi_X(\xi)d\xi,
\]

where the left-hand side has to be understood as a duality bracket between the Schwartz function \( \mathcal{F}(f) \) and the tempered distribution \( \langle \xi; \nabla(\varphi_X) \rangle \). Since \( \varphi_X \) is continuous on \( \mathbb{R}^d \), for all \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \) with \( \xi \neq 0 \)

\[
\langle \xi; \nabla(\varphi_X)(\xi) \rangle = \left( i\langle b_0; \xi \rangle + \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{i\langle u; \xi \rangle} - 1) \nu(du) \right) \varphi_X(\xi).
\]

Moreover, \( \varphi_X(0) = 1 \). Now, in order to solve the previous linear partial differential equation of order one, let us change the coordinates system \( (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_d) \) into the hyper-spherical one \( (r, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{d-1}) \) where \( r > 0 \), \( \theta_i \in [0, \pi] \), for all \( i \in \{1, \ldots, d-2\} \) and \( \theta_{d-1} \in [0, 2\pi] \). Noting that

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{d} \xi_i \frac{\partial \theta_j}{\partial \xi_i} = 0, \quad j \in \{1, \ldots, d-1\},
\]
and using the scaling property of the Lévy measure $\nu$, i.e., (3.1), one gets
\[
  r \partial_r (\varphi_X) (rx) = \left( ri(b_0; x) + \alpha r^{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( e^{i(u; rx)} - 1 \right) \nu(du) \right) \varphi_X(rx), \quad r > 0, x \in S^{d-1}.
\]
For any fixed $x \in S^{d-1}$, this linear differential equation admits a unique solution which is given by
\[
  \varphi_X(rx) = \exp \left( (i(b_0; rx) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( e^{i(u; rx)} - 1 \right) \nu(du) \right), \quad r > 0,
\]
thanks to the condition $\varphi_X(0) = 1$. Then, $X$ is a stable random vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with parameter $b$, stability index $\alpha$ and Lévy measure $\nu$.

This ensuing result deals with the Cauchy case.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let $X$ be a random vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and let $\nu$ be a Lévy measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that, for all $c > 0$
\[
  \nu(du) = c^{-1} T_c(\nu)(du).
\]
Moreover, let $\sigma$, the spherical part of $\nu$, be such that
\[
  \int_{S^{d-1}} x \sigma(dx) = 0.
\]
Then,
\[
  \mathbb{E} \langle X; \nabla(f)(X) \rangle = \mathbb{E} \langle b; \nabla(f)(X) \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( f(X + u) - f(X) - \langle \nabla(f)(X); u \rangle \mathbb{1}_{\|u\| \leq 1} \right) \nu(du), \quad (3.5)
\]
for all $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if $X$ is a stable random vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with parameter $b$, stability index $\alpha = 1$ and Lévy measure $\nu$.

**Proof.** The proof is similar to the one of Theorem 3.1. The direct part goes with a double truncation procedure together with an integration by parts and, then, passing to the limit. Let us first assume that $X$ is stable with parameter $b$, stability index $\alpha = 1$, Lévy measure $\nu$ and $\sigma$ the spherical part. Then, [47, Theorem 14.3, (ii)],
\[
  \nu(du) = \mathbb{1}_{(0, +\infty)}(r) \mathbb{1}_{S^{d-1}}(x) \frac{dr}{r^2} \sigma(dx).
\]
Let $R > 1$ be a truncation parameter, let
\[
  \nu_R(du) := \mathbb{1}_{(1/R, R)}(r) \mathbb{1}_{S^{d-1}}(x) \frac{dr}{r^2} \sigma(dx),
\]
and, let $X_R$ be the ID random vector defined through its characteristic function by
\[
  \varphi_R(\xi) := \exp \left( i\langle \xi; b \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( e^{i(\xi; u)} - 1 - i\langle \xi; u \rangle \mathbb{1}_{\|u\| \leq 1} \right) \nu_R(du) \right), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.
\]
Note, in particular, that $X_R$ is such that $\mathbb{E}\|X_R\| < +\infty$. Then, by Theorem 2.1 for all $g \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$,
\[
  \mathbb{E} X_R g(X_R) = b \mathbb{E} g(X_R) + \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( g(X_R + u) - g(X_R) \mathbb{1}_{\|u\| \leq 1} \right) u \nu(du).
\]
Performing computations similar to those in the proof of Theorem 3.1 for all $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\mathbb{E}\langle X_R; \nabla (f)(X_R) \rangle = \langle b; \mathbb{E}\nabla (f)(X_R) \rangle + \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla (f)(X_R + u) - \nabla (f)(X_R) \mathbb{1}_{\|u\| \leq 1}; u \rangle \nu_R (du). \quad (3.6)$$

Now, since $X_R$ converges in distribution towards $X$, as $R$ tends to $+\infty$,

$$\lim_{R \to +\infty} \mathbb{E}\langle X_R; \nabla (f)(X_R) \rangle = \mathbb{E}\langle X; \nabla (f)(X) \rangle, \quad \lim_{R \to +\infty} \langle b; \mathbb{E}\nabla (f)(X_R) \rangle = \langle b; \mathbb{E}\nabla (f)(X) \rangle. \quad (3.7)$$

Next, let us study the second term on the right-hand side of (3.6). First, since $R > 1$,

$$\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla (f)(X_R + u) - \nabla (f)(X_R) \mathbb{1}_{\|u\| \leq 1}; u \rangle \nu_R (du)$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \int_{\|u\| \leq 1} \langle \nabla (f)(X_R + u) - \nabla (f)(X_R); u \rangle \nu_R (du) + \mathbb{E} \int_{\|u\| \geq 1} \langle \nabla (f)(X_R + u); u \rangle \nu_R (du)$$

$$= -\mathbb{E} \int_{\|u\| \leq 1} \langle \nabla (f)(X_R); u \rangle \nu_R (du) + \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla (f)(X_R + u); u \rangle \nu_R (du).$$

From the polar decomposition of the Lévy measure $\nu_R$,

$$\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla (f)(X_R + u); u \rangle \nu_R (du) = \mathbb{E} \int_{(\frac{1}{R},R) \times S^{d-1}} \langle \nabla (f)(X_R + r x); x \rangle \frac{dr}{r} \sigma (dx).$$

Then, for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\int_{(\frac{1}{R},R) \times S^{d-1}} \langle \nabla (f)(z + r x); x \rangle \frac{dr}{r} \sigma (dx) = \int_{\frac{1}{R}}^{R} \left( \int_{S^{d-1}} \langle \nabla (f)(z + r x); x \rangle \sigma (dx) \right) \frac{dr}{r}.$$

Setting $H_z(r) = \int_{S^{d-1}} f(z + r x) \sigma (dx)$, for all $r > 0$ and all $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, it follows that

$$\frac{d}{dr} (H_z(r)) = \int_{S^{d-1}} \langle \nabla (f)(z + r x); x \rangle \sigma (dx).$$

Thus,

$$\int_{(\frac{1}{R},R) \times S^{d-1}} \langle \nabla (f)(z + r x); x \rangle \frac{dr}{r} \sigma (dx) = \int_{(\frac{1}{R},R)} \frac{d}{dr} (H_z(r) - H_z(0)) \frac{dr}{r}.$$
Integrating with respect to the law of $X_R$, one gets

$$
\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla (f)(X_R + u); u \rangle \nu_R(du) = \frac{1}{R} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} (f(X_R + Rx) - f(X_R)) \sigma(dx)
$$

$$
- R \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \left( f \left( X_R + \frac{x}{R} \right) - f(X_R) \right) \sigma(dx)
$$

$$
+ \mathbb{E} \int_{\left( \frac{1}{R} X_R \right) \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} (f(X_R + rx) - f(X_R)) \frac{dr}{r^2} \sigma(dx).
$$

Then, since $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\sigma(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}) < +\infty$,

$$
\lim_{R \to +\infty} \frac{1}{R} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} (f(X_R + Rx) - f(X_R)) \sigma(dx) = 0.
$$

Moreover,

$$
\lim_{R \to +\infty} R \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \left( f \left( X_R + \frac{x}{R} \right) - f(X_R) \right) \sigma(dx) = \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \langle \nabla (f)(X); x \rangle \sigma(dx) = 0.
$$

Let us now study the convergence, as $R \to +\infty$, of

$$
\mathbb{E} \int_{\left( \frac{1}{R} X_R \right) \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} (f(X_R + rx) - f(X_R) - \langle \nabla (f)(X_R); rx \rangle 1_{r \leq 1}) \frac{dr}{r^2} \sigma(dx).
$$

To this end, let $F_R$ and $F$ be the bounded and continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ respectively defined, by

$$
F_R(z) = \int_{\left( \frac{1}{R} X_R \right) \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} (f(z + rx) - f(z) - \langle \nabla (f)(z); rx \rangle 1_{r \leq 1}) \frac{dr}{r^2} \sigma(dx), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^d,
$$

and by

$$
F(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(z + u) - f(z) - \langle \nabla (f)(z); u \rangle 1_{\|u\| \leq 1}) \nu(du), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^d.
$$

Now, note that, for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $R > 1$,

$$
F(z) - F_R(z) = I + II,
$$

where,

$$
I := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(z + u) - f(z) - \langle \nabla (f)(z); u \rangle 1_{\|u\| \leq 1}) 1_{0 < \|u\| \leq \frac{1}{R}} \nu(du),
$$

$$
II := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(z + u) - f(z)) 1_{\|u\| \geq R} \nu(du).
$$

Then, by standard inequalities, since $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\sigma(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}) < +\infty$,

$$
|I| \leq \frac{\sigma(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})}{2R} M_2(f), \quad |II| \leq \frac{2}{R} \|f\|_{\infty} \sigma(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}),
$$

which implies that $F_R$ converges uniformly to $F$, as $R \to +\infty$. Thus,

$$
\lim_{R \to +\infty} \mathbb{E} F_R(X_R) = \mathbb{E} F(X),
$$
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and also
\[
\lim_{R \to +\infty} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla (f)(X_R + u) - \nabla (f)(X_R) \mathbb{1}_{\|u\| \leq 1}; u \rangle \nu_R(du) = \\
\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( f(X + u) - f(X) - \langle \nabla (f)(X); u \rangle \mathbb{1}_{\|u\| \leq 1} \right) \nu(du). \tag{3.8}
\]
Combining (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8), one obtains
\[
\mathbb{E} \langle X; \nabla (f)(X) \rangle = \langle b; \mathbb{E} \nabla (f)(X) \rangle + \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( f(X + u) - f(X) - \langle \nabla (f)(X); u \rangle \mathbb{1}_{\|u\| \leq 1} \right) \nu(du),
\]
which is the direct part of the theorem. To prove the converse, assume that, for all \( f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \),
\[
\mathbb{E} \langle X; \nabla (f)(X) \rangle = \langle b; \mathbb{E} \nabla (f)(X) \rangle + \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( f(X + u) - f(X) - \langle \nabla (f)(X); u \rangle \mathbb{1}_{\|u\| \leq 1} \right) \nu(du). \tag{3.9}
\]
Denoting by \( \varphi_X \) the characteristic function of \( X \), the identity (3.9) can then be rewritten as
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(\langle x; \nabla (f) \rangle)(\xi) \varphi_X(\xi) d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(\langle b; \nabla (f) \rangle)(\xi) \varphi_X(\xi) d\xi \\
+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( e^{i(u;\xi)} - 1 - i\langle u; \xi \mathbb{1}_{\|u\| \leq 1} \rangle \right) \nu(du) \right) \varphi_X(\xi) d\xi.
\]
Reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 gives, for all \( r > 0 \) and all \( x \in S^{d-1} \),
\[
\varphi_X(rx) = \left( i\langle b; rx \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i(u;rx)} - 1 - i\langle u;rx \mathbb{1}_{\|u\| \leq 1} \rangle \nu(du) \right) \varphi_X(rx).
\]
To conclude, note that the previous equality can be interpreted as an ordinary differential equation in the radial variable. Its solution is given, for all \( r \geq 0 \) and all \( x \in S^{d-1} \), by
\[
\varphi_X(rx) = \exp \left( i\langle b; rx \rangle + \int_0^r G(R, x) dR + \int_0^r J(R, x) dR \right),
\]
where \( G \) and \( J \) are defined, for all \( R > 0 \) and all \( x \in S^{d-1} \), by
\[
G(R, x) = \int_{(0,R) \times S^{d-1}} \left( e^{i(\rho y; x)} - 1 - i\langle \rho y; x \rangle \right) \frac{d\rho}{\rho^2} \sigma(dy) \\
J(R, x) = \int_{(R,\infty) \times S^{d-1}} \left( e^{i(\rho y; x)} - 1 \right) \frac{d\rho}{\rho^2} \sigma(dy).
\]
Straightforward computations, and the fact that \( \int_{S^{d-1}} x \sigma(dx) = 0 \), finally imply that
\[
\varphi_X(\xi) = \exp \left( i\langle b; \xi \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( e^{i(u;\xi)} - 1 - i\langle u;\xi \mathbb{1}_{\|u\| \leq 1} \rangle \right) \nu(du) \right), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d,
\]
which concludes the proof. \( \square \)

**Remark 3.1.** The quantity \( \int_{S^{d-1}} x \sigma(dx) \) reflects the asymmetry of the Lévy measure \( \nu \). In case \( \int_{S^{d-1}} x \sigma(dx) \neq 0 \), a careful inspection of the proof of Theorem 3.2 reveals that the identity (3.5) becomes, for all \( f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \),
\[
\mathbb{E} \langle X; \nabla (f)(X) \rangle = \mathbb{E} \langle b; \nabla (f)(X) \rangle - \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \langle \nabla (f)(X); x \rangle \sigma(dx) \\
+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( f(X + u) - f(X) - \langle \nabla (f)(X); u \rangle \mathbb{1}_{\|u\| \leq 1} \right) \nu(du).
\]
The next results provide extensions of both Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 to subclasses of self-decomposable distributions with regular radial part, on \((0, +\infty)\), and some specific asymptotic behaviors at the edges of \((0, +\infty)\) in any directions of \(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\).

**Theorem 3.3.** Let \(X\) be a random vector in \(\mathbb{R}^d\). Let \(b \in \mathbb{R}^d\), let \(\nu\) be a Lévy measure with \(\int_{\|u\| \leq 1} \|u\| \nu(du) < +\infty\), and with polar decomposition

\[
\nu(du) = 1_{(0, +\infty)}(r) 1_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}(x) \frac{k_x(r)}{r} dr \sigma(dx),
\]

where \(\sigma\) is a finite positive measure on \(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\) and where \(k_x(r)\) is a nonnegative continuous function decreasing in \(r \in (0, +\infty)\), continuous in \(x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}\) and such that

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \varepsilon k_x(\varepsilon) = 0, \quad \lim_{R \to +\infty} k_x(R) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1},
\]

\[
\int_0^{+\infty} (1 \wedge r) \max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} (k_x(r)) \frac{dr}{r} < +\infty.
\]

Moreover, assume that, for all \((x_n)_{n \geq 1} \in (\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^\mathbb{N}\) converging to \(x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}\),

\[
\lim_{n \to +\infty} \lim_{R \to +\infty} V_a^R(k_{x_n} - k_x) = 0, \quad a > 0.
\]

Let \(\tilde{\nu}\) be the positive measure on \(\mathbb{R}^d\) defined by

\[
\tilde{\nu}(du) = 1_{(0, +\infty)}(r) 1_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}(x) (-dk_x(r)) \sigma(dx),
\]

with,

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 \wedge \|u\|) \tilde{\nu}(du) < +\infty.
\]

Then,

\[
\mathbb{E}(X; \nabla(f)(X)) = \mathbb{E}(b_0; \nabla(f)(X)) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(X + u) - f(X)) \tilde{\nu}(du),
\]

where \(b_0 = b - \int_{\|u\| \leq 1} u \nu(du)\), for all \(f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)\) if and only if \(X\) is self-decomposable with parameter \(b\), \(\Sigma = 0\) and Lévy measure \(\nu\).

**Proof.** Let us start with the direct part. Let \(X\) be a SD random vector of \(\mathbb{R}^d\) with parameter \(b\) and Lévy measure \(\nu\) such that \(\int_{\|u\| \leq 1} \|u\| \nu(du) < +\infty\) and whose polar decomposition is given by (3.10). Let \(R > 1\) and let \((\sigma_n)_{n \geq 1}\) be a sequence of positive linear combinations of Dirac measures which converges weakly to \(\sigma\), the spherical component of \(\nu\). Then, for all \(R > 1\) and all \(n \geq 1\), let

\[
\nu_{R,n}(du) = 1_{(0, R)}(r) 1_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}(x) \frac{k_x(r)}{r} dr \sigma_n(dx),
\]

and denote by \(X_{R,n}\) the SD random vector with parameter \(b\) and Lévy measure \(\nu_{R,n}\). Similarly, let, for all \(n \geq 1\),

\[
\nu_n(du) := 1_{(0, +\infty)}(r) 1_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}(x) \frac{k_x(r)}{r} dr \sigma_n(dx),
\]

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 \wedge \|u\|) \nu_n(du) < +\infty.
\]
and denote by $X_n$ the SD random vector with parameter $b$ and Lévy measure $\nu_n$. Performing computations similar to those in the proof of Theorem 3.1 for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, all $R > 1$ and all $n \geq 1$

$$E \langle X_{R,n}; \nabla (f) (X_{R,n}) \rangle = \langle b_0; E \nabla (f) (X_{R,n}) \rangle + E \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla (f) (X_{R,n} + u); u \rangle \nu_{R,n} (du).$$

Now, since, as $R \to +\infty$, $X_{R,n}$ converges in distribution to $X_n$, for all $n \geq 1$,

$$\lim_{R \to +\infty} E \langle X_{R} ; \nabla (f) (X_{R}) \rangle = E \langle X_n ; \nabla (f) (X_n) \rangle, \quad \lim_{R \to +\infty} \langle b_0; E \nabla (f) (X_{R}) \rangle = \langle b_0; E \nabla (f) (X_n) \rangle.$$

Moreover, thanks to the polar decomposition of the Lévy measure $\nu_{R,n}$, mutatis mutandis,

$$E \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla (f) (X_{R,n} + u); u \rangle \nu_{R,n} (du) = E \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} (f(X_{R,n} + Rx) - f(X_{R,n})) k_x(R) \sigma_n (dx)$$

$$+ E \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(X_{R,n} + u) - f(X_{R,n})) \tilde{\nu}_{R,n} (du),$$

where, for all $R > 1$ and all $n \geq 1$,

$$\tilde{\nu}_{R,n} (du) := 1_{(0,R)} (r) 1_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} (x) (-dk_x (r)) \sigma_n (dx).$$

Then, since $\lim_{R \to +\infty} k_x (R) = 0$, $x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\sigma_n (\mathbb{S}^{d-1}) < +\infty$, $n \geq 1$,

$$\lim_{R \to +\infty} E \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} (f(X_{R,n} + Rx) - f(X_{R,n})) k_x(R) \sigma_n (dx) = 0.$$

Next, one needs to prove that

$$\lim_{R \to +\infty} E \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(X_{R,n} + u) - f(X_{R,n})) \tilde{\nu}_{R,n} (du) = E \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(X_n + u) - f(X_n)) \tilde{\nu}_n (du),$$

where $\tilde{\nu}_n$ is given, for all $R > 1$ and all $n \geq 1$, by

$$\tilde{\nu}_n (du) = 1_{(0, +\infty)} (r) 1_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} (x) (-dk_x (r)) \sigma_n (dx).$$

To this end, for all $R > 1$, all $n \geq 1$ and all $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, set $F_{R,n}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(z + u) - f(z)) \tilde{\nu}_{R,n} (du)$ and $F_n(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(z + u) - f(z)) \tilde{\nu}_n (du)$). From (3.12), and since $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, it is clear that both functions are well-defined, bounded and continuous on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Moreover,

$$|F_{R,n}(z) - F_n(z)| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(z + u) - f(z)) 1_{\{\|u\| \geq R\}} \tilde{\nu}_n (du) \right| \leq 2 \|f\|_{\infty} \int_{\|u\| \geq R} \tilde{\nu}_n (du).$$

Thus, as $R$ tends to $+\infty$, $F_{R,n}$ converges to $F_n$ uniformly on $\mathbb{R}^d$, for all $n \geq 1$. Finally, since $X_{R,n}$ converges in distribution to $X_n$, for all $n \geq 1$,

$$\lim_{R \to +\infty} E \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(X_{R,n} + u) - f(X_{R,n})) \tilde{\nu}_{R,n} (du) = E \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(X_n + u) - f(X_n)) \tilde{\nu}_n (du).$$

Then, for all $n \geq 1$

$$E \langle X_n ; \nabla (f) (X_n) \rangle = E \langle b_0 ; \nabla (f) (X_n) \rangle + E \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(X_n + u) - f(X_n)) \tilde{\nu}_n (du).$$
Now, observe that, $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges in distribution to $X$ since $(\sigma_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges weakly to $\sigma$ and since $\int_0^{+\infty} (1 \wedge r) \max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} k_x(r) dr/r < +\infty$. Hence,

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \mathbb{E}(X_n; \nabla(f)(X_n)) = \mathbb{E}(X; \nabla(f)(X)), \quad \lim_{n \to +\infty} \mathbb{E}(b_0; \nabla(f)(X_n)) = \mathbb{E}(b_0; \nabla(f)(X)).$$

To conclude the proof of the direct part of the theorem, let us study the convergence of:

$$\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(X_n + u) - f(X_n)) \tilde{\nu}_n(du).$$

Since $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, for all $n \geq 1$,

$$\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(X_n + u) - f(X_n)) \tilde{\nu}_n(du) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) \varphi_n(\xi) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{i(u;\xi)} - 1) \tilde{\nu}_n(du) \right) d\xi.$$

Now, since $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges in distribution to $X$, then $\lim_{n \to +\infty} \varphi_n(\xi) = \varphi(\xi)$, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$. In turn, let us prove the following:

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{i(u;\xi)} - 1) \tilde{\nu}_n(du) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{i(u;\xi)} - 1) \tilde{\nu}(du). \quad (3.13)$$

Observe that, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $n \geq 1$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{i(u;\xi)} - 1) \tilde{\nu}_n(du) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \sigma_n(dx) \left( \int_0^{+\infty} \left( e^{i(x;\xi)} - 1 \right) (-dk_x(r)) \right).$$

Since $(\sigma_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges weakly to $\sigma$, let us prove that the function $H(x, \xi) = \int_0^{+\infty} (e^{i(x;\xi)} - 1) dk_x(r)$ is continuous in $x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of elements of $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ converging to $x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Then, consider, for all $n \geq 1$ and all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$H(x, \xi) - H(x_n, \xi) = \int_0^{+\infty} \left( e^{i(x;\xi)} - 1 \right) dk_x(r) - \int_0^{+\infty} \left( e^{i(x_n;\xi)} - 1 \right) dk_{x_n}(r),$$

$$= \int_0^{+\infty} \left( e^{i(x;\xi)} - 1 \right) d(k_x - k_{x_n})(r) + \int_0^{+\infty} \left( e^{i(x;\xi)} - e^{i(x_n;\xi)} \right) dk_x(r). \quad (3.14)$$

The second term on the right-hand side of $(3.14)$ converges to 0 as $n$ tends to $+\infty$, by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem since $\int_0^{+\infty} (1 \wedge r) dk_x(r) < +\infty$. For the first term of $(3.14)$, observe that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \left( e^{i(x;\xi)} - 1 \right) d(k_x - k_{x_n})(r) = \int_0^1 \left( e^{i(x;\xi)} - 1 \right) d(k_x - k_{x_n})(r)$$

$$+ \int_1^{+\infty} \left( e^{i(x;\xi)} - 1 \right) d(k_x - k_{x_n})(r). \quad (3.15)$$

For the second term on the right-hand side of $(3.15)$, for all $n \geq 1$,

$$\left| \int_1^{+\infty} \left( e^{i(x_n;\xi)} - 1 \right) d(k_x - k_{x_n})(r) \right| \leq 2 \lim_{R \to +\infty} V_1^R(k_x - k_{x_n}),$$
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so that by (3.11), this term converges to 0. Finally, integrating by parts, for all \( n \geq 1 \),

\[
\int_0^1 (e^{i(x_n \xi) - 1} d(k_x - k_{x_n})(r)) = -i(x_n; \xi) \int_0^1 e^{i(x_n \xi)} (k_x(r) - k_{x_n}(r)) dr + (e^{i(x_n \xi) - 1})(k_x(1) - k_{x_n}(1)).
\]

(3.16)

Now, the second term on the right-hand side of (3.16) converges to 0, as \( n \) tends to \(+\infty\) and, by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, the first term does converges to 0, as \( n \) tends to \(+\infty\). This proves that \( \lim_{n \to +\infty} H(x_n, \xi) = H(x, \xi) \), for all \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \), so that (3.13) is indeed verified.

To prove the converse part, assume that, for all \( f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \),

\[
\mathbb{E}(X; \nabla (f)(X)) = \mathbb{E}(b_0; \nabla (f)(X)) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(X - u) - f(X)) \tilde{\nu}(du).
\]

(3.17)

Now, reasoning as in the second part of the proof of Theorem 3.1

\[
r \partial_r (\varphi_X)(rx) = \left( ri(b_0; x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{i(u;r x)} - 1) \tilde{\nu}(du) \right) \varphi_X(rx), \quad r > 0, x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}.
\]

(3.18)

Let us develop the second term inside the above parenthesis a bit more. First,

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{i(u;r x)} - 1) \tilde{\nu}(du) = \int_{(0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} (e^{i(p; r x)} - 1)(-dk_y(p))\sigma(dy),
\]

\[
= \int_{(0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} (e^{i(p; r x)} - 1)(-dk_y(\frac{p}{r}))\sigma(dy).
\]

The radial equation (3.18) then becomes, for all \( r > 0 \) and all \( x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \),

\[
\partial_r (\varphi_X)(rx) = \left( i(b_0; x) + \int_{(0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} (e^{i(p; r x)} - 1) \frac{1}{r}(-dk_y(\frac{p}{r}))\sigma(dy) \right) \varphi_X(rx).
\]

For any fixed \( x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \), this linear differential equation admits a unique solution which is given by

\[
\varphi_X(rx) = \exp \left( i(b_0; rx) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{i(u;r x)} - 1) \nu(du) \right), \quad r > 0,
\]

since \( \varphi_X(0) = 1 \). Then, \( X \) is a SD random vector with parameter \( b \) and Lévy measure \( \nu \).

The next result is the SD pendant of the Cauchy characterization obtained in Theorem 3.2.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let \( X \) be a random vector in \( \mathbb{R}^d \). Let \( b \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and let \( \nu \) be a Lévy measure on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) with polar decomposition

\[
\nu(du) = \mathbb{1}_{(0, +\infty)}(r)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}(x) \frac{k_x(r)}{r} dr \sigma(dx),
\]

where \( \sigma \) is a finite positive measure on \( \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \) and where \( k_x(r) \) is a nonnegative continuous function decreasing in \( r \in (0, +\infty) \), continuous in \( x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \), and such that

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \varepsilon k_x(\varepsilon) = k_x(1), \quad \lim_{R \to +\infty} k_x(R) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1},
\]

\[
\int_0^{+\infty} (1 \wedge r^2) \max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} (k_x(r)) \frac{dr}{r} < +\infty.
\]
Moreover, assume that, for all \((x_n)_{n\geq 1} \in (\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^\mathbb{N}\) converging to \(x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}\),
\[
\lim_{n \to +\infty} \lim_{R \to +\infty} V_a^R(k_{x_n} - k_x) = 0, \quad a > 0.
\] (3.19)

Let \(\tilde{\nu}\) be the positive measure on \(\mathbb{R}^d\) defined by
\[
\tilde{\nu}(du) = 1_{(0, +\infty)}(r)1_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}(x)(-dk_x(r))\sigma(dx),
\]
with,
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 \wedge \|u\|^2)\tilde{\nu}(du) < +\infty. \tag{3.20}
\]

Then,
\[
\mathbb{E}(X; \nabla(f)(X)) = \mathbb{E}(b; \nabla(f)(X)) - \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \langle \nabla(f)(X); x \rangle k_x(1)\sigma(dx)
+ \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(X + u) - f(X) - \langle \nabla(f)(X); u \rangle 1_{\|u\| \leq 1})\tilde{\nu}(du), \tag{3.21}
\]
for all \(f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)\) if and only if \(X\) is self-decomposable with parameter \(b\), \(\Sigma = 0\) and Lévy measure \(\nu\).

**Proof.** The proof is a direct extension of the proof of Theorem 3.2 so that it is only outlined by highlighting the main differences. Let us start with the direct part. Let \(X\) be a SD random vector with parameter \(b\) and Lévy measure \(\nu\). Let \(R > 1\) and let \((\sigma_n)_{n \geq 1}\) be a sequence of positive linear combinations of Dirac measures converging weakly to \(\sigma\), the spherical component of \(\nu\). Then, for all \(R > 1\) and all \(n \geq 1\), let
\[
\nu_{R,n}(du) := 1_{(1/R, R)}(r)1_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}(x)\frac{k_x(r)}{r}dr\sigma_n(dx),
\]
and denote by \(X_{R,n}\) the SD random vector with parameter \(b\) and Lévy measure \(\nu_{R,n}\). Similarly, for all \(n \geq 1\), let
\[
\nu_n(du) := 1_{(0, +\infty)}(r)1_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}(x)\frac{k_x(r)}{r}dr\sigma_n(dx),
\]
and denote by \(X_n\) the SD random vector with parameter \(b\) and Lévy measure \(\nu_n\). As in the proof of Theorem 3.2, for all \(f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)\) and all \(R > 1\),
\[
\mathbb{E}(X_{R,n}; \nabla(f)(X_{R,n})) = \langle b; \mathbb{E}(\nabla(f)(X_{R,n})) \rangle + \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla(f)(X_{R,n} + u) - \nabla(f)(X_{R,n})1_{\|u\| \leq 1}; u \rangle \nu_{R,n}(du).
\]

Now, since, as \(R \to +\infty\), \(X_{R,n}\) converges in distribution to \(X_n\), for all \(n \geq 1\)
\[
\lim_{R \to +\infty} \mathbb{E}(X_{R,n}; \nabla(f)(X_{R,n})) = \mathbb{E}(X_n; \nabla(f)(X_n)), \quad \lim_{R \to +\infty} \mathbb{E}(b; \nabla(f)(X_{R,n})) = \mathbb{E}(b; \nabla(f)(X_n)).
\]
Moreover, for all \(R > 1\) and all \(n \geq 1\),
\[
\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla(f)(X_{R,n} + u); u \rangle \nu_{R,n}(du) = \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} (f(X_{R} + Rx) - f(X_R))k_x(R)\sigma_n(dx)
- \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} (f\left(X_{R,n} + \frac{x}{R}\right) - f(X_{R,n}))k_x\left(\frac{1}{R}\right)\sigma_n(dx)
+ \mathbb{E} \int_{(1/R, R) \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} (f(X_{R,n} + rx) - f(X_{R,n}))(-dk_x(r))\sigma_n(dx).
\]
From the limiting behavior of $k_x$ at $+\infty$ and at $0^+$, for all $n \geq 1$,
\[
\lim_{R \to +\infty} \mathbb{E} \int_{S^{d-1}} k_x(R) \left( f(X_{R,n} + Rx) - f(X_{R,n}) \right) \sigma_n(dx) = 0,
\]
and,
\[
\lim_{R \to +\infty} \mathbb{E} \int_{S^{d-1}} \left( f \left( X_{R,n} + \frac{x}{R} \right) - f \left( X_{R,n} \right) \right) k_x \left( \frac{1}{R} \right) \sigma_n(dx) = \mathbb{E} \int_{S^{d-1}} \langle \nabla(f)(X_n); x \rangle k_x(1) \sigma_n(dx).
\]
Next, consider the term defined, for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $n \geq 1$, by
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla(f)(z) 1_{\|u\| \leq 1}; u \rangle \nu_{R,n}(du) = \langle \nabla(f)(z); \int_{S^{d-1}} x \left( \int_{1/R}^{1} k_x(r) dr \right) \sigma_n(dx) \rangle.
\]
By a standard integration by parts, for all $n \geq 1$,
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla(f)(z) 1_{\|u\| \leq 1}; u \rangle \nu_{R,n}(du) = \langle \nabla(f)(z); \int_{S^{d-1}} x \left( k_x(1) - k_x(1/R)/R \right) \sigma_n(dx) \rangle + \langle \nabla(f)(z); \int_{S^{d-1}} x \left( \int_{1/R}^{1} r(-dk_x(r)) \right) \sigma_n(dx) \rangle.
\]
Then, observe that, for all $x \in S^{d-1}$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \varepsilon k_x(\varepsilon) = k_x(1)$, and, for all $n \geq 1$,
\[
\lim_{R \to +\infty} \mathbb{E} \langle \nabla(f)(X_{R,n}); \int_{S^{d-1}} x \left( k_x(1) - k_x(1/R)/R \right) \sigma_n(dx) \rangle = 0.
\]
Finally, for all $n \geq 1$,
\[
\lim_{R \to +\infty} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla(f)(X_{R,n} + u) - \nabla(f)(X_{R,n}) 1_{\|u\| \leq 1}; u \rangle \nu_{R,n}(du) = \\
\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( f(X_n + u) - f(X_n) - \langle \nabla(f)(X_n); u \rangle 1_{\|u\| \leq 1} \right) \tilde{\nu}_n(du) - \mathbb{E} \int_{S^{d-1}} \langle \nabla(f)(X_n); x \rangle k_x(1) \sigma_n(dx),
\]
so that,
\[
\mathbb{E} \langle X_n; \nabla(f)(X_n) \rangle = \mathbb{E} \langle b; \nabla(f)(X_n) \rangle - \mathbb{E} \int_{S^{d-1}} \langle \nabla(f)(X_n); x \rangle k_x(1) \sigma_n(dx) + \\
\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( f(X_n + u) - f(X_n) - \langle \nabla(f)(X_n); u \rangle 1_{\|u\| \leq 1} \right) \tilde{\nu}_n(du).
\]
Now, since $(\sigma_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges weakly to $\sigma$ and since $\int_0^{+\infty} (1 \wedge r^2) \max_{x \in S^{d-1}} (k_x(r)) dr/r < +\infty$, $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges in distribution to $X$. Hence,
\[
\lim_{n \to +\infty} \mathbb{E} \langle X_n; \nabla(f)(X_n) \rangle = \mathbb{E} \langle X; \nabla(f)(X) \rangle, \quad \lim_{n \to +\infty} \mathbb{E} \langle b; \nabla(f)(X_n) \rangle = \mathbb{E} \langle b; \nabla(f)(X) \rangle.
\]
To conclude the direct part of the proof, let us consider the following terms:
\[
\mathbb{E} \int_{S^{d-1}} \langle \nabla(f)(X_n); x \rangle k_x(1) \sigma_n(dx), \quad \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( f(X_n + u) - f(X_n) - \langle \nabla(f)(X_n); u \rangle 1_{\|u\| \leq 1} \right) \tilde{\nu}_n(du).
\]
First, for all $n \geq 1$
\[
\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\nabla (f)(X_n); x) k_x(1) \sigma_n(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) \varphi_n(\xi) (i\xi; \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x k_x(1) \sigma_n(dx)) \frac{d\xi}{(2\pi)^d}.
\]
Since $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges in distribution to $X$, as $n$ tends to $+\infty$, $(\varphi_n(\xi))_{n \geq 1}$ converges to $\varphi(\xi)$, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Moreover,
\[
\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x k_x(1) \sigma_n(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x k_x(1) \sigma(dx).
\]
Then, by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem,
\[
\lim_{n \to +\infty} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\nabla (f)(X_n); x) k_x(1) \sigma_n(dx) = \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\nabla (f)(X); x) k_x(1) \sigma(dx).
\]
Similarly, for all $n \geq 1$,
\[
\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(X_n + u) - f(X_n) - (\nabla (f)(X_n); u) 1_{\|u\| \leq 1} \tilde{\nu}_n(du)
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) \varphi_n(\xi) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{i(u;\xi)} - 1 - i(u;\xi) 1_{\|u\| \leq 1}) \tilde{\nu}_n(du) \right) \frac{d\xi}{(2\pi)^d},
\]
and proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.3
\[
\lim_{n \to +\infty} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(X_n + u) - f(X_n) - (\nabla (f)(X_n); u) 1_{\|u\| \leq 1} \tilde{\nu}(du)
= \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(X + u) - f(X) - (\nabla (f)(X); u) 1_{\|u\| \leq 1} \tilde{\nu}(du).
\]
The direct part of the theorem is proved. For the converse part, mutatis mutandis, based on (3.21), for all $r > 0$ and all $x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$
\[
\varphi_X(rx) = \exp \left( i \langle b - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} zk_z(1) \sigma(dz); rx \rangle + \int_0^r \tilde{G}(R, x)dR + \int_0^r \tilde{J}(R, x)dR \right),
\]
where $\tilde{G}$ and $\tilde{J}$ are respectively defined, for all $R > 0$ and all $x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, by
\[
\tilde{G}(R, x) = \int_{(0, R) \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \left( e^{i(\rho y; x)} - 1 - i(\rho y; x) \right) \frac{1}{R} \left( -dk_y \left( \frac{\rho}{R} \right) \right) \sigma(dy)
\]
\[
\tilde{J}(R, x) = \int_{(R, +\infty) \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \left( e^{i(\rho y; x)} - 1 \right) \frac{1}{R} \left( -dk_y \left( \frac{\rho}{R} \right) \right) \sigma(dy).
\]
Finally, straightforward computations together with Fubini’s Theorem and the fact that $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \varepsilon k_x(\varepsilon) = k_x(1)$, for all $x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, concludes the proof.

**Remark 3.2.** (i) Let us recast the previous results in dimension one, i.e., for $d = 1$. In this case, the Lévy measure of a SD law is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure and is given by
\[
\nu(du) = \frac{k(u)}{|u|} du,
\]
where $k(u)$ is given by
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x k_x(1) \sigma(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x k_x(1) \sigma(dx).
\]
where $k$ is a nonnegative function increasing on $(-\infty, 0)$ and decreasing on $(0, +\infty)$. Now, assume, for simplicity only, that $k$ is continuously differentiable on $(-\infty, 0)$ and on $(0, +\infty)$ and that
\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \varepsilon k(\varepsilon) = k(1), \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^-} \varepsilon k(\varepsilon) = -k(-1), \quad \lim_{|x| \to +\infty} k(x) = 0.
\] (3.22)

Theorem 3.3 gives the following characterizing identity when $X$ is a SD random variable with parameter $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and Lévy measure $\nu$:
\[
\mathbb{E}Xf'(X) = b\mathbb{E}f'(X) + (k(-1) - k(1))\mathbb{E}f'(X) + \mathbb{E}\int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(X + u) - f(X) - f'(X)u1_{|u| \leq 1}) \tilde{\nu}(du),
\]
for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, where $\tilde{\nu}(du) = (-k'(u))1_{(0, +\infty)}(u)du + k'(u)1_{(-\infty, 0)}(u)du$. In a similar fashion, it is possible to provide a characterization result for SD random variables with Lévy measure $\nu$ such that $\int_{|u| \leq 1} |u|\nu(du) < +\infty$ and such that $k$ is continuously differentiable on $(-\infty, 0)$ and on $(0, +\infty)$ with
\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \varepsilon k(\varepsilon) = 0, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^-} \varepsilon k(\varepsilon) = 0, \quad \lim_{|x| \to +\infty} k(x) = 0,
\] (3.23)
via Theorem 3.3.

(ii) From [47, Theorem 28.4], under the assumptions that the function $k$ is continuously differentiable on $(-\infty, 0)$ and on $(0, +\infty)$ and satisfies (3.22) with $k(1) > 0, k(-1) > 0$, the quantity $c := k(0^+) + k(0^-)$ is infinite. Then, the associated SD distribution admits a Lebesgue density infinitely differentiable on $\mathbb{R}$. If the function $k$ is continuously differentiable on $(-\infty, 0)$ and on $(0, +\infty)$ and satisfies (3.22), then $c$ can be either finite or infinite, implying different types of regularity for the Lebesgue density of the associated SD distribution.

(iii) Let $X$ be a SD random vector with Lévy measure $\nu$ as in Theorem 3.3 and such that $\int_{|u| \geq 1} ||u||\nu(du) < +\infty$. Then, integrating by parts, for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,
\[
\mathbb{E}\langle X; \nabla (f)(X) \rangle = \mathbb{E}\langle b; \nabla (f)(X) \rangle - \mathbb{E}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \langle \nabla (f)(X); x \rangle k_2(1)\sigma(dx)
\]
\[
+ \mathbb{E}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(X + u) - f(X) - \langle \nabla (f)(X); u \rangle 1_{||u|| \leq 1}) \tilde{\nu}(du),
\]
\[
= \mathbb{E}\langle EX; \nabla (f)(X) \rangle - \mathbb{E}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \langle \nabla (f)(X); x \rangle k_2(1)\sigma(dx)
\]
\[
+ \mathbb{E}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(X + u) - f(X) - \langle \nabla (f)(X); u \rangle) \tilde{\nu}(du),
\]
\[
= \mathbb{E}\langle EX; \nabla (f)(X) \rangle + \mathbb{E}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla (f)(X + u) - \nabla (f)(X); u \rangle \nu(du).
\]

Let us now present some examples for which Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.4 apply and which are not covered in the relevant existing literature. At first, note that some rotationally invariant self-decomposable distributions are covered by Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.4. Indeed, let $\lambda$ be the uniform measure on $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and let
\[
\nu(du) := 1_{(0, +\infty)}(r)1_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}(x)\frac{k(r)}{r}dr \lambda(dx),
\]
with $\int_{|u| \leq 1} ||u||\nu(du) < +\infty$ and with $k$ satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 3.3. Then, the corresponding self-decomposable distribution is rotationally invariant.
4 Stein’s Equation for Self-Decomposable Laws

Throughout this subsection, $X$ is a non-degenerate self-decomposable random vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$, without Gaussian component, with law $\mu_X$, characteristic function $\varphi$ given by (4.2) with parameter $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and Lévy measure $\nu$ given by

$$
\nu(du) = 1_{(0, +\infty)}(r) 1_{S^{d-1}}(x) \frac{k_x(r)}{r} dr\sigma(dx),
$$

(4.1)

where $k_x(r)$ is a nonnegative function decreasing in $r \in (0, +\infty)$ and where $\sigma$ is a finite positive measure on $S^{d-1}$. The following assumptions are assumed to hold throughout this subsection: $k_x(r)$ is continuous in $r \in (0, +\infty)$, continuous in $x \in S^{d-1}$, with

$$
\lim_{r \to 0^+} r^2 k_x(r) = 0, \quad \lim_{r \to +\infty} k_x(r) = 0, \quad x \in S^{d-1}.
$$

These assumptions insure that the positive measure $\tilde{\nu}$ given by

$$
\tilde{\nu}(du) = 1_{(0, +\infty)}(r) 1_{S^{d-1}}(x) (-dk_x(r)) \sigma(dx),
$$

(4.3)

is a well defined Lévy measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let us introduce next a collection of ID random vectors, $X_t$, $t \geq 0$, defined through their characteristic function, for all $t \geq 0$ and all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, by

$$
\varphi_t(\xi) = \exp \left( i\langle b; \xi \rangle (1 - e^{-t}) + \int_{(0, +\infty) \times S^{d-1}} \left( e^{i\langle r; \xi \rangle} - 1 - i\langle r; \xi \rangle 1_{\langle r, \xi \rangle \leq 1} \right) \frac{k_x(r)}{r} - \frac{k_x(e^r r)}{r} dr \sigma(dx) \right) + \int_{(0, +\infty) \times S^{d-1}} i\langle r; \xi \rangle 1_{e^{-t} < r \leq 1} \frac{k_x(e^r r)}{r} dr \sigma(dx).
$$

(4.4)

Thanks to a change of variables, the function is equal to, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $t \geq 0$,

$$
\varphi_t(\xi) = \frac{\varphi(\xi)}{\varphi(e^{-t}\xi)},
$$

which is a well-defined characteristic function since $X$ is SD. Denoting by $\mu_t$ the law of $X_t$, let us introduce the following continuous family of operators $(P_t^\nu)_{t \geq 0}$, defined, for all $t \geq 0$, all $h \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, by

$$
P_t^\nu(h)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(xe^{-t} + y) \mu_t(dy).
$$

(4.5)

For $t = 0$, set $\mu_0 = \delta_0$, with $\delta_0$ the Dirac measure at 0, so that $P_0^\nu$ is the identity operator. Based on the computations of (4.2) Lemma 3.1], observe that the continuous family of operators $(P_t^\nu)_{t \geq 0}$ is a semigroup of operators on $C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with, for all $h \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P_t^\nu(h)(x) d\mu_X(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(x) d\mu_X(dx), \quad \lim_{t \to +\infty} P_t^\nu(h)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(x) d\mu_X(dx), \quad \lim_{t \to 0^+} P_t^\nu(h)(x) = h(x).
$$

The next lemma identifies the generator of $(P_t^\nu)_{t \geq 0}$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let $(P_t^\nu)_{t \geq 0}$ be the semigroup of operators defined by (4.5). Let $\tilde{\nu}$ be the Lévy measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$ given by (4.3). The generator of $(P_t^\nu)_{t \geq 0}$ is given, for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, by

$$
A(f)(x) = \langle b - \int_{S^{d-1}} k_y(1) y \sigma(dy) - x; \nabla(f)(x) \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( f(x + u) - f(x) - \langle \nabla(f)(x); u \rangle 1_{|u| \leq 1} \right) \tilde{\nu}(du).
$$
Proof. Let $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$. By Fourier inversion, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $t \in (0, 1)$,

$$\frac{1}{t} (P_t^\nu (f)(x) - f(x)) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) e^{i(x;\xi)} \left( e^{i(x;\xi(e^{-t} - 1))} \frac{\varphi(\xi)}{\varphi(e^{-t}\xi)} - 1 \right) \frac{d\xi}{t}. $$

Thanks to Lemma A.2 (i), for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{1}{t} \left( e^{i(x;\xi(e^{-t} - 1))} \frac{\varphi(\xi)}{\varphi(e^{-t}\xi)} - 1 \right) = i(b - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} k_y(1)y\sigma(dy) - x; \xi) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(e^{i(u;\xi)} - 1 - i(u;\xi)1_{\|u\| \leq 1}\right) \nu(du).$$

Moreover, by Lemma A.2 (ii), for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\sup_{t \in (0, 1)} \frac{1}{t} \left| e^{i(x;\xi(e^{-t} - 1))} \frac{\varphi(\xi)}{\varphi(e^{-t}\xi)} - 1 \right| \leq (\|x\| + \|b\|) \|\xi\| + \|\xi\|^2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \sigma(dy) \int_0^1 s^2(-dk_y(s)) + 4 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} k_y(1)\sigma(dy) + 2\|\xi\|^2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} k_y(1)\sigma(dy) + 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \sigma(dy) \int_1^{+\infty} (-dk_y(s)) + \|\xi\| \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} k_y(1)\sigma(dy).$$

Then, a direct application of the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem together with Fourier duality imply that

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{1}{t} (P_t^\nu (f)(x) - f(x)) = \langle b - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} yk_y(1)\sigma(dy) - x; \nabla (f)(x) \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(f(x + u) - f(x) - \langle \nabla (f)(x); u \rangle 1_{\|u\| \leq 1}\right) \nu(du),$$

which concludes the proof of the lemma. \qed

Based on the previous lemma, it is natural to consider the following Stein’s equation for self-decomposable distributions with polar decomposition given by (4.11) (under appropriate assumptions on the function $k_x(r)$): for all $h \in \mathcal{H}_2 \cap C_c^\infty (\mathbb{R}^d)$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\langle \bar{b} - x; \nabla (f_h)(x) \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(f_h(x + u) - f_h(x) - \langle \nabla (f_h)(x); u \rangle 1_{\|u\| \leq 1}\right) \nu(du) = h(x) - \mathbb{E} h(X), \quad (4.6)$$

where $\bar{b} = b - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} k_y(1)y\sigma(dy)$. By semigroup theory, a candidate solution to (4.6) is given by,

$$f_h(x) = -\int_0^{+\infty} (P_t^\nu (h)(x) - \mathbb{E} h(X)) dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (4.7)$$

The next proposition proves the existence of the function $f_h$ given by (4.7), studies its regularity and proves that this function is a strong solution of (4.6) on $\mathbb{R}^d$.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let $X$ be a non-degenerate SD random vector without Gaussian component, with law $\mu_X$, characteristic function $\varphi$, Lévy measure $\nu$ having polar decomposition given by (4.11) where the function $k_x(r) = \text{continuous in } r \in (0, +\infty)$, continuous in $x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and satisfies (4.12).
Assume that there exists $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ such that $\mathbb{E}\|X\|^{\varepsilon} < +\infty$ and that there exist $\beta_1 > 0$, $\beta_2 > 0$ and $\beta_3 \in (0,1)$ such that the function $k_x(r)$ in (1.1) satisfies
\[
\gamma_1 = \sup_{t \geq 0} \left( e^{\beta_1 t} \int_{(1, +\infty) \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \frac{k_x(e^t r)}{r} dr \sigma(dx) \right) < +\infty,
\]
\[
\gamma_2 = \sup_{t \geq 0} \left( e^{\beta_2 t} \int_{(0,1) \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} r k_x(e^t r) dr \sigma(dx) \right) < +\infty,
\]
and that,
\[
\gamma_3 = \sup_{t \geq 0} \left( e^{-(1-\beta_3) t} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} x \left( \int_1^{e^t} k_x(r) dr \right) \sigma(dx) \right\| \right) < +\infty.
\]
Let $X_t$, $t \geq 0$, be the random vector defined through the characteristic function $\varphi_t$ given by (1.4) and assume that,
\[
\sup_{t \geq 0} \mathbb{E}\|X_t\|^\varepsilon < +\infty. \tag{4.8}
\]
Let $(P_t^\varepsilon)_{t \geq 0}$ be the semigroup of operators defined by (4.5). Then, for any $h \in \mathcal{H}_1$, the function $f_h$, given, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, by
\[
f_h(x) = -\int_0^{+\infty} (P_t^\varepsilon(h)(x) - \mathbb{E}h(X)) \, dt,
\]
is well defined and continuously differentiable on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $M_1(f_h) \leq 1$. Moreover, for any $h \in \mathcal{H}_2 \cap C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $f_h$ is twice continuously differentiable on $\mathbb{R}^d$, $M_2(f_h) \leq 1/2$ and
\[
\langle \tilde{b} - x; \nabla (f_h)(x) \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( f_h(x + u) - f_h(x) - \langle \nabla (f_h)(x); u \rangle \right) \tilde{\nu}(du), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,
\]
where $\tilde{\nu}$ is given by (4.3) and where $\tilde{b} = b - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} k_y(1) y \sigma(dy)$.

Proof. To start with, let us prove that, for any $h \in \mathcal{H}_1$, the function $f_h$ defined by (4.7) does exist. For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $t > 0$,
\[
|P_t^\varepsilon(h)(x) - \mathbb{E}h(X)| = |\mathbb{E}h(x e^{-t} + X_t) - \mathbb{E}h(X)| \\
\leq e^{-t} \|x\| + |\mathbb{E}h(X) - \mathbb{E}h(X)| \\
\leq e^{-t} \|x\| + d_{W_1}(\mu_t, \mu_X) \\
\leq e^{-t} \|x\| + Ce^{-ct},
\]
thanks to Proposition A.1. Then, the function $f_h$ is well defined on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Now, since $h \in \mathcal{H}_1$, $f_h$ is continuously differentiable on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,
\[
\nabla (f_h)(x) = -\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-t} P_t^\varepsilon(\nabla (h))(x) \, dt.
\]
Moreover, reasoning as in [2 Proposition 3.4], one gets that \( M_1(f_h) \leq 1 \). Now, if \( h \in \mathcal{H}_2 \cap \mathcal{C}^\infty_c (\mathbb{R}^d) \), then, \( f_h \) is twice continuously differentiable on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) with, for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and all \( i, j \in \{ 1, \ldots, d \} \),

\[
\partial^2_{i,j}(f_h)(x) = - \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-2t} P_t^\nu(\partial^2_{i,j}(h))(x)dt,
\]

and with \( M_2(f_h) \leq 1/2 \). To conclude let us prove that \( f_h \) is a strong solution of (4.6) on \( \mathbb{R}^d \). Set \( u(t,x) = P_t^\nu(h)(x) \), for \( t \geq 0 \) and \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \). First, let us prove that, for all \( t \geq 0 \) and all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \),

\[
\partial_t(u)(t,x) = \mathcal{A}(u)(t,x). \tag{4.9}
\]

Since \( h \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_c (\mathbb{R}^d) \), by Fourier inversion, for all \( t \geq 0 \) and all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \),

\[
u_t(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(h)(\xi)e^{ix\xi} \frac{\varphi(\xi)}{\varphi(-t\xi)} \xi e^{t\xi} d\xi.
\]

Now, thanks to Lemma A.1, for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \), all \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and all \( t \geq 0 \),

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( e^{ix\xi} \frac{\varphi(\xi)}{\varphi(-t\xi)} \right) = -ie^{-t}(x;\xi)e^{ix\xi} \frac{\varphi(\xi)}{\varphi(-t\xi)} + ie^{-t}(b;\xi)e^{ix\xi} \frac{\varphi(\xi)}{\varphi(-t\xi)}
\]

\[
- ie^{-t} \left( \int_{S^{d-1}} k_y(1)y\sigma(dy);\xi \right) e^{ix\xi} \frac{\varphi(\xi)}{\varphi(-t\xi)} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{iu\xi} - 1 - i\langle u;\xi \rangle 1_{\| u \| \leq 1}) \tilde{\nu}(du) e^{ix\xi} \frac{\varphi(\xi)}{\varphi(-t\xi)}
\]

Moreover, for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \), all \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and all \( t \geq 0 \),

\[
\left| \frac{d}{dt} \left( e^{ix\xi} \frac{\varphi(\xi)}{\varphi(-t\xi)} \right) \right| \leq e^{-t}(\| x \| + \| b \| + \left\| \int_{S^{d-1}} k_y(1)y\sigma(dy) \right\|) \| \xi \| + e^{-2t} \| \xi \|^2 \int_{\| u \| \leq 1} \| u \|^2 \tilde{\nu}(du)
\]

\[
+ 2 \int_{\| u \| \geq 1} \tilde{\nu}(du)
\]

\[
\leq \left( \| x \| + \| b \| + \left\| \int_{S^{d-1}} k_y(1)y\sigma(dy) \right\| \right) \| \xi \| + \| \xi \|^2 \int_{\| u \| \leq 1} \| u \|^2 \tilde{\nu}(du)
\]

\[
+ 2 \int_{\| u \| \geq 1} \tilde{\nu}(du).
\]

Then, for all \( t \geq 0 \) and all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \),

\[
\partial_t(u)(t,x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(h)(\xi) \frac{d}{dt} \left( e^{ix\xi} \frac{\varphi(\xi)}{\varphi(-t\xi)} \right) d\xi
\]

\[
= \mathcal{A}(u)(t,x),
\]

where the Fourier symbol of \( \mathcal{A} \) and the Fourier representation of \( u(t,x) \) have been used in the last equality. To pursue, let \( 0 < T < +\infty \) and let us integrate out the equation (4.9) between 0 and T. Then, for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \),

\[
P_T^\nu(h)(x) - h(x) = \int_0^T \mathcal{A}(P_t^\nu(h))(x)dt,
\]
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then, letting $T \to +\infty$ and the ergodicity of the semigroup $(P^t_t)_{t \geq 0}$ give:

$$\lim_{T \to +\infty} (P^T_T(h)(x) - h(x)) = \mathbb{E}h(X) - h(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$ 

Next, let us prove that $\int_0^{+\infty} |A(P^T_t(h))(x)| \, dt < +\infty$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. To do so, one needs to estimate $\|\nabla(P^T_t(h))(x)\|$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (P^T_t(h)(x + u) - P^T_t(h)(x) - \langle \nabla(P^T_t(h))(x); u \rangle \mathbb{1}_{||u|| \leq 1} \nu(du)).$$

Thanks to the commutation relation and to the fact that $h \in \mathcal{H}_2$,

$$\|\nabla(P^T_t(h))(x)\| \leq e^{-t}.$$

Now, let us bound (I). For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $t > 0$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (P^T_t(h)(x + u) - P^T_t(h)(x) - \langle \nabla(P^T_t(h))(x); u \rangle \mathbb{1}_{||u|| \leq e^t} \nu(du)$$

Let us start with the second term on the right-hand side of (4.10). Thanks again to the commutation relation and an integration by parts

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla(P_t(h))(x); u \rangle \mathbb{1}_{1 \leq ||u|| \leq e^t} \nu(du) \right| \leq e^{-t} \left| \langle \nabla(h)(x); \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u \mathbb{1}_{1 \leq ||u|| \leq e^t} \nu(du) \rangle \right|$$

Note also that $\int_0^{+\infty} k_y(e^t) \, dt = \int_1^{+\infty} k_y(r) \, dr / r < +\infty$, for $y \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. This concludes the bounding of the second term on the right-hand side of (4.10). For the first term, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $t \geq 0$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla(P_t(h))(x) - \langle \nabla(P_t(h))(x); u \rangle \mathbb{1}_{1 \leq ||u|| \leq e^t} \rangle \nu(du) \right| \leq (I_1) + (I_2),$$

where,

$$(I_1) := \left| \int_{||u|| \leq e^t} (P^T_t(h)(x + u) - P^T_t(h)(x) - \langle \nabla(P^T_t(h))(x); u \rangle \nu(du) \right|$$

$$(I_2) := \left| \int_{||u|| > e^t} (P^T_t(h)(x + u) - P^T_t(h)(x)) \nu(du) \right|.$$
Then, by commutation and a change of variables

\[
(I_1) \leq \left| \int_{(0,1) \times S^{d-1}} (P_t^\nu(h)(x + e^t y) - P_t^\nu(h)(x) - \langle P_t^\nu(\nabla h)(x); ry \rangle)(-d\kappa_y(e^t r))\sigma(dy) \right|.
\]

Now, note that, for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \), all \( u = ry \in (0,1) \times S^{d-1} \) and all \( t > 0 \),

\[
|P_t^\nu(h)(x + e^t ry) - P_t^\nu(h)(x) - \langle P_t^\nu(\nabla h)(x); ry \rangle| \leq r^2
\]

so that, integrating by parts, for all \( t > 0 \),

\[
(I_1) \leq \int_{S^{d-1}} \left( \int_0^1 (2 - d\kappa_y(e^t r))\sigma(dy) \right) \\
\leq \int_{S^{d-1}} \left( 2 \int_0^1 r\kappa_y(e^t r)dr - k_y(e^t)\right)\sigma(dy) \\
\leq \left( 2\gamma_2 e^{-\beta_2 t} - \int_{S^{d-1}} k_y(e^t)\sigma(dy) \right).
\]

(4.12)

Similarly for \((I_2)\), for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \), all \( u = ry \in (0,1) \times S^{d-1} \) and all \( t > 0 \)

\[
(I_2) = \left| \int_{(1,\infty) \times S^{d-1}} (P_t^\nu(h)(x + e^t ry) - P_t^\nu(h)(x) - \langle P_t^\nu(\nabla h)(x); ry \rangle)(-d\kappa_y(e^t r))\sigma(dy) \right| \\
\leq 2 \int_{S^{d-1}} \left( \int_1^{\infty} (2 - d\kappa_y(e^t r))\sigma(dy) \right) \\
\leq 2 \int_{S^{d-1}} k_y(e^t)\sigma(dy).
\]

(4.13)

Combining (4.10) together with (4.11)–(4.13),

\[
(I) \leq \left( \gamma_3 e^{-\beta_3 t} + e^{-t} \left\| \int_{S^{d-1}} y\kappa_y(1)\sigma(dy) \right\| + \left\| \int_{S^{d-1}} y\kappa_y(e^t)\sigma(dy) \right\| \right) \\
+ \left( 2\gamma_2 e^{-\beta_2 t} - \int_{S^{d-1}} k_y(e^t)\sigma(dy) \right) + 2 \int_{S^{d-1}} k_y(e^t)\sigma(dy).
\]

Then, for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \)

\[
\mathbb{E}h(X) - h(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} \mathcal{A}(P_t^\nu(h))(x)dt.
\]

Noting that \( \int_0^{+\infty} \mathcal{A}(P_t^\nu(h))(x)dt = -\mathcal{A}(f_h)(x) \), for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \), concludes the proof of the theorem.

\[\square\]

**Remark 4.1.** (i) Let us discuss the assumptions of Theorem 4.1. If \( X \) is \( \alpha \)-stable with Lévy measure given by (2.6) and with \( \alpha \in (0, 1] \), then for any \( \varepsilon \in (0, \alpha) \), \( \beta_1 \in (0, \alpha) \), \( \beta_2 \in (0, \alpha) \) and \( \beta_3 \in (0, \alpha) \) while

\[
\mathbb{E}\|X\|^\varepsilon < +\infty, \quad \gamma_1 < +\infty, \quad \gamma_2 < +\infty, \quad \gamma_3 < +\infty.
\]
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Next, let us discuss the condition (4.5) in the particular case \( \alpha \in (0,1) \). (A similar discussion can be performed in the case \( \alpha = 1 \) but requires different estimates.) Since \( \alpha \in (0,1) \), the random vector \( \mathbf{X}_t, t \geq 0 \), defined through (4.4) has the characteristic function given, for all \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and all \( t \geq 0 \), by

\[
\varphi_t(\xi) = \exp \left( i \langle b_0; \xi \rangle (1 - e^{-t}) + (1 - e^{-\alpha t}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\langle u; \xi \rangle} \nu(du) \right),
\]

with \( \nu \) as in (2.20). Then, \( \mathbf{X}_t =_d (1 - e^{-t})b_0 + (1 - e^{-\alpha t}) \mathbf{X} \) where \( \mathbf{X} \) is \( \alpha \)-stable with \( b_0 = 0 \) and \( \alpha \in (0,1) \). It is then straightforward to check that \( \mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{X}_t\|_\varepsilon \) is uniformly bounded in \( t \) for any \( \varepsilon \in (0,\alpha) \).

(ii) Now, let \( \mathbf{X} \) be a non-degenerate SD random vector as in Theorem 4.1 such that

\[
\begin{align*}
\int_{\|u\| \leq 1} \|u\| \nu(du) < +\infty, & \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \varepsilon k_y(\varepsilon) = 0, \quad y \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}.
\end{align*}
\]

Let \( f_h \) be the solution to the Stein equation (4.6) defined by (4.7), for \( h \in \mathcal{H}_2 \cap C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^d) \). Then, by an integration by parts, for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \),

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle \dot{b} + \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} y \left( \int_0^1 r dk_y(r) \right) \sigma(dy) - x; \nabla(f_h)(x) \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( f_h(x + u) - f_h(x) \right) \tilde{\nu}(du) &= \\
\langle b - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} y \left( \int_0^1 k_y(r) dr \right) \sigma(dy) - x; \nabla(f_h)(x) \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( f_h(x + u) - f_h(x) \right) \tilde{\nu}(du),
\end{align*}
\]

so that, in this case, \( f_h \) is a solution to the following Stein equation

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle b_0 - x; \nabla(f_h)(x) \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( f_h(x + u) - f_h(x) \right) \tilde{\nu}(du) &= h(x) - \mathbb{E}h(\mathbf{X}), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
\end{align*}
\]

In particular, if \( \mathbf{X} \) is \( \alpha \)-stable with \( \alpha \in (0,1) \), then the equation (4.14) boils down to

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle b_0 - x; \nabla(f_h)(x) \rangle + \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( f_h(x + u) - f_h(x) \right) \nu(du) &= h(x) - \mathbb{E}h(\mathbf{X}), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
\end{align*}
\]

(iii) Next, let \( \mathbf{X} \) be a non-degenerate SD random vector as in Theorem 4.1 and such that

\[
\int_{\|u\| \geq 1} \|u\| \nu(du) < +\infty, \quad \lim_{R \to +\infty} R k_y(R) = 0, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \varepsilon^2 k_y(\varepsilon) = 0, \quad y \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1},
\]

Let \( f_h \) be the solution to the Stein equation (4.6) defined by (4.7), for \( h \in \mathcal{H}_2 \cap C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^d) \). Then, by an integration by parts twice, for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \),

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle \dot{b} + \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} y \left( \int_1^{+\infty} r dk_y(r) \right) \sigma(dy) - x; \nabla(f_h)(x) \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( f_h(x + u) - f_h(x) - \langle \nabla(f_h)(x); u \rangle \right) \tilde{\nu}(du) &= \\
\langle b + \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} y \left( \int_1^{+\infty} k_y(r) dr \right) \sigma(dy) - x; \nabla(f_h)(x) \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( f_h(x + u) - f_h(x) - \langle \nabla(f_h)(x); u \rangle \right) \tilde{\nu}(du) &= \\
\langle \mathbb{E}X - x; \nabla(f_h)(x) \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla(f_h)(x) + u - \nabla(f_h)(x); u \rangle \nu(du),
\end{align*}
\]

so that \( f_h \) is a solution to the following Stein equation

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle \mathbb{E}X - x; \nabla(f_h)(x) \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla(f_h)(x) + u - \nabla(f_h)(x); u \rangle \nu(du) &= h(x) - \mathbb{E}h(\mathbf{X}), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
\end{align*}
\]
5 Applications to Functional Inequalities for SD Random Vectors

This section discusses Poincaré inequalities for self-decomposable random vectors, providing in particular new proofs based on the semigroup of operators \((P^\nu_t)_t\geq 0\) defined in \([15]\). This proof is in line with the standard proof of the Gaussian Poincaré inequality based on the differentiation of the variance along the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup. In the literature, standard references regarding Poincaré inequalities for infinitely divisible random vectors are \([14, 28]\). In \([14]\), the proof is based on stochastic calculus for Lévy processes and the Lévy-Itô decomposition whereas in \([28]\), the proof is based on a covariance representation for infinitely divisible random vectors. Let us also mention that Poincaré inequalities for stable random vectors have been obtained in \([14, 50]\).

**Proposition 5.1.** Let \(X\) be a centered SD random vector with Lévy measure \(\nu\) such that
\[
\int_{\|u\| \geq 1} \|u\|\nu(du) < +\infty, \quad \nu(du) = \mathbb{1}_{(0, +\infty)}(r)\mathbb{1}_{S^d-1}(x)\frac{k_x(r)}{r}drd\sigma(dx),
\]
where \(\sigma\) is a finite positive measure on \(S^{d-1}\) and where \(k_x(r)\) is a nonnegative continuous function decreasing in \(r \in (0, +\infty)\), continuous in \(x \in S^{d-1}\) with
\[
limit_{r \to +\infty} k_x(r) = 0, \quad \lim_{r \to 0^+} r^2 k_x(r) = 0, \quad x \in S^{d-1}.
\]
Then, for all \(f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)\) with \(\mathbb{E}f(X) = 0\)
\[
\mathbb{E}f(X)^2 \leq \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(X + u) - f(X))^2 \nu(du).
\]

**Proof.** Let \(X\) be a SD random vector with characteristic function \(\varphi\) and Lévy measure \(\nu\) satisfying the hypotheses of the proposition. Let \((P^\nu_t)_t\geq 0\) be the semigroup of operators given by \((4.5)\). In particular, on \(C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)\), for all \(t \geq 0\) and all \(x \in \mathbb{R}^d\),
\[
P^\nu_t(f)(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)e^{i\langle x; \xi \rangle t} \frac{\varphi(\xi)}{\varphi(e^{-t}\xi)} d\xi.
\]
Now, for all \(f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)\) and all \(x \in \mathbb{R}^d\), let
\[
\Delta_\nu(f)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla(f)(x + u) - \nabla(f)(x); u \rangle \nu(du).
\]
This operator admits the Fourier representation, i.e., for all \(x \in \mathbb{R}^d\),
\[
\Delta_\nu(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)\sigma_\nu(\xi)e^{i\langle x; \xi \rangle} \frac{d\xi}{(2\pi)^d},
\]
with, for all \(\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d\)
\[
\sigma_\nu(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} i\langle u; \xi \rangle \left(e^{i\langle u; \xi \rangle} - 1\right) \nu(du).
\]
Next, let \(f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)\) be such that \(\mathbb{E}f(X) = 0\). Then, for all \(t \geq 0\),
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left(\mathbb{E}P^\nu_t(f)(X)^2\right) = 2\mathbb{E}P^\nu_t(f)(X)\frac{d}{dt} (P^\nu_t(f))(X)
\]
\[
= 2\mathbb{E}P^\nu_t(f)(X)\mathcal{A}(P^\nu_t(f))(X),
\]
\[31\]
where $\mathcal{A}$ is defined, for all $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, by

$$
\mathcal{A}(f)(x) = -\langle x; \nabla (f)(x) \rangle + \Delta_\nu (f)(x).
$$

Thus, for all $t \geq 0$

$$
\frac{d}{dt}(\mathbb{E} P_t^\nu (f)(X)^2) = -2\mathbb{E} P_t^\nu (f)(X) \langle X; \nabla (P_t^\nu (f))(X) \rangle + 2\mathbb{E} P_t^\nu (f)(X) \Delta_\nu (P_t^\nu (f))(X).
$$

Next, thanks to Theorem 2.1, observe that, for all $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and all $t \geq 0$,

$$
2\mathbb{E} P_t^\nu (f)(X) \langle X; \nabla (P_t^\nu (f))(X) \rangle = \mathbb{E} \langle X; \nabla (P_t^\nu (f)^2)(X) \rangle = \mathbb{E} \Delta_\nu (P_t^\nu (f)^2)(X),
$$

and so, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$
\frac{d}{dt}(\mathbb{E} P_t^\nu (f)(X)^2) = -\mathbb{E} \left( \Delta_\nu (P_t^\nu (f)^2)(X) - 2P_t^\nu (f)(X) \Delta_\nu (P_t^\nu (f))(X) \right).
$$

(5.1)

Next, using Fourier arguments as in the proof of [29] Proposition 4.1, for all $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$
\Delta_\nu (f^2)(x) - 2f(x) \Delta_\nu (f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) \mathcal{F}(f)(\zeta) e^{i(x;\xi;\zeta;\xi)} \sigma_\nu(\xi + \zeta) \frac{d\xi d\zeta}{(2\pi)^2d}
$$

$$
- 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) \mathcal{F}(f)(\zeta) e^{i(x;\xi;\zeta;\xi)} \sigma_\nu(\xi) \frac{d\xi d\zeta}{(2\pi)^2d}
$$

$$
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) \mathcal{F}(f)(\zeta) e^{i(x;\xi;\zeta;\xi)} \sigma_\nu(\zeta + \xi) \frac{d\xi d\zeta}{(2\pi)^2d}
$$

$$
- 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) \mathcal{F}(f)(\zeta) e^{i(x;\xi;\zeta;\xi)} \sigma_\nu(\zeta + \xi) \frac{d\xi d\zeta}{(2\pi)^2d}
$$

Moreover, thanks to an integration by parts in the radial coordinate, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$
\sigma_\nu(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( e^{i(u;\xi)} - 1 - i\langle u; \xi \rangle \right) \tilde{\nu}(du),
$$

where $\tilde{\nu}(du) = 1_{(0, +\infty)}(r) \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}}(x) (-dk_x(r)) \sigma(dx)$. Then, for all $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$
\sigma_\nu(\xi + \zeta) - \sigma_\nu(\xi) - \sigma_\nu(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( e^{i(u;\xi)} - 1 \right) \left( e^{i(u;\zeta)} - 1 \right) \tilde{\nu}(du),
$$

and thus, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$
\Delta_\nu (f^2)(x) - 2f(x) \Delta_\nu (f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) \mathcal{F}(f)(\zeta) e^{i(x;\xi;\zeta;\xi)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i(u;\xi)} - 1 \right)
$$

$$
\times \left( e^{i(u;\zeta)} - 1 \right) \tilde{\nu}(du) \frac{d\xi d\zeta}{(2\pi)^2d}
$$

$$
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(x + u) - f(x))^2 \tilde{\nu}(du).
$$

(5.2)
Finally, integrating with respect to \(t\) and \(f\) characteristic function

\[
\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (P_t^\nu(f)(X) - P_t^\nu(f)(X))^2 \tilde{\nu}(du) = -\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (P_t^\nu(f)(X + u) - P_t^\nu(f)(X))^2 \tilde{\nu}(du).
\]

But, from a change of variables, Jensen inequality and invariance,

\[
\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (P_t^\nu(f)(X + u) - P_t^\nu(f)(X))^2 \tilde{\nu}(du) = \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( f(Xe^{-t} + uc^{-t} + y) - f(Xe^{-t} + y) \right) \mu_t(dy) \right)^2 \tilde{\nu}(du)
\]

\[
= \mathbb{E} \int_{(0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( f(Xe^{-t} + rx + y) - f(Xe^{-t} + y) \right) \mu_t(dy) \right)^2 \times \left( -dk_x(e^r) \right) \sigma(dx)
\]

\[
\leq \mathbb{E} \int_{(0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} (f(X + rx) - f(X))^2 \left( -dk_x(e^r) \right) \sigma(dx).
\]

Thus,

\[
-\frac{d}{dt} (\mathbb{E} P_t^\nu(f)(X))^2 \leq \mathbb{E} \int_{(0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} (f(X + rx) - f(X))^2 \left( -dk_x(e^r) \right) \sigma(dx).
\]

With an integration by parts, observe that, for \(x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1},
\]

\[
\int_0^{+\infty} \mathbb{E} (f(X + e^{-t}rx) - f(X))^2 \left( -dk_x(r) \right) = \int_0^{+\infty} k_x(r) 2\mathbb{E} \langle \nabla(f)(X + e^{-t}rx); xe^{-t} \rangle
\]

\[
\times \left( f(X + e^{-t}rx) - f(X) \right) dr
\]

\[
= \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{k_x(r)}{r} 2\mathbb{E} \langle \nabla(f)(X + e^{-t}rx); xe^{-t} \rangle
\]

\[
\times \left( f(X + e^{-t}rx) - f(X) \right) dr
\]

\[
= \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{k_x(r)}{r} \left( -\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E} (f(X + e^{-t}rx) - f(X))^2 \right) dr.
\]

Finally, integrating with respect to \(t\) (between 0 and +\(\infty\)) leads to

\[
\mathbb{E} f(X)^2 \leq \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(X + u) - f(X))^2 \nu(du). \tag{5.3}
\]

\[\square\]

**Remark 5.1.** (i) Let \(X\) be a rotationally invariant \(\alpha\)-stable random vector, \(\alpha \in (1,2)\), with characteristic function \(\varphi\) given by

\[
\varphi(\xi) = \exp(-\|\xi\|^\alpha/2), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.
\]

Then, by Proposition 5.11 for all \(f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)\) with \(\mathbb{E} f(X) = 0\)

\[
\mathbb{E} f(X)^2 \leq c_{\alpha,d} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(X + u) - f(X))^2 \frac{du}{\|u\|^{\alpha+d}},
\]
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where $c_{\alpha,d} = \frac{-\alpha(\alpha - 1)\Gamma((\alpha + d)/2)}{4\cos(\alpha \pi/2)\Gamma((\alpha + 1)/2)^{(d-1)/2}\Gamma(2 - \alpha)}$.

(ii) Throughout the proof of Proposition 5.1, the following integration by parts formula has been obtained and used, for all $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) (-A(f)(x)) \mu_X(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Gamma(f,f)(x) \mu_X(dx),
$$

where $\mu_X$ is the law of $X$ and $\Gamma$ is a bilinear symmetric application defined, for all $f,g \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, by

$$
\Gamma(f,g)(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(x + u) - f(x)) (g(x + u) - g(x)) \tilde{\nu}(du),
$$

where $\tilde{\nu}(\xi,\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{i(u;\xi)} - 1)(e^{i(u;\zeta)} - 1) \tilde{\nu}(du)$, for $\xi,\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^d$. A straightforward computation in the Fourier domain shows that this bilinear symmetric application is the "carré du champs" operator associated with the generator $A$ of the semigroup $(P_t^f)_{t \geq 0}$ (see, e.g., [5] for a thorough exposition of these topics in the setting of Markov diffusion operators). Namely, for all $f,g \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$
\Gamma(f,g)(x) = \frac{1}{2} (A(fg)(x) - f(x)A(g)(x) - g(x)A(f)(x)).
$$

Standard objects of interest in the setting of Markov diffusion operators are iterated "carré du champs" of any orders $n \geq 1$ defined through the following recursive formula, for all $f,g \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$
\Gamma_n(f,g)(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left( A(\Gamma_{n-1}(f,g))(x) - \Gamma_{n-1}(A(f),g)(x) - \Gamma_{n-1}(A(g),f)(x) \right),
$$

with the convention that $\Gamma_0(f,g)(x) = f(x)g(x)$ and $\Gamma_1(f,g)(x) = \Gamma(f,g)(x)$, for $f,g \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The forthcoming simple lemma provides a representation of the $\Gamma_2$ as a pseudo-differential operator whose symbol is completely explicit.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let $\nu$ be a Lévy measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$
\int_{\|u\| \geq 1} \|u\|\nu(du) < +\infty, \quad \nu(du) = 1_{(0, +\infty)}(r) 1_{S^{d-1}}(x) k_x(r) \frac{dr}{r} d\sigma(dx),
$$

where $\sigma$ is a finite positive measure on $S^{d-1}$ and where $k_x(r)$ is a nonnegative continuous function decreasing in $r \in (0, +\infty)$, continuous in $x \in S^{d-1}$ with

$$
\lim_{r \to +\infty} k_x(r) = 0, \quad \lim_{r \to 0^+} r^2 k_x(r) = 0, \quad x \in S^{d-1}.
$$

Let $A$ be the operator defined, for all $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, by

$$
A(f)(x) = -\langle x; \nabla(f)(x) \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\nabla(f)(x + u) - \nabla(f)(x); u) \nu(du).
$$
Then, for all \( f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \)
\[
\Gamma_2(f, g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)\mathcal{F}(g)(\zeta)e^{i(x;\xi+\zeta)} \left( \frac{\sigma_{\nu}(\xi, \zeta)^2}{4} + \frac{\rho_{\nu}(\xi, \zeta)}{4} \right) \frac{d\xi d\zeta}{(2\pi)^{2d}},
\]
(5.5)
where \( \sigma_{\nu}(\xi, \zeta) \) and \( \rho_{\nu}(\xi, \zeta) \) are given, for all \( \xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^d \), by
\[
\sigma_{\nu}(\xi, \zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( e^{i(u;\xi)} - 1 \right) \left( e^{i(u;\zeta)} - 1 \right) \tilde{\nu}(du), \\
\rho_{\nu}(\xi, \zeta) = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} i(u;\xi)e^{i(u;\zeta)} \left( e^{i(u;\zeta)} - 1 \right) \tilde{\nu}(du) \right) + \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} i(u;\zeta)e^{i(u;\xi)} \left( e^{i(u;\xi)} - 1 \right) \tilde{\nu}(du) \right),
\]
with \( \tilde{\nu}(du) = 1_{(0, +\infty)}(r)\mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}}(x)(-dk_x(r))\sigma(dx) \).

**Proof.** First, by definition, for all \( f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \),
\[
\Gamma_2(f, g)(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathcal{A}(\Gamma_1(f, g))(x) - \Gamma_1(\mathcal{A}(f), g)(x) - \Gamma_1(\mathcal{A}(g), f)(x) \right).
\]
Let us compute \( \Gamma_1(\mathcal{A}(f), g)(x) \). Thanks to the Fourier representation, for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \),
\[
2\Gamma_1(\mathcal{A}(f), g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(g)(\zeta)\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}(f))(\xi)\sigma_{\nu}(\xi, \zeta)e^{i(x;\xi+\zeta)} \frac{d\xi d\zeta}{(2\pi)^{2d}}.
\]
Now, recall that, for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \),
\[
\mathcal{A}(f)(x) = -\langle x; \nabla(f)(x) \rangle + \Delta_{\nu}(f)(x),
\]
so that, for all \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \),
\[
\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}(f))(\xi) = \mathcal{F}( -\langle x; \nabla(f) \rangle)(\xi) + \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)\sigma_{\nu}(\xi).
\]
Thus, for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \),
\[
2\Gamma_1(\mathcal{A}(f), g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(g)(\zeta)\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)\sigma_{\nu}(\xi)\sigma_{\nu}(\xi, \zeta)e^{i(x;\xi+\zeta)} \frac{d\xi d\zeta}{(2\pi)^{2d}} \\
+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(g)(\zeta)\mathcal{F}( -\langle x; \nabla(f) \rangle)(\xi)\sigma_{\nu}(\xi, \zeta)e^{i(x;\xi+\zeta)} \frac{d\xi d\zeta}{(2\pi)^{2d}}.
\]
Similarly, for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \),
\[
2\Gamma_1(\mathcal{A}(g), f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(g)(\zeta)\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)\sigma_{\nu}(\xi)\sigma_{\nu}(\xi, \zeta)e^{i(x;\zeta+\xi)} \frac{d\xi d\zeta}{(2\pi)^{2d}} \\
+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(g)(\zeta)\mathcal{F}( -\langle x; \nabla(g) \rangle)(\xi)\sigma_{\nu}(\xi, \zeta)e^{i(x;\zeta+\xi)} \frac{d\xi d\zeta}{(2\pi)^{2d}}.
\]
Next, for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \)
\[
\mathcal{A}(\Gamma_1(f, g))(x) = -\langle x; \nabla(\Gamma_1(f, g))(x) \rangle + \Delta_{\nu}(\Gamma_1(f, g))(x).
\]
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At first, observe that,
\[
\Delta_\nu(\Gamma_1(f, g))(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(\Gamma_1(f, g))(\xi)e^{i(x;\xi)}\sigma_\nu(\xi)\frac{d\xi}{(2\pi)^d}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)\mathcal{F}(g)(\eta)e^{i(x;\xi+\eta)}\sigma_\nu(\xi, \eta)\frac{d\xi d\eta}{\nu(\xi + \eta)}.
\]

Next, by straightforward computations,
\[
-\langle x; \nabla (\Gamma_1(f, g))(x) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{\nu}(du) \left( -\langle x; \nabla(f)(x + u) \rangle + \langle x; \nabla(f)(x) \rangle \right) (g(x + u) - g(x))
\right.
\]
\[
+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{\nu}(du) \left( -\langle x; \nabla(g)(x + u) \rangle + \langle x; \nabla(g)(x) \rangle \right) (f(x + u) - f(x))
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)\mathcal{F}(g)(\eta)e^{i(x;\xi+\eta)}\sigma_\nu(\xi, \eta)\frac{d\xi d\eta}{\nu(\xi + \eta)}
\right.
\]
\[
+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(g)(\xi)\mathcal{F}(g)(\eta)e^{i(x;\xi+\eta)}\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} i\langle u; \xi \rangle e^{i(u;\xi)} \left( e^{i(u;\xi)} - 1 \right) \tilde{\nu}(du) \right) \frac{d\xi d\eta}{\nu(\xi + \eta)}
\]
\[
+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)\mathcal{F}(g)(\eta)e^{i(x;\xi+\eta)}\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} i\langle u; \eta \rangle e^{i(u;\eta)} \left( e^{i(u;\eta)} - 1 \right) \tilde{\nu}(du) \right) \frac{d\xi d\eta}{\nu(\xi + \eta)}
\] \]

Then, for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \),
\[
\mathcal{A}(\Gamma_1(f, g))(x) - \Gamma_1(\mathcal{A}(f, g))(x) - \Gamma_1(\mathcal{A}(g), f)(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)\mathcal{F}(g)(\eta)e^{i(x;\xi+\eta)}\sigma_\nu(\xi, \eta)\frac{d\xi d\eta}{\nu(\xi + \eta)}
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)\mathcal{F}(g)(\eta)e^{i(x;\xi+\eta)}\rho_\nu(\xi, \eta)\frac{d\xi d\eta}{\nu(\xi + \eta)}
\]

where, for all \( \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^d \)
\[
\rho_\nu(\xi, \eta) = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} i\langle u; \xi \rangle e^{i(u;\xi)} \left( e^{i(u;\xi)} - 1 \right) \tilde{\nu}(du) \right) + \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} i\langle u; \eta \rangle e^{i(u;\eta)} \left( e^{i(u;\eta)} - 1 \right) \tilde{\nu}(du) \right).
\]

This concludes the proof of the lemma. \( \square \)

**Remark 5.2.** Using elements of the integral calculus on the sphere (see, e.g., [24] Appendix D.3), it is possible to compute the symbol of the \( \Gamma_2 \) operator when \( \nu \) is the Lévy measure of a rotationally invariant \( \alpha \)-stable random vector with \( \alpha \in (1, 2) \). Indeed, Let \( \alpha \in (1, 2) \) and let
\[
\nu_\alpha(du) := \mathbb{1}_{(0, +\infty)}(r)1_{\mathbb{B}^{d-1}}(x)\frac{c_\alpha d}{r^{1+\alpha}}drd\lambda(dx)
\]
where $\lambda$ is the uniform measure on $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and where $c_{\alpha,d}$ is given by

$$c_{\alpha,d} = \frac{-\alpha(\alpha - 1)\Gamma((\alpha + d)/2)}{4\cos(\alpha\pi/2)\Gamma((\alpha + 1)/2)\pi^{(d-1)/2}\Gamma(2 - \alpha)}.$$ 

so, that $\tilde{\nu}_\alpha$ is given by

$$\tilde{\nu}_\alpha(du) = \mathbb{1}_{(0, +\infty)}(r)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}(x)\frac{c_{\alpha,d}}{r^{1+\alpha}}dr\lambda(dx).$$

Then, for all $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\sigma_{\tilde{\nu}_\alpha}(\xi, \zeta) = \frac{\alpha}{2}(||\xi||^\alpha + ||\zeta||^\alpha - ||\xi + \zeta||^\alpha), \quad \rho_{\tilde{\nu}_\alpha}(\xi, \zeta) = \frac{\alpha^2}{2}(||\xi||^\alpha + ||\zeta||^\alpha - ||\xi + \zeta||^\alpha),$$

implying that the symbol of the $\Gamma_2$ operator denoted by $\gamma_2$ is given, for all $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^d$, by

$$\gamma_2(\xi, \zeta) = \frac{\alpha^2}{16}(||\xi||^\alpha + ||\zeta||^\alpha - ||\xi + \zeta||^\alpha)^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{8}(||\xi||^\alpha + ||\zeta||^\alpha - ||\xi + \zeta||^\alpha).$$

As $\alpha \to 2^-$, $\gamma_2(\xi, \zeta) \to \langle i\xi; i\zeta \rangle + (\langle i\xi; i\zeta \rangle)^2$, for all $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and as such one retrieves the symbol of the iterated "carré du champs" operator of order 2 associated with the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU) semigroup. Moreover, the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck $\Gamma_2$ operator clearly dominates, as well known, the associated $\Gamma$ operator which is a typical instance of the Bakry-Emery criterion, implying hypercontractivity of the OU semigroup (see, e.g., [4, 5]).

The next proposition asserts that the Bakry-Emery criterion still holds for the rotationally invariant $\alpha$-stable distribution with $\alpha \in (1, 2)$.

**Proposition 5.2.** Let $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ and let $X_\alpha$ be a rotationally invariant $\alpha$-stable random vector of $\mathbb{R}^d$ with law $\mu_\alpha$ and with Lévy measure given by

$$\nu_\alpha(du) = \mathbb{1}_{(0, +\infty)}(r)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}(x)\frac{c_{\alpha,d}}{r^{1+\alpha}}dr\lambda(dx),$$

where $\lambda$ is the uniform measure on $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and where

$$c_{\alpha,d} = \frac{-\alpha(\alpha - 1)\Gamma((\alpha + d)/2)}{4\cos(\alpha\pi/2)\Gamma((\alpha + 1)/2)\pi^{(d-1)/2}\Gamma(2 - \alpha)}.$$ 

Then, for all $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\Gamma_2(f, f) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2}\Gamma(f, f),$$

where $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma_2$ are respectively the "carré du champs" operator and the iterated "carré du champs" operator of order 2 associated with $\nu_\alpha$.

**Proof.** Thanks to Remark 5.2, observe that, for all $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\rho_{\tilde{\nu}_\alpha}(\xi, \zeta) = \alpha\sigma_{\tilde{\nu}_\alpha}(\xi, \zeta),$$

where,

$$\sigma_{\tilde{\nu}_\alpha}(\xi, \zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(e^{i(u;\xi)} - 1\right)\left(e^{i(u;\zeta)} - 1\right)\tilde{\nu}_\alpha(du).$$
Then, by Lemma 5.1 and Fourier inversion, for all \( f \in C_c^\infty (\mathbb{R}^d) \), and all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \),
\[
\Gamma_2(f,f)(x) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(x+u+v) - f(x+u) - f(x+v) + f(x))^2 \tilde{\nu}_\alpha(du)\tilde{\nu}_\alpha(du) + \frac{\alpha}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(x+u) - f(x))^2 \tilde{\nu}_\alpha(du),
\]
with \( \tilde{\nu}_\alpha(du) = \mathbbm{1}_{(0,\infty)}(r)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}}(x) \frac{\alpha c_{\alpha,d}}{r^{1+\alpha}} dr\lambda(dx) \). Similarly, for all \( f \in C_c^\infty (\mathbb{R}^d) \) and all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \),
\[
\Gamma(f,f)(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(x+u) - f(x))^2 \tilde{\nu}_\alpha(du).
\]
Thus, for all \( f \in C_c^\infty (\mathbb{R}^d) \) and all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \),
\[
\Gamma_2(f,f)(x) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \Gamma(f,f)(x).
\]

\( \square \)

Let us study rigidity phenomenon for the rotationally invariant \( \alpha \)-stable distribution with \( \alpha \in (1,2) \) based on the Poincaré-type inequality of Proposition 5.1. First, observe that, since \( \alpha \in (1,2) \) and since \( X_\alpha \) considered in Proposition 5.2 is centered, the function \( g(x) = x, x \in \mathbb{R}^d \), is an eigenfunction of the semigroup of operators \( (P_t^\nu)_{t \geq 0} \) given in (4.5) with \( \nu = \nu_\alpha \) as in (2.19). Indeed, for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and all \( t \geq 0 \)
\[
P_t^\nu(g)(x) = e^{-t}x.
\]

Then, by its very definition, \( A(g)(x) = -g(x) \), for \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \), so that \( g \) is an eigenfunction of \( A \) with associated eigenvalue \(-1\). However, since \( \alpha \in (1,2) \), \( g \) does not belong to \( L^2(\mu_\alpha) \), with \( \mu_\alpha \) being the law of \( X_\alpha \). To circumvent this fact, let us build an optimizing sequence by a smooth truncation procedure. For all \( j \in \{1,\ldots,d\} \) and all \( R \geq 1 \), let \( g_{R,j} \) be defined, for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \), by
\[
g_{R,j}(x) = x_j \psi \left( \frac{x}{R} \right), \tag{5.6}
\]
with \( \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \), \( \psi(0) = 1 \) and \( 0 \leq \psi(x) \leq 1 \), for \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \). Take, for instance, \( \psi(x) = \exp(-\|x\|^2) \), for \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \). Now, let us state some straightforward facts about the functions \( g_{R,j} \): for all \( j \in \{1,\ldots,d\} \), \( \mathbb{E}g_{R,j}(X_\alpha) = 0 \) and, as \( R \to +\infty \),
\[
\mathbb{E}g_{R,j}^2(X_\alpha) \to +\infty, \quad \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g_{R,j}(X_\alpha + u) - g_{R,j}(X_\alpha)|^2 \tilde{\nu}_\alpha(du) \to +\infty.
\]

Next, by studying precisely the rate at which both the last two terms diverge, we intend to prove that, for all \( j \in \{1,\ldots,d\} \),
\[
\frac{\text{Var}(g_{R,j}(X_\alpha))}{\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g_{R,j}(X_\alpha + u) - g_{R,j}(X_\alpha)|^2 \tilde{\nu}_\alpha(du)} \to \frac{1}{\alpha} \quad \text{as } R \to +\infty.
\]

The first technical lemma investigate the rate at which \( \mathbb{E}g_{R,j}(X_\alpha)^2 \) diverges as \( R \) tends to \(+\infty\).
Lemma 5.2. Let $\psi(x) = \exp(-\|x\|^2)$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $\alpha \in (1,2)$ and $X_\alpha$ be a rotationally invariant $\alpha$-stable random vector of $\mathbb{R}^d$ with characteristic function $\varphi$ given, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, by

$$\varphi(\xi) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|\xi\|^\alpha}{2}\right).$$

Then, for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$, as $R$ tends to $+\infty$,

$$\frac{\mathbb{E} g_{R,j}^2(X_\alpha)}{R^{2-\alpha}} \rightarrow \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(\psi^2)(\xi)\left(\|\xi\|^{\alpha-2} + \xi_j^2(\alpha - 2)\|\xi\|^{\alpha-4}\right) \frac{d\xi}{(2\pi)^d}, \quad (5.7)$$

where $g_{R,j}(x) = x_j \psi(x/R)$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Proof. First, for all $R \geq 1$, set $\psi_R(x) = \psi(x/R)$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and, for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$,

$$\mathbb{E} g_{R,j}^2(X_\alpha) = \mathbb{E} X_{\alpha,j}^2 \psi_R^2(X_\alpha),$$

where $X_\alpha$ is a rotationally invariant $\alpha$-stable random vector with $\alpha \in (1,2)$ and $X_{\alpha,j}$ is its $j$-th coordinate. By Fubini’s theorem, standard Fourier analysis, two integrations by parts and a change of variables, it follows that

$$\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E} g_{R,j}^2(X_\alpha) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F} \left(x_j^2 \psi_R^2\right)(\xi) e^{-\frac{i\xi\cdot x}{2}} \, d\xi \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} -\partial_{\xi_j}^2 \left(\mathcal{F}(\psi_R^2)\right)(\xi) e^{-\frac{i\xi\cdot x}{2}} \, d\xi \\
&= -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(\psi_R^2)(\xi) \left( -\frac{\alpha}{2} \|\xi\|^{\alpha-2} - \frac{\alpha}{2} \xi_j^2(\alpha - 2)\|\xi\|^{\alpha-4} + \frac{\alpha^2}{4} \xi_j^2\|\xi\|^{2(\alpha-2)} \right) e^{-\frac{i\xi\cdot x}{2}} \, d\xi \\
&= -\frac{R^d}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(\psi^2)(R\xi) \left( -\frac{\alpha}{2} \|\xi\|^{\alpha-2} - \frac{\alpha}{2} \xi_j^2(\alpha - 2)\|\xi\|^{\alpha-4} + \frac{\alpha^2}{4} \xi_j^2\|\xi\|^{2(\alpha-2)} \right) e^{-\frac{i\xi\cdot x}{2}} \, d\xi \\
&= -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(\psi^2)(\xi) \left( -\frac{\alpha}{2} \|\xi_j\|^{\alpha-2} - \frac{\alpha}{2} \xi_j^2(\alpha - 2)\|\xi\|^{\alpha-4} + \frac{\alpha^2}{4} R^{2-\alpha} \xi_j^2\|\xi\|^{2(\alpha-2)} \right) e^{-\frac{i\xi\cdot x}{2}} \, d\xi \\
&= \frac{R^{2-\alpha}}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(\psi^2)(\xi) \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} \|\xi\|^{\alpha-2} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \xi_j^2(\alpha - 2)\|\xi\|^{\alpha-4} - \frac{\alpha^2}{4} R^{2-\alpha} \xi_j^2\|\xi\|^{2(\alpha-2)} \right) e^{-\frac{i\xi\cdot x}{2}} \, d\xi,
\end{align*}$$

where $\partial_{\xi_j}^2$ is the partial derivative of order 2 in the $\xi_j$ coordinate. Moreover, since $\alpha \in (1,2)$ and since $\psi^2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, all the following integrals converge

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(\psi^2)(\xi)\|\xi\|^{\alpha-2} \, d\xi < +\infty, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(\psi^2)(\xi)\|\xi\|^{\alpha-4} \, d\xi < +\infty, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(\psi^2)(\xi)\|\xi\|^{2(\alpha-2)} \, d\xi < +\infty.$$

Hence, as $R \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$\frac{\mathbb{E} g_{R,j}^2(X_\alpha)}{R^{2-\alpha}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(\psi^2)(\xi) \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} \|\xi\|^{\alpha-2} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \xi_j^2(\alpha - 2)\|\xi\|^{\alpha-4} \right) \, d\xi,$$

which concludes the proof of the lemma. \qed
This second technical lemma provides the rate of divergence, as $R$ tends to $+\infty$, of
\[
\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g_{R,j}(X_\alpha + u) - g_{R,j}(X_\alpha)|^2 \tilde{\nu}_\alpha(du) = 2\mathbb{E} \Gamma(g_{R,j}, g_{R,j})(X_\alpha),
\]
for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$.

**Lemma 5.3.** Let $\psi(x) = \exp(-\|x\|^2)$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ and $X_\alpha$ be a rotationally invariant $\alpha$-stable random vector of $\mathbb{R}^d$ with characteristic function $\varphi$ given, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, by
\[
\varphi(\xi) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|\xi\|^\alpha}{2}\right).
\]

Then, for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$, as $R$ tends to $+\infty$,
\[
\frac{\mathbb{E} \Gamma(g_{R,j}, g_{R,j})(X_\alpha)}{R^{2-\alpha}} \to \frac{\alpha^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(\psi)(\xi)\mathcal{F}(\psi)(\zeta) \left(\|\xi + \zeta\|^{\alpha-2} + (\xi_j + \zeta_j)^2(\alpha - 2\|\xi + \zeta\|^{\alpha-4})\right) d\xi d\zeta,
\]
where $g_{R,j}(x) = x_j \psi(x/R)$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and where $\Gamma$ is the "carré du champs" operator associated with $X_\alpha$.

**Proof.** First, by Remark 5.2, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, all $R \geq 1$ and all $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$,
\[
\Gamma(g_{R,j}, g_{R,j})(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(g_{R,j})(\xi)\mathcal{F}(g_{R,j})(\zeta) e^{i(x_j+\zeta_j)\alpha} \frac{\|\xi\|^\alpha + \|\zeta\|^\alpha - \|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha}{(2\pi)^{2d}} d\xi d\zeta.
\]

Then, for all $R \geq 1$ and all $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$,
\[
\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g_{R,j}(X_\alpha + u) - g_{R,j}(X_\alpha)|^2 \tilde{\nu}_\alpha(du) = \mathbb{E} \Gamma(g_{R,j}, g_{R,j})(X_\alpha)
\]
\[
= \frac{\alpha}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(g_{R,j})(\xi)\mathcal{F}(g_{R,j})(\zeta) e^{-\frac{\|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha}{2}} \times \left(\|\xi\|^\alpha + \|\zeta\|^\alpha - \|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha\right) \frac{d\xi d\zeta}{(2\pi)^{2d}}
\]
\[
= -\frac{\alpha}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_{\xi_j} \mathcal{F}(\psi_R)(\xi)\partial_{\zeta_j} \mathcal{F}(\psi_R)(\zeta) e^{-\frac{\|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha}{2}} \times \left(\|\xi\|^\alpha + \|\zeta\|^\alpha - \|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha\right) \frac{d\xi d\zeta}{(2\pi)^{2d}}
\]
\[
= -\frac{\alpha}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(\psi_R)(\xi)\mathcal{F}(\psi_R)(\zeta) \partial_{\xi_j,\zeta_j}^2 \left(e^{-\frac{\|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha}{2}} \times \left(\|\xi\|^\alpha + \|\zeta\|^\alpha - \|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha\right)\right) \frac{d\xi d\zeta}{(2\pi)^{2d}},
\]
where $\partial_{\xi_j,\zeta_j}^2$ is the second order partial derivative in the coordinates $\xi_j$ and $\zeta_j$. Now, for all $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ and all $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$,
\[
\frac{d}{d\xi_j} \left(e^{-\frac{\|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha}{2}} \left(\|\xi\|^\alpha + \|\zeta\|^\alpha - \|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha\right)\right) = e^{-\frac{\|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha}{2}} \left(-\frac{\alpha}{2} (\xi_j + \zeta_j) \|\xi + \zeta\|^{\alpha-2} \left(\|\xi\|^\alpha + \|\zeta\|^\alpha - \|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha\right)
\]
\[
+ \alpha (\xi_j \|\xi\|^{\alpha-2} - (\xi_j + \zeta_j) \|\xi + \zeta\|^{\alpha-2})\right) .
\]
Then, for all \( \xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} \) and all \( j \in \{1, \ldots, d\} \),
\[
\frac{d^2}{d\xi_j\zeta_j} \left( e^{-\frac{\|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha}{2}} \left( \|\xi\|^\alpha + \|\zeta\|^\alpha - \|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha \right) \right) = e^{-\frac{\|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha}{2}} \left( -\frac{\alpha}{2} (\xi_j + \zeta_j) \|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha - (\xi_j + \zeta_j) \|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha \right) \\
+ \alpha \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} (\xi_j + \zeta_j) \|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha - (\xi_j + \zeta_j) \|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha \right) \\
\times \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} (\xi_j + \zeta_j) \|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha + \|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha - (\xi_j + \zeta_j) \|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha \right) \\
\times \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} (\xi_j + \zeta_j) \|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha - (\xi_j + \zeta_j) \|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha \right) \\
\times \left( \alpha (\xi_j + \zeta_j)^2 (\alpha - 2) - \alpha \|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha \right) \\
\times \frac{1}{2} \left( \alpha (\xi_j + \zeta_j)^2 (\alpha - 2) - \alpha \|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha \right).
\]

For all \( \xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} \) and all \( j \in \{1, \ldots, d\} \), set
\[
m_\alpha(\xi, \zeta) := \left( -\frac{\alpha}{2} (\xi_j + \zeta_j) \|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha - (\xi_j + \zeta_j) \|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha \right) \\
\times \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} (\xi_j + \zeta_j) \|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha + \|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha - (\xi_j + \zeta_j) \|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha \right) \\
\times \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} (\xi_j + \zeta_j) \|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha - (\xi_j + \zeta_j) \|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha \right) \\
\times \left( \alpha (\xi_j + \zeta_j)^2 (\alpha - 2) - \alpha \|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha \right)
\]

Thus, by a change of variables, for all \( R \geq 1 \) and all \( j \in \{1, \ldots, d\} \)
\[
\mathbb{E} \Gamma(g_{R,j}, g_{R,j})(X_\alpha) = -\frac{\alpha}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(\psi_R)(\xi)\mathcal{F}(\psi_R)(\zeta)e^{-\frac{\|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha}{2}} m_\alpha(\xi, \zeta) \frac{d\xi d\zeta}{(2\pi)^{2d}}
\]
\[
= -\frac{\alpha R^{2d}}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(\psi)(R\xi)\mathcal{F}(\psi)(R\zeta)e^{-\frac{\|R\xi + R\zeta\|^\alpha}{2}} m_\alpha(\xi, \zeta) \frac{d\xi d\zeta}{(2\pi)^{2d}}
\]
\[
= -\frac{\alpha}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(\psi)(\xi)\mathcal{F}(\psi)(\zeta)e^{-\frac{\|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha}{2R^d}} m_\alpha \left( \frac{\xi}{R}, \frac{\zeta}{R} \right) \frac{d\xi d\zeta}{(2\pi)^{2d}}. \tag{5.10}
\]

Finally, normalizing the left-hand side of (5.10) by \( R^{2-\alpha} \) implies, as \( R \to +\infty \),
\[
\frac{\mathbb{E} \Gamma(g_{R,j}, g_{R,j})(X_\alpha)}{R^{2-\alpha}} \to \frac{\alpha^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(\psi)(\xi)\mathcal{F}(\psi)(\zeta) \left( \frac{\|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha}{2R^d} \right) \left( \|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha + (\xi_j + \zeta_j)^2 (\alpha - 2) \|\xi + \zeta\|^\alpha \right) \frac{d\xi d\zeta}{(2\pi)^{2d}},
\]
which concludes the proof of the lemma.

From the above lemma, and from a spectral point of view, the correct functional to observe rigidity phenomenon for the rotationally invariant \( \alpha \)-stable distribution, \( \alpha \in (1, 2) \), is the functional defined, for all \( \mu \in M_1(\mathbb{R}^d) \) (\( M_1(\mathbb{R}^d) \) is the set of probability measures on \( \mathbb{R}^d \)), by
\[
U_\alpha(\mu) := \sup_{f \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha} \frac{\text{Var}(f(X))}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(X + u) - f(X)|^2 \nu_\alpha(du)}, \tag{5.11}
\]
where $X \sim \mu$ and where $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ is the set of functions $f$ from $\mathbb{R}^d$ to $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\text{Var}(f(X)) < +\infty$ and $0 < \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(X + u) - f(X)|^2 \nu_\alpha(du) < +\infty$. Therefore, the next result is a direct consequence of the Poincaré-type inequality for the rotationally invariant $\alpha$-stable distribution, $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, and of the existence of an optimizing sequence as built above.

**Corollary 5.1.** Let $X_\alpha$ be a rotationally invariant $\alpha$-stable random vector, $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, with law $\mu_\alpha$ and with characteristic function $\varphi$ given by

$$\varphi(\xi) = \exp \left( -\frac{\|\xi\|^\alpha}{2} \right), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$  

Let $U_\alpha$ be the functional, on $M_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, defined in (5.11). Then,

$$U_\alpha(\mu_\alpha) = 1.$$  

**Proof.** First, by the Poincaré-type inequality of Proposition 5.1, $U_\alpha(\mu_\alpha) \leq 1$. Moreover, for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ and for $g_{R,j}$ as in (5.6) (which clearly belongs to $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$),

$$U_\alpha(\mu_\alpha) \geq \frac{\text{Var}(g_{R,j}(X_\alpha))}{\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g_{R,j}(X_\alpha + u) - g_{R,j}(X_\alpha)|^2 \nu_\alpha(du)} \xrightarrow{R \to +\infty} 1.$$  

This concludes the proof of the corollary. \qed

To end this section, let us state and prove a converse to the above corollary. In particular, note that, for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$,

$$\lim_{R \to +\infty} \left( \text{Var}(g_{R,j}(X_\alpha)) - \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g_{R,j}(X_\alpha + u) - g_{R,j}(X_\alpha)|^2 \nu_\alpha(du) \right) = 0.$$  

Indeed, this is a direct consequence of (5.8) and (5.10) since the divergent terms cancel out and the remaining terms converge to 0 as $R \to +\infty$.

**Corollary 5.2.** Let $\psi(x) = \exp(-\|x\|^2)$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and let $g_{R,j}$ be given by (5.6), for all $R \geq 1$ and all $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$. Let $X$ be a centered random vector of $\mathbb{R}^d$ with finite first moment, with law $\mu$ and such that, for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$,

$$\lim_{R \to +\infty} \left( \text{Var}(g_{R,j}(X)) - \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g_{R,j}(X + u) - g_{R,j}(X)|^2 \nu_\alpha(du) \right) = 0. \quad (5.12)$$  

If $U_\alpha(\mu) = 1$, then $\mu = \mu_\alpha$, where $\mu_\alpha$ is the law of a rotationally invariant $\alpha$-stable random vector with $\alpha \in (1, 2)$.

**Proof.** Let $R \geq 1$, let $f$ be a bounded Lipschitz function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and let $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $U_\alpha(\mu) = 1$, $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ and since $g_{R,j} + \varepsilon f \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$, for $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$,

$$\text{Var}(g_{R,j}(X)) + 2\varepsilon \text{Cov}(g_{R,j}(X), f(X)) + \varepsilon^2 \text{Var}(f(X)) \leq \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g_{R,j}(X + u) - g_{R,j}(X)|^2 \nu_\alpha(du)$$

$$+ 2\varepsilon \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (g_{R,j}(X + u) - g_{R,j}(X))(f(X + u) - f(X)) \nu_\alpha(du)$$

$$+ \varepsilon^2 \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(X + u) - f(X)|^2 \nu_\alpha(du).$$  
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Now, observe that, for all \( j \in \{1, \ldots, d\} \),
\[
\lim_{R \to +\infty} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (g_{R,j}(X + u) - g_{R,j}(X))(f(X + u) - f(X)) \nu_\alpha(du) = \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_j(f(X + u) - f(X)) \nu_\alpha(du),
\]
\[
\lim_{R \to +\infty} \text{Cov}(g_{R,j}(X), f(X)) = \text{Cov}(X_j, f(X)).
\]

Then, thanks to (5.12), one gets
\[
2\varepsilon \text{Cov}(X, f(X)) + \varepsilon^2 \text{Var}(f(X)) \leq 2\varepsilon \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_j(f(X + u) - f(X)) \nu_\alpha(du)
\]
\[
+ \varepsilon^2 \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(X + u) - f(X)|^2 \nu_\alpha(du).
\]

Since the above inequality is true for all \( \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R} \), the following covariance representation holds,
\[
\text{Cov}(X_j, f(X)) = \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_j(f(X + u) - f(X)) \nu_\alpha(du), \quad j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}.
\]

Theorem 2.1 concludes the proof of the corollary. \( \square \)

To end this section, let us investigate stability results for the rotationally invariant \( \alpha \)-stable distribution. A natural strategy in order to reach stability put forward in the articles [19, 2] is to use Stein kernels. This method relies on the Lax-Milgram theorem to ensure the existence of Stein kernels under appropriate assumptions. Namely, the Stein kernel is seen as the solution to a variational problem linked to the covariance identity characterizing the target probability measure. The sequel develops an approach based on Dirichlet forms to obtain the existence of Stein kernels.

Adopting the notations, the definitions and the terminology of [22, Chapter 1], let us start with an abstract result which then leads to existence of Stein kernels in known and new situations. Note that this result as well as its geometric generalizations and consequences will be further analyzed in the ongoing work [3].

**Theorem 5.1.** Let \( H \) be a real Hilbert space with inner product \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H \) and induced norm \( \| \cdot \|_H \). Let \( \mathcal{E} \) be a closed symmetric non-negative definite bilinear form in the sense of [22] with dense linear domain \( \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \). Let \( \{G_\alpha : \alpha > 0\} \) and \( \{P_t : t > 0\} \) be, respectively, the strongly continuous resolvent and the strongly continuous semigroup on \( H \) associated with \( \mathcal{E} \). Moreover, assume that, there exists a closed linear subspace \( H_0 \subset H \) such that, for all \( t > 0 \) and all \( u \in H_0 \),
\[
\|P_t(u)\|_H \leq e^{-\frac{t}{C_P}} \|u\|_H,
\]
(5.13)
for some \( C_P > 0 \) independent of \( u \) and of \( t \). Let \( G_{0+} \) be the operator defined by
\[
G_{0+}(u) := \int_0^{+\infty} P_t(u)dt, \quad u \in H_0,
\]
(5.14)
where the above integral is to be understood in the Bochner sense. Then, for all \( u \in H_0 \), \( G_{0+}(u) \) belongs to \( \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \) and, for all \( v \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \),
\[
\mathcal{E}(G_{0+}(u), v) = \langle u; v \rangle_H.
\]
(5.15)
Moreover, for all \( u \in H_0 \),
\[
\mathcal{E}(G_{0+}(u), G_{0+}(u)) \leq \|u\|^2_H C_P.
\]
(5.16)
Proof. First, thanks to [22, Theorem 1.3.1], there is a one to one correspondence between the family of closed symmetric forms on \( H \) and the family of non-positive definite self-adjoint operators on \( H \). Then, let \( \mathcal{A}, \{G_\alpha : \alpha > 0\} \) and \( \{P_t : t > 0\} \) be, respectively, the generator, the strongly continuous resolvent and the strongly continuous semigroup on \( H \) associated with \( \mathcal{E} \) such that, for all \( \alpha > 0 \) and all \( u \in H \),

\[
G_\alpha(u) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\alpha t} P_t(u) dt.
\]

(Again the above integral is to be understood in the Bochner sense.) Then, thanks to [22, Lemma 1.3.3], for all \( \alpha > 0 \), all \( u \in H \) and all \( v \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \),

\[
\mathcal{E}(G_\alpha(u), v) + \alpha \langle G_\alpha(u); v \rangle_H = \langle u; v \rangle_H.
\]  

(5.17)

Then, in order to establish (5.15) from (5.17), one needs to pass to the limit in (5.17) as \( \alpha \to 0^+ \). First, since (5.13) holds, \( G_{0^+} \) given by (5.14) is well defined on \( H_0 \). Moreover, for all \( \alpha > 0 \) and all \( u \in H_0 \),

\[
\|G_\alpha(u) - G_{0^+}(u)\|_H \leq \|u\|_H \int_0^{+\infty} (1 - e^{-\alpha t}) e^{-\alpha C} dt = \|u\|_H \frac{\alpha C^2}{1 + \alpha C}.
\]

Then, \( G_\alpha(u) \) converges strongly in \( H \) to \( G_{0^+}(u) \), as \( \alpha \) tends to \( 0^+ \). It therefore follows that, for all \( u \in H_0 \) and all \( v \in H \),

\[
\langle G_\alpha(u); v \rangle_H \xrightarrow[\alpha \to 0^+]{} \langle G_{0^+}(u); v \rangle_H.
\]

Next, let us prove that, for all \( u \in H_0 \),

\[
\mathcal{E}(G_\alpha(u) - G_\beta(u), G_\alpha(u) - G_\beta(u)) \xrightarrow[\alpha, \beta \to 0^+]{} 0.
\]  

(5.18)

First, note that, for all \( \alpha, \beta > 0 \),

\[
\mathcal{E}(G_\alpha(u) - G_\beta(u), G_\alpha(u) - G_\beta(u)) = \mathcal{E}(G_\alpha(u), G_\alpha(u)) + \mathcal{E}(G_\beta(u), G_\beta(u)) - 2\mathcal{E}(G_\alpha(u), G_\beta(u)).
\]

Then, from (5.17),

\[
\mathcal{E}(G_\alpha(u), G_\alpha(u)) = \langle u; G_\alpha(u) \rangle_H - \alpha \langle G_\alpha(u); G_\alpha(u) \rangle_H \xrightarrow[\alpha \to 0^+]{} \langle u; G_{0^+}(u) \rangle_H,
\]

and similarly for \( \mathcal{E}(G_\beta(u), G_\beta(u)) \), as \( \beta \) tends to \( 0^+ \). Now, for the crossed term,

\[
\mathcal{E}(G_\alpha(u), G_\beta(u)) = \langle u; G_\beta(u) \rangle_H - \alpha \langle G_\alpha(u); G_\beta(u) \rangle_H \xrightarrow[\alpha, \beta \to 0^+]{} \langle u; G_{0^+}(u) \rangle_H.
\]

The closedness of \( \mathcal{E} \) then ensures that \( G_{0^+}(u) \) belongs to \( \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \) and that

\[
\mathcal{E}(G_\alpha(u) - G_{0^+}(u), G_\alpha(u) - G_{0^+}(u)) \xrightarrow[\alpha \to 0^+]{} 0.
\]

This gives (5.15), while the inequality (5.16) follows from (5.15), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the triangle inequality and (5.13), concluding the proof of the theorem.
The next remark explores how the abstract Theorem 5.1 recovers various known results and provides new ones.

**Remark 5.3.** (i) First, let $\gamma$ be the centered Gaussian probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with the identity matrix as its covariance matrix. Let $H$ be the space of $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued square-integrable functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with respect to $\gamma$, let $H_0$ be the functions in $H$ with mean 0 with respect to $\gamma$ and let $\mathcal{E}$ be the symmetric non-negative definite bilinear form defined, for all $f,g \in C_\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$, by

$$\mathcal{E}(f,g) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla(f)(x) - \nabla(g)(x) \rangle_{HS} \gamma(dx),$$

where $\langle \cdot; \cdot \rangle_{HS}$ is the Hilbert-Schmidt product for real matrices of size $d \times d$. It is a standard fact of Gaussian analysis that the above form is closable and its closed extension gives rise to the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck generator and its semigroup. Moreover, note that the function, $h(x) = x$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, belongs to $H_0$ and that $\gamma$ satisfies the following Poincaré inequality: for all smooth $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \gamma(dx) = 0$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|f(x)\|^2 \gamma(dx) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\nabla(f)(x)\|^2_{HS} \gamma(dx).$$

Then, by Theorem 5.1 for all $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$

$$\mathcal{E}(G_{0^+}(h), f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle x; f(x) \rangle \gamma(dx),$$

(5.19)

where $G_{0^+}(h)$ is given, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, by

$$G_{0^+}(h)(x) := \int_0^{+\infty} P_t(h)(x)dt,$$

with $(P_t)_{t>0}$ being the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup. Noting that $P_t(h)(x) = e^{-t}h(x)$,

$$\mathcal{E}(G_{0^+}(h), f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla(h)(x), \nabla(f)(x) \rangle_{HS} \gamma(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \text{div}(f)(x)\gamma(dx)$$

where div is the standard divergence operator. Thus, (5.19) is the integration by parts formula associated with $\gamma$.

(ii) Let $\mu$ be a centered probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with finite second moment such that, for all smooth $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \mu(dx) = 0$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|f(x)\|^2 \mu(dx) \leq C_P \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\nabla(f)(x)\|^2_{HS} \mu(dx),$$

for some $C_P > 0$ independent of $f$. Moreover, assume that the bilinear symmetric non-negative definite form $\mathcal{E}$ defined, for all $f,g \in C_\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$, by

$$\mathcal{E}(f,g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla(f)(x), \nabla(g)(x) \rangle_{HS} \mu(dx),$$

is closable (sufficient conditions for the closability of the above form have been addressed in [22 Chapter 3.1] and in [10 Chapter 2.6]). Note that the function $h$ defined by, $h(x) = x$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. 

45
\( \mathbb{R}^d \), belongs to \( H \), the space of square integrable functions on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) with respect to \( \mu \), and that \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(x) \mu(dx) = 0 \). Then, by Theorem 5.1 for all \( f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \),

\[
\mathcal{E}(G_0^+(h), f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle x; f(x) \rangle \mu(dx),
\]

so that a Gaussian Stein kernel of \( \mu \) exists (in the sense of [19] Definition 2.1) and is given by

\[
\tau_\mu = \nabla \left( \int_0^{+\infty} P_t(h)dt \right).
\]

Moreover, with \( X \sim \mu \), (5.16) reads

\[
\mathbb{E}\|\tau_\mu(X)\|^2_{HS} \leq C_P \mathbb{E}\|X\|^2.
\]

Thus, one retrieves the results of [19].

(iii) Let \( \alpha \in (1, 2) \) and let \( \mu_\alpha \) be a rotationally invariant \( \alpha \)-stable probability measure on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) with Lévy measure defined by

\[
\nu_\alpha(du) = \frac{c_{\alpha,d}}{\|u\|^{d+\alpha}} du,
\]

where \( c_{\alpha,d} \) is given by (2.20). Let \( H \) be the space of square-integrable functions on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) with respect to \( \mu_\alpha \). Let \( \mathcal{E} \) be the symmetric non-negative definite bilinear form defined, for all \( f, g \in C_\infty^0(\mathbb{R}^d) \), by

\[
\mathcal{E}(f, g) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(x + u) - f(x))(g(x + u) - g(x)) \nu_\alpha(du) \mu_\alpha(dx).
\]

Since \( \nu_\alpha * \mu_\alpha \) is absolutely continuous with respect to \( \mu_\alpha \), it is standard to check that the above form is closable and its smallest closed extension gives rise (thanks to [22] Theorem 1.3.1) to a non-positive definite self-adjoint operator \( A \) on \( H \) with corresponding symmetric contractive semigroup \( (P_t)_{t \geq 0} \) on \( H \). Moreover, thanks to Theorem 5.1 for all smooth \( f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \) with \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \mu_\alpha(dx) = 0 \),

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^2 \mu_\alpha(dx) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x + u) - f(x)|^2 \nu_\alpha(du) \mu_\alpha(dx).
\]

Now, [22] Corollary 1.3.1] together with the above inequality yields

\[
\|P_t(f)\|_H \leq \exp(-t) \|f\|_H, \quad t > 0, \quad f \in H_0,
\]

where \( H_0 \) is the space of square-integrable functions on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) with respect to \( \mu_\alpha \) with mean 0. Then, thanks to Theorem 5.1 for all \( f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \) and all \( h \in H_0 \)

\[
\mathcal{E}(G_0^+(h), f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(x)f(x)\mu_\alpha(dx).
\]

Next, observe that the function \( h(x) = x \), for \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \), does not belong to \( L^2(\mu_\alpha) \).

The next technical lemma describes the link between the semigroup of operators obtained from the form \( \mathcal{E} \) given by (7.20) and the semigroup of operators \( (P_t^\nu)_{t \geq 0} \) given by (1.5) with \( \nu = \nu_\alpha \) as in (2.19) and with \( \alpha \in (1, 2) \). With the help of this lemma, it is then possible to obtain the spectral properties of this semigroup of symmetric operators based on those of \( (P_t^\nu)_{t \geq 0} \).
Lemma 5.4. Let $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, let $\nu_\alpha$ be the Lévy measure given by (2.19) and let $\mu_\alpha$ be the corresponding rotationally invariant $\alpha$-stable probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the smallest closed extension of the symmetric non-negative definite bilinear form given by (5.20). Let $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the strongly continuous semigroup of symmetric contractions on $L^2(\mu_\alpha)$ associated with $\mathcal{E}$. Let $(P_t^{\nu_\alpha})_{t \geq 0}$ be the semigroup of operators defined by (4.5) and let $((P_t^{\nu_\alpha})^*)_t \geq 0$ be its dual semigroup in $L^2(\mu_\alpha)$. Then, for all $f \in L^2(\mu_\alpha)$ and all $t > 0$,

$$P_t(f) = \left( P_t^{\nu_\alpha} \circ (P_t^{\nu_\alpha})^* \right)(f) = \left( (P_t^{\nu_\alpha})^* \circ P_t^{\nu_\alpha} \right)(f).$$

(5.21)

Moreover, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $t > 0$,

$$P_t(g)(x) = e^{-t}g(x),$$

where $g(x) = x$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

**Proof.** Since the form $\mathcal{E}$ is the smallest closed extension of the bilinear symmetric non-negative definite form, given by (5.20), on $L^2(\mu_\alpha)$, [22, Theorem 1.3.1] ensures the existence of a unique non-positive definite self-adjoint operator $A$, which moreover, from [22, Corollary 1.3.1] is characterized by $D(A) \subset D(\mathcal{E})$ and by

$$\mathcal{E}(f, g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)(-A)(g)(x)\mu_\alpha(dx), \quad g \in D(A), f \in D(\mathcal{E}),$$

where $D(A)$ is the domain of the operator $A$. Let us denote by $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ the corresponding strongly continuous semigroup on $L^2(\mu_\alpha)$ whose existence and uniqueness is ensured by [22, Lemma 1.3.2]. Now, recall that the semigroup of operators $(P_t^{\nu_\alpha})_{t \geq 0}$ extends to every $L^p(\mu_\alpha)$, $p \geq 1$, thanks to the representation (4.5) and to the bound

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |P_t^{\nu_\alpha}(f)(x)|^p \mu_\alpha(dx) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^p \mu_\alpha(dx), \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d), p \geq 1.$$

Moreover, it is a $C_0$-semigroup on $L^p(\mu_\alpha)$ and its $L^p(\mu_\alpha)$-generator $A_{\alpha,p}$ coincides with $A_\alpha$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which is now defined, for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, by

$$A_\alpha(f)(x) = -\langle x; \nabla(f)(x) \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\nabla(f)(x + u) - \nabla(f)(x); u)\nu_\alpha(du),$$

and for which the following integration by parts formula holds,

$$\mathcal{E}(f, f) = \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)(-A_\alpha)(f)(x)\mu_\alpha(dx), \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Then, by polarization, for all $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\mathcal{E}(f, g) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathcal{E}(f + g, f + g) - \mathcal{E}(f, f) - \mathcal{E}(g, g) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)(-A_\alpha)(g)(x)\mu_\alpha(dx) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x)(-A_\alpha)(f)(x)\mu_\alpha(dx) \right).$$
Moreover, since $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is dense in $L^2(\mu_\alpha)$, the adjoint of $A_{\alpha,2}$ is uniquely defined so that, for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and all $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \mathcal{D}(A_{\alpha,2}^*)$,

$$\mathcal{E}(f, g) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)(-A_\alpha) (g)(x) \mu_\alpha(dx) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) (-A_{\alpha,2}^*) (g)(x) \mu_\alpha(dx) \right),$$

where $\mathcal{D}(A_{\alpha,2}^*)$ is the domain of the operator $A_{\alpha,2}^*$. Then, $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \mathcal{D}(A_{\alpha,2}^*) \subset \mathcal{D}(A)$ and, for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \mathcal{D}(A_{\alpha,2}^*)$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$A(f)(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( A_\alpha(f)(x) + A_{\alpha,2}(f)(x) \right).$$

Thus,

$$A = \frac{1}{\alpha} (A_{\alpha,2} + A_{\alpha,2}^*),$$

which implies, for all $t > 0$,

$$P_t = \frac{P_{\alpha,2}}{\alpha} \circ (P_{\alpha,2}^*)^* = (P_{\alpha,2}^*)^* \circ P_{\alpha,2},$$

where $(P_{\alpha,2}^*)_{t \geq 0}$ is the extension to $L^2(\mu_\alpha)$ of the semigroup of operators given by $\frac{d}{dt} e^{tP}$, after the time change $t \rightarrow t/\alpha$, while $(P_{\alpha,2}^*)_{t \geq 0}$ is its dual semigroup in $L^2(\mu_\alpha)$ (see, e.g., [38, Chapter 1.10]). Next, by Fourier duality and since $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and all $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x_j P_{\alpha,2}^*(f)(x) \mu_\alpha(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x_j \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) \varphi_{\alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha} (\xi \eta) \exp(\frac{x_j \xi}{\alpha}) \frac{d\xi}{(2\pi)^d} \right) \mu_\alpha(dx)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) \varphi_{\alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha} (\xi \eta) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x_j e^{\frac{x_j \xi}{\alpha}} \mu_\alpha(dx) \right) \frac{d\xi}{(2\pi)^d}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) \varphi_{\alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha} (\xi \eta) \left( \exp \left( -e^{-t ||\xi||^2/\alpha} \right) \right) \frac{d\xi}{(2\pi)^d}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) \varphi_{\alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha} (\xi \eta) \left( -\frac{\alpha}{2} e^{-t ||\xi||^2/\alpha} \right) \exp \left( -e^{-t ||\xi||^2/\alpha} \right) \frac{d\xi}{(2\pi)^d}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) \frac{e^{-t \xi}}{i} \left( -\frac{\alpha}{2} e^{-t ||\xi||^2/\alpha} \right) \exp \left( -e^{-t ||\xi||^2/\alpha} \right) \frac{d\xi}{(2\pi)^d}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) \frac{e^{-t \xi}}{i} \left( -\frac{\alpha}{2} e^{-t ||\xi||^2/\alpha} \right) \exp \left( -e^{-t ||\xi||^2/\alpha} \right) \frac{d\xi}{(2\pi)^d}$$

$$= e^{-\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x_j f(x) \mu_\alpha(dx).$$

This implies that, for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ and for all $t \geq 0$,

$$(P_{\alpha,2}^*)^*(g_j)(x) = e^{-\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} t} g_j(x),$$

where $g_j(x) = x_j$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. This last observation concludes the proof of the lemma. \qed
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The following long remark summarizes some basic properties of the semigroups.

**Remark 5.4.** (i) First, the measure $\mu_\alpha$ is invariant for $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$, namely, for all $f \in L^2(\mu_\alpha)$ and all $t > 0$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P_t(f)(x)\mu_\alpha(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)\mu_\alpha(dx).$$

This is a direct consequence of the decomposition (5.21) and of the facts that $P_t^{\mu_\alpha}(1) = 1$ and that $\mu_\alpha$ is invariant for $(P_t^{\mu_\alpha})_{t \geq 0}$. Moreover, by a duality argument, $P_t(1) = 1$. Finally, $(P_t)_{t > 0}$ is positivity preserving since the normal contractions operate on the smallest closed extension of the form $E$ given by [24, Theorem 1.4.1].

(ii) As mentioned in the above proof, the semigroup $(P_t^{\mu_\alpha})_{t \geq 0}$ extends to a $C_0$-semigroup on $L^p(\mu_\alpha)$, for $p \geq 1$. From [23, Corollary 10.6], it follows that the dual semigroup $((P_t^{\mu_\alpha})^*)_{t \geq 0}$ is then a $C_0$-semigroup on $L^q(\mu_\alpha)$, for $p^* = p/(p-1)$ and $1 < p < +\infty$. By duality, since $P_t^{\mu_\alpha}$ is a contraction on $L^p(\mu_\alpha)$, $(P_t^{\mu_\alpha})^*$ is a contraction on $L^{p^*}(\mu_\alpha)$. Moreover, the different extensions of $(P_t^{\mu_\alpha})_{t \geq 0}$ (as well as those of $((P_t^{\mu_\alpha})^*)_{t \geq 0}$) are compatible in the sense that, for all $t \geq 0$ and all $p \geq q > 1$,

$$(P_t^{\mu_\alpha})_q \circ i_{p,q} = i_{p,q} \circ (P_t^{\mu_\alpha})_p,$$

where $i_{p,q}$ is the continuous embedding of $L^p(\mu_\alpha)$ in $L^q(\mu_\alpha)$ and where $((P_t^{\mu_\alpha})_q)_{t \geq 0}$ and $((P_t^{\mu_\alpha})_p)_{t \geq 0}$ are, respectively, the $L^q(\mu_\alpha)$ and the $L^p(\mu_\alpha)$ extensions of the semigroup $(P_t^{\mu_\alpha})_{t \geq 0}$. This allows to extend $P_t$ to $L^p(\mu_\alpha)$, for $p \in (1, +\infty)$, via

$$P_t = (P_t^{\mu_\alpha})_p \circ ((P_t^{\mu_\alpha})^*)_p \circ (P_t^{\mu_\alpha})_p,$$

and to consider $P_t(g_j)$ since $g_j \in L^p(\mu_\alpha)$, $p \in (1, \alpha)$, but $g_j \notin L^2(\mu_\alpha)$, for $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$. To ease the presentation, these extensions are all denoted by $(P_t^{\mu_\alpha})_{t \geq 0}$ (and similarly for $((P_t^{\mu_\alpha})^*)_{t \geq 0}$).

(iii) Recall that, by Remark 5.3 (iii), for all $t > 0$,

$$\sup_{f \in L^2(\mu_\alpha), \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_\alpha)} = 1} \|P_t(f)\|_{L^2(\mu_\alpha)} \leq e^{-t}.$$ 

Moreover, thanks to (ii) above, for all $p \in (1, +\infty)$ and all $t > 0$,

$$\|P_t\|_{L^p(\mu_\alpha) \to L^p(\mu_\alpha)} \leq 1.$$ 

Now, let $T$ be the operator from $L^p(\mu_\alpha)$ to $L^p(\mu_\alpha)$, $p \geq 1$, such that, for all $f \in L^p(\mu_\alpha)$,

$$T(f) = f - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)\mu_\alpha(dx).$$

Clearly, $\|T\|_{L^p(\mu_\alpha) \to L^p(\mu_\alpha)} \leq 2$, for $p \geq 1$, and $T(f) = f$ for $f \in L^p(\mu_\alpha)$ with mean 0. Let $\beta \in (1, \alpha)$. Then, by [24, Theorem 1.3.4], for any $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and all $t > 0$,

$$\sup_{f \in L^p(\mu_\alpha), \|f\|_{L^p(\mu_\alpha)} = 1} \|P_t(T(f))\|_{L^{p_\theta}(\mu_\alpha)} \leq 2e^{-(1-\theta)t},$$

(5.22)

where $p_\theta$ belongs to $(\beta, 2)$ with $1/p_\theta = (1-\theta)/2 + \theta/\beta$. Now, choosing $\theta \in (0, 1)$ in such a way that $p_\theta \in (\beta, \alpha)$, it then follows that $g_j \in L^{p_\theta}(\mu_\alpha)$, for $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$. Moreover, let $g_{R,j}$, for $R \geq 1$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$, be a smooth truncation of $g_j$, defined, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, by

$$g_{R,j}(x) = x_j\psi(x/R),$$
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where \( \psi(x) = \exp(-\|x\|^2), x \in \mathbb{R}^d \). Note that \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_{R,j}(x)\mu_\alpha(dx) = 0 \), for \( R \geq 1 \) and \( j \in \{1, \ldots, d\} \).

Then, the following crucial estimate holds, for all \( j \in \{1, \ldots, d\} \),

\[
\|G_{0+}(g_j) - G_{0+}(g_{R,j})\|_{L^{p_0}(\mu_\alpha)} \leq \int_0^{+\infty} \|P_t(g_j - g_{R,j})\|_{L^{p_0}(\mu_\alpha)} dt \leq \frac{2}{1 - \theta} \|g_j - g_{R,j}\|_{L^{p_0}(\mu_\alpha)} \xrightarrow{R \rightarrow +\infty} 0,
\]

where the limit follows from Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem.

(iv) As noticed above, for \( j \in \{1, \ldots, d\} \), the functions \( g_j(x) = x_j, x \in \mathbb{R}^d \), do not belong to \( L^2(\mu_\alpha) \) so that Theorem 5.1 does not directly apply with \( u = g_j \). To circumvent this fact, one can apply a smooth truncation procedure as in (iii). Thus, by Theorem 5.1, for all \( R \geq 1 \), all \( j \in \{1, \ldots, d\} \) and all \( f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \),

\[
\mathcal{E}(G_{0+}(g_{R,j}), f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_{R,j}(x)f(x)\mu_\alpha(dx), \tag{5.23}
\]

and, as \( R \rightarrow +\infty \),

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_{R,j}(x)f(x)\mu_\alpha(dx) \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x_jf(x)\mu_\alpha(dx),
\]

for all \( f \) bounded on \( \mathbb{R}^d \). Moreover, from Lemma 5.4, for all \( j \in \{1, \ldots, d\} \) and all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \),

\[
G_{0+}(g_j)(x) = x_j. \tag{5.24}
\]

Then, since \( \mu_\alpha * \nu_\alpha \ll \mu_\alpha \), as \( R \rightarrow +\infty \), for all \( f \) bounded and Lipschitz on \( \mathbb{R}^d \),

\[
\mathcal{E}(G_{0+}(g_{R,j}), f) \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_j(f(x + u) - f(x))\nu_\alpha(du)\mu_\alpha(dx).
\]

Putting together these last two facts into (5.23) gives, for all \( f \) bounded and Lipschitz on \( \mathbb{R}^d \),

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(f(x + u) - f(x))\nu_\alpha(du)\mu_\alpha(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} xf(x)\mu_\alpha(dx).
\]

Next, let us state a result ensuring the existence of a Stein kernel with respect to the rotationally invariant \( \alpha \)-stable distributions, \( \alpha \in (1, 2) \), for appropriate probability measures on \( \mathbb{R}^d \). Before, recall that a closed, symmetric, bilinear, non-negative definite form on \( L^2(\mu) \) is said to be Markovian if \( (E.4) \) holds. Thanks to \( [22 \text{ Theorem 1.4}] \), this is equivalent to the fact that the corresponding semigroup \( P_t \) is Markovian for all \( t > 0 \), namely, for all \( 0 \leq f \leq 1 \), \( \mu \)-a.e., then

\( 0 \leq P_t(f) \leq 1, \mu \)-a.e.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let \( \alpha \in (1, 2) \) and let \( \nu_\alpha \) be the Lévy measure given by (2.19). Let \( \beta \in (1, \alpha) \) and let \( \mu \) be a centered probability measure on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) with \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|x\|^\beta \mu(dx) < +\infty \) and with \( \mu * \nu_\alpha \ll \mu \). Let \( \mathcal{E}_\mu \) be the closable, Markovian, symmetric, bilinear, non-negative definite form defined, for all \( f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \), by

\[
\mathcal{E}_\mu(f, g) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(x + u) - f(x))(g(x + u) - g(x))\nu_\alpha(du)\mu(dx).
\]

Let \( (P_t)_{t \geq 0} \) be the strongly continuous Markovian semigroup on \( L^2(\mu) \) associated with the smallest closed extension of \( \mathcal{E}_\mu \) with dense linear domain \( \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_\mu) \). Moreover, let there exists \( U_\mu > 0 \) such that, for all \( f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_\mu) \) with \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)\mu(dx) = 0 \),

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^2 \mu(dx) \leq U_\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x + u) - f(x)|^2 \nu_\alpha(du)\mu(dx). \tag{5.24}
\]
Let \( p \in (1, \beta) \) and let \( \theta \in (0, 1) \) be such that \( p_\theta \), given by \( 1/p_\theta = (1 - \theta)/2 + \theta/p \), belongs to \((p, \beta)\). Then, there exists \( \tau_\mu \in \mathcal{D}(A_\mu) \) such that, for all \( f \in \mathcal{D}(A_\mu^*) \cap L^\infty(\mu) \),

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tau_\mu(x)(-A_\mu^*)(f)(x)\mu(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x f(x)\mu(dx),
\]

where \( A_\mu^* \) is the adjoint of \( A_\mu \), the generator of the \( L^{p_\theta}(\mu) \)-extension of the semigroup \((P_t)_{t>0}\), with respective domains \( \mathcal{D}(A_\mu^*) \) and \( \mathcal{D}(A_\mu) \).

**Proof.** Since the form \( \mathcal{E}_\mu \) is closable, let us consider its smallest closed extension with dense linear domain \( D(\mathcal{E}_\mu) \). Then, let \( A_{\mu,2}, (P_t)_{t>0} \) and \((G_\delta)_{\delta>0}\) be, respectively, the corresponding generator, strongly continuous semigroup and strongly continuous resolvent on \( L^2(\mu) \) such that, for all \( \delta > 0 \) and all \( f \in L^2(\mu) \),

\[
G_\delta(f) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\delta t} P_t(f)dt.
\]

Next, [22 Corollary 1.3.1] together with the inequality [5.24] yield

\[
\|P_t(f)\|_{L^2(\mu)} \leq \exp \left( -\frac{t}{U_\mu} \right) \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)}, \quad t > 0, \ f \in L^2(\mu), \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)\mu(dx) = 0.
\]

Then, by Theorem 5.1 for all \( f \in L^2(\mu) \) with \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)\mu(dx) = 0 \) and all \( h \in D(\mathcal{E}_\mu) \),

\[
\mathcal{E}_\mu(G_0^+(f), h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(x)f(x)\mu(dx).
\]

Now, for \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \), \( j \in \{1, \ldots, d\} \) and \( R \geq 1 \), set \( g_j(x) = x_j \) and \( g_{R,j}(x) = x_j \psi(x/R) \), with \( \psi(x) = \exp(-\|x\|^2) \). Observe that \( g_j \notin L^2(\mu) \) but that \( g_{R,j} \in L^2(\mu) \). Then, for all \( j \in \{1, \ldots, d\} \), all \( R \geq 1 \) and all \( h \in D(\mathcal{E}_\mu) \),

\[
\mathcal{E}_\mu(G_0^+(g_{R,j}), h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_{R,j}(x)h(x)\mu(dx), \quad (5.25)
\]

where \( \tilde{g}_{R,j}(x) = g_{R,j}(x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_{R,j}(x)\mu(dx) \), \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \). A straightforward application of Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem implies that, as \( R \to +\infty \),

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{g}_{R,j}(x)h(x)\mu(dx) \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x_jh(x)\mu(dx),
\]

for \( h \) bounded on \( \mathbb{R}^d \). Let us study the semigroup \((P_t)_{t>0}\) associated with the form \( \mathcal{E}_\mu \). First, since it is a strongly continuous semigroup on \( L^2(\mu) \), thanks to [38 Theorem 2.4], for all \( f \in L^2(\mu) \) and all \( t > 0 \), \( \int_0^t P_s(f)ds \) belongs to the domain of \( A_{\mu,2} \) and

\[
A_{\mu,2} \left( \int_0^t P_s(f)ds \right) = P_t(f) - f.
\]

Then, for all \( f \in L^2(\mu) \) and all \( g \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_\mu) \),

\[
\mathcal{E}_\mu \left( \int_0^t P_s(f)ds, g \right) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (P_t(f)(x) - f(x))g(x)\mu(dx).
\]
Now, choosing $g = 1$, which clearly belongs to $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$, implies
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P_t(f)(x)\mu(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)\mu(dx),
\]
namely, the probability measure $\mu$ is invariant for the semigroup $(P_t)_{t>0}$. Then, for all $g \in L^2(\mu)$, $\langle P_t(1); g \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} = \langle 1; P_t(g) \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} = \langle 1; g \rangle_{L^2(\mu)}$, so that $P_t(1) = 1$. Moreover, since, for $t > 0$, $P_t$ is also positivity preserving, it can be extended to a contraction on $L^p(\mu)$, for all $1 \leq p < +\infty$. Finally, $(P_t)_{t>0}$ extends to a $C_0$-semigroup on $L^p(\mu)$, $1 \leq p < +\infty$. Then, there exists $p \in (1, \beta)$ such that, for all $t > 0$, $\|P_t\|_{L^p(\mu) \to L^p(\mu)} \leq 1$. By an interpolation argument as in Remark 5.4 (iii), for any $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and all $t > 0$,
\[
\sup_{f \in L^{p_\theta}(\mu), \|f\|_{L^{p_\theta}(\mu)} = 1} \|P_t(T(f))\|_{L^{p_\theta}(\mu)} \leq 2e^{-(1-\theta)/\mu}, \quad (5.26)
\]
where $p_\theta \in (p, 2)$ is such that $1/p_\theta = (1 - \theta)/2 + \theta/p$. Now, choose $\theta \in (0, 1)$ such that $p_\theta \in (p, \beta)$. Then, for all $R \geq 1$ and all $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$,
\[
\|G_{0+}(g_j) - G_{0+}(\tilde{g}_{R,j})\|_{L^{p_\theta}(\mu)} \leq \int_0^{+\infty} \|P_t(g_j - \tilde{g}_{R,j})\|_{L^{p_\theta}(\mu)} dt \leq 2U_{\mu, \beta} - \theta \|g_j - \tilde{g}_{R,j}\|_{L^{p_\theta}(\mu)}.
\]
Thus, by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, $G_{0+}(\tilde{g}_{R,j})$ converges strongly in $L^{p_\theta}(\mu)$ to $G_{0+}(g_j)$, as $R \to +\infty$. For $1 < p < +\infty$, let us denote by $A_{\mu,p}$ the generator of the $C_0$-semigroup $(P_t)_{t>0}$ with domain $\mathcal{D}(A_{\mu,p}) \subset L^p(\mu)$. Recall that, by [28, Corollary 10.6], the dual semigroup $(P_t)_{t>0}$ is a $C_0$-semigroup on $L^p(\mu)$ with generator $A^*_{\mu,p}$, the adjoint of $A_{\mu,p}$. Moreover, observe that
\[
A^*_{\mu,2}|_{\mathcal{D}(A^*_{\mu,p})} = A^*_{\mu,p},
\]
since $p_\theta \in (p, \beta)$ with $1 < p < \beta < \alpha < 2$. Then, thanks to [22, Corollary 1.3.1], for all $h \in \mathcal{D}(A_{\mu,2}) \subset \mathcal{D}(E_\mu)$,
\[
\mathcal{E}_\mu(G_{0+}(\tilde{g}_{R,j}), h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G_{0+}(\tilde{g}_{R,j})(x)(-A_{\mu,2})(h)(x)\mu(dx)
\]
\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G_{0+}(\tilde{g}_{R,j})(x)(-A^*_{\mu,2})(h)(x)\mu(dx).
\]
Now, taking $h \in \mathcal{D}(A^*_{\mu,p}) \subset \mathcal{D}(A_{\mu,2}) \subset \mathcal{D}(E_\mu)$,
\[
\mathcal{E}_\mu(G_{0+}(\tilde{g}_{R,j}), h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G_{0+}(\tilde{g}_{R,j})(x)(-A^*_{\mu,p})(h)(x)\mu(dx).
\]
Let $T > 0$. Recall that $\int_0^T P_t(\tilde{g}_{R,j}) dt$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}(A_{\mu,p})$, for $R \geq 1$ and for $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$. Moreover, $\int_0^T P_t(\tilde{g}_{R,j}) dt$ converges strongly in $L^{p_\theta}(\mu)$ to $G_{0+}(\tilde{g}_{R,j})$, as $T \to +\infty$. Finally, for all $R \geq 1$ and all $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$,
\[
A_{\mu,p_\theta} \left( \int_0^T P_t(\tilde{g}_{R,j}) dt \right) = P_T(\tilde{g}_{R,j}) - \tilde{g}_{R,j} \xrightarrow{T \to +\infty} -\tilde{g}_{R,j},
\]
in $L^{p_\theta}(\mu)$. Since $A_{\mu,p_\theta}$ is closed, $G_{0+}(\tilde{g}_{R,j}) \in \mathcal{D}(A_{\mu,p_\theta})$ and $(-A_{\mu,p_\theta})(G_{0+}(\tilde{g}_{R,j})) = \tilde{g}_{R,j}$. Finally, a similar argument ensures that $G_{0+}(g_j)$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}(A_{\mu,p_\theta})$ and that $(-A_{\mu,p_\theta})(G_{0+}(g_j)) = g_j$. Setting $G_{0+}(g) = \tau_\mu$ with $g(x) = x$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, concludes the proof of the theorem. \hfill \Box
Let us end this section with a stability result regarding probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^d$ close to the rotationally invariant $\alpha$-stable random vector, $\alpha \in (1, 2)$.

**Theorem 5.3.** Let $\psi(x) = \exp(-\|x\|^2)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, let $\nu_\alpha$ be the Lévy measure given by (2.19) and let $\mu_\alpha$ be the associated rotationally invariant $\alpha$-stable distribution. Let $\beta \in (1, \alpha)$ and let $\mu$ be a centered probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|x\|^\beta \mu(dx) < +\infty$ and with $\mu * \nu_\alpha << \mu$. Let $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ be the closable, Markovian, symmetric, bilinear, non-negative definite form defined, for all $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, by

$$
\mathcal{E}_\mu(f, g) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(x + u) - f(x))(g(x + u) - g(x))\nu_\alpha(du)\mu(dx).
$$

Moreover, assume that,

- there exists $U_\mu > 0$ such that, for all $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)\mu(dx) = 0$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^2 \mu(dx) \leq U_\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x + u) - f(x)|^2 \nu_\alpha(du)\mu(dx),
$$

- and, for $\bar{g}_{R,j}(x) = x_j\psi(x/R) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x_j\psi(x/R)\mu(dx)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $R \geq 1$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$,

$$
\lim_{R \to +\infty} \left( \mathcal{E}_\mu(U_\mu \bar{g}_{R,j}, \bar{g}_{R,j}) - (\bar{g}_{R,j}, \bar{g}_{R,j})_{L^2(\mu)} \right) = 0. \quad (5.27)
$$

Then,

$$
d_{W_1}(\mu, \mu_\alpha) \leq C_{\alpha,d} \left( \int_{\|u\| \leq 1} \|u\|^2 \nu_\alpha(du) + \int_{\|u\| \geq 1} \|u\| \nu_\alpha(du) \right) |U_\mu - 1|,
$$

for some $C_{\alpha,d} > 0$ only depending on $\alpha$ and on $d$.

**Proof.** The proof relies partly on the Stein’s method results contained in [2]. Thanks to [2] Proposition 3.4, for all $h \in \mathcal{H}_1 \cap C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$, let $f_h$, be defined, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, by

$$
f_h(x) = -\int_0^{+\infty} \left( P_t^{\nu_\alpha}(h)(x) - \mathbb{E} h(X_\alpha) \right) dt,
$$

with $(P_t^{\nu_\alpha})_{t \geq 0}$ given in (4.3) with $\nu = \nu_\alpha$ and $X_\alpha \sim \mu_\alpha$. Let $X \sim \mu$. Then, for all $h \in \mathcal{H}_1 \cap C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$
|\mathbb{E} h(X) - \mathbb{E} h(X_\alpha)| = \left| \mathbb{E} \left( -\langle X; \nabla(f_h)(X) \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla(f_h)(X + u) - \nabla(f_h)(X); u \rangle \nu_\alpha(du) \right) \right|
\leq \sum_{j=1}^d \left| -\langle g_j, \partial_j(f_h) \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} + \mathcal{E}_\mu(g_j, \partial_j(f_h)) \right|,
$$

where $g_j(x) = x_j$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$. Let $g_{R,j}$ be the smooth truncation of $g_j$ as defined by (3.6) with $\psi(x) = \exp(-\|x\|^2)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Moreover, $\|g_j - g_{R,j}\|_{L^p(\mu)} \to 0$, as $R$ tends to $+\infty$, for
all $p \leq \beta$. Since, $M_1(f_h) \leq 1$,

$$
|\mathbb{E}h(X) - \mathbb{E}h(X_\alpha)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{d} \|g_j - g_{R,j}\|_{L^1(\mu)} + \sum_{j=1}^{d} \left| -\langle g_{R,j}, \partial_j(f_h) \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} + \mathcal{E}_\mu(g_j, \partial_j(f_h)) \right|
$$

$$
\leq \sum_{j=1}^{d} \|g_j - g_{R,j}\|_{L^1(\mu)} + \sum_{j=1}^{d} \left| -\langle g_{R,j}, \partial_j(f_h) \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} + \mathcal{E}_\mu(g_{R,j}, \partial_j(f_h)) \right|
$$

$$
+ \sum_{j=1}^{d} \mathcal{E}_\mu(g_j - g_{R,j}, \partial_j(f_h)).
$$

Now, for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ and all $R \geq 1$,

$$
|\mathcal{E}_\mu(g_j - g_{R,j}, \partial_j(f_h))| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g_j(x + u) - g_{R,j}(x + u) - g_j(x) + g_{R,j}(x)|
$$

$$
\times |\partial_j(f_h)(x + u) - \partial_j(f_h)(x)| \nu_\alpha(du)\mu(dx).
$$

Cutting the integral on $u$ into a small jumps part and a big jumps part and using $M_1(f_h) \leq 1$ and $M_2(f_h) \leq C_{\alpha,d}$, for some $C_{\alpha,d} > 0$ depending only on $\alpha$ and on $d$, imply

$$
|\mathcal{E}_\mu(g_j - g_{R,j}, \partial_j(f_h))| \leq C_{\alpha,d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\{||u|| \leq 1\}} ||u|| \left| (x_j + u_j)(1 - \psi((x + u)/R)) - x_j(1 - \psi(x/R)) \right| \nu_\alpha(du)\mu(dx)
$$

$$
+ 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\{||u|| \geq 1\}} \left| (x_j + u_j)(1 - \psi((x + u)/R)) - x_j(1 - \psi(x/R)) \right| \nu_\alpha(du)\mu(dx).
$$

Since $\|g_j - g_{R,j}\|_{L^p(\mu)} \to 0$, as $R$ tends to $+\infty$, and since $\mu \ast \nu_\alpha \ll \mu$, along a subsequence,

$$
g_j(x + u) - g_{R,j}(x + u) - g_j(x) + g_{R,j}(x) \to 0, \quad R \to +\infty, \quad \mu \otimes \nu_\alpha \text{ a.e.}
$$

Now, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, all $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$, all $R \geq 1$ and all $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$,

$$
\left| (x_j + u_j)(1 - \psi((x + u)/R)) - x_j(1 - \psi(x/R)) \right| \leq C_{j,d}||u||,
$$

for some constant $C_{j,d} > 0$ depending only on $j$ and on $d$. Thus, Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem implies that, for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$, along a subsequence

$$
\sup_{h \in H \cap C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} |\mathcal{E}_\mu(g_j - g_{R,j}, \partial_j(f_h))| \to 0, \quad R \to +\infty.
$$

Finally, for all $R \geq 1$ and all $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$,

$$
-\langle \tilde{g}_{R,j}, \partial_j(f_h) \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} + \mathcal{E}_\mu(\tilde{g}_{R,j}, \partial_j(f_h))
$$

$$
\leq |\mathcal{E}_\mu(\tilde{g}_{R,j} - U_\mu \tilde{g}_{R,j}, \partial_j(f_h))| + |\mathcal{E}_\mu(U_\mu \tilde{g}_{R,j} - G_{0^+}(\tilde{g}_{R,j}), \partial_j(f_h))|.
$$

The first term on the right hand-side of (5.28) is bounded, for all $R \geq 1$ and all $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$, by

$$
|\mathcal{E}_\mu(\tilde{g}_{R,j} - U_\mu \tilde{g}_{R,j}, \partial_j(f_h))| \leq |U_\mu - 1| \|\mathcal{E}_\mu(g_{R,j}, \partial_j(f_h))\|
$$

$$
\leq C_{\alpha,j,d} \left( \int_{\{||u|| \leq 1\}} ||u||^2 \nu_\alpha(du) + \int_{\{||u|| \geq 1\}} ||u|| \nu_\alpha(du) \right) |U_\mu - 1|.
$$
To conclude the proof, let us deal with the second term on the right-hand side of (5.28). Then, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ and all $R \geq 1$,

$$
|\mathcal{E}_\mu(U_\mu \tilde{g}_{R,j} - G_{0+}(\tilde{g}_{R,j}), \partial_j (f_h))| \leq \mathcal{E}_\mu(\partial_j (f_h), \partial_j (f_h))^{1/2} \mathcal{E}_\mu(U_\mu \tilde{g}_{R,j} - G_{0+}(\tilde{g}_{R,j}), U_\mu \tilde{g}_{R,j} - G_{0+}(\tilde{g}_{R,j}))^{1/2}.
$$

Now, for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\},$

$$
\mathcal{E}_\mu(U_\mu \tilde{g}_{R,j} - G_{0+}(\tilde{g}_{R,j}), U_\mu \tilde{g}_{R,j} - G_{0+}(\tilde{g}_{R,j})) = U_\mu^2 \mathcal{E}_\mu(\tilde{g}_{R,j}, \tilde{g}_{R,j}) + \mathcal{E}_\mu(G_{0+}(\tilde{g}_{R,j}), G_{0+}(\tilde{g}_{R,j}))
$$

$$
- 2U_\mu \mathcal{E}_\mu(\tilde{g}_{R,j}, G_{0+}(\tilde{g}_{R,j}))

= U_\mu (U_\mu \mathcal{E}_\mu(\tilde{g}_{R,j}, \tilde{g}_{R,j}) - (\tilde{g}_{R,j}; \tilde{g}_{R,j})_{L^2(\mu)})

+ \mathcal{E}_\mu(G_{0+}(\tilde{g}_{R,j}), G_{0+}(\tilde{g}_{R,j})) - U_\mu (\tilde{g}_{R,j}; \tilde{g}_{R,j})_{L^2(\mu)}

\leq U_\mu (U_\mu \mathcal{E}_\mu(\tilde{g}_{R,j}, \tilde{g}_{R,j}) - (\tilde{g}_{R,j}; \tilde{g}_{R,j})_{L^2(\mu)}).
$$

Then, (5.27) concludes the proof of the theorem. \hfill \Box

A Appendix

Lemma A.1. Let $\nu$ be a Lévy measure with polar decomposition given by (1.1) where the function $k_x(r)$ is continuous in $r \in (0, +\infty)$, is continuous in $x \in S^{d-1}$ and satisfies (1.2). Then, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the function $t \to \psi_t(\xi)$ where,

$$
\psi_t(\xi) = \exp \left( \int_{(0, +\infty) \times S^{d-1}} \left( e^{ir(x;\xi)} - 1 - i\langle rx;\xi \rangle \mathbb{1}_{r \leq 1} \right) \frac{k_x(r)}{r} dr \sigma(dx) 
$$

$$
- \int_{(0, +\infty) \times S^{d-1}} \left( e^{ir(x;\xi)} - 1 - i\langle rx;\xi \rangle \mathbb{1}_{r \leq e^{-t}} \right) \frac{k_x(e^t r)}{r} dr \sigma(dx),
$$

is continuously differentiable on $[0, +\infty)$ and for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $t \geq 0$,

$$
\frac{d}{dt} (\psi_t(\xi)) = \left( -ie^{-t} \int_{S^{d-1}} k_x(1) x \sigma(dx); \xi \right) + \frac{1}{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( e^{i(u;\xi e^{-t})} - 1 - i\langle u;\xi e^{-t} \rangle \mathbb{1}_{\|u\| \leq 1} \right) \tilde{\nu}(du) \psi_t(\xi),
$$

where $\tilde{\nu}$ is given by (1.4).

Proof. First, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $t \geq 0$,

$$
\psi_t(\xi) = \exp \left( \int_{(0, +\infty) \times S^{d-1}} \left( e^{ir(x;\xi)} - 1 - i\langle rx;\xi \rangle \mathbb{1}_{r \leq 1} \right) \frac{k_x(r) - k_x(e^t r)}{r} dr \sigma(dx) 
$$

$$
- \int_{(0, +\infty) \times S^{d-1}} i\langle rx;\xi \rangle \mathbb{1}_{e^{-t} < r \leq 1} \frac{k_x(e^t r)}{r} dr \sigma(dx) 
$$

Now, observe that, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $t \geq 0$,

$$
I = \int_{(0, +\infty) \times S^{d-1}} i\langle rx;\xi \rangle \mathbb{1}_{e^{-t} < r \leq 1} \frac{k_x(e^t r)}{r} dr \sigma(dx) 
$$

$$
= i\langle \xi; \int_{S^{d-1}} x \left( \int_{e^{-t}}^1 k_x(e^t r) dr \right) \sigma(dx) \rangle 
$$

$$
= i\langle \xi; \int_{S^{d-1}} xe^{-t} \left( \int_1^{e^t} k_x(r) dr \right) \sigma(dx) \rangle.
$$
Then, by Leibniz’s integral rule, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $t \geq 0$

$$\frac{d}{dt} (I) = -i \langle \xi, \int_{S^{d-1}} xe^{-t} \left( \int_1^{e^t} k_x(r)dr \right) \sigma(dx) \rangle + i \langle \xi, \int_{S^{d-1}} xk_x(e^t)\sigma(dx) \rangle .$$

Moreover, by Fubini’s theorem, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $t \geq 0$

$$II = \int_{(0, \infty) \times S^{d-1}} \left( e^{i r x \xi} - 1 - i \langle r x; \xi \rangle 1_{r \leq 1} \right) \frac{k_x(r) - k_x(e^t r)}{r} dr \sigma(dx)$$
$$= \int_{S^{d-1}} \sigma(dx) \int_0^{+\infty} \left( e^{i r x \xi} - 1 - i \langle r x; \xi \rangle 1_{r \leq 1} \right) \left( \int_r^{re^t} (-dk_x(s)) \right) \frac{dr}{r}$$
$$= \int_{S^{d-1}} \sigma(dx) \int_0^{+\infty} (-dk_x(s)) \int_{se^{-t}}^{s} \left( e^{i r x \xi} - 1 - i \langle r x; \xi \rangle 1_{r \leq 1} \right) \frac{dr}{r} .$$

Then, by Leibniz’s integral rule, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $t \geq 0$

$$\frac{d}{dt} (II) = \int_{S^{d-1}} \sigma(dx) \int_0^{+\infty} (-dk_x(s)) \left( e^{i se^{-t} x \xi} - 1 - i \langle se^{-t} x; \xi \rangle 1_{s \leq e^t} \right)$$
$$= \int_{S^{d-1}} \sigma(dx) \int_0^{+\infty} (-dk_x(s)) \left( e^{i se^{-t} x \xi} - 1 - i \langle se^{-t} x; \xi \rangle 1_{s \leq 1} \right)$$
$$- i \int_{S^{d-1}} \sigma(dx) \int_0^{+\infty} (-dk_x(s)) \langle se^{-t} x; \xi \rangle 1_{1 < s \leq e^t} .$$

Now, by integration by parts, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $t \geq 0$

$$e^{-t} \int_{S^{d-1}} \langle x; \xi \rangle \int_1^{e^t} (-dk_x(s))s \sigma(dx) = e^{-t} \int_{S^{d-1}} \langle x; \xi \rangle \left( \int_1^{e^t} k_x(s)ds \right) \sigma(dx)$$
$$- e^{-t} \int_{S^{d-1}} \langle x; \xi \rangle (e^t k_x(e^t) - k_x(1)) \sigma(dx) .$$

Thus, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $t \geq 0$

$$\frac{d}{dt} (II - I) = \int_{S^{d-1}} \sigma(dx) \int_0^{+\infty} (-dk_x(s)) \left( e^{i se^{-t} x \xi} - 1 - i \langle se^{-t} x; \xi \rangle 1_{s \leq 1} \right)$$
$$- ie^{-t} \int_{S^{d-1}} \langle x; \xi \rangle \left( \int_1^{e^t} k_x(s)ds \right) \sigma(dx) + ie^{-t} \int_{S^{d-1}} \langle x; \xi \rangle (e^t k_x(e^t) - k_x(1)) \sigma(dx)$$
$$+ i \langle \xi; \int_{S^{d-1}} xe^{-t} \left( \int_1^{e^t} k_x(r)dr \right) \sigma(dx) \rangle - i \langle \xi; \int_{S^{d-1}} xk_x(e^t)\sigma(dx) \rangle$$
$$= \int_{S^{d-1}} \sigma(dx) \int_0^{+\infty} (-dk_x(s)) \left( e^{i se^{-t} x \xi} - 1 - i \langle se^{-t} x; \xi \rangle 1_{s \leq 1} \right)$$
$$- ie^{-t} \langle \xi; \int_{S^{d-1}} xk_x(1)\sigma(dx) \rangle .$$

Finally, straightforward computations conclude the proof of the lemma. □
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Lemma A.2. Let $X$ be a non-degenerate SD random vector of $\mathbb{R}^d$, without Gaussian component, with characteristic function $\varphi$, Lévy measure $\nu$ having polar decomposition given by \[ (4.3) \] where the function $k_x(r)$ is continuous in $r \in (0, +\infty)$, continuous in $x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and satisfies \[ (4.4) \]. Then, (i) for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$
\lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{1}{t} \left( e^{i(x;\xi(e^{-t-1}))} \frac{\varphi(\xi)}{\varphi(e^{-t}\xi)} - 1 \right) = i(b - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} k_y(1) y\sigma(dy) - x; \xi) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(e^{i(u;\xi)} - 1 - i(u; \xi) 1_{\|u\| \leq 1}\right) \tilde{\nu}(du),
$$

where $\tilde{\nu}$ is given by \[ (4.3) \].

(ii) For all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$
\sup_{t \in (0, 1)} \frac{1}{t} \left| e^{i(x;\xi(e^{-t-1}))} \frac{\varphi(\xi)}{\varphi(e^{-t}\xi)} - 1 \right| \leq (\|x\| + \|b\|) \|\xi\| + \|\xi\|^2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \sigma(dx) \int_0^1 s^2(-dk_x(s)) + 4 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} k_x(1)\sigma(dx)

+ 2\|\xi\|^2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} k_x(1)\sigma(dx) + 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \sigma(dx) \int_1^{+\infty} (-dk_x(s))

+ \|\xi\| \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} k_x(1)\sigma(dx).
$$

\textbf{Proof.} Let us start with (i). First, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $t \in (0, 1)$,

$$
\frac{\varphi(\xi)}{\varphi(e^{-t}\xi)} = \exp \left(i(b; \xi)(1 - e^{-t}) + \int_{[0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \left(e^{ir(y;\xi)} - 1 - i(r; \xi) 1_{r \leq 1} \right) \frac{k_y(r) - k_y(e^r)}{r} dr\sigma(dy) \right)

- \int_{[0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} i(r; \xi) 1_{e^{-t} < r \leq 1} \frac{k_y(e^r)}{r} dr\sigma(dy)
$$

Next, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$
\lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{1}{t} \left( e^{i(x;\xi(e^{-t-1}))} - 1 \right) = i(b; \xi) - i(x; \xi). \quad (A.1)
$$

Moreover, by Lemma A.1 for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$
\lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{1}{t} (\psi_t(\xi) - 1) = \frac{d}{dt} (\psi_t(\xi))(0^+)

= \left(-i \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} k_y(1) y\sigma(dy) \right) \xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(e^{i(u;\xi)} - 1 - i(u; \xi) 1_{\|u\| \leq 1}\right) \tilde{\nu}(du). \right).
$$

Then, (i) follows. Let us prove (ii). For all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $t \in (0, 1)$

$$
\frac{1}{t} \left| e^{i(x;\xi(e^{-t-1}))} \frac{\varphi(\xi)}{\varphi(e^{-t}\xi)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{1}{t} \left| e^{i(x-b;\xi(e^{-t-1}))} - 1 \right| + \frac{1}{t} \left| \psi_t(\xi) - 1 \right| \leq (\|x\| + \|b\|) \|\xi\| + \frac{1}{t} \left| \psi_t(\xi) - 1 \right|.
$$

Now, observe that $\psi_t$ is the characteristic function of an infinitely divisible distribution since $X$ is SD. Let us denote by $\eta_t(\xi)$ its Lévy-Khintchine exponent. Thus, thanks to \[ [47] \text{Lemma 7.9}, \] for all $t \in (0, 1)$ and all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$
|\psi_t(\xi) - 1| = |e^{\eta_t(\xi)} - 1| = \left| \int_0^1 \frac{d}{ds}(\exp(s\eta_t(\xi))) \right| \leq |\eta_t(\xi)|.
$$
Moreover, thanks to Lemma A.1 for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $t > 0$

$$
\eta_t(\xi) = \int_{S^{d-1}} \sigma(dx) \int_0^{+\infty} (-dk_x(s)) \int_{se^{-t}}^{s} (e^{ir(x;\xi)} - 1 - i\langle rx; \xi \rangle \mathbb{1}_{r \leq 1}) \frac{dr}{r} \\
- i \langle \xi; \int_{S^{d-1}} xe^{-t} \left(\int_1^{e^t} k_x(r)dr\right) \sigma(dx)\rangle.
$$

Thus, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $t \in (0,1)$

$$
|\eta_t(\xi)| \leq \frac{||\xi||^2}{2} \int_{S^{d-1}} \sigma(dx) \int_0^{r_1} s^2(-dk_x(s)) (1 - e^{-2t}) \\
+ \int_{S^{d-1}} \sigma(dx) \int_1^{e^t} (-dk_x(s)) \int_{se^{-t}}^{s} (e^{ir(x;\xi)} - 1 - i\langle rx; \xi \rangle \mathbb{1}_{r \leq 1}) \frac{dr}{r} \\
+ 2t \int_{S^{d-1}} \sigma(dx) \int_{se^{-t}}^{s} (e^{ir(x;\xi)} - 1 - i\langle rx; \xi \rangle \mathbb{1}_{r \leq 1}) \frac{dr}{r}.
$$

Now, by integration by parts, for all $t \in (0,1)$, all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $x \in S^{d-1}$

$$
\int_1^{e^t} (-dk_x(s)) \int_{se^{-t}}^{s} (e^{ir(x;\xi)} - 1 - i\langle rx; \xi \rangle \mathbb{1}_{r \leq 1}) \frac{dr}{r} = \int_1^{e^t} k_x(s) \left( e^{is(x;\xi)} - e^{is e^{-t}(x;\xi)} + i(se^{-t}x;\xi) \right) ds \\
+ k_x(1) \int_1^{e^t} \left( e^{ir(x;\xi)} - 1 - i\langle rx; \xi \rangle \mathbb{1}_{r \leq 1} \right) \frac{dr}{r} \\
- k_x(e^t) \int_1^{e^t} \left( e^{ir(x;\xi)} - 1 \right) \frac{dr}{r}.
$$

Thus, for all $t \in (0,1)$, all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $x \in S^{d-1}$

$$
\left| \int_1^{e^t} (-dk_x(s)) \int_{se^{-t}}^{s} (e^{ir(x;\xi)} - 1 - i\langle rx; \xi \rangle \mathbb{1}_{r \leq 1}) \frac{dr}{r} \right| \leq 4k_x(1)t + \|\xi\|^2k_x(1)(1 - e^{-2t}). \quad (A.3)
$$

Combining (A.2) with (A.3), for all $t \in (0,1)$ and all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$
|\eta_t(\xi)| \leq \frac{||\xi||^2}{2} \int_{S^{d-1}} \sigma(dx) \int_0^{r_1} s^2(-dk_x(s)) (1 - e^{-2t}) + 4 \int_{S^{d-1}} k_x(1)\sigma(dx) t + ||\xi||^2 \int_{S^{d-1}} k_x(1)\sigma(dx) \\
\times (1 - e^{-2t}) + 2t \int_{S^{d-1}} \sigma(dx) \int_1^{+\infty} (-dk_x(s)) + ||\xi|| \int_{S^{d-1}} k_x(1)\sigma(dx) (1 - e^{-t})
$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma.

\[ \square \]

**Proposition A.1.** Let $X$ be a non-degenerate SD random vector of $\mathbb{R}^d$ without Gaussian component, with law $\mu_X$, characteristic function $\varphi$ and Lévy measure $\nu$ with polar decomposition given by (4.1). Let there exist $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ such that $\mathbb{E}\|X\|^\varepsilon < +\infty$, and $\beta_1 > 0, \beta_2 > 0, \beta_3 \in (0,1)$ such that

$$
\gamma_1 = \sup_{t \geq 0} \left( e^{\beta_1 t} \int_{(1, +\infty) \times S^{d-1}} \frac{k_x(\epsilon_x)}{r} dr \sigma(dx) \right) < +\infty, \\
\gamma_2 = \sup_{t \geq 0} \left( e^{\beta_2 t} \int_{(0,1) \times S^{d-1}} r k_x(\epsilon_x) dr \sigma(dx) \right) < +\infty, \quad (A.4)
$$
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and that
\[\gamma_3 = \sup_{t \geq 0} \left( e^{-(1-\beta_3)t} \left\| \int_{S^{d-1}} x \left( \int_1^e k_x(r)dr \right) \sigma(dx) \right\| \right) < +\infty, \quad (A.5)\]
where \(k_x(r)\) is given by (4.1). Let \(X_t, t > 0\), be the ID random vector with law \(\mu_t\) defined via its characteristic function \(\varphi_t\), by
\[\varphi_t(\xi) = \frac{\varphi(\xi)}{\varphi(e^{-t}\xi)}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.\]
Moreover, let
\[\sup_{t > 0} \mathbb{E} \|X_t\|^\varepsilon < +\infty.\]
Then, for all \(t > 0\)
\[d_W(\mu_t, \mu_\infty) \leq Ce^{-ct},\]
for some \(C > 0, c > 0\) depending on \(d, \varepsilon, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \gamma_1, \gamma_2\) and \(\gamma_3\).

**Proof.** The strategy of the proof is similar to the one of [2, Theorem A.1] but without the first moment assumption. The proof of [2, Theorem A.1] is divided into 3 steps; the last two depending on the finiteness of the first moment. First of all, thanks to Step 1 of the proof of [2, Theorem A.1], for \(Z\) and \(Y\) two random vectors of \(\mathbb{R}^d\) and for all \(r \geq 1,\)
\[d_{\tilde{W}_r}(Z, Y) \leq \overline{C}_r \left( d_{\tilde{W}_r}(Z, Y) \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}, \quad (A.6)\]
for some \(\overline{C}_r > 0\) only depending on \(r\) and on \(d\), where
\[d_{\tilde{W}_r}(Z, Y) := \sup_{h \in \tilde{H}_r \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} |\mathbb{E}h(Z) - \mathbb{E}h(Y)|,\]
while \(\tilde{H}_r\) is the set of functions which are \(r\)-times continuously differentiable on \(\mathbb{R}^d\) such that \(\|D^\alpha(f)\|_\infty \leq 1\), for all \(\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d\) with \(0 \leq |\alpha| \leq r\).

**Step 1:** Let \(g\) be an infinitely differentiable function with compact support contained in the closed Euclidean ball centered at the origin and of radius \(R+1\), for some \(R > 0\). Then by Fourier inversion and Fubini theorem, for all \(t > 0,\)
\[|\mathbb{E}g(X) - \mathbb{E}g(X_t)| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(g)(\xi) (\varphi(\xi) - \varphi_t(\xi)) \frac{d\xi}{(2\pi)^d} \right|\]
\[\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathcal{F}(g)(\xi)| |\varphi(\xi) - \varphi_t(\xi)| \frac{d\xi}{(2\pi)^d}. \quad (A.7)\]
Next, let us estimate precisely the difference between the two characteristic functions \(\varphi\) and \(\varphi_t\). For all \(t > 0\) and all \(\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d,\)
\[|\varphi(\xi) - \varphi_t(\xi)| = |\varphi(e^{-t}\xi) - 1| = |e^{\omega_t(\xi)} - 1|,\]
where $\omega_t(\xi) = i\langle b; \xi \rangle e^{-t} + \int_{(0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \left( e^{i(y; r)} - 1 - i(ry; \xi) \mathbb{1}_{|y| \leq \epsilon_t} \frac{k_y(e^r)}{r} \right) d\sigma(dy)$. Thanks to [47, Lemma 7.9], the function $\xi \mapsto e^{i\omega_t(\xi)}$ is a characteristic function for all $s \in (0, +\infty)$. Hence, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $t > 0$,

$$
|\varphi(\xi) - \varphi_t(\xi)| = \left| \int_0^1 \frac{d}{ds} \left( \exp(s\omega_t(\xi)) \right) ds \right| \\
\leq |\omega_t(\xi)| \\
\leq \|b\| \|\xi\| e^{-t} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( e^{i(y; r)} - 1 - i(ry; \xi) \mathbb{1}_{|y| \leq \epsilon_t} \frac{k_y(e^r)}{r} \right) d\sigma(dy) \\
\leq \|b\| \|\xi\| e^{-t} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( e^{i(y; r)} - 1 - i(ry; \xi) \mathbb{1}_{|y| \leq \epsilon_t} \frac{k_y(e^r)}{r} \right) d\sigma(dy) \\
+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \mathbb{1}_{1 \leq |y| \leq \epsilon_t} \right) d\sigma(dy) \\
\leq \|b\| \|\xi\| e^{-t} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( e^{i(y; r)} - 1 - i(ry; \xi) \mathbb{1}_{|y| \leq \epsilon_t} \frac{k_y(e^r)}{r} \right) d\sigma(dy) \\
+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \mathbb{1}_{1 \leq |y| \leq \epsilon_t} \right) d\sigma(dy) \\
\leq \|b\| \|\xi\| e^{-t} + 2 \left( \int_{(1, +\infty) \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \frac{k_y(e^r)}{r} d\sigma(dy) \right) + \|\xi\|^2 \left( \int_{(0,1) \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} r k_y(e^r) d\sigma(dy) \right) \\
+ \epsilon^{-t} \|\xi\| \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} y \left( \int_1^\epsilon k_y(r) dr \right) d\sigma(dy) \right).
$$

(A.8)

Plugging (A.8) in (A.7) implies that, for all $t > 0$,

$$
\|Eg(X) - Eg(X_t)\| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |F(g)(\xi)| \left( \|b\| \|\xi\| e^{-t} + 2 \left( \int_{(1, +\infty) \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \frac{k_y(e^r)}{r} d\sigma(dy) \right) + \|\xi\|^2 \left( \int_{(0,1) \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} r k_y(e^r) d\sigma(dy) \right) + \epsilon^{-t} \|\xi\| \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} y \left( \int_1^\epsilon k_y(r) dr \right) d\sigma(dy) \right) \right) \frac{d\xi}{(2\pi)^d}.
$$

Now, observe that, for all $p \geq 0$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |F(g)(\xi)| \|\xi\|^p \frac{d\xi}{(2\pi)^d} \leq \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left( |F(g)(\xi)| \|\xi\| \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\|\xi\|^p}{(1 + \|\xi\|)^{d+p+1}} \frac{d\xi}{(2\pi)^d} \\
\leq C_{d,p} (R + 1)^d \left( \|g\| + \max_{1 \leq j \leq d} \|\partial^{d+1} g\| \right),
$$

for some $C_{d,p} > 0$ depending on $d$ and on $p$. Thus,

$$
\|Eg(X) - Eg(X_t)\| \leq C_{d,1} \|b\| e^{-t} (R + 1)^d \left( \|g\| + \max_{1 \leq j \leq d} \|\partial^{d+1} g\| \right) \\
+ 2C_{d,0} (R + 1)^d \left( \int_{(1, +\infty) \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \frac{k_y(e^r)}{r} d\sigma(dy) \right) \left( \|g\| + \max_{1 \leq j \leq d} \|\partial^{d+1} g\| \right).
$$
\[ + C_{d,2}(R + 1)^d \left( \int_{(0,1) \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} r k_y(e^t r) dr \sigma(dy) \right) \left( \|g\|_\infty + \max_{1 \leq j \leq d} \|\partial_j^{d+3} g\|_\infty \right) \]

\[ + C_{d,1} e^{-t}(R + 1)^d \left\| \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} y \left( \int_1^{e^t} k_y(r) dr \right) \sigma(dy) \right\| \]

\[ \times \left( \|g\|_\infty + \max_{1 \leq j \leq d} \|\partial_j^{d+2} g\|_\infty \right). \quad (A.9) \]

The inequality \( (A.9) \) concludes Step 1.

**Step 2:** This last step also follows the lines of the proof of Step 3 of \cite{2} Theorem A.1 so that only the main differences are highlighted. Let \( h \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \mathcal{H}_{d+3} \). Let \( \Psi_R \) be a compactly supported infinitely differentiable function on \( \mathbb{R}^d \), with support contained in the closed Euclidean ball centered at the origin and of radius \( R + 1 \), with values in \([0,1]\), and such that \( \Psi_R(x) = 1 \), for all \( x \) such that \( \|x\| \leq R \). First, for all \( t > 0 \)

\[ |\mathbb{E} h(X_t) (1 - \Psi_R(X_t))| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - \Psi_R(x)) d\mu_t(x) \]

\[ \leq \mathbb{P} (\|X_t\| \geq R) \]

\[ \leq \frac{1}{R^d} \sup_{t > 0} \mathbb{E} \|X_t\|^d. \]

A similar bound holds true for \( |\mathbb{E} h(X) (1 - \Psi_R(X))| \) since \( \mathbb{E} \|X\|^d < +\infty \). Then, combining \( (A.9) \) together with the previous bounds implies

\[ |\mathbb{E} h(X) - \mathbb{E} h(X_t)| \leq \frac{\tilde{C}_{d,\varepsilon}}{R^d} + C_{d,1} \|b\| e^{-t}(R + 1)^d \left( \|h\|_\infty + \max_{1 \leq j \leq d} \|\partial_j^{d+2} (h \Psi_R)\|_\infty \right) \]

\[ + 2C_{d,0}(R + 1)^d \left( \int_{(1,+,\infty) \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \frac{k_y(e^t r)}{r} dr \sigma(dy) \right) \left( \|h\|_\infty + \max_{1 \leq j \leq d} \|\partial_j^{d+1} (h \Psi_R)\|_\infty \right) \]

\[ + C_{d,2}(R + 1)^d \left( \int_{(0,1) \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} r k_y(e^t r) dr \sigma(dy) \right) \left( \|h\|_\infty + \max_{1 \leq j \leq d} \|\partial_j^{d+2} (h \Psi_R)\|_\infty \right) \]

\[ + C_{d,1} e^{-t}(R + 1)^d \left\| \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} y \left( \int_1^{e^t} k_y(r) dr \right) \sigma(dy) \right\| \left( \|h\|_\infty + \max_{1 \leq j \leq d} \|\partial_j^{d+2} (h \Psi_R)\|_\infty \right), \quad (A.10) \]

for some \( \tilde{C}_{d,\varepsilon} > 0 \) depending only on \( d \) and on \( \varepsilon \). Next, as in Step 3 of the proof of \cite{2} Theorem A.1, observe that \( \|h\|_\infty, \|\partial_j^{d+1} (h \Psi_R)\|_\infty, \|\partial_j^{d+2} (h \Psi_R)\|_\infty \) and \( \|\partial_j^{d+3} (h \Psi_R)\|_\infty \) are uniformly bounded in \( R \) and in \( h \) for \( R \geq 1 \) and since \( h \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \mathcal{H}_{d+3} \) for an appropriate choice of \( \Psi_R \). The last step is an optimization in \( R \) which depends on the behavior of

\[ \int_{(1,+,\infty) \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \frac{k_y(e^t r)}{r} dr \sigma(dy), \quad \int_{(0,1) \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} r k_y(e^t r) dr \sigma(dy), \quad e^{-t} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} y \left( \int_1^{e^t} k_y(r) dr \right) \sigma(dy) \right\|, \]

with respect to \( t \). Thanks to \( (A.4) \) and to \( (A.5), (A.10) \) becomes

\[ |\mathbb{E} h(X) - \mathbb{E} h(X_t)| \leq \frac{\tilde{C}_{d,\varepsilon}}{R^d} + \tilde{C}_{d,1} \|b\| e^{-t}(R + 1)^d + 2\tilde{C}_{d,2}(R + 1)^d \gamma_1 e^{-\beta_1 t} \]

\[ + \tilde{C}_{d,3}(R + 1)^d \gamma_2 e^{-\beta_2 t} + \tilde{C}_{d,4}(R + 1)^d \gamma_3 e^{-\beta_3 t}, \]

for some \( \tilde{C}_{d,\varepsilon} > 0, \tilde{C}_{d,1} > 0, \tilde{C}_{d,2} > 0, \tilde{C}_{d,3} > 0 \) and \( \tilde{C}_{d,4} > 0 \). Set \( \beta = \min(1, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) \). Choosing \( R = e^{\beta t/(d+1)} \) and reasoning as in the last lines of \cite{2} Theorem A.1 concludes the proof of the proposition. \( \square \)
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