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Following up on recent calculations, we investigate the leading-order semiclassical approximation to the virial coefficients of a two-species fermion system with a contact interaction. Using the analytic result for the second-order virial coefficient as a renormalization condition, we derive expressions for up to the seventh-order virial coefficient \( \Delta b_7 \). Our results, though approximate, furnish simple analytic formulas that relate \( \Delta b_n \) to \( \Delta b_2 \) for arbitrary dimension, providing a glimpse into the behavior of the virial expansion across dimensions and coupling strengths. As an application, we calculate the pressure and Tan’s contact of the 2D attractive Fermi gas.

I. INTRODUCTION

In a recent paper [1], two of us presented results for virial coefficients in a semiclassical lattice approximation (SCLA), at leading order (LO), for spin-1/2 fermions with a contact two-body interaction. We found that, in spite of the crudeness of the approximation, the results for \( \Delta b_3 \) and \( \Delta b_4 \) were surprisingly good when written in terms of the exact \( \Delta b_2 \), which amounted to using the latter as a renormalized coupling. Specifically, quantitative or at least qualitative agreement was found between the LO-SCLA and exact-diagonalization and Monte Carlo results for 1D and 2D Fermi gases with attractive interactions.

In this work, we explore the LO-SCLA further by carrying out the evaluation of virial coefficients up to \( b_7 \). Our (approximate) analytic answers, obtained partially by algebra automation, provide insight into the behavior of the virial expansion as a function of the spatial dimension of the problem. As an application, we compare with Monte Carlo results for the density equation of state of an attractive 2D Fermi gas. As an additional example, we calculate the many-body contribution to Tan’s contact for the same system.

II. HAMILTONIAN AND VIRIAL EXPANSION

We assume a non-relativistic kinetic energy and a two-body contact interaction, such that the Hamiltonian for two flavors \( \uparrow, \downarrow \) is \( H = \hat{T} + \hat{V} \), where

\[
\hat{T} = \int d^4x \hat{\psi}_s^\dagger(x) \left(-\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m}\right) \hat{\psi}_s(x),
\]

and

\[
\hat{V} = -g dD \int d^4x \hat{n}_\uparrow(x) \hat{n}_\downarrow(x),
\]

where the field operators \( \hat{\psi}_s, \hat{\psi}_s^\dagger \) are fermionic fields for particles of spin \( s = \uparrow, \downarrow \) (summed over \( s \) above), and \( \hat{n}_s(x) \) are the coordinate-space densities. In the remainder of this work, we will take \( \hbar = k_B = m = 1 \) and discretize spacetime using the spatial lattice spacing \( \ell \) to set the scale for all quantities.

One way to characterize the thermodynamics of this system is through the virial expansion [2], which is an expansion around the dilute limit \( z \to 0 \), where \( z = e^{\beta \mu} \) is the fugacity, i.e. it is a low-fugacity expansion. The corresponding coefficients accompanying the powers of \( z \) in the expansion of the grand-canonical potential \( \Omega \) are the virial coefficients; specifically,

\[
-\beta \Omega = \ln \mathcal{Z} = Q_1 \sum_{n=1}^\infty b_n z^n, 
\]

where

\[
\mathcal{Z} = \text{tr} \left[ e^{-\beta(\hat{H} - \mu \hat{N})} \right] = \sum_{N=0}^\infty z^N Q_N, 
\]

is the grand-canonical partition function and \( Q_N \) is the \( N \)-body partition function. By definition, \( b_1 = 1 \) and the higher-order coefficients require solving the corresponding few-body problems:

\[
Q_1 b_2 = Q_2 - \frac{Q_1^2}{2!},
\]

\[
Q_1 b_3 = Q_3 - b_2 Q_1^2 - \frac{Q_3^2}{3!}.
\]

\[
Q_1 b_4 = Q_4 - \left( b_3 + \frac{b_2^2}{2} \right) Q_1^2 - b_2 Q_1^4 - \frac{Q_1^4}{4!},
\]

and so forth (see Appendix). For completeness and future reference, we note here the values of the noninteracting virial coefficients for nonrelativistic fermions in \( d \) spatial dimensions: \( b_n^{(0)} = (-1)^{n+1} n^{-(d+2)/2} \).

For our system, in arbitrary spatial dimensions,

\[
Q_1 = 2 \sum_p e^{-\beta \frac{p^2}{2\hbar^2}},
\]

which in the continuum limit becomes \( Q_1 = 2V/\lambda_T^d \), where \( V \) is the \( d \)-dimensional spatial volume and \( \lambda_T = \sqrt{2\pi \beta} \) is the de Broglie thermal wavelength. Since \( Q_1 \propto V \), the above expressions for \( b_n \) display precisely how the
volume dependence should cancel out to yield volume-independent coefficients. In particular, the highest power of $Q_1$ does not involve the interaction and therefore always disappears in the interaction-induced change $\Delta b_n$:

$$Q_1 \Delta b_2 = \Delta Q_2, \quad (9)$$
$$Q_1 \Delta b_3 = \Delta Q_3 - Q_2^2 \Delta b_2, \quad (10)$$
$$Q_1 \Delta b_4 = \Delta Q_4 - \Delta \left( b_3 + \frac{b_3^2}{2} \right) Q_2^2 - \frac{\Delta b_2}{2} Q_1^3, \quad (11)$$

and so forth. In the Appendix we show the corresponding expressions up to $b_7$, but the pattern is repeated: $\Delta b_n$ involves a contribution from $\Delta Q_n$ and several contributions involving the previous virial coefficients $b_m$, $m < n$ and powers of $Q_1$; the latter always cancel against specific terms within $\Delta Q_n$, to yield a volume-independent $b_n$. Those cancellations are a challenging feature for stochastic approaches, but they become a useful check for our calculations.

In terms of the partition functions $Q_{M,N}$ of $M$ particles of one type and $N$ of the other type, we have

$$\Delta Q_2 = \Delta Q_{11}, \quad (12)$$
$$\Delta Q_3 = 2 \Delta Q_{21}, \quad (13)$$
$$\Delta Q_4 = 2 \Delta Q_{31} + \Delta Q_{22}, \quad (14)$$
$$\Delta Q_5 = 2 \Delta Q_{41} + 2 \Delta Q_{32}, \quad (15)$$
$$\Delta Q_6 = 2 \Delta Q_{51} + 2 \Delta Q_{42} + \Delta Q_{33}, \quad (16)$$
$$\Delta Q_7 = 2 \Delta Q_{61} + 2 \Delta Q_{52} + 2 \Delta Q_{43}. \quad (17)$$

We thus see that the number of non-trivial contributions to each virial coefficient is actually small. The challenge is in determining each of these terms and for that purpose we implement the semiclassical approximation advertised above, which we describe in detail next.

III. THE SEMICLASSICAL APPROXIMATION AT LEADING ORDER

A. Basic formalism

In a wide range of many-body methods, the grand-canonical partition function $Z$ is expressed as a path integral over an auxiliary Hubbard-Stratonovich field. Here we use a different route, but with the same first step: we introduce a Trotter-Suzuki (TS) factorization of the Boltzmann weight. At the lowest non-trivial order in such a factorization,

$$e^{-\beta (T + \hat{V})} = e^{-\beta T} e^{-\beta \hat{V}}, \quad (18)$$

where the higher orders involve exponentials of nested commutators of $T$ with $\hat{V}$. Thus, the LO in this expansion consists in setting $[\hat{T}, \hat{V}] = 0$, which becomes exact in the limit where either $T$ or $\hat{V}$ can be ignored (i.e. respectively the strong- and weak-coupling limits). Although we will not pursue orders beyond LO here, it is noteworthy that such orders can be reached using a factorization based on the Trotter identity

$$e^{-\beta (T + \hat{V})} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( e^{-\beta \hat{T}/n} e^{-\beta \hat{V}/n} \right)^n. \quad (19)$$

Indeed, the leading order can simply be viewed as the most coarse possible TS factorization, i.e. with time step $\tau = \beta$. Higher orders $n > 1$ can be defined by using progressively finer discretizations $\tau = \beta/n$. We leave such explorations to future work.

B. A simple example

As the simplest example of the LO-SCLA, we calculate $Q_{11}$:

$$Q_{11} = \sum_{p_1, p_2} \langle p_1 | p_2 \rangle e^{-\beta T} e^{-\beta \hat{V}} | p_1 \rangle \langle p_2 | \quad (20)$$
$$= \sum_{p_1, p_2} e^{-\beta (p_1^2 + p_2^2)/2m} \langle p_1 | p_2 \rangle e^{-\beta \hat{V}} | p_1 \rangle \langle p_2 |. \quad (21)$$

The kinetic energy operator piece is thus trivially evaluated. The central step is to insert a coordinate-space completeness relation to evaluate the potential energy piece, which we do using the following identity:

$$e^{-\beta \hat{V}} | x_1, x_2 \rangle = \prod_{\mathbf{x}} (1 + C \tilde{n}_1 (\mathbf{z}) \tilde{n}_2 (\mathbf{z})) | x_1, x_2 \rangle \quad (22)$$
$$= | x_1, x_2 \rangle + C \sum_{\mathbf{z}} \delta_{x_1, z} \delta_{x_2, z} | x_1, x_2 \rangle$$
$$= | x_1, x_2 \rangle \tilde{n}_Z,$$

where $C = e^{\beta g_{4D}} - 1$ and we used the fermionic relation $\tilde{n}_Z^2 = \tilde{n}_Z$. The $C$-independent term yields the noninteracting result, such that we may write

$$\Delta Q_{11} = C \sum_{p_1, p_2, x_1, x_2} e^{-\beta (p_1^2 + p_2^2)/2m} \delta_{x_1, x_2} \langle x_1 | x_2 \rangle | p_1 \rangle \langle p_2 |, \quad (23)$$

which simplifies dramatically in this particular case when using a plane wave basis, because $\langle x_1 | x_2 \rangle | p_1 \rangle \langle p_2 | = 1/V^2$. We then find

$$\Delta Q_{11} = C \frac{Q_{10}^2}{V}, \quad (24)$$

where

$$Q_{10} = \sum_{p_1, p_2} e^{-\beta p_1^2/2m}. \quad (25)$$

Thus, $\Delta b_2 = CQ_{10}^2/(V Q_1) = CQ_1/(4V)$, where we used $Q_1 = 2Q_{10}$. Following essentially the same steps, it is not difficult to see that $\Delta b_3 = -CQ_1(2\beta)/V$, where $Q_1(2\beta)$ is $Q_1$ evaluated at $\beta \to 2\beta$. 

C. A more difficult example

To display the complexity of the calculation in a less trivial case, we show \( Q_{22} \) as another example. Using the notation \( \tilde{P} = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \), where 1, 2 refer to spin-up particles and 3, 4 to spin-down particles, we have

\[
Q_{22} = \sum_{\tilde{P}} e^{-\beta \tilde{P}^2/2m} \langle \tilde{P} | e^{-\tilde{V}} | \tilde{P} \rangle.
\]

(26)

As before, we must insert a complete set of coordinate eigenstates to evaluate the remaining matrix element. To that end, we note that, using the notation \( \tilde{X} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \),

\[
e^{-\tilde{V}} | \tilde{X} \rangle = [1 + C f_1(\tilde{X}) + C^2 f_2(\tilde{X})] | \tilde{X} \rangle,
\]

(27)

where

\[
f_1(\tilde{X}) = \delta_{x_1, x_3} + \delta_{x_1, x_4} + \delta_{x_2, x_3} + \delta_{x_2, x_4},
\]

(28)

and

\[
f_2(\tilde{X}) = 2[\delta_{x_1, x_3} \delta_{x_2, x_4} + \delta_{x_1, x_4} \delta_{x_2, x_3}].
\]

(29)

Thus,

\[
\Delta Q_{22} = \sum_{\tilde{P}, \tilde{X}} e^{-\tilde{P}^2/2m} | \langle \tilde{X} | \tilde{P} \rangle |^2 (C f_1(\tilde{X}) + C^2 f_2(\tilde{X})).
\]

(30)

Note that \( \langle \tilde{X} | \tilde{P} \rangle \) factorizes across spins where, in this case, each of the factors involved takes the form

\[
| \langle y_1 y_2 | q_1 q_2 \rangle |^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (1 - \cos((y_1 - y_2) (q_1 - q_2))).
\]

(31)

Based on the above examples, it is easy to glean that the general form of the change \( \Delta Q_{M,N} \) in the partition function for \( M \) spin-up particles and \( N \) spin-down particles, with a contact interaction, is given by

\[
\Delta Q_{M,N} = \sum_{\tilde{P}, \tilde{X}} e^{-\tilde{P}^2/2m} | \langle \tilde{X} | \tilde{P} \rangle |^2 (C f_a(\tilde{X}) + C^2 f_b(\tilde{X}) + \ldots),
\]

(32)

where \( \tilde{P}, \tilde{X} \) represent all momenta and positions of the \( M+N \) particles, and the functions \( f_a, f_b, \ldots \) which encode the matrix element of \( e^{-\tilde{V}} \), depend on the specific case being considered. In particular, the case of \( \Delta Q_{M1} \) is particularly simple and reduces to

\[
\Delta Q_{M1} = MC\sum_{\tilde{P}, \tilde{X}} e^{-\tilde{P}^2/2m} | \langle \tilde{X} | \tilde{P} \rangle |^2,
\]

(33)

where \( \tilde{P}, \tilde{X} \) go over the momenta and positions of the \( M \) identical particles.

The wavefunction \( \langle \tilde{X} | \tilde{P} \rangle \) is a product of two Slater determinants which, if using a plane-wave single-particle basis, leads to simple Gaussian integrals over the momenta \( \tilde{P} \). The only challenge is in carrying out the sum over \( \tilde{X} \) before the sum over \( \tilde{P} \), as the Slater determinants will naively lead to a large number of terms; to that end, it is crucial to use the interaction matrix elements to simplify the determinants before carrying out any momentum sums or integrals. In all cases, the integrals involved will be multidimensional Gaussian integrals.

D. Generating \( \mathcal{O}(C) \) results for all \( n \)

As a check for our calculations and a useful result in itself, we show here how to calculate the contributions at \( \mathcal{O}(C) \) in the LO-SCLA for all \( n \). We begin with the grand-canonical partition function at LO, generalized to arbitrary chemical potentials \( \mu_\uparrow \) and \( \mu_\downarrow \) (sum over \( s = \uparrow, \downarrow \) implied below):

\[
Z = \text{tr} \left[ e^{-\beta (\tilde{T} - \mu_\uparrow \hat{N}_\uparrow)} e^{-\tilde{V}} \right]
\]

\[
= \text{tr} \left[ e^{-\beta (\tilde{T} - \mu_\downarrow \hat{N}_\downarrow)} \prod_x (1 + C \hat{n}_\uparrow(x) \hat{n}_\downarrow(x)) \right]
\]

\[
= Z_0 \left[ 1 + CV (\hat{n}_\uparrow \hat{n}_\downarrow) \right] + \mathcal{O}(C^2),
\]

(34)

where \( Z_0 = \text{tr} \left[ e^{-\beta (\tilde{T} - \mu_\downarrow \hat{N}_\downarrow)} \right] \) is the noninteracting partition function and \( \langle \rangle \) denotes a noninteracting thermal expectation value. This is, of course, nothing other than leading-order perturbation theory. In the general, asymmetric case we have

\[
\langle \hat{n}_\uparrow \hat{n}_\downarrow \rangle = \frac{1}{V^2} \sum_{p,q} z_\uparrow e^{-\beta p^2/2m} \frac{z_\downarrow e^{-\beta q^2/2m} + 1}{1 + z_\uparrow e^{-\beta p^2/2m} + z_\downarrow e^{-\beta q^2/2m}}.
\]

(35)

By differentiation of the above expression with respect to \( z_\uparrow = e^{\beta \mu_\uparrow} \) and \( z_\downarrow = e^{\beta \mu_\downarrow} \), it is straightforward to derive the \( \mathcal{O}(C) \) change in \( \Delta Q_{M,N} \) for arbitrary \( M, N \).

IV. RESULTS

A. Virial coefficients on the lattice

Using the above formalism, we obtained expressions for the virial coefficients on the lattice as shown in Tables I, II, and III, in the LO-SCLA. The results shown in those tables, up to \( \Delta b_0 \), were obtained on paper; the remaining answers were obtained using an automated computer algebra code of our own design.

As mentioned in the Introduction, the explicit calculation of \( \Delta b_n \) by way of \( \Delta Q_{M,N} \) involves delicate cancellations of various volume-dependent contributions which scale as \( V, V^2, \ldots, V^{n-1} \), which yields a volume-independent result for \( \Delta b_n \). As a check for our automated calculations, we have verified that those cancellations take place exactly as expected.

The functions \( Q, F, \) and \( G \) appearing in I, II, and III are defined by

\[
Q(x; \beta) = \frac{2}{V} \sum_{\tilde{P}} e^{-x \beta \tilde{P}^2/2m},
\]

(36)

\[
G(M) = \frac{1}{V^3} \sum_{p_1 p_2 p_3} \exp \left( -\frac{\beta}{2m} \tilde{P}^T \tilde{M} \tilde{P} \right),
\]

(37)
\[
F(N) = \frac{1}{V^4} \sum_{P_1P_2P_3P_4} \exp\left(-\frac{\beta}{2m}P^TNP\right),
\]

where \(P^T = (p_1, p_2, p_3, \cdots)\) is a vector collecting all the \(d\)-dimensional momentum variables appearing in the sums, \(M\) and \(N\) are block matrices of the form

\[
X = \begin{pmatrix} X_x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X_y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & X_z \end{pmatrix},
\]

for \(d = 3\), where the explicit form of the block entries \(X_i = M\) and \(N\), respectively of size \(3 \times 3\) and \(4 \times 4\), are shown in the Appendix. In the cases studied here, the matrices \(X_i\) will be the same for all cartesian components.

In the continuum limit, where the above sums turn into integrals, we have

\[
Q(x;\beta) \to \frac{2}{\lambda T^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}.
\]

\[
G(M) \to \frac{1}{\lambda^{3d}} \left( \frac{1}{\det M} \right)^{d/2},
\]

and

\[
F(N) \to \frac{1}{\lambda^{4d}} \left( \frac{1}{\det N} \right)^{d/2}.
\]

Using these formulas, we present our continuum results in the next section.

Note that, as a feature of the LO-SCLA, the expressions for \(\Delta b_2\) and \(\Delta b_3\) stop at \(O(C)\); the results for \(\Delta b_4\) and \(\Delta b_5\) terminate at \(O(C^2)\); and finally \(\Delta b_6\) and \(\Delta b_7\) go only up to \(O(C^3)\). We emphasize that those are full results within the LO-SCLA rather than approximations in powers of \(C\).

### B. Virial coefficients in the continuum limit

In Ref. [1], it was shown that the LO-SCLA gives

\[
\Delta b_3 = -2^{1-d/2}\Delta b_2,
\]

\[
\Delta b_4 = 2(3^{-d/2} + 2^{-d-1})\Delta b_2 \\
+2^{1-d/2} \left( 2^{-d/2-1} - 1 \right) (\Delta b_2)^2,
\]

for a fermionic two-species system with a contact interaction, in \(d\) spatial dimensions. [Note that we have corrected the coefficient of \((\Delta b_2)^2\) relative to Ref. [1].]

The main result of this work is the extension of the above formulas to up to seventh order in the virial expansion. We collect all the results in Table IV. In the LO-SCLA, \(\Delta b_2 \propto C\), and so \(\Delta b_2\) tracks the order of \(C\) appearing in each virial coefficient. At the same level in the approximation, \(\Delta b_3\) only displays contributions up to \(O(C)\), while \(\Delta b_4\) and \(\Delta b_5\) stop at \(O(C^2)\); \(\Delta b_6\) and \(\Delta b_7\) contain terms up to \(O(C^3)\).

### C. Application: pressure and Tan’s contact in 2D

In this section we apply our estimates of the virial coefficients to two simple thermodynamic observables: the pressure and Tan’s contact. For concreteness, we focus on the 2D attractive Fermi gas, but we emphasize that our results are explicit analytic functions of the dimension and can therefore be evaluated for arbitrary \(d\).

To access the pressure, we combine the calculated virial coefficients according to

\[
-\beta \Delta \Omega = Q_1 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \Delta b_m z^m,
\]

The results in Table IV correspond to taking the continuum limit of the lattice expressions and using \(\Delta b_2\) as the renormalized coupling to replace the bare lattice coupling \(C\). Using those results, we show in Fig. 1 a plot of \(\Delta b_3, \ldots, \Delta b_7\) as functions of the spatial dimension \(d\) at \(\Delta b_2 = 1/\sqrt{2}\), which is the value corresponding to the 3D unitary Fermi gas. We compare those answers with the \(\Delta b_3\) results of Ref. [1] in 1D, the exact diagonalization results of Ref. [3] in 2D (see also Ref. [4]), and the known results in 3D (Ref. [5] calculated the exact answer, while the work of Ref. [6] calculated it numerically, and Ref. [7] semi-analytically). We also compare our results for \(\Delta b_4\) at unitarity with Ref. [8] (see also Refs. [9] and [10]). We note that, while the LO-SCLA is quite rudimentary, the answers it provides are qualitatively correct as a function of \(d\) and not far from the expected numbers (in the sense and scale of Fig. 1).
TABLE II. $\mathcal{O}(C^2)$ Terms for $\Delta b_2$ to $\Delta b_7$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\Delta b_n$</th>
<th>$\mathcal{O}(C^2)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta b_4$</td>
<td>$\frac{G(M_4)}{2Q(\beta)} - \frac{Q(\beta)Q(2\beta)}{8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta b_5$</td>
<td>$-2\frac{G(M_5)}{Q(\beta)} + \frac{Q(\beta)Q(3\beta)}{4} + \frac{Q^2(2\beta)}{4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta b_6$</td>
<td>$-\frac{3Q(4\beta)Q(\beta)}{8} - \frac{Q^3(2\beta)}{2Q(\beta)} - \frac{3Q(3\beta)Q(2\beta)}{4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta b_7$</td>
<td>$-2\frac{3G(M_7^{(2)})+G(M_7^{(2)})+G(M_7^{(2)})}{Q(\beta)}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\Delta b_4 = -\frac{G(M_4)}{2Q(\beta)} + \frac{Q(\beta)Q(2\beta)}{8}$

$\Delta b_5 = -2\frac{G(M_5)}{Q(\beta)} + \frac{Q(\beta)Q(3\beta)}{4} + \frac{Q^2(2\beta)}{4}$

$\Delta b_6 = -\frac{3Q(4\beta)Q(\beta)}{8} - \frac{Q^3(2\beta)}{2Q(\beta)} - \frac{3Q(3\beta)Q(2\beta)}{4}$

$\Delta b_7 = -2\frac{3G(M_7^{(2)})+G(M_7^{(2)})+G(M_7^{(2)})}{Q(\beta)}$

where $\Delta \Omega = -\Delta PV$ is the change in the pressure $P$ due to interaction effects. From this equation, it is straightforward to determine the density change $\Delta n$ and the compressibility change $\Delta \chi$ by differentiation with respect to $z$. In Fig. 3 we show the pressure, in units of its noninteracting counterpart $P_0$, as a function of $\beta \mu = \ln z$, for the 2D Fermi gas with attractive interactions.

Within the context of the LO-SCLA $\Delta b_n$, the results of Fig. 3 provide an indication of the range of validity of the virial expansion. In that plot, the large oscillations observed as $\beta \mu$ is increased show that the radius of convergence $r$ of the virial expansion, as a function of $z$, is notably reduced as the coupling is increased. For $\beta \epsilon_B = 1$, we have $r \approx \exp(-1.25)$, whereas for $\beta \epsilon_B = 3$, we have $r \approx \exp(-2.75)$. Such an effect is expected but, to understand to what extent that reduction is due to the LO approximation, higher orders in the approximation must be investigated.

To obtain Tan’s contact [12], we differentiate with respect to the coupling $\lambda$ (i.e. we use the so-called adiabatic relation). In this case, since we use $\Delta b_2$ as our physical dimensionless coupling (or as a proxy to scattering parameters or binding energies), we simply differentiate $\Delta \Omega$ with respect to that parameter and obtain the dimensionless form

$$\frac{\Delta C}{Q_1} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \partial \Delta b_m \partial z^m.$$ (46)

To connect the above expression to the conventional form of Tan’s contact we only need the overall factor $\partial \Delta b_2 / \partial \lambda$, where $\lambda$ is the coupling. Such a factor contains only two-body physics and can therefore be calculated explicitly using the well-known Beth-Uhlenbeck formula [13]. In Fig. 3 we show our results for $\Delta C / Q_1$ as a function of $\beta \mu = \ln z$, for the 2D Fermi gas with attractive interactions. Although somewhat more difficult to visualize, we glean from this figure that the virial expansion breaks down at lower values of $\beta \mu$ at stronger couplings.
TABLE IV. Full results in the LO-SCLA for $\Delta b_3$ through $\Delta b_7$ in powers of $\Delta b_2$ in the continuum limit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\Delta b_n$</th>
<th>$O(\Delta b_2)$</th>
<th>$O(\Delta b_2)^2$</th>
<th>$O(\Delta b_2)^4$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta b_3$</td>
<td>$-2^{1-d/2}$</td>
<td>$-2^{1-d/2}$</td>
<td>$-2^{1-d/2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta b_4$</td>
<td>$2(3^{-d/2} + 2^{-d-1})$</td>
<td>$2^{1-d/2}(2^{-d/2} - 1)$</td>
<td>$2^{1-d/2}(2^{-d/2} - 1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta b_5$</td>
<td>$-2(2^{-d} + 6^{-d/2})$</td>
<td>$4(2^{-d} + 3^{-d/2} - 7^{-d/2})$</td>
<td>$4(2^{-d} + 3^{-d/2} - 7^{-d/2})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta b_6$</td>
<td>$2^{1-3d/2} + 3^{-d} + 2 \cdot 5^{-d/2}$</td>
<td>$-2^{1-3d/2} - 3 \cdot 2^{1-d/2}(1 - 3^{-d/2})$</td>
<td>$-2^{1-3d/2} - 3 \cdot 2^{1-d/2}(1 - 3^{-d/2})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta b_7$</td>
<td>$-2^{1-d/2}(6^{-d/2} + 5^{-d/2} + 3^{-d/2})$</td>
<td>$+8 \cdot 3^{-d/2}(3^{-d/2} + 2^{-d})$</td>
<td>$+8 \cdot 3^{-d/2}(3^{-d/2} + 2^{-d})$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 2. Pressure $P$ of the 2D attractively interacting Fermi gas, in units of the noninteracting pressure $P_0$, both as functions of $\beta \mu$. The lines show our LO-SCLA results at various orders in the virial expansion. The dots correspond to the quantum Monte Carlo calculations of Ref. [11]. The colors indicate the value of the coupling: from top to bottom the sets of curves correspond to $\beta \epsilon_B = 3.0$ (blue), 2.0 (green), and 1.0 (red).

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we calculated the virial coefficients of spin-1/2 fermions in the LO-SCLA, up to $\Delta b_7$. We have presented analytic results on the lattice and, much more succinctly, in the continuum limit, where they feature an explicit analytic dependence on the number of spatial dimensions $d$.

As a renormalization prescription, we fixed the bare constant $C$ by using the fact that $\Delta b_2$ is in many cases known analytically through the Beth-Uhlenbeck formula [13] (see e.g. Refs. [14–18]). That choice allowed us to express our results in powers of $\Delta b_2$ and to perform cross-dimensional comparisons by varying $d$ at fixed $\Delta b_2$. In turn, that comparison shows that the LO-SCLA behaves qualitatively as expected and gives results which are not far from the expected answers (where available), even though the approximation is by most standards a crude one. These results are encouraging towards exploring higher orders in the SCLA, which will be carried out in elsewhere [19].
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Appendix A: Appendix I: Matrices

In this section we provide the detailed form of the matrices that appear in the evaluation of the functions in Tables I, II, and III. To that end, it is useful to define
To use these, it is useful to have the following notation:

\[ M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{diag}(a, b, c) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix}. \]  

(A1)

Using the above, we have

\[ M_4 = \text{diag}(2, 2, 2) + M_0 \]  

(A2)

\[ M_5 = \text{diag}(3, 2, 2) + M_0 \]  

(A3)

\[ M_{6a} = \text{diag}(4, 4, 4) + 3M_0 \]  

(A4)

\[ M_{6b} = \text{diag}(3, 3, 3) + M_0 \]  

(A5)

\[ M_{6c} = \text{diag}(4, 3, 3) + 2M_0 \]  

(A6)

\[ M_{7a}^{(2)} = \text{diag}(5, 4, 4) + 3M_0 \]  

(A7)

\[ M_{7b}^{(2)} = \text{diag}(5, 2, 2) + M_0 \]  

(A8)

\[ M_{7c}^{(2)} = \text{diag}(4, 4, 3) + 2M_0 \]  

(A9)

\[ M_{7a}^{(3)} = \text{diag}(2, 3, 2) + M_0 \]  

(A10)

\[ M_{7b}^{(3)} = \text{diag}(3, 3, 2) + M_0 \]  

(A11)

\[ M_{7c}^{(3)} = \text{diag}(4, 4, 4) + 3M_0 \]  

(A12)

\[ N_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\ 2 & 3 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}. \]  

(A13)

\[ N_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\ 2 & 3 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}. \]  

(A14)

\[ Q_1 \Delta b_5 = \Delta Q_5 - \Delta (b_4 + b_2 b_3) Q_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} \Delta (b_2^2 + b_3) Q_1^3 - \frac{\Delta b_2}{3!} Q_1^4, \]  

(B4)

\[ Q_1 \Delta b_6 = \Delta Q_6 - \Delta \left( b_5 + \frac{b_2^2}{2} + b_2 b_4 \right) Q_1^2 - \Delta \left( \frac{b_2^4}{6} + \frac{b_2 b_3}{2} + b_2 b_4 \right) Q_1^3 - \Delta \left( \frac{b_2^2}{4} + b_3 \right) Q_1^4 - \frac{\Delta b_2}{4!} Q_1^5, \]  

(B5)

\[ Q_1 \Delta b_7 = \Delta Q_7 - \Delta (b_6 + b_2 b_5 + b_2 b_4) Q_1^2 - \Delta \left( \frac{b_2^4}{6} + \frac{b_5}{3} + b_2 b_4 + \frac{b_2}{2} b_3 b_4 \right) Q_1^3 - \Delta \left( \frac{b_2^2}{6} + b_3 + b_2 b_4 \right) Q_1^4 - \frac{\Delta b_2}{5!} Q_1^6. \]  

(B6)

To use these, it is useful to have the following:

\[ \Delta (b_n)^2 = \Delta (b_n^2) + 2b_n^{(0)} \Delta b_n, \]  

(B8)

\[ \Delta (b_n)^3 = \Delta (b_n^3) + 3b_n^{(0)} \Delta (b_n^2) + 3(b_n^{(0)})^2 \Delta b_n, \]  

(B9)

\[ \Delta (b_n b_m) = \Delta b_n \Delta b_m + b_n^{(0)} \Delta b_m + b_m^{(0)} \Delta b_n, \]  

(B10)

\[ \Delta (b_n b_m^2) = \Delta b_n (\Delta b_m^2) + b_n^{(0)} (\Delta b_m^2) + 2b_m^{(0)} \Delta b_n \Delta b_m + 2b_n^{(0)} (\Delta b_m^2) + (b_n^{(0)})^2 \Delta b_m. \]  

(B11)


