Few-body perspective of quantum anomaly in two-dimensional Fermi gases
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Quantum anomaly manifests itself in the deviation of breathing mode frequency from the scale invariant value of \(2\omega\) in two-dimensional harmonically trapped Fermi gases, where \(\omega\) is the trapping frequency. Its recent experimental observation with cold-atoms reveals an unexpected role played by the effective range of interactions, which requires quantitative theoretical understanding. Here we provide accurate, benchmark results on quantum anomaly from a few-body perspective. We consider the breathing mode of a few trapped interacting fermions in two dimensions up to six particles and present the mode frequency as a function of scattering length for a wide range of effective range. We show that the maximum quantum anomaly gradually reduces as effective range increases while the maximum position shifts towards the weak-coupling limit. We extrapolate our few-body results to the many-body limit and find a good agreement with the experimental measurements. Our results may also be directly applicable to a few-fermion system prepared in microtraps and optical tweezers.

Quantum anomaly arises when a symmetry of a classical system is broken due to quantum mechanics. One important example is the deviation from the scale invariant breathing mode frequency in two-dimensional (2D) ultracold atomic quantum gases [1–2]. In a classic 2D gas interacting through zero-range interaction, a hidden SO(2, 1) symmetry leads to an exact breathing mode frequency of \(\omega_B = 2\omega\), where \(\omega\) is the trapping frequency [1]. In a 2D quantum gas, the requirement of renormalization of the contact interaction leads to an additional length scale, the 2D scattering length. Therefore, the breathing mode frequency \(\omega_B\) deviates from \(2\omega\) and depends on the scattering length. This is in contrast to the three-dimensional unitarily interacting quantum gas, where infinitely strong interaction strength leads to scale invariance and the preservation of SO(2, 1) symmetry [3].

Experimental verification of quantum anomaly in 2D quantum gases comes with several interesting surprises. The initial idea of a weakly-interacting 2D Bose gas [1–2] does not work since the breathing mode frequency shift is too small to be observable. A strongly interacting two-component 2D Fermi gas of \(^{40}\)K and \(^{6}\)Li atoms appears to be a better candidate [4–6]. However, the early observation of about 1% frequency shift from \(2\omega\) [7] is much smaller than the 10% anomaly predicted for zero-range contact interaction at zero temperature [3–5]. This discrepancy is probably caused by the large temperature of the \(^{40}\)K Fermi gas in the experiment [7], as suggested by virial expansion studies [8–9]. In two most recent experiments with \(^{6}\)Li atoms, the 2D Fermi gas was cooled down to one-tenth of Fermi temperature, to avoid any dominant finite temperature effect [10–11]. Surprisingly, the measured quantum anomaly, about 2 – 3%, is still at the same level as in the first observation [7]. This unexpected, much reduced quantum anomaly is now understood as a result of a significant effective range of interactions induced by the tight axial confinement [12–14] that is necessary to restrict the motion of atoms into two dimensions [12–15]. An approximate many-body theory that takes into account Gaussian pair fluctuations (GPF) has then been developed, giving rise to a qualitative explanation for the experimental observation [13]. More accurate many-body calculations (except quantum Monte Carlo simulations [16–18]) are difficult to carry out at finite effective range, due to strong correlation inherent in 2D interacting Fermi gases.

In this Letter, we aim to provide benchmark predictions on quantum anomaly from a few-body perspective. Our purpose is three-fold. First, few-body systems can be solved exactly with high accuracy. Therefore, the few-body results include all correlations that can not be accounted for in the approximate mean-field and GPF studies. Here we use explicitly correlated Gaussian (ECG) basis set expansion approach [19] to understand the breathing mode frequency in a small Fermi cloud with up to six particles. Second, few-body systems provide a bridge between the two-body physics and many-body physics. Many results in the many-body limit can be successfully deduced from few-body studies [20–23]. In this work, we find that our few-body results reveal two important features observed in the experiments in the presence of a nonzero effective range [10–11]: the maximum quantum anomaly reduces gradually with increasing effective range while the peak position shifts to the weakly-interacting regime. Finally, it is worth emphasizing that the few-fermion system under consideration can nowadays be directly examined in future experiments.

**Model Hamiltonian.** – We start by mentioning the s-wave scattering phase shift of two particles in 2D, which can be expanded in the low-energy limit as [27]

\[
\cot[\delta(k)] = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[ \gamma + \ln \left( \frac{k a_{2D}}{2} \right) \right] + \frac{1}{2} k^2 R_s + \cdots ,
\]

where \(\gamma \approx 0.577\) is Euler’s constant, \(a_{2D}\) the 2D scatter-
spin-up or down atom with respect to the trap center, and \( V(r) \) is the interspecies two-body interaction potential that depends on the distance \( r_{\uparrow \downarrow} \equiv |\vec{r}_\uparrow - \vec{r}_\downarrow| \).

The effective range \( R_s \) induced by a tight axial confinement in 2D Fermi gas experiments is negative \[13\]. To simulate a negative \( R_s \), we employ a pseudopotential,

\[
V(r) = V_0 \exp \left( -\frac{r^2}{2r_0^2} \right) - V_0r \exp \left[ -\frac{r^2}{2(2r_0)^2} \right], \quad (4)
\]

which is numerically amenable to ECG simulations. As exemplarily shown in Figure 1(a), the potential exhibits two features that are essential for supporting a shape resonance: an attractive well that supports virtual bound states and a potential barrier that couples the virtual bound state to the free-space scattering states \[15\]. Negative \( R_s \) becomes possible near a shape resonance. Figure 1(b) shows the 2D scattering length \( a_{2D} \) and effective range \( R_s \) as a function of the depth of potential \( V_0 \) for a fixed \( r_0 \). As the depth of potential increases, both \( a_{2D} \) and the absolute value of \( R_s \) decreases until the next bound state appears. Here, we restrict ourselves to potential that supports at most one two-body bound state in free space. By adjusting both \( V_0 \) and \( r_0 \), one can achieve a wide range of combination of \( a_{2D} \) and \( R_s \). The limitation for our potential is that it is not possible to produce a small \( a_{2D} \) and large \( |R_s| \) at the same time.

We solve the time-independent Schrödinger equation for the Hamiltonian given in Eq. \((3)\) using ECG basis set expansion approach \[19\]. We expand the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian in terms of ECG basis functions, which depend on a number of nonlinear variational parameters that are optimized through energy minimization \[19, 29-31\]. After a proper basis set is constructed, we calculate various ground-state properties of a few-fermion system with number of particles \((N_\uparrow, N_\downarrow) = (1,1), (2,2)\) and \((3,3)\). For a trapped system, it is convenient to use Fermi wavevector \( k_F = \sqrt{2(N_{\text{tot}})^{1/4}a_{2D}} \), which is basically the wavevector of a non-interacting Fermi gas at the trap center in the large-particle limit \[12\]. Here, \( N_{\text{tot}} = N_\uparrow + N_\downarrow \) is the total number of atoms and \( a_{2D} = \sqrt{\hbar/(m\omega)} \) the harmonic oscillator length.

**Breathing mode frequency.** — The breathing mode of two-component Fermi gas is a density fluctuation excited by the perturbation \( \mathcal{F} \propto \sum r_i^2 \). It appears as a well-defined single mode in the density response function in the low-momentum and low-energy limit, i.e., \( S_F(\omega) \propto \delta(\omega - \omega_B) \). As a result, we may use a sum-rule approach to calculate the breathing mode frequency, \( \omega_B^2 = m_{p+2}/m_p \), through the energy weighted moments \( m_p \equiv \int d\omega \omega^p S_F(\omega) \). In harmonic traps, both the energy weighted moments \( m_1 \) and \( m_{-1} \) can be easily calculated at zero temperature. The former can be transformed into the calculation of commutators involving the operator \( \mathcal{F} \) and the Hamiltonian \[32\], leading to \( m_1 \propto \langle r^2 \rangle = \int_0^\infty n(r) r^3 dr \), where \( \langle r^2 \rangle \) is the square cloud width calculated using the density distribution.
together, we obtain an elegant expression for the breathing mode frequency in case of zero range interaction. We examine in the many-particle limit, by using alternative two-fluid hydrodynamic theory \cite{35} for the breathing mode frequency in terms of Fermi wavevector \( k_F \) and consequently use the dimensionless interaction parameters \( \ln(k_F a_{2D}) \) and \( k_F^2 R_s \).

Symbols in Fig. 2(a), (b), and (c) show the breathing mode frequency as a function of \( \ln(k_F a_{2D}) \) for various effective ranges \( k_F^2 R_s \), ranging from close to zero to around \(-1\). The solid line shows the zero-range many-body results determined using the equation of state from auxiliary-field quantum Monte Carlo (AFQMC) \cite{36}. In all regimes, \( \omega_B \) is greater than the scale invariance value \( 2\omega \). It peaks within strongly interacting regime, gradually decreases towards the BCS limit, and decreases sharply towards the BEC limit.

As the effective range \( k_F^2 R_s \) approaches zero, our finite-range few-body results approaches the zero-range many-body result. The difference between \( k_F^2 R_s \) is very close to zero, and the zero-range many-body result is very small on the BCS side and could likely be attributed to the finite size effect. However, the discrepancy becomes prominent when \( \ln(k_F a_{2D}) < 0.2 \). This may be caused by the dramatically increased peak density in the strongly interacting regime, where the wavevector \( k_F \) of the non-interacting trapped Fermi gas is no longer a proper choice for parameterization. The actual effective range at the trap center is larger, leading to a significant deviation in the breathing mode frequency with respect to the zero-range result. We refer to Supplemental Material \cite{36} for a more detailed discussion.

As \( k_F^2 R_s \) increases, the quantum anomaly, i.e., the difference between \( \omega_B \) and scale invariance value \( 2\omega \), is gradually suppressed across all scattering strength. Specifically, as \( k_F^2 R_s \) increases from near zero to around \(-1\), the maximum value of breathing mode shift decreases from 7.5\% to 4\%. Also, the scattering length where maximum value of \( \omega_B \) occurs shifts towards the BCS limit as \( k_F^2 R_s \) increases. The downward shifting of \( \omega_B \) as the effective range increases qualitatively agrees with the trend observed in the GPF calculations \cite{13}.

Although we consider only \((1,1), (2,2), \) and \((3,3)\) systems, it is important to analyze the finite size effects and the trend as the system size increases. This will allow us to see how our few-body results connect to the many-body limit. Solid symbols in Fig. 3(a) show \( \omega_B \) as a function of \( 1/N_{\text{tot}} \) for \( k_F^2 R_s = -0.0245 \) and different values of \( \ln(k_F a_{2D}) \) across the BEC-BCS crossover. Overall,
the differences between the (2, 2) and (3, 3) systems are very small. Since (1, 1) system cannot capture the many-body correlations beyond two-body level, it is expected to see a significant difference between (1, 1) system and larger systems. If we do a simple linear extrapolation of (2, 2) and (3, 3) results towards the $1/N_{\text{tot}} = 0$ limit, the extrapolated results agree reasonably well with the many-body AFQMC result shown in hollow symbols. The good agreement encourages us to perform the same extrapolation for the data with effective range $k_F^2 R_s = -0.620$, which is the realistic effective range in recent two $^6$Li experiments [10, 11, 13]. This is shown in Fig. 3(b) by dashed lines. From the results at zero-range, we estimate that the systematic error in the extrapolated mode frequency due to our naïve extrapolation procedure is about $(0.01-0.02)\omega$.

**Comparison with experiments.** — The main motivation for this work is the discrepancy between the large quantum anomaly ($\sim 10\%$) predicted with zero-range model and the much smaller quantum anomaly ($< 3\%$) observed in the experiments [10, 11]. In both Heidelberg and Swinburne experiments, two hyperfine states of $^6$Li are cooled to deep quantum degeneracy in a 2D trap. It has been shown that the effective range in those setups is non-negligible [13]. Here, we compare the experimental data with our few-body results with same value of $k_F^2 R_s = -0.620$ in Fig. 4. Circles and squares show the (3, 3) system results and extrapolated many-body results following Fig. 3(b). Upper and lower triangles show the experimental data from Swinburne and Heidelberg groups [10, 11], respectively.

Although we have observed a reduced quantum anomaly with finite effective range, quantitative discrepancy between experimental data and our few-body results exist. One of the reasons could be the residual finite temperature effect. The temperature in both experiments is at the range of $(0.1-0.2)T_F$, where $T_F$ is Fermi temperature. It has been shown that the quantum anomaly is reduced at non-zero temperature [9]. Combining the finite range effect and the finite temperature effect, we expect that the quantum anomaly should be further reduced. These two effects together, could possibly explains the much smaller deviation observed in the experiments [10, 11].

**Conclusions.** — We have calculated the breathing mode frequency of a two-component Fermi cloud with a few particles up to six in two dimensions. We have found that the quantum anomaly, i.e., the enhancement of the breathing mode frequency with respect to its classical scale invariant value, is gradually suppressed as effective range of interactions increases. In particular, the ma-
num value of the breathing mode frequency is reduced while the interaction strength corresponding to the maximum value shifts towards the weak-coupling limit. These two key trends qualitatively agree with recent experiments. Our accurate few-body results open a new way to better understand the intriguing quantum anomaly and provide benchmark predictions for future few-particle experiments in microtraps and optical tweezers.
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Appendix A: Supplemental Material

1. Breathing mode frequency from the two-fluid hydrodynamic theory

In the case of zero-range interaction, we have the accurate zero-temperature equation of state provided by auxiliary-field quantum Monte Carlo (AFQMC) \[16\]. In the presence of the harmonic trap \( V_{\text{ext}}(r) = m\omega^2 r^2/2 \), the density distribution \( n(r) \) can then be determined using the standard local density approximation (LDA) \[32\]. In the addition to the sum-rule approach, we can use the coupled two-fluid hydrodynamic equations to calculate the breathing mode frequency \[35\]. The normal modes of those equations at frequency \( \Omega \) are most easily obtained by minimizing a variational action, which, in terms of the displacement field \( \mathbf{u}(\vec{r}) \), takes the following form \[35\],

\[
S = \frac{1}{2} \int d\vec{r} \left[ m\Omega^2 n \mathbf{u}^2 + 2n (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) (\nabla V_{\text{ext}} \cdot \mathbf{u}) + (\nabla n \cdot \mathbf{u})(\nabla V_{\text{ext}} \cdot \mathbf{u}) - n \frac{\partial P}{\partial n} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u})^2 \right],
\]

(A1)

where \( [\partial P/\partial n](r) \) is the derivative of the local pressure at position \( \vec{r} \) with respect to the local density. For compressional modes (including the lowest breathing mode of interest), we assume the following polynomial ansatz for the displacement field:

\[
\mathbf{u}(r) = \vec{r} \sum_{i=0}^{N_p-1} A_i r^i,
\]

(A2)

where \( \{A_i\} \) \( (i = 0, \cdots, N_p - 1) \) are the \( N_p \) variational parameters. By inserting this variational ansatz into the action Eq. (A1), we express the action \( S \) as functions of the \( N_p \) variational parameters \( \{A_i\} \). The mode frequencies \( \Omega \) of the \( N_p \) compressional modes can then be obtained by minimizing the action \( S \) with respect to the \( N_p \) parameters. The accuracy of our variational calculations for the breathing mode can be improved by increasing the value of \( N_p \) and the calculations indeed converge very rapidly as \( N_p \) increases.

In more detail, it is straightforward to show that, the expression of the action can be written in a compact form,

\[
S = \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^T S(\Omega) \Lambda,
\]

(A3)

where \( \Lambda \equiv [A_0, \cdots, A_i, \cdots, A_{N_p-1}]^T \) and \( S(\Omega) \) is a \( N_p \times N_p \) matrix with elements \( (i, j) \),

\[
[S(\Omega)]_{ij} = M_{ij} \Omega^2 - K_{ij},
\]

(A4)

where the weighted mass moments are,

\[
M_{ij} = m \int d\vec{r} r^{i+j}n(r),
\]

(A5)

and the spring constants are,

\[
K_{ij} = (i + 2)(j + 2) \int d\vec{r} r^{i+j} \left( n \frac{\partial P}{\partial n} \right)(r).
\]

(A6)

The minimization of the action \( S \) (which is now in a matrix form) is equivalent to solving \( S(\Omega) \Lambda = 0 \).

In Fig. 5 we show the breathing mode frequency predicted by the two-fluid hydrodynamic theory with \( N_p = 10 \) (empty squares), and compare it with the sum-rule result (solid line). The relative difference between the two-fluid hydrodynamic theory and the sum-rule approach is less than 0.1% and cannot be identified at the scale of the figure.

It is interesting to note that, if we assume a constant polytropic index

\[
\gamma = \frac{n}{P} \left( \frac{\partial P}{\partial n} \right) - 1,
\]

(A7)

the variational procedure leads to a breathing mode frequency

\[
\omega_B^2 = 2(\gamma + 1)\omega^2.
\]

(A8)
This can be seen by substituting the relation \( n(\partial P/\partial n) = (\gamma + 1)P \) into the spring constants Eq. (A6). Using the force balance condition in harmonic traps, i.e., \( \partial P/\partial r = -m\omega^2 n(r) \), we obtain

\[
K_{ij} = M_{ij} \omega^2 \frac{(i + 2)(j + 2)}{(i + j + 2)} (\gamma + 1). \tag{A9}
\]

The breathing mode is then decoupled from the other compressional modes, since for either \( i = 0 \) or \( j = 0 \), \( K_{ij} = 2(\gamma + 1) \omega^2 M_{ij} \). This also gives the mode frequency \( \omega_B = \sqrt{2(\gamma + 1)\omega} \). The assumption of a constant polytropic index was used earlier by Hofmann to calculate the quantum anomaly with a zero-range contact interaction \( [4] \). In Fig. 5 we show his results in circles with the updated AFQMC equation of state. In the weak-coupling limit, this simple estimation agrees very well with more accurate predictions from the two-fluid hydrodynamic theory and the sum-rule approach. In the strongly-interacting regime near \( \ln(k_F a_{2D}) = 0 \), however, there is a small quantitative difference.

2. The finite difference method

To compute \( \omega_B \) through finite difference method, we choose two sets of parameters \((x_1, y_1)\) and \((x_2, y_2)\) that satisfy \( x_2 = 1.02 x_1 \) and \( y_2 = 1.02^2 y_1 \). Each set of parameter corresponds to a potential with a certain \( V_0 \) and \( r_0 \). Since the parameters for the two potentials are extremely close, we average the two sets of \( V_0 \) and \( r_0 \) and construct the basis set according to the averaged potential. We then compute \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \) using the same basis set. The breathing mode frequency is given by

\[
\omega_B^2 = 4 \omega^2 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{z_2}{x_2} \frac{z_1 - 1}{x_1 - 1} \right). \tag{A10}
\]

For \((1,1)\) and \((2,2)\) systems, we alternatively compute \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \) by constructing two basis sets separately and find good agreement with using the same basis set. Our approach to compute \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \) using the same basis set allows for a much faster convergence of \( \omega_B \), especially for the \((3,3)\) systems.

3. Few-body results on the density profile

As we mentioned earlier, the agreement between our few-body results and many-body AFQMC results for breathing mode frequency becomes worse when \( \ln(k_F a_{2D}) < 0.2 \). The reason is that the Fermi wave vector \( k_F = \sqrt{2(\sqrt{N_{\text{tot}}}/\pi)a_{\text{ho}}^{-1}} \) for a trapped two-component Fermi gas is defined at the non-interacting limit. When \( \ln(k_F a_{2D}) \) approaches zero, the interaction becomes stronger and deeper two-body bound state starts to form.
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FIG. 6. Solid lines in (a) and (b) show the normalized density distribution \( n(r)/n_{\text{TF}} \) of \((2,2)\) system for \( \ln(k_F a_{2D}) = 0.101 \) and 1.490. The effective range is \( k_F^2 R_{\text{ho}} = -0.0245 \). Dashed lines show the local density approximation results for the same \( \ln(k_F a_{2D}) \), calculated based on the AFQMC equation of state with a zero-range interaction \([10]\).

In this regime, the density distribution \( n(r) \) becomes very different from that of non-interacting Fermi gas \( n_0(r) \).

Figure 6(a) and (b) show the density distribution at \( \ln(k_F a_{2D}) = 0.101 \) and 1.490, respectively. The density is normalized by Thomas-Fermi density \( n_{\text{TF}} = (\sqrt{N_{\text{tot}}}/\pi)a_{\text{ho}}^{-2} \) while the radius is normalized by Thomas-Fermi radius \( R_{\text{TF}} = (4N_{\text{tot}})^{1/4} a_{\text{ho}} \). Solid lines show the few-body \((2,2)\) results while the dashed lines show the LDA results based on the AFQMC equation of state. These are to be compared with the non-interacting density distribution \( n_0(r) = n_{\text{TF}}(1 - r^2/R_{\text{TF}}^2) \Theta (R_{\text{TF}} - r) \).

In both few-body and LDA results, the peak density at strongly interacting regime is much higher than the non-interacting limit, and approaches two times the Thomas-Fermi density when \( \ln(k_F a_{2D}) \) goes to zero. In this regime, \( k_F \) is no longer an appropriate unit to characterize the few-body and many-body results.