Ballistic relocation of atoms produced by irradiation drives materials to out-of-equilibrium states. Under sustained ballistic forcing exchanges between atoms within the displacement cascade, standard thermodynamic and kinetic methods do not apply because of the loss of the microscopic detailed balance. In this case, the competition between activated jumps satisfying the microscopic detailed balance and the ballistic relocations leads to non-equilibrium steady states (NESS). The resolution of the microscopic Master Equation describing the transitions between different on-lattice configurations allows us to define dynamical short-range order (SRO) parameters under stationary conditions. We extend the Self-Consistent Mean Field (SCMF) theory to migration mechanisms which do not satisfy the microscopic detailed balance. We deduce a first shell analytical approximation of the macroscopic fluxes of point defects and atoms and highlight the non-symmetry property of the corresponding effective Onsager matrix. An implementation of the extended theory into the code KineCluE yields converged calculations of the effective Onsager coefficients in excellent agreement with Monte Carlo simulations and a systematic parametric study of the diffusion properties with respect to the relocation model and the alloy thermodynamics. The effect of the ballistic relocation on the vacancy-solute flux coupling and the solute tracer diffusion is non-negligible when: (i) the solute-vacancy thermodynamic attraction is large, (ii) the magnitude of the thermal jump frequencies is in the same order of magnitude as the relocation exchange frequency, and (iii) the range of the energy interactions between the point-defects and the solutes atoms is close to the ballistic relocation distances.

I. INTRODUCTION

Irradiation leads to coupled fluxes of solute atoms and point defects (PD) in alloys. These phenomena contribute to the microstructural evolution in the material. For instance, let us mention the Cr depletion at the grain boundary observed in austenitic steels and the formation of precipitate in under-saturated alloys under irradiation. In order to explain these phenomena from the atomic scale, we consider the microscopic damage created by incident particles. For a recoiled energy above the displacement threshold energy (DTE) with typical values ranging from 25 to 40 eV for metals, a large number of atoms can be displaced from their initial lattice sites, resulting in displacement cascades. The latter can lead to forced relocations of atoms, which could be considered “ballistic” in the sense that the components flow down concentration gradients under irradiation regardless of the alloys thermodynamics. Besides, excess PDs could be produced during the stage of recoil mixing. Then created or pre-existing PDs could melt away in the heat spike which is considered as a liquid phase. The event is ended up by a process of recrystallization during which a perfect crystal tends to reproduce. New PDs are created in the recrystallization stage along with their mutual recombination and clustering. The above phenomena in the displacement cascade are reviewed in. The increased PD concentration is favorable to the PD-mediated diffusion mechanism such as thermally activated atomic jumps. The latter is driven by thermodynamic driving forces e.g. chemical potential gradients. However, forced atomic jumps could also take place by sub-threshold collision with recoil energy lower than the DTE. Such mechanism is also mediated by PDs but it is ballistic.

The ballistic events in the displacement cascade were previously treated as a diffusion process with ballistic atomic relocations between nearest neighbour atoms. However, details in the cascade such as the spatial correlation between solute atoms and PDs due to the thermal interactions are neglected. Moreover, the thermal and ballistic diffusion mechanisms are considered separately at the macroscopic scale. For instance the tracer diffusion coefficient of the solute atom is written as the sum of two diffusion coefficients respectively related to the two mechanisms. In this case, the interaction between these two mechanisms is neglected. In order to account for the potential insertion of ballistic jumps between two thermal jumps, authors in generalize the five-frequency model in face centered cubic (fcc) systems to calculate the diffusion coefficient by introducing both mechanisms from the atomic scale. The modification of the solute-vacancy correlation by ballistic jumps is taken into account. However, to our knowledge, there is no flux coupling model including both the thermal and ballistic mechanisms. While, the latter is fundamental to the study of the microstructural evolution of irradiated materials. It plays an important role in the Radiation-Induced Segregation (RIS) of solute atoms at PD sinks. One estimates the flux couplings of an alloy from the Onsager matrix of the transport coefficients. Whenever the diffusion mechanism satisfies the microscopic detailed balance, Onsager has demonstrated that this matrix is sym-
metric. We may calculate it either from the equilibrium atomic displacement fluctuations using the Allnatt’s formulae or from the flow of matter resulting from an applied external force. A relocation ballistic mechanism does not satisfy the microscopic detailed balance. Then, we cannot compute the transport coefficients by means of a Monte Carlo numerical approach based on the Allnatt’s formulae. However, recent statistical theories have shown that it is possible to derive an effective Onsager matrix from the fluctuation theorem, though the resulting matrix is non-symmetric. These theories go beyond the linear response theory. They provide a methodology for the investigation of far from equilibrium kinetics. Such an approach has been applied to the study of a molecular motor driven by forced chemical reactions. However, it is not directly applicable to simulate the ballistic events in materials because there are no notions of alloying effects and kinetic correlations in the model. In the context of research on diffusion in alloys, one knows how to deal with the complexity of calculating a sequence of point defect jumps when the frequency of each jump depends on the local environment of the defect as long as the diffusion mechanism satisfies the microscopic detailed balance.

Under sustained irradiation, the materials are driven to a steady state away from thermodynamic equilibrium due to the two mechanisms with competitive driving forces. Our goal in this paper is to compute the transport coefficients in such a steady state. The calculation is based on the self-consistent mean field (SCMF) theory. The latter is applied to the calculation of transport coefficients in systems near equilibrium with jump mechanisms obeying the microscopic detailed balance such as thermally activated jumps. We generalize the SCMF theory by including jump mechanisms not obeying the principle of microscopic detailed balance. As well, the KineCluE code is extended after the generalized SCMF theory in order to perform automated calculations of transport coefficients. We introduce various relocation models of atoms and PD within KineCluE. This allows us to perform more refined descriptions of the displacement cascade versus relocations between nearest neighbours. We investigate how the details of the displacement cascade affect the diffusion properties such as tracer diffusion and solute-PD flux coupling. Besides, we perform sensitivity analysis of the diffusion properties to the alloy parameters (e.g. solute-PD binding energy, thermal jump frequencies, etc.). The purpose of these studies is to investigate in which cases the ballistic effects play an important role.

The structure of the paper is as follow. In section II we introduce the model of the ballistic damages and thermal atomic jumps. Then, we introduce the mean field kinetic model to estimate the point defect concentration under irradiation. Section III is devoted to the calculation of the transport coefficients within the SCMF framework. At last in section IV, we focus on the comparison between the results given by different relocation models and representative model alloys. The remarks relating to the results, the limitations and possible improvements are discussed at the section V.

II. MODELING OF THE DIFFUSION MECHANISMS UNDER IRRADIATION

A. Ballistic damage

Even with a recoil energy of the incident particle less than the displacement threshold energy (DTE) of the material, a ballistic exchange between PD and its nearest neighbour atoms may occur. In case of a recoil energy above DTE, a displacement exchange is formed. Direct observations of a cascade is difficult and only few of them yielded results. The molecular dynamic method is now a common way to model the cascade from the atomic scale (see review in). First in the recoil mixing stage, atoms are displaced from their initial lattice site due to a set of ballistic collisions in less than 1 ps. After this ballistic phase, a heat spike is formed in the center of the cascade. The cool down of the heat spike can be considered as a recrystallization process of the liquid phase, lasting about 10 ps. Atomic jumps may take place during the heat spike phase in the cascade. However, the duration of a displacement cascade is much smaller than a diffusion process resulting from long sequences of atomic jumps. Therefore, on the characteristic time of diffusion, we may consider the damage resulting from a series of individual cascades as uniform in space and time. We assume that the atoms in the cascade volume are relocated at a distance $r$ from their initial positions with a relocation frequency proportional to the radiation flux. Besides, we assume that the relocation of atoms only occurs during the recoil mixing stage because the thermal spike in metals like Fe and Ni have very limited effect on the atomic mixing and the probability density function $p(r)$ of the relocation distance follows an exponential decay:

$$p(r) = \frac{1}{r_m} \exp \left( -\frac{r}{r_m} \right),$$

where $r_m$ is the mean relocation distance which is related to the size of the cascade. Note that the latter depends on the irradiated materials and the recoil energy of the primary knock-on atom (PKA). For example, the sizes of cascades generated in metals by fast neutrons or by heavy ions typically range between 10 and 100 Å. At the atomic scale, the relocation distance $r$ is discrete and is equal to one of the $i$-th NN distances. We define the probability mass function $P(i)$ so that the distribution $p(r)$ in the interval $[r_i, r_{i+1})$ is averaged to the $i$-NN point:

$$P(i) = \int_{r_i}^{r_{i+1}} p(r) \, dr,$$

where $r_i$ corresponds to the $i$-NN distance. In practice, we consider only a finite set of nearest neighbours, mean-
ing that there is a cutting relocation distance \( L_{\mathrm{NN}} \) beyond which the probability is 0. In this case, we define the normalized probability mass function \( P_L(i) \) as:

\[
P_L(i) = \frac{P(i)}{\sum_{s=0}^{L} P(s)}.
\]

(3)

We introduce as well a simplified model associated with a single relocation distance \( r_m \), because it gives access to an analytical solution. Concerning the reaction of PD, we need to account for their removal and creation within a cascade. PDs are created during the ballistic stage of the cascade formation. Isolated self-interstitial atoms (SIA) and SIA clusters remain outside of the heat spike whereas the vacancies (V) are distributed randomly. Then, a part of the vacancies melt away in the heat spike which is like a liquid phase. Isolated vacancies (V) may form along the recrystallization front of the cascade approximately follows a Gaussian distribution. The evolution of the averaged PD concentration is then treated afterwards, at an upper scale by means of a mean field rate model. Note that the PD cluster distribution seems to be very far from a Gaussian distribution\(^{16} \). Recent investigations on concentrated alloys\(^{47-49} \) and high entropy alloys\(^{50} \) have shown the alloying effects on a radiation cascade. It is shown in\(^{47,49} \) that the solute-PD binding energies strongly affect the distribution of SIA. Even though the ballistic stage is randomizing the alloy, apparently, during the recrystallization stage, the solute-PD cluster distribution is partially driven by the thermodynamic binding interaction. Therefore, we consider two kinds of models for the vacancy relocation: either the same random relocation mechanism employed for the atoms, or a model favoring the relocation sites close to the solute atoms in case of attractive binding energies between vacancy and solute atoms. In order to represent both categories of models, we introduce three models. Model 1 and 2 for the first category, and Model 3 for the second category including a thermodynamic effect on the vacancy relocation mechanism. Model 1 includes a single relocation distance for both solute and vacancy, while model 2 includes an exponential law for the relocation distance (Eq. (3)) for both species. Model 3 includes an exponential law for the relocation distance of solute B and the monomer V (at a distance larger than the kinetic radius from any solute B) whereas the relocation of V within the cluster B-V is modeled as a ballistic jump from \( i \)-th NN to 1NN of solute B.

### B. Atomic jump frequencies

We rely on the transition state theory to model thermal activated diffusion\(^{51} \). We introduce the jump frequency \( \omega_{n \rightarrow \bar{n}}^\alpha \) associated with the exchange of the atom \( \alpha \) and the vacancy \( V \) driving the system from configuration \( n \) to \( \bar{n} \):

\[
\omega_{n \rightarrow \bar{n}}^\alpha = \nu \exp \left( -\frac{E_{n \rightarrow \bar{n}}^\alpha}{k_B T} \right),
\]

(4)

where \( \nu \) is the attempt frequency, \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant, \( T \) is the temperature and \( E_{n \rightarrow \bar{n}}^\alpha \) is the migration barrier from configuration \( n \) to \( \bar{n} \), which can be computed by means of DFT calculations. This mechanism is mediated by point defects and the jump rate depends on the temperature as well as the initial configuration. Note that it satisfies the principle of the microscopic detailed balance:

\[
P_n \omega_{n \rightarrow \bar{n}}^\alpha \omega_{n' \rightarrow n}^\alpha = P_{n'} \omega_{n' \rightarrow n}\omega_{n \rightarrow \bar{n}}^\alpha,
\]

(5)

where \( P_n \) is the probability of configuration \( n \).

The forced relocation of a PD (resp. a solute atom) is modelled as a ballistic exchange between the PD (resp. the solute atom) and a bulk atom. Besides, a ballistic exchange between a PD and a solute atom is also possible. The exchange frequency between a PD and an atom is denoted \( \Gamma_{\alpha V} \), and the one between two atoms is denoted \( \Gamma_{aa} \). Note that a forced relocation, also called ballistic mixing, is a random a-thermal process which does not depend on the initial and final configurations. We assume that the forced atomic relocation frequency only depends on the numbers of cascades formed per unit of time. Besides, both ballistic mechanisms are considered to have the same frequency i.e. \( \Gamma_b = \Gamma_{\alpha V} = \Gamma_{aa} \). The relaxation frequency \( \Gamma_b \) can be deduced from the radiation rate \( \phi \) based on the ion-beam mixing framework\(^{52,53} \). Under ion or fast neutron irradiation, the number of ballistic jumps per atom \( n_{\mathrm{bal}} \) to produce one dpa is in the order of magnitude of \( 10^5 \) according to\(^{53-55} \). Note that when there is no displacement cascade and irradiation induces the displacement of PD only, there is no forced relocation between atoms and \( \Gamma_{aa} = 0 \). We call it sub-threshold irradiation.

### C. PD concentration

The global concentration of PD varies under irradiation mainly due to the production of Frenkel pairs, the mutual recombination between SIA and V, the elimination of PD at PD sinks such as grain boundaries, dislocations and PD clusters. The vacancy concentration at steady state \( C_V^{\mathrm{SR}} \) is estimated from a rate theory model\(^{56,57} \):

\[
C_V^{\mathrm{SR}} = C_V^{\mathrm{th}} - \frac{k^2 \Omega}{8 \pi r_c} + \sqrt{\frac{k^2 \Omega}{8 \pi r_c}} \left( \frac{\phi \Omega}{4 \pi r_c D_V} \right),
\]

(6)

where \( C_V^{\mathrm{th}} \) is the thermal vacancy concentration at equilibrium, \( r_c \) is the SIA-V recombination radius usually assumed to be in the same order of magnitude of the lattice
parameter \( a_0 \). \( \Omega \) is the atomic volume. \( \phi \) is the radiation dose rate. \( k^2 \) is the sink strength assumed to be constant with the radiation dose rate with typical values ranging from \( 10^{12} \) to \( 10^{19} \) m\(^{-2}\)s\(^{-2}\) and \( D_V \) is the vacancy diffusion coefficient. Note that the equilibrium concentration \( C_V^{th} \) is obtained from the vacancy formation enthalpy \( H_V^i \) and entropy \( S_V^i \) by

\[
C_V^{th} = \exp \left( -\frac{H_V^i - T S_V^i}{k_B T} \right). \tag{7}
\]

As explained in the above section, we relate the ballistic frequency to the dose rate

\[
\Gamma_b = n_{bal} \phi. \tag{8}
\]

We may then replace the flux \( \phi \) by its expression in terms of \( \Gamma_b \) into Eq. (6), leading to a direct relationship between the steady-state vacancy concentration and \( \Gamma_b \).

### III. DIFFUSION THEORY

Here we focus exclusively on the diffusion properties of a dilute binary model alloy A(B): a host matrix of atoms A containing a single solute atom of species B and a single vacancy. The crystallographic structure is chosen to be a face centered cubic (fcc) crystal. For the sake of simplicity, we consider the vacancy as the only type of point defects. Our purpose is to extend the SCMF theory to a-thermal ballistic events. In order to derive analytical transport coefficients, we start from a first shell approximation. This approximation consists in neglecting kinetic couplings between B and V if the distance between both species is beyond 1NN. The interaction energy is limited to first nearest neighbor (1NN) pairwise interaction. Forced relocation exchanges are restricted to exchanges between 1NN sites only. In such a dilute alloy, there are five different atom-vacancy exchange frequencies which we designate after the Lidald’s nomenclature\(^{13} \) (see Fig. 1). The B-V exchange frequency is noted \( W_{BV}^A \). The A-V and A-B exchanges conserving the 1NN distance between B and V are noted \( W_{iAV} \) and \( W_{iAB} \). The A-V and A-B exchanges dissipating the B-V pair are noted \( W_{3AV}^A \) and \( W_{3AB}^A \). The A-V and A-B exchanges associating the B-V pair are noted \( W_{4AV} \) and \( W_{4AB} \), and all the other A-V and A-B exchanges far from the solute atom B are respectively noted \( W_{iAV}^A \) and \( W_{iAB}^A \).

For the sum of exchange frequencies, we use the notation:

\[
W_i = W_{iAV} + W_{iAB}, \tag{9}
\]

where \( W_{iAV} = \omega_{iAV} + \Gamma_{iAV} \) is the sum of ballistic and thermal frequencies. Note that, although ballistic exchange frequencies do not depend on the configuration before and after the exchange, for the sake of clarity, we choose to follow the notation of the thermal jump frequencies. Let us remind that in the sub-threshold situation, \( W_i^{AB} = 0 \).

![FIG. 1. Illustration of all the possible transitions in dilute fcc alloys including 1NN exchanges between atoms and between vacancy and atoms. Red hollow squares designate vacancies V, red filled circles designate solute atoms B, grey filled or hollow circles designate solvent atoms A.](image)

First, we introduce a Master Equation expressing the fact that the probability distribution of different configurations is controlled by the transition probabilities between two configurations:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} P = WP, \tag{10}
\]

where \( W \) is a 2-dimension matrix with \( W_{n\bar{n}} = W_{n \rightarrow \bar{n}} \) if \( n \neq \bar{n} \) and \( W_{nn} = -\sum_{\bar{n} \neq n} W_{n \rightarrow \bar{n}} \). The transition probabilities are directly related to the exchange frequencies. \( P = (P_n) \) is a linear vector of probabilities of configurations \( (n) \). We explain below the method we use to determine the steady-state SRO parameters and the diffusion properties from the Master Equation.

#### A. Dynamical short range order

The mix of thermal jump frequencies and forced ballistic exchanges leads to steady states different from the thermal equilibrium ones. The steady-state SRO parameters depend on both the ballistic and thermal exchange frequencies. We deduce them from a stationary condition applied to the Master Equation (Eq. (10)). This leads to the global detailed balance condition:

\[
\forall n, \sum_{\bar{n}} W_{n \rightarrow \bar{n}} P_{\bar{n}} - W_{\bar{n} \rightarrow n} P_n = 0. \tag{11}
\]

The steady-state solution of Eq. (10) is noted \( P^{ss} = (P_n^{ss}) \). It is a function of the thermal and ballistic exchange frequencies. Within the first shell approximation, two steady-state probabilities are considered: \( P_1^{ss} \) for the configuration where B and V located at 1NN and \( P_0^{ss} \) for the configuration where B and V are beyond 1NN. The dissociated configuration probability \( P_0^{ss} \) corresponding to the configuration probability divided by \( C_B CV \) is set to 1 by default. The analytical expression of \( P_1^{ss} \) is given by

\[
P_1^{ss} = \frac{W_4}{W_3} = \frac{\exp \left( \frac{E_b}{k_B T} \right) \omega_3 + n \Gamma_b}{\omega_3 + n \Gamma_b}, \tag{12}
\]
where \( n = 1 \) in the sub-threshold situation and \( n = 2 \) in the above-threshold one. \( E_b \) is the B-V 1NN binding energy with \( \exp (E_b/k_B T) = \omega_4/\omega_1 \). Note that \( P_n^{ss} \) is a SRO parameter revealing the segregation tendency of \( V \) at the 1NN of the solute B (or vice versa). It decreases with \( \Gamma_4 \). Note that the decrease in the above-threshold situation is larger than what is expected in the sub-threshold situation, just because two ballistic jump frequencies contribute to the decrease in the above-threshold case.

B. Transport coefficients

The transport coefficients \( (\lambda_{\alpha\beta}) \) are fundamental parameters to describe the diffusion of chemical species \( (\alpha, \beta) \) in alloy in the microscopic scale. Fluxes of different chemical species \( (J_n) \) can be induced from these coefficients near equilibrium:

\[
J_\alpha = -\sum_\beta \lambda_{\alpha\beta}\nabla \mu_\beta/k_BT,
\]

with \( \nabla \mu_\beta \) the established driving force deviating slightly from the system from equilibrium. Starting from the steady state, we apply a small gradient of chemical potential and compute the resulting flux of atoms and vacancy. Here we extend the SCMF model to jump mechanisms not obeying the microscopic detailed balance. The SCMF theory was first proposed to study the diffusion process with atomic jumps following the principle of microscopic detailed balance\(^{28}\) but the latter is broken at NESS with forced atomic jumps. By following the nomenclatures in\(^{28}\), the configuration is defined by a vector \( n \). The latter consists of occupation numbers of all species on all sites i.e. \( \{n_1^A, n_1^B, n_1^V; n_2^A, n_2^B, n_2^V; \ldots \} \) with \( n_\alpha^\beta \) equals to one if the site \( i \) is occupied by species \( \alpha \) and zero if not. The transition from configuration \( n \) to \( \tilde{n} \) is realized by atomic jump exchanging atom and vacancy with frequency \( W_{n\rightarrow\tilde{n}} \). Within the standard SCMF theory in\(^{28}\), \( P_n(t) \), the non-equilibrium distribution function of configuration \( n \), is expressed as the product of the equilibrium probability \( P_0^n \) and a non-equilibrium contribution. Here we choose the reference state to be the NESS, and replace \( P_0^n \) by the effective distribution function \( P_n^{ss} \).

\[
P_n(t) = P_n^{ss} \times \delta P_n(t).
\]

The Master Equation (see Eq. (10)) is written for a certain configuration \( n \) as

\[
\frac{dP_n(t)}{dt} = \sum_{\tilde{n}} \left[ W_{n\rightarrow\tilde{n}} P_{n+}\delta P_n(t) - W_{\tilde{n}\rightarrow n} P_{n+}\delta P_n(t) \right].
\]

By applying the global detailed balance condition i.e. Eq. (11), we obtain a reformulation of the Master Equation:

\[
\frac{dP_n(t)}{dt} = \sum_{\tilde{n}} W_{n\rightarrow\tilde{n}} P_n^{ss} \left[ \delta P_n(t) - \delta P_n(t) \right].
\]

Note that the standard SCMF theory relies on the microscopic detailed balance \( (W_{n\rightarrow\tilde{n}} P_n^{ss} = W_{\tilde{n}\rightarrow n} P_{n+}^{ss}) \). In that case, it is equivalent to consider the transition probabilities entering or exiting a given configuration. When the microscopic detailed balance is not satisfied the transition frequencies to be retained are the entering transitions from \( \tilde{n} \) to \( n \). The derivation from the Master Equation (Eq. (16)) of the transport coefficients is similar to the standard SCMF theory in\(^{28,35}\). It is summarized in Appendix.

We define \( \tilde{W}_{n\rightarrow\tilde{n}} \) so that \( \tilde{W}_{n\rightarrow\tilde{n}} = P_n^{ss} W_{n\rightarrow\tilde{n}} \). The expressions of the effective coefficients \( \lambda_{AB}, \lambda_{BV}, \lambda_{VV} \) and \( \lambda_{BB} \) in a dilute binary fcc alloy are then given by

\[
\lambda_{BV} = -C_{BV}^p \left[ \tilde{W}_{2}^{BV} - \frac{\Lambda_0^V (\Lambda_3^V + \Lambda_4^V)}{7W_1 + 2W_2^{BV}} \right],
\]

\[
\lambda_{VV} = C_{VV}^p \tilde{W}_0^AV + C_{BV}^p \left[ \tilde{W}_2^{BV} - \frac{\Lambda_0^V (\Lambda_3^V + \Lambda_4^V)}{7W_1 + 2W_2^{BV}} \right],
\]

\[
\lambda_{BB} = C_{BB}^p \tilde{W}_0^{AB} + C_{BV}^p \left[ \tilde{W}_2^{BV} - \frac{\Lambda_0^V (\Lambda_3^B + \Lambda_4^B)}{7W_1 + 2W_2^{BV}} \right],
\]

where \( \Lambda_0^V = 3W_{AB}^V - 2W_{AV}^V - \tilde{W}_{BV}^V \) (for \( \alpha = B, V \) and \( m = 3, 4 \)). \( C_{BV}^p \) is the cluster B-V pair concentration and \( C_{V}^p \) is the mono-vacancy concentration. These concentrations can be obtained by means of a low temperature expansion\(^{59-61}\) by solving the following system of equations:

\[
\begin{align}
C_B & = C_0^B + C_0^V C_0^B (z_{ss} - z_0) \\
C_V & = C_0^V + C_0^B C_0^V (z_{ss} - z_0) \\
C_{BV}^p & = C_B C_V^{ss} \\
C_{BV}^0 & = C_V - z_0 C_{BV}^p \\
C_{BB}^p & = C_B - z_0 C_{BB}^V
\end{align}
\]

where \( C_B \) and \( C_V \) are respectively the solute atom and vacancy concentrations. \( z_{ss} = \sum_{i\in\text{cluster}} g_i P_{i}^{ss} \) is the effective partition function at steady state where \( g_i \) is the number of equivalent iNN configurations. \( z_0 = \sum_{i\in\text{cluster}} g_i \) is the number of configurations within the cluster. It is interesting to mention that a radiation cascade perturbing the cluster distribution can be easily introduced in this cluster formulation of the transport coefficients.

Note that the term \( \Lambda_{BV}^V \) (resp. \( \Lambda_{BB}^V \)) is related to the vacancy (resp. solute atom) mobility since it contains all the vacancy (resp. solute atom) jump mechanisms including A-V (resp. A-B) and B-V (resp. V-B) exchanges. At equilibrium, \( \Lambda_{BV}^V = \Lambda_4^V \) and \( \Lambda_{BB}^V = \Lambda_4^B \) due to the microscopic detailed balance. Hence the two off-diagonal equilibrium coefficients \( \lambda_{VB} \) and \( \lambda_{BV} \) are equal, corresponding to the Onsager reciprocal relation. In addition, \( \lambda_{VV} \) (resp. \( \lambda_{BB} \)) can be separated into two parts:
are introduced into the system through an external force (i.e. irradiation in our case) and the rest. The latter represents the exchanges of the solute-vacancy pair while the former represents the hopes of an isolated vacancy (resp. solute atom).

First we consider sub-threshold irradiation for which there is no direct exchange between atoms. In this particular case, the off-diagonal coefficients are equal and from Eq. (18) we get

$$\lambda_{BV} = -C_{BV}^{p}P_{1}^{BV}W_{2}^{BV} - \frac{13W_{3}^{AV} - 2W_{1}^{AV}}{7W_{3}^{AV} + 2W_{1}^{AV} + 2W_{2}^{BV}}.$$  

(22)

Although the microscopic detailed balance is broken for the individual exchange frequencies, it still holds for the sum $W_i$ of the transition rates. By replacing the total transition rates by the corresponding thermal-activated jump frequencies, the steady-state SRO by the corresponding equilibrium SRO, the effective coefficients turn out to be equivalent to the Onsager coefficients $L_{BV}$ of the five-frequency model within the first shell approximation. As explained above, ballistic exchange frequencies are assumed to be all equal to $\Gamma_b$. As $\Gamma_b$ increases, the dynamical SRO of the 1NN B-V pair decreases towards 1. The variation of $\lambda_{BV}$ with $\Gamma_b$ depends on the full set values of the thermal-activated jump frequencies. When $\Gamma_b$ is dominant before all the $\omega_i$: $\lambda_{BV} \sim -C_{BV}C_{V}\Gamma_b$. Note that if $13\omega_3 > 2\omega_1$ ($L_{BV} < 0$), then $\lambda_{BV}$ remains negative whatever the magnitude of the ballistic exchange frequencies. Otherwise, a change of sign of $\lambda_{BV}$ can be observed when $\Gamma_b \approx -(13\omega_3 - 2\omega_1)/11$. Therefore, when a solute atom is dragged by a vacancy, forced relocation may change the sign of the solute-vacancy flux coupling and destroy the solute drag effect. In the opposite case, when $L_{BV}$ is negative, the forced relocation of atoms do not change the sign of the solute-vacancy flux coupling.

We consider now the case of above-threshold irradiation. Then the ballistic exchanges have two contributions: direct exchanges between atoms and exchanges between PD and atoms. We assume that they have the same frequencies $\Gamma_b$. The off-diagonal terms $\lambda_{BV}$ and $\lambda_{V_B}$ are not equal and their difference $\Delta\lambda = \lambda_{V_B} - \lambda_{BV}$ is given by

$$\Delta\lambda = 3C_{BV}^{p}\frac{(1 - \omega_4/\omega_3)(2\omega_2 + 2\omega_1 - 3\omega_3)\omega_4 \Gamma_b}{(7\omega_3 + 2\omega_1 + 2\omega_2 + 20\Gamma_b)(\omega_3 + 2\Gamma_b)}.$$  

(23)

Note that $\Delta\lambda = 0$ in the two extreme cases when thermal jumps are prominent ($\Gamma_b \rightarrow 0$) or when the forced relocation is prominent ($\Gamma_b \rightarrow \infty$). The sign of $\Delta\lambda$ is determined by the product $(1 - \omega_4/\omega_3)(2\omega_2 + 2\omega_1 - 3\omega_3)$, involving thermally activated jump frequencies only. First parenthesis is directly related to the equilibrium SRO parameter: $(1 - \omega_4/\omega_3)$ is negative if the vacancy and the solute atom attract each other and positive otherwise. The highest is the attraction, the largest is the difference $\Delta\lambda$.

C. Extension of the code KineCluE

Within KineCluE, the transport coefficients are deduced from a cluster expansion formalism by summing over the cluster contributions. For a given cluster containing B and V of kinetic radius $R_k$, this code takes into consideration the whole set of migration paths of B and V inside the $R_k$-sphere. This allows us to perform converged calculation of transport coefficients including long-distance relocation exchanges as well as long range kinetic correlations. In order to use steady states as reference states, a module is added to the code which calculates the effective probability distribution by solving Eq. (10). Besides, the underlying principle of the microscopic detailed balance of the code is replaced by the global detailed balance condition (Eq. (11)). Detailed descriptions will be published elsewhere. Relocation models 1, 2 and 3 presented in Section IIIA are introduced into KineCluE.

D. Validation of KineCluE against Monte Carlo simulations

We perform a few AKMC simulations to assess the KineCluE approach. The AKMC method is based on a residence-time algorithm. The simulation box is a fcc crystal of 2048 sites. It contains one single solute atom and one vacancy. We apply periodic boundary conditions. At each Monte Carlo step, we propose the whole set of the thermal activated and ballistic exchanges. We select one exchange from the proposed mechanisms. After every exchange, we compute the residence time increment. We compute the dynamical SRO parameter from an average on the residential time, relying on the ergodic principle. We use the Allnatt’s formulae to characterize the diffusion properties of the solid solution at steady state. From the displacement fluctuations, we compute a set of effective transport coefficients. Note that the corresponding off-diagonal coefficients $l_{BV}$ and $l_{VB}$ given by the AKMC method are equal by construction because the principle of the microscopic detailed balance is assumed in the Allnatt’s derivation. As shown in, they do not correspond to the transport coefficients $\lambda_{BV}$ and $\lambda_{V_B}$ when the system is away from equilibrium. We expect that the Onsager reciprocal relation is no longer valid when an a-thermal ballistic mechanism is introduced into the system through an external force (i.e. irradiation in our case). It is thus not guaranteed that the matrix of the effective transport coefficients is still symmetric as it is in the system near equilibrium. As for the KineCluE approach, the kinetic radius $R_k$ of the cluster B-V is set to $4\sigma_0$. The convergence of the results is checked by additional calculations performed with larger kinetic radius.

We choose here a model alloy with highly attractive vacancy-solute interactions because it emphasizes the effect of relocation events on the flux couplings. The mi-
shows the variation of the transport coefficients $\omega$ from KineCluE and AKMC simulations. Results are obtained for $\omega_3 = 3.55 \times 10^5 s^{-1}$ and $\omega_3 = 1.07 \times 10^2 s^{-1}$ at $T = 400K$. The ballistic relocation model 1 is applied.

Fig. 2 shows the evolution of the dynamical 1NN-SRO under sub- and above-threshold ballistic relocation. We obtain an excellent agreement between the KineCluE results and the AKMC simulations. As expected, the dynamical SRO decreases with the ballistic frequency with a higher rate in the case of an above-threshold irradiation.

Fig. 3 shows the variation of the transport coefficients with the ballistic jump frequency in the sub-threshold irradiation regime. Both KineCluE and AKMC methods give the same transport coefficients because the microscopic detailed balance holds for the total transition rates. However, in the thermal regime when $\Gamma_b$ is small, we observe a slight discrepancy between the coefficients. Yet the size of the AKMC simulation box is roughly the same as the size of the kinetic radius sphere of KineCluE. The discrepancy may be due to the difference in the applied boundary conditions between KineCluE and the AKMC method. In KineCluE, configurations of solute and vacancy located at a distance larger than the kinetic radius are not included in the calculation, while the AKMC method relies on periodic boundary conditions. In the latter, atoms or PDs exiting from the simulation box enter back through another side and add a kinetic correlation contribution to the transport coefficients. We observe that the difference between the results of KineCluE and the AKMC method decreases with $\Gamma_b$. This is because the ballistic mechanisms reduce the B-V interaction and the spatial range of the kinetic correlation barriers (in eV) are set to 0.95 for $\omega_0$ and $\omega_3$, 0.75 for $\omega_1$ and $\omega_4$, and 0.60 for $\omega_2$. The attempt frequency $\nu$ is chosen to be $10^{14}$ s$^{-1}$. As for the forced relocation model, we choose model 1 with $r_m = r_1$.

In the case of above-threshold irradiation, we observe a slight discrepancy between the coefficients.

FIG. 2. First nearest neighbor (1NN) short range order as a function of the sub-threshold ballistic exchange frequency $\Gamma_b$ from KineCluE and AKMC simulations. Results are obtained for $\omega_3 = 3.55 \times 10^5 s^{-1}$ and $\omega_3 = 1.07 \times 10^2 s^{-1}$ at $T = 400K$. The ballistic relocation model 1 is applied.

FIG. 3. Solute atom diffusion coefficient and off-diagonal coefficients of transport matrix as a function of the sub-threshold ballistic exchange frequency $\Gamma_b$ from KineCluE (solid and dashed lines) and AKMC (unfilled circles) simulations. Results are obtained for $\omega_{0,3} = 1.07 \times 10^2 s^{-1}$, $\omega_2 = 1.52 \times 10^5 s^{-1}$ and $\omega_{1,4} = 3.55 \times 10^4 s^{-1}$ at $T = 400K$. The ballistic relocation model 1 is applied.

FIG. 4. Solute atom diffusion coefficient and off-diagonal coefficients of transport matrix as a function of the above-threshold ballistic exchange frequency $\Gamma_b$ from KineCluE (solid and dashed lines) and AKMC (unfilled circles) simulations. Results are obtained for $\omega_{0,3} = 1.07 \times 10^2 s^{-1}$, $\omega_2 = 1.52 \times 10^5 s^{-1}$ and $\omega_{1,4} = 3.55 \times 10^4 s^{-1}$ at $T = 400K$. The ballistic relocation model 1 is applied.

The discrepancy may be due to the difference in the applied boundary conditions between KineCluE and the AKMC method. In KineCluE, configurations of solute and vacancy located at a distance larger than the kinetic radius are not included in the calculation, while the AKMC method relies on periodic boundary conditions. In the latter, atoms or PDs exiting from the simulation box enter back through another side and add a kinetic correlation contribution to the transport coefficients. We observe that the difference between the results of KineCluE and the AKMC method decreases with $\Gamma_b$. This is because the ballistic mechanisms reduce the B-V interaction and the spatial range of the kinetic correlation barriers (in eV) are set to 0.95 for $\omega_0$ and $\omega_3$, 0.75 for $\omega_1$ and $\omega_4$, and 0.60 for $\omega_2$. The attempt frequency $\nu$ is chosen to be $10^{14}$ s$^{-1}$. As for the forced relocation model, we choose model 1 with $r_m = r_1$.

In the case of above-threshold irradiation, we observe...
a similar behaviour of the diffusion properties in Fig. 4. Although the single off-diagonal coefficient measured in AKMC simulations does not correspond any more to the off-diagonal effective transport coefficients obtained by KineCluE.

IV. RESULTS

Here we focus on the above-threshold irradiation case. We consider a model alloy with relatively high migration barriers. Hence the alloy is potentially sensitive to ballistic effect, just because the thermal jump frequencies are small with respect to the ballistic exchange frequency deduced from realistic dose rate. The energy interaction between B and V is restricted to a pairwise 1NN interaction. The migration barriers (in eV) are set to 1.10 for $\omega_1$, $\omega_2$ and 0.90 for $\omega_3$, and 0.80 for $\omega_2$. The attempt frequency $\nu$ is chosen to be equal to $5 \times 10^{-12} \text{ s}^{-1}$. The three models of forced relocation indicated in the Section II.A are considered. We use KineCluE to calculate the transport coefficients.

A. Diffusion properties

The parameter values that we set to estimate the vacancy concentration under irradiation are shown in Table I. Here the mean relocation range $r_m$ and the cutting distance $L$ for model 2 and 3 are respectively set to 1NN ($\sqrt{1/2} a_0$) and 5NN ($\sqrt{5/2} a_0$) distances. The kinetic radius is set to $2a_0$ within which the vacancy performs ballistic jumps towards the 1NN of the solute atom.

1. Dynamical short range order

Fig. 5 shows the profile of steady-state SRO in function of $\Gamma_b$ for models 1, 2 and 3. The 1NN interaction between B and V is reduced by the ballistic relocation. The decrease of 1NN-SRO with the ballistic frequency in model 1 starts when $\Gamma_b$ is around $10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$. The decrease starts earlier in models 2 and 3: respectively around $10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$. However, the 1NN-SRO of model 3 converges towards non-zero value at large $\Gamma_b$. In model 1, there is no interaction between B and V beyond the 1NN distance whatever the ballistic frequency. However, in model 2 and 3, we observe that the effective B-V interaction extends beyond the range of the thermal one (i.e. beyond the 1NN). The effective interaction remains up to 5NN distance when $\Gamma_b$ is comparable to one of the thermal jump frequencies. This is due to the relatively long range ballistic relocation of B and V. In the extreme case when $\Gamma_b$ is dominant before the thermal jump frequencies, the B-V interactions are dropping in models 1 and 2 whereas in model 3 the 1NN attraction is slightly decreasing and the 2NN, 3NN, 4NN and 5NN are slightly increasing. The segregation tendency of a vacancy around the solute atom is still high (about $10^2$ at 1NN) due to the introduction of the ballistic jumps of vacancy towards the 1NN sites of the solute atom in model 3.

2. Tracer diffusion coefficient

In the dilute limit, the tracer diffusion coefficient of solute B is written as

$$D_B^* = \frac{\lambda_{BB}}{C_B}. \quad (24)$$

Phenomenological models of diffusion under irradiation systematically rely on the assumption that the thermally activated diffusion and ballistic relocation take place in parallel.\textsuperscript{11,55} The tracer diffusion coefficient is then written as a sum of two diffusion coefficients:

$$D_{B,\text{add}}^* = D_{B,\text{th}}^* \frac{C_V^*}{C_V^* + D_{B,\text{bal}}^*} \quad (25)$$

with $D_{B,\text{th}}^*$ the thermal diffusion coefficient and $D_{B,\text{bal}}^*$ the ballistic diffusion coefficient. $D_{B,\text{th}}^*$ is commonly deduced from diffusion experiments or atomic based dif-

### Table I. List of the parameters needed to estimate the vacancy concentration and their values set in the paper.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lattice parameter $a_0$</td>
<td>0.35 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacancy formation enthalpy $\mathcal{H}_V^*$</td>
<td>1.65 eV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacancy formation entropy $S_V^*$</td>
<td>1.82 $k_B$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of ballistic jumps per dpa $n_{\text{bal}}$</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective sink strength $k^2$</td>
<td>$10^{17} \text{ m}^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
fusion models. $D_{B,\text{bal}}^*$ is the diffusion coefficient of solute atom B resulting from ballistic jump mechanisms only. Note that both coefficients can be calculated by KineChuE. Unless one diffusion mechanism is dominant over the other, we expect a non-additive contribution to the solute tracer diffusion coefficient because of the kinetic correlations. In order to explore the non-additive contribution, we define the parameter

$$\Delta D_B = \frac{D_{B,\text{add}}^* - D_B^*}{D_B^*}$$

(26)

to quantify the difference between $D_{B,\text{add}}^*$ and $D_B^*$.

Fig 6 shows the variation of the solute diffusion coefficient with the ballistic frequency. We observe that the global tendencies of the diffusion coefficients obtained with the three models are similar. Although the three curves do not have the same asymptote at large $\Gamma_B$ because the ballistic relocation models are quite different. The largest difference occurs when the correlation factor $f_B$ is increased by the ballistic relocation. With models 1 and 2, this factor tends to 1 when $\Gamma_B$ is dominant over the thermal frequencies, meaning that there is no kinetic correlations. However, in model 3, the correlation factor tend to 0.46. The remaining kinetic correlations are due to the biased ballistic jump of vacancy towards a 1NN lattice site of the solute atom. Besides, $\Delta D_B$ is high when $\Gamma_B$ is in the value range of the thermal jump frequencies because then, there is a strong competition between the ballistic and thermal mechanisms. In this example, $\Delta D_B$ spans from 100% to 300% depending on the relocation model.

3. Flux coupling

We characterize the flux coupling between solute B and vacancy V by computing the wind factors $4,16,63$

$$\delta_{B\rightarrow V} = \frac{\lambda_{BV}}{\lambda_{UV}}$$

(27)

and

$$\delta_{V\rightarrow B} = \frac{\lambda_{VB}}{\lambda_{BB}}$$

(28)

Both wind factors describe the B-V flux coupling related to two different situations. The wind factor $\delta_{B\rightarrow V}$ gives the number of solute atoms following the vacancy under the driving force $\nabla \mu_V$ and the wind factor $\delta_{V\rightarrow B}$ indicates the tendency of vacancy dragged by the solute atom under the driving force $\nabla \mu_B$. If the wind factors are positive, a drag of B by V (or vice versa) may occur. In general, the interactions between the solute atom and the vacancy are reduced or even destroyed by the forced atomic relocation. Since the drag effect is highly related to this interaction, we study the effect of the ballistic frequency on the wind factors.

Fig. 7 shows the variation of the wind factors with the ballistic frequency. Whatever the relocation models, $\delta_{B\rightarrow V}$ and $\delta_{V\rightarrow B}$ globally decrease with $\Gamma_B$. However, $\delta_{V\rightarrow B}$ of model 1 has a surprising non-monotonous
behaviour: the drag effect is enhanced before being destroyed by the ballistic relocations. \( r_{B \rightarrow V} \) of model 3 has also an atypical behaviour: it slightly increases and tend to a non-zero value at large \( \Gamma_b \), meaning that the solute drag and vacancy drag effects are not totally destroyed by the ballistic relocations. The biased vacancy ballistic relocation maintains a flux coupling between B and V. This persistent flux coupling at high radiation flux should be very sensitive to the details of the relocation mechanism.

B. Sensitivity study with respect to the relocation model parameters

The forced relocation models depend on the values of the mean relocation range \( r_m \), the kinetic radius \( R_k \) of the cluster B-V and the truncation distance \( L \). However, the latter parameter is not a physical parameter. Since the relocation frequency exponentially decreases with the distance between B and V (see Eq. (3)), the value of \( L \) does not affect the diffusion properties as long as it is large enough. Therefore, we focus here on the sensitivity of the results to the other two parameters: \( r_m \) and \( R_k \).

1. Kinetic radius

In general, the results given by KineCluE code converge with the kinetic radius \( R_k \). However in model 3, the vacancy has a different ballistic migration mechanism inside and outside the B-V cluster. In this case, the results obtained with model 3 depend on the values of \( R_k \). Fig. 8 shows that \( D_B, \Delta D_B \) and \( \delta_{B \rightarrow V} \) are not very sensitive to the change of the kinetic radius. Although, the decrease rate of \( \delta_{V \rightarrow B} \) with \( \Gamma_b \) is slower with \( R_k = 3a_0 \) than \( 2a_0 \). This is because the vacancy performs ballistic jump towards the 1NN of the solute atom from longer distances.

2. Mean relocation range

Fig. 9 shows the effect of the mean relocation distance \( r_m \) on the solute diffusion and flux couplings. First we focus on model 1. Since the solute mobility is enhanced when increasing the relocation distance, the corresponding solute diffusion coefficient increases with \( r_m \). Besides, according to the plot of \( \Delta D_B \), the interaction between thermal and ballistic mechanisms decreases with \( r_m \). The thermally-activated jump distance and the thermal interaction between B and V are both restricted to 1NN. The largest the ballistic relocation distance, the smallest the B-V interaction. Thus B and V are more likely to diffuse as monomers, a kinetic regime where the thermal and ballistic tracer diffusion properties become additive. As for the flux coupling, the decreasing rate of \( \delta_{B \rightarrow V} \) with \( \Gamma_b \) increases with \( r_m \). Thus the solute drag effect is destroyed more easily. Besides, the variation tendency of \( \delta_{V \rightarrow B} \) with \( \Gamma_b \) become qualitatively different when \( r_m \) is larger than 2NN and 3NN. This may be due to the same reason mentioned before: the B and V have many more paths to escape from each other. As for the results obtained with models 2 and 3, they have similar profiles to the ones in model 1.

C. Sensitivity study with respect to the thermal jump parameters

The effect of the forced relocations depends on the thermodynamics and the intrinsic thermal jump frequencies of the alloy. We use KineCluE to perform a sensitive study of the radiation kinetic properties with respect to the thermal jump frequencies. Fig. 10 shows the the variation of \( \Delta D_B \) and the wind factors \( \delta_{B \rightarrow V}, \delta_{V \rightarrow B} \) with respect to \( \Gamma_b \), for various values of \( \omega_a \) provided. The values of the other thermal jump frequencies are fixed. Since the previous section has shown that the effect of ballistic relocations is roughly the same in terms of the global tendency whatever the relocation model and the the mean
relocation distance, we choose the simplest model, model 1 with \(r_m = r_1\). The interactions between thermal and ballistic mechanisms are emphasized in this case because the hop distances are both 1NN. The ratio \(\omega_4/\omega_3\) directly affects the binding energy \(E_0\) between solute atom and vacancy at 1NN. We observe that \(\Delta D_B\) and wind factors increase with the binding energy. Besides, the largest the binding energy, the largest the enhancement of the wind factor \(\delta_{V-B}\) by ballistic relocation. This can be explained by noting that the solute atom and vacancy tend to be closer to each other with a larger binding energy. Therefore, the interaction between the ballistic relocation and vacancy-mediated diffusion of solute atom is more important, leading to a larger difference from a result given by the additive expression Eq. (25). Moreover, the segregation tendency of the vacancy around the solute atom (or vice versa) increases, causing an enhancement of the wind factor \(\delta_V\). As well, \(\omega_1\) and \(\omega_2\) have a non-negligible effect on the profile of \(\Delta D_B\) and wind factors in function of \(\Gamma_b\). Here we set \(\omega_4\) to its initial value \(2.3 \times 10^3 \text{s}^{-1}\) and we perform calculations with different values of \(\omega_1\) and \(\omega_2\). Fig. 11 shows that if \(\omega_2\) is large compared to \(\omega_1\) (more than 1 order of magnitude), the tendencies are opposite: \(\Delta D_B\) and \(\delta_{B-V}\) decrease with \(\omega_1\) whereas the enhancement of \(\delta_{V-B}\) by the ballistic relocation increases with \(\omega_1\). However, we observe that if the values of \(\omega_1\) and \(\omega_2\) are close (within 1 order of magnitude), the variations of \(\Delta D_B\) and wind factors with \(\Gamma_b\) are not sensitive to \(\omega_1\).

V. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

Neutron or ion irradiation in metals generates displacement cascades. We present a simplified model of the latter by introducing forced ballistic relocation exchanging between atom-atom pairs and PD-atom pairs, and an average creation rate of PD uniform in time and space. To calculate the energetic and kinetic properties, we write a Master Equation for the evolution of the distribution function which includes both the thermal and ballistic exchanges. We extend the SCMF theory to solve and compute the SRO parameters and the effective Onsager transport coefficients of the steady states reached under irradiation. The main difficulty lies in the loss of the microscopic detailed balance when considering ballistic exchange mechanisms. Relying on the relocation model including ballistic exchanges between 1NN sites only and a first shell approximation of the kinetic correlations, we derive analytical expressions of the effective Onsager transport coefficients. We demonstrate that the forced relocation exchange is not an additive term to the trans-
Port coefficients. When the magnitude of the relocation frequency is in the range of the thermal frequencies, the relocation exchange interacts with the thermal diffusion mechanism, yielding non-symmetric off diagonal transport coefficients and a solute tracer diffusion coefficient deviating from a direct sum of the thermal and ballistic contributions. This deviation increases with the solute kinetic correlations. We use the automated code KineCluE to yield a more systematic study of the effect of the range and magnitude of the ballistic exchanges on the kinetic properties, including a sensitivity study with respect to the alloy thermodynamics and the models of ballistic exchanges and PD production.

Due to the lack of data on the detailed mechanisms of forced relocations and PD production, we introduce models 2 and 3 representing two extreme situations, expecting the real situation to be in-between. In model 2, we assume the relocation of atoms and vacancy is a fully ballistic process while in model 3, we introduce a biased relocation of vacancy toward NN sites of the solute atoms to reproduce the fact that the creation of vacancy within a cascade is partially driven by the vacancy-solute thermodynamic attraction. As a result, part of the vacancy-solute SRO remains which in turns leads to a higher resistance of the vacancy-solute flux coupling to irradiation. Positive flux couplings are the result of strong kinetic correlations. Consequently, when there are flux couplings, the thermal and ballistic solute diffusion contributions are not additive. A persistent vacancy-solute flux coupling at low temperature and high radiation flux may play an important role on the solute redistribution of the materials. Therefore, the mechanism of PD production with respect to the solute atom spatial distribution within the displacement cascade should be analyzed more precisely. Our sensitivity study shows that the magnitude of the surviving kinetic correlations strongly depends on the details of the biased relocation mechanism, while the reduction of correlations and flux couplings due to the randomizing processes are less sensitive to the details of the ballistic events unless the forced relocation distance is in the same range as the thermodynamic range. Hence, if the size of a cascade is close to the thermodynamic interaction range, we may expect an increase of the vacancy drag by the solute atoms. Eventually, the ballistic-thermal coupling effect on vacancy-solute positive flux couplings is all the more important as the solute-vacancy thermodynamic attraction is large, the magnitude of the thermal jump frequencies compare with the relocation exchange frequency and the range of the thermodynamic interaction is close to the relocation distances. As for the tracer diffusion coefficients, their non additivity property with respect to the ballistic and thermal diffusion follows the same trend as the flux coupling phenomena in systems featuring positive flux couplings but may also arise in case of no positive flux coupling but strong correlated solute migration paths. For instance, the additive expression of Eq. (25) well reproduces the diffusion coefficient of Au in Al measured under irradiation. This is because the vacancy-jump barrier in Al is around 0.58 eV, hence the thermal frequencies are dominant over the ballistic ones under realistic experimental conditions. However, we expect a non negligible effect of the ballistic relocations in Ni-based alloys because the vacancy-mediated migration barrier in pure Ni is high (around 1.09 eV).

In this work, vacancy-mediated diffusion is considered only. There is no difficulty to account for the self-interstitial mediated diffusion mechanisms. However the migration barrier of SIA are usually much smaller than the vacancy-mediated ones. Therefore we do not expect an important impact of the relocation exchanges on the SIA-mediated diffusion properties. In Fe-based dilute alloys, positive vacancy-solute flux couplings is very common. Our future work will be to quantify the ballistic effects on the diffusion properties of these specific alloys with respect to the irradiation conditions. For now, the recombination reactions between SIA and V are introduced at the upper scale, within the mean field rate theory model of the average PD concentrations. Yet, these reactions are a-thermal events which should be incorporated in the Master Equation and treated on the same foot as the relocation events. Although it needs to be checked, we usually assume the recombination reaction does not depend on the local chemical environment of the point defects. Such a recombination model should add a randomizing diffusion mechanism. To conclude, a thorough investigation of the alloying effects on the radiation cascade and the recombination reactions would be very useful to understand diffusion and more generally diffusion-controlled phase transformations under irradiation.

![FIG. 11. $\Delta D_B$, and wind factors $\delta_{B\rightarrow V}$, $\delta_{V\rightarrow B}$ as a function of ballistic frequency $\Gamma_b$ from KineCluE simulations. Results are obtained for $\omega_{0,3} = 6.9 \times 10^{-2} s^{-1}$, $\omega_2 = 4.2 \times 10^2 s^{-1}$ and $\omega_4 = 2.3 \times 10^4 s^{-1}$ with different values of $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ at $T = 400 K$. $C_B$ is set 0.1 at.%.](image-url)
tion.

Appendix: SCMF expressions at the NESS

In this appendix, we introduce in short how to obtain the transport coefficients from the microscopic Master Equation by the standard SCMF formulation.\(^{28,35}\) Note that \(\delta P_n(t)\) in Eq. (16) is a corrective term representing the modification of the effective distribution function \(\tilde{P}_n\) due to the presence of an applied driving force. It is written as

\[
\delta P_n(t) = \exp \left[ \beta \left( \delta \Omega(t) + \sum_{\alpha,i} \delta \mu_i^\alpha(t)n_i^\alpha - h(t) \right) \right],
\]

\[\text{(A.1)}\]

Starting from the Master Equation Eq. (16), the time derivative of the ensemble average can be given by

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \langle n_i^\alpha n_j^\beta \cdots \rangle = \beta \sum_{\bar{n},\bar{n}'} \bar{n}_i^\alpha \bar{n}_j^\beta \cdots \tilde{P}_{n\rightarrow n'} W_{\bar{n}\rightarrow \bar{n}'} \left[ \sum_{\alpha,i} \delta \mu_i^\alpha \left( \bar{n}_i^\alpha - n_i^\alpha \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha,i,j} \nu_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \left( \bar{n}_i^\alpha \bar{n}_j^\beta - n_i^\alpha n_j^\beta \right) \right] + \delta \Omega(\langle n_i^\alpha \rangle),
\]

\[\text{(A.4)}\]

where \(\bar{n}_i^\alpha\) is the occupation number of the configuration \(\bar{n}\). By denoting \(\langle \cdot \rangle_{ss}\) the ensemble average over the steady-state distribution function \(P_{nss}\), the derivative of the one-point average \(\langle n_i^\alpha \rangle\) can be given by

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \langle n_i^\alpha \rangle = \beta \sum_{s \neq i} \sum_{\gamma} \bar{n}_s^\gamma \bar{n}_i^\alpha W_{si}^{\alpha\gamma} \left[ (\delta \mu_s^\gamma - \delta \mu_i^\alpha) + 2\nu_{si}^{\alpha\gamma} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\delta \neq s, k \neq \delta} \nu_{sk}^{\alpha\delta} + \nu_{ik}^{\alpha\delta} + \nu_{jk}^{\alpha\delta} \right]_{ss},
\]

\[\text{(A.5)}\]

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \langle n_i^\alpha n_j^\beta \rangle = \beta \sum_{s \neq i} \sum_{\gamma} \bar{n}_s^\gamma \bar{n}_i^\alpha \bar{n}_j^\beta W_{si}^{\alpha\gamma} \left[ (\delta \mu_s^\alpha - \delta \mu_i^\alpha) + 2\nu_{si}^{\alpha\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\delta \neq s, k \neq \delta} \nu_{sk}^{\alpha\delta} + \nu_{ik}^{\alpha\delta} + \nu_{jk}^{\alpha\delta} \right]_{ss} + \beta \sum_{s \neq i} \sum_{\gamma} \bar{n}_s^\gamma \bar{n}_i^\alpha \bar{n}_j^\beta W_{sj}^{\alpha\gamma} \left[ (\delta \mu_j^\beta - \delta \mu_i^\alpha) + 2\nu_{ji}^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\delta \neq j, k \neq \delta} \nu_{sk}^{\beta\delta} + \nu_{ik}^{\beta\delta} + \nu_{jk}^{\beta\delta} \right]_{ss},
\]

\[\text{(A.6)}\]

where \(\delta \mu_i^{\alpha\gamma} = \delta \mu_i^\alpha - \delta \mu_i^\gamma\). By applying the continuity equation to the kinetic equation of the one-point average written as:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \langle n_i^\alpha \rangle = - \sum_{s \neq i} J_{i \rightarrow s}^{\alpha},
\]

\[\text{(A.7)}\]

we can deduce the expression of the flux of chemical species. In a dilute alloy A(B) with one solute atom (B) and vacancy (V), the B-V interaction is supposed to be dominant before the other interactions. As a result, the atomic
fluxes of solute atom and vacancy under first shell approximation are recognized to be:

\[
J_{i \to s}^V = -\beta \left( \frac{\nu_i^{VA} W_{si}^{VA}}{\nu_s^{VA}} \cdot \vec{r}_i \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \neq i \neq s} n_k^{B} \left( \nu_{sk}^{BV} - \nu_{ik}^{BV} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \neq i \neq s} n_k^{B} \left( \nu_{sk}^{BV} - 2\nu_{si}^{BV} \right),
\]

(A.8)

\[
J_{i \to s}^B = -\beta \left( \frac{\nu_i^{BA} W_{si}^{BA}}{\nu_s^{BA}} \cdot \vec{r}_i \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \neq i \neq s} n_k^{B} \left( \nu_{sk}^{BV} - \nu_{ik}^{BV} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \neq i \neq s} n_k^{B} \left( \nu_{sk}^{BV} - 2\nu_{si}^{BV} \right),
\]

(A.9)

The effective interaction \( \nu^{BV} \) can be estimated from the stationary condition of the kinetic equation of the two-point average \( \langle n_k^{B} n_i^{V} \rangle \). Under the first shell approximation, the effective interactions are restricted to the pair B-V at 1NN. In additions, \( \nu^{BV} \) can be expressed as a function of the chemical potential gradient. Therefore, the atomic fluxes of solute atom and vacancy are also functions of \( \nabla \mu^{VA} \) and \( \nabla \mu^{BA} \), allowing us to identify the transport coefficients and the expressions under first shell approximation are written as Eq. (17)–(20).