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Three-dimensional Dirac semimetals with Dirac points carrying $\mathbb{Z}_2$ monopole charges can be realized in systems preserving the combined symmetry of space-inversion ($P$) and time-reversal ($T$). Here we systematically study $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric non-Hermitian Dirac semimetals incorporating different kinds of symmetry-preserving non-Hermitian potentials. These potentials render non-Hermitian semimetals to be in either $\mathcal{PT}$-unbroken or $\mathcal{PT}$-broken phases. Interestingly, with the same kind of non-Hermitian potentials, systems with periodic and open boundary conditions may belong to different $\mathcal{PT}$ phases unique to non-Hermitian systems. We find that the topological properties of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ monopole charges are retained in non-Hermitian Dirac semimetals with $\mathcal{PT}$-unbroken phases, where the bulk-boundary correspondence can be established. However, these systems exhibit features with no counterpart in Hermitian theory, such as the reflection-symmetric non-Hermitian skin effect and Fermi ribbon surface states.

I. INTRODUCTION

In three-dimensional topological Dirac semimetals, two doubly degenerate bands touch each other at Dirac points and disperse linearly in all directions away from the Dirac points \textsuperscript{11}–\textsuperscript{13}. Beyond fundamental interest and practical value in itself, Dirac point plays a key role as the bridge between different topological phases in the topological band theory \textsuperscript{11}–\textsuperscript{13}. By breaking either space-inversion ($P$) or time-reversal ($T$) symmetry, the fourfold degeneracy at a Dirac point is broken, resulting in two separate Weyl points \textsuperscript{11}. However, it is found that in Hermitian systems the existence of Dirac points requires only the combined $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry, where $P$ and $T$ can be broken individually \textsuperscript{12}–\textsuperscript{14}. $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry is of fundamental interest as it can be used to replace the axiom of Hermiticity to formulate a $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric quantum theory \textsuperscript{14}–\textsuperscript{19}. In this theory, an important concept is “$\mathcal{PT}$-broken/unbroken phase”. If the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian describing a system are at the same time the eigenstates of the $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry operator, then the system is in a “$\mathcal{PT}$-unbroken phase” which exhibits a real spectrum; if not, then the system is in a “$\mathcal{PT}$-broken phase” which exhibits a complex spectrum \textsuperscript{13}–\textsuperscript{20}. The transition between these two phases is associated with a degeneracy called exceptional point \textsuperscript{19}–\textsuperscript{21}. At the exceptional points, two or more eigenstates coalesce, i.e., the dimension of the eigenspace reduces due to the non-diagonal Jordan block. Though experimentally challenging at first, it was later discovered that optic and photonic systems offer an ideal platform for the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric quantum theory, where non-Hermitian effects of terms are naturally introduced by optical radiation and loss/gain of particles \textsuperscript{20}–\textsuperscript{24}. Studies on $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric quantum theory not only deepen our understanding on fundamental physics \textsuperscript{20}–\textsuperscript{29}, but also lead to novel applications \textsuperscript{30}–\textsuperscript{34}.

Recently, there is a growing interest in non-Hermitian topological materials, particularly in topological gapless phases \textsuperscript{33}–\textsuperscript{34}. In these systems, Weyl or Dirac points could be deformed into exceptional rings by non-Hermiticity \textsuperscript{35}–\textsuperscript{37}, and non-trivial exceptional manifolds are discovered in non-Hermitian semimetals \textsuperscript{15}–\textsuperscript{26}. Furthermore, by investigating the interplay between symmetry and topology, much work has been done towards the classification of non-Hermitian gapless phases \textsuperscript{17}–\textsuperscript{18}. However, so far, the non-Hermitian Dirac semimetals have not been fully investigated, especially not in the context of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric quantum theory. The relation between the Dirac points and $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry remains unclear and the corresponding bulk-boundary correspondence is yet to be explored in the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric non-Hermitian Dirac semimetals.

In this paper, we study Dirac semimetals characterized by $\mathbb{Z}_2$ monopole charges in the presence of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric non-Hermitian potentials. Such non-Hermitian Dirac semimetals are invariant under $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry with $(\mathcal{PT})^2 = +1$. The corresponding symmetry operator can be represented as the complex conjugation $\mathcal{PT} = \hat{K}$ up to a basis choice in momentum space. The Hermitian continuum model for the Dirac semimetals that respects $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry reads \textsuperscript{39}.

\begin{equation}
\mathcal{H}_\text{Dirac}(\mathbf{k}) = k_x \Gamma_1 + k_y \Gamma_2 + k_z \Gamma_3,
\end{equation}

with the Gamma matrices $\Gamma_1 = \sigma_1 \otimes \tau_0$, $\Gamma_2 = \sigma_2 \otimes \tau_2$ and $\Gamma_3 = \sigma_3 \otimes \tau_0$. Together with $\Gamma_4 = \sigma_2 \otimes \tau_1$ and $\Gamma_5 = \sigma_2 \otimes \tau_3$ they form a matrix representation of the Clifford algebra. Here $\sigma_0$ and $\tau_0$ are two-dimensional identity matrices, and $\sigma_i$ and $\tau_i$ with $i = 1, 2, 3$ are Pauli matrices. The Dirac point is characterized by a real $\mathbb{Z}_2$ monopole for the $O(N)$ Berry bundle, the reality of which is enforced by the $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry \textsuperscript{39}. This kind of real monopoles exists, for example, in experimentally realizable Dirac semimetal materials \textsuperscript{50}–\textsuperscript{51}.

The Hermiticity of Eq. (1) is broken by the inclusion of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric non-Hermitian potentials. These non-Hermitian potentials can be systematically explored by considering the relation between the Gamma matrices and $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry. Notice that under $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry $\Gamma_1$ to $\Gamma_3$ are even, while $\Gamma_4$ and $\Gamma_5$ are odd. We find that there are totally three types of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric non-Hermitian potentials, $\gamma_0 = \Gamma_1 \Gamma_2 \Gamma_3$, $\gamma_i = \varepsilon_{ijk} \Gamma_j \Gamma_k$, and
$\gamma_{4/5} = i \Gamma_{4/5}$, where $\epsilon_{ijk}$ is the Levi-civita symbol with $i = 1, 2, 3$. In momentum space, while $\gamma_0$ simply splits a Dirac point to two Weyl points separated by their imaginary energies, the non-Hermitian kinetic potentials $\gamma_i$, which commute with one of the kinetic terms in Eq. (1), and the non-Hermitian anti-commuting potentials $\gamma_{4/5}$, which anticommute with the whole Hamiltonian, induce entirely new phases not seen in Hermitian theory. Thus, in this work we focus on the latter two types of non-Hermitian potentials. Since spectra and states in systems with periodic boundary conditions (PBC) may be drastically different from those with open boundary conditions (OBC), it is necessary to consider the $\mathcal{PT}$ phases of systems with PBC and OBC separately.

The non-Hermitian kinetic potentials $\gamma_i$ turn a Dirac point into exceptional rings with PBC. The system is entirely in the $\mathcal{PT}$-broken phase. Different with PBC, the OBC system exhibits $\mathcal{PT}$-unbroken phase in most region. A similarity transformation can be performed to convert the Hamiltonian to be Hermitian in this region, and the Fermi arc surface states corresponds to the Dirac points in this transformed Hamiltonian. Both bulk and boundary eigenstates are found to be exponentially localized at two opposite boundaries, leading to an reflection-symmetric non-Hermitian skin effect. In contrast, the non-Hermitian anti-commuting potentials $\gamma_{4/5}$ turn a Dirac point into an exceptional sphere with PBC. The exceptional sphere separates the Brillouin zone into $\mathcal{PT}$-broken and unbroken regions. The real $\mathbb{Z}_2$ monopole charge is inherited by the sphere and can be obtained in the $\mathcal{PT}$-unbroken region. In this case, PBC and OBC systems are consistent with each other. A new kind of Fermi ribbon surface states is formed due to non-Hermiticity, which respects the conventional bulk-boundary correspondence. For all the cases that are summarized in TABLE I, a common feature is that systems with $\mathcal{PT}$-unbroken phases retain the topological property of the original real $\mathbb{Z}_2$ monopole charge, where the bulk-boundary correspondence can also be established.

The rest of this paper is structured as follows. In Sec. III we introduce the lattice model of Dirac semimetals and discuss the case of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric non-Hermitian kinetic potentials. In Sec. IV we discuss $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric non-Hermitian potentials that anticommute with the bare Hamiltonian in Eq. (1). Finally, we conclude and discuss our findings in Sec. V.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Hermiticity</th>
<th>PBC</th>
<th>OBC</th>
<th>Consistent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kinetic</td>
<td>$\mathcal{PT}$-broken</td>
<td>$\mathcal{PT}$-unbroken</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-commuting</td>
<td>$\mathcal{PT}$-unbroken</td>
<td>$\mathcal{PT}$-unbroken</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE I**. The phases of the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric non-Hermitian Dirac semimetals with different symmetry-preserving potentials under different boundary conditions. The last column indicates whether the PBC system is consistent with the OBC system.
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(a) The spectrum of the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) against $k_y$ and $k_z$ at $k_x = 0$, where the Dirac point is clearly visible. (b) The left panel shows the spectrum of the Dirac Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{\text{Dirac}}(k)$ with a $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric non-Hermitian kinetic potential $\gamma_i$, where the band crossings form exceptional rings in the three-dimensional Brillouin zone shown in the right panel. (c) The left panel shows the spectrum for the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric non-Hermitian anti-commuting potential $\gamma_{4/5}$, and the right panel shows the corresponding Dirac exceptional sphere.

**II. $\mathcal{PT}$-SYMMETRIC NON-MERMITIAN KINETIC POTENTIALS**

We start by constructing a minimum lattice model for the Dirac points described by Eq. (1). Similar to Weyl semimetals, Dirac points of $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetric systems appear only in pairs on a lattice, which follows the Nielsen-Ninomiya theorem [49]. Thus the minimal lattice model contains at least a pair of Dirac points in the three-dimensional Brillouin zone, which can be constructed as,

$$\mathcal{H}_0(k) = \sin k_x \Gamma_1 + \sin k_y \Gamma_2 + (M - \cos k_x - \cos k_y - \cos k_z) \Gamma_3.$$ (2)

For $1 < M < 3$, the two Dirac points are located at $(0, 0, \pm k_c)$ with $k_c = \arccos(M - 2)$. In the continuum limit with $k_x, k_y \to 0$ and $k_z \to \pm k_c$, the lattice model reproduces the form of the continuum model in Eq. (1), with the spectrum around the Dirac point shown in FIG. 1(a). In a slab geometry with OBC, the model exhibits Fermi arc surface states that are attached to the two Dirac points in the bulk.

The lattice model of the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric non-Hermitian Dirac semimetals can be simply constructed by including symmetry-preserving non-Hermitian kinetic potentials as,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{kin}}(k) = \mathcal{H}_0(k) + \lambda \gamma_1,$$ (3)

with $\lambda$ a real quantity. With PBC, as shown in FIG. 1(b), Dirac points become exceptional rings under these
non-Hermitian potentials. The structure of exceptional rings becomes more clear if we perform a unitary transformation of $U = \exp(\sigma_0 \otimes \tau_1 \pi/4)$ on the Hamiltonian, which yields,

$$U^{-1} \mathcal{H}_{\text{kin}}(k)U = \begin{pmatrix} H_{\text{Weyl-ring}}(k) & 0 \\ 0 & H_{\text{Weyl-ring}}(\tilde{k}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

with $H_{\text{Weyl-ring}} = \sin k_x \sigma_1 + \sin k_y \sigma_2 + (M - \cos k_x - \cos k_y) \sigma_3 + i \lambda \sigma_i$. Actually, $H_{\text{Weyl-ring}}$ is a Hamiltonian describing Weyl exceptional rings, which have been theoretically studied \cite{36,37} and experimentally realized \cite{53}. The two Weyl exceptional rings in $\mathcal{H}_{\text{kin}}(k)$ can be directly distinguished by their imaginary energies. The energy spectrum of $\mathcal{H}_{\text{kin}}(k)$ is complex in general, which means the system is in the $\mathcal{PT}$-broken phase.

A prominent feature of this system is that the band crossings form rings of exceptional points, which possess a non-trivial complex structure (see discussion in Appendix A). Associated with the exceptional rings, a topological invariant can be defined. As shown in FIG. 1 (b), we can enclose the exceptional ring with a blue circle $S^1$, and the topological invariant for the $m$-th band with energy $E_m$ is defined as $\epsilon_m(S^1) = \frac{1}{i\pi} \oint_{S^1} \nabla_k \arg \det H_{\text{kin}}(k)$, which reflects the winding of the energy eigenvalue on the complex energy plane \cite{42,54}. Here arg denotes the argument of a complex number. The obtained topological invariant for the occupied band belongs to nontrivial elements of the group $\mathbb{Z}/2$, as discussed in Appendix A.

With PBC, under the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric non-Hermitian kinetic potentials, the system is entirely in the $\mathcal{PT}$-broken phase and the Dirac points become exceptional rings. However, it has been shown in various works \cite{43,62} that the spectra and states can be quite different between PBC and OBC non-Hermitian systems. It is possible that for the non-Hermitian kinetic potentials, the OBC system might not correspond to the $\mathcal{PT}$-broken PBC system, and instead it might be in a different $\mathcal{PT}$ phase. In the following, we use the transfer matrix method to characterize this difference.

A. Discrepancy between PBC and OBC systems

In non-Hermitian lattice systems the correspondence between PBC and OBC systems does not always hold, as their eigenstates and spectra can differ from each other. This kind of discrepancy becomes especially crucial for topological phases, because it leads to the failure of bulk-boundary correspondence. Such discrepancy can be qualitatively captured by the transfer matrix $T$ as it is capable of describing systems with open and periodic boundary conditions \cite{52}. The criterion for the PBC and OBC systems to be consistent with each other is that the transfer matrix is unimodular, i.e., $|\det T| = 1$.

For our discussion, we choose the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric non-Hermitian kinetic potential as $\gamma_2 = \Gamma_3 \Gamma_1$, and take OBC in the $y$ direction. The transfer matrix for propagation along the $y$ direction, according to Eq. (7) in Ref. [52], can be constructed by identifying the coefficients of $e^{ik_y}$ and 1 in the Bloch Hamiltonian of Eq. (3).

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{kin}}(k) = J(\tilde{k})e^{ik_y} + M(\tilde{k}) + J^\dagger(\tilde{k})e^{-ik_y}, \quad (5)$$

with $\tilde{k} = (k_x, k_z)$. The coefficients are found to be

$$J(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Gamma_2 - \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_3, \quad (6)$$

$$M(\tilde{k}) = \sin k_x \Gamma_1 + M_k \Gamma_3 + \lambda \gamma_2, \quad (7)$$

where $M_k = M - \cos k_x - \cos k_y$. Here $J(\tilde{k})$ and $J^\dagger(\tilde{k})$ are hopping matrices between two neighboring sites and $M(\tilde{k})$ is the on-site matrix. The expansion coefficients $\Phi_n$ of states at neighboring sites are thereby connected by theses coefficients, and can be expressed in the transfer matrix equation form $\Phi_{n+1} = T \Phi_n$. The transfer matrix $T$ is obtained in terms of the on-site Green’s function $G = (E \mathbb{1} - M(\tilde{k}))^{-1}$ and the singular value decomposition of $J(\tilde{k}) = \mathbb{V} \sum \mathbb{W}^\dagger$ as \cite{52}

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \Xi^{-1} G_{vv}^{-1} - \Xi^{-1} G_{vw}^{-1} G_{uu} \Xi & \\
G_{vv} - G_{vw} G_{uw} \Xi \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

where $G_{AB} = B^\dagger G A$ with $A, B \in \{V,W\}$.

A straightforward calculation shows that the transfer matrix is unimodular, i.e., its determinant is 1. However, from numerical calculations, the OBC spectrum is found to be drastically different from PBC spectrum, which indicates that the transfer matrix is not unimodular. These two seemingly contradictory results can be resolved by noticing that the system can be block-diagonalized as shown by Eq. (4). Thus the transfer matrix can be brought into a block diagonal form, which reads

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} T_+ & 0 \\ 0 & T_- \end{pmatrix}, \quad (9)$$

where

$$T_\pm = \frac{1}{M_k \pm \lambda} \begin{pmatrix} \sin^2 k_x + M_k^2 - \lambda^2 - E^2 & \pm \sin k_x + E \\ \pm \sin k_x - E & \pm \sin k_x + E \end{pmatrix}. \quad (10)$$

The determinants of $T_\pm$ are,

$$\det T_+ = \frac{M_k^2 + \lambda}{M_k^2 - \lambda}, \quad \det T_- = \frac{M_k^2 - \lambda}{M_k^2 + \lambda}. \quad (11)$$

In the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric non-Hermitian systems with $\lambda \neq 0$, though $det T = det T_+ + det T_-$ is 1 for the entire transfer matrix, individually, neither $det T_+$ nor $det T_-$ is 1. This means there is a marked difference between systems with PBC and OBC, and the bulk exceptional rings cannot predict the topological boundary states in the corresponding finite system. Therefore, the OBC system could be in a different $\mathcal{PT}$ phase, which requires a separate investigation.
convert a Hamiltonian with real spectrum to be Hermitian. For the real space Hamiltonian $H_{\text{kin}}(k)$ the similarity transformation is found to be $H'_{\text{kin}}(k) = V_{\text{kin}}^{-1}H_{\text{kin}}(k)V_{\text{kin}}$, which yields

$$H'_{\text{kin}}(k) = \frac{1}{2i} \Gamma_2 \otimes (S - S^\dagger) - \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_3 \otimes (S + S^\dagger) + (\sin k_x \Gamma_1 + \sqrt{M_k^2 - \lambda^2} \Gamma_3) \otimes 1_{N_y},$$

(13)

where the similarity transformation operator is $V_{\text{kin}} = \text{Diag}(\beta^1, \beta^2, \ldots, \beta^y, \ldots \beta^N_y)$, with $1 < y < N_y$ the site index. Here $\beta^y$ can be brought into block diagonal form by the unitary transformation

$$U^{-1} \beta^y U = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{-y} \rho & \alpha^y \rho \end{pmatrix},$$

(14)

where $\rho = (\alpha+1)\sigma_0 + (\alpha-1)\sigma_1$ and $\alpha = ([M_k - \lambda]/[M_k + \lambda])^{1/2}$.

In non-Hermitian topological phases, the localization of all eigenstates at the boundary is called the non-Hermitian skin effect. In previous studies, the localization is found to be on a single boundary. In our system, the two boundaries are symmetric as the reflection symmetry is preserved in the $y$ direction, $R_y H_{\text{kin}}(k,-k_y) R_y^{-1} = H_{\text{kin}}(k,k_y)$ with $R_y = \sigma_0 \otimes \tau_1$. Hence the non-Hermitian skin effect manifests itself as the localization of both surface and bulk states at the two boundaries, as shown in FIG. 2(b). The skin effect can be understood intuitively from the non-unitary similarity transformation $V_{\text{kin}}$. Let $|\Phi\rangle$ be the eigenstates of the transformed Hermitian Hamiltonian $H'_{\text{kin}}(k)$. Then the eigenstates of the original non-Hermitian Hamiltonian is $|\Psi\rangle = V_{\text{kin}}^{-1}|\Phi\rangle$. According to the explicit form of $V_{\text{kin}}$ [see Eq. (14)], half components of the states carry a localization factor of $\alpha^{-y}$, and the other half of $\alpha^y$. Therefore, the eigenstates are evenly localized at two opposite boundaries, resulting in a reflection-symmetric non-Hermitian skin effect.

C. Dirac points and Fermi arc surface states

We focus on the topological properties of the non-Hermitian Dirac semimetals in this subsection. As the OBC system does not correspond to the PBC system, the topological surface states are thereby not in accordance with the bulk exceptional rings. To reveal the topological features of the OBC system, we start with the transformed real space Hamiltonian of Eq. (13). After a Fourier transformation, this Hamiltonian corresponds to the Bloch Hamiltonian of

$$H'_{\text{kin}}(k) = \sin k_x \Gamma_1 + \sin k_y \Gamma_2 + \left(\sqrt{(M - \cos k_x - \cos k_z)^2 - \lambda^2 - \cos k_y}\right) \Gamma_3.$$

(15)

Remarkably, the above Hamiltonian exhibits real Dirac points in the Brillouin zone in the Hermitian region.

FIG. 2. (a) The OBC spectrum for the Dirac semimetal with a $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric non-Hermitian kinetic potential. (b) Wavefunction profile of the OBC system, the blue denotes the surface states and the black ten randomly selected bulk states. The parameters are set to be $M = 2.0$, $\lambda = 0.3$, and $N_y = 50$. (c) The location of the Dirac points (red) in the transformed Hamiltonian of Eq. (15) and the centers of the exceptional rings (blue) in the original Hamiltonian of Eq. 3. (d) The blue denotes the existence region of Dirac points in the parameter space. The red line corresponds to the Hermitian case.

B. Reflection-symmetric non-Hermitian skin effect

Taking OBC in the $y$ direction with $N_y$ sites, and PBC in the $x$ and $z$ directions, the OBC Hamiltonian corresponding to Eq. (5) reads

$$H_{\text{kin}}(k) = \frac{1}{2i} \Gamma_2 \otimes (\tilde{S} - \tilde{S}^\dagger) - \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_3 \otimes (\tilde{S} + \tilde{S}^\dagger) + (\sin k_y \Gamma_1 + \sqrt{M_k^2 - \lambda^2} \Gamma_3) \otimes 1_{N_y},$$

(12)

where $\tilde{S}_{ij} = \delta_{i,j+1}$ is the right-translational operator in the $y$ direction.

As shown in FIG. 2(a), the spectrum of the OBC system is complex in only a small region near the origin, and outside this region, the system exhibits a $\mathcal{PT}$-unbroken phase with purely real spectrum. The corresponding wavefunction profiles of the OBC system are plotted in FIG. 2(b), where both bulk and boundary modes are localized at two opposite boundaries. Indeed, as predicted by the transfer matrix method, these phenomena in the OBC system are in stark contrast to the PBC system with completely $\mathcal{PT}$-broken phase. Thus, it is necessary work directly with the OBC Hamiltonian $H_{\text{kin}}(k)$.

Notice that the reality of the spectrum is ensured in the $\mathcal{PT}$-unbroken phase. It has been shown that a non-unitary similarity transformation can be found to
With a Taylor expansion around \((0,0,\pm k_z')\), with \(k_z' = \arccos(M - 1 - \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 1})\). The Hamiltonian in Eq. \((15)\) becomes,

\[
\mathcal{H}_\text{eff}'(k) = k_x \Gamma_1 + k_y \Gamma_2 \pm \gamma k_z (k_z + k_z'),
\]

with the coefficient \(\gamma k_z' = \sqrt{1 + \lambda^2} \sqrt{1 - \cos^2 k_z'}\). Clearly, this is a Hermitian Hamiltonian for the real Dirac point described in Eq. \((1)\), for \(M\) in the region of \(\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 1} < M < 2 + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 1}\). Notably, the two Dirac points in Eq. \((15)\) are now relocated to \(k_z' = (0,0,\pm \arccos(M - 1 - \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 1}))\), in contrast with the centers of the exceptional rings in Eq. \((9)\) at \(k_z = (0,0,\pm \arccos(M - 2))\). We find that the distance between two Dirac points is elongated compared to that between the centers of the two exceptional rings, as shown by FIG. \((2)\)(c). As highlighted by the red line in FIG. \((2)\)(a), the numerically obtained Fermi arc surface states, with purely real spectra, are found to be attached exactly to two red Dirac points in the transformed Bloch Hamiltonian in Eq. \((15)\), instead of the centers of the exceptional rings in Eq. \((9)\).

It is the main result of this section that with OBC, in the \(\mathcal{PT}\)-unbroken phase, the \(\mathcal{PT}\)-symmetric non-Hermitian Dirac semimetal is equivalent to a Hermitian Dirac semimetal with real Dirac points. From the transformed Hamiltonian \(\mathcal{H}_\text{kin}'(k)\), the bulk-boundary correspondence can be recovered successfully. In FIG. \((2)\)(d), we plot the region in blue where Dirac points exist in parameter space. Compared with the Hermitian case (red line with \(\lambda = 0\)), the region with Dirac points has been largely expanded. It is verified directly from the non-Hermitian OBC Hamiltonian in Eq. \((12)\) that the topological surface states exist in the corresponding region.

### III. \(\mathcal{PT}\)-Symmetric Non-Hermitian Anti-Commuting Potentials

Now we turn to Dirac semimetals with \(\mathcal{PT}\)-symmetric non-Hermitian anti-commuting potentials in this section. Here we choose \(\gamma_4\) for our discussion. With PBC, the lattice Hamiltonian in momentum space reads,

\[
\mathcal{H}_{\text{ant}}(k) = \sin k_x \Gamma_1 + \sin k_y \Gamma_2 + (M - \cos k_x - \cos k_y - \cos k_z) \Gamma_3 + \lambda \gamma_4.
\]

As shown in FIG. \((1)\)(c), this non-Hermitian potential deforms the two Dirac points into two exceptional spheres. We focus on a single exceptional sphere, which can be described by the continuum model

\[
\mathcal{H}_{\text{sphere}}(k) = k_x \Gamma_1 + k_y \Gamma_2 + k_z \Gamma_3 + \lambda \gamma_4.
\]

The energy eigenvalues are \(E_{\text{sphere}, \pm} = \pm (k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2 - \lambda^2)^{1/2}\). Clearly, the band crossing happens at \(k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2 = \lambda^2\), which describes a sphere. Inside the sphere, the eigenvalues form complex conjugate pairs, and the system is in the \(\mathcal{PT}\)-broken phase. However, outside the sphere, the system is in the \(\mathcal{PT}\)-unbroken phase with purely real spectrum. Notably, in the \(\mathcal{PT}\)-unbroken phase the double degeneracy of bands is still preserved, as it appears in the Hermitian case. We refer to the sphere as exceptional sphere as it is composed of exceptional points. The complex structure of the exceptional sphere, as discussed in the Appendix A, is associated with a topological invariant defined as follows.

The spatial co-dimension of the exceptional sphere in three dimension is zero, so that a zero-dimensional sphere \(S^0\), which consists of two points of \(k_1\) inside and \(k_2\) outside the sphere, can be chosen to enclose the exceptional sphere. \(S^0\) is shown by two blue dots in FIG. \((1)\)(c). Similar to the winding of the energy eigenvalues in the previous section, the topological charge on \(S^0\) can be defined as \(\nu_m(S^0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} (\arg E_{m,k_1} - \arg E_{m,k_2})\), where \(E_m\) is the energy of the \(m\)-th band. As the spectrum is purely real outside and purely imaginary inside the sphere, the topological invariant defined above takes a nontrivial value.

To reveal the relation between the PBC and OBC systems, we again adopt the transfer matrix method used in Eqs. \((3)\)–\((8)\). The hopping matrix is found to be \(J(k) = 1/(2i) T - 1/2 T^3\), and the on-site matrix is \(M(k) = \sin k_x \Gamma_1 + M_{0} \Gamma_3 + \lambda \gamma_4\). According to the expression for the transfer matrix \(T\) in Eq. \((8)\), its determinant is calculated to be \(|\det T| = 1\), which is unimodular. We emphasize that different from the case of the kinetic non-Hermitian potentials, there is no structure of the transfer matrix, namely, it cannot be further reduced by block-diagonalization. The unimodular transfer matrix indicates that PBC and OBC systems are consistent with each other. It is expected that the conventional bulk-boundary correspondence is preserved in this system.

#### A. \(\mathbb{Z}_2\) monopole charge of the exceptional sphere

With PBC, outside the exceptional sphere in momentum space, the system is in the \(\mathcal{PT}\)-unbroken phase. For each \(k\) in this region, a set of eigenstates can be found satisfying

\[
|\alpha(k)\rangle = \hat{\mathcal{P}} \hat{T} |\alpha(k)\rangle,
\]

which is the same as the Hermitian case. With \((\hat{\mathcal{P}} \hat{T})^2 = 1\) the reality condition is imposed by \(\mathcal{PT}\) symmetry on each band, thus the eigenstates make a real Berry bundle in the \(\mathcal{PT}\)-unbroken region, similar to the Hermitian Dirac point case. In this subsection we show that the real \(O(N)\) monopole charge, which is the same as for the Dirac point, can be obtained from the real Berry bundle in the \(\mathcal{PT}\)-unbroken region.

The existence of a real monopole charge indicates that if we enclose the gapless manifold, i.e., exceptional sphere, by a sphere \(S^2\) in the \(\mathcal{PT}\)-unbroken region, we cannot find a real and smooth gauge for the eigenvectors on the entire sphere \([49, 56]\). We choose the radius of \(S^2\) to be \(k\), with \(k > \lambda\). For simplicity,
the Hamiltonian is scaled with $1/k$, so that the continuum model becomes $H_{\text{sphere}}(k) = H_{\text{Dirac}}(k)/k + \lambda_k \gamma_4$, with $\lambda_k = \lambda/k < 1$. Correspondingly, the $S^2$ is scaled to a unit sphere. We need to analyze eigenvectors on the north and south hemisphere separated by an equator with stereographic coordinates. On each hemisphere the eigenvectors are real and smooth. On the equator parametrized by the azimuthal angle $\phi$, the eigenvectors of the valence band for the north hemisphere are $|−, 1\rangle^N = (\cos \phi, -\sqrt{1 - \lambda_k^2}, \lambda_k \sin \phi, 0)^T$ and $|−, 2\rangle^N = (\lambda_k \sin \phi, 0, \cos \phi, -\sqrt{1 - \lambda_k^2})^T$, and for the south hemisphere, they are $|−, 1\rangle^S = (\sqrt{1 - \lambda_k^2}, -\cos \phi, 0, \lambda_k + \sin \phi)^T$ and $|−, 2\rangle^S = (0, \lambda_k - \sin \phi, \sqrt{1 - \lambda_k^2}, -\cos \phi)^T$. Here $T$ denotes the vector or matrix transposition. The real transition function $g_{SN}^R (\phi) \in O(2)$ on the equator defined by $|−, \alpha\rangle^S = \left[ g_{SN}^R \right]_{\alpha \beta} |−, \beta\rangle^N$ is obtained as

$$g_{SN}^R (\phi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi \\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{pmatrix}.$$

The winding number for the transition function as a map from $S^1$ to $O(2)$ is $+1$, which corresponds to a nontrivial element of $\pi_1 [O(2)] = Z_2$. Actually, the above expression for the real transition function is in the same form as that of the Dirac point, which can be seen by simply setting $\lambda = 0$. Thus the exceptional sphere has the same real $Z_2$ monopole charge as the Dirac point in Eq. (1). In the following, we discuss the topological surface states and their relation with the bulk topological invariants.

### B. Fermi ribbon surface states

Taking OBC in the $y$ direction, the lattice model for the Dirac semimetal with the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric non-Hermitian anti-commuting potential reads

$$H_{\text{ant}} (\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2i} \Gamma_2 \otimes (\hat{S} - \hat{S}^\dagger) - \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_3 \otimes (\hat{S} + \hat{S}^\dagger) + (\sin k_y \Gamma_1 + M_k \Gamma_3 + \lambda_k \gamma_4) \otimes I_{N_y}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

The OBC and PBC systems are consistent with each other as discussed previously with the transfer matrix method. This is reflected in the OBC system as the separation between $\mathcal{PT}$-broken and $\mathcal{PT}$-unbroken regions, similar to PBC systems. As shown in FIG. 3 (a) and (b), the spectrum is purely real in the region $|E| > |\lambda|$ and purely imaginary in the region $|E| < |\lambda|$, where $E$ is the energy of the original Hermitian system.

To obtain the surface states, we adopt the ansatz $|\psi_k\rangle = \sum_{SN} \beta (\xi_k \otimes |i\rangle + i) |\theta\rangle$ for the right eigenvector of the surface states. Here $\xi_k$ is a spinor, $i$ labels the lattice sites along the $y$ direction, and $\beta$ is a scalar with $|\beta| < 1$. Solving the Schrödinger equation $H_{\text{ant}} (\mathbf{k}) |\psi_k\rangle = E(\mathbf{k}) |\psi_k\rangle$, it is found that $\beta = M - \cos k_y - \cos k_z$, and the surface states correspond to the positive eigenvalue $+1$ of $i \Gamma_3 \Gamma_2$. In the subspace spanned by the eigenstates $\{\Psi_+^s, \Psi_+^l\}$ of $i \Gamma_3 \Gamma_2$ with eigenvalues $+1$, the effective surface Hamiltonian is found to be

$$H_b (\mathbf{k}) = \sin k_x \sigma_1 + i \lambda \sigma_3,$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

in the region of $|\beta| = |M - \cos k_y - \cos k_z| < 1$. The calculation details are shown in Appendix B. The energy eigenvalues are $E_b (\mathbf{k}) = \pm \sqrt{\sin^2 k_z - \lambda^2}$, with the eigenstates $|\psi_b (\mathbf{k})\rangle = (i \lambda + E_b (\mathbf{k}), \sin k_x)$. As shown in FIG. 3 (a) and (b), the analytically obtained surface states are plotted in red, which are in perfect agreement with numerical results in blue.

The topological surface states are found to be attached to the center of exceptional sphere at $\pm k_c$ on the $k_z$ axis in the bulk, which verifies that the conventional bulk-boundary correspondence is preserved. Remarkably, the zero energy modes (Re $E = 0$) now form a ribbon on the $k_x k_z$-plane, which we call Fermi ribbon surface states. As can be seen from the surface spectrum $E_b (\mathbf{k})$, for $-k_c < k_z < k_c$, the non-Hermiticity extends the zero mode region from $k_z = 0$ to $-k_0 < k_z < k_0$ with $k_0 = \arcsin \lambda$, resulting in a ribbon on the $k_x k_z$-plane. In FIG. 3(c) we compare the Hermitian and non-Hermitian 2D sub systems of the OBC system at $k_z = 0$, where the zero mode region is expanded from a point to a segment due to non-Hermiticity. Notably, the boundary of the ribbon consists of exceptional points, and inside the ribbon, pairs of boundary modes with opposite imaginary energies acquire balanced gain and loss due to non-Hermiticity. In FIG. 3 (d), the wavefunction profiles of the zero modes are plotted, which clearly shows that the Fermi ribbon surface states are localized inside the ribbon region at the boundary.

### FIG. 3. Real (a) and imaginary (b) parts of the OBC spectrum for the Dirac semimetal with a $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric non-Hermitian anti-commuting potential. (c) The real part of the spectra for the 2D subsystem at $k_z = 0$ for the Hermitian ($\lambda = 0$) and non-Hermitian ($\lambda = 0.3$) systems, respectively. (d) The Fermi ribbon surface states with Re $E = 0$, colored according to their wavefunction amplitude against $k_x$. The parameters are $M = 2.0$, $\lambda = 0.3$, and $N_y = 50$. 


IV. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

We have systematically studied $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric non-Hermitian Dirac semimetals, with a focus on semimetals subject to non-Hermitian kinetic and anti-commuting potentials. With PBC, the kinetic potentials turn a Dirac point into exceptional rings with a completely $\mathcal{PT}$-broken phase, whereas the anti-commuting potentials turn a Dirac point into an exceptional sphere with a $\mathcal{PT}$-unbroken phase. With OBC, the system with kinetic potentials fails to correspond to the PBC system and exhibits a different $\mathcal{PT}$ phase, while the system with anti-commuting potentials is consistent with its PBC counterpart. $\mathbb{Z}_2$ monopole charges are inherited in non-Hermitian systems when $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry is unbroken, whereas the anti-commuting potentials turn a Dirac point into an exceptional sphere with a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-unbroken phase. With OBC, the system with anti-commuting potentials fails to correspond to the PBC system, these potentials can also emerge with a global loss offset in the passive background [20]. The non-Hermitian kinetic potentials does not require commuting potentials. With PBC, the kinetic potentials turn a Dirac point into an exceptional sphere with a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-unbroken phase, while the system with anti-commuting potentials is consistent with its PBC counterpart. $\mathbb{Z}_2$ monopole charges are inherited in non-Hermitian systems when $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry is unbroken, whereas the anti-commuting potentials turn a Dirac point into an exceptional sphere with a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-unbroken phase. With OBC, the system with anti-commuting potentials fails to correspond to the PBC system, these potentials can also emerge with a global loss offset in the passive background [20].

Experimentally, the potential platform to realize $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric non-Hermitian Dirac semimetals could be photonic Dirac materials [50, 51], where radiation or gain and loss are generally present. In these systems, the non-Hermitian potential can be introduced by taking into account different coupling strengths between each mode and the environment [35, 40]. Notice that the existence of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric non-Hermitian potential does not require perfect balanced gain and loss. In a totally passive system, these potentials can also emerge with a global loss offset in the passive background [20]. The non-Hermitian semimetals and the corresponding exceptional manifold in the bulk or at the boundary can be utilized for applications, for example, as laser generators [57, 59].

Our work brings new insights into both $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric quantum theory and topological phases of matter. Our analysis shows that the preservation of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ monopole charges has an intimate relation with $\mathcal{PT}$ phase, which suggests the distinction between the $\mathcal{PT}$-unbroken and $\mathcal{PT}$-broken phase has wide and deep physical consequences. On the other hand, since Dirac points serve as the bridge between different topological phases, it is expected that exceptional manifolds emerging from Dirac points in non-Hermitian systems would as well bridge topological phases, largely enriching the concept of topological band theory. Thus, our work can serve as a foundation for future investigations on non-Hermitian topological phases and phase transitions.
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APPENDICES

Appendix A: Topological invariants of exceptional manifolds

In this section, we calculate the Jordan normal forms of the exceptional ring and sphere, and explicitly obtain the topological invariants associated with them that are defined by $\nu_m(S^3)$ and $\nu_m(S^0)$ in the main text. We show that this kind of topological invariants is closely related to the Jordan canonical form at exceptional points, and we propose a $\mathbb{Z}/N$ classification of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians with exceptional points.

1. Exceptional ring

The Hamiltonian for the exceptional rings in the continuum limit reads

$$H_{\text{ring}} = k_x \Gamma_1 + k_y \Gamma_2 + k_z \Gamma_3 + \lambda \gamma_2, \quad \text{(A1)}$$

with the energy eigenvalues of $E_{\text{ring}} = \pm \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2 - \lambda^2 \pm 2i\lambda k_y}$. The band crossing happens at $k_x^2 + k_z^2 = \lambda^2$ and $k_y = 0$, which forms an exceptional ring on the $k_y = 0$ plane. By parameterizing the ring as $(k_x, k_y, k_z) = (\lambda \cos \theta, 0, \sin \theta)$ and after a unitary transformation by $U$, the Hamiltonian on the ring reads

$$H_{\text{exp-ring}} = \lambda \left( \begin{array}{cccc} \sin \theta & 1 + \cos \theta & 0 & 0 \\ -1 + \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sin \theta & 1 + \cos \theta \\ 0 & 0 & -1 + \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \end{array} \right). \quad \text{(A2)}$$

It turns out there are only two eigenvectors on the exceptional rings,

$$|\psi_1\rangle = \left( 0 \ 0 \ -\cos \frac{\theta}{2} \ \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \right)^T, \quad |\psi_2\rangle = \left( -\cos \frac{\theta}{2} \ \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \ 0 \ 0 \right)^T. \quad \text{(A3)}$$

Because there are only two independent eigenvectors, it can be indicated that the largest Jordan block is two-dimensional. Besides above two eigenvectors, there are two associated vectors with the Hamiltonian, satisfying $H_{\text{exp-ring}}|\psi_1'\rangle = |\psi_1\rangle$ and $H_{\text{exp-ring}}|\psi_2'\rangle = |\psi_2\rangle$. Together with eigenvectors, they form a matrix $P = (|\psi_1\rangle, |\psi_1'\rangle, |\psi_2\rangle, |\psi_2'\rangle)$, which reads

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\cos \frac{\theta}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sin \frac{\theta}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \sec \frac{\theta}{2} \\ -\cos \frac{\theta}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sin \frac{\theta}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \sec \frac{\theta}{2} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad \text{(A4)}$$

Under the operation by $P^{-1}H_{\text{exp-ring}}P = J_{\text{ring}}$, the Jordan canonical form of the Hamiltonian on the exceptional
ring can be obtained as

\[
J_{\text{ring}} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\] (A5)

The Jordan canonical of the exceptional ring Hamiltonian consists of two two-dimensional Jordan blocks. As we will show, the non-trivial Jordan block is associated with a topological invariant calculated below.

As the energy spectra become complex, it is possible that they possess a topological structure, which essentially can be characterized by the topological invariant \(\nu_m(S^1)\) defined in the main text. As shown in FIG. 1(b), we can enclose the exceptional ring (red) by a small circle \(S^1\) (blue). The small circle \(S^1\) can be parameterized as \((\delta k_x, \delta k_y, \delta k_z) = (0, \rho \sin \gamma, \lambda - \rho \cos \gamma)\), then the eigenvalues for the conductance band on this path are

\[
E_{S^1, \pm} = \sqrt{\rho^2 - 2\lambda \rho \cos \gamma \pm 2i\lambda \rho \sin \gamma}.
\] (A6)

With \(\rho \ll \lambda\), the eigenvalues can be approximated as

\[
E_{S^1, \pm} = i\sqrt{2\lambda \rho} e^{\pm \gamma/2}.
\] The topological invariant for \(E_{S^1, \pm}\) can be obtained as

\[
\nu(S^1) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^1} \nabla_k \arg E_{S^1, +}(k) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\gamma \partial_\gamma (-\gamma/2) = +\frac{1}{2}.
\] (A7)

2. Exceptional sphere

The Hamiltonian for the exceptional sphere in the continuum limit reads

\[
H_{\text{sphere}} = k_x \Gamma_1 + k_y \Gamma_2 + k_z \Gamma_3 + \lambda \gamma_4,
\] (A8)

with the eigenvalues \(E_{\text{sphere}, \pm} = \pm \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2 - \lambda^2}\).

The exceptional surface with zero eigenvalues can be parameterized by \((k_x, k_y, k_z) = (\lambda \sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)\), and after a unitary transformation by \(U\), the Hamiltonian on the sphere is

\[
H_{\text{exp-sphere}} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix}
\cos \theta & \sin \theta e^{-i \phi} & 0 & 1 \\
\sin \theta e^{i \phi} & -\cos \theta & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \cos \theta & \sin \theta e^{i \phi} \\
-1 & 0 & \sin \theta e^{-i \phi} & -\cos \theta
\end{pmatrix}.
\] (A9)

From this Hamiltonian, the eigenvectors on the exceptional surface are

\[
|\psi_1\rangle = (\cos \theta \ e^{i \phi} \sin \theta \ 0 \ -1)^T, \quad |\psi_2\rangle = (e^{-i \phi} \sin \theta \ -\cos \theta \ 1 \ 0)^T,
\] (A10)

which span a two-dimensional eigenspace. Similar to the exceptional ring case, the largest Jordan block is two dimensional. Together with the two associated vectors, which satisfy \(H_{\text{exp-sphere}}|\psi_1\rangle = |\psi_1\rangle\) and \(H_{\text{exp-sphere}}|\psi_2\rangle = |\psi_2\rangle\), they form a matrix \(P = (|\psi_1\rangle, |\psi_1\rangle, |\psi_2\rangle, |\psi_2\rangle)\), which reads

\[
P = \begin{pmatrix}
\cos \theta & 1 & e^{-i \phi} \sin \theta & 0 \\
e^{i \phi} \sin \theta & 0 & -\cos \theta & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\] (A11)

The Jordan canonical form of the Hamiltonian on the exceptional sphere can be obtained by performing \(P\) onto the Hamiltonian, \(J = P^{-1} H_{\text{exp-sphere}} P\), which results in

\[
J = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\] (A12)

In the next we calculate the topological invariant associated with the exceptional sphere, as defined by \(\nu_m(S^0)\) in the main text. The exceptional sphere can be enclosed by a zero-dimensional sphere \(S^0\), as shown in FIG. 1(c) by two blue dots \(k_1\) and \(k_2\). The eigenvalue for the conduction bands for \(k_1\) is \(E_1 = \sqrt{k_1^2 - \lambda^2}\) and for \(k_2\), it is \(E_2 = \sqrt{k_2^2 - \lambda^2}\). The magnitudes of \(k_1\) and \(k_2\) satisfy \(k_1 < \lambda\) and \(k_2 > \lambda\). By the definition of our topological invariant in the main text, the topological charge can be computed as

\[
\nu(S^0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \arg E_1 - \arg E_2 = \frac{1}{2},
\] (A13)

which indicates the exceptional sphere is topologically stable.

3. \(\mathbb{Z}/N\) classification of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians with exceptional points

The topological invariants defined for the exceptional ring and sphere have their roots in the Jordan canonical form. In this subsection, based on the general form of Jordan block, we generalize the definition of these topological invariants. We propose a \(\mathbb{Z}/N\) type topological invariant for non-Hermitian Hamiltonians \(H(k)\) with exceptional point of order \(N\). The order of the exceptional point is defined by the dimension of the non-diagonal Jordan block of the Hamiltonian at the exceptional points. For order \(N\) exceptional points at \(k_0\), the non-diagonal Jordan block is of the form,

\[
J_N(k_0) = \begin{pmatrix}
E_0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & E_0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & E_0
\end{pmatrix}_{N \times N},
\] (A14)

with the energy eigenvalue \(E_0\) at the exceptional point. In the neighborhood \(|\delta k| = \varepsilon\) of the exceptional points,
we can adopt Newton-Puiseux series to investigate the bifurcation of eigenvalues, which is $E = E_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} E_i \varepsilon^{1/N}$. The eigenvalue to the leading order is

$$E = E_0 + E_1 \varepsilon^{1/N} + o(\varepsilon^{1/N}),$$  \hspace{1em} (A15)

where

$$E_1^N = \sum_i \langle v_0 | \frac{\partial H(k)}{\partial k_i} | u_0 \rangle \frac{\partial k_i}{\partial \varepsilon}. \hspace{1em} (A16)$$

Here $\langle v_0 |$ and $| u_0 \rangle$ are the left and right eigenvector satisfying

$$\langle v_0 | H(k_0) = \langle v_0 | E_0 \text{ and } H(k_0) | u_0 \rangle = E_0 | u_0 \rangle. \hspace{1em} (A17)$$

The right hand side of Eq. (A16) is complex, and can be expressed in the form of $|E_1^N| e^{i\theta(k)}$. Thus the eigenvalue to the leading order becomes,

$$E(k) = E_0 + |E_1| e^{i\theta(k)}/N. \hspace{1em} (A18)$$

By encircling the exceptional points in $k$ space with a circle $S^1$, a topological invariant can be defined as

$$v = \oint_{S^1} \frac{dk}{2\pi i} \arg E(k), \hspace{1em} (A19)$$

which belongs to the group $\mathbb{Z}/N$.

**Appendix B: Effective surface Hamiltonian for the Fermi ribbon surface states**

In this section, we calculate the topological surface states for the case of exceptional sphere in the bulk. The PBC Hamiltonian in momentum space reads,

$$H_{\text{ant}}(k) = \sin k_x \Gamma_1 + \sin k_y \Gamma_2 + (M - \cos k_x - \cos k_y - \cos k_z) \Gamma_3 + \lambda \gamma_4. \hspace{1em} (B1)$$

Taking OBC in the $y$ direction with $N_y$ sites, the Hamiltonian in real space reads

$$H_{\text{ant}}(k) = \frac{1}{2i} \Gamma_2 \otimes (\tilde{S} - \tilde{S}^\dagger) - \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_3 \otimes (\tilde{S} + \tilde{S}^\dagger) + (\sin k_x \Gamma_1 + \tilde{M} \tilde{k} \Gamma_3 + \lambda \gamma_4) \otimes \mathbb{1}_{N_y}, \hspace{1em} (B2)$$

with $\tilde{M}_k = M - \cos k_x - \cos k_y$. Here the translational operators are

$$\tilde{S} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{S}^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \hspace{1em} (B3)$$

with dimension $N_y$.

With the boundary conditions, the translational symmetry in the $y$ direction is broken, while in the $x$ and $z$ directions it is still preserved. Thus we adopt the ansatz for the surface states as $|\psi_k\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N_y} |\beta|^i |\xi_k\rangle \otimes |i\rangle$, with $|\xi_k\rangle$ a spinor, $i$ the lattice sites along the $y$ direction, and $\beta$ a scalar with $|\beta| < 1$.

Solving the Schrödinger equation $H_{\text{ant}}(\tilde{k}) |\psi_k\rangle = E(\tilde{k}) |\psi_k\rangle$, we find it gives two constraints,

$$[\sin k_x \Gamma_1 + \frac{1}{2i} (\beta - \beta^{-1}) \Gamma_2 + (M_k - \frac{1}{2} (\beta + \beta^{-1})) \Gamma_3 + \lambda \gamma_4] |\xi_k\rangle = E(\tilde{k}) |\xi_k\rangle, \hspace{1em} (B4)$$

and

$$[\sin k_x \Gamma_1 + \frac{1}{2i} \beta \Gamma_2 + (M_k - \frac{1}{2} \beta) \Gamma_3 + \lambda \gamma_4] |\xi_k\rangle = E(\tilde{k}) |\xi_k\rangle. \hspace{1em} (B5)$$

From the difference between above two equations, it can be obtained that

$$i \Gamma_3 \Gamma_2 |\xi_k\rangle = |\xi_k\rangle, \hspace{1em} (B6)$$

with $\beta = M - \cos k_x - \cos k_z$.

Clearly, the boundary states correspond to the positive eigenvalue $+1$ of the operator $i \Gamma_3 \Gamma_2$. We choose the eigenvectors with eigenvalue $+1$ of $i \Gamma_3 \Gamma_2$ as

$$|\Psi_\uparrow\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^T, \hspace{1em} (B7)$$

$$|\Psi_\downarrow\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 1 \end{pmatrix}^T. \hspace{1em} (B8)$$

The effective surface Hamiltonian can be obtained by the projection to the subspace spanned by the eigenstates \{ |\Psi_\uparrow\rangle, |\Psi_\downarrow\rangle \},

$$H_{\text{eff}}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \Psi_\uparrow | H_{\text{ant}}(k) | \Psi_\uparrow \rangle \\ \langle \Psi_\downarrow | H_{\text{ant}}(k) | \Psi_\downarrow \rangle \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \langle \Psi_\uparrow | H_{\text{ant}}(k) | \Psi_\uparrow \rangle & \langle \Psi_\downarrow | H_{\text{ant}}(k) | \Psi_\downarrow \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \sin k_x \sigma_1 + i \lambda \sigma_3, \hspace{1em} (B9)$$

in the region of $|\beta| = |M - \cos k_x - \cos k_z| < 1$. 


