NON-REAL EIGENVALUES OF THE HARMONIC OSCILLATOR PERTURBED BY AN ODD, TWO-POINT δ -POTENTIAL

CHARLES BAKER AND BORIS MITYAGIN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider the perturbations of the Harmonic Oscillator Operator by an odd pair of point interactions: $z(\delta(x-b) - \delta(x+b))$. We study the spectrum by analyzing a convenient formula for the eigenvalue. We conclude that if z = ir, r real, as $r \to \infty$, the number of non-real eigenvalues tends to infinity.

1. Introduction.

1.1. **Perturbations of the Harmonic Oscillator.** We consider the *harmonic* oscillator operator,

(1.1a)
$$L_{\text{HO}}^0 y(x) = -y''(x) + x^2 y(x),$$

1.1b)
$$\mathfrak{D}\left(L^0_{\mathrm{HO}}\right) \equiv \{y(x) \in \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{R}) : x^2 y(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}.$$

 $(\mathcal{H}^k(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{W}^{k,2}(\mathbb{R})$ is a Sobolev space, and we allow y'' to be a distributional derivative.) The operator is of compact resolvent, and the spectrum is the positive odd integers:

$$\operatorname{Sp}(L_{HO}^0) = 2\mathbb{N}_0 + 1, \quad \mathbb{N}_0 \equiv \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

(For an operator T, Sp(T) denotes its spectrum.) The eigenfunction with eigenvalue 2n + 1, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, is the *n*th Hermite function,

(1.2)
$$h_n(x) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n! \sqrt{\pi}}} e^{-x^2/2} H_n(x),$$

where

(

(1.3)
$$H_n(x) \equiv (-1)^n e^{x^2} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \left(e^{-x^2} \right)$$

is the *n*th *Hermite Polynomial*. We now consider a perturbation of the harmonic oscillator operator,

(1.4)
$$L_{\rm HO}(z,b)y(x) = L_{\rm HO}^0 y(x) + z(\delta (x-b) - \delta (x+b))y(x), \quad b > 0, z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The operator's construction is discussed in [MS16] (following [Kat95, Chapter VI]), where it is noted that the operator has compact resolvent [MS16, p. 5]. In particular, the operator is defined by the quadratic-form method, for the quadratic form $\mathfrak{t}_{z,b}^{\mathrm{HO}}$ with domain $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{t}_{z,b}^{\mathrm{HO}}) = \{f(x) \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}) : xf(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}$ by

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{t}_{z,b}^{\mathrm{HO}}(f(x),g(x)) &= (f'(x),g'(x))_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} + (xf(x),xg(x))_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \\ &+ z \left(f(b)\overline{g(b)} - f(-b)\overline{g(-b)} \right). \end{split}$$

Date: December 23, 2021.

In particular, for $f \in \mathfrak{D}(L_{HO}(z,b)) \subset \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{t}_{z,b}^{HO})$ and $g \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{t}_{z,b}^{HO})$,

$$(L_{\mathrm{HO}}(z,b)f(x),g(x))_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} = \mathfrak{t}_{z,b}^{\mathrm{HO}}(f(x),g(x)).$$

This perturbation was studied in [MS16], [HCW14], [Mit15], and [Mit16], and a similar operator was studied in [Dem05]. In particular, [HCW14] and [Dem05] gave numerical evidence that when z = ir, non-real eigenvalues exist for large enough r, and [Mit15] proved that for z = ir, the number of non-real eigenvalues was bounded above by $M(|r|\log(e|r|))^2$. But how does the number of non-real eigenvalues change as r increases? We show — and this is a main result of our paper — that $\mathcal{N}_{\rm HO}(r) = \# (\operatorname{Sp}(L_{\rm HO}(ir, b)) \setminus \mathbb{R})$ increases to ∞ .

Theorem 1. For any fixed b > 0,

(1.5)
$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \mathcal{N}_{\rm HO}(r) = \infty.$$

Our approach is based on finding a function $M(\nu, b)$ (meromorphic in ν , holomorphic in b) such that $\nu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{N}_0$ is an eigenvalue of (the transformation of) $L_{\text{HO}}(z, b)$ 4 for the derivations),

(1.6)
$$M(\nu; b) = \frac{1}{z^2}, \quad \text{where}$$
$$M(\nu; b) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \Gamma(-\nu) D_{\nu}^2(b) y_{\text{even}}(\nu; b) y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; b).$$

The zeros of $M(\nu, b)$ in the parameter ν , in particular of its factor $D_{\nu}(b)$, become important to find and analyze the asymptotics of the eigenvalues for |z| large. We observe that there are infinitely many zeros of $D(\nu) = D_{\nu}(b)$ (see Section 5), where we streamline some arguments with the work of F. W. Olver ([Olv59], [Olv61]), with tighter results on the growth rates of $D(\nu)$ than necessary. Around each zero λ of $D(\nu)$, for large |z|, we find solutions of (1.6) in a small neighborhood of λ , non-real for z imaginary (see Section 6 and 7); this is an important step in the completion of the proof (see Section 8).

1.2. Change of Variables. We make a change of variables, since the *Weber differential equation*, written in the form

(1.7)
$$-\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + \left(\frac{x^2}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right)y(x) = \nu y(x), \ x \in \mathbb{C}, \ \nu \in \mathbb{C}.$$

has its general solution far more studied than the the notation above,

$$-\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + x^2y(x) = \lambda y(x).$$

We define (with $z \in \mathbb{C}, b > 0$)

(1.8)
$$L_{\rm PC}(z,b)y(x) = -y''(x) + \left(\frac{x^2}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right)y(x) + z\left[\delta\left(x-b\right) - \delta\left(x+b\right)\right]y(x).$$

The corresponding quadratic form, $\mathfrak{t}_{z,b}$, has the same domain, $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{t}_{z,b}) \equiv \{f(x) \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}) : xf(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}$, and is defined by

(1.9)
$$\mathfrak{t}_{z,b}(f,g) \equiv (f'(x),g'(x))_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \frac{1}{4}(xf(x),xg(x))_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} - \frac{1}{2}(f(x),g(x))_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + z\left(f(b)\overline{g(b)} - zf(-b)\overline{g(-b)}\right)$$

and again, for $f \in \mathfrak{D}(L_{\mathrm{PC}}(z,b)) \subset \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{t}_{z,b})$ and $g \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{t}_{z,b})$,

$$\left(L_{\mathrm{PC}}(z,b)f(x),g(x)\right)_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} = \mathfrak{t}_{z,b}\left(f,g\right).$$

One may check that if $Sx = x\sqrt{2}$ is the dilation on the real line, and $Tf = f \circ S$ is the corresponding operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we have that

(1.10)
$$L_{\rm PC}(z,b) = \frac{1}{2}T^{-1} \circ L_{\rm HO}\left(z\sqrt{2},\frac{b}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \circ T - \frac{1}{2}I,$$

and

(1.11)
$$\operatorname{Sp}(L_{\mathrm{PC}}(z,b)) = \frac{\operatorname{Sp}L_{\mathrm{HO}}\left(z\sqrt{2},\frac{b}{\sqrt{2}}\right) - 1}{2}.$$

Hence, defining $\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{PC}}(r) = \# (\mathrm{Sp}(L_{\mathrm{PC}}(ir, b)) \setminus \mathbb{R})$, (1.5) is equivalent to the claim that $\lim_{r \to \infty} \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{PC}}(r) = \infty$.

2. Reciprocal Gamma Function. Following complex-analysis convention (e.g., [Lev64, p. 27]), we define the *entire* function

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\zeta)} = e^{\gamma\zeta} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{\zeta}{n}\right) e^{\zeta/n}$$
$$\gamma = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}\right) - \log(n+1) \right].$$

and its multiplicative inverse is the usual Gamma function, a meromorphic function with poles at the nonpositive integers. In particular, for n a nonnegative integer, $\frac{1}{\Gamma(-n)} = 0$. The *Stirling approximation* for the gamma function yields the estimate [Lev64, Chap.1, Sec. 11, p. 27], with |z| = r, and outside of circles of fixed width about the points in $-\mathbb{N}_0$,

(2.1)
$$\ln \Gamma(z) = \left(z - \frac{1}{2}\right) \ln z - z + \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi) + O\left(\frac{1}{r}\right),$$

therefore

$$\ln\left(M_{1/\Gamma}(r)\right) := \ln\left(\sup_{|z|=r} \left|\frac{1}{\Gamma(z)}\right|\right) \sim r\log r, \quad r \to \infty.$$

(In this text, $f(x) \sim g(x)$ as $x \to +\infty$ means $\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 1$.) Some properties of the Gamma function on the positive real line are as follows.

Fact 2.1. On $(0, \infty)$, log $\Gamma(x)$ is positive and convex, and $\Gamma(1) = \Gamma(2) = 1$; hence, for x real and positive, $\Gamma(x)$ has a unique minimum in [1,2]. In particular, $\Gamma(x)$ is increasing on $[2, \infty)$.

See , e.g., [Con78, Section 7.7, p. 179] and [Olv74, Section 2.2, Exercise 2.4, p. 39].

Fact 2.2. For $2w \notin -\mathbb{N}_0$,

(2.2)
$$\Gamma(2w) = \frac{2^{2w-1}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma(w) \Gamma\left(w + \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

See, e.g., [Olv74, Chapter 2, Section 1, (1.08), p. 35].

Fact 2.3. If $\operatorname{Re} \zeta > 0$, $\mu > 0$, and $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$,

(2.3)
$$\int_0^\infty \exp(-zt^\mu) t^{\zeta-1} dt = \frac{1}{\mu} \Gamma\left(\frac{\zeta}{\mu}\right) \frac{1}{z^{\zeta/\mu}},$$

where the implicit logarithms use the principal branch of the logarithm.

See, e.g., [Olv74, Chapter 2, Section 1, Exer. 1.1., p. 38].

3. The Weber Differential Equation and its Solutions.

3.1. Notation. The Weber differential equation can be written in *either* of the forms

(3.1.i)
$$-\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + \left(\frac{x^2}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right)y(x) = \nu y(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \nu \in \mathbb{C},$$

(3.1.ii)
$$-\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + \left(\frac{1}{4}x^2 + a\right)y(x) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{C}, \quad a \in \mathbb{C}.$$

These notations are equivalent under the rule

(3.2)
$$a = -\nu - \frac{1}{2}$$

and (3.2) is assumed throughout the rest of the paper. We will use (3.1.i), as it is the choice of coordinates used by the relevant references [Dem05] and [MOS66], and because of a clearer connection to the harmonic oscillator. We mention (3.1.ii) because of the frequent use of this form in the literature (e.g., [Olv74, Section 6.6], [Tem19], and [Dea66]).

The solutions of (3.1) are called *parabolic cylinder functions*. We now discuss some particular solutions.

3.2. Solutions decaying as $x \to \infty$: $D_{\nu}(x)$ or U(a, x). One solution of (3.1.i), denoted $D_{\nu}(x)$, is a solution of (3.1.i) that decays as $x \to +\infty$; more precisely (e.g., [Tem19, Section 12.9(i), (12.9.1)])

$$D_{\nu}(x) \sim x^{\nu} e^{-x^2/4}, \quad x \to +\infty.$$

 $D_{\nu}(x)$ may also be characterized by the values,

(3.3)
$$D_{\nu}(0) = \frac{2^{\nu/2}\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{\nu}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right)}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(D_{\nu}(x)\right)\Big|_{x=0} = -\frac{2^{(\nu+1)/2}\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{\nu}{2}\right)},$$

the connection being derivable from the integral formulas (e.g., [MOS66, Section 8.1.4, p. 328]),

(3.4)
$$D_{\nu}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^{-x^{2}/4}}{\Gamma(-\nu)} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-\nu-1} e^{-t^{2}/2 - xt} dt, & \operatorname{Re}\nu < 0, \\ \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} e^{x^{2}/4} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t^{2}/2} \cos\left(\frac{\pi\nu}{2} - xt\right) t^{\nu} dt, & \operatorname{Re}\nu > -1 \end{cases}$$

As the coefficients of the differential equation (3.1.i) are jointly continuous in xand ν , and holomorphic in each variable separately, and since the initial conditions are homomorphic in ν , a standard continuation-of-parameters result (e.g., [Olv74, Section 5.3, Thm. 3.2, p. 146]) ensures that for each x, $D_{\nu}(x)$ is holomorphic in ν . If the Weber parabolic cylinder equation is written in *a*-notation, i.e. (3.1.ii), then the function named $D_{\nu}(x)$ in ν -notation is denoted U(a, x).

In the sequel, we will abuse language and call $D_{\nu}(x) = U(a, x)$ "the" parabolic cylinder function.

3.3. Transformations of the parabolic cylinder function. Certain transformations of the parabolic cylinder function still satisfy the Weber differential equation (3.1.i) (see, e.g., [MOS66, Section 8.1.1, p. 324, and Section 8.1.3, p. 327]).

Fact 3.1. For the differential equation

(3.5)
$$-\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + \left(\frac{x^2}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right)y(x) = \nu y(x), \ x \in \mathbb{C}, \ \nu \in \mathbb{C},$$

solutions include $D_{\nu}(x)$, $D_{\nu}(-x)$, $D_{-\nu-1}(ix)$, and $D_{-\nu-1}(-ix)$. Some Wronskians include:

(3.6a)
$$\operatorname{Wr}[D_{\nu}(x), D_{\nu}(-x)] = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(-\nu)},$$

(3.6b)
$$\operatorname{Wr}[D_{\nu}(x), D_{-\nu-1}(\pm ix)] = \exp\left(\mp i\frac{\pi}{2}(\nu+1)\right).$$

Remark 3.2. Since (3.5) has no $\frac{dy}{dx}$ term, the Wronskians of any two of its solutions are constant functions.

3.4. The even and odd solutions. We now present standard *even* and *odd* solutions to (3.1.i), given by (e.g., [Olv74, Chap. 5, Exercise 3.5, pp. 147–148])

(3.7.i)
$$y_{\text{even}}(\nu; x) \equiv e^{-x^2/4} \left[1 + (-\nu) \frac{x^2}{2!} + (-\nu) (-\nu + 2) \frac{x^4}{4!} + \cdots \right]$$

and

(3.7.ii)
$$y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; x) \equiv e^{-x^2/4} \left[x + (-\nu+1) \frac{x^3}{3!} + (-\nu+1) (-\nu+3) \frac{x^5}{5!} + \cdots \right].$$

For future reference, we note that from (3.7.i) and (3.7.ii), one sees that

(3.8.i)
$$y_{\text{even}}(\nu; 0) = 1,$$
 $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (y_{\text{even}}(\nu; x)) \Big|_{x=0} = 0,$

(3.8.ii)
$$y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; 0) = 0,$$
 $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; x)) \Big|_{x=0} = 1,$

so these new solutions are also holomorphic in x and ν .

By (3.8) and (3.3), we may write $D_{\nu}(x)$ in terms of the even and odd solutions (e.g., [Tem19, Section 12.4]):

(3.9)
$$D_{\nu}(x) = D_{\nu}(0)y_{\text{even}}(\nu; x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(D_{\nu}(x))\Big|_{x=0}y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; x) \\= \frac{2^{\nu/2}\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{\nu}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right)}y_{\text{even}}(\nu; x) - \frac{2^{(\nu+1)/2}\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{\nu}{2}\right)}y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; x).$$

3.5. (Non)interference of the zeros of different solutions. In the sequel, we fix x and discuss the zeroes of $D_{\nu}(x)$ in the parameter ν , and argue to what extent the zeroes in the parameter of $y_{\text{even}}(\nu; x)$ and $y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; x)$ do or do not interfere.

Lemma 3.3. Fix $x_0 \in \mathbb{C}$.

- (a). If $\nu \notin \mathbb{N}_0$, and $D_{\nu}(x_0) = 0$, then $y_{\text{even}}(\nu; x_0) \neq 0$ and $y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; x_0) \neq 0$.
- (b). if $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and $D_n(x_0) = 0$, then exactly one of $y_{\text{even}}(n; x_0)$, $y_{\text{odd}}(n; x_0)$ is 0.

Proof, Part (a). Fix $\nu, x_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, $\nu \notin \mathbb{N}_0$, such that $D_{\nu}(x_0) = 0$. If $y_{\text{even}}(\nu; x_0) = 0$, then by (3.9),

$$y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; x_0) = \frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{\nu}{2}\right)}{2^{(\nu+1)/2}\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{2^{\nu/2}\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{\nu}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right)} y_{\text{even}}(\nu; x_0) - D_{\nu}(x_0) \right)$$
$$= \frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{\nu}{2}\right)}{2^{(\nu+1)/2}\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{2^{\nu/2}\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{\nu}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right)} \cdot 0 - 0 \right) = 0.$$

Hence, the Wronskian of $y_{\text{even}}(\nu; x)$ and $y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; x)$ would be 0 at $x = x_0$. Yet by (3.8),

Wr
$$[y_{\text{even}}, y_{\text{odd}}](0) = 1 \cdot 1 - 0 \cdot 0 = 1,$$

and hence the Wronskian cannot vanish anywhere in \mathbb{C} ; in particular, $y_{\text{even}}(\nu; x_0)$ and $y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; x_0)$ can never be simultaneously 0. Contradiction. Similarly if $y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; x_0) = 0$.

Proof, Part (b). Note that if n = 2k is a nonnegative *even* integer, then by (3.9),

$$D_{2k}(x) = \frac{2^k \sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma\left(-k + \frac{1}{2}\right)} y_{\text{even}}(2k; x) - \frac{2^{k+(1/2)} \sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma\left(-k\right)} y_{\text{odd}}(2k; x)$$
$$= \frac{2^k \sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma\left(-k + \frac{1}{2}\right)} y_{\text{even}}(2k; x) - 0,$$

so $D_{2k}(x)$ is a nonzero multiple of $y_{\text{even}}(2k; x)$, and these functions have the same zeroes. The proof works similarly if n = 2k + 1 is a positive odd integer. \Box

4. Eigenvalue conditions.

4.1. $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ solutions. We return to finding the eigenvalues of (4.1)

$$L_{\rm PC}(z,b)y(x) = -y''(x) + \left(\frac{x^2}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right)y(x) + z\left[\delta\left(x - b\right) - \delta\left(x + b\right)\right]y(x), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, b > 0$$

Fact 4.1 (Folklore). Fix b > 0, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, and $y(x) \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then the conditions

(i) $y(x) \in \mathfrak{D}(L_{PC}(z,b))$, and

(ii) y(x) is an eigenfunction of (4.1) with eigenvalue ν , i.e.,

$$L_{PC}(z,b)y(x) = \nu y(x),$$

hold if and only if y(x) is a C^{∞} solution of (3.5) on (b, ∞) , (-b, b), and $(-\infty, -b)$, and we have the following jumps in the first derivative

(4.2)
$$y'(-b+) - y'(-b-) = -zy(-b), y'(b+) - y'(b-) = zy(b).$$

(For c real, f(x) a function on \mathbb{R} , $f(c\pm) = \lim_{x \to c^{\pm}} f(x)$.) Combining Fact 3.1 and Fact 4.1, we have the following.

Lemma 4.2. Fix b > 0 and $z \in \mathbb{C}$. If y(x) is an eigenfunction of $L_{PC}(z, b)$ with eigenvalue ν , then

(4.3)
$$y(x) = \begin{cases} \beta D_{\nu}(-x), & x \leq -b, \\ \sigma y_{\text{even}}(\nu; x) + \tau y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; x), & -b < x < b \\ \alpha D_{\nu}(x), & x \geq b, \end{cases}$$

for some complex $\alpha, \beta, \sigma, \tau$.

Proof. We choose a basis of solutions to (3.5) on each subinterval.

On $[b,\infty)$: $\{D_{\nu}(x), D_{-\nu-1}(ix)\}$ is a basis because their Wronskian is nonzero (see (3.6b)).

On (-b,b): { $y_{\text{even}}(\nu; x), y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; x)$ } is a basis here. Note that by (3.8),

Wr $[y_{\text{even}}(\nu; x), y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; x)](0) = 1 \cdot 1 - 0 \cdot 0 = 1,$

and since $y_{\text{even}}(\nu; x)$ and $y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; x)$ satisfy the same differential equation, their Wronskian is therefore never 0, so we indeed have a basis.

On $(-\infty, -b]$: We choose $\{D_{\nu}(-x), D_{-\nu-1}(ix)\}$ is a basis. The Wronskian is nonzero, because by the Chain Rule,

$$\operatorname{Wr}\left[f(cx),g(cx)\right](t) = c \operatorname{Wr}\left[f(x),g(x)\right](ct),$$

so that again by (3.6b),

$$Wr[D_{\nu}(-x), D_{-\nu-1}(ix)] = -Wr[D_{\nu}(x), D_{-\nu-1}(-ix)] = -\exp\left(i\frac{\pi}{2}(\nu+1)\right) \neq 0.$$

Eigenfunctions are solutions to the unperturbed differential equation (3.5) on each subinterval, by Fact 4.1; therefore

(4.4)
$$y(x) = \begin{cases} \beta D_{\nu}(-x) + t D_{-\nu-1}(ix), & x \leq -b, \\ \sigma y_{\text{even}}(\nu; x) + \tau y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; x), & -b < x < b, \\ \alpha D_{\nu}(x) + s D_{-\nu-1}(ix), & x \geq b. \end{cases}$$

for some complex constants $\beta, t, \sigma, \tau, \alpha, s$. Yet we have the known asymptotic as $|x| \to \infty$, ([MOS66, Section 8.1.6, p. 331]),

$$D_{\nu}(x) = e^{-x^2/4} e^{\nu \log x} \left(1 - \frac{\nu(\nu - 1)}{2x^2} + O\left(|x|^{-4}\right) \right), \quad |\arg x| < \frac{3\pi}{4}$$

Applying this to $D_{-\nu-1}(\pm ix)$, if $|\arg x| < \frac{\pi}{4}$,

$$D_{-\nu-1}(\pm ix) = e^{x^2/4} e^{(-\nu-1)[(\log x)\pm i\pi/2]} \left(1 + \frac{(-\nu-1)(-\nu-2)}{2x^2} + O\left(|x|^{-4}\right)\right).$$

Hence, in (4.4), line 3, s = 0, otherwise the solutions would be growing in magnitude as $x \to +\infty$, which is incompatible with $y \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. In the same way, we can show in (4.4), line 1, t = 0, if we rewrite $D_{-\nu-1}(ix) = D_{-\nu-1}(-i \cdot (-x))$, so that as $x \to -\infty, \, \arg(-x) = 0$ and we may apply the above asymptotic.

4.2. Boundary conditions at $\pm b$. Suppose that y(x) is indeed an eigenfunction of $L_{\rm PC}(z, b)$ with eigenvalue ν . Then by Lemma 4.2, we have that

(4.5)
$$y(x) = \begin{cases} \beta D_{\nu}(-x), & x \le -b, \\ \sigma y_{\text{even}}(\nu; x) + \tau y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; x), & -b < x < b, \\ \alpha D_{\nu}(x), & x \ge b. \end{cases}$$

Yet functions in the domain of $L_{PC}(z, b)$ are continuous, so we must have

(4.6)
$$y(b+) = y(b-)$$
$$\alpha D_{\nu}(b) = \sigma y_{\text{even}}(\nu; b) + \tau y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; b)$$

and

(4.7)
$$y(-b+) = y(-b-)$$
$$\sigma y_{\text{even}}(\nu; -b) + \tau y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; -b) = \beta D_{\nu}(-(-b))$$
$$\sigma y_{\text{even}}(\nu; b) - \tau y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; b) = \beta D_{\nu}(b).$$

Similarly, the jump condition at +b (i.e., (4.2) becomes (4.8)

$$y'(b+) - y'(b-) = zy(b)$$

$$\alpha \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(D_{\nu}(x) \right) \right|_{x=b} - \left(\sigma \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(y_{\text{even}}(\nu; x) \right) \right|_{x=b} + \tau \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; x) \right) \right|_{x=b} \right) = z\alpha D_{\nu}(b)$$

The jump condition at -b becomes (by function parity and the Chain Rule) (4.9)

$$\begin{aligned} y'(-b+) - y'(-b-) &= -zy(b) \\ \left(\sigma \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(y_{\text{even}}(\nu; x) \right) \right|_{x=-b} + \tau \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; x) \right) \right|_{x=-b} \right) &- \beta \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(D_{\nu}(-x) \right) \right|_{x=-b} = -z\beta D_{\nu}(-(-b)) \\ &- \sigma \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(y_{\text{even}}(\nu; x) \right) \right|_{x=b} + \tau \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; x) \right) \right|_{x=b} + \beta \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(D_{\nu}(x) \right) \right|_{x=b} = -z\beta D_{\nu}(b) \end{aligned}$$

T

x)

Putting this all together, and letting

(4.10a)
$$\mathcal{P} \equiv D_{\nu}(b), \qquad \qquad \mathcal{Q} \equiv \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(D_{\nu}(x) \right) \right|_{x=b},$$

(4.10b)
$$\mathcal{R} \equiv y_{\text{even}}(\nu; b), \qquad \mathcal{S} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(y_{\text{even}}(\nu; b), \right)$$

(4.10c)
$$\mathcal{T} \equiv y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; b), \qquad \qquad \mathcal{U} \equiv \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; x) \right) \right|_{x=b},$$

we have that

(4.11)
$$\begin{pmatrix} -\mathcal{P} & 0 & \mathcal{R} & \mathcal{T} \\ 0 & -\mathcal{P} & \mathcal{R} & -\mathcal{T} \\ z\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{Q} & 0 & \mathcal{S} & \mathcal{U} \\ 0 & z\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{Q} & -\mathcal{S} & \mathcal{U} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \sigma \\ \tau \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This has nontrivial solutions (i.e., the ν -eigenspace is nontrivial) if and only if the determinant is nonzero, i.e., if and only if

(4.12)
$$2(\mathcal{R}\mathcal{Q} - \mathcal{SP})(\mathcal{T}\mathcal{Q} - \mathcal{UP}) - 2z^2\mathcal{P}^2\mathcal{RT} = 0.$$

 $\rightarrow D (\gamma) (1)$

Yet recalling the definitions of \mathcal{P} , etc. (i.e., (4.10)), we see that

 $\mathcal{RQ} - \mathcal{SP} = \operatorname{Wr} \left[y_{\operatorname{even}}(\nu; x), D_{\nu}(x) \right](b).$

By the decomposition of $D_{\nu}(x)$ into the even and odd terms, however, this becomes

Wr
$$[y_{\text{even}}(\nu; x), D_{\nu}(x)](b)$$

$$= \operatorname{Wr} \left[y_{\text{even}}(\nu; x), \frac{2^{\nu/2}\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{\nu}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)} y_{\text{even}}(\nu; x) - \frac{2^{(\nu+1)/2}\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{\nu}{2}\right)} y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; x) \right](b)$$

$$= -\frac{2^{(\nu+1)/2}\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{\nu}{2}\right)} \operatorname{Wr} \left[y_{\text{even}}(\nu; x), y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; x) \right](b).$$

As the Wronskians of solutions to (3.1.i) are constant functions (see Remark 3.2), zero can be chosen as the evaluation point, and we get

Wr
$$[y_{\text{even}}(\nu; x), D_{\nu}(x)](b) = -\frac{2^{(\nu+1)/2}\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(-\frac{\nu}{2})}$$
 Wr $[y_{\text{even}}(\nu; x), y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; x)](0)$
 $= -\frac{2^{(\nu+1)/2}\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(-\frac{\nu}{2})}.$

Similarly, one has

---- r

$$\mathcal{TQ} - \mathcal{UP} = \operatorname{Wr} \left[y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; x), D_{\nu}(x) \right] (b)$$

= $\frac{2^{\nu/2} \sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{\nu}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right)} \operatorname{Wr} \left[y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; x), y_{\text{even}}(\nu; x) \right] (0)$
= $-\frac{2^{\nu/2} \sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{\nu}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right)}.$

Altogether, then, (4.12) becomes

$$2\left(\frac{2^{\nu+(1/2)}\pi}{\Gamma(-\frac{\nu}{2})\Gamma(-\frac{\nu}{2}+\frac{1}{2})}-z^2D_{\nu}^2(b)y_{\text{even}}(\nu;b)y_{\text{odd}}(\nu;b)\right)=0$$

By using the Gamma-function double-angle formula (e.g., Fact 2.2, (2.2)),

(4.13)
$$\Gamma(2w) = \frac{2^{2w-1}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma(w) \Gamma\left(w + \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad 2w \notin -\mathbb{N}_0$$

and applying with $w = -\frac{\nu}{2}, \nu \notin \mathbb{N}_0$, we have

(4.14)
$$\frac{2^{\nu+(1/2)}\pi}{\Gamma(-\frac{\nu}{2})\Gamma\left(-\frac{\nu}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{2}\Gamma(-\nu)}$$

Yet (4.14) holds for $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0$ as well. If $\nu = 2k$ is nonnegative and even, then both $\frac{1}{\Gamma(-\nu)} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-2k)}$ and $\frac{1}{\Gamma(-\frac{\nu}{2})} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-k)}$ are 0, and if $\nu = 2k + 1$ is positive and odd, then both $\frac{1}{\Gamma(-\nu)} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-2k-1)}$ and $\frac{1}{\Gamma(-\frac{\nu}{2}+\frac{1}{2})} = \frac{1}{-k}$ are 0. Therefore, we have:

Proposition 4.3. Fix $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $b \in (0, \infty)$. Then $\nu \in \text{Sp } L_{PC}(z, b)$ if and only if

(4.15)
$$\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{2}\Gamma(-\nu)} - z^2 D_{\nu}^2(b) y_{\text{even}}(\nu; b) y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; b) = 0.$$

Remark 4.4. This result is a slight generalization of [Dem05, Eq. 8, p. 1083]. To see the compatibility, take (4.15), divide by $\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{2}\Gamma(-\nu)}$, let z = iV, rename b as z_1 and ν as ξ , and use Fact 2.2 to rewrite the product of the odd and even solutions as a power of 2, some Gamma functions, and $D_{\xi}^2(-z_1) - D_{\xi}^2(z_1)$.

4.3. Separation of Variables. We separate the variables, at the cost of making some functions in the equation meromorphic in ν .

Corollary 4.5. Fix $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $b \in (0, \infty)$. For $\nu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{N}_0$, $\nu \in \operatorname{Sp} L_{PC}(z, b)$ if and only if

(4.16)
$$M(\nu; b) = \frac{1}{z^2},$$

where

(4.17)
$$M(\nu;b) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \Gamma(-\nu) D_{\nu}^{2}(b) y_{\text{even}}(\nu;b) y_{\text{odd}}(\nu;b).$$

The pole of $M(\nu; b)$ at $\nu = 0$ is not removable, so the function $M(\cdot; b)$ is not constant in ν . Also, $M(\nu; b)$ is real if ν is real.

Proof. For $\nu \notin \mathbb{N}_0$, transforming (4.15) to (4.16) is elementary algebra. To demonstrate that the pole of $M(\nu, b)$ at $\nu = 0$ is not removable, we need to prove that $D_0(b)$, $y_{\text{even}}(0; b)$, and $y_{\text{odd}}(0; b)$ are not 0.

 $D_0(b) \neq 0$: It is known (e.g. [MOS66, Section 8.1.2, p. 326]) that $D_0(b) = \exp\left(-\frac{b^2}{4}\right)$, and this is nonzero.

 $y_{\text{even}}(0;b) \neq 0$: By (3.7.i),

$$y_{\text{even}}(0;b) = e^{-b^2/4} \left[1 + 0\frac{b^2}{2!} + (0)(2)\frac{b^4}{4!} + \cdots \right] = e^{-b^2/4},$$

and this is nonzero.

 $y_{\text{odd}}(0; b) \neq 0$: By (3.7.ii) and b > 0,

$$y_{\text{odd}}(0;b) = e^{-b^2/4} \left[b + 1 \frac{b^3}{3!} + 1(3) \frac{b^5}{5!} + \cdots \right] > 0.$$

Since the pole at $\nu = 0$ is not removable, we must have that $\lim_{\nu \to 0} |M(\nu; b)| = \infty$, and hence $M(\nu; b)$ is non-constant in ν .

The reality of $y_{\text{even}}(\nu; b)$ and $y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; b)$ if ν is real comes from the power-series expansions (3.7), as all summands are real. Then the decomposition of $D_{\nu}(x)$ in terms of the even and odd solutions, i.e. (3.9), and the reality of the gamma function for real inputs in its domain, ensures that $D_{\nu}(b)$ is real for real inputs. \Box

4.4. Notation. So far, x has been the key variable, as our functions were functions of x, and all derivatives in (3.1.i) are in x. Now that the eigenvalue equation has been formed, the emphasis shifts, and ν becomes the primary variable in the sequel. We choose a fixed b > 0 and suppress explicit references to b:

(4.18)
$$D(\nu) \equiv D_{\nu}(b),$$
$$\Phi(\nu) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} y_{\text{even}}(\nu; b) y_{\text{odd}}(\nu; b),$$
$$M(\nu) \equiv M(\nu; b).$$

Thus, we rewrite Proposition 4.3 and Corollary 4.5 as follows.

Corollary 4.6. Fix
$$z \in \mathbb{C}$$
. $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$ is in $\operatorname{Sp} L_{PC}(z, b)$ if and only if

(4.19)
$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(-\nu)} - z^2 \mathsf{D}(\nu) \Phi(\nu) = 0$$

If, in addition, $\nu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{N}_0$, then $\nu \in \operatorname{Sp} L_{PC}(z, b)$ if and only if

$$\mathsf{M}(\nu) = \frac{1}{z^2}$$

where

(4.21)
$$\mathsf{M}(\nu) = \Gamma(-\nu)[\mathsf{D}(\nu)]^2 \Phi(\nu)$$

The pole of M at 0 is never removable, so the function $M(\nu)$ is not constant. Also, M is real-valued for ν real.

5. Zeros of Parabolic Cylinder Functions in the Parameter. An observation from (4.20) is that as $|z| \to \infty$, $\left|\frac{1}{z^2}\right| \to 0$, so the zeroes of $\mathsf{M}(\nu)$ outside \mathbb{N}_0 , in particular of its factor $\mathsf{D}(\nu)$ (see (4.21)), are helpful in discerning the asymptotic behavior of the eigenvalues as |z| grows large. For numerical confirmation of this idea, see Figure 1.

To ensure that we have zeroes of $D(\nu)$ to work with, we prove:

Proposition 5.1. $D(\nu)$ has infinitely many distinct zeroes.

The statement is implied by Figure 2 (p. 280) of Dean's paper [Dea66], but we prefer to give a proof. Our approach uses the theory of entire functions, in particular the concept of (exponential) order of an entire function.

Definition 5.2. Let f(z) be an entire function. Then f is of finite (exponential) order if there exists $0 < \rho < \infty$ such that for all $r \ge R = R(\rho)$,

$$\max_{|z| \le r} |f(z)| \le \exp(r^{\rho}).$$

If such a ρ exists, we call the infimum of such ρ the *exponential order* (or simply *order*) of f.



FIGURE 1. Some small eigenvalues of $L_{PC}(ir, 2), r \in \left\{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{j}{10} : 0 \le j \le 95\right\}$. Zeroes of $M(\nu; 2)$ and its first ν derivative are marked. Each zero of $D(\nu)$ eventually has a small neighborhood containing as many eigenvalues as the order of the zero.

For an entire function $f(\nu)$, define the maximum function $M_f(r)$, r > 0, by

(5.1)
$$M_f(r) \equiv \sup_{|\nu|=r} |f(\nu)|;$$

by the Maximum Modulus Principle, $M_f(r) = \sup_{|\nu| \le r} |f(\nu)|.$

Remark 5.3. It makes little difference to our entire-functions arguments whether the suppressed argument b is real or complex; therefore, for this section only, we will let β be an arbitrary complex number and redefine $\mathsf{D}(\nu) = D_{\nu}(\beta)$; in this more general setting, the function is still at most order-1, maximal-type in ν .

For the moment, we assuming the following fact about the growth rate $D(\nu)$, to be proven later.

Lemma 5.4. $D(\nu)$ is of exponential order at most 1 in ν , though possibly of maximal type; more specifically, we have the estimate,

(5.2)
$$\log\{M_{\mathsf{D}}(r)\} \le \frac{1}{4}r\log r + r\left(2 + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + O(\log r).$$

We also require the fact that $D(\nu)$ decays as $\nu \to -\infty$.

Fact 5.5 (e.g., [MOS66, Chapter 8, Section 8.1.6, p. 332]). If $|\arg(-\nu)| \le \frac{\pi}{2}$,

(5.3)
$$\mathsf{D}(\nu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \exp\left[\frac{\nu}{2}\log(-\nu) - \frac{\nu}{2} - \beta\sqrt{-\nu}\right] \left\{1 + O(|\nu|^{-1/2})\right\}.$$

In particular, for $\nu = -\xi$, $\xi > 0$, we have as $\xi \to +\infty$ that

(5.4)
$$\ln|\mathsf{D}(-\xi)| = -\frac{\xi}{2}\log(\xi) + \frac{\xi}{2} - (\operatorname{Re}\beta)\sqrt{\xi} - \frac{1}{2}\log(2) + \log\left\{1 + O(\xi^{-1/2})\right\}.$$

Proof of Proposition 5.1, given Lemma 5.4. Suppose, by way of contradiction, that $D(\nu)$ has only finitely many zeros. Since $D(\nu)$ is an entire function by the Weierstrass Factorization Theorem (e.g., [Lev64, Chapter 1, Section 3, Theorem 3, p. 8]), we must have

(5.5)
$$\mathsf{D}(\nu) = P(\nu)e^{g(\nu)}, \quad P(\nu) \text{ a polynomial, }, g(\nu) \text{ entire.}$$

Yet $D(\nu)$ is a function of order at most 1 by Lemma 5.4, so $g(\nu)$ must be a degree-1 polynomial; else, it would not be order 1. Therefore,

(5.6)
$$\mathsf{D}(\nu) = P(\nu)e^{c\nu+d}, \quad P(\nu) \text{ a polynomial, } c, d \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Let M be the maximum modulus of the zeroes of $P(\nu)$, i.e., of $\mathsf{D}(\nu)$. Then for $\nu = -\xi, \xi > M$, we must have $\mathsf{D}(-\xi) \neq 0$ and hence

$$\ln|\mathsf{D}(-\xi)| = \ln|P(-\xi)| - \xi\operatorname{Re}(c) + \operatorname{Re}(d) = (-\operatorname{Re}(c))\xi + O_{\beta}(\ln\xi), \quad \xi \to +\infty.$$

Yet by Fact 5.5, (5.4), $\ln|\mathsf{D}(-\xi)| = -\frac{\xi}{2}\log(\xi) + \frac{\xi}{2} - O_{\beta}(\sqrt{\xi})$ as $\xi \to +\infty$. Contradiction.

Therefore, $D(\nu)$ has infinitely many zeros.

Proof of Lemma 5.4. We have (see [Olv61, Section 3, Theorem, (3.1), p. 813–4]) that if $\nu = -a - \frac{1}{2} = \mu^2 - \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta = \mu t \sqrt{2}$, and with $\theta = \arg \mu$, $|\theta| \le \frac{\pi}{2}$,¹

(5.7)
$$\left| D_{\mu^2 - \frac{1}{2}}(\mu t \sqrt{2}) \right| \le k \frac{\left| (2e)^{-\frac{1}{4}\mu^2} \mu^{\frac{1}{2}\mu^2} \exp(-\mu^2 \xi_{\theta}(t)) \right|}{1 + |\mu|^{1/6} + |\mu|^{\frac{1}{2}} |t^2 - 1|^{1/4}},$$

where k is an unspecified constant, the powers $(2e)^{-\frac{1}{4}\mu^2}$ and $\mu^{\frac{1}{2}\mu^2}$ have their principal values, and $\xi_{\theta}(t)$ is a particular branch of the multivalued function

$$\xi(t) = \int_{1}^{t} (u^{2} - 1)^{1/2} du = \frac{1}{2}t(t^{2} - 1)^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2}\ln\{t + \sqrt{t^{2} - 1}\}$$

which is defined in the following way. As we are interested in the growth rate as $|\nu| \to \infty$, hence $|\mu| = \sqrt{\left|\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right|} \to \infty$, and β is fixed, we will have $t = \frac{x}{\mu\sqrt{2}} \to 0$. For $|t| \le \frac{1}{4}$, the correct branches for our purposes are ([Olv59, Section 5, (5.8 – 5.9), p. 143], [Olv61, Section 2, (2.8 – 2.10), p. 813]),

$$\xi_{\theta}(t) = \pm i\eta(t),$$

$$\eta(t) = \int_{t}^{1} (1 - u^{2})^{1/2} du = \frac{1}{2} \left(\arccos(t) - t\sqrt{1 - t^{2}} \right)$$

$$\arccos(t) = \int_{t}^{1} \frac{du}{\sqrt{1 - u^{2}}}.$$

More specifically, the requirements are that ([Olv61, Section 2, (2.9-2.10), p. 813])

$$\begin{cases} \xi_{\theta}(0) = -\frac{i\pi}{4}, & -\frac{\pi}{2} \le \theta < 0\\ \xi_{0}(0+0i) = -\frac{i\pi}{4}, \\ \xi_{0}(0-0i) = \frac{i\pi}{4}, \\ \xi_{\theta}(0) = \frac{i\pi}{4}, & 0 < \theta \le \frac{\pi}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Since $\eta(0) = \frac{\pi}{4}$, it follows that for $\theta = 0$, $\xi_0(t) = i\eta(t)$ for Im t < 0 and t small, and $\xi_0(t) = -i\eta(t)$ for Im t > 0 and t small. As θ changes, the branch cut moves, but wherever it is, below the branch cut, we use $\xi_{\theta}(t) = i\eta(t)$, and above it we use $\xi_{\theta}(t) = -i\eta(t)$, and on it, we will use whichever branch gives the larger upper bound for $|\exp(-\mu^2 \xi_{\theta}(t))|$.

To ensure that $|t| \leq \frac{1}{4}$ above, it suffices to have $|\nu| \geq 8|\beta|^2 + \frac{1}{2}$, since $\mu^2 = \nu + \frac{1}{2}$, or $|\mu^2| \geq |\nu| - \frac{1}{2} \geq 8|\beta|^2$, or $|\mu| \geq 2\sqrt{2}|\beta|$, and then

$$|t| = \frac{|\beta|}{\mu\sqrt{2}} \le \frac{|\beta|}{4|\beta|} \le \frac{1}{4}.$$

¹This range of θ suffices, as $|\arg \mu| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ implies $|\arg(\mu^2)| \leq \pi$, and $\nu = \mu^2 + \frac{1}{2}$; hence, all (sufficiently large) ν may be achieved by rewriting in this way.

With
$$|t| \leq \frac{1}{4}$$
, we have that $\frac{\sqrt{15}}{4} \leq \left|\sqrt{1-t^2}\right| \leq 1$ and
 $|\arccos t| \leq |\arccos(t) - \arccos(0)| + |\arccos(0)|$
 $= \left|\int_t^0 \frac{du}{\sqrt{1-u^2}}\right| + \frac{\pi}{2}$
 $\leq \frac{\pi}{2} + |t| \cdot \frac{4}{\sqrt{15}} \leq \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{15}}.$

so that (recalling that $\mu^2 = \nu + \frac{1}{2}$)

$$\begin{aligned} \left| -\mu^{2} \xi_{\theta}(t) \right| &\leq \left| \mu^{2} \right| |\pm i| |\eta(t)| \\ &\leq \left| \nu + \frac{1}{2} \right| \left| \frac{1}{2} \right| \left(|\arccos t| + |t| \left| \sqrt{1 - t^{2}} \right| \right) \\ &\leq \left| \nu + \frac{1}{2} \right| \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{15}} + \frac{1}{4} \right) \\ &\leq \left| \nu + \frac{1}{2} \right| \cdot \frac{3}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

(5.8)
$$\left|\exp(-\mu^{2}\xi_{\theta}(t))\right| \leq \exp\left|-\mu^{2}\xi_{\theta}(t)\right| \leq \exp\left(\frac{3}{2}|\nu| + \frac{3}{4}\right).$$

To bound the other terms in the numerator of (5.7), we have

(5.9)
$$\left| (2e)^{-\frac{1}{4}\mu^2} \right| \le (e)^{2 \cdot \left| -\frac{1}{4} \right| \cdot \left| \nu + \frac{1}{2} \right|} \le \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}|\nu| + \frac{1}{4}\right).$$

and

(5.10)
$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mu^{\frac{1}{2}\mu^{2}} \right| &= \left| \exp\left\{ \frac{1}{2}\mu^{2}\log\mu \right\} \right| \\ &= \exp\left\{ \frac{1}{2}\left|\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right| \left(\log|\mu| + \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \right\} \\ &\sim \exp\left(\frac{1}{4}\left|\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right| \log\left|\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right| + \frac{\pi}{4}\left|\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right| \right), \ |\nu| \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, combining (5.7), (5.8), (5.9), and (5.10),

$$\log M_{\mathsf{D}}(r) \le \log k + \frac{1}{2}r + \frac{1}{4}r\log r + \frac{\pi}{4}r + \frac{3}{2}r + O(\log r)$$
$$= \frac{1}{4}r\log r + \left(2 + \frac{\pi}{4}\right)r + O(\log r)$$

6. Eigenvalue Localization Around Non-integer Roots of D.

15

6.1. Preliminaries. We now reformulate the first part of Lemma 3.3 as

Lemma 6.1. If $\nu \notin \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\mathsf{D}(\nu) = 0$, then $\Phi(\nu) \neq 0$.

As $\mathsf{M}(\nu) = \Gamma(-\nu)[\mathsf{D}(\nu)]^2 \Phi(\nu)$ (see (4.21)), zeroes of $\mathsf{D}(\nu)$ outside \mathbb{N} result in zeroes of exactly double the order for $\mathsf{M}(\nu)$, and hence should be close to solutions of $\mathsf{M}(\nu) = \frac{1}{z^2}$ for |z| large. We compress the complex analysis into the statements below. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ be a zero of order exactly m of D . Then λ is a zero of order exactly 2m of M ; write this function locally as

(6.1)
$$\mathsf{M}(\nu) = c_{2m}(\nu - \lambda)^{2m}(1 + g(\nu - \lambda)), \quad c_{2m} \neq 0,$$

where g(0) = 0 and g is analytic in some disk; fix $R = R(\lambda) > 0$ such that $|g(\zeta)| \le \frac{1}{4}$ whenever $|\zeta| \le R$. Let B be the maximum of $|g'(\zeta)|$ on this neighborhood.

Lemma 6.2. Fix $\rho > 0$. There exists a constant $\delta = \delta(\lambda, \rho)$ such that if $|z| > \frac{1}{\delta}$, there exist 2m solutions of $\mathsf{M}(\nu) = \frac{1}{z^2}$ (i.e., (4.20)), within the radius- ρ -neighborhood of λ ; more precisely, if $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}$ is defined such that $\epsilon^{2m} = \frac{1}{z^2 c_{2m}}$, then the 2m solutions are

(6.2)
$$\nu_j = \lambda + \epsilon \omega^j + E_j, \quad 0 \le j \le 2m - 1, \quad \omega = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi}{2m}\right), \quad |E_j| \le 3B|\epsilon|^2.$$

In particular,

(6.3)
$$\frac{1}{2}|\epsilon| \le |\nu_j - \lambda| \le 2|\epsilon| < \rho \text{ for all } j, \ 0 \le j \le 2m - 1.$$

Proof. Keeping in mind (6.1), and letting $z = \nu - \lambda$, let us analyze an equation

(6.4.i)
$$cz^p(1+g(z)) = w^p, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}, c \neq 0$$

(6.4.ii)
$$g(0) = 0, g$$
 analytic if $|z| \le R$, and $|g(z)| \le \frac{1}{4}$ in this disc

(6.4.iii)

Let

(6.5)
$$B \equiv \max\{g'(z) : z \le R\}.$$

 Put

(6.6)
$$h(z) = \log[1+g(z)] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{[g(z)]^n}{n}$$

Then

(6.7.i)
$$|h(z) - g(z)| \le \frac{2}{3}|g(z)|^2 \le \frac{1}{6}|g(z)|,$$

(6.7.ii)
$$\frac{5}{6}|g(z)| \le |h(z)| \le \frac{7}{6}|g(z)| < \frac{7}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{4} < \frac{1}{3}$$
, and

(6.7.iii)
$$h'(z) = \frac{g'(z)}{1+g(z)}$$
, so $|h'(z)| \le \frac{4}{3}|g'(z)| \le \frac{4}{3}B$ and $|h(z)| \le \frac{4}{3}B|z|$.

If
$$\omega^p = 1$$
, $\omega = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{p}\right)$, the equation (6.4.i) splits into a series of p equations

(6.8)
$$c^{1/p} z \exp\left(\frac{1}{p}h(z)\right) = w\omega^j, \quad 0 \le j < p,$$

where $c^{1/p}$ is any *p*th root of *c*. Each of them, for small enough *w*, has one and only one solution z_j , as it follows from analysis of the inverse function $z(\zeta)$ for

(6.9)
$$z \mapsto \zeta = \mathsf{X}(z) \equiv \zeta \exp\left(\frac{1}{p}h(z)\right), \quad |z| \le \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{1+B};$$

it is well-defined for small z because

$$X(0) = 0$$
, and $\left. \frac{dX(z)}{dz} \right|_{z=0} = 1.$

But we need good inequalities. By (6.9)

(6.10)
$$z = \Psi(z;\zeta) \equiv \zeta e^{-\frac{1}{p}h(z)} = \zeta + \zeta \left[e^{-\frac{1}{p}h(z)} - 1 \right],$$

i.e.,

(6.11)
$$z = z(\zeta)$$
 is a fixed point of $\Psi(\cdot; \zeta)$.

Claim 6.3. If

(6.12)
$$|\zeta| \le \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{1+B},$$

then

(6.13)
$$\Psi: \mathbb{D}_{\kappa} \to \mathbb{D}_{\kappa} \text{ is contractive, for } \kappa = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{1+B}.$$

Proof. Indeed, $e^{1/3} < \frac{3}{2}$, so

(6.14)
$$|\Psi(z)| = \left|\zeta e^{-\frac{1}{p}h(z)}\right| \le |\zeta|e^{\frac{1}{3}} < \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{1+B} \cdot \frac{3}{2} = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{1+B},$$

and for $z, t \in \mathbb{D}_{\kappa}$, by (6.7.iii), (6.12)

(6.15)

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi(z) - \Psi(t)| &\leq |\zeta| \cdot \left| e^{-\frac{1}{p}h(z)} - e^{-\frac{1}{p}h(t)} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{1+B} \cdot e^{1/3} |h(z) - h(t)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{1+B} \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot B \cdot |z - t| < \frac{1}{3} |z - t|. \end{aligned}$$

The Claim is proven. It implies the existence of $z(\zeta) \in (6.11)$ which gives solutions of (6.8)

(6.16)
$$z_j = z(\zeta_j) = \epsilon \omega^j, \quad \epsilon = w c^{-1/p}, \quad 0 \le j < p.$$

Additional properties of $z(\zeta)$ come from (6.10) — and (6.14), (6.7.ii)

(6.17)
$$\begin{aligned} |z-\zeta| \Big| \zeta \Big| e^{-\frac{1}{p}h(z)} - 1 \Big] \Big| \\ &\leq |\zeta|e^{1/3}|h(z)| \leq |\zeta| \cdot \frac{3}{2} \cdot B \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot |z| \\ &\leq |z|2B \cdot \frac{3}{2}|\zeta| \leq 3B|\zeta|^2. \end{aligned}$$

For solutions (6.16), we have if

(6.18)
$$|\epsilon| \le \frac{1}{6|c|^{1/p}} \cdot \frac{1}{1+B},$$

(6.19)
$$z_j = \epsilon \omega^j + E_j, \quad |E_j| \le 3B|\epsilon|^2, \quad 0 \le j < p$$

So far, (6.3) has not been explained, but if $|\epsilon| \leq \min\{\frac{1}{6B}, \frac{\rho}{3}\}$, then $|E_j| \leq 3B\left(\frac{1}{6B}\right)|\epsilon| = \frac{|\epsilon|}{2}$, and hence

$$|z_j| \ge |\epsilon \omega^j| - |E_j| \ge |\epsilon| \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

and

$$|z_j| \le \left|\epsilon\omega^j\right| + |E_j| \le |\epsilon|\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\right) \le \frac{\rho}{3} \cdot \frac{3}{2} = \frac{\rho}{2} < \rho.$$

This explains that we may take $\delta = \sqrt{|c| \cdot \min\{\frac{1}{(6B)^p}, \frac{1}{|c|[6(1+B)]^p}, \left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)^p\}}$. \Box

6.2. Case: real perturbation. Suppose z = r is real in (1.8), i.e.,

$$L_{\rm PC}(r,b)(y(x)) = -y'' + \left(\frac{x^2}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right)y(x) + r(\delta(x-b) - \delta(x+b)), \ b > 0.$$

Lemma 6.4. For $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $L_{PC}(r, b)$ is self-adjoint; consequently, $\operatorname{Sp} L_{PC}(r, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. *Proof.* For real r, we now show that the quadratic form from which $L_{PC}(r, b)$ is formed, i.e.,

(6.20)
$$\mathfrak{t}_{r,b}(u,v) \equiv (u'(x),v'(x))_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \frac{1}{4}(xu(x),xv(x))_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} - \frac{1}{2}(u(x),v(x))_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + ru(b)\overline{v(b)} - ru(-b)\overline{v(-b)}$$

$$\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{t}_{r,b}) \equiv \{f(x) \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}) : xf(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}$$

is semi-bounded below, i.e.,

$$\mathfrak{t}_{r,b}(u,u) \ge -c \|u(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2, \quad c = c(r) > 0.$$

Indeed, for all $f \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R})$, for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $T = T(\epsilon)$ such that (6.21) $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f(x)| \le \epsilon ||f'(x)||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + T(\epsilon) ||f(x)||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$

For r = 0,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{t}_{0,b}\left(u,u\right) &= \left\|u'(x)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \left\|xu(x)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left\|u(x)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{2} \left\|u(x)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

For $r \neq 0$, we let $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{|r|}}$ and apply the inequalities (6.21) and $(\alpha + \beta)^2 \leq 2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} ru(b)\overline{u(b)} &= r|u(b)|^2 > -|r| \left(\epsilon \|u'(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + T\|u(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \right)^2 \\ &> -|r| \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{|r|}} \|u'(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + T\|u(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \right)^2 \\ &\geq -2|r| \left(\frac{1}{4|r|} \|u'(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + T^2\|u(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \|u'(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 - 2|r|T^2\|u(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for $-ru(-b)\overline{v(-b)}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{t}_{r,b}\left(u,u\right) &= \left\|u'(x)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \|xu(x)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \|u(x)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + r|u(b)|^{2} - r|u(-b)|^{2} \\ &> 0 - \left(4|r|T^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \|u(x)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}. \end{split}$$

Hence, in all cases, $t_{r,b}$ is semibounded below; as in [MS16], one checks that it is closed. Hence, the operator coming from the quadratic form is self-adjoint (e.g., [RS72, Chapter VIII, Section 6, Theorem VIII.15, p. 279]).

Proposition 6.5.

- (i) If $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathsf{D}(\lambda) = 0$, then $\lambda > 0$.
- (ii) If $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ is a zero of D, it is a simple zero.
- (iii) If $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ is a zero of D, then in the local series expansion

$$\mathsf{M}(\nu) = c_2(\nu - \lambda)^2 + O\left((\nu - \lambda)^3\right),\,$$

 $c_2 > 0.$

Proof, Part (i). Fix λ such that $D(\lambda) = 0$. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$, we know that λ is real, and we know that $D(0) = D_0(b) = e^{-b^2/4}$ is nonzero, so we reduce to the case where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{N}_0$. Fix $\rho > 0$. By Lemma 6.2, for r > 0 large enough such that $\frac{1}{r} < \delta(\lambda, \rho)$, there exist several solutions of $M(\nu) = \frac{1}{r^2}$ within ρ of λ . Yet these solutions cannot be nonnegative integers, for $M(\nu)$ is not defined on the nonnegative integers. Therefore, by Corollary 4.6, these solutions are eigenvalues of $L_{PC}(r, b)$, and by Lemma 6.4, such eigenvalues must be real numbers. Therefore, we have that the distance from λ to the real line is bounded above by the distance from λ to Sp $L_{PC}(r, b)$, which is at most ρ for sufficiently large r. As $\rho > 0$ is arbitrary, we must have $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Moreover, by the integral representation from (3.4) (e.g., [MOS66, Section 8.1.4, p. 328]), if $\nu < 0$, then

$$\mathsf{D}(\nu) = \frac{e^{-b^2/4}}{\Gamma(-\nu)} \int_0^\infty t^{-\nu-1} e^{-t^2/2 - bt} \, dt,$$

which is a nonzero constant times the integral of a positive integrand, and hence is nonzero. Therefore, the zeroes of $D(\nu)$ avoid the negative real axis, and as already noted, 0 is not a zero of $D(\nu)$, so $\lambda > 0$.

Proof, Part (ii). Assume m > 1, 2m > 2. By Lemma 6.2, see (4.20), even if z is real, with

(6.22)
$$\mathsf{M}(\nu) = c_{2m}(\nu - \lambda)^{2m}(1 + g(\nu - \lambda)), \quad c_{2m} \neq 0,$$

(6.23)
$$\epsilon^{2m} = \frac{1}{z^2 c_{2m}}, \text{ or } \epsilon = \left(\frac{1}{z^2}\right)^{1/2m} c_{2m}^{-1/2m}, \quad |z| \gg 1,$$

 $(c_{2m}^{-1/2m}$ is any 2mth root of $c_{2m}^{-1})$, we have eigenvalues

(6.24)
$$\nu_j = \lambda + \epsilon \omega^j + E_j, \quad |E_j| \le 3B|\epsilon|^2, \quad 0 \le j < 2m$$
$$= \lambda + \epsilon \omega^j (1 + \tau_j \epsilon), \quad |\tau_j| \le 3B|\epsilon|, \quad 0 \le j < 2m$$

Therefore,

(6.25)
$$\arg(\nu_j - \lambda) = \arg(\epsilon) + \frac{2\pi}{2m}j + \sigma_j \quad |\sigma_j| \le 6B|\epsilon|, \quad 0 \le j < 2m.$$

and for ϵ , $|\epsilon| < \frac{1}{12B} \cdot \frac{\pi}{m}$, at most 2 numbers in $\{\nu_j\}_{j=0}^{2m-1}$, $\nu_j \in (6.24)$, are real. With $2m \ge 4 > 2$ we would get a non-real eigenvalue of the self-adjoint operator $L_{\text{PC}}(z, b)$. This contradiction implies that m = 1.

Proof, Part (iii). Moreover, the same analysis shows that for m = 1 the coefficient $c_2 \neq 0$ should be positive: $c_2 > 0$. Otherwise (i.e., with $c_2 < 0$), by (6.23) with positive z > 0, $z \gg 1$,

(6.26)
$$\epsilon^2 = \frac{1}{z^2 c_2}$$
, and $\epsilon = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_2}} \cdot \frac{1}{z}$ is not real.

By (6.24)

(6.27a)
$$\nu_0 = \lambda + \epsilon + E_0 = \lambda + \epsilon (1 + s_0)$$

(6.27b)
$$\nu_1 = \lambda - \epsilon + E_1 = \lambda - \epsilon (1 + s_1),$$

where

(6.28)
$$|s_j| \le \left(\frac{3B}{|c_2|^{1/2}}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{|z|}$$

For z > 1 large enough, both eigenvalues ν_0 , ν_1 would not be real.

6.3. Case: Pure Imaginary perturbation. We now discuss $L_{PC}(ir, b), r \in \mathbb{R}$, b > 0, as in (1.8).

Proposition 6.6. Fix $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \setminus \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\mathsf{D}(\lambda) = 0$, and fix $\rho > 0$. For $r > \frac{1}{\delta(\lambda,\rho)}$, there exists 2 nonreal eigenvalues of $L_{PC}(ir,b)$ in a radius- ρ neighborhood of λ .

Proof. We repeat the above analysis. Let z = ir; then (6.26) becomes

(6.29)
$$\epsilon^2 = \frac{1}{z^2 c_2} = -\frac{1}{r^2 c_2}$$
, and $\epsilon = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_2}} \cdot \frac{i}{r}$ is not real, by $\sqrt{c_2}$ real.

Then (6.27) and (6.28) still hold; hence, for r large enough, the solutions of $M(\nu) = \frac{1}{(ir)^2}$ near λ are non-real. Yet by Corollary 4.6, these solutions are eigenvalues of $L_{\text{PC}}(ir, b)$. By Lemma 6.2, for r large enough, the non-real eigenvalues are within ρ of λ .

7. Eigenvalue Localization around Integer Zeros of D.

7.1. One Guaranteed Zero, and Several Nearby Zeros. Although $D_0(x) = e^{-x^2/4}$ is never zero, it is certainly possible that $D_n(b) = 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$; indeed, $D_2(1) = 0$.

By Corollary 4.6, (4.19), $\nu \in \text{Sp } L_{\text{PC}}(z, b)$ if and only if

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(-\nu)} - z^2 \mathsf{D}(\nu) \Phi(\nu) = 0.$$

If D(n) = 0, then $n \in \text{Sp } L_{PC}(z, b) = 0$ for all z, for the left-hand-side of the above equation becomes

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(-n)} - z^2 \mathsf{D}(n) \Phi(n) = 0 - z^2 \cdot 0 = 0.$$

Lemma 7.1. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a zero of D, then $n \in \text{Sp } L_{PC}(z, b)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

We claim, however, that neighborhoods of such integer zeros of D work in much the same way as non-integer zeros of D as a starting point for finding non-real eigenvalues of Sp $L_{PC}(ir, b)$. Numerical evidence for this in the case b = 1 is given by Figure 2.

The key observation is the second part of Lemma 3.3, revised here as

Lemma 7.2. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\mathsf{D}(n) = 0$, then $\Phi(n) = 0$.

Thus, if $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a zero of **D** of order $m \geq 1$, then *n* is a zero of Φ of order $q \geq 1$. Then $[\mathsf{D}(\nu)]^2 \Phi(\nu)$ has a zero of order at least $2m + q \geq 3$ at $\nu = n$, and thus $\mathsf{M}(\nu) = \Gamma(-\nu)[\mathsf{D}(\nu)]^2 \Phi(\nu)$ (see (4.21)) has a removable singularity at *n* and can be analytically continued there, with a zero of order $2m + q - 1 \geq 2$ at *n*. We call this extended function $\mathbf{M}(\nu)$ for clarity; write this function locally as

(7.1)
$$\mathbf{M}(\nu) = c_{2m+q-1}(\nu-n)^{2m+q-1}(1+g(\nu-n)), \quad c_{2m+q-1} \neq 0,$$

where g(0) = 0 and g is analytic in some disk; fix R > 0 such that $|g(\xi)| \leq \frac{1}{4}$ whenever $|\xi| \leq R$, and let B the maximum of $g'(\xi)$ on this interval. We now establish the analogue of Lemma 6.2 for $\mathbf{M}(\nu)$.

Lemma 7.3. Fix ρ , $0 < \rho < \frac{1}{2}$, and suppose that D(n) = 0 for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists a constant $\delta(n, \rho)$ such that if $|z| > \frac{1}{\delta(n)}$, there exist 2m + q - 1 solutions of $\mathsf{M}(\nu) = \frac{1}{z^2}$ (i.e., (4.20)), within the radius - ρ - neighborhood of λ ; more precisely,



FIGURE 2. A graph of several eigenvalues of $L_{PC}(ir, 1)$ for $r \in \left\{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{j}{10} : 0 \le j \le 95\right\}$. As r increases, two of the eigenvalues are close to n = 2, but are non-real.

if $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}$ is defined such that $\epsilon^{2m+q-1} = \frac{1}{z^2 c_{2m+q-1}}$, then the 2m+q-1 solutions are

(7.2)
$$\nu_j = n + \epsilon \omega^j + E_j, \quad 0 \le j < 2m + q - 1,$$
$$\omega = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi}{2m + q - 1}\right), \quad |E_j| \le 3B|\epsilon|^2.$$

In particular,

(7.3)
$$\frac{1}{2}|\epsilon| \le |\nu_j - n| \le 2|\epsilon| < \frac{1}{2} \text{ for all } j, \ 0 \le j < 2m + q - 1.$$

Proof. By repeating the proof of Lemma 6.2, for sufficiently large z, there exist 2m + q - 1 solutions of $\mathbf{M}(\nu) = \frac{1}{z^2}$ with the above properties. However, by the first inequality of (7.3), none of the solutions ν_j are equal to n and by the latter two inequalities, $|\nu_j - n| < \rho < \frac{1}{2} < 1$, no solution ν_j can equal any integer other than n. Therefore, no ν_j is integer, which means that the solutions are solutions of the unextended equation $\mathbf{M}(\nu) = \frac{1}{z^2}$ as well.

7.2. Case: Real Perturbation. We now prove the analogue of Proposition 6.5 for integer zeros of D.

- **Proposition 7.4.** (i) If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a zero of D of order m, and it is a zero of Φ of order q, then both zeros are simple; i.e., M has a zero of order exactly 2 there.
 - (ii) If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a zero of D, then in the series expansion

$$\mathbf{M}(\nu) = c_2(\nu - n)^2 + O\left((\nu - n)^3\right),\,$$

 $c_2 > 0.$

Proof, part (i). If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a zero of D of order m, and n is a zero of Φ of order q, then the order of the zero of **M** at n is 2m + q - 1, since $[\mathsf{D}(\nu)]^2$ gives a zero of order 2m at n, and $\Gamma(-\nu)$ takes away an order of the zero. Thus, let us write **M** locally as

(7.4)
$$\mathbf{M}(\nu) = c_{2m+q-1}(\nu-n)^{2m+q-1}(1+g(\nu-\lambda)), \quad c_{2m+q-1} \neq 0.$$

Let

(7.5)
$$\epsilon^{2m+q-1} = \frac{1}{z^2 c_{2m+q-1}}, \text{ or } \epsilon = \left(\frac{1}{z^2}\right)^{1/(2m+q-1)} c_{2m+q-1}^{-1/(2m+q-1)}, |z| \gg 1,$$

where $c_{2m+q-1}^{-1/(2m+q-1)}$ is any 2m+q-1th root of c_{2m+q-1}^{-1} . By Lemma 7.3, letting z be real, there exist 2m+q-1 solutions of $\mathbf{M}(\nu) = \frac{1}{z^2}$ in a radius- $\frac{1}{2}$ -neighborhood of n, namely

(7.6)
$$\nu_{j} = n + \epsilon \omega^{j} + E_{j}, |E_{j}| \leq 3B |\epsilon|^{2}, \quad 0 \leq j < 2m + q - 1, \\ = n + \epsilon \omega^{j} (1 + \tau_{j} \epsilon), \quad |\tau_{j}| \leq 3B |\epsilon|, 0 \leq j < 2m + q - 1,$$

where $\omega = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi}{2m+q-1}\right)$. By Corollary 4.6, these solutions are eigenvalues of $L_{\rm PC}(z, b)$, and by Lemma 6.4, they are real. Therefore,

(7.7)
$$\arg(\nu_j - n) = \frac{2\pi}{2m + q - 1}j + \sigma_j, \ l, |\sigma_j| \le 6B|\epsilon|, \ 0 \le j < 2m + q - 1,$$

and for ϵ such that $|\epsilon| < \frac{1}{12B} \cdot \frac{2\pi}{2m+q-1}$, at most 2 numbers in $\{\nu_j\}_{j=0}^{2m+q-2}$, $\nu_i \in (7.6)$, are real. With $2m+q-1 \ge 3 > 2$, we would get a non-real eigenvalue of the self-adjoint operator $L_{PC}(z, b)$. This contradiction implies that $2m + q - 1 \leq 2$, and with $m \ge 1$, $q \ge 1$, the only resolution is m = q = 1; i.e., both roots are simple.

Proof, part (ii). For m = q = 1, the coefficient $c_2 \neq 0$ should be positive: i.e., $c_2 > 0$. Otherwise, by (7.5), with positive $z, z \gg 1$,

(7.8)
$$\epsilon^2 = \frac{1}{z^2 c_2}$$
, and $\epsilon = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_2}} \cdot \frac{1}{z}$ is not real.

By (7.6),

(7.9)
$$\nu_0 = n + \epsilon + E_0 = \lambda + \epsilon (1 + s_0)$$
$$\nu_1 = n - \epsilon + E_1 = \lambda - \epsilon (1 + s_1)$$

where

(7.10)
$$|s_j| \le \left(\frac{3B}{|c_2|^{1/2}}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{|z|}$$

For z > 1 large enough, both solutions ν_0 , ν_1 would not be real, but they are real, again by Corollary 4.6 and Lemma 6.4.

7.3. Case: Imaginary Perturbation.

Proposition 7.5. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that D(n) = 0, and $\rho > 0$, then for $r > \frac{1}{\delta(n,\rho)}$, there exists 2 nonreal eigenvalues of $L_{PC}(ir,b)$ (see (1.8)) in the radius- ρ -neighborhood of n.

Proof. We repeat the above analysis. If z = ir, then (7.8) becomes

$$\epsilon^2 = \frac{1}{z^2 c_2} = -\frac{1}{r^2 c_2}$$
, and $\epsilon = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_2}} \cdot \frac{i}{r}$ is not real, by $\sqrt{c_2}$ real.

Then (7.9) and (7.10) still hold; hence, for r large enough, the solutions of $M(\nu) = \frac{1}{(ir)^2}$ near n are non-real. yet by Corollary 4.6, these solutions are eigenvalues of $L_{\text{PC}}(ir, b)$.

8. **Proof of Theorem 1.** Consider the family of operators $L_{PC}(re^{i\pi/2}, b) = L_{PC}(ir, b)$. Consider the first p roots $0 < \lambda_1 < \ldots < \lambda_p$ of $D(\nu)$. By Lemma 6.2 and Proposition 6.5 (or Lemma 7.3 and Proposition 7.4), for each q, $1 \le q \le p$, the equation $M(\nu) = \frac{1}{z^2}$ (or $\mathbf{M}(\nu) = \frac{1}{z^2}$) is of the form

(8.1)
$$c_2(\nu - \lambda_q)^2 [1 + g_q(\nu - \lambda_q)] = \frac{1}{(ir)^2} = -\frac{1}{r^2}, \quad c_2 > 0,$$

where $g(z) \leq C_3$ if $|z| \leq \rho_q$, and we may enforce $\rho_q < \frac{1}{2} \min_{2 \leq q \leq p} \lambda_q - \lambda_{q-1}$. By Propositions 6.6 and 7.5, for each $q, 1 \leq q \leq p$, there exists a constant $\delta(\lambda_q, \rho_q)$ such that for $r > \frac{1}{\delta(\lambda_q, \rho_q)}$, there exist 2 non-real solutions of (8.1) in the radius- ρ_q -neighborhood of λ_q (call them $\kappa_j^{(q)}(r)$), j = 0, 1), and by Corollary 4.6, these solutions are eigenvalues of Sp $L_{\rm PC}(ir, b)$. Moreover, by $\rho_q < \frac{1}{2} \min_{2 \leq q \leq p} \lambda_q - \lambda_{q-1}$, these neighborhoods are disjoint, so if $q \neq t$, $\kappa_j^{(q)}(r) \neq \kappa_k^{(t)}(r), j, k$ in $\{0, 1\}$. Therefore, we see that for some r^* ,

(8.2) $r \ge r^* \Rightarrow \# (\operatorname{Sp} L_{\operatorname{PC}}(ir, b) \setminus \mathbb{R}) \ge 2p.$

This holds for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$, so letting

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{PC}}(r) = \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{PC}}(r; b) \equiv \# \left(\operatorname{Sp} L_{\mathrm{PC}}(ir, b) \setminus \mathbb{R} \right),$$

we have the following.

Theorem 8.1. $\lim_{r\to\infty} \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{PC}}(r; b) = \infty.$

By the change of variables in Section 1.2, we establish Theorem 1, as well; i.e., $\lim_{r \to \infty} \mathcal{N}_{HO}(r) = \infty$.

9. **Conclusion.** Two general questions should be clarified. First, we know that

(9.1) $\mathcal{N}(r) \le c(r\log(er))^2$ [Mit15, Thm. 4.4, (4.38), p. 4082],

24

REFERENCES

and

(9.2)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{N}(r) = \infty \qquad \text{Theorem 1, (1.5), p. 2 above.}$$

But the gap between the estimates for ${\mathcal N}$ from above and below is too wide.

Second, how to the eigenvalues $\lambda(ir)$ move, $0 \leq r < \infty$? Sections 6, 7 tell a lot about $\lambda(ir)$, $r \gg 1$, close to zeros of D. But, for example, could some $\lambda_j(ir)$, $0 \leq r \ll 1$, go to ∞ when $r \to \infty$? Numerics hint that it could not happen, but there is no formal (rigorous) argument to explain this phenomenon.

References.

- [BM18] Charles E. Baker and Boris S. Mityagin. "Localization of eigenvalues of doubly cyclic matrices". In: *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 540 (2018), pp. 160-202. URL: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/ article/pii/S0024379517306444.
- [Con78] John B. Conway. Functions of one complex variable. Second. Vol. 11. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1978. ISBN: 0-387-90328-3.
- [Dea66] P. Dean. "The constrained quantum mechanical harmonic oscillator". In: Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society
 62 (02 Apr. 1966), pp. 277-286. ISSN: 1469-8064. URL: https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/mathematical-proceedings-of-the-cambridge-philosophical-society/article/constrained-quantum-mechanical-harmonic-oscillator/252C291FEB051EDE7ECEAE3722339C9D.
- [Dem05] Ersan Demiralp. "Properties of a pseudo-Hermitian Hamiltonian for harmonic oscillator decorated with Dirac delta interactions". In: *Czechoslovak J. Phys.* 55.9 (2005), pp. 1081–1084. ISSN: 0011-4626. URL: http: //dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10582-005-0110-2.
- [HCW14] Daniel Haag, Holger Cartarius, and Gnter Wunner. "A Bose-Einstein Condensate with *PT*-Symmetric Double-Delta Function Loss and Gain in a Harmonic Trap: A Test of Rigorous Estimates". In: Acta Polytechnica 54.2 (2014), pp. 116–121. URL: https://ojs.cvut.cz/ojs/ index.php/ap/article/view/AP.2014.54.0116.
- [Kat95] Tosio Kato. Perturbation theory for linear operators. Classics in Mathematics. Reprint of the 1980 edition. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. ISBN: 3-540-58661-X.
- [Lev64] B. Ja. Levin. Distribution of zeros of entire functions. Vol. 5. Translations of Mathematical Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1964.
- [Mit15] Boris Mityagin. "The Spectrum of a Harmonic Oscillator Operator Perturbed by Point Interactions". In: International Journal of Theoretical Physics 54 (11 Nov. 1, 2015), pp. 4068–4085. URL: http://link. springer.com/article/10.1007/s10773-014-2468-z.
- [Mit16] Boris S. Mityagin. "The spectrum of a harmonic oscillator operator perturbed by δ-interactions". In: Integral Equations Operator Theory 85.4 (2016), pp. 451–495. ISSN: 0378-620X. URL: https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00020-016-2307-0.

REFERENCES

- [MOS66] Wilhelm Magnus, Fritz Oberhettinger, and Raj Pal Soni. Formulas and theorems for the special functions of mathematical physics. Third enlarged edition. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 52. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York, 1966.
- [MS16] Boris Mityagin and Petr Siegl. "Root system of singular perturbations of the harmonic oscillator type operators". In: Lett. Math. Phys. 106.2 (2016), pp. 147–167. ISSN: 0377-9017. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11005-015-0805-7.
- [Olv59] F. W. J. Olver. "Uniform asymptotic expansions for Weber parabolic cylinder functions of large orders". In: J. Res. Nat. Bur. Standards Sect. B 63B (1959), pp. 131–169. ISSN: 0160-1741.
- [Olv61] F. W. J. Olver. "Two inequalities for parabolic cylinder functions". In: Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 57 (1961), pp. 811–822. ISSN: 0008-1981.
- [Olv74] F. W. J. Olver. Asymptotics and special functions. Computer Science and Applied Mathematics. Academic Press [A subsidiary of Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1974.
- [RS72] Michael Reed and Barry Simon. Methods of modern mathematical physics.I. Functional analysis. Academic Press, New York-London, 1972.
- [Tem19] N. M. Temme. Chapter 12 Parabolic Cylinder Functions. Version 1.0.9. June 15, 2019. URL: http://dlmf.nist.gov/12 (visited on 07/15/2019).