Contents

1.	Multi	polar o	rder and excitations in rare-earth			
	bor	ide Kon	ndo systems	1		
	1.1	Introdu	uction	2		
		1.1.1	Conduction bands and Fermi surface	4		
		1.1.2	Localized $4f$ shells, their CEF states,			
			multipoles and RKKY interactions	6		
	1.2	Overvi	iew of RE-boride compounds	9		
	1.3 Multipolar hidden order in CeB ₆ in the localiz					
		4f scer	nario	17		
		1.3.1	Pseudo-spin representation of Γ_8 -quartet			
			multipoles	19		
		1.3.2	Multipole interaction model and symme-			
			try breakings	20		
		1.3.3	Experimental identification of multipolar			
			order parameters	21		
	1.4	The octupolar HO phase IV in diluted $Ce_{1-x}La_xB_6$				
		1.4.1	Phase diagram and evidence for primary			
			octupolar order	31		
	1.5	The col	llective excitations in the AFQ hidden order			
		phase	Il of CeB_6	34		
		1.5.1	Generalized multipolar RPA method	36		
		1.5.2	Dependence of mode energies on field			
		_	strength and field-angular rotation	39		
	1.6	Resonant magnetic excitations in the itinerant				
		CeB ₆ K	Kondo lattice	41		
		1.6.1	Heavy quasiparticle band properties in			
		1 ()	the PAM	42		
		1.6.2	Collective spin exciton modes	45		

2 Contents

1.7	Dispersive doublet spin exciton mode in the	
	Kondo semiconductor YbB_{12}	47
1.8	Magnetic excitations, topological state in the	
	mixed valent semiconductor SmB_6	49
	1.8.1 CEF and collective magnetic excitations .	51
	1.8.2 SmB ₆ as a strongly correlated topological	
	insulator	51
1.9	Conclusions and outlook	57

Chapter 1

Multipolar order and excitations in rare-earth boride Kondo systems

Peter Thalmeier^{a,*}, Alireza Akbari^{b,c,d} and Ryousuke Shiina^e

^{*a*} Max Planck Institute for Chemical Physics of Solids, D-01187 Dresden, Germany ^{*b*} Asia Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics, Pohang, Gyeongbuk 790-784, Korea ^{*c*} Department of Physics, POSTECH, Pohang, Gyeongbuk 790-784, Korea ^{*d*} Max Planck POSTECH Center for Complex Phase Materials, POSTECH, Pohang

790-784, Korea

^eDept. of Physics and Earth Sciences, University of Ryukyus, Nishihara, Okinawa 903-0213, Japan

*E-mail address: Peter.Thalmeier@cpfs.mpg.de

Abstract

The cubic rare-earth boride series displays diverse electronic states like localized 4f electron multiplets split by the crystal electric field (CEF), itinerant heavy-fermion quasiparticle bands of the Kondo lattice as well as gapped Kondo insulator or mixed-valent semiconductor states. Furthermore, at low temperatures fairly exotic ordered states may appear due to the "hidden" order of multipoles carried by degenerate CEF multiplets, in addition to common (dipolar) magnetic order present in many RB_6 (R = rare earth) systems. Most prominent are CeB₆ and its La-diluted alloys

Rare-Earth Borides Dmytro S. Inosov (ed.) Copyright © 2020 Pan Stanford Publishing Pte. Ltd. ISBN www.panstanford.com

Borides Thalmeier

2 Multipolar order and excitations in rare-earth boride Kondo systems

which exhibit quadrupolar and octupolar ordering enabled by the cubic Γ_8 quartet state. The associated collective excitations are multipolar waves with a dispersion characteristic for the underlying order and accessible by inelastic neutron scattering.

This localized multipolar-moment picture of RB_6 has to be complemented by the itinerant Kondo-lattice approach. Due to the presence of hybridization and collective ordering gaps, a singular magnetic response can lead to the appearance of collective spin exciton modes inside the gap around symmetry points of the Brillouin zone (BZ). This has been observed in heavy-fermion metal CeB₆ and in particular in the Kondo insulators YbB₁₂ and SmB₆. The latter, which has no Landau-type local symmetry breaking is also the prime candidate for a strongly correlated insulator with topological order, caused by odd number of band crossings of 4f and 5d bands in the BZ. The signature of topological order is the existence of massless Dirac surface states with helical spin polarization, a topic of intense investigation in SmB₆.

1.1 Introduction

The RB_6 (R = rare earth) compounds are a versatile model series for strongly correlated 4f electron materials. These compounds have the cubic CaB₆ structure (space group $Pm\bar{3}m$) where the B_6 octahedra play the role of anions (Fig. 1.1). The rare-earth (RE) ions are mostly in the 3+ configuration, but 2+ and mixed valence also occur (see Table 1.2). The continuous interest in the series in the last fifty years stems largely from the fact that they show a great variety of low temperature ordered phases. They are triggered by the lifting of the degeneracies by the 4felectron CEF ground state multiplets and the interplay with RE 4f-5d conduction electron *inter-site* hybridization. In most cases the ordered phases show magnetic order of (non-)collinear type depending on temperature and external field. The degenerate CEF ground states, however, not only support ordering of dipolar (rank 1) magnetic moments but also of higher quadrupole (rank 2), octupole (rank 3) and generally rank $p \leq 2l$ (l = 3) multipoles of 4f electrons. Here even and odd rank p correspond to the

Introduction 3

preservation and breaking of time reversal symmetry by the order parameter [1,2]. The hybridization with conduction states leads (via a generalized Schrieffer-Wolff mechanism) to effective inter-site interaction between those multipoles which drive their ordering. Multipole order with rank $p \ge 2$ is generally termed "hidden order" (HO) because it cannot easily be detected by the conventional (dipolar) neutron and x-ray scattering which yield no diffraction peaks except when it induces a considerable secondary lattice distortion. More involved methods like neutron diffraction (ND) in external field [3], high momentum transfer neutron scattering [4], resonant x-ray scattering [5–7] or ultrasonic investigations [8,9] and NMR method [10] have to be applied. This has given new impetus to investigate the multipolar ordering in *f*-electron compounds. The most well-known examples of higher rank HO are cubic hexaborides CeB_6 and $Ce_{1-x}La_xB_6$ (rank 2 quadrupole and rank 3 octupole) [11], NpO₂ (rank 3 octupole) [12] and tetragonal URu₂Si₂ (proposed rank 5 dotriakontapole) [13–16].



Figure 1.1 Cubic CaB₆-type structure of RB_6 borides. R^{3+} ions (blue) form a simple cubic sublattice. The B₆ octahedra (red) play the role of the anions at body-centered positions forming a cage for RE. The lattice constant for R = Ce is $a \approx 4.14$ Å. Boron sites 1 and 3 are inequivalent in NMR (Sec. 1.3.3).

Borides Thalmeier

4 Multipolar order and excitations in rare-earth boride Kondo systems

Further examples are found in the cubic 4f skutterudites [17–19] and 1-2-20 cage compounds [20].

In fact, the hexaborides may also be considered as cage compounds where rare-earth ions on the simple cubic sublattice are surrounded by a cage of eight B_6 octahedra (Fig. 1.1). In both series this leads to the interesting possibility of 'rattling' or strongly anharmonic motion of RE ions in the cages. It is also known from the RE clathrate cage compounds [21] where it strongly influences transport properties, in particular thermoelectric power. The rattling motion in the boride series leads to flat phonon branches that can be interpreted as low energy ($\hbar \omega_E \simeq 10$ meV) Einstein modes of RE ions in oversized B_6 cages. It is most prominent for some heavier RE (Gd, Dy, Tb) hexaborides due to the lanthanide contraction of RE ionic radii [22–24]. The anharmonic low energy rattling phonons are in contrast to the extremely stiff motion of the boron cage as witnessed by the very large longitudinal elastic constants [25].

1.1.1 Conduction bands and Fermi surface

In this review we focus exclusively on the correlated electronic properties of the series, in particular hidden 4f multipole order and its excitations. We also discuss the consequences of Kondo effect and associated 4f-5d hybridization, i.e. Kondo insulator state , spin resonance formation, band crossing and topological properties like protected helical surface states. Therefore it is useful to get first a schematic picture of the electronic degrees of freedom, itinerant 5d and localized 4f of the rare earth as well as 3p valence state of the B₆ cages. A sketch of the position and dispersion of these electronic states (excluding hybridization effects) is given in Fig. 1.2 for typical cases of the RE valences. ¹

The 5*d*-like bands show large dispersions and, except for the two 2+ valence cases of semimetal EuB₆ and semiconductor YbB₆, lead to the large 5*d*-type electron pockets in the Fermi surface (FS) (see Fig. 1.2) around the *X* point $(0\frac{1}{2}0)$ (in r.l.u., 1 r.l.u. = $\frac{2\pi}{a}$) and equivalent ones. The FS ellipsoids are touching and form small necks between them. In the cases LaB₆, CeB₆, PrB₆ and NdB₆ where

¹In all figures, panels a, b, ... are labeled from left to right and top to bottom.

Energy (eV)

-3

(a)

Μ



(C)

[100]

Figure 1.2 (a-c) Schematic bulk band structure (without hybridization, Fermi level $\epsilon_F = 0$) consisting of RE 5*d* (purple) and 4*f* (blue) as well as B 2*p* (green) type bands for exemplary compounds with integer as well as mixed valences; Yb²⁺, Sm^{2.5+} and Ce³⁺, respectively. Here YbB₆ is a *p*-*d* band semiconductor due to the filled 4*f* shell (4*f*_{7/2} binding energy $|\epsilon_f| \simeq 1$ eV. Due to (inter-site) *f*-*d* hybridization and on-site *ff* correlation SmB₆ becomes a mixed valence semiconductor and CeB₆ ($|\epsilon_f| \simeq 2.1$ eV) a Kondo heavy-fermion metal (adapted from Ramankutty *et al.* [26]). (d) Main large Fermi surface sheet (α_3 -orbit on cubic faces) of hexaborides. The dimensions of connected *X*-point ellipsoids is almost identical for LaB₆, CeB₆, and SmB₆. Adapted from Tan *et al.* [27].

(b)

 $\leftarrow X \rightarrow \Gamma$

the dimensions of the X-point pockets have been determined by dHvA experiments (Table 1.1) [28,29] the orbital cross sections are very close for all compounds and their field-angular dependence identifies an almost spherical shape. Although the large electron FS (corresponding to an α_3 -orbit of dHvA results shown in Fig. 1.2) are similar in LaB₆ and CeB₆, their effective masses are vastly different [28, 30] while that of PrB_6 is in between (Table 1.1). In LaB_6 there are no *f*-electrons and the 5*d* band mass is observed. In CeB₆ the valence is close to 3+ with a $4f^1 \Gamma_8$ CEF ground state but 4f-5d hybridization and 4f-4f Coulomb repulsion lead to very narrow 4*f*-quasiparticle bands due the Kondo lattice formation (Sec. 1.6.1). They may be interpreted as lattice-periodic coherent bands formed by the sharp single-site Kondo resonance states (dotted blue line in Fig 1.2). In PrB₆ the integer 3+ valent $4f^2$ CEF Γ_5 ground state has a much smaller hybridization that leads only to a small perturbative renormalization to an effective 5d mass. While for NdB₆ there is no mass enhancement as compared to LaB₆ due to negligible hybridization. The boron 2p states do not cross the

6 Multipolar order and excitations in rare-earth boride Kondo systems

Table 1.1 Area and the effective cyclotron mass (**H** || [001]) of α_3 orbits (intersection of *X*-point pockets in Fig. 1.2 with cubic [001] faces). An average α_3 area of 8000 T corresponds to about 1/3 of the cubic BZ face area $(2\pi/a)^2$ in Fig. 1.2.

α ₃ orbit	LaB ₆	CeB ₆	PrB ₆	NdB ₆	SmB ₆
F_{α_3} [T]	7890	8670	8190	7980	7800
m^*/m_e	0.64	14-21	1.95	0.60	*
Ref.	[28]	[28]	[28]	[29]	[27]

* reliable mass assignment was not possible

Fermi level in the series. Nevertheless they have an important indirect influence in SmB_6 where the *f*-band obtains an *upward* dispersion in ΓX direction (thin blue line in Fig. 1.24) due to the (on-site) hybridization with lower but close 2*p* bands at *X*. This effect is essential for being able to form the topological insulator state as discussed in Sec. 1.8.2.

1.1.2 Localized 4f shells, their CEF states, multipoles and RKKY interactions

In the cubic structure of RB_6 the RE ion point group is O_h . This leads to a cubic CEF potential for the shell of localized spherically symmetric $4f^n$ states. For finite temperature and low energies one may restrict to the ground state characterized by shell angular, spin and total angular momentum (*LSJ*), the latter being determined by the large spin-orbit coupling [$\zeta_{s.o.} = 0.045 \text{ eV}$ (Ce) -0.364 eV (Yb)] in the R^{3+} ions. In the common Stevens representation the cubic CEF potential is written as an operator in terms of symmetrized polynomials of (J_x , J_y , J_z) in the (2J + 1)-dimensional Hilbert space of the total angular momentum J ground state multiplet. This is the well known expression

$$\mathcal{H}_{CEF} = B_4^0 \left[O_4^0 + 5O_4^4 \right] + B_6^0 \left[O_6^0 - 21O_6^4 \right] \tag{1.1}$$

where O_4^m and O_6^m represent, respectively, fourth and sixth order symmetrized polynomials of J_z (m = 0) and J_{\pm} , J_z (m = 4) [31] corresponding to the real space tesseral harmonics. Furthermore B_4^0 , B_6^0 are CEF parameters that may be formally given within a point-charge model [31]. The latter determine the splitting





Figure 1.3 Spectroscopically determined CEF splittings in [K] of the RE-hexaborides. Results from INS (Ce-Nd) [36] and from RIXS (Sm) [35].

into generally degenerate CEF multiplets that belong to the O_h representations $\Gamma_{\alpha}(d_{\alpha})$ where $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ for non-Kramers ions (integer *J*) with corresponding degeneracy $d_{\alpha} = 1, 1, 2, 3, 3$ and $\alpha = 6,7,8$ for Kramers ions (non-integer *J*) having corresponding degeneracy $d_{\alpha} = 2, 2, 4$. The degenerate states of each CEF multiplet are designated by $|\Gamma_{\alpha}^i\rangle$ with $1 \leq i \leq d_{\alpha}$. They are tabulated in [32] for all J as function of CEF parameters B_4, B_6 or alternatively related parameters W, x. In practice the splittings and wave functions, i.e. the CEF parameters have to be determined experimentally, mainly by two methods: i) analysis of high-temperature (single-ion) susceptibility and b) fitting to peak positions and intensities of inelastic neutron scattering (INS) spectra that determines directly splitting and dipolar magnetic matrix elements between the CEF states. In CEF schemes with high *J* (or inequivalent RE sites) this is, however not a unique procedure to determine the CEF parameters. Recently x-ray techniques like NIXS and RIXS have also contributed to unravel the CEF states and energies [33-35]. The CEF level schemes, and in particular, CEF ground states for the RB₆ series known so far are summarized in Fig. 1.3, they concern mostly the light RE, there is surprisingly little information of the heavier RB₆ in the literature.

A CEF level scheme with (2J + 1) states can carry $1 \le n \le (2J + 1)^2 - 1$ multipole operators X_n (the identity has been subtracted) which is simply equal to the number of standard basis

8 Multipolar order and excitations in rare-earth boride Kondo systems

operators (minus the identity) defined by $L_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} = |\Gamma_{\alpha}^i\rangle\langle\Gamma_{\beta}^j|$. The multipole operators are linear combinations of the standard basis operators that belong to specific cubic representations. They may be expressed as rank *p* polynomials $P_p(J_x, J_y, J_z)$ and their explicit form (for $p \leq 4$) is tabulated in [7]. Their treatment is discussed in more detail in Sec. 1.3 for a special case. These multipoles are the physical 4*f*-shell degree of freedoms at every site. When the hybridization with 5d conduction electrons is taken into account the localized 4*f* multipoles may be effectively coupled at adjacent sites by a generalized RKKY mechanism well known for the rank p = 1 multipoles (magnetic dipoles). For a degenerate CEF ground state then at low temperatures the multipole with the maximum effective inter-site coupling at a particular wave vector \mathbf{q} will be the primary order parameter. Most frequently this is either a multipole of rank 1 (magnetic order) or rank 2 (quadrupolar HO) but more general HO, in particular rank 3 (octupolar order), can occur (see Sec. 1.4). General expressions for the effective RKKY-type multipole interactions may be derived [37-40] and

Table 1.2 Compilation of essential data for RB_6 compounds with hidden (e.g. quadrupolar) and/or magnetic (dipolar) order characteristics. CeB₆ is a Kondo-lattice heavy-fermion metal while semiconducting SmB₆ is the only compound with strongly mixed valence.

compound RB ₆ ^a	J	valence	O _h CEF g.s. (degeneracy)	T _{HO} [K]	q HO r.l.u.	T _N [K]	q m [r.l.u.]
CeB ₆ (HFM)	$\frac{5}{2}$	3+	$\Gamma_8(4)$	3.3	$(\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2})$	2.3	$(\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{2})$
PrB ₆ (m)	4	3+	$\Gamma_5(3)$		$(\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}0)$	7 (IC)	$(\frac{1}{4} - \delta \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{2})$
				4.2	$(\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}0)$	4.2 (C)	$\left(\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{2}\right)$
NdB ₆ (m)	$\frac{9}{2}$	3+	$\Gamma_{8}^{(2)}(4)$	-	-	8	$(00\frac{1}{2})$
EuB ₆ (sm)	$\frac{7}{2}$	2+	(L = 0)	-	-	12.5	(000)
GdB ₆ (m)	$\frac{7}{2}$	3+	(L = 0)	-	-	16	$\left(\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{2}\right)$
TbB ₆ (m)	6	3+	$\Gamma_2(1)$ or $\Gamma_3(1)$	-	-	20	$(\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{2})$
DyB ₆ (m)	15 2	3+	$\Gamma_{8}^{(1)}(4)$	31	(000)	26	$(\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{2})$
HoB ₆ (m)	8	3+	$\Gamma_5(3)$	6.1	(000)	-	_
YbB ₆ (sc)	0	2+	-	-	-	-	-
SmB ₆ (MV,TI)	$0 \sim \frac{5}{2}$	2.55+	$\Gamma_8(4)$	-	-	-	-

^a HFM = heavy-fermion metal; m = metal; sm = semimetal; sc = semiconductor; MV = mixed valent; TI = topological insulator

Overview of RE-boride compounds 9

quantitative first principle results for CeB₆ were presented recently [41]. They demonstrate that quadrupolar and octupolar nearestneighbor (n.n.) interactions are maximally enhanced supporting the parameterized form [42] used in the following sections. When the **k**,**q**-dependent multipole matrix elements between conduction band states are replaced by a constant their generalized RKKY interaction is proportional (for every multipole) to the Lindhard function $\chi_L(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} (f_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} - f_{\mathbf{k}})/(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}})$ where $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $f_{\mathbf{k}}$ are conduction band and Fermi function. For the FS topology of Fig. 1.2 it has (sub-)maxima at the wave vectors $\mathbf{Q}_{\parallel} = (\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}0)$ and $\mathbf{Q}' = (\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2})$, respectively due to various nesting properties of the FS [43]. Indeed \mathbf{Q}_{\parallel} is the in-plane component of most common AFM structure (Fig. 1.4) in *R*B₆ and \mathbf{Q}' is the HO wave vector in CeB₆.

1.2 Overview of RE-boride compounds

The RE borides, in particular hexaborides, have the advantageous property of existing for almost the whole series of rare-earth atoms within the same crystal structure, thus allowing for the study of systematic variations in physical properties. These change greatly due to the varying degree of localization or itineracy of 4f electrons, all the while the basic CEF multiplet states are the same due to the universal cubic O_h point symmetry of this class. One can roughly distinguish two cases:

First, the stable moment compounds where the hybridization of well localized 4f electrons and conduction electrons can be treated perturbatively, leading to an on-site interaction with 4fmultipoles in second order and to their inter-site effective RKKY coupling in fourth order of the hybridization. These compounds are listed in the central part of Table 1.2 with their salient ordering characteristics.

In the second case the hybridization is strong (as in CeB₆, YbB₁₂ and SmB₆ compounds) and may destabilize the moment by screening and formation of a local singlet state that forms coherent heavy electron bands at low temperature, or, in the large hybridization case, may lead to a mixed valent state

Borides Thalmeier

10 Multipolar order and excitations in rare-earth boride Kondo systems

with pronounced non-integer 4f-electron occupation. In both instances a hybridization (pseudo-) gap in the renormalized electron bands appears. Most frequently a metallic ground state with moderately (MV) or strongly (HF) enhanced effective m^* electron mass is realized as, e.g. in CeB_6 . The heavy electron liquid may become instable at the lowest temperatures leading to HF superconductivity as frequently in 122 and 115 Ce-compounds [44] or to multipolar order as in CeB₆. If the number of conduction electrons is suitable the Fermi level may fall into the hybridization gap producing a rare "MV semiconductor" or "Kondo insulator" (Fig. 1.19). In the borides two famous hybridization gap insulators are known: YbB₁₂ and SmB₆. In particular the latter has raised enormous attention due to its nontrivial topological state. On the other hand YbB_6 is a conventional *p*-*d* semiconductor with nonmagnetic Yb2+ state. The strong hybridization compounds are the most investigated ones and will be the main focus of this review.

Here, as an overview we first briefly discuss some salient features of the stable moment compounds in Table 1.2. Among the light rare earth, PrB_6 and NdB_6 are the most studied. Also their CEF level schemes shown in Fig. 1.3 are well known [36,45].

 PrB_6 with a Γ_5 triplet ground state exhibits two consecutive first order transitions to an incommensurate (IC) phase at $T_{\rm N}^{\rm IC} = 7 \, {\rm K}$ and a further lock-in transition to a magnetic C-phase with wave vector $\mathbf{Q} = (\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{2})$. The latter coexists with an induced AFQ order presumably with $\mathbf{Q} = (\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}0)$ below $T_{\rm N}^{\rm C} = 4.2$ K. These wave vectors together with $\mathbf{Q}' = (\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2})$ for HO characterize most of the ordered structures in the hexaboride compounds and they are related to the nesting structure of the Fermi surface (Fig. 1.2) that translates into the preferred magnetic wave vectors via the RKKY mechanism (Sec. 1.1.2). The ordered arrangements of moments are illustrated in Fig. 1.4 (a-c). In zero field the magnetic structure is of the noncollinear double-q type (a) which switches to single-q type in applied field $\mathbf{H} \parallel [110]$ (c). The incommensurate structure with wave vector $(\frac{1}{4} - \delta \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{2})$ is shown in (b). A theoretical investigation for PrB_6 has been presented in [47] based on the Γ_5 CEF ground state which carries 3 Γ_4^- dipoles and 5 (Γ_3^+, Γ_5^+) quadrupoles (here \pm denotes even/odd behaviour under time reversal). In



Overview of RE-boride compounds 11

Figure 1.4 Magnetic structures of PrB₆ and the *H*-*T* phase diagram. (a) Double-**q** structure with $\mathbf{Q}_1 = (\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{2})$, $\mathbf{Q}_2 = (\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{2})$ (b) IC structure with $\mathbf{Q}_{\text{IC}} = (\frac{1}{4} - \delta \frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{2})$ ($\delta = 0.05$) (c) single-**q** structure with $\mathbf{Q} = (\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{2})$ in external field **H** || [110]. (d) *H*-*T* phase diagram for **H** along symmetry directions. Separate low and high field IC phases IC₁ and IC₂ are observed. The C-phase has coexisting easy-plane magnetic and O_{xy} quadrupolar order. Reproduced from Kobayashi *et al.* [46].

distinction to CeB₆ (Sec. 1.3) the non-Kramers triplet carries no octupoles. The presence of n.n. isotropic and next-nearest neighbor (n.n.n.) pseudo-dipolar exchange interactions was proposed to obtain the stability of the IC phase (although with a slightly different wave vector $\mathbf{Q}_{\text{IC}} = (\frac{1}{4} - \delta \frac{1}{4} - \delta \frac{1}{2})$ with $\delta = 0.16$. The transition to the primary IC phase is of second order whereas the lower lock-in transition to the AFM C-phase is accompanied by a secondary O_{xy} quadrupole HO at wave vector $(\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}0)$ and therefore is of first order. The corresponding H - T phase diagram of PrB₆ is shown in [Fig. 1.4 (d)]. The transverse elastic constants

PSP Book - 9in x 6in

12 Multipolar order and excitations in rare-earth boride Kondo systems

 c_{44} show a pronounced softening due to the Curie-Weiss type Γ_5^+ quadrupolar susceptibility resulting from the orbitally degenerate Γ_5^+ CEF ground state [48]. The softening is arrested, however, at the magnetic phase transition where the CEF ground state splits due to the molecular field.

NdB₆ has a quartet $\Gamma_8^{(2)}$ ground state similar to CeB₆. The observed high-field magnetization anisotropy $M^{[100]} < M^{[110]} <$ $M^{[111]}$ with [111] easy axis supports this CEF model [49]. But its ordered magnetic structure is much simpler than the non-collinear double- \mathbf{q} structure of CeB₆ (Sec. 1.4), corresponding to a *collinear* single-q type-I AFM below $T_{\rm N} = 8$ K with $\mathbf{Q} = (00\frac{1}{2})$ which implies three possible domains. The ordered moment is, however, oriented along the fourfold [001] axis instead of the CEF [111] easy axis. This has been attributed to a small ferro-type interaction of O_2^0 quadrupoles [50]. In high fields (~ 20 T) along [111] an unexpected metamagnetic transition to a non-collinear triple-q magnetic structure with $\mathbf{Q}_1 = (\frac{1}{2}00), \mathbf{Q}_2 = (0\frac{1}{2}0), \mathbf{Q}_3 = (\frac{1}{2}00)$ occurs which is stabilized by an interplay with induced triple-q AFQ order $\mathbf{Q}'_1 = (0\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}), \mathbf{Q}'_2 = (\frac{1}{2}0\frac{1}{2}), \mathbf{Q}'_3 = (\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}0)$ for O_{yz}, O_{zx}, O_{xy} quadrupoles, respectively [49]. Therefore, although the $\Gamma_8^{(2)}$ ground state of NdB₆ does not lead to primary AFQ order as in phase II of CeB₆ (Sec. 1.3), the presence of $\Gamma_8^{(2)}$ -sustained quadrupoles and their interactions shows a subtle influence on the low- and highfield magnetic order of this compound. Similar to PrB₆ the orbitally degenerate $\Gamma_8^{(2)}$ causes a softening of c_{44} elastic constants which is again arrested by the magnetic phase transition [48].

EuB₆ is an outlier of the series in two aspects: Firstly it contains europium in the half-filled $4f^7$ Eu²⁺ S-state ionic configuration with $J = \frac{7}{2}$ and hence has no CEF splitting. Secondly the main interest in EuB₆ does not stem from the 4f electrons which show simple bulk ferromagnetism below $T_C = 12.5$ K due to their S-state but rather from the peculiar transport properties of conduction electrons. Since this is not the central focus here we only comment briefly on it. The compound is a ferromagnetic, partly spin-polarized semimetal with a small valence/conduction band overlap for the majority band of order 0.5 eV at zero temperature while the spin minority band is gapped by a similar

Overview of RE-boride compounds 13

amount. This leads to small majority spin electron pockets at the *X* point [51]. When approaching $T_{\rm C}$ from below the overlap of majority bands and concomitantly the carrier density and plasma frequency decrease [52]. This behaviour can be described by a two-band Kondo lattice type model with FM/AFM coupling [53]. Above $T_{\rm C}$ ferromagnetic features remain due to a phase separation into paramagnetic regime and percolating magnetic polarons [54] that become isolated at the percolation temperature $T_{\rm M} = 15.5$ K. At this temperature a cusp in the resistivity and giant magnetoresistance are observed [55].

GdB₆ and **TbB**₆: In the center of the *R*B₆ series we have again a half-filled S-state ion Gd³⁺ with $J = \frac{7}{2}$ as for Eu²⁺ and therefore no CEF splitting. The Tb³⁺ (J = 6) CEF ground state should be a singlet (Table 1.2) as concluded from elastic constants measurements [48]. Both compounds show a first order transition to an AFM state with $\mathbf{Q} = (\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{2})$. For GdB₆ ($T_{\rm N} = 15$ K) the moments are parallel to the $\frac{1}{2}$ component of the ordering vector, while they are perpendicular for TbB₆ ($T_{\rm N} = 21$ K). The moments are large and correspond to the expected value of 3+ ions (Table 1.2). Therefore magnetoelastic effects are noticeable [56,57] and lead to various lattice distortions and concomitant superlattice reflections. Recently is was observed that TbB₆ shows an additional ordering vector $\mathbf{Q}'_{\parallel} = (\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}0)$ [57].

DyB₆ and **HoB**₆: These heavy RE hexaborides are least investigated. Therefore in both cases the CEF ground states of Dy³⁺ $(J = \frac{15}{2})$ and Ho³⁺ (J = 8) can only be conjectured (Table 1.2) from specific heat, magnetization and elastic constant measurements [58, 59]. In the latter a huge softening of transverse c_{44} elastic constants is observed for both compounds. This means the CEF ground state has orbital degeneracy leading to a Curie-Weiss-type quadrupolar ($\mathbf{q} = 0$) susceptibility for $\Gamma = \Gamma_5^+$ -type quadrupoles (O_{yz}, O_{zx}, O_{xy}) [44]. At the ordering temperature (Table 1.2) these quadrupoles acquire an expectation value as signature of a ferroquadrupolar HO which splits the orbital degenerate ground state and distorts the lattice. This is the well-known cooperative Jahn-Teller (JT) effect. In this case we can denote $T_{HO} = T_Q$ in Table 1.2 also as T_{IT} because the driving mechanism is not



14 Multipolar order and excitations in rare-earth boride Kondo systems

Figure 1.5 (a) Transverse c_{44} elastic constant softening in HoB₆ above the JT transition at $T_Q(H)$. Reproduced from Goto *et al.* [58]. (b) Comparison for H = 0 data with results from Eq. (1.2). Best fit for $\gamma > 1$ therefore HoB₆ is a cooperative Jahn-Teller compound. The $c_{44}(t)$ for FQ case ($\gamma < 1$) behaves qualitatively different, AFQ case with $\gamma < 0$ (similar to CeB₆) is shown for comparison. Here $t = T/T_Q^*$ with $T_Q^* = 5.1$ K. c_{44} is normalized at $t_m = 9.8$.

primarily the intersite-coupling (g'_{Γ}) of quadrupoles but rather the linear magnetoelastic coupling (g_{Γ}) of $\Gamma = \Gamma_5^+$ quadrupoles to the trigonal Γ_5^+ -type homogeneous ($\mathbf{q} = 0$) lattice strains $\epsilon_{\Gamma_5} =$ $(\epsilon_{yz}, \epsilon_{zx}, \epsilon_{xy})$. The softening of the symmetry elastic constants $c_{\Gamma} = c_{44}$ in HoB₆ as a precursor to the JT transition is shown in Fig. 1.5. Unlike in PrB₆ and NdB₆ it is not arrested by a preceding magnetic transition. It is determined by the *T*-dependence of the ($\mathbf{q} = 0$) quadrupolar susceptibility χ_{Γ}^{Q} according to [44,60,61]

$$\frac{c_{\Gamma}(T)}{c_{\Gamma}^{0}} = \frac{1 - (\tilde{g}_{\Gamma}^{2} + g_{\Gamma}')\chi_{\Gamma}^{Q}(T)}{1 - g_{\Gamma}'\chi_{\Gamma}^{Q}(T)}$$
$$\simeq \frac{T - T_{Q}^{*}}{T - \Theta_{\Gamma}^{Q}} = \frac{t - 1}{t - \alpha_{\Gamma}}$$
(1.2)

where $\tilde{g}_{\Gamma}^2 = g_{\Gamma}^2 / (c_{\Gamma}^0 v_c)$ with c_{Γ}^0 and v_c denoting the background elastic constant and volume per RE ion, respectively. The monotonic behaviour in Fig. 1.5 is dominated by the Curie

Overview of RE-boride compounds 15

Table 1.3 Magnetoelastic JT (g_{Γ_5}) and quadrupolar (g'_{Γ_5}) coupling constants from c_{44} (Γ_5 symmetry) elastic constant measurements [48, 58]. Here $\gamma < 0$ corresponds to AFQ coupling, $0 < \gamma < 1$ to FQ case and $\gamma > 1$ to dominant JT coupling.

compound	$g_{\Gamma_5}[\mathbf{K}]$	$\tilde{g}_{I_5}^2 = rac{g_{I_5}^2}{c_{44}^0 \Omega_c} [\mathbf{K}]$	$g_{I_5}'[\mathbf{K}]^{(a)}$	$\gamma = \frac{\mathring{s}_{\varGamma_5}^2}{\mathscr{g}_{\varGamma_5}'}$	type
CeB ₆	190	0.078	-2.1	-0.037	AFQ
PrB ₆	200	0.093	-0.16	-0.58	AFQ
NdB ₆	83	0.016	0.032	0.50	FQ
DyB ₆	-	-	-	0.70	FQ
HoB ₆	-	-	-	1.43	JT

^(*a*) In the convention of experimental literature $g'_{\Gamma} < 0$ and $g'_{\Gamma} > 0$ correspond to AFQ and FQ intersite coupling, respectively. This is opposite to the conventions for $zD = g'_{15}$ in Secs. 1.3–1.5.

contribution $\sim (m_{\Gamma}^{Q})^{2}/T$ to the quadrupolar $\chi_{\Gamma}^{Q}(T)$ which can only come from a degenerate Γ_5 ground state. Then the approximation in Eq. (1.2) holds where $T_Q^* = (m_\Gamma^Q)^2 (\tilde{g}_\Gamma^2 + g_\Gamma')$ is the quadrupolar transition temperature, neglecting the effect of excited CEF states $(m_{\Gamma}^{Q}$ is the quadrupolar ground state matrix element). It is treated as a fitting parameter for elastic constants and may differ somewhat from the real T_Q where the specific heat jump occurs. Furthermore $\Theta_{\Gamma}^{Q} = \alpha_{\Gamma} T_{Q}^{*}$ with $\alpha_{\Gamma} = (1 + \gamma_{\Gamma})^{-1}$, $\gamma_{\Gamma} = \tilde{g}_{\Gamma}^{2} / g_{\Gamma}'$ and $t = T/T_{\Omega}^*$ denoting the reduced temperature. Here γ_{Γ} is the ratio of magnetoelastic to intersite quadrupolar coupling which determines the qualitative temperature dependence of $c_{\Gamma}(T)$ in Fig. 1.5 (b). For $\gamma < 1$ when quadrupole interactions dominate the T-dependence is mostly flat and then a sudden softening occurs (FQ). When $\gamma > 1$ and magnetoelastic IT interaction dominates the softening occurs over a large temperature range above T_{Ω}^* . Fig. 1.5 (b) demonstrates that HoB₆ is in the JT driven regime of softening whereas all other hexaborides (Table 1.3) are in the quadrupolar interaction dominated regime, in particular CeB₆. Thus elastic constant measurements can identify the driving mechanism of quadrupolar order. In the AFQ case ($\tilde{g}_{\Gamma}^2 + g_{\Gamma}' < 0$) no softening occurs (in the approximate Eq. (1.2) minus signs will be replaced by plus signs). Under applied field the softening around $T_{\rm O}$ turns into a minimum that shifts to higher temperature in Fig. 1.5 (a). Therefore $T_Q(H)$ increases with applied field. For DyB₆

16 Multipolar order and excitations in rare-earth boride Kondo systems

the zero field behaviour is similar (although still $\gamma_{\Gamma} < 1$), but no field-dependence of c_{44} is observed, indicating field-independent $T_{\rm Q}$ up to 8 T. Furthermore an additional AFM phase transition appears at $T_{\rm N} = 23 \text{ K} < T_{\rm Q}$, again with the canonical $\mathbf{Q} = (\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{2})$ ordering wave vector. From the interpretation of thermodynamic measurements [59] it was concluded that the order parameters are carried by a $\Gamma_8^{(1)}(0)$ quartet ground state and a closeby Γ_7 (9 K) doublet. A total splitting of the five multiplets of ~160 K was proposed although no spectroscopic confirmation of the level scheme exists to date.

 \mathbf{ErB}_6 and \mathbf{TmB}_6 heavy rare earth-hexaborides have not been successfully synthesized [23] and may not be stable, presumably due to the small radius of their heavy 3+ ions.

 YbB_6 is the last in the hexaboride series and has been one of the most controversial. For a while it was thought it might be a topologically nontrivial material similar to SmB₆ but recent ARPES experiments [62] for the non-polar [110] surface have established a different picture: The binding energy of the 4f_{7/2} state is quite large, about 1 eV (Fig.1.2). Therefore the stable purely divalent Yb²⁺ 4f ground state is realized like Eu^{2+} in EuB_6 . Hence there is no band crossing with 5*d* states. The latter exhibit a semiconducting gap \sim 0.3 eV with respect to the lower B 2p states. Therefore the electronic structure (schematically shown in Fig. 1.2) is reminiscent of EuB_6 except that there is no magnetic order in YbB₆ and hence no overlap of spin-split bands, consequently it stays semiconducting. Under pressure, however, the 2p and 5d bands overlap transforming YbB₆ into a slightly mixed valent semimetal [62]. The ambient-pressure semiconductor may exhibit band bending effects and therefore 2D confined surface states can exist which has led to previous misguided conclusions on the electronic structure.

After this brief survey of RB_6 materials with stable magnetic moments we turn now to species with larger 5d-4f hybridization which show either Kondo-lattice heavy-fermion behaviour with hidden multipolar order like CeB₆ and its La diluted alloys or are Kondo insulators like YbB₁₂ or strongly non-integer mixed valent semiconductors with topological order like SmB₆. Multipolar hidden order in CeB_6 in the localized 4f scenario **17**

1.3 Multipolar hidden order in CeB₆ in the localized **4***f* scenario

The high degeneracy and strong Coulomb repulsion of felectrons in lanthanide and actinide compounds can lead to exotic quantum matter states at low temperatures [1, 17, 64, 65]. The hybridization of valence (conduction) electrons with strongly correlated f electrons may result in the formation of heavy-fermion metals with quasiparticles that have large effective masses and opening of hybridization gaps that can lead to Kondo insulator state. This is most frequently observed in intermetallic Ce or Yb compounds which commonly have one 4f electron or hole state with orbital energy ϵ_f not too far below the Fermi level and having considerable hybridization with conduction bands. Furthermore, at even lower temperatures, broken symmetry phases (usually magnetic or superconducting) appear, driven by



Figure 1.6 Low-field phase diagram of CeB₆ as obtained by tracking elastic constant anomalies. Ordering wave vectors are AFQ (II): $\mathbf{Q}' = (\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2})$; AFM double-**q** (III) $\mathbf{Q}_1 = (\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{2})$; $\mathbf{Q}_2 = (\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{2})$ [structure of Fig. 1.4 (a)]. Below the lowest line H < 5 kOe, domain formation occurs (10 kOe = 1 T). Adapted from Nakamura *et al.* [63].

Borides Thalmeier

18 Multipolar order and excitations in rare-earth boride Kondo systems

residual quasiparticle interactions [65,66]. Of particular interest are the 'hidden order' (HO) phases introduced before.

By various combinations of experimental methods (Sec. 1.1), the most detailed understanding of HO has been achieved for the cubic heavy-fermion compound CeB₆. It exhibits a second order transition at $T_{\rm O} = 3.3$ K from paramagnetic phase I into a HO phase II and then into coexisting AFM phase III at the lower Néel temperature $T_{\rm N} = 2.3$ K (Fig. 1.6). In zero field the HO phase II does not lead to any new Bragg peaks in x-ray or neutron diffraction. The field dependence of $T_{O}(H)$ has a large positive slope leading to an exceptional increase up to $T_{\rm O} = 10$ K at H = 35 T. The compound is a prominent model system for HO because of the simplicity of 4f states in that case. The O_h symmetry (Fig. 1.1) leads to a cubic CEF which splits the six $J = \frac{5}{2} \operatorname{Ce}^{3+}(4f^1)$ states into a Γ_8 ground state quartet and highly excited Γ_7 doublet at \sim 530 K [36,67–69] (see Fig. 1.3), which may be neglected for all low-temperature phenomena. The quartet ground state is explicitly given by

$$|+\uparrow\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{5}{6}} |+\frac{5}{2}\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}} |-\frac{3}{2}\rangle; \qquad |-\uparrow\rangle = |+\frac{1}{2}\rangle,$$

$$|+\downarrow\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{5}{6}} |-\frac{5}{2}\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}} |+\frac{3}{2}\rangle; \qquad |-\downarrow\rangle = |-\frac{1}{2}\rangle.$$
 (1.3)

This may be thought of consisting of two orbitally inequivalent Kramers doublets with symmetry Γ_7 (left part) and Γ_6 (right part) that are forced into one quartet representation by the cubic symmetry. Therefore it is suggestive to interpret $\sigma_z =\uparrow, \downarrow$ as Kramers pseudo spin of each doublet and $\tau_z = \pm$ as orbital pseudo spin that distinguishes the two doublets [70]. This may be formalized by introducing the representations for the two pseudo-spins τ and σ defined by

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\tau\tau'\sigma} f^{\dagger}_{\tau\sigma} \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\tau,\tau'} f_{\tau'\sigma}; \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\tau\sigma\sigma'} f^{\dagger}_{\tau\sigma} \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\sigma,\sigma'} f_{\tau\sigma'} \quad (1.4)$$

Here $\rho = (\rho_x, \rho_y, \rho_z)$ denotes the set of Pauli matrices. The $f_{\tau\sigma}^{\dagger}$ one-electron fermion operators create the CEF states in Eq. (1.3) according to $|\tau\sigma\rangle = f_{\tau\sigma}^{\dagger}|0\rangle$ with $|0\rangle$ denoting the empty $4f^0$ state.

Multipolar hidden order in CeB₆ in the localized 4f scenario **19**

1.3.1 Pseudo-spin representation of Γ_8 -quartet multipoles

The 4*f* electrons with orbital angular momentum l = 3 are arranged in a shell with orbital, spin and total angular momenta (L, S, J) according to Hund's rules. For Ce³⁺(4*f*¹) and Yb³⁺(4*f*¹³) they are equal to single electron or hole quantum numbers, respectively. The charge density and moment density operators of the 4*f* shell may be expanded in terms of multipole basis functions consisting of polynomials with rank $p \leq 2l$ associated with specific representations of the RE site symmetry. Their expectation values in a given 4*f* state (e.g. the CEF ground state) correspond to the classical electrostatic and magnetostatic multipoles, as discussed extensively in [1]. With the help of the Wigner-Eckhard theorem for the states with total angular momentum *I* the multipole operators of rank p may be expressed as combinations of polynomials in $\mathcal{P}_{p}(J_{x}, J_{y}, J_{z})$ of rank p belonging to cubic representation $(\Gamma \gamma)$ by using the Stevens operator technique [31]. Some of these operators up to rank 3 (octupoles) are listed in Table 1.4 and the symmetry

Table 1.4 Representations of 9 of the 15 multipoles of Γ_8 quartet: Stevens notation using total angular momentum **J** components or components of pseudospins σ, τ . The components of the total angular momentum are the linear combination $J_{\alpha} = \sum_n \lambda_{\alpha}^n X_n$ where coefficients λ_{α}^n can be read off in the last column. In the last row symmetrization (summation over all permutations of *x*, *y*, *z*) is denoted by a bar.

<i>O_h</i> multipole ^a (degeneracy)	rank p	Stevens notation J_{α} , ($\alpha = x, y, z$)	pseudo-spin form $\sigma_{\alpha}, \tau_{\alpha}$
$\Gamma_4^-(3)$	1 (d)	J _x	$\frac{\frac{7}{6}[\sigma_x + \frac{2}{7}(-\tau_z\sigma_x + \sqrt{3}\tau_x\sigma_x)]}{\frac{7}{6}[\sigma_x + \frac{2}{7}(-\tau_z\sigma_x + \sqrt{3}\tau_x\sigma_x)]}$
		J_y	$\frac{7}{6}[\sigma_y + \frac{2}{7}(-\tau_z\sigma_y - \sqrt{3}\tau_x\sigma_y)]$
		J_z	$\frac{7}{6}[\sigma_z + \frac{2}{7}(2\tau_z\sigma_z)]$
$\Gamma_{3}^{+}(2)$	2 (q)	$O_2^0 = \frac{1}{2}(2J_z^2 - J_x^2 - J_y^2)$	$ au_z=rac{1}{8}O_2^0$
		$O_2^2 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(J_x^2 - J_y^2)$	$ au_x = rac{1}{8}O_2^2$
$\Gamma_{5}^{+}(3)$	2 (q)	$O_{yz} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} (J_y J_z + J_z J_y)$	$\tau_y \sigma_x = \frac{1}{4} O_{yz}$
		$O_{zx} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(J_z J_x + J_x J_z)$	$\tau_y \sigma_y = \frac{1}{4} O_{zx}$
		$O_{xy} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(J_x J_y + J_y J_x)$	$\tau_y \sigma_z = \frac{1}{4} O_{xy}$
$\Gamma_2^-(1)$	3 (o)	$T_{xyz} = \frac{\sqrt{15}}{6} \overline{J_x J_y J_z}$	$\tau_y = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{45}T_{xyz}$

^ad = dipole, q = quadrupole, o = octupole.





Figure 1.7 Selected multipoles of Γ_8 quartet (degeneracy in parentheses): Dipolar J_z ($\Gamma_4^-(3)$, rank 1), quadrupolar O_2^0 ($\Gamma_3^+(2)$, rank 2), O_{xy} ($\Gamma_5^+(3)$, rank 2) and octupolar T_{xyz} ($\Gamma_2^-(1)$, rank 3. Red/blue denote opposite signs of 4*f* spin density and green/magenta opposite signs of 4*f* charge densities.

of the corresponding real-space tesseral harmonics [31] in (x, y, z) cartesian coordinates is shown in Fig. 1.7.

If we restrict further to the Γ_8 ground state in CeB₆ the polynomials $\mathcal{P}_p(J_x, J_y, J_z)$ may be mapped to the pseudospin algebra defined above by comparing their matrix elements within the quartet [11,70]. The complete set of Γ_8 multipole operators in pseudospin basis is given by

$$\{X_n\} = \{\sigma_\alpha, \tau_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha \tau_\beta\}$$
(1.5)

with n = 1 - 15 and α , $\beta = x, y, z$. They constitute a basis set for the fifteen multipole moments, acting in the space of Γ_8 quartet states. The multipoles transforming as cubic representations in Table 1.4 are then generally linear combinations of the X_n , e.g. $J_\alpha = \sum_n \lambda_\alpha^n X_n$ where the λ_α^n may be read off from Table 1.4.

1.3.2 Multipole interaction model and symmetry breakings

In the intermetallic RE compounds the small hybridization with conduction electrons leads to effective inter-site interactions between the multipoles on neighboring lattice sites. Those between the dipoles (rank 1) are commonly known as RKKY interactions, but the concept may also be extended to higher-rank multipoles [37, 38, 42] (Sec.1.1.2). In the same way as the RKKY terms lead

PSP Book - 9in x 6in

February 2, 2022 19:19

Multipolar hidden order in CeB₆ in the localized 4f scenario **21**

to magnetic ordering of dipolar moments J at low temperature they may also induce 'hidden order' (HO) of multipoles with rank p > 1. The pseudo spin representation for CeB₆ has been proposed [70] and investigated in detail with respect to possible multipolar HO and excitations [11,71,72]. A model Hamiltonian describing the effective intersite coupling of Γ_8 multipoles may be written as

$$\mathcal{H} = D \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} [(\boldsymbol{\tau}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_j) + (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j) + 4(\boldsymbol{\tau}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_j)(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j) +$$
(1.6)

$$+\epsilon_{\mathrm{Q}}4\tau_{i}^{y}\tau_{j}^{y}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{i}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{j})+\epsilon_{\mathrm{O}}\tau_{i}^{y}\tau_{j}^{y}]-\frac{7}{6}g\mu_{B}\sum_{i}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{i}+\frac{2}{7}\boldsymbol{\eta}_{i})\cdot\mathbf{H}$$

where we introduced the multipole vector (cf. Table 1.4) $\eta =$ $(-\tau_z \sigma_x + \sqrt{3\tau_x \sigma_x}, -\tau_z \sigma_y - \sqrt{3\tau_x \sigma_y}, 2\tau_z \sigma_z)$ as an abbreviation. The first three terms describe a SU(4) 'supersymmetric' n.n. intersite interaction on the simple cubic lattice (sites i, j) of strength D (coordination z = 6) which has no bias for any of the fifteen multipoles as primary order parameter. The following two terms express the symmetry breaking that favors quadrupolar or octupolar order depending on the size of the parameters $\epsilon = (\epsilon_{\rm Q}, \epsilon_{\rm O})$. They correspond to Γ_5^+ -type quadrupoles and $\Gamma_2^$ type octupole, respectively. This preference is concluded from the experimental evidence discussed below and from derivation of the effective \mathcal{H} from a more fundamental Anderson-type Hamiltonian [41, 42]. The \pm sign denotes even/odd behaviour under time reversal, i.e. the quadrupole corresponds to a charge and the octupole to a magnetic moment distribution (Fig. 1.7), in both cases with zero net charge or moment and therefore "hidden". The last term is the Zeeman energy in pseudospin representation.

1.3.3 Experimental identification of multipolar order parameters

In this section we present to some detail various experimental evidence to unravel the nature of HO in CeB₆, i.e. to identify which multipoles appear as order parameters below the transition temperature $T_{\rm O}$. Firstly it was observed that on approaching $T_{\rm O}$ from above certain elastic constants exhibit typical small anomalies,

Borides Thalmeier

22 Multipolar order and excitations in rare-earth boride Kondo systems

although no real softening [48]. This already indicated that the primary order should be of the quadrupolar kind but not of the ferro-type. Therefore the starting point of the model in Eq. (1.7) is appropriate. More direct evidence for the nature of HO comes from the following investigations:

a) Anisotropy and slope of critical field curves

The most striking property of $T_Q(H)$ is a very large positive slope of $(dT_Q(H)/dH)_0 = 0.84$ K/T (Fig. 1.8). To understand this exceptional *B*-*T* HO phase boundary a mean-field analysis of the model is required [11]. Since we restrict to n.n. interactions and the quadrupolar order should not be of ferro type we start from a two sublattice $s = A, B, (\bar{s} = B, A)$ structure for the mean-field version of Eq. (1.7):

$$H_{\rm mf} = -\sum_{s,i\in\bar{s}} h_s^n \hat{X}_{i\bar{s}}^n - NE(\mathbf{h}), \qquad (1.7)$$

where

Figure 1.8 (a) High-field anisotropy of critical fields of AFQ phase II for symmetry directions using 1/d expansion and compared to moderate field experiments (circles). At low fields critical field curves become isotropic in this method. Reproduced from Shiina *et al.* [73]. (b) Experimental [001] high field results. Inset shows quadratic fit that suggests a maximum critical field $H_c(0) = 80$ T. Reproduced from Goodrich *et al.* [74].

PSP Book - 9in x 6in

Multipolar hidden order in CeB_6 in the localized 4f scenario **23**

and $x_s = \langle \mathbf{X}_s \rangle$ is the mean-field value of the multipole vector $\mathbf{X} = \{X_n\}$. It is also useful to define staggered $\mathbf{x}_s = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_A - \mathbf{x}_B)$ and uniform $\mathbf{x}_f = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_A + \mathbf{x}_B)$ order parameters. Furthermore we define the interaction model by setting $\Lambda(n, n') = \Lambda(n)\delta_{n,n'}$ with $\Lambda(5) = 1 + \epsilon_0 (\Gamma_2^- \text{ octupole}), \Lambda(8 - 10) = 1 + \epsilon_0 (\Gamma_5^+ \text{ quadrupole})$ and $\Lambda(n) = 1$ else (all other multipoles). This singles out Γ_5^+ and Γ_2^- as preferred HO parameters in accordance with experiments discussed below. The components of the field vector $\mathbf{h} = (h^n, n = 1 - 15)$ can be read off by comparison with the last term in Eq. (1.7).

The mean-field Hamiltonian for *A*, *B* is a 4×4 matrix in the quartet space. Its diagonalization leads to new split eigenstates (Fig. 1.15) from which the free energy may be obtained and minimized. For any field strength and direction the order parameters are then obtained. By the above choice of interaction the primary hidden order parameter is the threefold degenerate $\Gamma_5^+(O_{yz}, O_{zx}, O_{xy})_s \equiv 4(\tau_y \sigma_x, \tau_y \sigma_y, \tau_y \sigma_z)_s$ at the staggered wave vector $\mathbf{Q}' = (\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2})$ in r.l.u. $\frac{2\pi}{a}$. The field dependent transition temperature $T_Q(H)$ is then obtained from the vanishing AFQ order, it is shown in Fig. 1.9. The transition temperature has a reentrant



Figure 1.9 Mean field T_Q with (ϵ_Q , ϵ_O) = (0.2, 0.0) for magnetic fields along high symmetry axes (from [75]). The field direction along [110] is given by the dashed line. The field and temperature are scaled by $T_0(=2Dz)$, the zero field T_Q for $\epsilon_Q = \epsilon_Q = 0$.

24 Multipolar order and excitations in rare-earth boride Kondo systems



Figure 1.10 (a) Staggered primary (quadrupolar q_s) order parameter at a fixed temperature T = 0.5 as function of the magnetic fields along high symmetry axes. q_s is scaled with the saturated value. The same scale for the field and the temperature is used as Fig. 1.9. (b) Secondary (octupolar o_s and dipolar d_s) order parameter as a function of the magnetic fields (from [75]). o_s is scaled with the saturated value, whereas d_s is not scaled. The dashed lines in both figures are given by [110] field. For [001] field d_s is not induced. See also Table 1.5 for the detailed components.

field dependence for all field directions. The strong increase of $T_{\rm O}(H)$ in Fig. 1.9 up to intermediate fields has a simple origin in this model: For finite field, the octupolar staggered order is rapidly induced as secondary order parameter to its saturation value (Fig. 1.10). This stabilizes the AFQ phase and therefore leads to considerable increase in $T_{O}(H)$. It should be noted that T_{O} is affected also by the direction of the field; $T_Q[111]$ and $T_Q[110]$ are much more enhanced than $T_{O}[001]$ in high fields, originating from the anisotropic magnetization in the Γ_8 basis. This characteristic anisotropy is actually observed in the La-diluted system [76,77]. On the other hand, the mean-field treatment has a deficiency as well: At zero field, due to the threefold degeneracy of the Γ_5^+ order parameter, fluctuations will be important and suppress $T_{\rm Q}^{\rm mf}(0)$ to the value $T_{\rm Q}^{\rm exp}(0) = 3.3$ K. Therefore the observed increase of $T_Q^{exp}(H)$ starting from the zero field value up to the maximum $T_Q^{exp}(H_{max}) = 10$ K at $H_{max} = 35$ T will be even larger than predicted by the mean-field theory. This is shown in the experimental high-field phase diagram of Fig. 1.8. In fact, one can easily show that there is no chance for the mean-field $T_{\rm Q}(H)$ to go beyond twice of the zero-field $T_{\rm Q}$, irrespective of (ϵ_Q, ϵ_O) . To improve the situation the contribution of thermal multipole fluctuations have been considered in low-field [78] and high field case [73] using a 1/*d* expansion in the spatial dimension *d*. The results in Fig. 1.8 (a) which globally compares better with the experimental curve in Fig. 1.8 (b). However in such expansion around the isotropic infinite dimensional limit the low field anisotropy information of $T_O(\mathbf{H})$ is lost.

b) Neutron diffraction in an applied magnetic field

This method gave the first clue on the symmetry of the quadrupolar HO [3, 79]. Application of magnetic field along a symmetry direction, i.e. [001], [110], [111] reduces the symmetry group to lower than cubic. This has two effects: i) It selects a coherent superposition of the threefold degenerate primary HO representation Γ_5^+ that depends on field direction. (third row in Table 1.5 corresponds to experimental choice $[\bar{1}10]$). For a general field direction with unit vector $(\alpha\beta\gamma)$, the primary AFQ HO corresponds to $\alpha O_{yz} + \beta O_{zx} + \gamma O_{xy}$ ii) Previously different (O_h) representations may become mixed for finite field, leading to an *'induced'* secondary order parameter with the same wave vector \mathbf{Q}' whose amplitude becomes nonzero for finite field, in particular the dipole J and octupole T_{xyz} . The homogeneous applied field breaks time reversal but preserves translational symmetry. Therefore, although the order parameter representations become mixed and further secondary components with opposite time reversal symmetry are induced, their wave vector is identical to that of the primary order. Starting from the primary AFQ $\left[\Gamma_5^+, \mathbf{Q}' = (\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2})\right]$ Table 1.5 lists the possible induced order for field along symmetry directions. The octupole T_{xyz} is induced for all directions which explains the near isotropic enhancement of $T_{O}(\mathbf{H})$. In particular it is seen that for H along $[\bar{1}10]$ a staggered dipolar moment along [001] will be induced. This configuration corresponds to the ND experiment in [3, 79] (third row in Table 1.5) and leads to the observation of induced magnetic Bragg peaks from J_z at **Q**'. Then, in reverse this observation may be interpreted as evidence for the underlying primary quadrupolar $O_{yz} - O_{zx}$ order.

Borides Thalmeier

26 Multipolar order and excitations in rare-earth boride Kondo systems

Table 1.5 Primary quadrupolar (q) Γ_5^+ order induces secondary (d,o) order parameters of odd time reversal symmetry, depending on **H** direction. All order parameters are staggered with wave vector $\mathbf{Q}'(\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2})$. Secondary induced moments (d) appear as AFM Bragg peaks at \mathbf{Q}' .

H direction	^{<i>a</i>} primary Γ_5^+ (q)	induced Γ_4^- (d)	induced Γ_2^- (o)	symmetry
[001]	O _{xy}	-	T_{xyz}	C_{4v}
[110]	$O_{yz} + O_{zx}$	J_z	T_{xyz}	C_{2v}
[110]	$O_{yz} - O_{zx}$	J_z	T_{xyz}	C_{2v}
[111]	$O_{yz} + O_{zx} + O_{xy}$	$J_x + J_y + J_z$	T_{xyz}	C_{3v}

^a The field selects a combination from the triply degenerate Γ_5^+ manifold. For general field direction with unit vector ($\alpha\beta\gamma$) the linear combination $\alpha O_{yz} + \beta O_{zx} + \gamma O_{xy}$ is selected.

c) NMR experiments

The dependence of NMR resonance lines of nuclear moments on applied field strength and direction contains important information on the underlying polarization of electronic magnetic moments, imprinted by the hyperfine interaction between the two types of moments. In this way analysis of NMR splittings as function of field strength and angle was used to infer the magnetic structure of induced moments in phase II of CeB₆ [10]. Surprisingly the deduced structure did not agree with the structure obtained from ND results in a magnetic field [3,79]. In particular NMR lines of the ¹¹B nucleus (site 3 in Figs. 1.1 and 1.11) show a clear splitting even when the field is oriented along the [001] direction. Now from Table 1.5 one observes that no dipolar moment I_z is induced in this case. Assuming the standard hyperfine interaction where nuclear moments I_z interact only with 4f magnetic dipole moments J_z one must conclude that there should be no NMR splitting for **H** || [001] under the assumption of an underlying AFQ structure as determined by ND, in clear contradiction to the observation [10].

This discrepancy was solved by Shiina *et al.* [11,75] who showed that the local symmetry at the boron sites allows for a more general hyperfine interaction that couples the nuclear spin **I** not only to the 4f dipolar moment but also to the octupolar moments. A simplified version ¹ of the hyperfine Hamiltonian at the inequivalent ¹¹B-sites

¹there are further contribution due to other induced octupoles [11,75]





Figure 1.11 NMR splittings $\Delta_{1,3}^{hf}(\theta)$ at inequivalent ¹¹*B* sites 1, 3 (see unit cell). Circles are experimental data from [10]. Full line: model calculation with Eq. (1.8). Broken line: octupolar part only. The values are normalized to $\Delta_3^{hf}(0)$ (from [75]).

(1, 3 in Fig. 1.1) is then given by

$$H_{1}^{hf} = a_{1}I_{x}\tilde{T}_{xyz} - b_{1}[I_{y}\tilde{J}_{z}(\mathbf{Q}') + I_{z}\tilde{J}_{y}(\mathbf{Q}')],$$

$$H_{3}^{hf} = a_{3}I_{z}\tilde{T}_{xyz} - b_{3}[I_{x}\tilde{J}_{y}(\mathbf{Q}') + I_{y}\tilde{J}_{x}(\mathbf{Q}')].$$
(1.8)

Here the tilde denotes operators normalized to their maximum value and $a_{1,3}$, $b_{1,3}$ are hyperfine coupling constants of 4f octupole and dipole moments for the two ¹¹B-sites, respectively. The field is rotated in the diagonal plane containing [001], [111], [110] axes with θ denoting the angle from [001]. Since the 4f Zeeman energy scale is much larger than the hyperfine energies, i.e. $g_J \mu_B H \gg a_{1,3}$, $b_{1,3}$ the nuclear spins may simply be replaced by a classical vector that rotates with the field:

$$\mathbf{I} = \frac{I}{\sqrt{2}}(\sin\theta, \sin\theta, \sqrt{2}\cos\theta). \tag{1.9}$$

Inserting this in Eq. (1.8) and using the mean-field solution for $\langle J_x \rangle, \langle J_y \rangle$ and $\langle T_{xyz} \rangle$ leads to field-angle dependent hyperfine splittings $\Delta_{1,3}^{hf}$ of inequivalent ¹¹*B* sites that are shown in Fig. 1.11. Most importantly the Δ_3^{hf} does not vanish for $\theta = 0$ due to the octupolar contribution in Eq. (1.8), in agreement with experiment.

PSP Book - 9in x 6in

28 Multipolar order and excitations in rare-earth boride Kondo systems

This resolves the discrepancy with ND results. In fact the two methods are complementary: while ND determined the underlying AFQ structure via the induced magnetic dipoles, NMR identifies the existence of an induced strong octupolar component that was indirectly also inferred from the large positive slope of the critical field of phase II.

d) Resonant x-ray diffraction (RXD) results

Although the previous methods concluded the existence and symmetry of HO from indirect evidence and its analysis, it would be reassuring to find direct evidence for quadrupoles and octupoles. In fact the more recent method of resonant x-ray diffraction is a useful new method to observe multipoles up to fourth rank [80] directly. In CeB₆ this has been carried out using the signals from (optical) dipolar E1 $(2p_{3/2} \rightarrow 5d)$ and (optical) quadrupolar E2 $(2p_{3/2} \rightarrow 4f)$ resonance transitions around the L_3 absorption edge. The transitions at $\omega_1 = 5724$ eV and at $\omega_2 = 5718$ eV differ by $\Delta \omega = 6$ eV due to the larger binding energy of 4f states and their line shapes overlap. The total intensity is given by $I(\omega, H) = |F_{E1}(\omega, H) + F_{E2}(\omega, H)|^2$ where F_{E1} , F_{E2} are the complex amplitudes for each process and H is the applied magnetic field (along the $[\bar{1}11]$ direction). These amplitudes contain contributions from electronic multipoles up to rank 2 (E_1) and up to rank 4 (E_2). To disentangle them the magnetic field reversal is an essential tool because the even and odd rank contributions in F_{E1} , F_{E2} behave even and odd under field reversal. Let us define the average and difference intensities with respect to field reversal $H \to -H$ by $I_{av}(\omega, H) = \frac{1}{2}[I(\omega, H) + I(\omega, -H)]$ and $\Delta I(\omega, H) =$ $\frac{1}{2}[I(\omega, H) - I(\omega, -H)]$. From this approximate multipole order parameters may be extracted as [81]

primary (q):
$$\langle O_{yz} - O_{zx} \rangle_H \sim \sqrt{I_{av}(\omega_1, H)};$$

induced (d): $\langle J_z \rangle_H \sim \Delta I(\omega_1) / \sqrt{I_{av}(\omega_1, H)};$ (1.10)
induced (o): $\langle T_{xyz} \rangle_H \sim \Delta I(\omega_2) / \sqrt{I_{av}(\omega_1, H)}.$

They are shown in Fig. 1.12. The induced dipole agrees with the ND results (dashed line), and the field dependencies of all moments are



Figure 1.12 Magnetic field dependence of the primary quadrupole HO $\langle O_{yz} - O_{zx} \rangle$ and induced secondary dipolar $\langle J_z \rangle$ and octupolar $\langle T_{xyz} \rangle$ for [$\overline{1}10$] field direction. Symbols: RXD, dashed line: from ND experiments, full lines: guide to the eye. The momentum transfer is $(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ corresponding to **Q**' HO vector. Reproduced from Matsumura *et al.* [81].

qualitatively consistent with the theoretical results in Fig. 1.10. The primary quadrupole $\langle O_{yz} - O_{zx} \rangle_H$ obtained from RXD in Fig. 1.12 (upper curve) shows considerable H dependence much larger than predicted by the mean-field calculation (Fig. 1.10). Again this is due to the neglect of fluctuations. Just as they suppress the experimental value of $T_Q^{exp}(0)$ by a factor of two, they also suppress the size of the primary order parameter by a large factor (~ 1.5) as compared to the mean-field prediction. At considerably larger field they approach each other. The octupole has a pronounced convex bending which is a signature of the strong octupolar interaction. In fact, it is confirmed experimentally by investigating the dependence of the octupole-quadrupole ratio on the uniform magnetization. According to the theoretical study, this ratio is quite sensitive to the octupole interaction strength, irrespective of the fluctuation effect [78].

In summary, the conclusion from critical field anisotropy [11], field-induced neutron diffraction [3], NMR results [75],

Multipolar hidden order in CeB_6 in the localized 4f scenario **29**

Borides Thalmeier

30 Multipolar order and excitations in rare-earth boride Kondo systems

and resonant x-ray scattering [6] indicate that HO may be well described as a primary antiferroquadrupole Γ_5^+ order with wave vector $\mathbf{Q}' = (\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2})$ and a secondary strongly field induced octupolar Γ_2^- order parameter in addition to a smaller induced dipole component, both at the same wave vector \mathbf{Q}' . Semiquantitative agreement with experiments may be achieved by choosing $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = (0.5, 0.5)$ [72] in the localized multipolar model of Eq. (1.7) and this should be considered as an appropriate set for CeB₆.

1.4 The octupolar HO phase IV in diluted $Ce_{1-x}La_xB_6$

In the stoichiometric RB_6 compounds the 4*f* element may easily be replaced by other rare earth species. The most interesting case is perhaps the series $Ce_{1-x}La_xB_6$ ($0 \le x \le 1$) where the magnetic Ce³⁺ sublattice is progressively diluted with nonmagnetic La³⁺ which has no 4*f*-electrons. This will have two main consequences: Firstly the inter-site multipole interactions will be progressively weakened [82] suppressing the tendency to multipolar order. Secondly the coherent heavy-fermion quasiparticle bands that exist for $x \simeq 1$ will gradually become site-incoherent and turn into narrow localized Kondo-resonance states. This can in fact be directly inferred from the change of resistivity $\rho(T)$ from correlated metal $A + BT^2$ behaviour to saturated unitary Kondo impurity resistivity at low temperatures [83]. In the present context we focus on the evolution of the hidden order phase diagram with x. When the distance between the 4f multipoles of Ce becomes larger and their interactions are reduced one has to ask how long the AFQ order will last. Simply extrapolating the mean-field solution of the concentrated compound does not give the correct answer. Because of the additional non-Kramers degeneracy of the Γ_8 ground state (expressed by au) the single ion quadrupolar susceptibility $\chi^{\rm Q}_{\Gamma^+}(T)$ [Eq. (1.2)] has a Curie divergence $\sim 1/T$ for low T [44]. Therefore the mean-field approach would lead to a quadrupolar phase transition for an arbitrary dilute compound, although $T_{O}^{mf}(x)$ would approach zero for vanishing *x*. This is not the case and something rather more interesting is observed: The

(zero-field) AFQ order vanishes rather rapidly with doping, and by $x \le 0.8$ is already replaced by a different phase IV. Its nature has been investigated as intensely as that of the parent compound.

1.4.1 Phase diagram and evidence for primary octupolar order

An example of the global low-field phase diagram of $Ce_{1-x}La_xB_6$ (x = 0.23) as obtained from magnetocaloric investigations [84] is shown in Fig. 1.13 together with the *x*-*T* phase diagram [85]. Similar results were obtained from transport and magnetization experiments [86, 87] and earlier from ultrasonic measurements [88, 89]. These and other macroscopic analysis suggested the following basic properties of phase IV below $T_{IV} \simeq 1.6$ K: Contrary to phase II of CeB₆ at T_Q , a large specific heat jump is observed at T_{IV} . This indicates that the degeneracy of localized quartet states is completely lifted, different from AFQ order in phase II where a twofold Kramers degeneracy remains in zero field (Fig. 1.15). Furthermore RXS gave clear evidence for a homogeneous ($\mathbf{q} = 0$) trigonal lattice distortion in phase IV along [111] direction while



Figure 1.13 (a) *B*-*T* phase diagram of $Ce_{1-x}La_xB_6$ for x = 0.23 which shows the new antiferro-octupolar phase IV corner below $T_{IV} = 1.6$ K. AFQ phase II is no longer present for zero field. Reproduced from Jang *et al.* [84]. (b) *x*-*T* phase diagram for phases I (para), phase II (AFQ), phase III (AFM) and phase IV (AFO). Reproduced from Tayama *et al.* [85].

32

Multipolar order and excitations in rare-earth boride Kondo systems

Table 1.6 Octupolar order parameters for the phase IV, which is of antiferro-type with wave vector \mathbf{Q}' . Secondary ferro-type quadrupolar order is induced already in zero field. The bar denotes symmetrization (summation over all permutations of *x*, *y*, *z*).

<i>O_h</i> multipole (degeneracy)	rank P	Stevens notation J_{α} , ($\alpha = x, y, z$)	pseudo spin form $\sigma_{\alpha}, \tau_{\alpha}$	induced quadrupole rank 2, $\Gamma_5^+(3)$
$\Gamma_5^-(3)$	3 (o)	$\begin{split} T_x^\beta &= \frac{\sqrt{15}}{6} (\overline{J_x J_y^2} - \overline{J_z^2 J_x}) \\ T_y^\beta &= \frac{\sqrt{15}}{6} (\overline{J_y J_z^2} - \overline{J_x^2 J_y}) \\ T_z^\beta &= \frac{\sqrt{15}}{6} (\overline{J_z J_x^2} - \overline{J_y^2 J_z}) \end{split}$	$\frac{\frac{30}{\sqrt{5}}\left(-\sqrt{3}\tau_{z}\sigma_{x}-\tau_{x}\sigma_{x}\right)}{\frac{30}{\sqrt{5}}\left(-\sqrt{3}\tau_{z}\sigma_{y}-\tau_{x}\sigma_{y}\right)}$ $\frac{\frac{30}{\sqrt{5}}2\tau_{x}\sigma_{z}$	O_{yz} O_{zx} O_{xy}

none was observed in phase II (because the quadrupoles have a *staggered* order). In addition NMR and μ SR experiments show the existence of an internal field below T_{IV} . Therefore phase IV breaks cubic crystal symmetry as well as time reversal symmetry. This requires a primary octupole order parameter belonging to Γ_5^- as only plausible candidate [17,90]. Irrespective of its translational character it will always induce a ferro-type quadrupole as secondary order parameter, already at *zero* field. This explains nicely the strong softening of elastic constants due to strain-quadrupole coupling immediately below T_{IV} [90]. The octupole order parameter of this symmetry and its induced quadrupoles are given in Table 1.6.

In the supersymmetric part of the multipole intersite interaction Eq. (1.7) implicitly includes an isotropic term $\sim DT^{\beta} \cdot T^{\beta}$. One simple way to reproduce the octupolar order in $Ce_{1-x}La_xB_6$ is an appropriate enhancement of the octupole term in the interaction as $D \rightarrow D(1 + \epsilon_{O'})$. Some variants of the model have been studied in the literature and provided a consistent mean-field picture to interpret the complex experimental results in phase IV [87, 90]. However, it is not quite clear why the octupole interaction is selectively enhanced in the La-doped system. Another possible origin is the effect of random distribution of La that produces an additional CEF potential lower than cubic at Ce cites. Since the potential removes the non-Kramers degeneracy in the Γ_8 state, the randomness is expected to suppress the AFQ order more seriously. As a result, the AFO state surviving within the Kramers degeneracy gains a chance to overcome the quenched

The octupolar HO phase IV in diluted $Ce_{1-x}La_xB_6$ **33**



Figure 1.14 (a) A schematic plot of the symmetry of T^{β} octupole for the (111) domain. (b) Domain averaged magnetic form factor in the octupole order phase as function of the momentum transfer $|\kappa|/4\pi = \sin \theta/\lambda$ (from [12]). Symbols (*lmn*) corresponds to $\kappa = (l, m, n)/2$ and full lines to high symmetry directions. Underbars in the indices are defined as $\underline{n} = 10 + n$.

AFQ state [87,91]. In fact, the existence of strong spatial disorder in phase IV of $\text{Ce}_{1-x}\text{La}_x\text{B}_6$ is inferred from broadening in the NMR spectra [92]. Anyway the AFO mean-field ground state will be $\langle \mathbf{T}^\beta \rangle = \langle T_5^- \rangle (\pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1)$ corresponding to different domains. One of them, (1, 1, 1) is illustrated in Fig. 1.14 (a). If we pick this domain the corresponding *homogeneous* induced Γ_5^+ (ferro-) quadrupole will be $\langle \mathbf{O} \rangle = \langle O_5^+ \rangle (1, 1, 1)$. Due to the coupling to homogeneous strains $\epsilon_{\Gamma_5} = (\epsilon_{yz}, \epsilon_{zx}, \epsilon_{xy})$ the crystal will distort with a trigonal strain $\epsilon_{\Gamma_5}(1, 1, 1)$ in accordance with conventional XD results [93].

While these macroscopic symmetry considerations are consistent a direct proof by microscopic probes seems necessary. This was provided by analysis of angular dependent RXS [7,94,95] and large momentum transfer neutron diffraction [4,12].

Firstly, the former shows clearly that in phase IV the resonant scattering occurs at Bragg points corresponding to an AFO propagation vector $\mathbf{Q}' = (\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2})$, the same as in the AFQ phase II. Furthermore, the dependence of scattered intensity $I(\phi)$ on the azimuthal angle in the x-ray scattering plane shows a sixfold and threefold oscillation with ϕ in the full circle for $E2(\sigma\sigma)$ and $E2(\sigma\pi)$ scattering channels, respectively. This can consistently

34 Multipolar order and excitations in rare-earth boride Kondo systems

be interpreted with an underlying octupolar-type hidden order [94,96].

Secondly, although conventional neutron diffraction at low momentum transfer can only identify dipolar order, the scattering at very large momentum transfer is sensitive to higher order (odd rank) multipoles. Shiina *et al.* [12] have calculated the expected form factor for large momentum transfer $\kappa = \mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k}$ of scattered neutrons for an underlying Γ_5^- AFO order. It is shown in Fig. 1.14 (b). The fact that the form factor vanishes for low κ and shows a maximum at large κ is a typical signature of multipolar order. The experiments have been performed [4] and it was indeed observed on a few reflections that intensity *increases* with momentum transfer, in accordance with theoretical predictions. The anisotropy of the intensity for the momentum transfer can provide a further information on the form of the octupole, and its experimental identification is left for a future study.

Thus $Ce_{1-x}La_xB_6$ is one of the few confirmed cases of primary higher rank $r \ge 3$ multipolar order. The octupolar order in $Ce_{1-x}La_xB_6$ persists down to almost $x \approx 0.5$ [84]. For even lower xthe compounds are disordered at all temperature in zero fields and exhibit a Kondo impurity behavior. Another example for octupole ordering is NpO₂ [2,97], where the same component of octupole T^β is believed to order with the triple-q ordering vector. Note also that higher magnetic and nonmagnetic multipole states are proposed to resolve the nature of the famous HO phase of URu₂Si₂ [13–15], but still remain controversial in that case.

1.5 The collective excitations in the AFQ hidden order phase II of CeB₆

Materials with CEF-split 4f states show collective dispersive magnetic excitations, termed 'magnetic excitons' [98] already in the paramagnetic phase. Their analysis leads to important information to build an exchange model [99]. A magnetic phase transition may be preceded by a softening of excitons at the ordering wave vector [98]. Below the transition their dispersion is modified due to
The collective excitations in the AFQ hidden order phase II of CeB_6 35



Figure 1.15 Schematic splitting of Γ_8 multiplet in phase II into two Kramers doublets by AFQ molecular field and into four nondegenerate states due to action of AFQ, field **H** and induced dipole and octupole molecular fields. Splittings are sublattice (s=A,B) dependent. For T > 0, $\mathbf{H} \neq 0$ all six excitations are possible. For low *T* only three excitations from the ground state (full arrows) are important, leading to six dispersive modes in the interacting two-sublattice AFQ state (adapted from [71]).

the molecular field and additional collective Goldstone spin wave modes appear describing the order parameter dynamics.

In CeB₆ we restrict ourselves to the fully degenerate Γ_8 (above T_Q) and ignore the very high energy $\Gamma_8 - \Gamma_7$ excitation. Then we have only to consider the quasielastic excitations of the Γ_8 quartet. For $T < T_Q$ in the AFQ phase and by application of external fields the quartet splits as schematically shown in Fig. 1.15 and the intersite coupling will then again lead to collective dispersive modes, this time on the energy scale of the Γ_8 splittings. However, in the present case their dispersion will not only be influenced by the dipolar exchange but by *all* multipolar interactions included in the intersite term of the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1.7). On the other hand their intensity appearing in INS is again be determined only by the *dipolar* dynamic structure function of these modes because neutrons (at low momentum transfer) do not directly couple to higher multipoles.

The magnetic excitation spectrum of the AFQ phase II of the model in Eq. (1.7) has been calculated with the complementary generalized Holstein-Primakoff approach [72] or multipolar response function formalism in the RPA approach [71,100]. Both

Borides Thalmeier

36 *Multipolar order and excitations in rare-earth boride Kondo systems*

include the full multipolar basis for calculation of the mode dispersions. For brevity we describe only the latter in this review but give a comparison of results from both methods for a typical case [Fig. 1.16 (b)]. As a first step (Sec 1.3.3) one has to calculate the effective molecular fields (mf) $\langle X_A^n \rangle \pm \langle X_B^n \rangle$ (uniform and staggered) of each multipole basis operator which leads to the Γ_8 splitting (Fig. 1.15). Here s = A, B denote the simple cubic sublattices of the antiferro-type HO defined by ordering vector \mathbf{Q}' .

1.5.1 Generalized multipolar RPA method

The CEF states are mixed by the molecular fields into new eigenstates $|vs\rangle_i$ with energies E_v at every sublattice site (s, i) as shown in Fig. 1.15. In terms of their standard basis operators $a_{\nu\mu}^{si} = |vs\rangle_i \langle \mu s|_i \ (\nu, \mu = 1, 4)$ the mean-field approximation to Eq. (1.7) is given by

$$H = \sum_{\nu,si} E_{\nu}^{s} a_{\nu\nu}^{si} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle ss'} \sum_{\nu\nu',\mu\mu'} (\mathbf{M}_{\nu\mu}^{s} \cdot \mathbf{D}_{ss'} \cdot \mathbf{M}_{\nu'\mu'}^{s'}) a_{\nu\mu}^{si} a_{\nu'\mu'}^{s'j} \quad (1.11)$$

where **M** is a *n*-component vector (n = 1 - 15) of matrix elements for the multipole operators defined by $M_{\nu\mu}^{ns} = \langle \nu, s | X_{is}^n | \mu, s \rangle$ and the inter-sublattice multipole $n \times n$ diagonal interaction matrix is $\mathbf{D}_{AB}(\mathbf{q}) = \mathbf{D}_{BA}(\mathbf{q}) = -2zD\gamma_{\mathbf{q}}\mathbf{\Lambda}$. Here $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ gives the relative interactions strengths of multipoles (Sec 1.3.3), furthermore $\gamma_{\mathbf{q}} = \frac{1}{3}(\cos q_x + \cos q_y + \cos q_z)$. With the thermal occupations of mf eigenstates given by $n_{\nu} = Z^{-1}\exp(-E_{\nu}/T)$ and $Z = \sum_{\mu}\exp(-E_{\mu}/T)$ the bare $n \times n$ multipolar susceptibility for each sublattice s = A, B may be written as

$$\chi^{s}_{0nl}(\omega) = \sum_{\nu\mu} \frac{M^{ns}_{\nu\mu} M^{ls}_{\mu\nu}}{E_{\mu} - E_{\nu} - \omega + i\gamma_{\mu\nu}} (n_{\nu} - n_{\mu}).$$
(1.12)

The $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$ line widths of Γ_8 transitions result from Landau damping due to conduction electrons [100]. The collective response of all 15 Γ_8 multipoles for the 2 sublattices is then described by the 30 × 30 RPA susceptibility matrix

$$\boldsymbol{\chi}(\mathbf{q},\omega) = [\mathbf{1} - \boldsymbol{\chi}_0(\omega)\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{q})]^{-1}\boldsymbol{\chi}_0(\omega)$$
(1.13)

where **D** consists of two anti-diagonal blocks $\mathbf{D}_{AB} = \mathbf{D}_{BA}$. The elements of Eq. (1.13) may be used to construct the dipolar moment

The collective excitations in the AFQ hidden order phase II of CeB₆ 37



Figure 1.16 Spectral function of magnetic excitations for zero field (a) and field along [001] axis (b) with h' = 1 and $T = 0.5T_0$ from HP [72] (dots) and RPA [100] (shading) approach. The momentum vector **q** is moving along the Brillouin zone (BZ) path $\Gamma XM\Gamma R$ (here **q** is normalized to the length of each path segment to achieve equal intervals). In this and all following similar figures the unit of mode energy ω is $T_0 = 2zD = 0.41$ meV (4.74 K). Adapted from Ref. [100].

(J) cartesian (3×3) susceptibility matrix according to

$$\chi_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{q},\omega) = \sum_{ss',nm} \lambda^n_{\alpha} \lambda^m_{\beta} \chi^{ss'}_{nm}(\mathbf{q},\omega)$$
(1.14)

where the λ_{α}^{n} are the coefficients of J_{α} in the pseudo spin representation (Table 1.4). Then the dynamical dipolar structure function, which is the only one observable in INS, may be written as

$$S(\mathbf{Q},\omega;\mathbf{H}) = \frac{1}{\pi} [1 - e^{\hbar\omega/kT}] \times \sum_{\alpha\beta} [\delta_{\alpha\beta} - \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\alpha}\hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\beta}] \mathrm{Im}\chi_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{q},\omega;\mathbf{H}) \quad (1.15)$$

It depends parametrically on field strength and direction. Here $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{K}$ is the total momentum transfer in INS with \mathbf{K} denoting a reciprocal lattice vector and $\hat{\mathbf{Q}} = \mathbf{Q}/|\mathbf{Q}|$. The structure function is proportional to the INS intensity and will be discussed for various field strengths and directions below.

The calculated dynamical RPA structure function $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ for CeB₆ is shown in Fig. 1.16 for zero and finite field. Here we use model parameters $k_{\rm B}T_0 = 0.41$ meV or $T_0 = 4.74$ K and $\epsilon =$

(0.5, 0.5) for CeB₆, also employed in Refs. [72, 100]. Furthermore a dimensionless field strength is defined by $h' = 0.672 \text{H}[\text{T}]/T_0[\text{K}]$ with physical units for *H* and T_0 (we will also use $h = h'T_0$). Then h' = 1 corresponds to H = 6.97 T. There are locally six excitations between the molecular-field – and Zeeman-split Γ_8 states, three from the ground state and three from the thermally excited states (Fig. 1.15), the latter are thermally suppressed except close to $T_{\rm O}$. Since there are two sublattices in the AFQ/AFO-type ordered phase six excitation branches will appear prominently that are mostly visible, e.g. in Fig. 1.16 (b) (for h = 0 there are additional degeneracies). Roughly speaking, the six branches can be arranged in two groups: Firstly, two high energy branches (H) and secondly, four low energy branches (L), two of them almost degenerate and largely flat. The former are mostly stabilized at higher energy by the octupolar molecular field while the latter are stabilized by the Zeeman term. When temperature approaches $T_{O}^{\text{mf}}(h)$ from below, keeping the field constant the high energy modes collapse due to the reduced octupolar order while the low energy modes are less affected. Complementary, when temperature is kept constant much below the transition and the field is reduced to zero, the highenergy modes change little and the low energy modes are shifted downwards [cf. Fig. 1.16 (a,b)]. Due to the threefold degenerate Γ_5^+ order a Goldstone mode then appears at the Γ point [Fig. 1.16 (a)]. It should be mentioned that the relative field independence of the higher modes is a consequence of the accidental degeneracy $\epsilon_{\rm O} = \epsilon_{\rm O}$ assumed in the model [100]. If we would choose $\epsilon_{\rm O}$ somewhat less than ϵ_0 the octupolar order parameter $\langle \tau_z \rangle_0$ would rapidly collapse at small fields and the two high energy modes with it, similar to the behaviour when temperature approaches the transition from below at zero field. The mode dispersions in the AFQ phase II of CeB_6 from RPA calculations are in excellent agreement with the results from the HP approach, shown as dots in (b), including the intensities of modes as function of momentum [72, 100]. The latter method has later also been extended to the AFM phase III [101]. Experimental evidence for the multipolar mode dispersions in finite fields was found in [102] and [103, 104].

The collective excitations in the AFQ hidden order phase II of CeB₆ 39

1.5.2 Dependence of mode energies on field strength and field-angular rotation

The field dependence at constant q is complementary to the standard INS method where the full q-dispersion is determined for fixed field. In reality the latter may be difficult to carry out due to strong variation of intensity in the BZ. In fact some of the excitations were mostly identified at the symmetry points of the BZ, in particular at Γ and R, but also X, M. Therefore, for a comparison with theoretical results it may be a better strategy to keep the momentum transfer fixed at these symmetry points and vary the field strength and field direction. The mode frequencies are then recorded in radial plots in the field rotation plane. This is a change of viewpoint as compared to the previous theoretical investigations [72, 100] which we discuss now in detail.

Without magnetic field the mean-field solution of Eq. (1.7) leads to a transition at $T_{\rm mf} = (1 + \epsilon_{\rm Q})T_0$ with the primary AFQ order parameter. At finite fields a secondary dipole and octupole staggered order will be induced, depending on field direction (Fig. 1.10). Their associated molecular fields split and mix the local CEF energies and states. This information is encoded in the energy-denominator and matrix elements of the RPA susceptibility in Eqs. (1.12,1.13) and hence the excitation spectrum of Eq. (1.15) depends on order parameters, field strength and direction. First



Figure 1.17 H || [001] field dependence of mode frequencies for various BZ symmetry points $\Gamma(000)$ and $R(\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2})$. Low energy modes exhibit roughly linear Zeeman splitting. Field independent high energy modes are stabilized by the induced octupolar order parameter.



Figure 1.18 Field-angular anisotropies of multipolar excitation branches at Γ and R points. A polar presentation is used where angle and radius correspond to field direction and mode energy, respectively ($\omega = 6T_0$ at black circle). Field strength is $h = 2T_0$ (14 T). For such large field angular anisotropy is pronounced and mode frequencies (radii) are more distinct. Some mode intensities are interchanged with $\Gamma \leftrightarrow R$.

we consider the continuous field strength dependence of mode frequencies at two symmetry points shown in Fig. 1.17 for field along the [001] direction. In this case O_{xy} is selected from the Γ_5^+ quadrupolar manifold as the primary order. As mentioned before, the high-energy modes $\omega \simeq 4T_0$ stabilized by the octupolar molecular field are hardly influenced by the field. On the other hand, the low-energy modes around $\omega \simeq T_0$ stabilized directly by the Zeeman term show an approximately linear increase of frequency and splitting with the field. At the zone center Γ , the mode with highest intensity is the Goldstone mode starting at zero frequency, which then increases roughly linearly with field strength. Experimentally such linear field dependence of excitations at the Γ point has been found both in neutron scattering [105] and electron spin resonance [106–108], although the situation is complicated due to the additional AFM order at a different wave vector, which is not included in the present model.

The dependence of multipolar excitations on continuous field rotation at the Γ and R points is presented in the polar graphs of Fig. 1.18 for field strength h' = 2 ($H \simeq 14$ T). In these figures the

PSP Book - 9in x 6in

February 2, 2022 19:19

radial coordinate represents the frequency of the excitation modes in the dipolar INS structure function of Eq. (1.15). The angular coordinate defines the field-rotation angle between the various cubic axes [100], [110], [111] and their equivalents indicated at the outer boundary of the polar plots. The rotation is continuous and closed, however, in general not coplanar. The rotation sequence is chosen to facilitate comparison with present experiments on CeB₆ carried out in the same geometry.

The field-angular mode anisotropies show various signatures worthwhile to look for in experiment. Firstly large fields increase the anisotropy in the field-angular variation of mode frequencies (Fig. 1.18). Furthermore the low energy (L) mode frequencies (small polar radii) change more rapidly (expand) with increasing field strength than for the high energy modes (outer radii) in accordance with the previous discussion. We also note in both figures that the anisotropy pattern at the Γ and R points are quite similar. This is expected since both points have full cubic symmetry preserved. However, remarkably the relative intensity of low energy (small radii) and high energy (large radii) modes is interchanged when going from Γ to R and vice versa. At X and M the analogous behaviour is observed.

These polar anisotropy plots of multipolar excitations in CeB₆ at BZ symmetry points present a compiled information on mode positions and intensities that may be very useful for comparing with experimental results and give guidance on where to look for the modes with largest intensity. If the model so far accepted for CeB₆ with $\epsilon = (0.5, 0.5)$ is reasonable, some features of the field anisotropy plots described above should be identified in future experiments.

1.6 Resonant magnetic excitations in the itinerant CeB₆ Kondo lattice

The 4f states in CeB₆, its ordered phases and excitations have sofar been treated in a completely localized 4f picture. At first look this seems justified because the valence of CeB_6 (2.95+) obtained from photoemission [109] is very close to integer 3+ corresponding to

Borides Thalmeier

42 Multipolar order and excitations in rare-earth boride Kondo systems

stable 4*f*¹ configuration with a binding energy $|\epsilon_f| = 2.1$ eV. The small deficiency is due to the Kondo resonance state formation above the Fermi level ($\epsilon_F = 0$) with a narrow width corresponding to a small Kondo temperature $T_K = 4.5$ K [110]. In the lattice this temperature marks the onset of coherent heavy quasiparticle bands with a width and (indirect) hybridization gap of order T_K and a corresponding large mass enhancement $m^*/m \simeq 20$ ($\gamma = 250$ mJ/mol K²) (Table 1.1). However, the quasiparticle band width given by T_K is about the same as the ordering temperature T_O for AFQ hidden order.

Therefore it is questionable whether the fully localized approach to the HO phase and its excitations is sufficient. Indeed INS experiments [103, 111] justify this question. They suggest that CeB₆ exhibits a magnetic low energy mode in zero field that has all the basic features of a collective itinerant spin exciton resonance within the hybridization and hidden order gaps: It sharply peaks at an energy $\omega_r = 0.5$ meV, it is narrowly confined at the simple cubic *R* point with AFQ wave vector **Q**' and the temperature dependence of ω_r and resonance intensity increase in an order-parameter like fashion with decreasing temperature. Simultaneously the intensity for $\omega < \omega_r$ is depressed, characteristic for a spin gap formation.

Such collective spin exciton modes are ubiquitous within the gap of *unconventional* superconductors, including high- T_c [112], Fepnictide [113–115] and heavy-fermion [116–118] superconductors. In this case the sign change of the unconventional superconducting gap $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}} = -\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ at the SC resonance position \mathbf{Q} is necessary. It ensures a finite coherence factor (matrix element of moment operator) at the gap threshold which results in a pronounced bound state peak in the collective magnetic response at an energy $\omega_{\mathbf{r}} < 2\Delta_0$ where Δ_0 is the amplitude of the sign-changing gap function $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$.

1.6.1 Heavy quasiparticle band properties in the PAM

Since CeB_6 is in the normal state one must conjecture that the hybridization gap and the additional gaps introduced by the orderings lead to the necessary singular behavior of the bare magnetic susceptibility to allow for a bound state [118]. This may

Borides Thalmeier

PSP Book - 9in x 6in

Resonant magnetic excitations in the itinerant CeB₆ Kondo lattice **43**

be described by the mean-field hybridization model of Eq. (1.16) supplemented by the effect of the molecular fields due to AFQ and AFM order which lead to the additional gapping of the mean-field quasiparticle spectrum [119]. First we briefly outline the constrained mean-field theory of heavy electron bands in the conventional SU(N_f) Anderson model with $N_f = 4$ -fold degenerate conduction band and 4f quartet ground state. The strong on-site Coulomb repulsion of f-electrons U_{ff} eliminates double occupancy of f-electrons (Ce) or holes (Yb). This constraint is implemented using the auxiliary slave-boson field b_i at each site that represents the empty (Ce) or full (Yb) 4f shell. It requires the introduction of a Lagrangian term $\lambda(\sum_m f_{im}^{\dagger} f_{im} + b_i^{\dagger} b_i)$ with $1 \leq m \leq N_f$. However, the constraint is enforced only on the average by performing the mean-field approximation $b = \langle b_i \rangle$. The resulting mean-field Hamiltonian is described by

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}m} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{c} c_{\mathbf{k}m}^{\dagger} c_{\mathbf{k}m} + \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{k}}^{f} f_{\mathbf{k}m}^{\dagger} f_{\mathbf{k}m} + \tilde{V}_{\mathbf{k}} \left(c_{\mathbf{k}m}^{\dagger} f_{\mathbf{k}m} + \text{h.c.} \right) + \lambda (r^{2} - 1).$$
(1.16)

For the conduction band $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^c$ a simple n.n. TB model is used which has the same main nesting vector \mathbf{Q}' as the true Fermi surface of Fig. 1.2. Furthermore λ is a Lagrange parameter introduced to enforce the occupation constraint. It moves the effective *f*electron level $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{k}m}^f$ close to the Fermi level. Likewise the effective hybridization $\tilde{V}_{\mathbf{k}}$ is strongly reduced by the slave boson mean-field amplitude $\langle b_i \rangle = r$. Together we obtain

$$\tilde{V}_{\mathbf{k}}^2 = r^2 V_{\mathbf{k}}^2 = V_{\mathbf{k}}^2 (1 - n_f); \quad \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{k}m}^f = \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}m}^f + \lambda. \tag{1.17}$$

In the following discussion of magnetic response we use a simplified form of the Anderson model that neglects the **k** dependence (but not necessarily orbital dependence) of hybridization. This means we are setting $\tilde{V}_{\mathbf{k}} = \tilde{V}$. For our purpose this simplification is adequate, but it cannot always be used, e.g. for the derivation of electronic structure in the pseudogap Kondo insulator CeNiSn [120] or the topological insulators like SmB₆ (Sec. 1.8.2) [121–123]. The single particle type mean-field Hamiltonian may be diagonalized and then leads to



Figure 1.19 (a) The hybridized quasiparticle bands around the renormalized *f*-level \tilde{e}_f and (b) corresponding DOS for typical model parameters. Fermi level position for heavy-fermion metal (HF, like CeB₆) and Kondo insulator (KI, like YbB₁₂ and SmB₆) is indicated. For KI ϵ_F is inside the hybridization charge gap Δ_c (from [118]).

the quasiparticle Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{MF} = \sum_{i,\mathbf{k},m,\alpha} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha} a_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}m}^{\dagger} a_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}m} + \lambda(r^{2}-1),$$

$$\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{c} + \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{k}}^{f} \pm \sqrt{(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{c} - \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{k}}^{f})^{2} + 4\tilde{V}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}} \right].$$
(1.18)

Here $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pm}$ are the pair ($\alpha = \pm$) of hybridized quasiparticle ($a_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}m}$) bands, each N_f -fold degenerate. The indirect gap in Fig. 1.19 has the size of the Kondo temperature: $\varepsilon_0^+ - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{Q}'}^- \simeq T_{\mathbf{K}}$ with $T_{\mathbf{K}} = W \exp\{-2/[N_f J N_c(0)]\}$. Here $W, N_c(0)$ are conduction band width and DOS, respectively, $J = 2V^2/|\varepsilon_f|$ is the on-site exchange constant. The transformation to quasiparticle states is given by

$$f_{\mathbf{k}m} = u_{+,\mathbf{k}}a_{+,\mathbf{k}m} + u_{-,\mathbf{k}}a_{-,\mathbf{k}m}; \quad c_{\mathbf{k}m} = u_{-,\mathbf{k}}a_{+,\mathbf{k}m} - u_{+,\mathbf{k}}a_{-,\mathbf{k}m}.$$
(1.19)

with the coefficients defined by

$$2u_{\pm,\mathbf{k}}^2 = 1 \pm (\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^c - \tilde{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{k}}^f) / \sqrt{(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^c - \tilde{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{k}}^f)^2 + 4\tilde{V}_{\mathbf{k}}^2}.$$
 (1.20)

They appear in the matrix elements in the numerator of the expression for the bare magnetic susceptibilities, possibly together with coherence factors of broken symmetry states (hidden order, magnetic or superconducting).

Resonant magnetic excitations in the itinerant CeB₆ Kondo lattice 45

Table 1.7 Overview of experimental spin resonance characteristics in heavy-fermion metals and Kondo insulators. Here $\Delta_{\rm c}$ denotes the quasiparticle charge gap equal to the hidden order or hybridization gap (for finite or vanishing $T_{\rm HO}$), respectively. The resonance appears inside the charge gap ($\frac{\omega_r}{\Delta_c} < 1$) around HO or characteristic FS vector **Q**'.

compound	T _{HO} [K]	$\Delta_{\rm c}$ [meV]	ω _r [meV]	$\frac{\omega_{r}}{\Delta_{c}}$	Q′ [r.l.u.]	Ref.
CeB ₆	3.2	1.3	0.5	0.39	$(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$	[111,119,124]
SmB ₆	-	20	14	0.7	$(\frac{1}{2}00)$	[35, 125, 126]
YbB ₁₂	-	15	15	~ 1	$(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$	[127–129]
URu ₂ Si ₂	17.8	4.1	1.86	0.45	(001)	[16,130,131]

1.6.2 Collective spin exciton modes

In a model for the AFQ and/or AFM ordered phases II and III of CeB₆ further terms must be included which describe schematically the molecular fields due to orbital and (Kramers pseudo-) spin symmetry breaking due to AFQ and AFM order:

$$H_{\rm AFQ} = \sum_{\mathbf{k},\sigma=\uparrow\downarrow} \Delta_{\mathbf{Q}'} (f^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k},+\sigma} f_{\mathbf{k},+\mathbf{Q}'-\sigma} + f^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k},-\sigma} f_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}',+\sigma}). \quad (1.21)$$

and

$$H_{\rm AFM} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \tau = \pm} \Delta_{\mathbf{Q}} (f^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}, \tau \uparrow} f_{\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{Q}, \tau \downarrow} + f^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}, \tau \downarrow} f_{\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{Q}, \tau \uparrow}) \qquad (1.22)$$

Here $\tau = \pm$ is the pseudo-orbital and $\sigma = \uparrow \downarrow$ the pseudo spin index of the Γ_8 quartet. For finding the magnetic excitations we first require the bare dipolar susceptibility $\chi_0^{ll'}(\mathbf{q},t) = -\theta(t)\langle T j_{\mathbf{q}}^l(t) j_{-\mathbf{q}}^{l'}(0) \rangle$, where $j_{\mathbf{q}}^l = \sum_{\mathbf{k}mm'} f_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}m}^{\dagger} \hat{M}_{mm'}^l f_{\mathbf{k}m'}$ are the physical magnetic dipole operators (l, l' = x, y, z) with \hat{M}^z = $(7/6)\hat{\tau}_0 \otimes \hat{\sigma}_z$. Due to cubic symmetry we can restrict to $\chi_0^{zz}(\mathbf{q},\omega)$ given by $(i\nu \rightarrow \omega + i0^+)$

$$\chi_0^{zz}(\mathbf{q},\omega) \propto \sum_{\alpha\alpha'\mathbf{k}m_1m_2} (\hat{\rho}_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}}^{\alpha'\alpha})^2 \int d\omega' \hat{G}_{ss}^0(i\nu+\omega') \hat{G}_{s's'}^0(\omega') \quad (1.23)$$

where we abbreviate $s = (\alpha, \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}, m_1)$ and $s' = (\alpha', \mathbf{k}, m_2)$. It contains the effect of the modified quasiparticle energies in the Green's functions \hat{G}_{ss}^0 and matrix elements $\hat{\rho}_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}}^{\alpha'\alpha}$ containing the



Figure 1.20 (a) Spin-exciton resonance peak in the RPA spectrum in the ordered phase developing at the *R* point $\mathbf{Q}' = (\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2})$ (simple-cubic zone corner) due to AFQ and AFM gaps (inset shows the temperature dependence). $J_{\mathbf{q}}$ is chosen as Lorentzian around *R* point (t = 22.4 meV). (b) Contour plot of $Im\chi_{RPA}(\mathbf{q},\omega)$ for CeB₆ along the ΓR direction. Localized resonance peak appears at *R* for $\omega = \omega_{\mathbf{r}}$ and a spin gap develops below (adapted from [119]). (c) INS results at the experimental resonance frequency in (*hhl*) plane. Intensity is narrowly confined at *R* point resonance. Reproduced from Friemel *et al.* [111].

coefficients in Eq. (1.20) reconstructed by the molecular fields of Eqs. (1.21,1.22). Due to the AFQ and AFM gap openings the bare magnetic response described by $\chi_0^{zz}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)''$ is pushed to higher frequencies and the real part is considerably enhanced. The collective RPA susceptibility, due to residual quasiparticle interactions described by $J_{\mathbf{q}}$, is given by

$$\chi_{\text{RPA}}(\mathbf{q},\omega) = [1 - J_{\mathbf{q}}\chi_0^{zz}(\mathbf{q},\omega)]^{-1}\chi_0^{zz}(\mathbf{q},\omega), \qquad (1.24)$$

Dispersive doublet spin exciton mode in the Kondo semiconductor YbB₁₂ 47

Once the enhanced χ_0^{zz} is large enough due to the influence of order parameters the denominator of $\chi_{\text{RPA}}(\mathbf{q},\omega)$ vanishes and a spin exciton bound state pole develops. This can be seen from Fig. 1.20 (a), where below $T_{\rm Q}$ and in particular $T_{\rm N}$ a sharp resonance appears around the AFQ ordering vector $\mathbf{q} \approx \mathbf{Q}'$ with an energy $\omega_r / \Delta_c = 0.64 \ (T \rightarrow 0)$. Here Δ_c is the indirect hybridization charge gap (Table 1.7) determined by point contact spectroscopy [124] and apparent in the DOS of Fig. 1.19 (b). The momentum dependence of the spectrum along [111] diagonal ΓR line is presented in Fig. 1.20 (b). It demonstrates the confined resonance excitation at ω_r and the signature of the spin gap ($\omega \ll \omega_r$), both at the *R* point. Away from the *R* point the low energy spin fluctuations of the metallic state are still present. The complementary experimental CeB₆ constant- ω INS intensity plot [111] for **q** in the (*hhl*) scattering plane at $\omega = \omega_{\rm r}(0.5 \text{ meV})$ is shown in Fig. 1.20(c). It exhibits the pronounced accumulation of intensity confined narrowly at the resonance location R corresponding to the peak formation in Fig. 1.20 (a). The above discussion of the dynamic response uses the RPA approach for the ordered phases of CeB₆. A theory beyond this approximation for the static response in the paramagnetic phase has been proposed in [132].

1.7 Dispersive doublet spin exciton mode in the Kondo semiconductor YbB₁₂

In CeB₆ the resonance is tied to the presence of hidden and AFM order that enhance $\chi_0^{zz}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ (in experiment it appears only below T_N). This enables the existence of a pole in Eq. (1.24). One might, however, expect that this is not always necessary and that under favorable conditions the resonance may appear already without the support of additional gapping due to order parameters. This case is realized in cubic YbB₁₂ [129]. The compound is a model Kondo semiconductor with equal spin and charge gap of $\Delta_c \sim 15$ meV [127] and without any symmetry breaking. The 4*f* hole in Yb³⁺ has a lowest J = 7/2 multiplet which is split by the CEF into a $\Gamma_8^{(1)}$



Figure 1.21 Calculated dispersion of split resonance in YbB₁₂ along ΓL direction where *L* is the fcc $(\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2})$ point (t = 320 meV). Dispersion stretches considerably into the BZ because $\omega_{\rm r} \simeq \Delta_{\rm c}$ (Table 1.7), from [129].

ground state and two closeby doublets. The latter will be treated as another pseudo-quartet $\Gamma_8^{(2)}$.

Then the model in Eq. (1.16) must be slightly generalized replacing $\epsilon_f \rightarrow \epsilon_f + \Delta_{\Gamma}$ and $V \rightarrow V_{\Gamma}$ to include the CEF splitting $\Delta_2 - \Delta_1$ of the two quartets and in particular their different average hybridization $V_{\Gamma} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_m |V_{\Gamma m}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Therefore we obtain two sets of quasiparticle bands with different size of the hybridization gap. The associated bare susceptibility is then given by

$$\chi_0^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{q},\omega) = \sum_{\mathbf{k},\pm} u_{\pm\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^{\Gamma} u_{\mp\mathbf{k}}^{\Gamma} \left[\frac{f(E_{\Gamma}^{\pm}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q})) - f(E_{\Gamma}^{\mp}(\mathbf{k}))}{E_{\Gamma}^{\mp}(\mathbf{k}) - E_{\Gamma}^{\pm}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}) - \omega} \right], \quad (1.25)$$

This implies that the collective RPA susceptibility has contributions from the two sets of quasiparticle bands according to

$$\chi_{\text{RPA}}(\mathbf{q},\omega) = \sum_{\Gamma} [1 - J_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{q})\chi_0^{\Gamma\Gamma}(\mathbf{q},\omega)]^{-1}\chi_0^{\Gamma\Gamma}(\mathbf{q},\omega). \quad (1.26)$$

Therefore one obtains two split collective modes with different energies if the resonance condition is fulfilled for each Γ . This is shown in Fig. 1.21 where two distinct resonance peaks appear at the $\mathbf{Q}' = (\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2})$ fcc *L* point right on top of the single particle

PSP Book - 9in x 6in

Magnetic excitations, topological state in the mixed valent semiconductor SmB₆ 49

hybridization gap. (YbB12 has a different cubic structure with a fcc Yb sublattice). This wave vector corresponds to the low energy indirect interband (\pm) excitations across the hybridization gap (see illustration in Fig.1.19). When $|\mathbf{q}|$ decreases away from the L point the interband excitation energies increase from the indirect band gaps ~ $T_{\rm K}$ at **Q**' to the direct band gap ~ $2\tilde{V}_{\Gamma} \gg T_{\rm K}$ for **q** \rightarrow 0. This results in a decrease of $\operatorname{Re}\chi_0^{\Gamma\Gamma}(\mathbf{q},\omega)$ for fixed ω and therefore the resonance condition becomes harder to fulfill for both modes. Ultimately at roughly one third into the BZ the intensity of the spin excitons vanishes (Fig. 1.21). The upward dispersion of the split modes is again due to the behavior of $\text{Re}\chi_0^{\Gamma\Gamma}(\mathbf{q},\omega)$ whose maximum in \mathbf{q}, ω plane shifts to larger energies with decreasing $|\mathbf{q}|$, this also results in larger energies of the two resonances (Fig. 1.21). The model parameters have been adjusted to obtain the hybridization gap, the observed resonance energies [127] (Table 1.7) and the dispersive features. It is interesting to speculate what would happen if they could be tuned by pressure. A decrease of the gap or an increase in $J_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{q})$ might lead to a soft spin exciton mode at the L point and result in an antiferromagnetic Kondo insulator. Although the Kondo semiconductor gap in YbB₁₂ is well documented, it shows anomalous transport properties that are not understood to date. Despite the insulating ground state, bulk Shubnikov – de Haas (SdH) oscillations with a large effective mass are observed [133]. Furthermore, thermal conductivity exhibits a linear term in κ/T that is not of electronic origin [134].

1.8 Magnetic excitations, topological state in the mixed valent semiconductor SmB₆

Among the *R*B₆ series, SmB₆ is the only strongly mixed valent (MV) compound due to large hybridization of 4*f* states and 5*d* conduction states. This has been known for a long time, and the temperature and pressure dependence of the valence has been determined with various means, in particular XAS [136, 137] and HAXPES [135] (see Fig. 1.22). At low temperature (\simeq 5 K) the valence is v = 2.55, almost intermediate between the 2+ and 3+ configurations which have ground states J = 0 and



Figure 1.22 (a) Temperature dependence of Sm valence from HAXPES experiments (from [135]) (b) Dichroic spectrum $I_{\mathbf{q}\parallel 100} - I_{\mathbf{q}\parallel 111}$ (NIXS data: black dots) and comparison with simulations for Γ_8 and Γ_7 ground state. A scale factor 0.6 for the Sm³⁺ part of the MV ground state is applied. Reproduced from Sundermann *et al.* [33].

 $J = \frac{5}{2}$, respectively. The strong hybridization with conduction electrons which leads to this non-integer valence also generates a hybridization gap of the order $\Delta_c = 20$ meV schematically shown in Fig. 1.19 within the Anderson model description. Because the resistance shows an activated-type increase when temperature decreases below $T \simeq 50$ K, somewhat below the gap temperature scale, it was concluded that SmB₆ is a "Kondo-insulator" where the Fermi energy ϵ_F in Fig. 1.19 lies inside the hybridization

gap. This designation is now generally used although 'strongly correlated mixed valent semiconductor' would be more precise for SmB₆. Away from the hybridization region the 5*d*-like bulk conduction bands have dispersions centered around the *X* points and are associated with constant-energy surfaces that qualitatively resemble much the 5*d* Fermi surface in the reference compound LaB₆ (Fig. 1.2).

1.8.1 CEF and collective magnetic excitations

For describing the 5d-4f hybridization in detail an idea about the Sm³⁺ $J = \frac{5}{2}$ states and their CEF splitting is necessary. This question was settled by inelastic x-ray investigations [33,35] which have identified the Sm³⁺ level scheme $\Gamma_8(0) - \Gamma_7(20 \text{ meV})$. The symmetry of the ground state was concluded from x-ray dichroism spectra and comparison with simulation from a full multiplet calculation [33]. As can be seen in Fig. 1.22 the Γ_8 ground state simulation fits very well to the data. The CEF splitting $\Delta = 20$ meV of the upper Γ_7 level has been determined by RIXS experiments in an indirect manner via the CEF splitting of an excited ${}^{4}G_{5/2}^{*}$ term [35]. Interestingly it is equal to the observed hybridization gap obtained, e.g, from optical conductivity [138]. This explains also why the CEF splitting has not been found in INS experiments. The width of the quasielastic line in MV or Kondo compounds is given by [110] $\Gamma(T) = T^* + A\sqrt{T}$ where T^* is of order the hybridization gap $\Delta_{\rm c}$. Then naturally when the quasielastic line width is of the same order as the CEF splitting the latter cannot be identified in INS. However, INS did observe a pronounced spin exciton resonance located narrowly at the X-point at $\omega_r = 14 \text{ meV}$ [125,126] and inside the hybridization gap. This collective magnetic mode is similar to the one found in the Kondo insulator YbB_{12} and in the HO/AFM state of the heavy-fermion metal CeB_6 (Table 1.7) discussed in the preceding sections.

1.8.2 SmB₆ as a strongly correlated topological insulator

Early experiments on SmB₆ had shown a puzzling feature: According to optical conductivity the hybridization gap should be



Figure 1.23 3D BZ with TRI points $\Gamma(000)$, $X(\frac{1}{2}00)$ etc., $M(\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}0)$ etc. and $R(\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2})$. Projected 2 BZ [along (001)] TRI points are denoted by bars [122]. The band inversion along ΓX leads to an odd number (3) of 2D isotropic Dirac cones at $\overline{\Gamma}$, \overline{X} in 2D surface projected BZ. Their dispersion $\pm v_F^s |\mathbf{k}|$ is sketched and helical spin polarization with $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \times \hat{\mathbf{k}} = \pm 1$ indicated.

well developed and lead to a complete suppression of dc transport. Although the resistance shows activated temperature behaviour below $T \simeq 50$ K at even lower temperature $T \le 4$ K it abruptly saturates at a large but finite value [139, 140]. This behaviour was originally attributed to the formation of in-gap impurity bands although the saturation value does not increase with sample quality. However, with the advent of ideas on topological insulators [141, 142] it was realized [122, 123, 143] that the resistance saturation in SmB₆ may have a more profound origin, namely being due to conducting topologically protected surface states.

The possibility of such states in non-interacting insulators was proposed in the ground-breaking work of Fu and Kane [141, 142]. For a 3D band insulator with spin orbit coupling in the presence of inversion (*I*) and time reversal (Θ) symmetry the energy bands must be twofold (Kramers) degenerate at the time reversal invariant (TRI) points \mathbf{k}_m^* that are characterized by $\Theta \mathbf{k}_m^* = -\mathbf{k}_m^* + \mathbf{G}$. Here \mathbf{G} is a reciprocal lattice vector. There are m = 1–8 such points in the simple cubic lattice of Fig. 1.23 (appropriate also for SmB₆ when we restrict to Sm 4*f* and 5*d* states). Due to inversion symmetry the *n*-th Bloch state at \mathbf{k}_m^* may be PSP Book - 9in x 6in

classified by its parity eigenvalue $\delta_m^n = \pm 1$. The set of products for all occupied bulk states at a given TRI point m

$$\delta_m = \prod_{(\varepsilon_{n\mathbf{k}} < \mu)} \delta_m^n = \pm 1 \tag{1.27}$$

contains an important information on the dispersion of bands. If δ_m changes its sign from one TRI point to the next it means that there must have been one or an odd number of opposite-parity band crossings between them which are driven by the spin-orbit coupling. The product over all TRI points

$$Z_2 = \prod_m \delta_m = \pm 1 \tag{1.28}$$

defines an Ising or Z_2 index that characterizes even ($Z_2 = 1$) or odd $(Z_2 = -1)$ overall number of band crossings. This 'strong' topological index signifies the absence or presence of topological order in the band insulator (the 'weak' indices associated with BZ surfaces will not be discussed here, see [122, 144, 145]). For $Z_2 = 1$ the bulk insulating state is designated trivial and nontrivial or topological for $Z_2 = -1$. The latter corresponds to an internal 'twist' of the ground state wave function caused by the spin-orbit coupling generated band crossings. An adiabatic deformation of the lattice potential parameters cannot change it into a trivial band insulator, in particular not into the vacuum (loosely a trivial insulator with an arbitrary large gap). Therefore approaching the TI surface, i.e. the boundary to the vacuum the topologically distinct $(Z_2 = \pm 1)$ regions can only be joined if the gap on the TI side vanishes at the surface. This implies the necessity of gapless surface states which have attractive features: They are characterized by a massless Dirac dispersion with spinmomentum locking leading to non-degenerate helical states. These surface states are robust and protected as long as the TI state of the bulk prevails. Many excellent realizations of weakly correlated TI materials and their surface states have been found by now [146] with Bi_2Se_3 and Bi_2Te_3 being most prominent examples [147]. Here the existence of protected surface states with Dirac dispersion and helical spin momentum locking which forbids backscattering have been verified in countless experiments like spin-resolved ARPES, QHE, SdH, magnetoresistance and STM-quasiparticle interference (QPI).

Borides Thalmeier

54 Multipolar order and excitations in rare-earth boride Kondo systems



Figure 1.24 Single *f*, *d*-orbital toy model band structure after Eq. (1.29) corresponding qualitatively to the STI case $(Z_2 = -1)$ of SmB₆. Parameters are $t_d = 1$, $t_f = -0.1$, $t'_{d,f} = -0.4t_{d,f}$, $t''_{d,f} = 0$, $\tilde{\epsilon}_f = -2$ and $\tilde{V}_{df} = 0.5$. Band crossings (parity \pm exchange) appear along ΓX and *MX* directions but not along ΓM . Adapted from Legner *et al.* [144].

If this scenario should be applied to the strongly correlated case of the Kondo insulator SmB₆ one has to invoke the question of topological classification in the presence of strong 4f Coulomb repulsion U_{ff} . In various approximation schemes its effect may be described within the quasiparticle band picture, therefore the same procedure for obtaining the topological index Z_2 may be used as defined above. The quasiparticle bands of SmB₆ have been obtained by different approaches: Based on ab-initio LDA calculations [148, 149], LDA+DMFT [150] or LDA supplemented by Gutzwiller projection [151]. In these cases the full Γ_8 , Γ_7 basis for the 4*f* single particle states is included. Parameterized model calculations starting from the tight-binding picture have also been proposed, in decreasing level of complexity: A TB model based on the 4f Γ_8 quartet only and including n.n. and n.n.n. hopping and hybridization [122] or n.n. processes only [152]. This may be even more simplified by observing that only one of the orbital components of 5*d* e_g states hybridizes with the symmetry equivalent orbital component of Γ_8 [149]. Then the TB basis may be further reduced, involving only one (Kramers degenerate) orbital for 5d conduction states as well as for 4f states [144]. This toy model for SmB₆ is then similar to the basic Anderson model in the mean-field slave boson approximation (limit of infinite U_{ff}) discussed in Sec. 1.6.1. There is one important difference: For the PSP Book - 9in x 6in

February 2, 2022 19:19

topological index the quasiparticle band ordering at TRI points is essential. Therefore we cannot just assume a k-independent effective hybridization constant \tilde{V} as would be appropriate for an *on-site p-f* hybridization. Here, for *d-f inter-site* hybridization $\tilde{V}_{\mathbf{k}}$ must depend on momentum such that it vanishes at the TRI points due to inversion symmetry. With this modification the toy model leads to two (doubly Kramers degenerate) quasiparticle bands given by [144]:

$$\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{c} + \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{k}}^{f} \pm \sqrt{(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{c} - \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{k}}^{f})^{2} + 4\tilde{V}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}} \right]$$

$$\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{c} = -2t_{d}c_{1}(\mathbf{k}) - 4t_{d}'c_{2}(\mathbf{k}) - 8t_{d}''c_{3}(\mathbf{k})$$

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{k}}^{f} = \tilde{\varepsilon}_{f} - 2t_{f}c_{1}(\mathbf{k}) - 4t_{f}'c_{2}(\mathbf{k}) - 8t_{f}''c_{3}(\mathbf{k})$$

$$\tilde{V}_{\mathbf{k}} = 2\tilde{V}_{df}(s_{x}^{2} + s_{y}^{2} + s_{z}^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(1.29)

Here the definitions $s_{\alpha} = \sin k_{\alpha}$, $c_{\alpha} = \cos k_{\alpha}$, $c_{\alpha\beta} = c_{\alpha}c_{\beta}$ ($\alpha, \beta =$ (x, y, z) and furthermore $c_1(\mathbf{k}) = c_x(\mathbf{k}) + c_y(\mathbf{k}) + c_z(\mathbf{k}), c_2(\mathbf{k}) = c_z(\mathbf{k})$ $c_{xy}(\mathbf{k}) + c_{yz}(\mathbf{k}) + c_{zx}(\mathbf{k})$ and $c_3(\mathbf{k}) = c_x(\mathbf{k})c_y(\mathbf{k})c_z(\mathbf{k})$ were employed. The set of hopping parameters (t_d, t'_d, t''_d) , (t_f, t'_f, t''_f) (up to 3rd n.n. and the renormalized *f*-orbital energy $\tilde{\epsilon}_f$ define the effective nonhybridized 5d and 4f bands and the *inter-site* n.n. hybridization \tilde{V}_{df} mixes them to the two effective quasiparticle bands $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pm}$. Obviously there is much freedom for parameter choice to model the bands. An example of both nonhybridized (thin dashed lines) and hybridized bands (full lines) is shown in Fig. 1.24. It demonstrates that i) there is an overall hybridization gap opening ii) there is a band inversion of opposite parity (\pm) states along ΓX and M X directions but not along ΓM and ΓR (not shown) directions when moving in the BZ of Fig. 1.23. Then we have $\delta_{\Gamma} =$ $\delta_M = \delta_R = -1$ and $\delta_X = +1$ leading to $Z_2 = \delta_{\Gamma} \delta_R \delta_X^3 \delta_M^3 = -1$. Therefore for the parameters in Fig. 1.24 the model dispersion of SmB₆ has an odd number of band inversions and corresponds to a strong topological insulator. This implies the existence of surface states [153] which appear at the TRI points $\overline{\Gamma}$ and \overline{X} of the 2D BZ which have 2D projected indices $\delta_{\bar{\Gamma}} = \delta_{\Gamma} \delta_X = -1$ and $\delta_{\bar{X}} = \delta_X \delta_M = -1$ (Fig. 1.23). They are described by an effective 2D Hamiltonian leading to massless helical eigenstate

$$H_{2D} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} v_F^s (k_x \sigma_y - k_y \sigma_x); \quad \varepsilon_{s\mathbf{k}}^{\pm} = \pm v_F^s |\mathbf{k}|$$
(1.30)

Borides Thalmeier

56 Multipolar order and excitations in rare-earth boride Kondo systems

where v_F^s is the Fermi velocity (slope) of the Dirac-cone dispersion $\varepsilon_{s\mathbf{k}}^{\pm}$ which may be different for the $\overline{\Gamma}$ and \overline{X} cones. The eigenstates have a helical spin-momentum locking with $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \times \hat{\mathbf{k}} = \pm 1$. The Dirac cones and the spin polarization of surface states is sketched in Fig. 1.23.

As mentioned before the Z_2 index (and also the weak topological indices) depends on the model parameters of nonhybridized bands. Other situations are possible and the phase diagram [144, 145, 154] in parameter space comprises trivial, weak and strong topological insulators, only the latter was discussed here. For all TB models studied in this context two aspects have to be kept in mind: Firstly for the constituent bulk Kondo insulator gap to appear it is necessary to have non-vanishing hybridization \tilde{V}_{df} , but this is not yet sufficient: In addition the dispersion of nonhybridized $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{k}}^{J}$ along ΓX must be *upward* (thin blue line in Fig. 1.24) which poses constraints on the *f*-hopping parameters. Physically it is an effect of the closeby B 2p valence band at X (Fig.1.2). Secondly, once this is satisfied the topological index Z_2 does *not* depend on the hybridization because always $V_{\mathbf{k}m} \equiv 0$ at the TRI points due to inversion symmetry. The Z_2 index, i.e. the question of trivial vs. topological insulator, which is simply the product of the $\delta_m = \text{sign}(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}_m^*}^c - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}_m^*}^f)$, is then determined solely by the band ordering of the *nonhybridized* conduction *d* band and effective *f* band energies at the TRI points.

While the bulk TI nature of SmB₆ due to 5*d*-4*f* band crossing has been supported by ARPES [26, 155] the observation and interpretation of surface state character [156, 157] with this method is still controversial and therefore too early to review. This may be partly due to materials problems because the SmB₆ surfaces tend to a diverse number of complicated reconstructions [158, 159]. In particular as in YbB₆ the polar [001] surface may involve band bending effects that can lead to 2D (surface confined) states that are not due to the topologically non-trivial band crossing (see Ref. [26] and references therein). On the other hand, recent STM-QPI investigations [159, 160] reported both the observation of bulk band crossing and hybridization gap formation as well as the existence of surface-state Dirac cones with a proposed large mass

Conclusions and outlook 57

enhancement, thus supporting the topological Kondo insulator picture for SmB₆.

1.9 Conclusions and outlook

The cubic rare earth borides show a great variety of exotic ordered states. This is enabled by the strong correlations due to 4f-electron Coulomb repulsion, the subtle influence of hybridization with conduction electrons and the possible high degeneracy of 4f electron CEF ground states like quartets and triplets. Among the more exceptional cases are the heavy-fermion metal CeB₆ and diluted Ce_{1-x}La_xB₆ with antiferro-type multipolar hidden order, PrB₆ with coexistence of magnetic and antiferro-quadrupolar order, the Jahn-Teller compounds DyB₆ and HoB₆ with ferroquadrupolar phases, the Kondo insulator SmB₆ with topological order, the spin-polarized semimetal EuB₆ and the 4f-5d band semiconductor YbB₆. The remaining RB_6 (R = Nd, Gd, Tb) exhibit antiferromagnetic order either with the canonical magnetic wave vector $(\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{2})$ of the series or the simple type-I ordering vector $(00\frac{1}{2})$ for the Nd case.

The new types of multipolar hidden order which involve higher than rank-1 (dipole) moments of the 4*f* shell like quadrupoles and octupoles cannot be easily identified with conventional diffraction methods. In this respect detailed NMR investigations and in particular the new technique of resonant x-ray scattering have led to a real progress of understanding hidden order, most prominently in CeB₆ and Ce_{1-x}La_xB₆ but also in the 5*f* actinide HO compounds.

The single strongly mixed valent compound of the RB_6 series, SmB₆ is so far a unique example of a strongly correlated topological Kondo insulator. This is due to an intricate arrangement and crossing of 5*d* and effective 4*f* Γ_8 -type quasiparticle bands in the BZ which leads to a non-trivial topological index $Z_2 = -1$ that signifies the existence of massless helical Dirac surface states. While the evidence for band crossing and non-trivial Z_2 of bulk insulating state from theoretical investigation and photoemission experiments is convincing, the evidence for the topological helical surface states [156] is controversial. Recent STM quasiparticle



Figure 1.25 Anharmonic phonon dispersion of RB_6 (R = Gd, Tb, Dy). Data for Tb show partial softening of the *L*-point $(\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2})$ (full fcc BZ) phonon with temperature and an essentially flat dispersion due to rattling motion in the oversized cages formed by B_6 octahedra. Lines indicate the experimental results for Gd, Dy at room temperature (RT). Reproduced from Iwasa *et al.* [23].

interference results have, however, given direct support for their existence [159, 160].

In those RE-boride compounds that show a well-defined 4f-5*d* hybridization gap, another important signature of heavy quasiparticle physics was found: The existence of spin-exciton resonances at sharp energies below the gap threshold and confined in **q**-space regions close to symmetry points of the BZ boundary. These resonances are well known from unconventional superconductors where, due to the sign change of the gap functions, a singular magnetic quasiparticle response appears at the gap threshold. This may also happen naturally for the normal state hybridization gap in Kondo compounds. In CeB₆, due to the metallic state, the assistance of AFQ/AFM order with additional gapping is necessary to stabilize the spin exciton bound state at the simple cubic $R(\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2})$ point. In the semiconducting state of SmB₆ no local hidden order (other than topological) is

Conclusions and outlook 59

necessary to create the resonance below the hybridization gap threshold $\omega_r < \Delta_c$ (Table 1.7) at the simple cubic $X(\frac{1}{2}00)$ point. A particular interesting case is cubic YbB₁₂: Because of two CEF Γ_8 (quasi-) quartets two orbitally distinct hybridization gaps lead to a twofold splitting of the spin resonance excitation that starts at the bcc *L* point $(\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2})$ and disperses upward into the BZ. These spin resonances are beautiful manifestations of gapped heavy quasiparticles and their interactions in Kondo materials.

In this review mostly electronic properties were considered with only an occasional mention of their coupling to lattice degrees of freedom. The latter would deserve a separate discussion. They have two aspects: Firstly the magnetoelastic coupling which leads to elastic constant anomalies, e.g. small effects for AFQ phase transitions as in CeB₆ or full softening at Jahn-Teller transition with ensuing ferroquadrupolar order as in HoB₆. Secondly, as mentioned in the introduction the lanthanide contraction leads to oversized cages for the heavier RE ions formed by B₆ octahedra, starting with Gd (Fig. 1.1). This enables a highly anharmonic 'rattling' motion in the cages whose signature are largely flat phonon modes. An example is shown in Fig. 1.25. It is not clear whether these strongly anomalous phonon dispersions are entirely due to the cage rattling effect, or whether strong-coupling of phonons to virtual CEF excitations plays an important role and this topic deserves further investigation.

With the exception of YbB₁₂ only the hexaboride RB_6 family was discussed in this work. There is, however another extended family of non-cubic RB_4 tetraborides which has attracted great attention, but for quite different reasons. Their layered structure has the RE ions arranged in quasi-2D sublattices with geometrically frustrated Shastry-Sutherland structure (a network of orthogonal dimers). The low RE site symmetry then always leads to (non-) Kramers CEF doublets, depending on *J*. It has been suggested that the in-plane *xy* and perpendicular (Ising) *z* components of the pseudo spin may be ordered/disordered independently due to the geometric frustration in the plane. The Ising component can exhibit metamagnetic behaviour as in TbB₄ [162, 163] , HoB₄ [164] and TmB₄ [165]. Of particular interest is DyB₄ which has been considered as an example of geometrically frustrated dipoles



Figure 1.26 Magnetic specific heat of DyB₄ identifies two transitions $T_{c1} = 20.3$ K and $T_{c1} = 12.7$ K. At I/II boundary J_z Ising moment orders. In II $J_{x,y}$ moments and O_{xy} quadrupoles still fluctuate as indicated by remaining entropy and large c_{44} elastic constant softening. At II/III boundary they order and remove remaining entropy. This picture suggests that the two lowest Dy³⁺ Kramers doublets form a quasi-quartet. Reproduced from Watanuki *et al.* [161].

and quadrupoles [161] that exhibit separate ordering of Ising and *xy* magnetic moments while quadrupole moments are still disordered in between the transitions (Fig. 1.26). An alternative view has been put forward in [166]. As common with 2D geometric frustration effects [167] the collective behaviour of moments cannot be fully understood by simple analytic methods but needs to be supplemented by extensive numerical analysis [168].

Besides the cubic binary rare earth borides, the tetragonal ternary RE borocarbides RB₂C₂ are another family that exhibit multipolar ordering. Because of their lower symmetry quartet degeneracy of 4*f* states is already lifted and therefore exotic order is a priori less likely. Nevertheless spontaneous or field induced quadrupolar order has been identified for a few compounds. In particular DyB₂C₂ shows an AFQ transition [$\mathbf{Q}' = (00\frac{1}{2})$] with a exceptionally large $T_Q = 24.7$ K, followed by a magnetic transition at $T_C = 15.3$ K [169]. The quadrupolar nature of the AFQ phase

Conclusions and outlook 61

has been proven by resonant x-ray scattering [170, 171]. Due to the large T_Q and the total entropy release of $4R \ln 2$ one is led to assume that a quasi-quartet of two closeby Kramers doublets form the basis for the quadrupolar and magnetic order. AFQ order has also been suggested for TbB₂C₂ [172] and HoB₂C₂ [173], but in contrast to the Dy compound it is only of the field-induced type while at zero field magnetic order prevails.

Acknowledgments

R. S. and P. T. gratefully acknowledge collaboration with O. Sakai and H. Shiba on part of this work. A. A. and P. T. also thank D. S. Inosov and L. H. Tjeng and his group for fruitful discussions. A. A. acknowledges financial support through National Research Foundation (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science of Korea (Grants No. 2017R1D1A1B03033465 and No. 2019R1H1A2039733).

List of Acronyms

AFM/AFQ/AFO antiferromagnetic/-quadrupolar/-octupo				
ARPES	angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy			
BZ	Brillouin zone			
CEF	crystal electric field			
dHvA	de Haas–van Alphen			
DMFT	dynamical mean-field theory			
DOS	density of states			
FM/FQ	ferromagnetic/ferroquadrupolar			
FS	Fermi surface			
HAXPES	hard x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy			
HF	heavy fermion			
HFM	heavy-fermion metal			
HO	hidden order			
HP	Holstein-Primakoff			
IC	incommensurate			
INS	inelastic neutron scattering			
JT	Jahn-Teller			
LDA	local density approximation			
MV	mixed valence			
n.n./n.n.n.	nearest/next-nearest neighbor			
ND	neutron diffraction			
NIXS/RIXS	non-/resonant inelastic x-ray scattering			
NMR/ESR	nuclear magnetic/electron spin resonance			
PAM	periodic Anderson model			
QHE	quantum Hall effect			
QPI	quasiparticle interference			
RE	rare earth			
RKKY	Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida			
RPA	random phase approximation			
RXD	resonant x-ray diffraction			
SdH	Shubnikov – de Haas			
STM	surface tunneling microscopy			
TI	topological insulator			
TRI	time reversal invariant			
XAS/XD	x-ray absorption spectroscopy/x-ray diffraction			

Bibliography

- Kusunose, H.; "Description of multipole in *f*-electron systems"; *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 77, 064710 (2008).
- [2] Santini, P., Carretta, S., Amoretti, G., Caciuffo, R., Magnani, N., and Lander, G. H.; "Multipolar interactions in *f*-electron systems: The paradigm of actinide dioxides"; *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 81, 807 (2009).
- [3] Erkelens, W. A. C., Regnault, L. P., Burlet, P., and Rossat-Mignod, J.; "Neutron scattering study of the antiferroquadrupolar ordering in CeB₆ and Ce_{0.75}La_{0.25}B₆"; J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 63–64, 61 (1987).
- [4] Kuwahara, K., Iwasa, K., Kohgi, M., Aso, N., Sera, M., and Iga, F.;
 "Detection of neutrons scattering from phase IV of Ce_{0.7}La_{0.3}B₆: a confirmation of the octupole order"; *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **76**, 093702 (2007).
- [5] Nagao, T. and Igarashi, J.; "Resonant x-ray scattering from the quadrupolar ordering phase of CeB₆"; *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **70**, 2892 (2001).
- [6] Matsumura, T., Yonmura, T., Kunimori, K., Sera, M., and Iga, F.; "Magnetic field induced 4f octupole in CeB₆ probed by resonant X-ray diffraction"; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 017203 (2009).
- [7] Nagao, T. and Igarashi, J.; "Electric quadrupole contribution to resonant x-ray scattering: application to multipole ordering phases in Ce_{1-x}La_xB₆"; *Phys. Rev. B* 74, 104404 (2006).
- [8] Nakamura, S., Goto, T., and Kunii, S.; "Ultrasonic investigation of quadrupolar response in Kondo system Ce_xLa_{1-x}B₆"; *Physica B* 219–220, 89 (1996).
- [9] Yanagisawa, T., Mombetsu, S., Hidaka, H., Amitsuka, H., Cong, P. T., Yasin, S., Zherlitsyn, S., Wosnitza, J., Huang, K., Kanchanavatee, N., Janoschek, M., Maple, M. B., and Aoki, D.; "Search for multipolar instability in URu₂Si₂ studied by ultrasonic measurements under pulsed magnetic field"; *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 155137 (2018).
- [10] Takigawa, M., Yasuoka, H., Tanaka, T., and Ishizawa, Y.; "NMR study on the spin structure of CeB₆"; *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 52, 728 (1983).
- [11] Shiina, R., Shiba, H., and Thalmeier, P.; "Magnetic-field effects on quadrupolar ordering in a Γ₈-quartet system CeB₆"; *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 66, 1741 (1997).

64 Book Title

- [12] Shiina, R., Sakai, O., and Shiba, H.; "Magnetic form factor of elastic neutron scattering expected for octupolar phases in Ce_{0.7}La_{0.3}B₆ and NpO₂"; J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **76**, 094702 (2007).
- [13] Ikeda, H., Suzuki, M.-T., Arita, R., Takimoto, T., Shibauchi, T., and Matsuda, Y.; "Emergent rank-5 nematic order in URu₂Si₂"; Nat. Phys. 8, 528 (2012).
- [14] Shibauchi, T., Ikeda, H., and Matsuda, Y.; "Broken symmetries in URu₂Si₂"; *Philos. Mag.* 94, 3747 (2014).
- [15] Thalmeier, P., Takimoto, T., and Ikeda, H.; "Itinerant multipolar order in URu₂Si₂ and its signature in magnetic and lattice properties"; *Phil. Mag.* **32–33**, 3863 (2014).
- [16] Akbari, A. and Thalmeier, P.; "Collective spin resonance excitation in the gapped itinerant multipole hidden order phase of URu₂Si₂"; *Phys. Rev. B* 92, 094512 (2015).
- [17] Kuramoto, Y., Kusunose, H., and Kiss, A.; "Multipole orders and fluctuations in strongly correlated electron systems"; J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 78, 072001 (2009).
- [18] Takimoto, T.; "Antiferro-hexadecapole scenario for metal-insulator transition in PrRu₄P₁₂"; J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 75, 034714 (2006).
- [19] Shiina, R.; "Theory of metal-insulator transition and unconventional magnetic ordering in SmRu₄P₁₂"; J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 82, 083713 (2013).
- [20] Onimaru, T. and Kusunose, H.; "Exotic quadrupolar phenomena in non-Kramers doublet systems"; J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 85, 082002 (2016).
- [21] Zerec, I., Keppens, V., McGuire, M. A., Mandrus, D., Sales, B. C., and Thalmeier, P.; "Four-well tunneling states and elastic response of clathrates"; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 185502 (2004).
- [22] Iwasa, K., Igarashi, R., Saito, K., Laulhe, C., Orihara, T., Kunii, S., Kuwahara, K., Nakao, H., Murakami, Y., Iga, F., Sera, M., Tsutsui, S., Uchiyama, H., and Baron, A. Q. R.; "Motion of the guest ion as precursor to the first-order phase transition in the cage system GdB₆"; *Phys. Rev. B* **B 84**, 214308 (2011).
- [23] Iwasa, K., Iga, F., Yonemoto, A., Otomo, Y., Tsutsui, S., and Baron, A. Q. R.; "Universality of anharmonic motion of heavy rare-earth atoms in hexaborides"; J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 83, 094604 (2014).
- [24] Serebrennikov, D. A., Clementyev, E. S., and Alekseev, P. A.; "Analysis of the crystal lattice instability for cage-cluster systems using superatom model"; J. Exp. Theor. Phys. 123, 452 (2016).
- [25] Lüthi, B., Blumenröder, S., Hillebrands, B., Zirngiebl, E., Güntherodt, G., and Winzer, K.; "Elastic and magnetoelastic effects in CeB₆"; *Z. Phys. B* 31 (1984).
- [26] Ramankutty, S. V., de Jong, N., Huang, Y. K., Zwartsenberg, B., Massee, F., Bay, T. V., Golden, M. S., and Frantzekakis, E.; "Comparitive study of rare earth hexaborides using high resolution angle-resolved photoemission"; J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom.

Bibliography 65

208, 43 (2016).

- [27] Tan, B. S., Hsu, Y.-T., Zeng, B., Hatnean, M. C., Harrison, N., Zhu, Z., Hartstein, M., Kiourlappou, M., Srivastava, A., Johannes, M. D., Murphy, T. P., Park, J.-H., Balicas, L., Lonzarich, G. G., Balakrishnan, G., and Sebastian, S. E.; "Unconventional Fermi surface in an insulating state"; *Science* 349, 287 (2015).
- [28] Onuki, Y., Komatsubara, T., Reinders, P. H. P., and Springford, M.;
 "Fermi surface and cyclotron mass of CeB₆"; *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 58, 3698 (1989).
- [29] Kubo, Y., Asano, S., Harima, H., and Yanase, A.; "Electronic structure and the Fermi surfaces of antiferromagnetic NdB₆"; *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **62**, 205 (1993).
- [30] Joss, W., van Ruitenbeek, J. M., Crabtree, G. W., Tholence, J. L., van Deursen, A. P. J., and Fisk, Z.; "Observation of the magnetic field dependence of the cyclotron mass in the Kondo lattice CeB₆"; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 59, 1609 (1987).
- [31] Hutchings, M. T.; "Point-charge calculations of energy levels of magnetic ions in crystalline electric fields"; in F. Seitz and D. Turnbull (Eds.), *Solid State Physics*, vol. 16, p. 227, (Academic Press, New York, 1964).
- [32] Lea, K. R., Leask, M. J. M., and Wolf, W. P.; "The raising of angular momentum degeneracy of *f*-electron terms by cubic crystal fields"; *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* 23, 1381 (1962).
- [33] Sundermann, M., Yavas, H., Chen, K., Kim, D. J., Fisk, Z., Kasinathan, D., Haverkort, M. W., Thalmeier, Severing, A., and Tjeng, L. H.; "4*f* crystal field ground state of the strongly correlated topological insulator SmB₆"; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 016402 (2018).
- [34] Hamamoto, S., Fujioka, S., Kanai, Y., Yamagami, K., Nakatani, Y., Nakagawa, K., Fujiwara, H., Kiss, T., Higashiya, A., Yamasaki, A., Kadano, T., Imada, S., Tanaka, A., Tamasaku, K., Yabashi, M., Ishikawa, T., Matsumoto, K. T., Onimaru, T., Takabatake, T., and Sekiyama, A.; "Linear dichroism in angle-resolved core-level photoemission spectra reflecting 4*f* ground-state symmetry of strongly correlated cubic Pr compounds"; *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 86, 123703 (2017).
- [35] Amorese, A., Stockert, O., Kummer, K., Brookes, N. B., Kim, D.-J., Fisk, Z., Haverkort, M. W., Thalmeier, P., Tjeng, L. H., and Severing, A.; "A RIXS investigation of the crystal-field splitting of Sm³⁺ in SmB₆"; arXiv:1901.10808 (2019).
- [36] Loewenhaupt, M. and Prager, M.; "Crystal fields in PrB₆ and NdB₆"; Z. Phys. B 62, 195 (1986).
- [37] Teitelbaum, H. H. and Levy, P. M.; "Indirect multipole interactions in metallic rare-earth compounds"; *Phys. Rev. B* 14, 3058 (1976).

February 2, 2022 19:19

66 Book Title

- [38] Schmitt, D. and Levy, P. M.; "Ab initio calculation of indirect multipolar interactions in DyZn"; *Phys. Rev. B* 29, 2850 (1984).
- [39] Schlottmann, P.; "RKKY interaction between Ce ions in $Ce_6La_{1-x}B_6$ "; *Phys. Rev. B* **62**, 10067 (2000).
- [40] Kuramoto, Y. and Kubo, K.; "Interpocket polarization model for magnetic structures in rare-earth boride compounds"; J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 71, 2633 (2002).
- [41] Yamada, T. and Hanzawa, K.; "Derivation of RKKY interaction between multipole moments in CeB₆ by the effective Wannier model based on the bandstructure calculation"; *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 88, 084703 (2019).
- [42] Shiba, H., Sakai, O., and Shiina, R.; "Nature of Ce-Ce interaction in CeB₆ and its consequences"; J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 68, 1988 (1999).
- [43] Koitzsch, A., Herming, N., Knupfer, M., Büchner, B., Portnichenko, P. Y., Dukhnenko, A. V., Shitsevalova, N. Y., Filipov, V. B., Lev, L. L., Strocov, V. N., Ollivier, J., and Inosov, D. S.; "Nesting-driven multipolar order in CeB₆ from photoemission tomography"; *Nat. Commun.* 7 (2016).
- [44] Thalmeier, P. and Lüthi, B.; "The electron-phonon interaction in intermetallic compounds"; chap. 96 in K. A. Gschneidner Jr. and LeRoy Eyring (Eds.), *Handbook on the Physics and Chemistry of Rare Earths*, vol. 14, pp. 225–341, (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1991).
- [45] Pofahl, G., Zirngiebl, E., Blumenröder, S., Brenten, H., and Güntherodt, G.; "Crystalline-electric field level scheme of NdB₆"; *Z. Phys. B* 66, 339 (1987).
- [46] Kobayashi, S., Sera, M., Hiroi, M., Nishizaki, T., Kobayashi, N., and Kunii, S.; "Anisotropic magnetic phase diagram of PrB₆ dominated by the O_{xy} antiferro-quadrupolar interaction"; *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **70**, 1721 (2001).
- [47] Kuromaru, T., Kusunose, H., and Kuramoto, Y.; "Multipolar ordering in PrB₆"; J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 71, 130 (2002).
- [48] Nakamura, S., Goto, T., Kunii, S., Iwashita, K., and Tamaki, A.; "Quadrupole-strain interaction in Rare Earth hexaborides"; J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 63, 623 (1994).
- [49] Awaji, S., Kobayashi, N., Sakatsume, S., Kunii, S., and Sera, M.; "Metamagnetic transition in NdB₆ with a small magnetic anisotropy in low magnetic fields"; *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 68, 1518 (1999).
- [50] Yonemura, T., Tanida, H., Sera, M., and Iga, F.; "Competition between the quadrupole interaction and crystalline electric field effect in the antiferromagnetic ordered phase of NdB₆"; *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 78, 114705 (2009).
- [51] Denlinger, J. D., Clack, J. A., Allen, J. W., Gweon, G.-H., Poirier, D. M., Olson, C. G., Sarrao, J. L., Bianchi, A. D., and Fisk, Z.; "Bulk band gaps in divalent hexaborides"; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 89, 157601

Bibliography 67

(2002).

- [52] Kim, J., Kim, Y.-J., Kunes, J., Cho, B. K., and Choi, E. J.; "Optical spectroscopy and electronic band structure of ferromagnetic EuB₆"; *Phys. Rev. B* 78, 165120 (2008).
- [53] Kreissl, M. and Nolting, W.; "Electronic properties of EuB₆ in the ferromagnetic regime: Half-metal versus semiconductor"; *Phys. Rev. B* 72, 245117 (2005).
- [54] Pohlit, M., Rössler, S., Ohno, Y., Ohno, H., v. Molnar, S., Fisk, Z., Müller, J., and Wirth, S.; "Evidence for ferromagnetic clusters in the colossal magnetoresistance material EuB₆"; *Phys. Rev. B* **120**, 257201 (2018).
- [55] Wigger, G. A., Monnier, R., Ott, H. R., Young, D. P., and Fisk, Z.; "Electronic transport in EuB₆"; *Phys. Rev. B* **69**, 125118 (2004).
- [56] Amara, M., Galera, R.-M., Aviani, I., and Givord, F.; "Macroscopic and microscopic investigation of the antiferromagnetic phase of TbB₆"; *Phys. Rev. B* 82, 224411 (2010).
- [57] Iwasa, K., Iga, F., Moyoshi, T., Nakao, A., and Ohhara, T.; "Magneticordering propagation vectors of terbium hexaboride revisited"; *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 87, 064705 (2018).
- [58] Goto, T., Nemoto, Y., Nakano, Y., Nakamura, S., Kajitani, T., and Kunii, S.; "Quadrupolar effect of HoB₆ and DyB₆"; *Physica B* 281– 282, 586 (2000).
- [59] Sera, M., Yonemura, T., Itamochi, K., Matsumura, T., Hiroi, M., and Takahashi, K.; "Not a simple ferro-quadrupole order in DyB₆"; *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 88, 054703 (2019).
- [60] Lüthi, B., Mullen, M. E., Andres, K., Bucher, E., and Maita, J. P.; "Experimental investication of the cooperative Jahn-Teller effect in TmCd"; *Phys. Rev. B* 8, 2639 (1973).
- [61] Mullen, M. E., Lüthi, B., Wang, P. S., Bucher, E., Longinotti, L. D., Maita, J. P., and Ott, H. R.; "Magnetic-ion-lattice interaction: Rareearth antimonides"; *Phys. Rev. B* 10, 186 (1974).
- [62] Kang, C.-J., Denlinger, J. D., Allen, J. W., Min, C.-H., Reinert, F., Kang, B. Y., Cho, B. K., Kang, J. S., Shim, J. H., and Min, B. I.; "Electronic structure of YbB₆: Is it a topological insulator or not?"; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 116401 (2016).
- [63] Nakamura, S., Goto, T., and Kunii, S.; "Magnetic phase diagrams of the dense Kondo compounds CeB₆ and Ce_{0.5}La_{0.5}B₆"; J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 64, 3941 (1995).
- [64] Onuki, Y., Settai, R., Sugiyama, K., Takeuchi, T., Kobayashi, T. C., Haga, Y., and Yamamoto, E.; "Recent advances in the magnetism and superconductivity of heavy fermion systems"; *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 73, 769 (2004).
- [65] Thalmeier, P. and Zwicknagl, G.; "Unconventional superconductivity and magnetism in lanthanide and actinide intermetallic

Borides Thalmeier

68 Book Title

compounds"; chap. 219 in *Handbook on the Physics and Chemistry of Rare Earths*, vol. 34, pp. 135–287, (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2005).

- [66] Thalmeier, P., Zwicknagl, G., Stockert, O., Sparn, G., and Steglich, F.; "Superconductivity in heavy fermion compounds"; in A. V. Narlikar (Ed.), *Frontiers in Superconducting Materials*, pp. 109–182, (Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 2005).
- [67] Zirngiebl, E., Hillebrands, B., Blumenröder, S., Güntherodt, G., Loewenhaupt, M., Carpenter, J. M., Winzer, K., and Fisk, Z.; "Crystal-field excitations in CeB₆ studied by Raman and neutron spectroscopy"; *Phys. Rev. B* **30**, 4052 (1984).
- [68] Zirngiebl, E., Hillebrands, B., Blumenröder, S., and Güntherodt, G.; "New crystal-field level scheme of CeB₆ deduced from Raman and neutron spectroscopy"; *J. Appl. Phys.* 57, 3769 (1985).
- [69] Ye, M., Kung, H.-H., Rosa, P. F. S., Bauer, E. D., Fisk, Z., and Blumberg, G.; "Raman spectroscopy of *f*-electron metals: An example of CeB₆"; *Phys. Rev. Materials* **3**, 065003 (2019).
- [70] Ohkawa, F. J.; "Orbital antiferromagnetism in CeB₆"; J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 54, 3909 (1985).
- [71] Thalmeier, P., Shiina, R., Shiba, H., and Sakai, O.; "Theory of multipolar excitations in CeB₆"; *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 67, 2363 (1998).
- [72] Shiina, R., Shiba, H., Thalmeier, P., Takahashi, A., and Sakai, O.; "Dynamics of multipoles and neutron scattering spectra in quadrupolar ordering phase of CeB₆"; *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **72**, 1216 (2003).
- [73] Shiina, R.; "Remark on high-field phase diagram of CeB₆"; J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 71, 2257 (2002).
- [74] Goodrich, R. G., Young, D. P., Hall, D., Balicas, L., Fisk, Z., Harrison, N., Betts, J., Migliori, A., Woodward, F. M., and Lynn, J. W.; "Extension of the temperature-magnetic field phase diagram of CeB₆"; *Phys. Rev. B* 69, 054415 (2004).
- [75] Shiina, R., Sakai, O., Shiba, H., and Thalmeier, P.; "Interplay of field-induced multipoles in CeB₆"; *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 67, 941 (1998).
- [76] Hiroi, M., Kobayashi, S., Sera, M., Kobayashi, N., and Kunii, S.; "Reentrant behavior and strong anisotropy of the phase boundary between antiferro-quadrupolar ordered and paramagnetic phases in Ce_xLa_{1-x}B₆ in high magnetic fields"; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 2510 (1998).
- [77] Akatsu, M., Goto, T., Suzuki, O., Nemoto, Y., Nakamura, S., Kunii, S., and Kido, G.; "Magnetic anisotropy of the antiferroquadrupole phase in Ce_{0.50}La_{0.50}B₆"; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 156409 (2004).
- [78] Shiina, R.; "Quadrupolar phase transition and field-dependent multipolar fluctuation in CeB₆"; J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 70, 2746 (2001).
- [79] Effantin, J. M., Rossat-Mignod, J., Burlet, P., Bartholin, H., Kunii, S., and Kasuya, T.; "Magnetic phase diagram of CeB₆"; J. Magn. Magn.

Bibliography 69

Mater. 47-48, 145 (1985).

- [80] Lovesey, S. W., Balcar, E., Knight, K. S., and Rodriguez, J. F.; "Electronic properties of crystalline materials observed in x-ray diffraction"; *Phys. Rep.* **411**, 233 (2005).
- [81] Matsumura, T., Yonemura, T., Kunimori, K., Sera, M., Iga, F., Nagao, T., and Igarashi, J.; "Antiferroquadrupole order and magnetic field induced octupole in CeB₆"; *Phys. Rev. B* 85, 174417 (2012).
- [82] Lemmens, P., Ewert, S., Thalmeier, P., Lenz, D., and Winzer, K.; "Elastic constants and quadrupolar interactions in the (La,Ce)B₆ series"; *Z. Phys. B* 76, 501 (1989).
- [83] Sato, N., Sumiyama, A., Kunii, S., Nagano, H., and Kasuya, T.; "Interaction between Kondo states and the Hall effect of dense Kondo system Ce_xLa_{1-x}B₆"; *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 54, 1923 (1985).
- [84] Jang, D., Portnichenko, P. Y., Cameron, A. S., Friemel, G., Dukhnenko, A., Shitsevalova, N. Y., Filipov, V. B., Schneidewind, A., Ivanov, A., Inosov, D. S., and Brando, M.; "Large positive correlation between the effective electron mass and the multipolar fluctuation in the heavy fermion-metal $Ce_{1-x}La_xB_6$ "; *npj Quantum Mater.* **2**, 62 (2017).
- [85] Tayama, T., Sakakibara, T., Tenya, K., Amitsuka, H., and Kunii, S.; "Magnetic phase diagram of Ce_xLa_{1-x}B₆ studied by static magnetization measurement at very low temperatures"; *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **66**, 2268 (1997).
- [86] Kobayashi, S., Yoshino, Y., Tsuji, S., Tou, H., Sera, M., and Iga, F.; "Appearance of the phase IV in $\text{Ce}_x \text{La}_{1-x} B_6$ at $x \sim 0.8$ "; *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **72**, 2947 (2003).
- [87] Sera, M., Kunimori, K., Matsumura, T., Kondo, A., Tanida, H., Tou, H., and Iga, F.; "Appearance of the octupole ordered phase IV in Ce_xLa_{1-x}B₆"; *Phys. Rev. B* 97, 184417 (2018).
- [88] Suzuki, O., Goto, T., Nakamura, S., Matsumura, T., and Kunii, S.; "Magnetic phase diagrams of Kondo compounds Ce_{0.75}La_{0.25}B₆ and Ce_{0.6}La_{0.4}B₆"; J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 67, 4243 (1998).
- [89] Akatsu, M., Goto, T., Nemoto, Y., Suzuki, O., Nakamura, S., and Kunii, S.; "Trigonal lattice distortion and ferro-quadrupole ordering in phase IV of Ce_xLa_{1-x}B₆ (x=0.75 and 0.70)"; *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 72, 205 (2003).
- [90] Kubo, K. and Kuramoto, Y.; "Octupole ordering model for the phase IV of Ce_xLa_{1-x}B₆"; *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **73**, 216 (2004).
- [91] Yamahara, D. and Shiina, R.; *unpublished* (2019).
- [92] Magishi, K., Kawakami, M., Saito, T., Koyama, K., Mizuno, K., and Kunii, S.; "¹¹B NMR study of Ce_xLa_{1-x}B₆"; *Z. Naturforsch. A* 57a, 441 (2002).
- [93] Inami, T.; "Large ferroquadrupole moment induced in the octupoleordered Ce_{0.7}La_{0.3}B₆ revealed by high-resolution x-ray diffraction";

70 Book Title

Phys. Rev. B 90, 041108 (2014).

- [94] Mannix, D., Tanaka, Y., Carbone, D., Bernhoeft, N., and Kunii, S.; "Order parameter segregation in Ce_{0.7}La_{0.3}B₆: 4*f* octopole and 5*d* dipole magnetic order"; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 117206 (2005).
- [95] Kusunose, H. and Kuramoto, Y.; "Evidence for octupole order in Ce_{0.7}La_{0.3}B₆ from resonant x-ray scattering"; *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 74, 3139 (2005).
- [96] Matsumura, T., Michimura, S., Inami, T., Otsubo, T., Tanida, H., Iga, F., and Sera, M.; "Evidence for hidden quadrupolar fluctuations behind the octupole order in Ce_{0.7}La_{0.3}B₆ from resonant x-ray diffraction in magnetic fields"; *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 014422 (2014).
- [97] Paixao, J. A., Detlefs, C., Longfield, M. J., Caciuffo, R., Santini, P., and Bernhoeft, N.; "Triple-q octupolar ordering in NpO₂"; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 89, 187202 (2002).
- [98] Jensen, J. and Mackintosh, A. R.; "Rare Earth magnetism and excitations", (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1991).
- [99] Hälg, B. and Furrer, A.; "Anisotropic exchange and spin dynamics in the type-I (-IA) antiferromagnets CeAs, CeSb, and USb: A neutron study"; *Phys. Rev. B* 34, 6258 (1986).
- [100] Thalmeier, P., Shiina, R., Shiba, H., Takahashi, A., and Sakai, O.; "Temperature and field dependence of multipolar excitations in CeB₆"; J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **72**, 3219 (2003).
- [101] Kusunose, H. and Kuramoto, Y.; "Spin-orbital wave excitations in orbitally degenerate exchange model with multipolar interactions"; *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **70**, 3076 (2001).
- [102] Bouvet, A.; Étude par diffusion inélastique de neutrons de propriétés magnétiques de borures de terre rare: CeB₆, PrB₆ et YbB₁₂; Ph.D. thesis; L'Université Joseph Fourier, Grenoble (1993).
- [103] Jang, H., Friemel, G., Ollivier, J., Dukhnenko, A. V., Shitsevalova, N. Y., Filipov, V. B., Keimer, B., and Inosov, D. S.; "Intense lowenergy ferromagnetic fluctuations in the antiferromagnet heavyfermion metal CeB₆"; *Nat. Mater.* **13**, 682 (2014).
- [104] Portnichenko, P. Y.; "Magnetic dynamics in heavy-fermion systems with multipolar ordering"; Ph.D. thesis; Technische Universität Dresden (2018).
- [105] Portnichenko, P. Y., Demishev, S. V., Semeno, A. V., Ohta, H., Cameron, A. S., Surmach, M. A., Jang, H., Friemel, G., Dukhnenko, A. V., Shitsevalova, N. Y., Filipov, V. B., Schneidewind, A., Ollivier, J., Podlesnyak, A., and Inosov, D. S.; "Magnetic field dependence of the neutron spin resonance in CeB₆"; *Phys. Rev. B* 94, 035144 (2016).
- [106] Demishev, S., Semeno, A., Bogach, A., Paderno, Y., Shitsevalova, N., and Sluchanko, N.; "Magnetic resonance in cerium hexaboride caused by quadrupolar ordering"; J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 300, e534 – e537 (2006).
Bibliography 71

- [107] Demishev, S. V., Semeno, A. V., Ohta, H., Okubo, S., Paderno, Y. B., Shitsevalova, N. Y., and Sluchanko, N. E.; "High-frequency study of the orbital ordering resonance in the strongly correlated heavy fermion metal CeB₆"; *Appl. Magn. Reson.* **35**, 319–326 (2008).
- [108] Demishev, S. V., Semeno, A. V., Bogach, A. V., Samarin, N. A., Ishchenko, T. V., Filipov, V. B., Shitsevalova, N. Y., and Sluchanko, N. E.; "Magnetic spin resonance in CeB₆"; *Phys. Rev. B* 80, 245106 (2009).
- [109] Kakizaki, A., Harasawa, A., Ishii, T., Kashiwakura, T., Kamata, A., and Kunii, S.; "Electronic structure of CeB₆ studied by 3d XPS and high-resolution 4d-4f resonant photoemission"; J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 64, 302 (1995).
- [110] Horn, S., Steglich, F., Loewenhaupt, M., Scheuer, H., Felsch, W., and Winzer, K.; "The magnetic behaviour of CeB₆: Comparison between elstic and inelastic neutron scattering, initial susceptibility and high-field magnetization"; Z. Phys. B 42, 125 (1981).
- [111] Friemel, G., Li, Y., Dukhnenko, A. V., Shitsevalova, N. Y., Sluchanko, N. E., Ivanov, A., Filipov, V. B., Keimer, B., and Inosov, D. S.; "Resonant magnetic exciton mode in the heavy-fermion antiferromagnet CeB₆"; *Nat. Commun.* **3**, 830 (2012).
- [112] Eschrig, M.; "The effect of collective spin-1 excitations on electronic spectra in high-*T*_c superconductors"; *Adv. Phys.* **55**, 47 (2006).
- [113] Inosov, D. S., Park, J. T., Bourges, P., Sun, D. L., Sidis, Y., Schneidewind, A., Hradil, K., Haug, D., Lin, C. T., Keimer, B., and Hinkov, V.; "Normal-state spin dynamics and temperature-dependent spinresonance energy in optimally doped BaFe_{1.85}Co_{0.15}As₂"; *Nat. Phys.* 6, 178 (2010).
- [114] Korshunov, M. M. and Eremin, I.; "Theory of magnetic excitations in iron-based layered superconductors"; *Phys. Rev. B* 78, 140509(R) (2008).
- [115] Onari, S., Kontani, H., and Sato, M.; "Structure of Neutron-Scattering Peak in both s_{++} wave and s_{+-} wave states of an iron pnictide superconductor"; *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 060504(R) (2010).
- [116] Stock, C., Broholm, C., Hudis, J., Kang, H. J., and Petrovic, C.; "Spin resonance in the d-wave superconductor CeCoIn₅"; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 087001 (2008).
- [117] Eremin, I., Zwicknagl, G., Thalmeier, P., and Fulde, P.; "Feedback spin resonance in superconducting CeCu₂Si₂ and CeCoIn₅"; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 187001 (2008).
- [118] Thalmeier, P. and Akbari, A.; "Resonant spin excitations in unconventional heavy fermion superconductors and Kondo lattice compounds"; in J. Jedrzejewski (Ed.), *Quantum Criticality in Condensed Matter*, p. 44, (World Scientific, Singapore, 2016).

February 2, 2022 19:19

72 Book Title

- [119] Akbari, A. and Thalmeier, P.; "Spin exciton formation inside the hidden order phase of CeB₆"; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 146403 (2010).
- [120] Ikeda, H. and Miyake, K.; "A theory of anisotropic semiconductor of heavy fermions"; J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 65, 1769 (1996).
- [121] Hanzawa, K.; "Theory of intermediate-valence states in Sm compounds"; J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 67, 3151 (1998).
- [122] Takimoto, T.; "SmB₆: A promising candidate for a topological insulator"; J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 80, 123710 (2011).
- [123] Dzero, M., Sun, K., Galitski, V., and Coleman, P.; "Topological Kondo insulators"; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 106408 (2010).
- [124] Paulus, E. and Voss, G.; "Point contact spectra of cerium compounds"; J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 47, 539 (1985).
- [125] Fuhrmann, W. T., Leiner, J., Nikolic, P., Granroth, G. E., Stone, M. B., Lumsden, M. D., DeBeer-Schmitt, L., Alekseev, P. A., Koohpayeh, J.-M. M. S. M., Cottingham, P., Phelan, W. A., Schoop, L., McQueen, T. M., and Broholm, C.; "Interaction driven subgap spin exciton in the Kondo insulator SmB₆"; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 036401 (2015).
- [126] Fuhrmann, W. T. and Nicolic, P.; "In-gap collective mode spectrum of the topological Kondo insulator SmB₆"; *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 195144 (2014).
- [127] Nemkovski, K. S., Mignot, J.-M., Alekseev, P. A., Ivanov, A. S., Nefeodova, E. V., Rybina, A. V., Regnault, L.-P., Iga, F., and Takabatake, T.; "Polarized-neutron study of spin dynamics in the Kondo insulator YbB₁₂"; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 137204 (2007).
- [128] Okamura, H., Michizawa, T., Nanba, T., i. Kimura, S., Iga, F., and Takabatake, T.; "Indirect and direct energy gaps in Kondo semiconductor YbB₁₂"; *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 74, 1954 (2005).
- [129] Akbari, A., Thalmeier, P., and Fulde, P.; "Theory of Spin Exciton in the Kondo Semiconductor YbB₁₂"; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 106402 (2009).
- [130] Bourdarot, F., Hassinger, E., Raymond, S., Aoki, D., Taufour, V., Regnault, L.-P., and Flouquet, J.; "Precise study of the resonance at $\mathbf{Q}_0=(1,0,0)$ in URu₂Si₂"; *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **79**, 064719 (2010).
- [131] Aynajian, P., da Silva Neto, E. H., Parker, C. V., Huang, Y., Pasupathy, A., Mydosh, J., and Yazdani, A.; "Visualizing the formation of the Kondo lattice and the hidden order in URu₂Si₂"; *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **107**, 10383 (2010).
- [132] Tazai, R. and Kontani, H.; "Multipole fluctuation theory for heavy fermion systems: Application to multipole orders in CeB₆"; *arXiv*:1901.06213 (2019).
- [133] Xiang, Z., Kasahara, Y., Asaba, T., Lawson, B., Tinsman, C., Chen, L., Sugimoto, K., Kawaguchi, S., Sato, Y., Li, G., Yao, S., Chen, Y. L., Iga, F., Singleton, J., Matsuda, Y., and Li, L.; "Quantum oscillations of electrical resistivity in an insulator"; *Science* **362**, 65 (2018).

Bibliography 73

- [134] Sato, Y., Xiang, Z., Kasaara, Y., Taniguchi, T., Kasahara, S., Chen, L., Asaba, T., Tinsman, C., Murayama, H., Tanaka, O., Mizukami, Y., Shibauchi, T., Iga, F., Singleton, J., and Li, L.; "Unconventional thermal metallic state of charge-neutral fermions in an insulator"; *Nat. Phys.* (in press), doi: 10.1038/s41567–019–0552–2 (2019).
- [135] Utsumi, Y., Kasinathan, D., Ko, K.-T., Agrestini, S., Haverkort, M. W., Wirth, S., Wu, Y.-H., Tsuei, K.-D., Kim, D.-J., Fisk, Z., Tanaka, A., Thalmeier, P., and Tjeng, L. H.; "Bulk and surface electronic properties of SmB₆: A hard x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy study"; *Phys. Rev. B* 96, 155130 (2017).
- [136] Mizumaki, M., Tsutsui, S., and Iga, F.; "Temperature dependence of Sm valence in SmB₆ studied by X-ray absorption spectroscopy"; *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **176**, 012034 (2009).
- [137] Emi, N., Kawamura, N., Mizumaki, M., Koyama, T., Ishimatsu, N., Pristas, G., Kagayama, T., Shimizu, K., Osanai, Y., Iga, F., and Mito, T.; "Kondo-like behavior near the magnetic instability in SmB₆: Temperature and pressure dependences of the Sm valence"; *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 161116 (2018).
- [138] Gorshunov, B., Sluchanko, N., Dressel, A. V. M., Knebel, G., Loidl, A., and Kunii, S.; "Low-energy electrodynamics of SmB₆"; *Phys. Rev. B* 59, 1808 (1999).
- [139] Menth, A., Buehler, E., and Geballe, T. H.; "Magnetic and semiconducting properties of SmB₆"; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 22, 295 (1969).
- [140] Allen, J. W., Batlogg, B., and Wachter, P.; "Large low-temperature Hall effect and resistivity in mixed-valent SmB₆"; *Phys. Rev. B* 20, 4807 (1979).
- [141] Fu, L. and Kane, C. L.; "Time reversal polarization and a Z₂ adiabatic spin pump"; *Phys. Rev. B* 74, 195312 (2006).
- [142] Fu, L. and Kane, C. L.; "Topolocigal insulators with inversion symmetry"; *Phys. Rev. B* 76, 045302 (2007).
- [143] Kim, D. J., Thomas, S., Grant, T., Botimer, J., Fisk, Z., and Xia, J.; "Surface Hall effect and nonlocal transport in SmB₆: evidence for surface conduction"; *Sci. Rep.* **3**, 3150 (2013).
- [144] Legner, M., Rüegg, A., and Sigrist, M.; "Topological invariants, surface states, and interaction-driven phase transitions in correlated Kondo insulators with cubic symmetry"; *Phys. Rev. B* 89, 085110 (2014).
- [145] Legner, M., Rüegg, A., and Sigrist, M.; "Surface-state spin textures and mirror Chern numbers in topological Kondo insulators"; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 156405 (2015).
- [146] Ando, Y.; "Topological insulator materials"; J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 82, 102001 (2013).
- [147] Hoefer, K., Becker, C., Rata, D., Swanson, J., Thalmeier, P., and Tjeng, L. H.; "Intrinsic conduction through topological surface states of

74 Book Title

insulating Bi₂Te₃ epitaxial thin films"; PNAS 111, 14979 (2014).

- [148] Antonov, V. N., Harmon, B. N., and Yaresko, A. N.; "Electronic structure of mixed-valence semiconductors in the LSDA+U approximation. II. SmB₆ and YbB₁₂"; *Phys. Rev. B* 66, 165209 (2002).
- [149] Kang, C.-J., Kim, J., Kim, K., Kang, J., Denlinger, J. D., and Min, B. I.;
 "Band symmetries of mixed-valence topological insulator: SmB₆";
 J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 84, 024722 (2015).
- [150] Kim, J., Kim, K., Kang, C.-J., Kim, S., Choi, H. C., Kang, J.-S., Denlinger, J. D., and Min, B. I.; "Termination-dependent surface ingap states in a potential mixed-valent topological insulator: SmB₆"; *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 075131 (2014).
- [151] Lu, F., Zhao, J. Z., Weng, H., Fang, Z., and Dai, X.; "Correlated topological insulator with mixed valence"; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 096401 (2013).
- [152] Alexandrov, V., Dzero, M., and Coleman, P.; "Cubic topological Kondo insulators"; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 226403 (2013).
- [153] Hasan, M. Z. and Kane, C. L.; "Colloquium: Topological insulators"; *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 82, 3054 (2010).
- [154] Tran, M.-T., Takimoto, T., and Kim, K.-S.; "Phase diagram for a topological Kondo insulating system"; *Phys. Rev. B* 85, 125128 (2012).
- [155] Denlinger, J. D., Allen, J. W., Kang, J.-S., Sun, K., Min, B.-I., Kim, D.-J., and Fisk, Z.; "SmB₆ photoemission: past and present"; JPS Conf. Proc. 3, 017038 (2014).
- [156] Jiang, J., Li, S., Zhang, T., Sun, Z., Chen, F., Ye, Z. R., Xu, M., Ge, Q. Q., Tan, S. Y., Niu, X. H., Xia, M., Xie, B. P., Li, Y. F., Chen, X. H., Wen, H. H., and Feng, D. L.; "Observation of possible topological ingap surface states in the Kondo insulator SmB₆ by photoemission"; *Nat. Commun.* (2013).
- [157] Xu, N., Biswas, P. K., Dil, J. H., Dhaka, R. S., Landolt, G., Muff, S., Matt, C. E., Shi, X., Plumb, N. C., Radovic, M., Pomjakushina, E., Conder, K., Amato, A., Borisenko, S. V., Yu, R., Weng, H.-M., Fang, Z., Dai, X., Mesot, J., Ding, H., and Shi, M.; "Direct observation of the spin texture in SmB₆ as evidence of the topological Kondo insulator"; *Nat. Commun.* (2014).
- [158] Rössler, S., Jang, T.-H., Kim, D.-J., Tjeng, L. H., Fisk, Z., Steglich, F., and Wirth, S.; "Hybridization gap and Fano resonance in SmB₆"; *PNAS* 111, 4798 (2014).
- [159] Matt, C. E., Pirie, H., Soumyanarayanan, A., Yee, M. M., He, Y., Larson, D. T., Paz, W. S., Palacios, J. J., Hamidian, M. H., and Hoffman, J. E.; "Consistency between ARPES and STM measurements on SmB₆"; arXiv:1810.13442 (2018).
- [160] Pirie, H., Liu, Y., Soumyanarayanan, A., Chen, P., He, Y., Yee, M. M., Rosa, P. F. S., Thompson, J. D., Kim, D.-J., Fisk, Z., Wang,

Bibliography 75

X., Paglione, J., Morr, D. K., Hamidian, M. H., and Hoffman, J. E.; "Imaging emergent heavy Dirac fermions of a topological Kondo insulator"; *arXiv:1810.13419* (2018).

- [161] Watanuki, R., Sato, G., Suzuki, K., Ishihara, M., Yanagisawa, T., Nemoto, Y., and Goto, T.; "Geometrical quadrupolar frustration in DyB₄"; *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 74, 2169 (2005).
- [162] Inami, T., Ohwada, K., Matsuda, Y. H., Ouyang, Z. W., Nojiri, H., Matsumura, T., Okuyama, D., and Murakami, Y.; "Resonant magnetic x-ray diffractio study of successive metamagnetic transitions in TbB₄"; *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **78**, 033707 (2009).
- [163] Yoshii, S., Yamamoto, T., Hagiwara, M., Michimura, S., Shigekawa, A., Iga, F., Takabatake, T., and Kindo, K.; "Multistep magnetization plateaus in the Shastry-Sutherland system TbB₄"; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 087202 (2008).
- [164] Matas, S., Siemensmeyer, K., Wheeler, E., Wulf, E., Beyer, R., Hermannsdörfer, T., Ignatchik, O., Uhlarz, M., Flachbart, K., Gabani, S., Priputen, P., Efdokimova, A., and Shitsevalova, N.; "Magnetism of rare earth tetraborides"; J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 200, 032041 (2009).
- [165] Siemensmeyer, K., Wulf, E., Mikeska, H.-J., Flachbart, K., Gabani, S., Matas, S., Priputen, P., Efdokimova, A., and Shitsevalova, N.; "Fractional magnetization plateaus and magnetic order in the Shastry-Sutherland magnet TmB₄"; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 177201 (2008).
- [166] Matsumura, T., Okuyama, D., Mouri, T., and Murakami, Y.; "Successive magnetic phase transitions of component orderings in DyB₄"; *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 80, 074701 (2011).
- [167] Schmidt, B. and Thalmeier, P.; "Frustrated two dimensional quantum magnets"; *Phys. Rep.* 703, 1 (2017).
- [168] Huo, L., Huang, W. C., Yan, Z. B., Jia, X. T., Gao, X. S., Qin, M. H., and Liu, J.-M.; "The competing spin orders and fractional magnetization plateaus of the classical Heisenberg model on Shastry-Sutherland lattice: Consequence of long-range order"; J. Appl. Phys. 113, 073908 (2013).
- [169] Yamauchi, H., Onodera, H., Ohoyama, K., Onimaru, T., Kosaka, M., Ohashi, M., and Yamaguchi, Y.; "Antiferroquadrupolar ordering and magnetic properties of the tetragonal DyB₂C₂ compound"; *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 68, 2057 (1999).
- [170] Hirota, K., Oumi, N., Matsumura, T., Nakao, H., Wakabayashi, Y., Murakami, Y., and Endoh, Y.; "Direct observation of antiferroquadrupolar ordering: Resonant x-ray scattering study of DyB₂C₂"; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 84, 2706 (2000).
- [171] Matsumura, T., Oumi, N., Hirota, K., Nakao, H., Murakami, Y., Wakabayashi, Y., Arima, T., Ishihara, S., and Endoh, Y.; "Observation of the antiferroquadrupolar order in DyB₂C₂ by

76 Book Title

resonant x-ray scattering"; Phys. Rev. B 65, 094420 (2002).

- [172] Kaneko, K., Onodera, H., Yamauchi, H., Sakon, T., Motokawa, M., and Yamaguchi, Y.; "Magnetic phase diagrams with possible fieldinduced antiferroquadrupolar order in TbB₂C₂"; *Phys. Rev. B* 68, 012401 (2003).
- [173] Yanagisawa, T., Goto, T., Nemoto, Y., Watanuki, R., Suzuki, K., Suzuki, O., and Kido, G.; "Magnetic phase diagram of antiferroquadrupole ordering in HoB₂C₂"; *Phys. Rev. B* 71, 104416 (2005).