The stellar representation of non-Gaussian quantum states
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The so-called stellar formalism allows to represent the non-Gaussian properties of single-mode quantum states by the distribution of the zeros of their Husimi $Q$-function in phase-space. We use this representation in order to derive an infinite hierarchy of single-mode states based on the number of zeros of the Husimi $Q$-function, the stellar hierarchy. We give an operational characterisation of the states in this hierarchy with the minimal number of single-photon additions needed to engineer them, and derive equivalence classes under Gaussian unitary operations. We study in detail the topological properties of this hierarchy with respect to the trace norm, and discuss implications for non-Gaussian state engineering, and continuous variable quantum computing.

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum information processing takes advantage of non-classical phenomena, such as superposition and entanglement, to provide applications beyond what classical information processing may offer [1, 2]. Quantum information may be encoded in physical systems using either discrete variables, e.g. the polarisation of a photon, or continuous variables, e.g. quadratures of the electromagnetic field. Continuous variable quantum information processing [3] represents a powerful alternative to its discrete variable counterpart, and quantum information may be encoded in physical systems using either discrete variables, e.g. the polarisation of a photon, or continuous variables, e.g. quadratures of the electromagnetic field. Continuous variable quantum information processing [3] represents a powerful alternative to its discrete variable counterpart.

In continuous variable quantum information, quantum states are described mathematically by vectors in a separable Hilbert space of infinite dimension. Alternatively, phase-space formalism allows to describe quantum states conveniently using generalised quasi-probability distributions [4], within which are the Husimi $Q$-function, the Wigner $W$-function, and the Glauber-Sudarshan $P$-function, which is always singular. The states that have a Gaussian Wigner or Husimi function are called Gaussian states, while all the other states are called non-Gaussian. By extension, the operations mapping Gaussian states to Gaussian states are called Gaussian operations, and measurements projecting onto Gaussian states are called Gaussian measurements. Gaussian states and processes feature an elegant mathematical description with the symplectic formalism, and are useful for a wide variety quantum information protocols [5, 6]. However, Gaussian computations, composed of input Gaussian states, Gaussian operations, and Gaussian measurements, are easy to simulate classically [10]. On the other hand, non-Gaussian states are needed, and are actually useful for achieving universal quantum computing [11], and they are crucial for many other quantum information tasks [12–19]. Characterizing and understanding the properties of these states is thus of major importance [20–23].

Hudson [24] has notably shown that a single-mode pure quantum state is non-Gaussian if and only if its Wigner function has negative values, and this result has been generalised to multimode states by Soto and Claverie [25]. This characterization is an interesting starting point for studying non-Gaussian states. From this result, one can introduce measures of a state being non-Gaussian using Wigner negativity, e.g. the negative volume [26], that are invariant under Gaussian operations.

However, computing these quantities from experimental data is complicated in practice. Indeed, for non-Gaussian states, the Wigner function is negative and thus not a probability distribution. Since it cannot be sampled directly, existing methods consist in sampling many different marginals of the Wigner function, using homodyne detection. Then, the Wigner function is reconstructed from the statistical data using mathematical methods such as maximum-likelihood estimation [27]. Instead, other measures and witnesses for non-Gaussian states have been derived [28–31], which allow to discriminate non-Gaussian states from mixtures of Gaussian states from experimental data. The Husimi function, which is a smoothed version of the Wigner function, also allows for characterizing non-Gaussian states: for pure states, the Husimi function having zeros is actually equivalent to the Wigner function having negative values, as shown by Lütkenhaus and Barnett [32]. Informally,

**Theorem 1.** — A pure quantum state is non-Gaussian if and only if its Husimi $Q$-function has zeros.

An interesting point is that for single-mode states, the zeros of the Husimi $Q$-function form a discrete set, as we will show later on. The non-Gaussian properties of single-mode states may thus be described by the distribution of these zeros in phase-space.

Based on this result, we introduce in this Letter an infinite hierarchy of states, which we call stellar hierarchy, which allows to characterize single-mode continuous variable pure quantum states with respect to their non-Gaussian properties. We make use of the so-called stellar
representation, or Segal-Bargmann formalism, in order to derive this hierarchy. We give a brief introduction to this formalism in what follows, and we review and prove additional relevant properties. We then define the stellar rank of a state, which induces the stellar hierarchy, and we characterize the set of states of each rank. In particular, we show that each rank is left invariant under Gaussian operations. At rank zero lie Gaussian states, while non-Gaussian states populate all higher ranks. We show that the stellar rank of a state is equivalent to the minimal number of photon additions necessary to engineer the state. We then use this hierarchy to study analytically Gaussian convertibility of states, and we derive equivalence classes under this relation. We then study the topology of the stellar hierarchy, with respect to the trace norm, and show that it is robust. We show that the stellar hierarchy matches the hierarchy of genuine \( n \)-photon quantum non-Gaussian light introduced in, and we discuss implications of our results for non-Gaussian quantum state engineering, and continuous variable quantum computing.

The stellar function. — The so-called stellar representation, or Segal-Bargmann representation, has been used to study quantum chaos and the completeness of sequences of coherent states. We give hereafter an introduction to this formalism. Further details may be found e.g. in. A few technical properties useful for proofs are detailed in Appendix A.

Let \( \mathcal{H}_\infty \) be the infinite-dimensional Hilbert space of single-mode pure normalised quantum states. In the following, we denote by \( \{ |n\rangle \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) the Fock basis of \( \mathcal{H}_\infty \). We introduce below the stellar function. This function has been recently studied, in the context of non-Gaussian quantum state engineering, in order to simplify calculations related to photon-subtracted Gaussian states.

Definition 1. — Let \( |\psi\rangle = \sum_{n \geq 0} \psi_n |n\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_\infty \) be a normalised state. The stellar function of the state \( |\psi\rangle \) is defined as

\[
F_\psi^\star (\alpha) = e^{\frac{i}{4} |\alpha|^2} \langle \alpha^* | \psi \rangle = \sum_{n \geq 0} \psi_n \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}},
\]

for all \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \), where \( |\alpha\rangle = e^{-\frac{1}{2} |\alpha|^2} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_\infty \) is the coherent state of amplitude \( \alpha \).

The stellar function is a holomorphic function over the complex plane, which provides an analytic representation of a quantum state. For any state \( |\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_\infty \), we may write

\[
|\psi\rangle = \sum_{n \geq 0} \psi_n |n\rangle = F_\psi^\star (\hat{a}^\dagger) |0\rangle,
\]

using the definition of the stellar function. An important result is that the stellar representation is unique, up to a global phase:

Lemma 1. — Let \( |\phi\rangle \) and \( |\psi\rangle \) be pure normalised single-mode states such that \( F_\phi^\star = F_\psi^\star \), up to a phase. Then \( |\phi\rangle = |\psi\rangle \). Moreover, let \( |\chi\rangle = f(\hat{a}^\dagger) |0\rangle \) be a single-mode normalised pure state, where \( f \) is analytical. Then \( f = F_\chi^\star \) up to a phase.

These results follow directly from Eq. 2, as detailed in Appendix B.

We recall the stellar function of single-mode pure Gaussian states, detailed in. Any single-mode pure Gaussian state may be obtained from the vacuum with a displacement and a squeezing. For a squeezed coherent state \( \hat{S}(\xi) \hat{D}(\beta) |0\rangle \), where \( \hat{D}(\beta) = e^{\beta \hat{a}^\dagger - \beta^* \hat{a}} \), and \( \hat{S}(\xi) = e^{\frac{1}{2}(\xi \hat{a}^2 - \xi^* \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger)} \), with \( \xi = re^{i\theta} \), the corresponding stellar function is

\[
G_{\xi,\beta}^\star (\alpha) = (1 - |\alpha|^2)^{1/4} e^{-\frac{1}{2} a^2 + ba + c},
\]

where

\[
a := e^{-i\theta} \tanh r, \quad b := \beta \sqrt{1 - |\alpha|^2}, \quad c := \frac{1}{2} \alpha^* \beta^2 - \frac{1}{2} |\beta|^2.
\]

Setting \( \xi = re^{i\theta} = 0 \) in the above equations yields the stellar function for the coherent state \( |\beta\rangle \), while setting \( \beta = 0 \) yields the stellar function for the squeezed vacuum state \( |\xi\rangle \).

The stellar function of a state \( |\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_\infty \) is related to its Husimi \( Q \)-function, a smoothed version of the Wigner function, given by

\[
Q_\psi (\alpha) = \frac{1}{\pi} |\langle \alpha | \psi \rangle|^2 = \frac{e^{-|\alpha|^2}}{\pi} |F_\psi^\star (\alpha^*)|^2,
\]

for all \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \). The zeros of the Husimi \( Q \)-function are the complex conjugates of the zeros of \( F_\psi^\star \). Hence, by Theorem B, a single-mode pure quantum state is non-Gaussian if and only if its stellar function has zeros. These zeros form a discrete set, as the stellar function is a non-zero analytical function. The non-Gaussian properties of a single-mode pure pure state are then described by the distribution of the zeros over the complex plane. Using anti-stereographic projection, this amounts to describing the non-Gaussian properties of a pure state with a set of points on the sphere, hence the name stellar representation, where the points on the sphere looked at from the center of the sphere are seen as stars on the celestial vault.

The stellar rank. — The Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H}_\infty \) is naturally partitioned into classes of sets having the same number of zeros. We introduce the following related definition:

Definition 2. — The stellar rank \( r^\star (\psi) \) of a pure single-mode normalised quantum state \( |\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_\infty \) is defined as the number of zeros of its stellar function \( F_\psi^\star \), counted with multiplicity.

We introduce hereafter the notation \( \overline{\mathbb{N}} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{ +\infty \} \), so that \( r^\star (\psi) \in \overline{\mathbb{N}} \). For \( N \in \mathbb{N} \), we define

\[
R_N = \{ |\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_\infty \mid r^\star (\psi) = N \}
\]

the set of states with stellar rank equal to \( N \). The stellar hierarchy is the hierarchy of states induced by the stellar
rank. By Lemma 1 if $M \neq N$ then $R_M \cap R_N = \emptyset$, for all $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$, so all the ranks in the stellar hierarchy are disjoint. We have $\mathcal{H}_\infty = \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} R_N$, i.e. the stellar hierarchy covers the whole space of normalised states, and the set of states of finite stellar rank is given by $\bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} R_N$. By Theorem 1 the rank zero of the stellar hierarchy $R_0$ is the set of single-mode normalised pure Gaussian states, and indeed by Eq. (3), the stellar function of a Gaussian state doesn’t have zeros. For all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ the photon number state $|N\rangle$ is of stellar rank $N$, since $F_N^*(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha^N}{\sqrt{\pi N!}}$, while the cat state $|\text{cat}\rangle \propto (|ix\rangle - |-ix\rangle)$ is of infinite stellar rank, since $F_N^*(\alpha) \propto \sin(\alpha x)$, given that the stellar function of a superposition is the sum of the stellar functions and using Eq. (3) with $\beta = ix, -ix$ and $\xi = 0$. Hence all the ranks in the stellar hierarchy are non-empty.

In the following, we investigate further the properties of the stellar hierarchy. We prove a first general decomposition result for states of finite stellar rank:

**Theorem 2.** — Let $|\psi\rangle \in \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} R_N$ be a state of finite stellar rank. Let $\{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_r(\psi)\}$ be the roots of the Husimi Q-function of $|\psi\rangle$, counted with multiplicity. Then,

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}}} \prod_{n=1}^{r(\psi)} \hat{D}(\beta_n) \hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{D}^\dagger(\beta_n) \ |G_\psi\rangle ,$$

where $\hat{D}(\beta)$ is a displacement operator, $|G_\psi\rangle$ is a Gaussian state, and $\mathcal{N}$ is a normalisation constant. Moreover, this decomposition is unique up to reordering of the roots.

The proof of this statement, which combines Eq. (2) with the Hadamard–Weierstrass factorization theorem [47], is detailed in Appendix C.

This decomposition implies that any state of finite stellar rank may be obtained from Gaussian states by successive applications of the creation operator at different locations in phase-space, given by the zeros of the Husimi Q-function. Experimentally, this corresponds to the probabilistic non-Gaussian operation of single-photon addition [48, 49]. Using this decomposition, we obtain the following property:

**Theorem 3.** — A unitary operation is Gaussian if and only if it leaves the stellar rank invariant.

This result follows directly from Theorem 2 and we refer to Appendix D for a formal proof.

An interesting consequence is that the number of single-photon additions in the decomposition of Theorem 2 is minimal. Indeed, if a quantum state is obtained from the vacuum by successive applications of Gaussian operations and single-photon additions, then its stellar rank is exactly the number of photon additions, because each single-photon addition increases by one its stellar rank (it adds a zero to the stellar function at zero), while each Gaussian operation leaves the stellar rank invariant by Theorem 2. Hence, the stellar rank is a measure of the non-Gaussian properties of a quantum state which may be interpreted as a minimal non-Gaussian operational cost, in terms of single-photon additions, for engineering the state from the vacuum.

**Gaussian convertibility.** — Now that the first properties of the stellar hierarchy are laid out, we consider as an application the convertibility of quantum states using Gaussian unitary operations:

**Definition 3.** — Two states $|\phi\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$ are Gaussian-convertible if there exists a Gaussian unitary operation $G$ such that $|\psi\rangle = G |\phi\rangle$.

Note that this notion is different from the more restrictive notion of Gaussian conversion introduced in [51], which denotes the conversion of Gaussian states with passive linear optics, and a subclass of Gaussian measurements and feed-forward.

Gaussian convertibility defines an equivalence relation in $\mathcal{H}_\infty$. By Theorem 3 having the same stellar rank is a necessary condition for Gaussian convertibility. However, this condition is not sufficient. In order to derive the equivalence classes for Gaussian convertibility, we introduce the following definition:

**Definition 4.** — Core states are defined as the single-mode normalised pure quantum states which have a polynomial stellar function.

The notion of core state has been introduced in [52], in the context of non-Gaussian state engineering, as the states with a bounded support over the Fock basis, i.e. finite superpositions of Fock states. This definition is equivalent to the above one, by Eq. (2) and Lemma 1.

With this definition, we can state our result on Gaussian convertibility of states of finite stellar rank:

**Theorem 4.** — Let $|\psi\rangle \in \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} R_N$ be a state of finite stellar rank. Then, there exists a unique core state $|C_\psi\rangle$ such that $|\psi\rangle$ and $|C_\psi\rangle$ are Gaussian-convertible.

By Theorem 2 $|\psi\rangle = P_\psi(\hat{a}^\dagger) |G_\psi\rangle$, where $P_\psi$ is a polynomial of degree $r(\psi)$ and $|G_\psi\rangle = \hat{S}(\xi) \hat{D}(\beta) |0\rangle$ is a Gaussian state, with $\xi = re^{i\theta}$. Then,

$$|\psi\rangle = \hat{S}(\xi) \hat{D}(\beta) |C_\psi\rangle = \hat{S}(\xi) \hat{D}(\beta) F_{C_\psi}(\hat{a}^\dagger) |0\rangle ,$$

where the (polynomial) stellar function of $|C_\psi\rangle$ is given by

$$F_{C_\psi}(\alpha) = P_\psi(c_\alpha \alpha - s_r e^{i\theta} \partial_\alpha + c_r \beta^* - s_r e^{i\theta} \beta) \cdot 1 ,$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

The proof of this result follows from combining Theorem 2 together with Lemma 1 and is detailed in Appendix E. This result has several important consequences. **Firstly,** it implies a second general decomposition result, in addition to Theorem 2 by Eq. (3), any state of finite stellar rank can be uniquely decomposed as a finite superposition of equally displaced and equally squeezed number states. This shows that the stellar hierarchy matches the genuine $n$-photon hierarchy introduced in [33]. Formally, for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $R_N$ of states of stellar
rank equal to $N$ is obtained by the free action of the group of single-mode Gaussian unitary operations $G$ on the set of core states of stellar rank $N$, which is isomorphic to the set of normalised complex polynomials of degree $N$. Secondly, it also gives an analytical way to check if two states of finite stellar rank are Gaussian-convertible, given their stellar functions, by checking with Eq. (8) if they share the same core state. A simple example is given in Appendix 5 where it is shown using this criterion that single photon states and single photon-subtracted squeezed vacuum states are Gaussian-convertible. Thirdly, it shows that two different core states are never Gaussian-convertible, while any state of finite stellar rank is always Gaussian-convertible to a unique core state. This implies that equivalence classes for Gaussian convertibility for states of finite stellar rank correspond to the orbits of core states under Gaussian operations.

**Stellar robustness.** — Having characterized the states of finite stellar rank, we study in the following the topology of the stellar hierarchy, with respect to the trace norm. In order to discuss the robustness of this hierarchy up to small deviation in trace distance, we introduce the following definition:

**Definition 5.** — Let $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$. The **stellar robustness** of the state $|\psi\rangle$ is defined as

$$R^*(\psi) = \inf_{r^*(\phi) < r^*(\psi)} D_1(\phi, \psi),$$

(10)

where $D_1$ denotes the trace distance, and where the infimum is over all states $|\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$ such that $r^*(\phi) < r^*(\psi)$ (with the convention $N < +\infty \Leftrightarrow N \in \mathbb{N}$).

The stellar robustness quantify how much one has to deviate from a quantum state in trace distance to find another quantum state of lower stellar rank. A similar notion is the quantum non-Gaussian depth [53], which quantifies the maximum attenuation applicable on a quantum state, after which quantum non-Gaussianity can still be witnessed. The stellar robustness inherits the property of invariance under Gaussian operations of the stellar rank, because the trace distance is invariant under unitary operations. We characterize hereafter the topology of the stellar hierarchy, with respect to the trace norm. Formally, this topology is summarised by the following result for states of finite stellar rank:

**Theorem 5.** — For all $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\overline{R}_N = \bigcup_{0 \leq K \leq N} R_K,$$

(11)

where $\overline{X}$ denotes the closure of $X$ for the trace norm in the set of normalised states $\mathcal{H}_\infty$.

The proof of this result, given in Appendix 5, is quite technical, and obtained by showing double inclusion, by considering converging sequences of states and studying their limit.

This result implies that the set on the right-hand-side, containing the states of stellar rank smaller than $N$, is a closed set in $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ for the trace norm. In particular, since all ranks of the stellar hierarchy are disjoint, for any state of finite rank $N$, there is no sequence of states of strictly lower rank converging to it, and this holds for all $N$. Each state of a given finite stellar rank is thus isolated from the lower stellar ranks, i.e. there is a ball around it in trace norm which only contains states of equal or higher stellar rank. On the other hand, with the other inclusion, no state of a given finite stellar rank is isolated from any higher stellar rank, i.e. one can always find a sequence of states of any higher rank converging to this state in trace norm. Hence, Theorem 5 implies that for all states $|\psi\rangle \in \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} R_N$, we have $R^*(\psi) > 0$, i.e. states of finite stellar rank are robust.

For states of infinite stellar rank, we have the following result:

**Lemma 2.** — The set of states of finite stellar rank is dense for the trace norm in the set of normalised pure single-mode states:

$$\bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} R_N = \mathcal{H}_\infty,$$

(12)

where $\overline{X}$ denotes the closure of $X$ for the trace norm in the set of normalised states $\mathcal{H}_\infty$.

This result is easily proven by considering the sequence of normalised truncated states for any given state in $\mathcal{H}_\infty$. We refer to Appendix 5 for details.

In particular, this means that states of infinite stellar rank are not isolated from lower stellar ranks, unlike states of finite stellar rank. Lemma 2 thus implies that for all states $|\psi\rangle \in R_\infty$ of infinite stellar rank, $R^*(\psi) = 0$, i.e. states of infinite stellar rank are not robust.

Preparing a state $|\psi\rangle$ with precision better than $R^*(\psi)$ ensures that the obtained state has rank equal or greater than $r^*(\psi)$. When considering imperfect single-mode non-Gaussian state engineering, one may thus restrict to states of finite stellar rank, which are obtained uniquely by a finite number of single-photon additions to a Gaussian state, by Theorem 2. Alternatively, one may also describe such states using Theorem 4 as finite superposition of displaced squeezed number states. Engineering of such states has recently been considered in [54], by photon detection of Gaussian states.

**Smoothed non-Gaussianity of formation.** — The topology of the stellar hierarchy obtained previously motivates the following definition:

**Definition 6.** — Let $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$ be a single-mode normalised pure state, and let $\epsilon > 0$. The **$\epsilon$-smoothed non-Gaussianity of formation** $NGF_\epsilon(\psi)$ of a state $|\psi\rangle$ is defined as the minimal stellar rank of the states $|\phi\rangle$ that are $\epsilon$-close to $|\psi\rangle$ in trace distance. Formally,

$$NGF_\epsilon(\psi) = \inf \{ r^*(\phi), \; D_1(\phi, \psi) \leq \epsilon \},$$

(13)

where $D_1$ denotes the trace distance.

The infimum is also a minimum, since the set considered only contains integer values and is lower bounded by
zero. That minimum is not necessarily attained for the energy cut-off state (consider e.g. a Gaussian state). The smoothed non-Gaussianity of formation is a smoothed version of the stellar rank. By Theorem 2, it quantifies the minimal number of single-photon additions that need to be applied to a Gaussian state in order to obtain a state $\epsilon$-close to a target state $|\psi\rangle$, hence its name. However, this quantity may also be interpreted as an upper bound on the effective dimension of the Hilbert space needed to describe the state $\epsilon$-closely. Indeed, the zeros of the stellar function and the Gaussian parameters (squeezing, displacement) of a single-mode state provide a complete description of that state [42]. Note that the bound obtained is always sharper than the one that would be obtained by a simple energy cut-off. Gaussian states, described by two parameters independently of their energy, provide good examples of this fact.

Like the stellar robustness, the smoothed non-Gaussianity of formation inherits the invariance properties of the stellar rank, and is invariant under Gaussian operations.

**Summary and discussion.** — Based on the stellar representation of single-mode continuous variable quantum states, we have defined the stellar rank as the number of zeros of the stellar function, or equivalently of the Husimi $Q$-function. Using the analytical properties of the stellar function, we have shown that this rank is invariant under Gaussian operations, and induces a hierarchy over the space of single-mode normalised states. We have characterized the states of finite stellar rank as the states obtained by successive single-photon additions to a Gaussian state, or equivalently as finite superpositions of (equally) displaced and squeezed states. Additionally, we have given the stellar rank an operational meaning, as the minimal non-Gaussian cost for engineering a state, in terms of single-photon addition. We have derived the equivalence classes for Gaussian convertibility using the notion of core states, and we have studied in detail the robustness of the ranks of the stellar hierarchy. Finally, we have introduced the smoothed non-Gaussianity of formation as the relevant alternative notion to the stellar rank, in the context of approximate state engineering, and continuous variable quantum computing. By analogy with the Schmidt rank [53] in entanglement theory, we expect the notions described in Letter to extend naturally to single-mode mixed states.

The robustness of the genuine $n$-photon non-Gaussian hierarchy has been investigated numerically in [32]. We demonstrated analytically this robustness in this Letter, and providing explicit state-dependent analytical bounds would be of experimental interest, as it would allow characterising the threshold requested for successfully witnessing high stellar ranks. We expect that the robustness decreases with the rank, however. Deriving other simple experimentally observable conditions would also be interesting, for example based on sampling from the Husimi $Q$-function with heterodyne detection [56], given its relation with the stellar function.
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The set of continuous variable single-mode normalised pure states is denoted $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$ be a normalised state. The stellar function of the state $|\psi\rangle$ is noted $F^*_\psi$ and is defined as

$$F^*_\psi(\alpha) = e^{\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^2} \langle \alpha^\dagger | \psi \rangle = \sum_{n \geq 0} \psi_n \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}},$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, where $|\alpha\rangle = e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^2} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$ is the coherent state of amplitude $\alpha$. The stellar rank of a state $|\psi\rangle$ is noted $r^*(\psi)$ and is defined as the number of zeros of its stellar function $F^*_\psi$. For all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, the set of states of stellar rank equal to $N$ is denoted $R_N$. For any state $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$, we may write

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{n \geq 0} \psi_n |n\rangle = F^*_\psi(\hat{a}^\dagger) |0\rangle.$$

### Appendix A: Notations and preliminary results

In this section, we first recall notations and definitions from the main text, and then give some preliminary results that will be used in the following sections.

The set of continuous variable single-mode normalised pure states is denoted $\mathcal{H}_\infty$. The trace distance between two states $|\phi\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$ will be noted $D_1(\phi, \psi)$. We also introduce the notation $\mathbb{N} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$.

Let $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{n \geq 0} \psi_n |n\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$ be a normalised state. The stellar function of the state $|\psi\rangle$ is noted $F^*_\psi$ and is defined as

$$F^*_\psi(\alpha) = e^{\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^2} \langle \alpha^\dagger | \psi \rangle = \sum_{n \geq 0} \psi_n \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}},$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, where $|\alpha\rangle = e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^2} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$ is the coherent state of amplitude $\alpha$. The stellar rank of a state $|\psi\rangle$ is noted $r^*(\psi)$ and is defined as the number of zeros of its stellar function $F^*_\psi$. For all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, the set of states of stellar rank equal to $N$ is denoted $R_N$. For any state $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$, we may write

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{n \geq 0} \psi_n |n\rangle = F^*_\psi(\hat{a}^\dagger) |0\rangle.$$
Core states are defined as the normalised states that have a polynomial stellar function.

The displacement operator of amplitude $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ is given by $\hat{D}(\beta) = e^{i\beta \hat{a}^\dagger - \beta^\dagger \hat{a}}$. Its action on the vacuum state yields the coherent state $|\beta\rangle$. The squeeze operator of parameter $\xi = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{C}$ is given by $\hat{S}(\xi) = e^{\frac{i}{2}(\xi \hat{a}^\dagger - \xi^* \hat{a})}$. Its action on the vacuum state yields the squeezed state $|\xi\rangle$. All single-mode Gaussian operations may be decomposed as a squeezing operation and a displacement $\hat{D}$ [7, 8]. For any single-mode Gaussian state $\hat{S}(\xi) \hat{D}(\beta)|0\rangle$, where $\xi = re^{i\theta}$, the corresponding stellar function is [43]

$$G_{\xi,\beta}^*(\alpha) = (1 - |\alpha|^2)^{1/4}e^{-\frac{i}{2}a^2 + ba + c},$$

(A3)

where

$$a := e^{-i\theta} \tanh r, \quad b := \beta \sqrt{1 - |\alpha|^2}, \quad c := \frac{1}{2}a^* \beta^2 - \frac{1}{2}r^2.$$

(A4)

The displacement and squeeze operators satisfy the following commutation rules:

$$\hat{D}(\beta)\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{D}^\dagger(\beta) = \hat{a}^\dagger - \beta^*$$

$$\hat{S}(\xi)\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{S}^\dagger(\xi) = c_r \hat{a}^\dagger + \sum s_r e^{i\theta} \hat{a},$$

(A5)

where $\xi = re^{i\theta}$, $c_r = \cosh r$, and $s_r = \sinh r$.

Finally, the creation and annihilation operators have the following stellar representations [43]:

$$\hat{a}^\dagger \mapsto \alpha, \quad \hat{a} \mapsto \partial_\alpha,$$

(A6)

i.e. the operator corresponding to $\hat{a}^\dagger$ in the stellar representation is the multiplication by $\alpha$ and the operator in the stellar representation corresponding to $\hat{a}$ is the derivative with respect to $\alpha$. This means for example that the stellar function of a state $\hat{a}|\psi\rangle$ is given by $\alpha \mapsto \partial_\alpha F_{\psi}^*(\alpha)$.

Appendix B: Unicity of the stellar function

We prove in this section Lemma 1 from the main text:

Let $|\phi\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$ be pure normalised single-mode states such that $F_{\phi}^* = F_{\psi}^*$, up to a phase. Then $|\phi\rangle = |\psi\rangle$. Moreover, let $|\psi\rangle = f(\hat{a}^\dagger)|0\rangle$ be a single-mode normalised pure state, where $f$ is analytical. Then $f = F_{\psi}^*$ up to a phase.

Proof. With the notations of the Lemma, $F_{\phi}^*(\alpha) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \phi_n \alpha^n \sqrt{n!}$ and $F_{\psi}^*(\alpha) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \psi_n \alpha^n \sqrt{n!}$. The functions $F_{\phi}^*$ and $F_{\psi}^*$ are analytical, so $F_{\phi}^*(\alpha) = F_{\psi}^*(\alpha)$ implies that $\phi_n = \psi_n$ for all $n \geq 0$ (up to a global phase). Hence $|\phi\rangle = |\psi\rangle$.

Now with $|\chi\rangle = \sum_{n \geq 0} \chi_n |n\rangle = f(\hat{a}^\dagger)|0\rangle$, let us write $f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} z^n$. We obtain

$$|\chi\rangle = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n \alpha^n \sqrt{n!} |n\rangle,$$

(B1)

so $\chi_n = f_n \sqrt{n!}$ for all $n \geq 0$, up to a global phase. On the other hand, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C},$

$$F_{\chi}^*(\alpha) = e^{\frac{i}{2}|\alpha|^2} \langle \alpha^*|\psi\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{n \geq 0} \chi_n \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}}$$

$$= \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n \alpha^n$$.  

(B2)
Appendix C: Decomposition of states of finite stellar rank

We prove in this section Theorem 2 from the main text:

Let $|\psi\rangle \in \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} R_N$ be a state of finite stellar rank. Let $\{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{r^*} (\psi)\}$ be the roots of $Q_\psi$, counted with multiplicity. Then,

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{N} \left[ r^*(\psi) \prod_{n=1}^{r^*} \hat{D}(\beta_n) \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{D}^\dagger(\beta_n) \right] |G_\psi\rangle,$$

where $\hat{D}(\beta)$ is a displacement operator, $|G_\psi\rangle$ is a Gaussian state, and $N$ is a normalisation constant. Moreover, this decomposition is unique up to reordering of the roots.

Proof. We consider a state $|\psi\rangle$ of finite stellar rank $r^*(\psi) \in \mathbb{N}$. Its stellar function is a holomorphic function over the complex plane, which satisfies, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$|F^*_\psi(\alpha)| = e^{\frac{1}{2} |\alpha|^2} |\langle \alpha^* | \psi \rangle| \leq e^{\frac{1}{2} |\alpha|^2}.$$

Hence $F^*_\psi$ has an order of growth less or equal to 2, so by Hadamard-Weierstrass factorization theorem \[57\],

$$F^*_\psi(\alpha) = e^{\frac{1}{2} |\alpha|^2} \left[ r^*(\psi) \prod_{n=1}^{r^*(\psi)-k} \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_n^*} \right) e^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_n^*} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_n^*} \right)^2} \right] e^{G_0 + G_1 + G_2 \alpha^2},$$

for all $\alpha \in C$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is the multiplicity of 0 as a root of $F^*_\psi$, where the $\{\alpha_n\}$ are the non-zero roots of $Q_\psi$ counted with multiplicity (i.e. the $\{\alpha_n^*\}$ are the non-zero roots of $F^*_\psi$ counted with multiplicity), and where $G_0, G_1, G_2 \in \mathbb{C}$.

Let us introduce for brevity $M = r^*(\psi) - k \in \mathbb{N}$. Because the product in the above equation is finite, we need not worry about convergence of individual factors, and we may reorder the expression at will. We obtain

$$F^*_\psi(\alpha) = \alpha^k \prod_{n=1}^{M} \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_n^*} \right) \prod_{n=1}^{M} e^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_n^*} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_n^*} \right)^2} \cdot e^{G_0 + G_1 + G_2 \alpha^2}$$

$$= \alpha^k \prod_{n=1}^{M} \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_n^*} \right) \cdot e^{\frac{G_0 \alpha}{\alpha_n^*} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{M} \frac{1}{\alpha_n^*} \right) \alpha^2}$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^M}{\prod_{n=1}^{M} \alpha_n^*} \left[ \alpha^k \prod_{n=1}^{M} (\alpha - \alpha_n^*) \right] \cdot e^{G_0 \alpha \left( \sum_{n=1}^{M} \frac{1}{\alpha_n^*} \right) \alpha^2}.$$ 

With Eqs. (A2 A3), we obtain, for all $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$|\psi\rangle = F^*_\psi(\hat{a}^\dagger) |0\rangle$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^M}{\prod_{n=1}^{M} \alpha_n^*} \left[ (\hat{a}^\dagger)^k \prod_{n=1}^{M} (\hat{a}^\dagger - \alpha_n^*) \right] \cdot e^{G_0 \alpha \left( \sum_{n=1}^{M} \frac{1}{\alpha_n^*} \right) \alpha^2} \cdot e^{G_0 \alpha \left( \sum_{n=1}^{M} \frac{1}{\alpha_n^*} \right) \alpha^2} |0\rangle$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^M}{\prod_{n=1}^{M} \alpha_n^*} \left[ (\hat{a}^\dagger)^k \prod_{n=1}^{M} \hat{D}(\alpha_n^*) \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{D}^\dagger(\alpha_n^*) \right] \cdot e^{G_0 \alpha \left( \sum_{n=1}^{M} \frac{1}{\alpha_n^*} \right) \alpha^2} \cdot e^{G_0 \alpha \left( \sum_{n=1}^{M} \frac{1}{\alpha_n^*} \right) \alpha^2} |0\rangle.$$ 

Regrrouping the non-zero roots $\{\alpha_n\}$ and the $k^{th}$ zero roots into the set of zeros counted with multiplicity $\{\beta_n\}$, we obtain

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{(-1)^M}{\prod_{n=1}^{M} \alpha_n^*} \left[ r^*(\psi) \prod_{n=1}^{r^*(\psi)} \hat{D}(\beta_n) \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{D}^\dagger(\beta_n) \right] \cdot e^{G_0 \alpha \left( \sum_{n=1}^{M} \frac{1}{\alpha_n^*} \right) \alpha^2} \cdot e^{G_0 \alpha \left( \sum_{n=1}^{M} \frac{1}{\alpha_n^*} \right) \alpha^2} |0\rangle.$$ 

Finally, the state

$$e^{G_0 \alpha \left( \sum_{n=1}^{M} \frac{1}{\alpha_n^*} \right) \alpha^2} \cdot e^{G_0 \alpha \left( \sum_{n=1}^{M} \frac{1}{\alpha_n^*} \right) \alpha^2} |0\rangle.$$
is a (non normalised) Gaussian state, by Eq. (A5) and Lemma I. We finally obtain

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \left[ \prod_{n=1}^{r^*(\psi)} \hat{D}(\beta_n) \hat{a} \hat{D}^\dagger(\beta_n) \right] |G_\psi\rangle,$$

(C8)

where $\mathcal{N}$ is a normalisation constant, and $|G_\psi\rangle$ is a Gaussian state. The decomposition is unique by Lemma I (up to a global phase and a reordering of the roots).

\[\square\]

Appendix D: Operations leaving the stellar rank invariant

We prove in this section Theorem 3 from the main text:

A unitary operation is Gaussian if and only if it leaves the stellar rank invariant.

Proof. If a unitary operation leaves the stellar rank invariant, it maps in particular all states of stellar rank zero to states of stellar rank zero, i.e. all Gaussian states to Gaussian states, so it is a Gaussian operation.

Reciprocally, let us show that Gaussian unitary operations leave the stellar rank invariant. We first consider finite states of stellar rank zero, i.e. all Gaussian states to Gaussian states, so it is a Gaussian operation.

We prove in this section Theorem 4 from the main text:

A unitary operation is Gaussian if and only if it leaves the stellar rank invariant.

Proof. If a unitary operation leaves the stellar rank invariant, it maps in particular all states of stellar rank zero to states of stellar rank zero, i.e. all Gaussian states to Gaussian states, so it is a Gaussian operation.

Reciprocally, let us show that Gaussian unitary operations leave the stellar rank invariant. We first consider finite states of stellar rank zero, i.e. all Gaussian states to Gaussian states, so it is a Gaussian operation.

We prove in this section Theorem 5 from the main text:

A unitary operation is Gaussian if and only if it leaves the stellar rank invariant.

Proof. If a unitary operation leaves the stellar rank invariant, it maps in particular all states of stellar rank zero to states of stellar rank zero, i.e. all Gaussian states to Gaussian states, so it is a Gaussian operation.

Reciprocally, let us show that Gaussian unitary operations leave the stellar rank invariant. We first consider finite states of stellar rank zero, i.e. all Gaussian states to Gaussian states, so it is a Gaussian operation.

We prove in this section Theorem 6 from the main text:

A unitary operation is Gaussian if and only if it leaves the stellar rank invariant.

Proof. If a unitary operation leaves the stellar rank invariant, it maps in particular all states of stellar rank zero to states of stellar rank zero, i.e. all Gaussian states to Gaussian states, so it is a Gaussian operation.

Reciprocally, let us show that Gaussian unitary operations leave the stellar rank invariant. We first consider finite states of stellar rank zero, i.e. all Gaussian states to Gaussian states, so it is a Gaussian operation.

We prove in this section Theorem 7 from the main text:

A unitary operation is Gaussian if and only if it leaves the stellar rank invariant.

Proof. If a unitary operation leaves the stellar rank invariant, it maps in particular all states of stellar rank zero to states of stellar rank zero, i.e. all Gaussian states to Gaussian states, so it is a Gaussian operation.

Reciprocally, let us show that Gaussian unitary operations leave the stellar rank invariant. We first consider finite states of stellar rank zero, i.e. all Gaussian states to Gaussian states, so it is a Gaussian operation.

We prove in this section Theorem 8 from the main text:

A unitary operation is Gaussian if and only if it leaves the stellar rank invariant.

Proof. If a unitary operation leaves the stellar rank invariant, it maps in particular all states of stellar rank zero to states of stellar rank zero, i.e. all Gaussian states to Gaussian states, so it is a Gaussian operation.

Reciprocally, let us show that Gaussian unitary operations leave the stellar rank invariant. We first consider finite states of stellar rank zero, i.e. all Gaussian states to Gaussian states, so it is a Gaussian operation.
so Eq. \((D7)\) rewrites

\[
p_c \sum_{n=0}^{N} \alpha^{-2n}(1-2\alpha)^{N-2n} N! t_r e^{in\theta} \frac{N! t_r e^{in\theta}}{(N-2n)! n! 2^n},
\]

where \(t_r = \tanh r\). Finally, taking the leading coefficient in \(\alpha\) of this expression, corresponding to \(n = 0\), gives

\[
p_c (1-2\alpha)^N,
\]

which is non-zero unless \(a = \frac{1}{2}\), which corresponds to an infinite value for the modulus of the squeezing parameter \(\xi = \rho e^{i\theta}\) by Eq. \((A3)\). Hence, the polynomials \(P_\alpha\) and \(Q_{\psi, \xi}\) have the same degree. This shows that a finite number of zeros is not modified by Gaussian operations.

Gaussian operations also map states with infinite number of zeros to states with infinite number of zeros. Indeed, assuming there exist a state \(|\phi\rangle\) with an infinite number of zeros which is mapped by a Gaussian operation \(\hat{G}\) to a state \(|\psi\rangle\) with a finite number of zeros, then \(\hat{G}^\dagger\) would map \(|\psi\rangle\) to \(|\phi\rangle\), thus changing the (finite) number of zeros of \(F_{\psi, \xi}^*\), which would be in contradiction with the previous proof. Hence Gaussian unitary operations leave the stellar rank invariant.

\[\square\]

**Appendix E: Gaussian convertibility**

We prove in this section Theorem 1 from the main text:

*Let \(|\psi\rangle \in \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} R_N\) be a state of finite stellar rank. Then, there exists a unique core state \(|C_\psi\rangle\) such that \(|\psi\rangle\) and \(|C_\psi\rangle\) are Gaussian-convertible.*

**By Theorem 2** \(|\psi\rangle = P_\psi(\hat{a}^\dagger) |G_\psi\rangle\), where \(P_\psi\) is a polynomial of degree \(r^*(\psi)\) and \(|G_\psi\rangle = \hat{S}(\xi) \hat{D}(\beta) |0\rangle\) is a Gaussian state, with \(\xi = \rho e^{i\theta}\). Then,

\[
|\psi\rangle = \hat{S}(\xi) \hat{D}(\beta) |C_\psi\rangle = \hat{S}(\xi) \hat{D}(\beta) F_{C_\psi}^* (\hat{a}^\dagger) |0\rangle,
\]

where the (polynomial) stellar function of \(|C_\psi\rangle\) is given by

\[
F_{C_\psi}^* (\alpha) = P_\psi (c_r \alpha - s_r e^{i\theta} \partial_\alpha + c_r \beta^* - s_r e^{i\theta} \beta) \cdot 1,
\]

for all \(\alpha \in \mathbb{C}\).

**Proof.** Let \(|\psi\rangle \in \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} R_N\) be a state of finite stellar rank. By Theorem 2

\[
|\psi\rangle = P_\psi(\hat{a}^\dagger) |G_\psi\rangle,
\]

where \(P_\psi\) is a polynomial of degree \(r^*(\psi)\) and \(|G_\psi\rangle = \hat{S}(\xi) \hat{D}(\beta) |0\rangle\) is a Gaussian state, with \(\xi = \rho e^{i\theta}\). Let us define \(|C_\psi\rangle = \hat{D}^\dagger(\beta) \hat{S}^\dagger(\xi) |\psi\rangle\). The states \(|\psi\rangle\) and \(|C_\psi\rangle\) are Gaussian-convertible. Moreover, from the commutation relations in Eq. \((A5)\) and by linearity we obtain

\[
|C_\psi\rangle = \hat{D}^\dagger(\beta) \hat{S}^\dagger(\xi) P_\psi(\hat{a}^\dagger) |G_\psi\rangle
= \hat{D}^\dagger(\beta) P_\psi (c_r \hat{a}^\dagger - s_r e^{i\theta} \hat{a}) \hat{S}^\dagger(\xi) |G_\psi\rangle
= P_\psi \left[ c_r (\hat{a}^\dagger + \beta^*) - s_r e^{i\theta} (\hat{a} + \beta) \right] |0\rangle
= P_\psi \left[ c_r \hat{a}^\dagger - s_r e^{i\theta} \hat{a} + c_r \beta^* - s_r e^{i\theta} \beta \right] |0\rangle,
\]

where we used Eq. \((E3)\) in the first line. By Eq. \((A6)\), the stellar operator corresponding to \(\hat{a}^\dagger\) is the multiplication by \(\alpha\) and the stellar operator corresponding to \(\hat{a}\) is the derivative with respect to \(\alpha\). Hence,

\[
F_{C_\psi}^* (\alpha) = P_\psi (c_r \alpha - s_r e^{i\theta} \partial_\alpha + c_r \beta^* - s_r e^{i\theta} \beta) \cdot 1,
\]

for all \(\alpha \in \mathbb{C}\), which is a polynomial function, so the state \(|C_\psi\rangle\) is a core state.
In order to conclude the proof, we need to show that $|C_{\psi}\rangle$ is the unique core state Gaussian-convertible to $|\psi\rangle$. Let $|C\rangle = P_C(\hat{a}^\dagger) |0\rangle$ be a core state Gaussian-convertible to $|\psi\rangle$. The states $|C_{\psi}\rangle$ and $|C\rangle$ are Gaussian-convertible so there exist $\xi, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
|C_{\psi}\rangle = \hat{S}(\xi) \hat{D}(\beta) |C\rangle
= \hat{S}(\xi) \hat{D}(\beta) P_C(\hat{a}^\dagger) |0\rangle
= P_C(c_r \hat{a}^\dagger + s_r e^{i\theta} \hat{a} - \beta^*) \hat{S}(\xi) \hat{D}(\beta) |0\rangle,
$$

(6)

where we used Eq. (A5). Hence,

$$
F^*_{C_{\psi}}(\alpha) = P_C(c_r \alpha + s_r e^{i\theta} \partial_\alpha - \beta^*) G^*_{\xi,\beta}(\alpha).
$$

(7)

With Eq. (A5), this function may be expressed as a polynomial multiplied by a Gaussian function $G^*_{\xi,\beta}$. On the other hand $F^*_{C_{\psi}}$ is a polynomial, since $|C_{\psi}\rangle$ is a core state. By comparison of the speed of convergence, this implies that the Gaussian function $G^*_{\xi,\beta}$ is constant, i.e. that

$$
e^{-i\theta} \tanh r = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta \sqrt{1 - \tanh^2 r} = 0,
$$

(8)

by Eq. (A3). This in turn implies $\xi = \beta = 0$, and $|C\rangle = \hat{S}(\xi) \hat{D}(\beta) |C\rangle = |C_{\psi}\rangle$.

\[\square\]

**Appendix F: Gaussian convertibility, a simple example**

We consider the following simple example to illustrate the use of Eq. (3) in Theorem 4 for determining Gaussian convertibility: a photon-subtracted squeezed state and a single-photon Fock state. We write $|\phi\rangle = \frac{1}{s_\xi} \hat{a} |\xi\rangle$ a normalised photon-subtracted squeezed vacuum state, with $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $s_\xi = \sinh \xi$. We write also $|\psi\rangle = |1\rangle$ a single-photon Fock state. Using Eq. (A3) and Eq. (A6), we obtain for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$

$$
F^*_{\phi}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{s_\xi} \partial_\alpha \left[ e^{-\frac{1}{2} t_\xi \alpha^2} \right] = \frac{\alpha}{c_\xi} e^{-\frac{1}{2} t_\xi \alpha^2},
$$

(1)

where $c_\xi = \cosh \xi$ and $t_\xi = \tanh \xi$. We also have $F^*_{\psi}(\alpha) = \alpha$. With the notations of Theorem 4 we have $r_\phi = \xi$, $r_\psi = \theta_\phi = \theta_\psi = \beta_\phi = \beta_\psi = 0$, $G_\phi = \hat{S}(\xi)$, $G_\psi = \hat{1}$, $P_\phi(\alpha) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}$, and $P_\psi(\alpha) = \alpha$, so for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$
P_\phi \left( c_r \alpha - s_r e^{i\theta} \partial_\alpha + c_r \beta^* - s_r e^{i\theta} \beta \right) \cdot 1 = \frac{1}{c_\xi} (c_\xi \alpha - s_\xi \partial_\alpha) \cdot 1 = \alpha,
$$

(2)

and

$$
P_\psi \left( c_r \alpha - s_r e^{i\theta} \partial_\alpha + c_r \beta^* - s_r e^{i\theta} \beta \right) \cdot 1 = \alpha \cdot 1 = \alpha,
$$

(3)

thus $|\phi\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$ share the same core state. By Theorem 4 this means that $|\phi\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$ are Gaussian-convertible, and we have $|\phi\rangle = \hat{G}_\phi \hat{G}^\dagger_\psi |\psi\rangle$, where

$$
\hat{G}_\phi \hat{G}^\dagger_\psi = \hat{S}(\xi).
$$

(4)

Using Eq. (A5) confirms indeed that $\frac{1}{s_\xi} \hat{a} |\xi\rangle = \hat{S}(\xi) |1\rangle$. 


Appendix G: Topology of the stellar hierarchy

We prove in this section Theorem 4 from the main text:

For all \( N \in \mathbb{N} \),

\[
\overline{R_N} = \bigcup_{0 \leq K \leq N} R_K, \quad \text{(G1)}
\]

where \( \overline{\cdot} \) denotes the closure of \( \cdot \) for the trace norm in the set of normalised states \( \mathcal{H}_\infty \).

**Proof.** Recall that the set of normalised pure single-mode states is closed for the trace norm in the whole Hilbert space, since it is the reciprocal image of \( \{1\} \) by the trace norm, which is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant 1, hence continuous.

For the proof, we fix \( N \in \mathbb{N} \). We prove the theorem by showing a double inclusion. We first show that \( \bigcup_{K=0}^N R_K \subset \overline{R_N} \), and then that the set \( \bigcup_{K=0}^N R_K \) is closed in \( \mathcal{H}_\infty \) for the trace norm. Since the closure of a set \( X \) is the smallest closed set containing \( X \), and given that \( R_N \subset \bigcup_{K=0}^N R_K \), this will prove the other inclusion and hence the result.

We have \( R_N \subset \overline{R_N} \). Let \( |\psi\rangle \in \bigcup_{K=0}^{N-1} R_K \). There exists \( K \in \{0, \ldots, N-1\} \) such that \( r^*(\psi) = K \). By Theorem 4 there exists a core state \( |C_\psi\rangle \), with a polynomial stellar function of degree \( K \), and a Gaussian operation \( \hat{G}_\psi \) such that \( |\psi\rangle = \hat{G}_\psi |C_\psi\rangle \). We define the sequence of normalised states

\[
|\psi_m\rangle = \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{m}} |\psi\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \hat{G}_\psi |N\rangle, \quad \text{(G2)}
\]

for \( m \geq 1 \). We have

\[
|\psi_m\rangle = \hat{G}_\psi \left( \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{m}} |C_\psi\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} |N\rangle \right), \quad \text{(G3)}
\]

and the state \( \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{m}} |C_\psi\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} |N\rangle \) is a normalised core state whose stellar function is a polynomial of degree \( N \), hence \( |\psi_m\rangle \in R_N \). Moreover, \( \{|\psi_m\rangle\}_{m \geq 1} \) converges to \( |\psi\rangle \) in trace norm. This shows that \( \bigcup_{K=0}^N R_K \subset \overline{R_N} \).

We now prove that the set \( \bigcup_{K=0}^N R_K \) is closed in \( \mathcal{H}_\infty \) for the trace norm. For \( N = 0 \) (i.e. the set of Gaussian states is a closed set), this is already a non-trivial result, and a proof may be found e.g. in [23].

For all \( N \geq 0 \), the proof is a bit more involved. The sketch of the proof is the following: given a converging sequence in \( \bigcup_{K=0}^N R_K \), we want to show that its limit has a stellar rank less or equal to \( N \). We first use the decomposition result of Theorem 4 in order to obtain a sequence of Gaussian operations acting on a sequence of core states of rank less or equal to \( N \). We make use of the compactness of this set of core states to restrict to a unique core state. Then, we show that the squeezing and the displacement parameters of the sequence of Gaussian operations cannot be unbounded. This allows to conclude by extracting converging subsequences from these parameters.

Let us write \( D \) the trace distance, induced by the trace norm. Let \( \{|\psi_m\rangle\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \in \bigcup_{K=0}^N R_K \) be a converging sequence for the trace norm, and let \( |\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_\infty \) be its limit. By Theorem 4 there exist a sequence of core states \( \{|C_m\rangle\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \), with polynomial stellar functions of degrees less or equal to \( N \), and a sequence of Gaussian operations \( \{\hat{G}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \) such that for all \( m \in \mathbb{N} \), \( |\psi_m\rangle = \hat{G}_m |C_m\rangle \).

The set of normalised core states with a polynomial stellar function of degree less or equal to \( N \) corresponds to the set of normalised states with a support over the Fock basis truncated at \( N \), and is compact for the trace norm in \( \mathcal{H}_\infty \) (isomorphic to the norm 1 vectors in \( \mathbb{C}^{N+1} \)). Hence, the sequence \( \{|C_m\rangle\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \) admits a converging subsequence \( \{|C_{m_k}\rangle\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \). Let the core state \( |C\rangle \), with a polynomial stellar function of degree less or equal to \( N \), be its limit. Along this subsequence,

\[
|\psi_{m_k}\rangle = \hat{G}_{m_k} |C_{m_k}\rangle, \quad \text{(G4)}
\]
and we have $\lim_{k \to +\infty} D(|\psi_{m_k}^{\alpha}|, |\psi^\alpha|) = 0$ and $\lim_{k \to +\infty} D(|C_{m_k}|, |C|) = 0$. Moreover, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
D(\hat{G}_{m_k} | C |, |\psi^\alpha|) \leq D(\hat{G}_{m_k} | C |, |\psi_{m_k}^\alpha|) + D(|\psi_{m_k}^\alpha|, |\psi^\alpha|)
$$

$$
= D(\hat{G}_{m_k} | C |, \hat{G}_{m_k} | C_{m_k}^{\alpha}|) + D(|\psi_{m_k}^\alpha|, |\psi^\alpha|)
$$

$$
= D(|C|, |C_{m_k}^{\alpha}|) + D(|\psi_{m_k}^\alpha|, |\psi^\alpha|),
$$

where we used the triangular inequality in the first line, Eq. (G4) in the second line, and the invariance of the trace distance under unitary transformations in the third line. Hence, the sequence $\{\hat{G}_{m_k} | C |\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in trace norm to $|\psi^\alpha|$. This shows that we can restrict without loss of generality to a unique core state, with a polynomial stellar function of degree less or equal to $N$, instead of a sequence of such core states.

Let $|C|\rangle$ thus be a core state, with a polynomial stellar function of degree $K$ less or equal to $N$. We write

$$
|C|\rangle = P_C(\hat{a}^\dagger) = \sum_{n=0}^{K} \frac{p_n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle,
$$

with $\sum_{n=0}^{K} \frac{|p_n|^2}{n!} = 1$. Let us consider a converging sequence $\{\hat{G}_m | C \rangle\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $\hat{G}_m$ are Gaussian operations, and denote $|\psi^\alpha|\rangle$ its limit. There exists two sequences $\{\xi_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\beta_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, such that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\hat{G}_m = \hat{S}(\xi_m) \hat{D}(\beta_m).
$$

We write $\xi_m = r_m e^{i\theta_m}$, with $r_m \geq 0$, for all $m \in \mathbb{C}$. We may rewrite $\hat{G}_m = \hat{D}(\gamma_m) \hat{S}(\xi_m)$, where for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\gamma_m = c_{rm} \beta_m + s_{rm} e^{i\theta_m} \beta_m^*,
$$

where $c_{rm} = \cosh(r_m)$ and $s_{rm} = \sinh(r_m)$. With these notations, we prove the following result:

**Lemma 3.** The sequences $\{\xi_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\gamma_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ are bounded.

**Proof.**—We start by computing a useful upper bound for the $Q$-function of the state $\hat{G}_m | C \rangle$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have:

$$
Q_{\hat{G}_m | C \rangle}(\alpha) = Q_{\hat{D}(\gamma_m) \hat{S}(\xi_m) | C \rangle}(\alpha)
$$

$$
= Q_{\hat{S}(\xi_m) | C \rangle}(\alpha - \gamma_m)
$$

$$
= \frac{e^{-|\alpha - \gamma_m|^2}}{\pi} \left| F_{\hat{S}(\xi_m) | C \rangle}^* (\alpha^* - \gamma_m^*) \right|^2,
$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. We have

$$
\hat{S}(\xi_m) | C \rangle = \hat{S}(\xi_m) P_C(\hat{a}^\dagger) |0\rangle
$$

$$
= P_C(c_{rm} \hat{a}^\dagger + s_{rm} e^{i\theta_m} \hat{a}) \hat{S}(\xi_m) |0\rangle.
$$

Hence, with Eq. (A3, A6),

$$
F_{\hat{S}(\xi_m) | C \rangle}^* (\alpha) = (1 - |t_{rm}|^2)^{1/4} P_C(c_{rm} \alpha + s_{rm} e^{i\theta_m} \partial_{\alpha}) \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2} t_{rm} e^{-i\theta_m} \alpha^2}
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_{rm}}} \sum_{n=0}^{K} \frac{p_n}{\sqrt{n!}} (c_{rm} \alpha + s_{rm} e^{i\theta_m} \partial_{\alpha})^n \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2} t_{rm} e^{-i\theta_m} \alpha^2},
$$

where $t_{rm} = \tanh(r_m)$.

The Hermite polynomials [59] satisfy the following recurrence relation

$$
H_{n+1}^\alpha(\alpha) = \alpha H_n^\alpha(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha H_n^\alpha(\alpha),
$$

for all $n \geq 0$ and all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, and $H_0 = 1$. Setting

$$
f_n(\alpha) = e^{\frac{1}{2} t_{rm} e^{-i\theta_m} \alpha^2} (c_{rm} \alpha + s_{rm} e^{i\theta_m} \partial_{\alpha})^n \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2} t_{rm} e^{-i\theta_m} \alpha^2},
$$

for all $n \geq 0$ and all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, and $H_0 = 1$. Setting
we obtain \( f_0(\alpha) = 1 \), and
\[
\begin{align*}
    f_{n+1}(\alpha) &= e^{\frac{i}{2}t_m e^{-i\theta_m} \alpha^2} (c_m \alpha + s_m e^{i\theta_m} \partial_\alpha) \left[ e^{\frac{1}{2}t_m e^{-i\theta_m} \alpha^2} f_n(\alpha) \right] \\
    &= \frac{\alpha}{c_m} f_n(\alpha) + s_m e^{i\theta_\alpha} f_n(\alpha).
\end{align*}
\] (G14)
Hence, with Eq. \( \text{G12} \), for all \( n \geq 0 \) and all \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \),
\[
    f_n(\alpha) = \lambda_m^{n/2} H_n \left( \frac{\alpha}{c_m \sqrt{\lambda_m}} \right),
\] (G15)
where we have set \( \lambda_m = -e^{i\theta_m} t_m \). With Eq. \( \text{G11} \) we thus obtain
\[
\begin{align*}
    F_{\nu}(\xi_m | C) \alpha) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_m}} \sum_{n=0}^{K} \frac{p_n \lambda_m^{n/2}}{\sqrt{n!}} H_n \left( \frac{\alpha - \gamma_m}{c_m \sqrt{\lambda_m}} \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}t_m e^{-i\theta_m} \alpha^2} \\
    &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_m}} \sum_{n=0}^{K} \frac{p_n \lambda_m^{n/2}}{\sqrt{n!}} H_n \left( \frac{\alpha - \gamma_m}{c_m \sqrt{\lambda_m}} \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}t_m e^{-i\theta_m} \alpha^2}.
\end{align*}
\] (G16)
From this calculation and Eq. \( \text{G9} \) we deduce
\[
\begin{align*}
    Q_{G_m | C}(\alpha) &= \frac{e^{-|\alpha - \gamma_m|^2}}{\pi c_m} \sum_{n=0}^{K} \frac{p_n \lambda_m^{n/2}}{\sqrt{n!}} H_n \left( \frac{\alpha - \gamma_m}{c_m \sqrt{\lambda_m}} \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}t_m e^{-i\theta_m} (\alpha - \gamma_m)^2} \\
    &\leq \frac{e^{-|\alpha - \gamma_m|^2}}{\pi c_m} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t_m e^{-i\theta_m} (\alpha - \gamma_m)^2} \sum_{n=0}^{K} \frac{p_n |\lambda_m^{n/2}}{n!} \sum_{n=0}^{K} \left[ \lambda_m^{n/2} H_n \left( \frac{\alpha - \gamma_m}{c_m \sqrt{\lambda_m}} \right) \right]^2 \\
    &= \frac{1}{\pi c_m} e^{-|\alpha - \gamma_m|^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t_m e^{-i\theta_m} (\alpha - \gamma_m)^2} \sum_{n=0}^{K} \frac{p_n |\lambda_m^{n/2}}{n!} \sum_{n=0}^{K} \left[ \lambda_m^{n/2} H_n \left( \frac{\alpha - \gamma_m}{c_m \sqrt{\lambda_m}} \right) \right]^2,
\end{align*}
\] (G17)
where we used Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in the second line, \( |\lambda_m| = t_m \) and the fact that the coefficients of \( H_n \) are real in the third line. Setting \( z_m(\alpha) = -ie^{\frac{i}{2}t_m}(\alpha - \gamma_m) \),
\[
    \frac{e^{-|\alpha - \gamma_m|^2}}{\pi c_m} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t_m e^{-i\theta_m} (\alpha - \gamma_m)^2} \sum_{n=0}^{K} \frac{p_n |\lambda_m^{n/2}}{n!} \sum_{n=0}^{K} \left[ \lambda_m^{n/2} H_n \left( \frac{\alpha - \gamma_m}{c_m \sqrt{\lambda_m}} \right) \right]^2.
\] (G18)
for all \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) and for all \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \), we obtain
\[
\begin{align*}
    Q_{G_m | C}(\alpha) &\leq \frac{1}{\pi c_m} e^{-|\alpha - \gamma_m|^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t_m e^{-i\theta_m} (\alpha - \gamma_m)^2} \sum_{n=0}^{K} t_m^{n/2} H_n \left( \frac{e^{-i\theta_m} z_m(\alpha)}{\sqrt{t_m}} \right)^2 \\
    &= \frac{1}{\pi c_m} e^{-|\alpha - \gamma_m|^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t_m e^{-i\theta_m} (\alpha - \gamma_m)^2} \sum_{n=0}^{K} t_m^{n/2} H_n \left( \frac{e^{-i\theta_m} z_m(\alpha)}{\sqrt{t_m}} \right)^2,
\end{align*}
\] (G19)
where \( z_m(\alpha) = x_m(\alpha) + iy_m(\alpha) \). For all \( r \in \mathbb{R} \),
\[
    c_r (c_r - s_r) = \frac{1}{2} (1 + e^{-2r}) > \frac{1}{2},
\] (G20)
and
\[
    c_r (c_r + s_r) = \frac{1}{2} (1 + e^{2r}) > \frac{1}{2},
\] (G21)
so with Eq. \( \text{G19} \) we obtain
\[
\begin{align*}
    Q_{G_m | C}(\alpha) &\leq \frac{1}{\pi c_m} e^{-|\alpha - \gamma_m|^2} \sum_{n=0}^{K} t_m^{n/2} H_n \left( \frac{e^{-i\theta_m} z_m(\alpha)}{\sqrt{t_m}} \right)^2.
\end{align*}
\] (G22)
Finally, we obtain the following bound for all \( n \in \{0, \ldots, K\} \):

\[
\left| t_{r_m}^{n/2} H e_n \left( \frac{e^{-i\theta_m} z_m(\alpha)}{\sqrt{t_{r_m}}} \right) \right| = \left| t_{r_m}^{n/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^k n!}{2^k k!(n - 2k)!} \left( \frac{e^{-i\theta_m} z_m(\alpha)}{\sqrt{t_{r_m}}} \right)^{n-2k} \right|
\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \frac{n!}{2^k k!(n - 2k)!} t_{r_m}^{-k} |z_m(\alpha)|^{n-2k}
\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \frac{n!}{2^k k!(n - 2k)!} |z_m(\alpha)|^{n-2k},
\]  

(G23)

for all \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) and all \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \). Let us define for brevity the polynomial

\[
T(X) := \sum_{n=0}^{K} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \frac{n!}{2^k k!(n - 2k)!} X^{n-2k}
\]  

(G24)

Plugging Eq. (G23) in Eq. (G22) yields

\[
Q_{\tilde{G}_m|C}(\alpha) \leq \frac{1}{\pi c_{r_m}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} |z_m(\alpha)|^2} T(|z_m(\alpha)|),
\]  

(G25)

for all \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) and all \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \).

With this bound on the \( Q \)-function obtained, we can now prove that the sequences \( \{\xi_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} = \{r_m e^{i\theta_m}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \) and \( \{\gamma_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \) are bounded.

Assuming that \( \{r_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \) is unbounded implies that it has a subsequence \( \{r_{m_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \) going to infinity. Since the function \( x \mapsto e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} T(x) \) is bounded, \( Q_{\tilde{G}_{m_k}|C}(\alpha) \to 0 \) for all \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \) when \( k \to +\infty \) by Eq. (G25). But \( Q_{\tilde{G}_{m_k}|C}(\alpha) \to Q_{\psi}(\alpha) \) for all \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \) when \( k \to +\infty \), by property of the convergence in trace norm. This would imply \( Q_{\psi}(\alpha) = 0 \) for all \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \), which is impossible since \( |\psi| \) is normalised. Hence \( \{r_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \) is a bounded sequence, and so is \( \{\xi_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \).

With the same reasoning, if \( \{|z_m(\alpha)|\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \) was unbounded for all \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \), this would imply by Eq. (G25) that \( Q_{\psi}(\alpha) = 0 \) for all \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \), giving the same contradiction. Hence, there exists \( c_0 \in \mathbb{C} \) such that the sequence \( \{|z_m(\alpha_0)|\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \) is bounded. By Eq. (G18), this implies that the sequence \( \{\gamma_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \) is also bounded, since the sequence \( \{r_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \) is bounded.

The sequences \( \{\xi_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \) and \( \{\gamma_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \) being bounded, one can consider simultaneously converging subsequences \( \{\xi_{m_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \) and \( \{\gamma_{m_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \). We write \( \xi = re^{i\theta} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \xi_{m_k} \) and \( \gamma = \lim_{k \to \infty} \gamma_{m_k} \). On one hand, we have

\[
F^*_{G_{m_k}|C}(\alpha) = F^*_{\tilde{D}(\gamma_{m_k}) \tilde{S}(\xi_{m_k})|C}(\alpha)
= e^{\gamma_{m_k} - \frac{1}{2} |\gamma_{m_k}|^2} F^*_{\tilde{S}(\xi_{m_k})|C}(\alpha - \gamma_{m_k}^*)
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_{r_{m_k}}}} \sum_{n=0}^{K} \frac{p_{n\lambda_{m_k}^{n/2}}}{\sqrt{n!}} He_n \left( \frac{\alpha - \gamma_{m_k}^*}{c_{r_{m_k}} \sqrt{\lambda_{m_k}}} \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2} t_{r_{m_k}} e^{-i\theta_{m_k}} t_{r_{m_k}} e^{-i\theta_{m_k}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} t_{r_{m_k}} e^{-i\theta_{m_k}} \alpha^2 + \gamma_{m_k} \alpha - \frac{1}{2} |\gamma_{m_k}|^2},
\]  

(G26)

for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) and all \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \), where we have used Eq. (D6) in the second line, where \( \lambda_{m_k} = -e^{i\theta_{m_k}} t_{r_{m_k}} \), and where we have used Eq. (G18) in the last line. Setting \( \lambda = -e^{i\theta} t_r \), we obtain

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} F^*_{G_{m_k}|C}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_r}} \sum_{n=0}^{K} \frac{p_{n\lambda_{m_k}^{n/2}}}{\sqrt{n!}} He_n \left( \frac{\alpha - \gamma^*}{c_r \sqrt{\lambda}} \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2} t_r e^{-i\theta} \alpha^2 + \gamma \alpha - \frac{1}{2} |\gamma|^2}
\]  

(G27)

for all \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \), where \( \tilde{G} = \tilde{D}(\gamma) \tilde{S}(\xi) \), and where the second line comes from reversing the calculations of Eq. (G26). On the other hand, for all \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \),

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} F^*_{G_{m_k}|C}(\alpha) = e^{\frac{1}{2} |\alpha|^2} \lim_{k \to \infty} \langle \alpha^* | \tilde{G}_{m_k}|C \rangle
= e^{\frac{1}{2} |\alpha|^2} \langle \alpha^* | \psi \rangle
= F^*_{\psi}(\alpha),
\]  

(G28)
by property of the convergence in trace norm. Combining Eq. (G27) and Eq. (G28) yields
\[ F^*_\psi(\alpha) = F^*_\hat{G}(\alpha), \] (G29)
for all \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \). By Lemma 1 this implies that \(|\psi\rangle = \hat{G}|C\rangle \in R_K\). This shows that \( \bigcup_{K=0}^N R_K \supset \bigcup_{K=0}^N R_K \), so \( \mathcal{R}_N \subset \bigcup_{N=0}^\infty \mathcal{R}_N \), which concludes the proof.

Appendix H: Density of the set of states of finite stellar rank.

We prove in this section Lemma 2 from the main text:

The set of states of finite stellar rank is dense for the trace norm in the set of normalised pure single-mode states:
\[ \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} R_N = \mathcal{H}_\infty, \] (H1)
where \( \mathcal{T} \) denotes the closure of \( X \) for the trace norm in the set of normalised states \( \mathcal{H}_\infty \).

Proof. Recall that the set of normalised pure single-mode states is closed for the trace norm in the whole Hilbert space, since it is the reciprocal image of \( \{1\} \) by the trace norm, which is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant 1, hence continuous.

Let \(|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_\infty\) be a normalised state. We consider the sequence of normalised cut-off states
\[ |\psi_m\rangle = \frac{1}{N_m^{1/2}} \sum_{n=0}^m |\psi_n\rangle |n\rangle, \] (H2)
where \( N_m = \sum_{n=0}^m |\psi_n|^2 \) is a normalising factor (non-zero for \( m \) large enough). All the states \(|\psi_m\rangle\) have a finite support over the Fock basis, so their stellar function is a polynomial. Hence \( \{|\psi_m\rangle\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \in \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} R_N \).
Moreover, for all \( m \in \mathbb{N}, \)
\[ D_1(\psi_m, \psi) = \sqrt{1 - |\langle \psi_m | \psi \rangle|^2} \]
\[ = \sqrt{1 - \sum_{n=0}^m |\psi_n|^2} \]
\[ = \sqrt{\sum_{n \geq m+1} |\psi_n|^2}, \] (H3)
where we used that \(|\psi\rangle\) and \(|\psi_m\rangle\) are pure states in the first line, and the fact that \(|\psi\rangle\) is normalised in the third line. Moreover, \( \sum_{n \geq m+1} |\psi_n|^2 \to 0 \) when \( m \to +\infty \), because \(|\psi\rangle\) is normalised. Hence, \( \{|\psi_m\rangle\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \) converges in trace norm to \(|\psi\rangle\), which concludes the proof.

\[ \square \]