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#### Abstract

In this article we shows some results about algebra with the group of units having special polynomial identity.
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## 1. Introduction

A Laurent polynomial $f=f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{l}\right)$ in the noncommutative variables $x_{i}, i=$ $1, \ldots, l$ is an element non-zero in the group algebra $R F_{l}$ over a ring $R$ with free group $F_{l}=<x_{1}, \ldots, x_{l}>$. One says $f$ in $R F_{l}$ is a Laurent Polynomial Identity (LPI) for an $R$ algebra $A$ (respc. for $U(A)$ the group of units in A) if $f\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right)=0$ for all sequence $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}$ in $A$ (respc. in $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{A})$ ). A word $w$ in $R F_{l}$ is group identity for all $U(A)$ if $w\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right)=1$, for $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}$ in $U(A)$.

Brian Hartleys Conjecture: Let G be a torsion group and R a field. If the unit group $U(R G)$ of $R G$ satisfies a group identity $w=1$, then RG satisfies a polynomial identity.

In [2] A.Giambruno, E.Jespers and A.Valenti shows that the Brian Hartleys Conjecture is true for a group algebra $R G$ over an infinite commutative domnain $R$ and a torsion group $G$ and $G$ has no divisible order elements by $p$ with characteristic of $R$ is $p$. For this purpose they proved the following crucial result.

Proposition 1.1. Let $A$ be an algebra over an infinite commutative domain $R$ and suppose that $U(A)$ satisfies a group identity. There exists a positive $m$ such that if $a, b, c, u$ in $A$ and $a^{2}=b c=0$, then bacA is nil right ideal of bounded exponent less or equal than $m$.

The case identity group $w=1$ in the conjecture says the unity group satisfy equivalently the Laurent polynomial $F=1-w$. We use this idea and study the case $F=a_{1}+a_{2} w_{2}+\ldots+a_{n} w_{n}$. Clearly the case $F=1-w$ is a special case of our generalization.

In this paper we prove the following results.

Proposition 1.2. Let $A$ be an algebra with the group of units $U(A)$ admits a LPI over a ring $R$ whith unit whose non-constants words has the sum of exponents nonzero at least one of the variables. Then there exists a polynomial $f \in R[X]$ with the limited degree $d \leq 4(-l+r)+3$, where $l=\min \left\{\sum \operatorname{expw}_{i}\right\}$ and $r=\max \left\{\sum \exp w_{i}\right\}$, determinated by the LPI such that for all $a, b, c, u$ in $A$ with $a^{2}=b c=0, f(b a c u)=$ 0. In particular, bacA is an algebraic ideal.

As a consequence of Proposition 1.2 we prove the following:
Corollary 1.3. Let $A$ be an algebra over a commutative domain $R$ with $|R|>d$ whose unit group $U(A)$ has a LPI. If $a, b, c \in A$ with $a^{2}=b c=0$, then $b a c A$ is $a$ nil ideal with limited exponent.

Notice that the restriction on $L P I$ is necessary because we will show that without this assumption Proposition 1.2 i not valid. In this article we always adopt LPI with this restriction. Also, by changin $x_{i}$ by $x^{-i} y x^{i}$ we may assume that LPI in two variables.

## Proof of Proposition 1.2:

Let $P=a_{1}+a_{2} w_{2}+\ldots+a_{r} w_{t}$ the LPI with $w_{i}$ in the form

$$
w_{i}=x_{1}^{r_{1}} x_{2}^{s_{2}} \cdots x_{1}^{r_{k}} x_{2}^{s_{k}}, k \geq 1, r_{i}, s_{i}
$$

integers. If $\sum \exp w_{i}=\sum \exp _{x_{1}} w_{i}+\sum \exp _{x_{2}} w_{i}=0$ then we substitute $x_{1}=x_{1}^{k}$ or $x_{2}=x_{2}^{k}$ with $k>1$ big enough, We get a new LPI in the form $P=a_{1}+a_{2} w_{2}^{\prime}+$ $\ldots+a_{r} w^{\prime}{ }_{r}$, where

$$
\sum \exp w_{i}^{\prime}=\sum \exp _{x_{1}} w_{i}^{\prime}+\sum \exp _{x_{2}} w_{i}^{\prime}=k \sum \exp _{x_{1}} w_{i}+\sum \exp _{x_{2}} w_{i}
$$

or

$$
\sum \exp w_{i}^{\prime}=\sum \exp _{x_{1}} w_{i}+k \sum \exp _{x_{2}} w_{i} .
$$

In any case that is not zero.
In general, $P(1,1)=a_{1}+a_{2}+\ldots+a_{r}=0$, so $a_{2}+\ldots+a_{r} \neq 0$, because $a_{1} \neq 0$. Hence any collection of sums with distinct parcels involving all coefficients $a_{2}, \ldots, a_{r}$ has a non-zero term.

From this

$$
P(\alpha, \alpha)=a_{1}+a_{2} \alpha^{\sum \exp w_{2}}+\ldots+a_{k} \alpha^{\sum \exp w_{k}}=0,1<k \leq r,
$$

is a non-zero polynomial with integers exponents over $R$, for all $\alpha \in U(A)$. In the following we see that all exponents are not necessarily positive.

Let $\alpha^{-l}$ be where $l=\min \left\{\sum e x p w_{i}\right\}$, so $\alpha^{-l} P(\alpha, \alpha)=f_{0}(\alpha)=0$, where $f_{0} \neq 0$ is a polynomial with degree $\leq-l+r$, over $R$. Therefore $U(A)$ is algebraic over $R$. Now, we are going to use this polynomial with a particular unit in $U(A)$. First let $b=c$ be, so $a^{2}=b^{2}=0$. Then we have $(1+a u a),(1+b a u a b) \in U(A)$, for all $u \in A$. Let $Q_{n}$ be the set of all products in aua and bauab with at most $n-1$ factors, and let $W$ be the set of all products in coeficients $a_{i}{ }^{'} s$, aua and bauab.

Thus $\alpha=(1+$ aua $) \cdot(1+$ bauab $) \in U(A)$, and for this unit,

$$
\alpha^{n}=1+a u a+b a u a b+\sum_{t \in Q_{n}} t+(a u a b a u a b)^{n}
$$

for all $n \geq 1$.
It follows that there exists a polynomial $f_{0} \neq 0$ over $R$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{0}(\alpha) & =a_{1}+a_{2}+\ldots+a_{r}+\sum_{t \in W} t+\sum_{i=2}^{r} a_{i}(\text { auabauab })^{s_{i}} \\
& =\sum_{t \in W} t+\sum_{i=2}^{r} a_{i}(\text { auabauab })^{s_{i}}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $a_{2}+\ldots+a_{r} \neq 0$, after to organize the powers of auabauab which appears in the last sum, has a non-zero coefficients. This term has the form $\left(a_{j_{1}}+\ldots+\right.$ $\left.a_{j_{k}}\right)(\text { auabauab })^{s}$ where $s \leq(-l+r)$. So, $a b f_{0}(\alpha) a u=0$ is a non-zero polynomial $g$ over R such that $g(a b a u)=0$ with degree $\leq 2(-l+r)+1$. This finishs the case $b=c$.

In general, if $a, \alpha, \beta \in A$ and $a^{2}=\alpha \beta=0$, then we have $(\beta u \alpha)^{2}=0$, for all $u \in A$. So $\beta u \alpha a \beta u \alpha A$ is algebraic and there exists $g$ over $R$ which is not zero and $g((\beta u \alpha a \beta u \alpha) a)=0$, from this there exists a non-zero polynomial $f=(\alpha a) f_{0}(\beta u)$ with $f(\alpha a \beta u)=0$ such that $d=$ degree $f \leq 4(-l+r)+3$. Therefore $\alpha a \beta A$ is algebraic over $R$.

## Proof of Corollary 1.3 :

By Proposition 1.2, there exists $f \in R[x] \backslash\{0\}$ with degree $d \leq m=4(-l+r)+3$, such that $f(\operatorname{bac} \lambda u)=0$ for all $\lambda \in R, u \in A$. That is, $\lambda p_{1}+\lambda^{2} p_{2}+\ldots+\lambda^{d} p_{d}=0$ where $p_{i}$ is polynomial on bacu with $p_{d}=b_{d}(b a c u)^{d}$. Now, by the Vandermond argument, $p_{1}=\ldots=p_{d}=0$, so $(\text { bacu })^{d}=0$.

The next results are LPI versions of Lema 3.2, Lema 3.3 of [6] and Corolary 3 of [2]. Their proofs are analogous to the group identity case changing GI by LPI.

Corollary 1.4. Let $A$ be a semiprime algebra over an commutative domain $R$ with $|R|>d$. If $U(A)$ satisfies a LPI, then every idempotent element of $R^{-1} A$ is central.

Corollary 1.5. Let $A$ be an algebra over a field $K$ with $U(A)$ satisfying a LPI, and $a, b \in A$ such that $a^{2}=b^{2}=0$.
(1) If $|K|>2 d$, then $(a b)^{2 d}=0$.
(2) If $a b$ is nilpotent, then $(a b)^{2 d}=0$.

Corollary 1.6. Let $K$ be any field and $n \geq 2$. Then the following are equivalent
(1) $U\left(M_{n}(K)\right)$ satisfies the LPI.
(2) $K$ is a finite field.
(3) $U\left(M_{n}(K)\right)$ satisfies a group identity.

In addition, if one of these conditions is hold, then
$|K| \leq 2 d$ and $n \leq 2 \log _{|K|} 2 d+2 \leq 2 \log _{2} 2 d+2$.
In general, Proposition 1.2 is not hold without the restrictions about exponents. If it does, then bacu is algebraic for all $u \in A$, in particular, for $c=b$ and $u=a, b a$ is algebraic. It follows that by Vandermonde augument with $R$ a infinite commutative domain $b a$ is nilpotent. In general, $b a$ is not a nilpotent element. For example, let $A=M_{n}(R), n>1$. By Amitsur - Levitzki theorem [3] $A$ satisfies a LPI

$$
f_{1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n}\right)=S_{2 n} \cdot\left(x_{1} \cdots x_{2 n}\right)^{-1}
$$

where $s_{2 n}$ is the polynomial standard. However $A$ with $a=e_{21}$ and $b=e_{12}, b a=e_{11}$ is not nilpotent. Note that this algebra also satisfies

$$
f_{2}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n}\right)=f_{1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n}\right)+S_{2 n}
$$

(an $L P I$ with some words having sum of exponents zero at every variable).
By the same arguments we can see that if $A=M_{n}(R)$ be $n>1$ and $R$ a infinite commutative domain, there is not exist a non-zero polynomial $g \in R[X]$ not zero such that $g(a b)=0$ for all $a, b \in A$ with $a^{2}=b^{2}=0$. Note that the other side of Proposition 1.2 is true. In fact, suppose that $R$ is finite, so $A$ is finite. From this for all matrices $x \in A$ there exist integers $r>t>0$ such that $x^{r}=x^{t}$. So $x$ satisfies the polynomial $p_{x}(X)=X^{r}-X^{t}$. Then defining $g=\prod_{x \in A} p_{x}$ one has $g(a b)=0$ for all $a, b \in A$ with $a^{2}=b^{2}=0$, which is a contradiction.

Lemma 1.7. Let $A=M_{n}(R)$ be $n>1$ and $R$ a commutative domain. Then $R$ is infinite if and only if there is not any non-zero polynomial $g \in R[X]$ such that $g(a b)=0$ for all $a, b \in A$ with $a^{2}=b^{2}=0$.
Proposition 1.8. Let $F=\frac{R[x, y]}{\left(x^{2}, y^{2}\right)}$ where $R$ is a infinite commutative domain. Then $F$ satisfies any polynomial identity $f$ of $M_{n}(R)$ where $n \geq 2$. Also, $U(F)$ does not satisfy the standard polynomial $S_{2}$ and $S_{3}$. In particular, $F$ satisfies $S_{2 n}$ if only if $n \geq 2$.
Proof. Suppose by contradiction that $F$ does not satisfy an identity $f$ of $M_{n}(R)$ where $n \geq 2$. Then there exist $\overline{u_{1}}=\overline{g_{1}(x, y)}, \ldots, \overline{u_{2 n}}=\overline{g_{2 n}(x, y)} \in F$ with

$$
f\left(\overline{u_{1}}, \ldots, \overline{u_{2 n}}\right) \neq 0
$$

So (multiplying by $x$ or $y$ on left or right if necessary) we obtain

$$
g(x y)=f\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{2 n}\right) \neq \sum_{i} f_{i} u^{2} g_{i}
$$

$u=x, y$. Then, for all $a, b \in A=M_{n}(R)$ such that $a^{2}=b^{2}=0$, we have $g(a b)=0$ it is a contradiction by Lemma 1.7. By evaluation of $S_{2}$ and $S_{3}$ on units $\overline{1+x}, \overline{1+y}$ and $\overline{(1+x)(1+y)}$, we see that $U(F)$ does not satisfy $S_{2}$ and $S_{3}$.

Corollary 1.9. Let $B$ be an algebra over a commutative domain $R$ whose $U(A)$ satisfies the standard polynomial $S_{3}$. Then there exists a polynomial $g \in R[X]$ such that $g(a b)=0$ for all $a, b \in B$ with $a^{2}=b^{2}=0$.
Proof. By applying $S_{3}$ on $X, Y$ and $X Y$ we obtain

$$
S_{3}(X, Y, X Y)=(Y X)^{2}-X^{2} Y^{2}-Y X^{2} Y+X Y^{2} X
$$

So $S_{3}(1+x, 1+y,(1+x)(1+y)) \neq 0$ in $F$, then multiplying by $x$ on the left and $y$ on the right we obtain a polynomial $g(x y)=x S_{3} y$ such that $g(a b)=0$.

Corollary 1.10. Let $F$ be the algebra in Proposition 1.8. The $F$ does not satisfy the group identity $w=1$, with $R$ a infinite field.
Proof. If $F$ satisfies a group identity $w=1$. By Lemma 3.1 [6] there exists a polynomial $g(t) \in R[t]$ such that $g(\bar{x} \bar{y})=0$. Thus $\overline{g(x y)}=0$, that is, $g(x y)=$ $\sum f_{i} u^{2} g_{i}, u=x, y$. Then, $g(a b)=0$ for all $a, b \in M_{n}(R)$ such that $a^{2}=b^{2}=0$ and this contradicts Lemma 1.1.

With some adaptations Lemma 3.1 [6] holds whenever $R$ is an infinite commutative domain. It follows that Corollary 1.5 holds if $R$ is an infinite commutative domain. Note that $F$ is an algebra with LPI ( having more than one word which not constant) with $\sum e x p w_{i}=0$ without group identity ( $L P I$ with only one nonconstant word).
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