Tunable magneto-optical properties of single-layer tin diselenide: From GW approximation to large-scale tight-binding calculations
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A parameterized tight-binding (TB) model based on the first-principles GW calculations is developed for single layer tin diselenide (SnSe2) and used to study its electronic and optical properties under external magnetic field. The truncated model is derived from six maximally localized wannier orbitals on Se site, which accurately describes the quasi-particle electronic states of single layer SnSe2 in a wide energy range. The quasi-particle electronic states are dominated by the hoppings between nearest wannier orbitals (t1-t6). Our numerical calculation shows that, due to the electron-hole asymmetry, two sets of Landau Level spectrum are obtained when a perpendicular magnetic field is applied. The Landau Level spectrum follows linear dependence on the level index and magnetic field, exhibiting properties of two-dimensional electron gas in traditional semiconductors. The optical conductivity calculation shows that the optical gap is very close to the GW value, and can be tuned by external magnetic field. Our proposed TB model can be used for further exploring the electronic, optical, and transport properties of SnSe2, especially in the presence of external magnetic fields.

I. INTRODUCTION

Two-dimensional (2D) transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs) have been receiving continuous concern in the last few years because of their potential applications in electronic and optical devices[1,2]. More interestingly, their metastable 1T phases are reported to have some exotic properties[3,4]. Thus, the main group metal dichalcogenide (MDC) family, whose ground state holds the same atomic configuration of 1T TMDCs, has attracted much attention recently[5,6]. These layered MDCs are highly abundant in earth, environment friendly, and low toxicity[7,8]. Particularly, the 1T structure endows them with unique anisotropic thermal transport properties[9,10]. One typical example is tin diselenide (SnSe2), whose ZT value reaches 2.95 (0.68) along a (c) axis, much higher than that in 2H-TMDs[11,12]. In addition to the high thermoelectric performance, they also exhibit excellent electronic properties. The field effect transistor made of few layer SnSe2 is reported to have high current on/off ratio (106) and mobility (85 cm² V⁻¹ S⁻¹) in the same group MDCs, we would expect more interesting physics of single layer SnSe2 from maximally localized wannier function. As a member of the same group MDCs, we would expect more interesting physical properties of single layer SnSe2. Moreover, many-body effects are crucial for 2D SnSe2 due to the depressed screening and reduced dimensionality of suspended 2D semiconductors. It is thus helpful to develop an effective Hamiltonian for single layer SnSe2 based on the quasi-particle energy as well, to further study its physical properties under external field.

In this paper, we derive a tractable TB model for single layer SnSe2 based on six orbitals with trigonal rotational symmetry. The model describes the quasi-particle elec-
tronic states in a wide spectrum region, which are validated by good consistence with the band structure and density of states from first-principles calculations. In the presence of a perpendicular magnetic field, two sets of Landau levels appear, which disperse linearly with the level index \( n \) and magnetic field strength \( B \). When the value of \( B \) increases, the optical conductivity spectrum of single layer SnSe\(_2\) shows a blue shift, accompanied by a broadening of the gap. Our proposed TB model paves a new way in considering real SnSe\(_2\) and points to the energy levels index \( n \) presence of a perpendicular magnetic field, two sets of Landau levels are presented. In Sec. IV, we propose our simple TB model and analyze the fitting results. In Sec. V, we discuss the effect of magnetic field on single layer SnSe\(_2\) based on the developed TB model. Finally, we give a summary of our current work in Sec. VI.

II. COMPUTATIONAL METHODS

In order to get a reliable TB model, the geometrical optimization and electronic properties of monolayer SnSe\(_2\) are performed by first-principles calculations. We fully relax the atomic structures according to the force and stress performed by density functional theory (DFT) using the Vienna ab initio calculation package (VASP) code\[36, 37\]. The generalized gradient approximation (GGA) functional of the PBE form\[38\] and the projected augmented-wave method (PAW)\[39\] are adopted. The cutoff energy is set to 500 eV after convergence tests. An equivalent Monkhorst-Pack \( k \)-points\[40, 41\] grid 15 \( \times \) 15 \( \times \) 1 is chosen for relaxation and 40 \( \times \) 40 \( \times \) 1 for the static calculations. In our current calculations, the total energy is converged to less than \( 10^{-5} \) eV, and the maximum force is less than 0.02 eV/Å during the optimization. A vacuum layer of 30 Å is fixed to avoid spurious interactions.

The quasi-particle energies and band gaps are calculated by the GW approximation within the general plasmon pole model\[42\]. The involved unoccupied conduction band number for calculating the dielectric function and self-energy is about ten times the occupied valence band number. All the GW calculations are performed with the BERKELEYGW code\[35\] including the slab Coulomb truncation scheme to mimic suspended monolayer structures\[43, 44\].

The construction of the Wannier functions and TB parametrization of the DFT Hamiltonian are done with the WANNIER90 code\[45\]. The obtained hopping parameters are further discarded and re-optimized through a least-squares fitting of the band structure. The electronic density of states and the optical conductivity with external magnetic field are calculated by the tight-binding propagation method (tbs)\[17, 39\]. This numerical method is based on the Chebyshev polynomial algorithm without the diagonalization of the Hamiltonian matrix. It is thus an efficient numerical tool in large-scale calculations of quantum systems with more than millions of atoms.

III. FIRST-PRINCIPLES RESULTS

Unlike traditional TMDCs with \( D_{3h} \) space group, single layer SnSe\(_2\) crystallizes in a 1T-phase structure with \( D_{3d} \) point group, as presented in Figs. 1(a)-(b). It includes a threefold rotation symmetry axis \( C_{3v} \) and a vertical mirror plane \( \delta_d \). The optimized lattice parameter \( a \) is 3.869 Å, the bond length of Sn-Se is 2.751 Å, and the distance between two Se plane is 3.193 Å. They are in line with previous results\[25, 50\]. The projected band structures of Sn and Se atoms in single layer SnSe\(_2\) are presented in Figs. 1(d)-(e). Single layer SnSe\(_2\) is an indirect-gap semiconductor; the conduction band minimum (CBM) is located at the M point, while the valence band maximum (VBM) is slightly away from the \( \Gamma \) point (energy difference \( \sim \)85 meV). The PBE-calculated indirect band gap between the \( \Gamma \) and M points is about 1.580 eV, consistent with a reported value of 1.690 eV\[25\]. Our result shows that the presented six bands in low energy window mainly involve the \( s \) and \( p \) orbitals of Sn and Se atoms. The first and second valence bands at the \( \Gamma \) point are doubly degenerate, with orbital decomposition of the corresponding wave function \( |\psi^{VBM}(\Gamma)\rangle \approx 0.307 |p_x\rangle_{\text{Sn}} + 0.952 |p_y\rangle_{\text{Se}} \). And the wave function of the CBM is \( |\psi^{CBM}(M)\rangle \approx 0.789 |s\rangle_{\text{Sn}} + 0.353 |p_x\rangle_{\text{Se}} + 0.179 |p_y\rangle_{\text{Se}} + 0.241 |p_z\rangle_{\text{Se}} \).

It is well known that DFT usually underestimates the band gap of semiconductors. We therefore have performed the GW calculation to get reliable quasi-particle band gap of monolayer SnSe\(_2\). Similar to those found in other monolayer 2D semiconductors, such as monolayer hexagonal TMDCs\[51\] and phosphorene\[52\], significant self-energy enhancements are observed in SnSe\(_2\); at the single-shot \( G_0 \) level, the quasi-particle band gap at \( \Gamma \) point of monolayer SnSe\(_2\) is increased to be 2.750 eV. Self-consistent GW (sc-GW) scheme beyond single-shot calculations has been verified to be necessary for some 2D semiconductors. Hence, we perform one self-consistent update to the Greens function \( G \); the quasiparticle band gap is further increased to be 3.069 eV. More self-consistent steps only slightly change the band gap (\( \sim \)0.1eV) and we stop at the sc-G\(_1\) level. Finally, the enhanced many-electron effects enlarge the band gaps, but do not change the dispersion shape. The energy difference between the VBM and \( \Gamma \) point is about \( \sim \)77 meV, which is nearly the same with the PBE-calculated value. In the following, all our discussions are based on the finalized sc-G\(_1\) results.
IV. TIGHT-BINDING MODEL

Given that the valence and conduction bands are dominated by $s$ and $p$ orbitals, and that they are separated from other states, it is possible to provide an accurate description of those states in terms of a tractable TB model in the low-energy region. Our parametrization procedure used in this work is based on the formalism of Maximally Localized Wannier Functions (MLWFs), and six orbitals are obtained as the basis for the TB model. A real-space distribution of the MLWFs obtained for single layer SnSe$_2$ is shown in Fig. 2 where a combination of three orbitals localized on each Se atom, giving rise to six MLWFs per cell on two sublattices. The three orbitals are equivalent with a rotational symmetry of $2\pi/3$, effectively reducing the independent TB parameters.

The resulting non-relativistic TB model is given by a 6 by 6 effective Hamiltonian. The matrix elements of the Hamiltonian in Bloch-representation is

$$H_{\alpha\beta} = \langle \alpha, k | H | \beta, k \rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{R}} e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}} \langle \alpha, 0 | H | \beta, \mathbf{R} \rangle$$

$$= t_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{R}) \sum_{\mathbf{R}} e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}}$$

(1)

FIG. 2. Maximally Localized Wannier Functions of single layer SnSe$_2$ corresponding to the basis of the TB Hamiltonian presented in this work. For clarity, orbitals are shown for one sublattice with one orbital per atom only. The orbitals in the second sublattice are symmetric with respect to the inversion center.

The hopping parameters are further re-optimized by minimizing the following least square function

$$\delta\{\{t_i\}\} = \sum_{n,k} \frac{[E_{n,k}^{G_1W_0}\{\{t_i\}\} - E_{n,k}^{TB}\{\{t_i\}\}]^2}{\exp[(E_{n,k}^{TB}\{\{t_i\}\} - E_{n,k}^{G_1W_0}\{\{t_i\}\})^2]}$$

(2)

where $\{t_i\}$ is the hopping in Eq. (1), $E_{n,k}^{G_1W_0}\{\{t_i\}\}$ ($E_{n,k}^{TB}\{\{t_i\}\}$) corresponds to the eigenvalues of $G_1W_0$ (TB) Hamiltonian with $n$ and $k$ being the band index and momenta along the high-symmetry in the first Brillouin zone, respectively. In order to get much more reliable results near the band edge, we add a Gaussian function $\exp[(E_{n,k}^{TB}\{\{t_i\}\} - E_{n,k}^{G_1W_0}\{\{t_i\}\})^2/2]$ here, in which $E_{n,k}^{tb}\{\{t_i\}\}$ is the energy of VBM/CBM from $G_1W_0$ approximation. The remaining orbitals and relevant hopping parameters are schematically shown in Fig. 3 and Table I.

FIG. 1. (a)-(b) Top and side views of atomic structure of single layer SnSe$_2$. The primitive cell and lattice vector $\mathbf{a}$ are indicated. (c) The first Brillouin zone of single layer SnSe$_2$. (d)-(e) Orbital decomposed band structure of Sn and Se in single layer SnSe$_2$, with the corresponding $s$, $p_x$, $p_y$, $p_z$, and $d$ orbitals being red, orange, green, blue, and magenta, respectively. The size of the symbol represents the orbital weight.

TABLE I. Hopping parameters $t_i$ (in eV) assigned to the simple TB Hamiltonian of SL-SnSe$_2$. $d$ is the distance between the atomic sites on which the interacting orbitals are centered. The hoppings are schematically shown in Fig. 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$i$</th>
<th>$t_i$(eV)</th>
<th>$d$(Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1.513</td>
<td>5.491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.681</td>
<td>3.869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.636</td>
<td>3.897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.399</td>
<td>3.897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.285</td>
<td>3.869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>3.869</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where $t_{\alpha\beta}$ is the effective hopping parameter describing the interaction between $\alpha$ and $\beta$ orbitals residing at central and neighbour atoms, respectively. To make the model more tractable yet accurate enough, we first discard the hoppings with an interatomic distance larger than 11.620 Å, then ignore hopping parameters with amplitudes $|t| < 19$ meV. The residual hopping parameters are further re-optimized by minimizing the following least square function

Considering the inversion symmetry of atomic struc-
structure, our reciprocal space Hamiltonian matrix in Eq. [1] can be represented as

\[ H(k) = \begin{pmatrix} E(k) & T(k) \\ T^\dagger(k) & E(k_r) \end{pmatrix} \]  (3)

where \( E(k) \) and \( T(k) \) are 3 \times 3 matrices describing the intra- and inter-sublattice interactions, respectively. The subscript \( r \) in \( U(k_r) \) indicates rotation in the opposite direction, resulting from the vertical mirror symmetry \( \delta_d \). Because the three basic orbitals have threefold rotation symmetry \( C_{3v} \), the corresponding matrices have the form

\[ E(k) = \begin{pmatrix} A(k) & B(k) & B^*(\overline{k}) \\ B^*(\overline{k}) & A(\overline{k}) & B(\overline{k}) \\ B(\overline{k}) & B^*(k) & A(k) \end{pmatrix}, \]  (4)

and

\[ T(k) = \begin{pmatrix} C(k) & D(k) & C(\overline{k}) \\ D(\overline{k}) & C(k) & C(\overline{k}) \\ C(k) & C(\overline{k}) & D(\overline{k}) \end{pmatrix}. \]  (5)

where \( \overline{k} \) and \( \overline{\overline{k}} \) are the \( \mathbf{k} \) vector rotated by \( 2\pi/3 \) and \( 4\pi/3 \), respectively. The matrix elements in Eqs. (4) and (5) are

\[ A(k) = 2t_5 \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}ak_x - \frac{1}{2}ak_y\right) + 2t_5 \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}ak_x + \frac{1}{2}ak_y\right) \]
\[ + 2t_5 \cos(ak_y) + 2t_{12} \cos(\sqrt{3}ak_x) \]  (6)

\[ B(k) = t_2 e^{i\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}ak_x - \frac{1}{2}ak_y\right)} + t_6 e^{i\left(-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}ak_x + \frac{1}{2}ak_y\right)} \]
\[ + t_{10} e^{i\sqrt{3}ak_x - ak_y} + t_{15} e^{i\sqrt{3}ak_x + ak_y} \]  (7)

\[ C(k) = t_3 e^{-iak_y} + t_{13} e^{i\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}ak_x + \frac{1}{2}ak_y\right)} + t_{13} e^{i\left(-\sqrt{3}ak_x - ak_y\right)} \]
\[ + t_{16} e^{i\left(-\sqrt{3}ak_x - 2ak_y\right)} + t_{16} e^{i\sqrt{3}ak_x} \]  (8)

\[ D(k) = t_4 e^{i\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}ak_x - \frac{1}{2}ak_y\right)} + t_4 (1 + e^{-iak_y}) \]
\[ + t_{7} e^{i\left(\sqrt{3}ak_x - ak_y\right)} + t_{7} e^{i\sqrt{3}ak_x} \]
\[ + t_{8} e^{i\left(-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}ak_x - \frac{1}{2}ak_y\right)} + t_{11} e^{i\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}ak_x + \frac{1}{2}ak_y\right)} \]
\[ + t_{14} e^{i\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}ak_x - \frac{1}{2}ak_y\right)} + t_{14} e^{i\left(\sqrt{3}ak_x - \frac{1}{2}ak_y\right)} \]  (9)

The band structure and density of states (DOS) obtained from the given TB model are shown in Fig. 4. The quasi-particle energy within G1W0 is plotted for comparison. One can see a good match between the TB and original first-principles calculations, especially for the band edges. This can be further quantified by the band gaps and effective masses analysis, which are accurately reproduced by the given TB model as shown in Table 1. The indirect (direct) band gaps obtained from TB model are 2.331 (3.066) eV, in good agreement with the value of 2.295 (3.070) eV in G1W0 calculation. This consistence is also remarkable in the effective masses of carriers in single-layer SnSe2. The anisotropic effective masses of electrons along the K-M and Γ-M direction are 0.558 0 and 0.202 0 in the proposed TB model, and the corresponding values from G1W0 are 0.650 0 and 0.202 0, respectively. Thus, our proposed TB model can be used effectively in describing the electronic, optical and transport properties of single layer SnSe2.

FIG. 3. Hopping diagram in the TB model of single layer SnSe2. The orbitals are represented by the negative part of the wannier orbitals as indicated by the green ellipses.

FIG. 4. Comparison of the band structure and density of states for single-layer SnSe2 obtained from G1W0 (red line) and TB (blue line) calculations, respectively.

V. MAGNETO-ELECTRONIC PROPERTIES

To go deeper, we investigate the electronic and optical properties of single-layer SnSe2 with external magnetic field, which is still a challenge in DFT calculation. In the
case of a perpendicularly applied magnetic field $B$, the hopping term between two sites $t_{\alpha\beta}$ in Eq. 4 is replaced by
\[
t_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow t_{\alpha\beta} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\phi_0} \int_{R_1} A \, dl}
\]  
(10)
where $\phi_0 = \hbar c/e$ is the flux quantum, and $A = (-BY, 0, 0)$ is the vector potential in the Landau gauge, respectively. The quantifying magnetic field leads to discrete DOS as the Landau Level (LL) peaks, which are presented in Fig. 5. The geometrical broadening in the LLs is owing to the energy resolution and total number of time steps in the tight-binding propagation method, which is limited by the calculated sample size (number of atoms). Because the electrons and holes are not symmetric in single layer SnSe$_2$, the obtained LL spectrum consists of two sets of equidistant LLs, which can be simply described as
\[
\epsilon_{n,s}^{kp} = E_n + \frac{s e B \hbar}{m_e}(n + \frac{1}{2})w_s
\]  
(11)
where $s = \pm 1$ denotes the conduction and valence bands, $E_{\pm}$ is the energy at the conduction and valence band edge, $n$ is the Landau index, and $w_s = m_e/m^*_s$ is the relative ratio between free electron and the average effective masses at the band edges. For all investigated magnetic field, the LL spectrum follows well the linear dependence on $n$ in Eq. 11 with fitting values of $E_{+/-} = 1.154 (-1.090)$ eV and $w_{+/-} = 2.999 (1.382)$, respectively, as shown in Fig. 6. On the other hand, the LL spectrum also exhibits linear dependence with $B$, which is similar to a typical 2D electron gas. Unlike the $\sqrt{n}$ in massive Dirac system (sgn(n)$\sqrt{2}c\hbar B|n|$), the landau index in Eq. 11 resembles to that of Schrödinger fermions ($\hbar w_s(n + \frac{1}{2})$).

Then, we further study the optical magnetoconductivity $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ of single layer SnSe$_2$ using the Kubo formula
\[
\text{Re} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}(\omega) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \frac{e^{-\beta \hbar \omega} - 1}{\hbar \omega^2} \int_0^\infty e^{-\epsilon t} \sin \omega t \, dt 
\]  
(12)
\[
\times 2 \text{Im} \int (f(H)J_\alpha(t)[1 - f(H)]) J_\beta \, dt 
\]
where $\beta = 1/k_B T$, $\Omega$ is the sample area, $f(H) = (e^{\beta (H - \mu)} + 1)^{-1}$ is the Fermi-Dirac distribution operator, $\mu$ is the chemical potential, and the time-dependent current operator in the $\alpha$ (x or y) direction $J_\alpha(t) = e^{iHt}J_\alpha(t)e^{-iHt}$. Fig. 7 shows the optical conductivity spectrum of single layer SnSe$_2$ along X and Y directions with and without magnetic field. The sample here is the same as in that the LLs calculation with $\sim 10^6$ atoms. When no external magnetic field is applied ($B = 0$ T) in Fig. 7 (a), the optical spectrum shows obvious anisotropy, as a result of the anisotropic atomic structure. Specifically, the optical absorption along X direction is strong in the low energy region, but falls down to quarter of that along Y direction in the high energy region. A sharp increase appears at around 2.686 eV, corresponding to the direct optical transition from the highest valence band to the lowest conduction band at M point (2.634 eV). This correspondence confirms the reliability of our proposed TB model again. For the cases of $B = 50$ T in Figs. 7(b) and (d), the continuous optical conductivity becomes quan-
tized with discrete values, however, which are not very sharp as the cases for graphene and arsenene. This is because that the highest valence band at M point is not discrete, clearly shown in the high energy part at -1.50 eV in the black window in Fig. 5(b). Thus, the magneto-optical spectrum can be classified into two categories, one is the direct-gap semiconductors like graphene with absolutely discrete peaks, and the other is indirect-gap semiconductors in this study with no sharp discrete values. Furthermore, the discrete peaks in single layer SnSe2 exhibit blue shifts with an increasing magnetic field. For example, when the magnetic field varies from 50 to 100 T, the first and second peak of the optical conductivity shifts from 2.684 and 2.707 eV to 2.693 and 2.744 eV, respectively.

FIG. 7. The optical conductivity spectrum of single layer SnSe2 is calculated with B = 0 T (a) and 50 T (b) along X and Y directions, respectively. We zoom in the low energy parts of (a) and (b) along X direction, which are shown at (c) and (d), respectively. σ0 = e2/4ℏ is the universal optical conductivity.

VI. SUMMARY

In summary, we have proposed an effective Hamiltonian for single layer SnSe2 that is derived from six orbitals within the self-consistent GW approach. The model shows good performance with respect to the band structure and density of states comparable to those of the GW results, especially, in the low-energy region. Based on the derived TB model, the electronic and optical properties of single layer SnSe2 can be tuned effectively by a perpendicular magnetic field using the time-dependent propagation method. Because of the electron-hole asymmetry, the magnetic field yields two sets of Landau Level spectra. These spectra follow linear dependence on the field strength B and landau index n, confirming the nature of Schrödinger fermions. Additionally, single layer SnSe2 shows anisotropic optical responses due to its anisotropic atomic configurations. The starting point of the optical spectrum (2.686 eV) corresponds well with the quasi-energy gap at M point, validating the reliability of our proposed TB model. In the presence of external magnetic field, the optical conductivity presents some discrete peaks, but very different from those reported in direct-gap semiconductors. The TB model developed in our paper can be used for further exploring the electronic, optical, and transport properties of pristine and disordered SnSe2, especially in the presence of external magnetic fields.
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