ON THE CODIMENSION OF THE SINGULAR LOCUS
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Abstract. Let $k$ be a field and $V$ an $k$-vector space. For a family $\bar{P} = \{P_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq c$, of polynomials on $V$, we denote by $X_{\bar{P}} \subset V$ the subscheme defined by the ideal $I(\{P_i\})$. We show the existence of a function $\gamma(d,c)$ such that varieties $X_{\bar{P}}$ are smooth outside of codimension $m$, if $\deg(P_i) \leq d$ and $r(\bar{P}) \geq \gamma(d,c)(1 + m)^{\gamma(d,c)}$, under the condition that either $\text{char}(k) = 0$ or $\text{char}(k) > \max(d, |d|)$, where $|d| = \sum_{i=1}^{c}(d_i - 1)$.

1. Introduction

Let $k$ be a field and $V$ an $k$-vector space. For a family $\bar{P} = \{P_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq c$, of polynomials on $V$ we denote by $X_{\bar{P}} \subset V$ the subscheme defined by the ideal $I(\{P_i\})$ and by $X_{\bar{P}}^{\text{sing}} \subset X_{\bar{P}}$ the subscheme of singularities. We denote by $\kappa(\bar{P})$ the codimension of $X_{\bar{P}}^{\text{sing}}$ in $X_{\bar{P}}$. If $c = 1$ we write $X_{\bar{P}}$ instead of $X_{\bar{P}}^{\text{sing}}$.

For a polynomial $P : V \rightarrow k$ we denote by $dP : V \rightarrow V^\vee$ the differential $dP(v) := \frac{\partial P}{\partial h}(v), h \in V$. Then $X_{\bar{P}}^{\text{sing}} = X_{\bar{P}} \cap dP^{-1}(0) = \overline{dP}^{-1}(0)$ where $\overline{dP}(v) : V \rightarrow \mathbb{A} \oplus V^\vee$ is given by $\overline{dP}(v) = (P(v), dP(v))$.

The following statement is proven in [1].

Claim 1.1. There exist a function $C(d,c,m)$ such that $\kappa(\bar{P}) \geq m$ for any family $\bar{P} = \{P_i\}, \deg(P_i) \leq d$, $1 \leq i \leq c$, of algebraic rank $\geq C(d,c,m)$.

The goal of this paper is to obtain an explicit upper bound on $C(d,c,m)$. We first consider the special case when $c = 1$.

Theorem 1.2. Let $d > 0$. There exists a function $\gamma(d)$ such that for any field $k$ of characteristic $> d$ and a polynomial $P$ of degree $d$ and of rank $\geq \gamma(d)(1 + m)^{\gamma(d)}$, we have $\kappa(P) \geq m$.

Now we state the Theorem in the general case.

Let $\bar{P} = \{P_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq c$ be a family of polynomials on $V$ of degrees $d_i$, $1 \leq i \leq c$, $d = \max d_i$. Denote $\bar{d} = (d_1, \ldots, d_c)$ and write $|\bar{d}| := \sum_{i=1}^{c}(d_i - 1)$.

Theorem 1.3. There exists a function $\gamma(d,c)$ such that for any field $k$ either of $\text{char}(k) = 0$ or $\text{char}(k) > \max(d, |\bar{d}|)$ and any family $\bar{P}$ of degree $\bar{d}$ and rank $\gamma(c,d)(1 + m)^{\gamma(c,d)}$, we have $\kappa(\bar{P}) \geq m$.

The second author is supported by ERC grant ErgComNum 682150.
Definition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{X}_P^{\text{sing}} = \widetilde{P}^{-1}(0)$.

Remark 1.4. Our proof only shows that the dependence of $C$ on $c$ and $d$ is double exponential.

Here is an outline of the proof. We denote by $d\widetilde{P} : V \to (\Lambda^c(V))^\vee$ the map such that $d\widetilde{P}(v)(h_1, \ldots, h_c) := \det(\Delta_{\widetilde{P}, h_1, \ldots, h_c}(v))$ where $\Delta_{\widetilde{P}, h_1, \ldots, h_c}(v)$ is the $(c \times c)$-matrix with elements

$$\{\partial P_i/\partial h_j(v)\}, \ 1 \leq i, j \leq c.$$ 

Let $d\widetilde{P}(v) := (P(v), d\widetilde{P}(v))$. The following statement is well known.

Claim 1.5. For any subspace $L \subset \Lambda^c(V)$ we denote by $p_L : \Lambda^c(V) \to L^\vee$ the natural projection and by $\widetilde{Q}_L$ the composition $p_L \circ d\widetilde{P} : V \to L^\vee$. We see that for a proof of Theorem 1.3 it is sufficient to find a good upper bound on $\dim(d\widetilde{P}^{-1}(0))$.

2. Definitions

We fix $d \geq 1$ and consider finite fields $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ of characteristic $> d$. Let $V$ be a finite-dimensional $k$-vector space. We use the notions $r(P)$ and $ar(P)$ for algebraic and analytic ranks (see Definition 2.1) of a family $P = \{P_i\}, \ 1 \leq i \leq c$, of polynomials on $V$. For such a family $P$ we denote by $\mathcal{X}_P \subset V$ the subscheme defined by the ideal $(\{P_i\})_{1 \leq i \leq c}$ and by $\kappa(P)$ the codimension of the singular locus $\mathcal{X}_P^{\text{sing}} \subset \mathcal{X}_P$.

For $d = (d_1, \ldots, d_c)$ we write $|d| := \sum_{i=1}^c (d_i - 1)$. In this paper we restrict the analysis to the case when either $\text{char}(k) > \text{max}(d, |d|)$ or $\text{char}(k) = 0$, and (in this case) provide an upper bound on $C(d, c, m)$.

Definition 2.1. Let $L \subset k[x_1, \ldots, x_N]$ be a finite dimensional subspace.

1. $r(L) := \min_{P \in \Lambda^c(L)} r(P)$ where $r(P)$ is the algebraic rank of $P$ (see [6, 3]).
2. $ar(L) := \min_{P \in \Lambda^c(L)} ar(P)$ where $ar(P)$ is the analytic rank of $P$ (see [3]).
3. For a polynomial map $\widetilde{Q} : \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{A}^n, \widetilde{Q} = \{Q_i, 1 \leq i \leq s\}$ that $Q_1, \ldots, Q_s$ we define

$$r(\widetilde{Q}) := \min_{(a_1, \ldots, a_s) \subset k^n \setminus \{0\}} r(\sum_{i=1}^s a_i Q_i)$$

and

$$ar(\widetilde{Q}) := \min_{(a_1, \ldots, a_s) \subset k^n \setminus \{0\}} ar(\sum_{i=1}^s a_i Q_i).$$

1Also known as $h$-invariant, or strength. For tensors it is known as the partition rank.
Remark 2.3. Since the subscheme

Claim 2.2 on \( \bar{\omega} \) (and will) assume that all the families \( \bar{\omega} \)

Proof. Since \(| f(\lambda) | = |V|^{-1/2} \bar{\omega}^{-1}(\lambda)|

We denote by \( \tilde{F} : L \to \mathbb{C} \) the Fourier transform of \( f \) given by

\[
\tilde{f}(l) := \sum_{\lambda \in L^r} \psi((\lambda, l)) f(\lambda).
\]

(7) For a polynomial \( P \) on \( V, h \in V \) we write \( P_h(v) = P(v + h) - P(v) \).

(8) For a subspace \( W \subset V \) we denote by \( L(W) \) the subspace of polynomials on \( V \) spanned by \( P \) and \( P_w, w \in W \).

Claim 2.2. Let \( \bar{P} = \{P_i\}_{i=1}^c \) be a family of polynomials with \( r(\bar{P}) \neq 0 \). Then

1. \( \dim(L(\bar{P})) = c \).
2. There exists a basis \( Q_i^j \) in \( L(\bar{P}) \) such that
   a. \( \deg(Q_i^j) = j \).
   b. For any \( j \) the family \( \bar{Q}^j = \{Q_i^j\} \) is of rank \( \geq r(\bar{P}) \).

Remark 2.3. Since the subscheme \( \mathbb{X}_P \) depends only on the space \( L(\bar{P}) \), we can (and will) assume that all the families \( \bar{P} \) we consider satisfy the conditions of Claim 2.2 on \( \bar{Q} \).

3. Results from the additive combinatorics

We fix a non-trivial additive character \( \psi : k \to \mathbb{C}^* \).

Claim 3.1. If \( ar(L) > \dim(L) \) then \(| f(\lambda) / f(\mu) - 1| \leq 1/2 \) for all \( \lambda, \mu \in L^r(W) \).

Proof. Since \( f(\lambda) = |L|^{-1} \sum_{l \in L} \psi((\lambda, l)) \tilde{f}(l) \) and \( \tilde{f}(0) = 1 \) it is sufficient to show that \( |\tilde{f}(l)| \leq 1/q^{\dim(L)+1} \) for \( l \in L - \{0\} \). But this inequality follows immediately from the definition of \( ar(L) \) and the inequality \( ar(L) > \dim(L) \).

We use the following two results from the additive combinatorics. We denote \( E_{s \in S} = |S|^{-1} \sum_{s \in S} \). Denote by \( U_d \) the Gowers \( U_d \)-uniformity norm for functions \( f : V \to \mathbb{C} \) defined by:

\[
\|f\|_{U_d}^2 = \mathbb{E}_{x,v_1,\ldots,v_d \in V} \prod_{\omega \in \{0,1\}^d} f^\omega(x + \sum_{i=1}^d \omega_i v_i)
\]

where \( f^\omega = \tilde{f} \) if \( |\omega| = \sum_{i=1}^d \omega_i \) is odd and otherwise \( f^\omega = f \).

Claim 3.2 (\cite{G4}). For any \( d > 0 \) and a polynomial \( P : V \to k \) of degree \( d \) and rank \( < r \) we have

\[
\|\mathbb{E}_{x \in V} \psi(P(x))\|_{U_d}^{2d} > q^{-r}.
\]

Proposition 3.3 (Rank-bias (\cite{G4})). For any \( d > 0 \) there exists \( c_d, E_d > 0 \) such that for any polynomial \( P : V \to k \) of degree \( d \) and rank \( > r \) we have

\[
|\mathbb{E}_{x \in V} \psi(P(x))| \leq \|\mathbb{E}_{x \in V} \psi(P(x))\|_{U_d} < E_d q^{-r+d}.
\]
Alternatively, there exists $A_d, e_d > 0$ such that

$$|E_{x \in V} \psi(P(x))| > q^{-s},$$

then $r(P) < e_d s^{A_d}$. The dependence of the constants on $d$ is double exponential.

4. The case of one a hypersurface

In this section we present a proof of Theorem 4.3 in $c = 1$.

**Theorem 4.1.** There exists a function $\gamma(d)$ such that $\kappa(P) \geq m$ for any polynomial $P$ degree $d$ and of rank $\gamma(d)(1 + m)^{\gamma(d)}$.

**Proof.** To simplify notations we define

$$T = T(r, m, d) := 2^d((re_d^{-1})^{A_d^{-1}}/3e_{d-1})^{A_{d-1}} - m.$$

We start with the following statement.

**Proposition 4.2.** There exists a function $r(d)$ such that the following holds. For any finite field $k$ of characteristic $> d, m \geq 1$ and a polynomial $P : W \rightarrow k$ of degree $d$ and rank $r > r(d)$ there exist $h_1, \ldots, h_m \in W$ such that the family $\bar{Q} := \{P, P_{h_1}, \ldots, P_{h_m}\}$ is of rank $> T$.

**Proof.** Fix $d, m$. Since $P$ is of degree $d$ and $P_w$ is of degree $< d$, we need to find $\bar{w} = (w_1, \ldots, w_m)$ such that $\{P_{w_1}, \ldots, P_{w_m}\}$ is of rank $> T$. Note that for $r$ sufficiently large we have $T \leq r$.

Suppose for any $\bar{w}$ we have that $\{P_{w_1}, \ldots, P_{w_m}\}$ is of rank $\leq T$. Then for any $w$ there exists $b \in k^m \setminus 0$ such that $\sum b_i P_{w_i}$ is of rank $\leq T$. By the pigeonhole principle there exists $b \in k^m \setminus 0$ such that $\sum b_i P_{w_i}$ for a set of $\bar{w}$ of size $\geq |V|^m/q^m$.

Fix this $c$.

This implies that

$$E_{\bar{w} \in V^m} \|\psi(\sum_{i=1}^m b_i P_{w_i})\|_{U_{d-1}}^{2d-1} > q^{-T-m}$$

W.l.o.g. $b_1 \neq 0$. Rewrite this as

$$E_{w_1, \ldots, w_{m-1} \in V^{m-1}} E_{w_1 \in V} \|\psi(\sum_{i=1}^m b_i P_{w_i})\|_{U_{d-1}}^{2d-1} > q^{-T-m}$$

We find that there exist $w_1, \ldots, w_{m-1}$ such that

$$E_{w_1 \in V} \|\psi(\sum_{i=1}^m b_i P_{w_i})\|_{U_{d-1}}^{2d-1} > q^{-T-m}$$

so that for $q^{(-T-m)/2}|V|$ many $w_1$ we have

$$\|\psi\sum_{i=1}^m b_i P_{w_i}\|_{U_{d-1}}^{2d-1} > q^{(-T-m)/2},$$
and thus \( \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i} P_{w_{i}} \) is of rank \( < B := e_{d-1}((T + m)/2d)^{A_{d-1}} \). Now subtract to get for \( q^{(-T-m)/2}|V| \) many \( w_{1}, w'_{1} \) we have
\[
b_{i}(P_{w_{i}} - P_{w'_{i}})
\]
is of rank \( < 2B \). So that \( P_{w_{1}-w'_{1}} \) is of rank \( < 2B \) for \( q^{(-T-m)/2}|V|^2 \) many \( w_{1}, w'_{1} \).

But this implies that
\[
\|\psi(P)\|^{2d}_{U_{d}} = \mathbb{E}_{w}\|\psi(P_{w})\|^{2d-1}_{U_{d-1}} > q^{-2B-(T+m)/2},
\]
so that \( P \) is of rank
\[
< e_{d}(2B + (T + m)/2)^{A_{d}}.
\]

To get a contradiction we need
\[
e_{d}((2B + (T + m)/2)^{A_{d}} = e_{d}(2e_{d-1}((T + m)/2d)^{A_{d-1}} + (T + m)/2)^{A_{d}} < r
\]
Namely
\[
(2e_{d-1}((T + m)/2d)^{A_{d-1}} + (T + m)/2) < (re_{d-1})^{A_{d-1}}
\]
Choose \( r_{0}(d) \) so that for \( r > r_{0}(d) \) we have
\[
e_{d-1}((T + m)/2d)^{A_{d-1}} > (T + m)/2.
\]
It then suffices to have
\[
3e_{d-1}((T + m)/2d)^{A_{d-1}} < (re_{d-1})^{A_{d-1}},
\]
or
\[
T < 2d((re_{d-1})^{A_{d-1}}/3e_{d-1})^{A_{d-1}} - m.
\]

\[\square\]

**Corollary 4.3.** \(|\hat{f}(l)| \leq E_{d} q^{-T_{\gamma(d)}} \) for \( l \in L \setminus \{0\} \).

Now we prove Theorem 4.1.1.

We write \( r = m^{a}, a > 0 \). Then Proposition 4.2 guaranties the existence of \( h_{1}, \ldots, h_{m} \in W \) such that the family \( \bar{Q} := \{P, P_{h_{1}}, \ldots, P_{h_{m}}\} \) is of rank \( > 2d((mae_{d}^{-1})^{A_{d-1}}/3e_{d-1})^{A_{d-1}} - m \). Assuming that \( a(A_{d}A_{d-1})^{-1} \geq 2 \) we see that for \( m > m_{0}(d) \) we have that \( r(\bar{Q}) \geq c(d)m^{a(A_{d}A_{d-1})^{-1}} \) for some \( c(d) > 0 \). As follows from Proposition 3.3 we have \( ar(\bar{Q}) \geq c'(d)m^{aA_{d-2}A_{d-1}^{-1}} \) for some \( c'(d) > 0 \). Choose \( a \) such that \( aA_{d}^{-2}A_{d-1}^{-1} \geq 2 \). Then (at least for \( m >> m_{1}(d) \)), we have that \( ar(\bar{Q}) \geq m + 1 \). It is easy to see the existence of \( \gamma(d) > 0 \) such that \( T(m) > m \) for \( m = r^{\gamma(d)} \). It follows now from Claim 3.4 that \( [\bar{Q}]_{Q}(k_{s})] \ll q^{s(d(V) - r^{\gamma(d)})}, s \geq 1 \) where \( k_{s}/k \) is the extension of degree \( s \). Therefore (see Section 3.5 of 3) \( \dim(\bar{Q}) = \dim(V) - r^{\gamma(d)} \). The arguments of Section 3.6 of 3 show the the same equality is true in the case of an arbitrary field of characteristic \( > d \). Since (by Claim 1.5) \( X^{\text{sing}} \subset X_{Q} \) we see that \( \kappa(P) \geq m \). \[\square\]
5. HIGHER CODIMENSION

We define polynomials $F^n_s \in k[y^i_1], 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq s$ of degree s by

$$F^n_s(y^1, \ldots, y^n) := \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_s \leq n} \det(y^1_{i_1}, \ldots, y^n_{i_s}).$$

**Lemma 5.1.** $F^n_s$ is of rank $\geq n/2s$.

**Proof.** We start with the following well known result (see for example section 4.3 of [3]).

**Claim 5.2.** $r(P) \geq \kappa(P)/2$ for any polynomial $P : V \to k$.

We see that for a proof of Lemma 5.1 it is sufficient to show that $\kappa(P) \geq n/s$ or (what is the same) to show that $\dim(\mathbf{X}^{\text{sing}}_P) \leq sn - n/s$.

To illustrate the arguments we first consider the case $s = 2$ and write $y^1 = (a_1, \ldots, a_n), y^2 = (b_1, \ldots, b_n)$. Then

$$P(a_1, \ldots, a_n; b_1, \ldots, b_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (a_ib_j - a_jb_i).$$

Let $Q_i := \partial P/\partial a_i = \sum_{j > i} b_j - \sum_{j < i} b_j$ and $R_i := \partial P/\partial b_i = -\sum_{j > i} a_j + \sum_{j < i} a_j$. Then the subvariety $\mathbf{X}^{\text{sing}}_P \subset \mathbb{A}^{2n}$ is defined by the system

$$P(a_1, \ldots, a_n; b_1, \ldots, b_n) = Q_i(a_1, \ldots, a_n; b_1, \ldots, b_n) = R_i(a_1, \ldots, a_n; b_1, \ldots, b_n) = 0$$

of equations. Since

$$Q_{i+1}(a_1, \ldots, a_n; b_1, \ldots, b_n) - Q_i(a_1, \ldots, a_n; b_1, \ldots, b_n) = b_{i+1} - b_i$$

we see the existence of $a, b \in k$ such that $a_i = a, b_i = b$ for all $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$. So $\dim(\mathbf{X}^{\text{sing}}_P) \leq 2$.

Consider now the general case. We write $y^i = (a^i_1, \ldots, a^i_n)$. Let $Q^i_j := \partial P/\partial a^i_j$.

For any $j, 1 \leq j \leq n/s$ we define $R_j := Q^i_{j+1} - Q^i_j$ and denote by $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{A}^{sn}$ the subvariety defined by the system $\{R_j = 0\}, 1 \leq j \leq n/s$ of equations. Since $\mathbb{Z} \supset \mathbf{X}_P$ it is sufficient to show that $\dim(\mathbb{Z}) \leq sn - n/s$. But this equality follows immediately from the following statement.

**Claim 5.3.**

1. $R_j \neq 0, 1 \leq j \leq n/s$.
2. $R_j \in k[a^i_1, sj \leq i < s(j + 1)]$.

Consider the collection of polynomials $F^n_{i,s} : k^{snm} \to k$ defined by

$$F^n_{i,s}(y^1_1, \ldots, y^1_m; \ldots; y^n_1, \ldots, y^n_m) := F^n_s(y^i_1, \ldots, y^n_i); \quad 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

This collection is also of rank $\geq n/2s$.

As always we will assume either $k$ is of characteristic 0 or of characteristic $> \max(d, |d|)$. 
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**Theorem 5.4.** For any \( m, d, s > 0, 1 \leq d_i \leq d, 1 \leq i \leq s \) there exists \( C = C(m, d, s) > 0 \) such for any polynomial \( \{P^1, \ldots, P^s\} \) family on a \( k \) vector space \( W \), of degrees \( d_i \) and rank \( > C r^C \) the following holds: there exist \( t = t(r, s, m) \) and \( w_1, \ldots, w_{tm} \in V \), such that the collection \( \bar{Q} \) of functions

\[
\{P^1, \ldots, P^s, F_{i,s}^t(P_{w_{1}}, \ldots, P_{w_{tm}}^1, \ldots, P_{w_{tm}}^s); \ i \in [m]\}
\]

is of rank \( > r \).

**Proof.** To simplify notations we write \( a \) where the constants \( a_1(s, d), a_2(s, s) \) will be chosen later and will depend on the constants \( A_{[d]}, A_d, E_s, a_s, c_{[d]} \) from Proposition 5.3.

**Proposition 5.5.** For any polynomials \( P^1, \ldots, P^s : W \to k \) of degrees \( d_i \leq m, \ldots, w_{tm} \in W \), such that the family

\[
\bar{Q} := \{P^1, \ldots, P^s, F_{i,s}^t(P_{w_{1}}, \ldots, P_{w_{tm}}^1, \ldots, P_{w_{tm}}^s); \ i \in [m]\}
\]

is of rank \( > T \).

**Proof.** Fix \( d, m, s > 1 \). Note that \( |\bar{d}| \geq d \). We need to find \( \bar{w} = (w_1, \ldots, w_{tm}) \) such that

\[
\{P^1, \ldots, P^s, F_{i,s}^t(P_{w_{1}}, \ldots, P_{w_{tm}}^1, \ldots, P_{w_{tm}}^s), i \in [m]\}
\]

is of rank \( > T \).

Suppose for any \( \bar{h} = (h_1, \ldots, h_{tm}) \), the system

\[
\{P^1, \ldots, P^s, F_{i,s}^t(P_{w_{1}}, \ldots, P_{w_{tm}}^1, \ldots, P_{w_{tm}}^s), i \in [m]\}
\]

of polynomials of degree \( |\bar{d}| \), is of rank \( < T \), but the system \( \{P^1, \ldots, P^s\} \) is of rank \( > T \).

Then for any \( \bar{w} \) there exists \( b \in k^s, c \in k^m \setminus 0 \) such that

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{s} b_j P^j + \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i F_{i,s}^t(P_{w_{1}}, \ldots, P_{w_{tm}}^1, \ldots, P_{w_{tm}}^s)
\]

is of rank \( < T \). By the pigeonhole principle there exists \( c \in k^{m+1} \setminus 0 \) such that

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{s} b_j P^j + \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i P_{w_{i}}
\]

for a set of \( \bar{w} \) of size \( \geq |V|^m / q^m \). Fix this \( c \).

This implies that

\[
\left\| \psi \left( \sum_{j=1}^{s} b_j P^j + \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i F_{i,s}^t(P_{w_{1}}, \ldots, P_{w_{tm}}^1, \ldots, P_{w_{tm}}^s) \right) \right\|_{U_{[d]}}^{2|\bar{d}|} > q^{-T-m}.
\]

from some non trivial character \( \psi : k \to S^1 \).

Consider the function \( \psi \circ \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i F_{i,s}^t : k^{slm} \to \mathbb{C} \). By Fourier analysis,

\[
\psi \circ \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i F_{i,s}^t(y_1, y_{1m}, \ldots, y^s_1, \ldots, y^s_{lm}) = \sum_{\bar{a}^1, \ldots, \bar{a}^s \in k^{slm}} u_{\bar{a}^1, \ldots, \bar{a}^s} \psi(\sum_i \bar{a}^i \cdot y^i)
\]
Since \( \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i F^t_{i,s} \) is of degree \( s \) and of rank \( > t/2s \), we have that
\[
u_{\bar{0}, \ldots, \bar{0}} = E_{y^1, \ldots, y^s} \psi \circ \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i F^t_{i,s} < E_s q^{-(t/2s)^{\alpha s}}
\]
We get
\[
\left\| \psi \left( \sum_{j=1}^{s} b_j P^j(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i F^t_{i,s}(P^1_{u_1}(x), \ldots, P^1_{w_{tm}}(x), \ldots, P^s_{u_1}(x), \ldots, P^s_{w_{tm}}(x)) \right) \right\|_{U[\bar{d}]}^{2[\bar{d}]}
\]
We choose \( t \) so that \( E_s q^{-(t/2s)^{\alpha s}} < q^{-2(T+m)} \), i.e. \( t = [2s(\log_q E_s + 2(T + m))^{\alpha s-1}] \). Thus for any \( \bar{w} \) we can find \( \bar{a}^1, \ldots, \bar{a}^s \in k^{tm} \setminus 0 \) such that
\[
\left\| u_{\bar{a}^1, \ldots, \bar{a}^s} \psi \left( \sum_{j=1}^{s} b_j P^j(x) + \sum_{i} \bar{a}^i \cdot (P^i_{u_1}(x), \ldots, P^i_{w_{tm}}(x)) \right) \right\|_{U[\bar{d}]} \geq q^{(-2T-2m)/2[\bar{d}]}.
\]
Set \( B = (2T+2m)/2[\bar{d}] \). By the pigeonhole principle there is a set \( A \) of \( \bar{w} \) of size \( \geq q^{-stm}|V|^{tm} \), and \( (\bar{a}^1, \ldots, \bar{a}^s) \in k^{tm} \) \( \bar{w} \) such that for all \( \bar{w} \in A \) we have
\[
\left\| \psi \left( \sum_{j=1}^{s} b_j P^j(x) + \sum_{i} \bar{a}^i \cdot (P^i_{u_1}(x), \ldots, P^i_{w_{tm}}(x)) \right) \right\|_{U[\bar{d}]} \geq q^{-B}
\]
so that
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{s} b_j P^j(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \bar{a}^i \cdot (P^i_{u_1}(x), \ldots, P^i_{w_{tm}}(x))
\]
is of rank \( < e_1 B^{A[\bar{d}]} \). If all \( \bar{a} \) With out loss of generality \( a_1^1 \neq 0 \). Subtracting we get that for a set of \( w_1, w' \) of size \( > q^{-stm}|V|^2 \), we have that
\[
a_1^1(P^1_{u_1}(x) - P^1_{w_1}(x))
\]
is of rank \( < 2e_1 B^{A[\bar{d}]} \).

But this implies that for a set of size \( > q^{-stm}|V| \), we have \( P^1_{w_1}(x) \) is of rank \( < 2e_1 B^{A[\bar{d}]} \).

So that
\[
\left\| \psi(P^1) \right\|_{U_{\bar{d}}}^{2[\bar{d}]} \geq \left\| \psi(P^1) \right\|_{U_{\bar{d}}}^{2[\bar{d}]} = E_w \left\| \psi(P_w) \right\|_{U_{\bar{d}}}^{2[\bar{d}] - 1} > q^{-2e_1 B^{A[\bar{d}]} - stm}
\]
and thus $P$ is of rank 
\[ < e_d(2e_{|d|}B^{|d|} + stm)^{A_d}. \]
To get a contradiction we need the above to be $< r$. Namely,
\[ e_d(2e_{|d|}((2T + 2m)/2|d|)^{A_d} + sm([2s \log q E_s + 2(T + m))^{\alpha_s}]))^{A_d} < r \]
The above condition can be replaced by a condition of the form:
\[ T < m^{-1}a_1(s,d)r^{a_2(s,d)} - m. \]
with $a_1(s,d), a_2(s,d)$ depending on $A_{|d|}, A_d, E_s, \alpha_s, e_{|d|}$ from Proposition 3.3. \hfill □

We can consider the collection $\bar{Q}$ of 5.4 as a map $\bar{Q} : \mathbb{A}^N \to \mathbb{A}^f$. Since (by Claim 1.5) $X_p^{\text{sing}} \subset \bar{Q}^{-1}(0)$ we derive Theorem 1.3 from Proposition 5.5 using the same arguments as in the derivation of Theorem 1.2 from Proposition 4.2. \hfill □
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