Given $q > 2$, let $F := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_i}{q} : d_i \in \{0, 1\} \right\}$ be the middle $1 - \frac{2}{q}$ Cantor set, then $F \times F$ is the self-similar set generated by $f_d(x) = \frac{x + d}{q}$, where $d \in \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1)\}$. Kong and Baker [1] discussed the dimension of $F \cap (F + t)$ for different $q \in (2, 3)$, where $t \in F - F$. In this paper we will restrict the set $F \times F$ with alphabet $\Omega := \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0)\}$, and consider the intersection of Cartesian product of $F \times F$ with their translations.

1. Introduction

Given $q > 2$ we set

\begin{equation}
E := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_i}{q^i} : c_i \in \Omega \right\}
\end{equation}

where $\Omega := \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0)\}$. We associate the contracting similarities

\begin{align*}
f_1(x, y) &= \left( \frac{x}{q}, \frac{y}{q} \right), & f_2(x, y) &= \left( \frac{x}{q} + (0, 1) \right),
\end{align*}

and

\begin{equation*}
f_3(x, y) = \left( \frac{x}{q} + (1, 0) \right).
\end{equation*}

We see $E$ is self-similar set and the unique compact non-empty set satisfying

\[ E = f_1(E) \cup f_2(E) \cup f_3(E). \]

Moreover the IFS $\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$ satisfies strong separation condition.
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Observe that $E$ projects on $x$ axis and $y$ axis are the middle $1 - 2\alpha$ Cantor set as follows

$$F := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_i}{q^i} : d_i \in \{0, 1\} \right\}$$

where $\alpha := \frac{1}{q}$. Although $E \neq F \times F$, we can compute the Hausdorff dimension of $E$ $\dim_H(E) = \frac{\log 3}{\log q}$.

In this paper our main object of study is the dimension of $E \cap (E + t)$, note that $E \cap (E + t) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $t \in E - E$. For convenience we can write the difference set $E - E$ and $F - F$ as follows

$$E - E = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_i}{q^i} : a_i \in \tilde{\Omega} \right\}$$

where $\tilde{\Omega} = \{(0,0), (0,1), (1,0), (-1,0), (-1,1), (0,-1), (1,-1)\}$.

$$F - F = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_i}{q^i} : b_i \in \{-1,0,1\} \right\}$$

Given a real base $q > 1$

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_i \frac{1}{q^i}$$

over $\{0,1\}$ in base $q$, the sequence $(e_i)$ is called a $q$-expansion of $x$. We recall that for $q > 3$ each $t_0 \in F - F$ has a unique $q$-expansion over alphabet $\{-1,0,1\}$. When $q = 3$ almost every $t_0$ has unique $q$-expansion, except that a countable set of $t_0$ have exactly two $q$-expansions. If $2 < q < 3$ Lebesgue almost every $t_0$ has a continuum of $q$-expansions.

From [9, Remark 2.3] we see there exists some $t = (t_1, t_2) \in E - E$ has a unique expansion with respect to $\tilde{\Omega}$, $t_1$ or $t_2$ may not have a unique expansion with respect to $\{-1,0,1\}$, in which case it is complicate. Therefore in this paper we slightly modify the definition of unique expansion of $t \in E - E$ with respect to $\tilde{\Omega}$.

**Definition 1.1.** We mean $t = (t_1, t_2) \in E - E$ has a unique expansion in base $q$ with alphabet $\tilde{\Omega}$, if and only if $t_1 = (t_1^1, t_1^2) \in F - F$ have a unique expansion in base $q$ with alphabet $\{-1,0,1\}$, and $((t_1^1), (t_1^2)) \in \tilde{\Omega}^N$.

Given $q > 2$, let $t \in E - E$ have a unique expansion $(t_i)$, then we have (see [12])

$$E \cap (E + t) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_i}{q^i} : a_i \in (\Omega \cap (\Omega + t_i)) \right\}.$$
Komornik et al. [7, 8, 5, 6, 2] discovered that there exists a smallest base $q_{KL}$ (called the Komornik–Loreti constant) in which $x = 1$ has a unique expansion, and there exists a sequence $(q_m)$ ($m = 1, 2, \cdots$) satisfying $q_1 < q_2 < \cdots < q_{KL}$ and $q_m \nearrow q_{KL}$ as $m \to \infty$.

The following sets arouse our interest

$$U_q := \{ t \in E - E : t \text{ has a unique expansion} \},$$

$$D_q := \{ \dim_H E \cap (E + t) : t \in U_q \}.$$

Now we state our main result.

**Theorem 1.2.**

(1) Let $q \in (q_m, q_{m+1})$, then

$$D_q = \left\{ 0, \frac{\log 3}{\log q} \right\} \cup \left\{ -\frac{\log 3}{\log q} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \right)^i : 1 \leq n < m \right\}.$$

(2)

$$D_{q_{KL}} \supset \left( \left\{ 0, \frac{\log 3}{\log q_{KL}} \right\} \cup \left\{ -\frac{\log 3}{\log q_{KL}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \right)^i : 1 \leq n < \infty \right\} \right).$$

2. **Proof of Theorem 1.2**

We recall the classical Thue–Morse sequence $(\tau_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$, $\tau_0 = 0$, $\tau_2i = \tau_i$ and $\tau_{2i+1} = 1 - \tau_i$. This sequence begins with

$$0110 \; 1001 \; 1001 \; 0110 \; \cdots.$$

Using $(\tau_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ we define a new sequence $(\lambda_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ (see [2])

$$\lambda_i = \tau_i - \tau_{i-1}.$$

This sequence is related with the unique expansion $(c_i) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$. From (2.1) we have the following property

$$\lambda_1 = 1, \quad \lambda_{2^n+1} = 1 - \lambda_{2^n};$$

$$\lambda_{2^n+i} = -\lambda_i \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i < 2^n.$$

(\lambda_i) begins with

$$10(-1)1 (-1)010 (-1)01(-1) \; 10(-1)1 \; \cdots.$$

We denote $\varepsilon_n = \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_{2^n}$, using (2.2) one can check that $\varepsilon_{n+1} = \varepsilon_n^{-1}$, where $\varepsilon_n = (-\lambda_1) \cdots (-\lambda_{2^n})$, and $\varepsilon_{n}^+ = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_{2^n-1} (\lambda_{2^n} + 1)$.

We introduce the following notations

$$\widetilde{U}_q := \{ t \in F - F : t \text{ has a unique expansion} \},$$

$$U_q' := \left\{ (t_i) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{t_i}{q^i} \in \widetilde{U}_q \right\}.$$

For the proof we need several lemmas. The first one was proved in [12].
Lemma 2.1. Let $q \in (2, 3)$, then for any $t \in \tilde{U}_q$

$$\dim_H(F \cap (F + t)) = \frac{\log 2}{\log q} d'(t_i),$$

where

$$d'(t_i) := \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\# \{1 \leq i \leq n : t_i = 0\}}{n}.$$

By (1.3) we see

$$\Omega \cap (\Omega + (0, 1)) \in \{(0, 1)\},$$
$$\Omega \cap (\Omega + (1, 0)) \in \{(1, 0)\},$$
$$\Omega \cap (\Omega + (-1, 0)) \in \{(0, 0)\},$$
$$\Omega \cap (\Omega + (-1, 1)) \in \{(0, 1)\},$$
$$\Omega \cap (\Omega + (0, -1)) \in \{(0, 0)\},$$
$$\Omega \cap (\Omega + (1, -1)) \in \{(1, 0)\},$$
$$\Omega \cap (\Omega + (0, 0)) \in \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0)\}.$$

Using (1.3) and combine with [12, Theorem 3.1] (see [3, 4]) we have

Corollary 2.2. Let $q \in (2, 3)$, then for any $t = (t_1, t_2) \in U_q$

$$\dim_H(E \cap (E + t)) = \frac{\log 3}{\log q} d((t_i)),$$

where

$$d((t_i)) := \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\# \{1 \leq i \leq n : t_i = (0, 0)\}}{n}.$$

The following lemma from [11, Lemma 3.1].

Lemma 2.3.

$$d'(\varepsilon_n) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^i.$$

We recall the following important results in [11, 10], it will be useful for our proof.

Lemma 2.4. Let $q \in (q_m, q_{m+1}]$ $m \geq 1$, then each element of $U_q' \setminus \{(-1)^\infty, 1^\infty\}$ ends with one of

$$0^\infty, (\varepsilon_{1-1})^\infty, (\varepsilon_{2-2})^\infty, \cdots, (\varepsilon_{m-1-1})^\infty.$$

Lemma 2.5. Each element of $U_{KL}' \setminus \{(-1)^\infty, 1^\infty\}$ is either eventually periodic with following period

$$0^\infty, (\varepsilon_{1-1})^\infty, (\varepsilon_{2-2})^\infty, \cdots,$$

or ends with the sequence of the form

$$(\varepsilon_0\varepsilon_0)^{j_0}(\varepsilon_0\varepsilon_1)^{j_1}(\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_1)^{j_2}(\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_1)^{j_3} \cdots.$$
and its reflection, where
\[ l_m \in \{0, 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad j_m \geq 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad m \geq 0, \]
\[ j_m = \infty \implies j_{m_1} = l_{m_2} = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad m_1 > m \quad \text{and} \quad m_2 \geq m. \]

Next we study the words \( \varepsilon_n \bar{\varepsilon}_n \) and complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let \( n \geq 1 \), there exists some \( 0 < i < 2^{n+1} \) such that the sequence \( (\sigma^i((\varepsilon_n \bar{\varepsilon}_n)\infty), (\varepsilon_m \bar{\varepsilon}_m)\infty)) \) contains infinite many \((0,0)\) and does not contain any \((-1,-1)\) or \((1,1)\), if and only if \( i = 2^n \), where \( \sigma \) is left shift.

**Proof.** We set
\[ E_{nm}^i = (\sigma^i((\varepsilon_n \bar{\varepsilon}_n)\infty), (\varepsilon_m \bar{\varepsilon}_m)\infty). \]
By (2.2) for each \( \varepsilon_n \), \( \lambda_j = 0 \) only if \( j \) is even. So \( E_{nm}^i \) contains infinite many \((0,0)\) holds only if \( i \) is even. We observe that
\[ (\varepsilon_1 \bar{\varepsilon}_1)\infty = (10(-1)0)\infty, \]
\[ (\varepsilon_2 \bar{\varepsilon}_2)\infty = (10(-1)1(-1)01(-1))\infty, \]
\[ (\varepsilon_3 \bar{\varepsilon}_3)\infty = (10(-1)1(-1)010(-1)01(-1)10(-1)0)\infty, \]
\[ \ldots, \]
\[ (\varepsilon_n \bar{\varepsilon}_n)\infty = (\varepsilon_{n-1} \varepsilon_{n-1} \varepsilon_{n-1} \varepsilon_{n-1} \varepsilon_{n-1} \varepsilon_{n-1} \varepsilon_{n-1})\infty, \]
\[ \ldots. \]

It is easy to see when \( n = 1 \), only \( E_{11}^2 \) contains infinite many \((0,0)\) and does not contain any \((-1,-1)\) or \((1,1)\). We assume that it holds for some \( n = r \geq 1 \). When \( n = r + 1 \), it follows from the induction hypothesis and \( \varepsilon_{i+1} \varepsilon_{i+1} = \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_i \) for all \( i \geq 1 \). \( \square \)

**Lemma 2.7.** Let \( m \geq n \geq 1 \). There exists some \( 0 < i < 2^{n+1} \) such that the sequence \( E_{nm}^i \) does not contain any \((-1,-1)\) or \((1,1)\) if and only if \( m = n \).

**Proof.** We set
\[ I_{nm} := \{0 < i < 2^{n+1} : E_{nm}^i \text{ does not contain } (-1,-1) \text{ or } (1,1) \}. \]
The sufficiency follows from Lemma 2.6. We assume that \( r \geq m \geq n \geq 1 \) and prove the necessity by induction on \( r \). For convenience, writing
\[ (\varepsilon_1 \bar{\varepsilon}_1)\infty = (10(-1)0)\infty, \]
\[ (\varepsilon_2 \bar{\varepsilon}_2)\infty = (10(-1)1(-1)01(-1))\infty, \]
\[ (\varepsilon_3 \bar{\varepsilon}_3)\infty = (10(-1)1(-1)010(-1)01(-1)10(-1)0)\infty, \]
\[ \ldots, \]
\[ (\varepsilon_n \bar{\varepsilon}_n)\infty = (\varepsilon_{n-1} \varepsilon_{n-1} \varepsilon_{n-1} \varepsilon_{n-1} \varepsilon_{n-1} \varepsilon_{n-1} \varepsilon_{n-1})\infty, \]
\[ \ldots. \]
When \( r = 1, I_{11} = \{1, 2, 3\} \). When \( r = m = 2 \) and \( n = 1 \), \( I_{12} = \emptyset \) follows from Figure 1. If \( m = n = 2, 4 \in I_{22} \) by Lemma 2.6. We assume that \( r = j \geq 1, I_{nm} = \emptyset \) if \( m \neq n \). When \( r = j + 1 \), it suffices to prove \( I_{k(j+1)} = \emptyset \) for all \( 1 \leq k < j + 1 \). We note that \( \varepsilon_{j+1}\varepsilon_{j+1} = \varepsilon_{j}\varepsilon_{j}\varepsilon_{j}- \varepsilon_{j} \varepsilon_{j} \varepsilon_{j} \). By the induction hypothesis, \( I_{kj} = \emptyset \) for all \( 1 \leq k < j \). For \( E_{kj} \), we either have there exists some \( 0 < i < 2^{k+1} \) and \( 0 < u < 2^{j+1} \) such that the \( n \)th coordinate of sequence \( E_{kj}^n \) is \((-1,-1)\) or \((1,1)\), or the \( 2^{j+1} \)th coordinate of sequence \( E_{kj}^j \) is \((-1,-1)\). For the latter case, since \( \varepsilon_{j+1}\varepsilon_{j+1} \) begins with \( \varepsilon_{j}\varepsilon_{j}\varepsilon_{j} \), then \((2^{j+1} + 2^j)\)th coordinate of sequence \( E_{kj(j+1)}^j \) is \((-1,-1)\). Hence \( I_{k(j+1)} = \emptyset \) for all \( 1 \leq k < j \), we remain to prove \( I_{k(j+1)} = \emptyset \).

If \( j > 1 \), we either have there exists some \( 0 < i < 2^{i+1} \) and \( 0 < u < 2^{i+1} \) such that the \( n \)th coordinate of sequence \( E_{kj(j+1)}^i \) is \((-1,-1)\) or \((1,1)\), or there exists some \( 2^{j+1} < u < 2^{j+1} + 2^j \) such that the \( n \)th coordinate of sequence \( E_{kj(j+1)}^i \) is \((-1,-1)\) or \((1,1)\).

Applying Lemmas 2.4, 2.6 and 2.7, we have the following result.

**Lemma 2.8.** Let \( q \in (q_m, q_{m+1}] \) \( m \geq 1 \), and \( t = (t_1, t_2) \in U_q \). \( t \) contains infinite \((0,0)\) if and only if \( t_1 \) or \( t_2 \) ends with \( 0^n \), or \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) end with \( (\varepsilon_{n-1}^{n-1})^n \) for some \( 1 \leq n < m \).

**Proof of Theorem 1.4** (1) Let \( q \in (q_m, q_{m+1}] \). Observe (1.2) we see

\[
t = (t_1, t_2) \text{ satisfying (2.3)}
\]

\[
t_1 + t_2 \in \{-1, 0, 1\}
\]

Since \( t \in U_q \), then \( t_1, t_2 \in \widetilde{U}_q \), we denote \((t_1^1)\) and \((t_2^1)\) be the unique expansion of \( t_1, t_2 \) respectively. By Lemma 2.4 \((t_1^1)\) and \((t_2^1)\) is eventually periodic. By Lemma 2.7, \( t \) is the sequence of form as

\[
(\omega_1(\varepsilon_{n-1}^{n-1}), \omega_2(\varepsilon_{n-1}^{n-1}))
\]

or

\[
(\omega_1(\varepsilon_{n-1}^{n-1}), \omega_20^{\infty}), \quad (\omega_10^{\infty}, \omega_2(\varepsilon_{n-1}^{n-1})) \text{ or } (\omega_10^{\infty}, \omega_20^{\infty})
\]
for some $1 \leq n < m$. Using Lemma 2.8, $E \cap (E + t)$ has infinite many points if and only if $t$ ends with
\[
((\varepsilon_{n-\infty}^n, \varepsilon_{n-\infty}^n) \text{ or } ((\varepsilon_{n-\infty}^n, \varepsilon_{n-\infty}^n) \text{ or } (\varepsilon_{n-\infty}^n), (0, \varepsilon_{n-\infty}^n)
\]
or
\[
((\varepsilon_{n-\infty}^n, 0^\infty), (0^\infty, \varepsilon_{n-\infty}^n) \text{ or } (0^\infty, 0^\infty)
\]
for some $1 \leq n < m$. Then it follows from Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3.

(2) follows from Lemma 2.5 and (1). □

REFERENCES


DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, EAST CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200241, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: 52170601013@stu.ecnu.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI KEY LABORATORY OF PMMP, EAST CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200241, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: wxli@math.ecnu.edu.cn