OKA COMPLEMENTS OF COUNTABLE SETS AND NON-ELLIPTIC OKA MANIFOLDS
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Abstract. We study the Oka properties of complements of closed countable sets in $\mathbb{C}^n$ ($n > 1$) which are not necessarily discrete. Our main result states that every tame closed countable set in $\mathbb{C}^n$ ($n > 1$) with a discrete derived set has an Oka complement. As an application, we obtain non-elliptic Oka manifolds which negatively answer a long-standing question of Gromov. Moreover, we show that these examples are not even weakly subelliptic. It is also proved that every finite set in a Hopf manifold has an Oka complement and an Oka blowup.

1. Introduction

A complex manifold $Y$ is an Oka manifold if any holomorphic map from an open neighborhood of a compact convex set $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) to $Y$ can be uniformly approximated on $K$ by holomorphic maps $\mathbb{C}^n \to Y$. The most basic examples of Oka manifolds are complex Euclidean spaces $\mathbb{C}^n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). This is a consequence of the classical Oka-Weil approximation theorem which generalizes the Runge approximation theorem. For the historical background and the theory of Oka manifolds, we refer the reader to the survey [3] and the comprehensive monograph [4].

It is natural to ask when a closed countable set $S$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ has an Oka complement $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus S$. In the case of $n = 1$, we have a complete answer that the complement $\mathbb{C} \setminus S$ is Oka if and only if $S$ contains at most one point. On the other hand, in the case of $n > 1$, Forstnerič and Prezelj proved that every tame discrete set in $\mathbb{C}^n$ has an Oka complement [5, Theorem 1.6]. The following is the definition of tameness.

Definition 1.1. A closed countable set $S \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is tame if there exists a holomorphic automorphism $\varphi \in \text{Aut} \mathbb{C}^n$ such that the closure of $\varphi(S)$ in the projective space $\mathbb{P}^n \supset \mathbb{C}^n$ does not contain the hyperplane at infinity $\mathbb{P}^n \setminus \mathbb{C}^n$.

For example, every compact countable set $S \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is tame. Tameness for discrete sets was first introduced by Rosay and Rudin [10] and recently generalized to other complex manifolds [2] [11] [12]. Rosay and Rudin also constructed a discrete set in $\mathbb{C}^n$ ($n > 1$) whose complement is not Oka [10, Theorem 4.5].

In the present paper, we study complements of tame closed countable sets $S \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ which are not necessarily discrete. That is, we do not assume that the derived
set $S'$ (the set of accumulation points of $S$) is empty. Our main theorem is the following generalization of the result for tame discrete sets.

**Theorem 1.2.** For any tame closed countable set $S \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ ($n > 1$) with a discrete derived set, the complement $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus S$ is Oka.

In the same manner, we may prove the following theorem for blowups.

**Theorem 1.3.** For any tame closed countable set $S \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ ($n > 1$) with a discrete derived set $S'$, the blowup $\text{Bl}_{S \setminus S'}(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus S')$ of $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus S'$ along $S \setminus S'$ is Oka.

The proofs of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 are given in Section 2. These are new applications of the localization principle for Oka manifolds (Theorem 2.1) which was established in our previous paper [9].

In Section 3 we prove the following two corollaries. First, we give an example of a non-elliptic Oka manifold. **Ellipticity** was introduced by Gromov in his seminal paper [6] in 1989 (see Definition 3.1). It is a consequence of his main result in [6] that ellipticity is a sufficient condition for manifolds to be Oka. In the same paper, he also proved the converse for Stein manifolds [6, Remark 3.2.A]. Then he asked a question whether the converse holds for all complex manifolds [6, Question 3.2.A']. Decades later, Andrist, Shcherbina and Wold [1] showed that in a Stein manifold of dimension at least three every compact holomorphically convex set with an infinite derived set has a non-elliptic complement. However, there has been no example of an Oka complement of this type. As an application of Theorem 1.2 and the localization principle for Oka manifolds (Theorem 2.1), we obtain such an example which negatively answers the long-standing question of Gromov.

**Corollary 1.4.** For any $n \geq 3$, the complement $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus ((N^{-1})^2 \times \{0\}^{n-2})$ is a non-elliptic Oka manifold where $N^{-1} = \{j^{-1} : j \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathbb{C}$.

There is a weaker variant of ellipticity called **weak subellipticity** (see Definition 3.1) which is also a sufficient condition to be Oka (cf. [4, Corollary 5.6.14]). In fact, we prove that the complement in Corollary 1.4 is not even weakly subelliptic (Corollary 3.2). It should be mentioned that a still weaker variant, called **Condition Ell$_1$**, characterizes Oka manifolds [9, Theorem 1.3].

The localization principle for Oka manifolds (Theorem 2.1) was proved as a corollary of this characterization.

It is also a fundamental problem whether any point in an Oka manifold of dimension at least two has an Oka complement and an Oka blowup. As another application of our results, we solve this problem for Hopf manifolds (in particular, we solve [3, Problem 2.42]). Recall that a complex manifold $Y$ is a **Hopf manifold** if it is compact and universally covered by $\mathbb{C}^{\dim Y} \setminus \{0\}$. The latter condition implies that a Hopf manifold is Oka (cf. [4, Corollary 5.6.11]) and the dimension of a Hopf manifold must be greater than 1.

---

1. The condition Ell$_\infty$ in [6, Remark 3.2.A] is equivalent to being Oka (cf. [4, §5.15]).
2. The holomorphic convexity was not assumed in [4] but used in the proof implicitly.
Corollary 1.5. For any Hopf manifold $Y$ and any finite set $S \subset Y$, the complement $Y \setminus S$ and the blowup $\text{Bl}_S Y$ are Oka.

2. Proofs of the Theorems

Recall the following localization principle for Oka manifolds. Here, a subset of $Y$ is Zariski open if its complement is a closed complex subvariety.

Theorem 2.1 ([9, Theorem 1.4]). Let $Y$ be a complex manifold. Assume that each point of $Y$ has a Zariski open Oka neighborhood. Then $Y$ is an Oka manifold.

We also need the following approximation theorem. Let $\overline{B^n(a, r)}$ denote the closed ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$ of radius $r > 0$ centered at $a \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

Lemma 2.2. For any discrete sequence $\{a_j\}$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ there exists a sequence $\{r_j\}$ of positive numbers such that

1. the closed balls $\overline{B^n(a_j, r_j)}$ are mutually disjoint, and
2. for any holomorphic functions $f_j \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{B^n(a_j, r_j)})$ and any sequence $\{\varepsilon_j\}$ of positive numbers there exists a holomorphic function $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ such that $\sup_{\overline{B^n(a_j, r_j)}} |f - f_j| \leq \varepsilon_j$ for all $j$.

Proof. We write $\overline{B^n} = \overline{B^n}(0, R)$. Take an increasing sequence $\{R_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive numbers such that $\lim_{l \to \infty} R_l = \infty$ and $\{a_j\} \cap \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \partial \overline{B^n} = \emptyset$. We define $\overline{B^n} = \emptyset$ for convenience. Renumbering $\{a_j\}$ if necessary, we may assume that there exists an increasing sequence $0 = k_0 < k_1 < k_2 < \cdots$ of integers such that $\{a_j\}_{j=k_l+1}^{k_{l+1}} \subset \overline{B^n} \setminus \overline{B^n}_{k_l+1}$ for all $l \geq 0$. Since $\overline{B^n}_{k_l+1} \cap \{a_j\}_{j=k_l+1}^{k_{l+1}} = \emptyset$ for each $l$, there exists a sequence $\{r_j\}_j$ of small positive numbers such that

(a) the closed balls $\overline{B^n(a_j, r_j)}$ are mutually disjoint,
(b) $\bigcup_{j=k_l+1}^{k_{l+1}} \overline{B^n(a_j, r_j)} \subset \overline{B^n}_{k_{l+1}} \setminus \overline{B^n}_l$ for all $l \geq 0$, and
(c) $\overline{B^n}_{k_{l+1}} \cup \bigcup_{j=k_l+1}^{k_{l+1}} \overline{B^n(a_j, r_j)}$ is polynomially convex for each $l \geq 0$.

Let us verify the condition (2). Let $g_0 \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{B^n}) = \mathcal{O}(\emptyset)$ be the unique holomorphic function on $\emptyset$. Assume inductively that $g_l \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{B^n})$ has been chosen for some $l \geq 0$. By the Oka-Weil approximation theorem, there exists a holomorphic function $g_{l+1} \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{B^n}_l)$ such that

(i) $\sup_{\overline{B^n}_l} |g_{l+1} - g_l| \leq \min\{\varepsilon_j\}_{j=k_l+1}^{k_{l+1}}/2^{l+1}$, and
(ii) $\sup_{\overline{B^n}_l(a_j, r_j)} |g_{l+1} - f_j| \leq \varepsilon_j/2$ for all $j = k_l + 1, \ldots, k_{l+1}$.

Then the limit $f = \lim_{l \to \infty} g_l$ exists uniformly on compacts in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and has the desired property. \hfill \Box

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Theorem 2.1 it suffices to prove that for any fixed point $p \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus S$ there exists a Zariski open Oka neighborhood of $p$. Since $S$ is tame, there exist a holomorphic coordinate system $z = (z', z_n)$ on $\mathbb{C}^n$ and a constant
Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let there exists a Zariski open Oka neighborhood of $p$. Theorem 1.2 gives a holomorphic automorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ the projection $z \mapsto z'$. Note that the restriction of $\varphi'$ to $\{(z', z_0) : |z_0| \leq C(1 + |z'|)\}$ is proper. Thus $\varphi'(S')$ is discrete in $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$. Let us enumerate $\varphi'(S') = \{a_j\}_j$. Take a sequence $\{r_j\}_j$ of positive numbers which satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) in Lemma 2.2. Note that $\varphi'(S') \setminus \bigcup_j \overline{B}^{n-1}(a_j, r_j) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ is discrete. By the Oka-Cartan extension theorem, there exists $g \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ such that

(a) $g(0) = 1$, and

(b) $g(z') = -z_n$ for all $(z', z_n) \in S' \cup (S \setminus \varphi'(\bigcup_j \overline{B}^{n-1}(a_j, r_j)))$.

By the condition (2) in Lemma 2.2 there exists $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ such that on each closed ball $\overline{B}^{n-1}(a_j, r_j)$ the real part of $f$ satisfies

$$\Re f \leq \log \frac{1}{j} \sup_{z' \in \overline{B}^{n-1}(a_j, r_j)} (C(1 + |z'|) + |g(z')|).$$

It follows that for all $(z', z_n) \in S \cap \varphi'(\overline{B}^{n-1}(a_j, r_j))$

$$|e^{f(z')}(z_n + g(z'))| \leq e^{\Re f(z')}(C(1 + |z'|) + |g(z')|) \leq \frac{1}{j}.$$

Consider the automorphism $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut} \mathbb{C}^n$ defined by $\varphi(z', z_n) = (z', e^{f(z')}(z_n + g(z')))$. Note that $\varphi(p) = (0, e^{f(0)}(0 \times \mathbb{C}^*) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^*$, and the discrete set $D = \varphi(S) \cap (\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^*)$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ is contained in $\bigcup_j (\overline{B}^{n-1}(a_j, r_j) \times \overline{B}(0, 1/j))$. Thus $\varphi_n(D)$ is discrete in $\mathbb{C}^*$ where $\varphi_n : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ is the $n$-th projection, and hence $\varphi_n((\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} \times \text{exp})^{-1}(D)) = \text{exp}^{-1}(\varphi_n(D))$ is discrete in $\mathbb{C}$. This implies that $(\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} \times \text{exp})^{-1}(D)$ is a tame discrete set in $\mathbb{C}^n$ (cf. [10 Theorem 3.9]). Therefore $(\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^*) \setminus \varphi(S)$ is a Zariski open Oka neighborhood of $\varphi(p)$ because its universal covering $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus (\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} \times \text{exp})^{-1}(D)$ is Oka (cf. [4 Proposition 5.6.3]). It follows that the preimage $\varphi^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^*) \setminus S \subset \mathbb{C}^n \setminus S$ is a Zariski open Oka neighborhood of $p$. 

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $\pi : \text{Bl}_{S \setminus S'}(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus S') \to \mathbb{C}^n \setminus S'$ denote the blowup of $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus S'$ along $S \setminus S'$. As before, it suffices to prove that for any fixed point $p \in \text{Bl}_{S \setminus S'}(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus S')$ there exists a Zariski open Oka neighborhood of $p$. The argument in the proof of Theorem 1.2 gives a holomorphic automorphism $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut} \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\varphi(p) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^*$, $\varphi(S') \subset \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \{0\}$ and the discrete set $(\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} \times \text{exp})^{-1}(\varphi(S)) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ is tame. Since the blowup of $\mathbb{C}^n$ along a tame discrete set is Oka (cf. [4 Proposition 6.4.12]), the blowup $\text{Bl}_{(\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} \times \text{exp})^{-1}(\varphi(S))}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is Oka. Therefore the blowup $\text{Bl}_{\varphi(S) \cap (\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^*)}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^*)$ covered by $\text{Bl}_{(\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} \times \text{exp})^{-1}(\varphi(S))}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is also Oka. It follows that

$$\pi^{-1}(\varphi^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^*)) = \text{Bl}_{S \setminus \varphi^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^*)}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^*) \subset \text{Bl}_{S \setminus S'}(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus S')$$

is a Zariski open Oka neighborhood of $p$. 

\[\square\]
3. Proofs of the Corollaries

First, let us recall the definitions of ellipticity and its variants.


1. A *spray* $(E, π, s)$ on $Y$ is a triple $(E, π, s)$ consisting of a holomorphic vector bundle $π : E → Y$ and a holomorphic map $s : E → Y$ such that $s(0_y) = y$ for each $y ∈ Y$.
2. $Y$ is elliptic (resp. subelliptic) if there exists a spray $(E, π, s)$ (resp. a family $(E_j, π_j, s_j)$ $(j = 1, . . . , k)$ of sprays) on $Y$ such that $ds_0_y(E_y) = T_yY$ (resp. $\sum_{j=1}^k(ds_j)_0_y(E_{j,y}) = T_yY$) for each $y ∈ Y$.
3. $Y$ is weakly subelliptic if for any compact set $K ⊂ Y$ there exists a family $(E_j, π_j, s_j)$ $(j = 1, . . . , k)$ of sprays on $Y$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^k(ds_j)_0_y(E_{j,y}) = T_yY$ for each $y ∈ K$.

As we mentioned, we prove the following stronger result than Corollary 1.4.

**Corollary 3.2.** For any $n ≥ 3$, the complement $C^n \setminus ((N-1)^2 × \{0\}^{n-2})$ is Oka but not weakly subelliptic.

In order to prove Corollary 3.2 we need to improve the result of Andrist, Shcherbina and Wold [1] Theorem 1.1] as follows. The proof is based on their idea and Gromov’s method of composed sprays (cf. [4] §6.3).

**Lemma 3.3.** Let $Y$ be a Stein manifold of dimension at least three and $K ⊂ Y$ be a compact $C(Y)$-convex set with an infinite derived set. Then $Y \setminus K$ is not weakly subelliptic.

**Proof.** To reach a contradiction, we assume that $Y \setminus K$ is weakly subelliptic. Take a relatively compact open neighborhood $U ⊂ Y$ of $K$. By assumption, there exists a family $(E_j, π_j, s_j)$ $(j = 1, . . . , k)$ of sprays on $Y \setminus K$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^k(ds_j)_0_y(E_{j,y}) = T_yY$ for each $y ∈ \partial U$. By the Hartogs extension theorem for holomorphic vector bundles and sprays [1] Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 4.1], we can extend $E_j$ $(j = 1, . . . , k)$ to holomorphic vector bundles $\tilde{π}_j : \tilde{E}_j → Y \setminus A$ $(j = 1, . . . , k)$, where $A ⊂ K$ is a finite set, and $s_j$ $(j = 1, . . . , k)$ to holomorphic maps $\tilde{s}_j : \tilde{E}_j → Y$ $(j = 1, . . . , k)$. Note that $\tilde{s}_j(0_y) = y$ for each $y ∈ Y \setminus A$ by the identity theorem.

Let $B ⊂ Y \setminus A$ denote the closed complex subvariety of points $y ∈ Y \setminus A$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^k(\tilde{d}s_j)_0_y(\tilde{E}_{j,y}) ≠ T_yY$ and set $S = A ∪ B$. Since $U$ is relatively compact and $B ∩ \partial U = \emptyset$, the intersection $B ∩ U ⊂ Y \setminus A$ must be a discrete set. Hence $K$ is not contained in $S$ and thus we may take a point $y_0 ∈ \partial K \setminus S$. Let us consider the fiber product and the associated maps

$$E = \left\{ (e_1, . . . , e_k) ∈ \prod_{j=1}^k (\tilde{E}_j \setminus (\tilde{π}_j^{-1}(S) \cup \tilde{s}_j^{-1}(S))) : \tilde{s}_j(e_j) = π_{j+1}(e_{j+1}), \quad j = 1, . . . , k-1 \right\},$$

$$\pi : E → Y \setminus S, \quad (e_1, . . . , e_k) ↦ \tilde{π}_1(e_1), \quad s : E → Y \setminus S, \quad (e_1, . . . , e_k) ↦ \tilde{s}_k(e_k).$$
Note that $d\tilde{s}(0,...,0)(T(0,...,0)\pi^{-1}(y)) = \sum_{j=1}^{k}(d\tilde{E}_{j}0_{0})(\tilde{E}_{j}0_{0}) = T_{y}Y$ for each $y \in Y \setminus S$ (cf. [4, Lemma 6.3.6]). Therefore the fiber preserving map $E \rightarrow (Y \setminus S)^{2}$, $e \mapsto (\pi(e), s(e))$ restricts to a holomorphic submersion from a neighborhood of the zero section $\{(0_{y}, \ldots, 0_{y}) : y \in Y \setminus S\}$ onto a neighborhood of the diagonal $\{(y, y) : y \in Y \setminus S\}$. Thus there exists an open neighborhood $V \subset Y \setminus S$ of $y_{0}$ such that for each $y \in V$ there exists $e \in E$ such that $\pi(e) = y$ and $s(e) = y_{0}$. This contradicts to $y_{0} \in \partial K$ and $s(\pi^{-1}(Y \setminus K)) \subset Y \setminus K$. \hfill \Box

**Proof of Corollary 1.2.** Since $\mathbb{N}^{-1} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is polynomially convex, $(\mathbb{N}^{-1})^{2} \times \{0\}^{n-2} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is also polynomially convex. Clearly the derived set of $(\mathbb{N}^{-1})^{2} \times \{0\}^{n-2} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is infinite. Thus Lemma 3.3 implies that its complement is not weakly subelliptic.

In order to prove that the complement is Oka, we use the localization principle for Oka manifolds (Theorem 2.1). Set $U_{j} = \mathbb{C}^{j-1} \times \mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-j}$ $(j = 1, \ldots, n)$ and $S = (\mathbb{N}^{-1})^{2} \times \{0\}^{n-2}$. Note that $\mathbb{C}^{n} \setminus S = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n}(U_{j} \setminus S)$ and each $U_{j} \setminus S$ is Zariski open in $\mathbb{C}^{n} \setminus S$. By the localization principle, it suffices to show that each $U_{j} \setminus S$ is Oka. For $j \geq 3$, $U_{j} \cap S = \emptyset$ and thus $U_{j} \setminus S = U_{j}$ is Oka. Consider the exponential map $\pi = \exp \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^{n-j}} : \mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow U_{1}$. Since $\pi$ is a covering map, $U_{1} \setminus S$ is Oka if and only if $\mathbb{C}^{n} \setminus \pi^{-1}(S)$ is Oka (cf. [4, Proposition 5.6.3]). By definition, $\pi^{-1}(S) = \exp^{-1}(\mathbb{N}^{-1}) \times (\mathbb{N}^{-1})^{2} \times \{0\}^{n-2}$. Note that $\pi^{-1}(S)$ is tame and its derived set $\pi^{-1}(S)' = \exp^{-1}(\mathbb{N}^{-1}) \times \{0\}^{n-1}$ is discrete. Therefore Theorem 1.2 implies that $\mathbb{C}^{n} \setminus \pi^{-1}(S)$ is Oka and thus $U_{1} \setminus S \cong U_{2} \setminus S$ are Oka. \hfill \Box

**Proof of Corollary 1.3.** Set $n = \dim Y > 1$. By Kodaira’s argument [8, Theorem 30] (see also [7]), there exists a finite (unramified) covering map $(\mathbb{C}^{n} \setminus \{0\})/\langle \varphi \rangle \rightarrow Y$ where $\varphi \in \text{Aut} \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is a holomorphic contraction, i.e. $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\varphi' \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compacts as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Thus we may assume that $Y = (\mathbb{C}^{n} \setminus \{0\})/\langle \varphi \rangle$ from the beginning. Let $\pi : \mathbb{C}^{n} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow Y$ denote the quotient map. Since $\varphi$ is a holomorphic contraction, there is a holomorphic coordinate system on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ in which $\varphi$ is lower triangular (cf. [4, p. 117]). In this coordinate system, the discrete set $\pi^{-1}(S) \setminus \mathbb{B}^{n}(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ projects to a discrete set in the first coordinate, and hence it is tame (cf. [10, Theorem 3.9]). Note that $(\pi^{-1}(S) \cap \mathbb{B}^{n}(0, 1)) \cup \{0\}$ is compact. Thus $\pi^{-1}(S) \cup \{0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is tame and $(\pi^{-1}(S) \cup \{0\})' = \{0\}$. Therefore Theorem 1.2 (resp. Theorem 1.3) implies the Oka property of the complement $(\mathbb{C}^{n} \setminus \{0\}) \setminus \pi^{-1}(S)$ (resp. the blowup $\text{Bl}_{\pi^{-1}(S)}(\mathbb{C}^{n} \setminus \{0\})$) and hence the complement $Y \setminus S$ (resp. the blowup $\text{Bl}_{S}Y$) is Oka. \hfill \Box
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