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ABSTRACT. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $B \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$ and $C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$ where $X$ and $Y$ are infinite Banach or Hilbert spaces. Let $M_{C} = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ be $2 \times 2$ upper triangular operator matrix acting on $X \oplus Y$. In this paper, we consider some necessary and sufficient conditions for $M_{C}$ to be generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible. Furthermore, the set $\bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)} \sigma_{gDR}(M_{C})$ will be investigated and their relation between $\bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)} \sigma_{g}(M_{C})$ will be studied, where $\sigma_{gDR}(M_{C})$ and $\sigma_{g}(M_{C})$ denote the generalized Drazin-Riesz spectrum and the Browder spectrum, respectively.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let $X$ and $Y$ denote infinite dimensional complex Banach spaces and $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ denotes the algebra of all bounded linear operators from $X$ into $Y$. If $X = Y$ we write $\mathcal{B}(X)$ instead of $\mathcal{B}(X, X)$. For $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, we denote $\alpha(T)$ the dimension of the kernel $\mathcal{N}(T)$, $\beta(T)$ the codimension of the range $\mathcal{R}(T)$ and $\sigma(T)$ the spectrum of $T$. An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is called bounded below if $T$ is one to one and $\mathcal{R}(T)$ is closed. Recall that an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is Kato if $\mathcal{R}(T)$ is closed and $\mathcal{N}(T) \subset R(T^{n})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Two important class of Kato operators is given by the class of bounded below operators, and the class of surjective operators.

We can define the set of upper semi-Fredholm operators, the set of lower semi-Fredholm operators, the set of left semi-Fredholm operators, the set of right semi-Fredholm operators and the set of Fredholm operators respectively as following:

- $\Phi_{+}(X) := \{ T \in \mathcal{B}(X) : \alpha(T) < \infty \text{ and } \mathcal{R}(T) \text{ is closed } \}$;
- $\Phi_{-}(X) := \{ T \in \mathcal{B}(X) : \beta(T) < \infty \text{ and } \mathcal{R}(T) \text{ is closed } \}$;
- $\Phi_{le}(X) := \{ T \in \Phi_{+}(X) : \mathcal{R}(T) \text{ is a complemented subspace of } X \}$;
- $\Phi_{re}(X) := \{ T \in \Phi_{-}(X) : \mathcal{N}(T) \text{ is a complemented subspace of } X \}$;
- $\Phi(X) := \Phi_{+}(X) \cap \Phi_{-}(X)$.

The left semi-Fredholm spectrum is denoted by $\sigma_{le}(T) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \notin \Phi_{le}(X) \}$ and the right semi-Fredholm spectrum is denoted by $\sigma_{re}(T) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \notin \Phi_{re}(X) \}$. If $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is upper semi-Fredholm or lower semi-Fredholm then the index of $T$ is defined as $\text{ind}(T) := \alpha(T) - \beta(T)$. Now, we recall that the ascent of an operator $T$ is given by $\text{asc}(T) := \inf \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{N}(T^{n}) = \mathcal{N}(T^{n+1}) \}$ and the descent of $T$ is given by $\text{des}(T) := \inf \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{R}(T^{n}) = \mathcal{R}(T^{n+1}) \}$, where $\inf \emptyset = \infty$.
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An operator \( T \in \mathcal{B}(X) \) is upper semi-Browder if \( T \) is upper semi-Fredholm and \( \text{asc}(T) < \infty \). If \( T \) is lower semi-Browder then \( T \) is lower semi-Fredholm and \( \text{des}(T) < \infty \). The operator \( T \in \mathcal{B}(X) \) is Browder if \( T \) is Fredholm with finite ascent and decent. The classes of operators defined above motivate the definition of the following spectra:

\[
\sigma_{b+}(T) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not upper semi-Browder } \};
\]
\[
\sigma_{b-}(T) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not lower semi-Browder } \};
\]
\[
\sigma_b(T) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not Browder } \} = \sigma_{b+}(T) \cup \sigma_{b-}(T).
\]

Taking into account that \( \sigma_{b+}(T) = \sigma_{b-}(T^*) \) and \( \sigma_{b-}(T) = \sigma_{b+}(T^*) \) where \( T^* \) denotes the dual of \( T \). A relevant case is obtained if we assume that \( T \) is left semi-Fredholm with finite ascent, in this case \( T \) is called left semi-Browder. If \( T \) is right semi-Fredholm operator with finite descent then \( T \) is right semi-Browder. The left semi-Browder spectrum and the right semi-Browder spectrum of \( T \in \mathcal{B}(X) \) are, respectively, denoted by:

\[
\sigma_{lB}(T) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not left semi-Browder } \};
\]
\[
\sigma_{rB}(T) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not right semi-Browder } \}.
\]

Let \( M \) be a subspace of \( X \) for which \( T(M) \subseteq M, T \in \mathcal{B}(X) \) then \( M \) is called \( T \)-invariant, we denote by \( T_M \) the restriction of \( T \) to \( M \). We say that \( T \) is completely reduced by the pair \((M, N)\) and we denote \((M, N) \in \text{Red}(T)\), if \( M \) and \( N \) are two closed \( T \)-invariant subspaces of \( X \) such that \( X = M \oplus N \). Clearly, \((M, N) \in \text{Red}(T)\), the operator \( T \in \mathcal{B}(X) \) is of the form \( T = T_M \oplus T_N \) and we say that \( T \) is a direct sum of \( T_M \) and \( T_N \).

We shall say that an operator \( T \in \mathcal{B}(X) \) is Riesz, if \( T - \lambda I \in \Phi(X) \) for all \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \{0\} \). In \cite[Theorem 3.111]{1} it was proved that \( T \) is Riesz if and only if \( T - \lambda I \in \Phi(X) \) and \( \text{ind}(T - \lambda I) = 0 \) for every \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \{0\} \). If there exists a non-zero complex polynomial \( P(z) \) such that \( P(T) \) is Riesz then we say that \( T \in \mathcal{B}(X) \) is polynomially Riesz, these operators have been discussed in \cite{6} and \cite{10}.

It is useful to mention that for \( T \in \mathcal{B}(X) \) and \((M, N) \in \text{Red}(T)\) \((\cite{10}, \cite{12})\):

\[
T_M \text{ and } T_N \text{ are Browder } \iff T = T_M \oplus T_N \text{ is Browder } ;
\]
\[
T_M \text{ and } T_N \text{ are Riesz } \iff T = T_M \oplus T_N \text{ is Riesz} .
\]

Recall that the concept of ”generalized Kato-Riesz decomposition” was defined in \cite{9}. Namely, an operator \( T \in \mathcal{B}(X) \) admits a generalized Kato-Riesz decomposition (abbreviated GKRD) if there exists a pair \((M, N) \in \text{Red}(T)\) such that \( T_M \) is Kato and \( T_N \) is Riesz. Additionally, if we assume in the definition above that \( T_N \) is quasi-nilpotent and \( N \) is finite-dimensional then \( T \) is called essentially Kato. In this case, it is easy to see that \( T_N \) is nilpotent since every quasi-nilpotent operator on a finite dimensional space is nilpotent. It should be noted that the class of semi-Fredholm operators belong to the class of essentially Kato operators (see for instance, \cite[Theorem 16.21]{11}).

The generalized Kato-Riesz spectrum as well as the essentially Kato spectrum are given by:

\[
\sigma_{gKR}(T) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ does not admit a GKRD } \};
\]
\[
\sigma_{eK}(T) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not essentially Kato } \}.
\]
The class of generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible operators was first introduced by ŠC. Živković-Zlatanović and M.D. Cvetković in [9] as follows: an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is said to be generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible if there exists $S \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ such that
\[
TS = ST, \quad STS = S, \quad T - TST \text{ is Riesz}.
\]
It is well known by [9, Theorem 2.3] that $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible if and only if there exists $(M, N) \in \text{Red}(T)$ such that $T_M$ is Browder and $T_N$ is Riesz. Moreover, the definition of the following set can also be found in [9]
\[
gDRM(X) := \{ T \in \mathcal{B}(X) : T = T_1 \oplus T_2 \text{ where } T_1 \text{ is bounded below and } T_2 \text{ is Riesz } \};
\]
\[
gDRQ(X) := \{ T \in \mathcal{B}(X) : T = T_1 \oplus T_2 \text{ where } T_1 \text{ is surjective and } T_2 \text{ is Riesz } \}.
\]
Mainly, an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is called generalized Drazin-Riesz bounded below (resp., generalized Drazin-Riesz surjective) if $T \in gDRM(X)$ (resp., $T \in gDRQ(X)$). The generalized Drazin-Riesz spectrum is given by
\[
\sigma_{gDR}(T) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not generalized Drzain-Riesz invertible} \}.
\]
Among other things, Browder as well as Riesz operators are generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible. Furthermore, $\sigma_{gKR}(T)$ and $\sigma_{gDR}(T)$ are compact subsets of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, possibly empty and the generalized Drazin-Riesz spectrum of $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is characterized as follows:
\[
\sigma_{gDR}(T) = \sigma_{gKR}(T) \cup \text{acc}\sigma_b(T).
\]
Hilbert space operators are also inserted among this paper. Accurately, $H$ and $K$ will be two infinite dimensional separable Hilbert spaces.
For $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $B \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$ and $C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$, we denote by $M_C$ the upper triangular operator matrix acting on $X \oplus Y$ of the form $M_C = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$.
In the recent past, the study of upper triangular operator matrices was a matter of great interest. More importantly, if $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(K)$, the sets $\bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{B}(K, H)} \sigma_*(M_C)$ were considered by many authors (see, [3], [4], [8]), where $\sigma_*$ runs over different kind of spectra. For instance, in [8] S.Zhang et al. have shown that
\[
\bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{B}(K, H)} \sigma_b(M_C) = \sigma_{b_+}(A) \cup \sigma_{b_-}(B) \cup W(A, B),
\]
where $W(A, B) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha(A - \lambda I) + \alpha(B - \lambda I) \neq \beta(A - \lambda I) + \beta(B - \lambda I) \}$.
The ultimate goal of this paper is to provide sufficient conditions for which $M_C$ is generalized Drzain-Riesz invertible. In this regard, if $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $B \in \mathcal{B}(K)$ and the following conditions hold:
(i) $A$ and $B$ both admit a generalized Kato-Riesz decomposition;
(ii) the upper semi-Browder spectrum does not cluster at 0;
(iii) the lower semi-Browder spectrum does not cluster at 0;
(iv) there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\alpha(A - \lambda I) + \alpha(B - \lambda I) = \beta(A - \lambda I) + \beta(B - \lambda I)$ for every $0 < |\lambda| < \delta$.
We prove then, the existence of $C \in \mathcal{B}(K, H)$ for which $M_C$ is generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible. Consequently, we obtain a description of $\bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{B}(K, H)} \sigma_{gDR}(M_C)$. Additionally, we give necessary and sufficient condition for which
\[
\bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{B}(K, H)} \sigma_{gDR}(M_C) = \bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{B}(K, H)} \sigma_b(M_C).
\]
2. Main results

The following lemmas are among the most widely used results of this paper. The first lemma is an overview of the punctured neighborhood theorem ([11, Theorem 18.7] and [11, page 35]).

**Lemma 2.1.** ([11] If \( T \in \Phi_+(X) \cup \Phi_-(X) \). Then there exists a constant \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that for all \( \lambda \in D(0, \varepsilon) \setminus \{0\} \), \( \alpha(\lambda I - T) \) and \( \beta(\lambda I - T) \) are constant. Moreover, \( \text{ind}(\lambda I - T) = \text{ind}(T) \) for every \( |\lambda| < \varepsilon \).

**Lemma 2.2.** ([9] Let \( T \in \mathcal{B}(X) \).

(a) The following assertions are equivalent:

(i) \( T \) admits a GKR if and only if \( 0 \notin \text{acc}_{b}(T) \).

(ii) \( T = T_M \oplus T_N \) with \( T_M \) is upper semi-Browder and \( T_N \) is Riesz.

(b) The following assertions are equivalent:

(i) \( T \) admits a GKR if and only if \( 0 \notin \text{acc}_{b}(T) \).

(ii) \( T = T_M \oplus T_N \) with \( T_M \) is lower semi-Browder and \( T_N \) is Riesz.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let \( A \in \mathcal{B}(X) \), \( B \in \mathcal{B}(Y) \) and \( C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X) \). If \( M_C \) is generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible, then the following statements hold:

(i) \( 0 \notin \text{acc}_{b}(A) \),

(ii) \( 0 \notin \text{acc}_{s}(B) \),

(iii) there exists \( \delta > 0 \) such that \( \alpha(\lambda I - A) + \alpha(\lambda I - B) = \beta(\lambda I - A) + \beta(\lambda I - B) \) for every \( 0 < |\lambda| < \delta \).

**Proof.** Certainly, \( \sigma_{D}R(M_C) = \sigma_{DR}(M_C) \cup \text{acc}_{b}(M_C) \). Since \( M_C \) is generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible then \( 0 \notin \sigma_{D}R(M_C) \), it follows that \( 0 \notin \text{acc}_{b}(M_C) \), which implying that there exists \( \delta > 0 \) such that \( M_C - \lambda I \) is Browder for every \( 0 < |\lambda| < \delta \). According to [8, Theorem 2.9] \( A - \lambda I \) is left semi-Browder \( B - \lambda I \) is right semi-Browder and \( \alpha(\lambda I - A) + \alpha(\lambda I - B) = \beta(\lambda I - A) + \beta(\lambda I - B) \) for every \( 0 < |\lambda| < \delta \). This proved that \( 0 \notin \text{acc}_{b}(A) \), \( 0 \notin \text{acc}_{s}(B) \) and \( \alpha(\lambda I - A) + \alpha(\lambda I - B) = \beta(\lambda I - A) + \beta(\lambda I - B) \) for every \( 0 < |\lambda| < \delta \). \( \square \)

**Proposition 2.1.** Let \( A \in \mathcal{B}(X) \), \( B \in \mathcal{B}(Y) \) and \( C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X) \). If \( M_C \) is generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible with a Drazin-Riesz inverse \( S \) of the form \( \begin{pmatrix} U & W \\ 0 & V \end{pmatrix} \) then \( A \) and \( B \) are generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible.

**Proof.** Let \( S = \begin{pmatrix} U & W \\ 0 & V \end{pmatrix} \) be the generalized Drazin-Riesz inverse of \( M_C \). It is easily seen that \( AU = UA, BV = VB, UAU = U \) and \( VBV = V \) since \( M_C S = SM_C \) and \( SM_C S = S \). On the other hand

\[
M_C - M_C S M_C = \begin{pmatrix} A - AU A - \lambda I & L \\ 0 & B - BV B - \lambda I \end{pmatrix}
\]

where \( L = C - A U C - AW B - CV B \), we have \( M_C - M_C S M_C \) is Riesz and hence, \( \begin{pmatrix} A - AU A - \lambda I & L \\ 0 & B - BV B - \lambda I \end{pmatrix} \) is Fredholm for every \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \). Then by [11, Theorem 3.2], \( \sigma_{e}(A - AU A) = \{0\} \) and \( \sigma_{e}(B - BV B) = \{0\} \). Therefore, using [10, Theorem 2.3] we have \( \sigma_{e}(A - AU A) = \{0\} \) and \( \sigma_{e}(B - BV B) = \{0\} \). As a result, \( A \) and \( B \) are generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible. \( \square \)
This theorem outlines sufficient conditions for which $M_C$ is generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible for some $C \in \mathcal{B}(K, H)$.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(K)$ such that the following statements hold:

(i) $A$ and $B$ both admit a generalized Kato-Riesz decomposition,

(ii) $0 \notin \sigma_{	ext{ess}}(A)$,

(iii) $0 \notin \sigma_{	ext{ess}}(B)$,

(iv) there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\alpha(\lambda I - A) + \alpha(\lambda I - B) = \beta(\lambda I - A) + \beta(\lambda I - B)$ for every $0 < |\lambda| < \delta$.

Then $M_C$ is generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible for some $C \in \mathcal{B}(K, H)$.

**Proof.** By means of lemma 2.2 there exists a pair $(H_1, H_2) \in \text{Red}(A)$ such that $A_{H_1} = A_1$ is upper semi-Browder and $A_{H_2} = A_2$ is Riesz. Also, there exists a pair $(K_1, K_2) \in \text{Red}(B)$ such that $B_{K_1} = B_1$ is lower semi-Browder and $B_{K_2} = B_2$ is Riesz. It is clear that for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\setminus \{0\}$, $\text{ind}(A_2 - \lambda I) = 0$ and $\text{ind}(B_2 - \lambda I) = 0$. Furthermore, $A_1$ is upper semi-Fredholm and $B_1$ is lower semi-Fredholm. So according to lemma 2.1 (punctured neighborhood theorem), there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\text{ind}(A_1) = \text{ind}(A_1 - \lambda I)$ and $\text{ind}(B_1) = \text{ind}(B_1 - \lambda I)$ for every $|\lambda| < \varepsilon$. It is straightforward that

$$ A - \lambda I = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 - \lambda I & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 - \lambda I \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B - \lambda I = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 - \lambda I & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 - \lambda I \end{pmatrix}. $$

Thus, for each $0 < |\lambda| < \varepsilon$

$$ \text{ind}(A - \lambda I) = \text{ind}(A_1 - \lambda I) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ind}(B - \lambda I) = \text{ind}(B_1 - \lambda I). \quad (1) $$

Combining (1) with the statement (iv), we have for $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, $0 < |\lambda_0| < \min\{\varepsilon, \delta\}$.

$$ \text{ind}(A_1) = \text{ind}(A_1 - \lambda_0 I) = \text{ind}(A - \lambda_0 I) = \alpha(A - \lambda_0 I) - \beta(A - \lambda_0 I) \\
= -\alpha(\lambda_0 I) - \beta(\lambda_0 I) = -\text{ind}(B - \lambda_0 I) \\
= -\text{ind}(B_1 - \lambda_0 I) = -\text{ind}(B_1). $$

From [3] Theorem 2.9 it follows that there exists $C_1 \in \mathcal{B}(K_1, H_1)$ such that:

$$ \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & C_1 \\ 0 & B_1 \end{pmatrix} : H_1 \oplus K_1 \to H_1 \oplus K_1 \text{ is Browder}. $$

Define $C \in \mathcal{B}(K, H)$ as follows $\begin{pmatrix} C_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : K_1 \oplus K_2 \to H_1 \oplus H_2$. It is easy to show that $H_1 \oplus K_1$ and $H_2 \oplus K_2$ are closed subspaces of $H \oplus K$ invariant by $M_C = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$. Moreover,

$$ M_{C_{H_1 \oplus K_1}} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & C_1 \\ 0 & B_1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ is Browder} \quad \text{and} \quad M_{C_{H_2 \oplus K_2}} = \begin{pmatrix} A_2 & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ is Riesz}. $$

Hence $M_C = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & C_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & B_2 \end{pmatrix} : H_1 \oplus K_1 \oplus H_2 \oplus K_2 \to H_1 \oplus K_1 \oplus H_2 \oplus K_2$

is generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible. 

$\square$
Corollary 2.1. \(\quad\)

(i) Let \(A \in \mathcal{B}(X)\) and \(B \in \mathcal{B}(Y)\). Then:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{acc}\sigma_{0b}(A) \cup \text{acc}\sigma_{b}(B) \cup W \subset \bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{B}(Y,X)} \sigma_{gDR}(MC),
\end{align*}
\]

where \(W = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\delta| > 0 \text{ such that } \alpha(A - \lambda I - \lambda'I) + \alpha(B - \lambda I - \lambda'I) = \beta(A - \lambda I - \lambda'I) + \beta(B - \lambda I - \lambda'I) \text{ for each } 0 < |\lambda'| < \delta \} \).

(ii) Let \(A \in \mathcal{B}(H)\) and \(B \in \mathcal{B}(K)\). Then:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{acc}\sigma_{b_+}(A) \cup \text{acc}\sigma_{b_-}(B) \cup W \subset \bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{B}(K,H)} \sigma_{gDR}(MC)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
&\subset \text{acc}\sigma_{b_+}(A) \cup \text{acc}\sigma_{b_-}(B) \cup W \cup \sigma_{gKR}(A) \cup \sigma_{gKR}(B).
\end{align*}
\]

In particular, if \(\sigma_{gKR}(A) \subseteq \text{acc}\sigma_{b_+}(A)\) and \(\sigma_{gKR}(B) \subseteq \text{acc}\sigma_{b_-}(B)\) then

\[
\begin{align*}
\bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{B}(K,H)} \sigma_{gDR}(MC) = \text{acc}\sigma_{b_+}(A) \cup \text{acc}\sigma_{b_-}(B) \cup W.
\end{align*}
\]

Proof. Due to lemma 2.3 and theorem 2.1. \(\square\)

Remarks 1. Let \(T \in \mathcal{B}(X)\)

(a) If \(T\) is either upper semi-Browder or lower semi-Browder then \(T\) is essentially Kato and hence admits a GKRD. Consequently, the following inclusions hold:

\[
\text{acc}\sigma_{b}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{b}(T) \subseteq \text{acc}\sigma_{b}(T) \cap \sigma_{b}(T).
\]

It follows that \(\sigma_{gKR}(T) \subseteq \text{acc}\sigma_{b}(T) \cap \text{acc}\sigma_{b}(T)\) when \(\sigma_{b}(T) = \text{acc}\sigma_{b}(T)\) and \(\sigma_{b}(T) = \text{acc}\sigma_{b}(T)\).

(b) If \(T\) is polynomially Riesz then \(\sigma_{gKR}(T) = \text{acc}\sigma_{b}(T) = \text{acc}\sigma_{b}(T) = \varnothing\).

The following example is cited from \([3]\), it is also valid to build a particular operator matrix who satisfies the conditions of theorem 2.1.

Example 1. \(U\) and \(V\) are the forward and the backward unilateral shifts on \(l^2(\mathbb{N})\), we have:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{b_+}(U) = \text{acc}\sigma_{b_+}(U) = \partial \mathbb{D},
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{b^-}(V) = \text{acc}\sigma_{b^-}(V) = \partial \mathbb{D},
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\mathbb{D} = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| < 1 \}\). It is known that \(U\) is upper semi-Browder, \(V\) is lower semi-Browder with \(\text{asc}(U) = \text{des}(V) = 1\), \(\text{ind}(U) = -1\) and \(\text{ind}(V) = 1\). Following remark 1 \(U\) and \(V\) admit a GKRD.

Now let \(W \in \mathcal{B}(l^2(\mathbb{N}) \oplus l^2(\mathbb{N}))\) defined by \(W = \begin{pmatrix} U & C \\ 0 & W \end{pmatrix}\). Then \(\sigma_{b_+}(W) = \partial \mathbb{D} \cup \{0\}\) and \(0 \notin \text{acc}\sigma_{b_+}(W)\), but \(W\) admits a GKRD.

On the other hand, from lemma 2.4 there exists \(\delta > 0\) such that \(\text{ind}(U - \lambda I) = \text{ind}(V)\) and \(\text{ind}(V - \lambda I) = \text{ind}(V)\) for \(0 < |\lambda| < \delta\), then:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ind}(U - \lambda I) = \text{ind}(U) = -\text{ind}(V) = -\text{ind}(V - \lambda I) = -\text{ind}(W - \lambda I),
\end{align*}
\]

for every \(0 < |\lambda| < \delta\). Thus by theorem 2.1 there exists \(C \in \mathcal{B}(l^2(\mathbb{N}) \oplus l^2(\mathbb{N}), l^2(\mathbb{N}))\) such that:

\[
\begin{align*}
MC = \begin{pmatrix} U & C \\ 0 & W \end{pmatrix} : l^2 \oplus l^2 \oplus l^2 \rightarrow l^2 \oplus l^2 \oplus l^2,
\end{align*}
\]

is generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible, i.e. \(0 \notin \bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{B}(l^2(\mathbb{N}) \oplus l^2(\mathbb{N}), l^2(\mathbb{N}))} \sigma_{gDR}(MC)\).
In connection with Theorem 2.1 it is straightforward to obtain the following result.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(K)$ such that the following statements hold:

(i) $A$ is generalized Drazin-Riesz bounded below,
(ii) $B$ is generalized Drazin-Riesz surjective,
(iii) there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\alpha(\lambda I - A) + \alpha(\lambda I - B) = \beta(\lambda I - A) + \beta(\lambda I - B)$ for every $0 < |\lambda| < \delta$.

Then there exists $C \in \mathcal{B}(K, H)$ such that $M_C$ is generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible.

**Proof.** Because of [9] Theorem 2.4] and [9] Theorem 2.5] the following equivalences hold:

\[ A \in gDRM(H) \text{ and } B \in gDRQ(K) \iff A \text{ and } B \text{ both admit a GRKD}, 0 \notin \text{acc}_{b_+}(T) \text{ and } 0 \notin \text{acc}_{b_-}(T); \]
\[ \iff \text{there exist } (H_1, H_2) \in \text{Red}(A), (K_1, K_2) \in \text{Red}(B) \text{ such that } A_1 = A_{H_1} \text{ is upper semi-Browder}, B_1 = A_{K_1} \text{ is lower semi-Browder}, A_2 = A_{H_2} \text{ and } B_2 = A_{K_2} \text{ are Riesz.} \]

Therefore, by the proof of Theorem 2.1 there exists $C \in \mathcal{B}(K, H)$ such that:

\[ M_C = (M_C)_{H_1 \oplus K_1} \oplus (M_C)_{H_2 \oplus K_2}. \]

Where, $(M_C)_{H_1 \oplus K_1}$ is Browder and $(M_C)_{H_2 \oplus K_2}$ is Riesz.

As a result, $M_C$ is generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible.

Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a compact set. Denote by $\eta K$ the connected hull of $K$, where the complement $\mathbb{C} \setminus \eta K$ is the unique unbounded component of the complement $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ ([7] Definition 7.10.1], a hole of $K$ is a component of $\eta K \setminus K$.

If $H, K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ are compact subsets, we get ([7] Theorem 7.10.3]):

\[ \partial H \subseteq K \subseteq H \implies \partial H \subseteq \partial K \subseteq K \subseteq H \subseteq \eta K = \eta H. \]

For instance, if $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is finite then $\eta K = K$. Moreover the following implication holds:

\[ \eta K = \eta H \implies (H \text{ finite } \iff K \text{ finite}), \]

and in this case $H = K$.

**Theorem 2.2.** For $A \in \mathcal{B}(X), B \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$ and $C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) $\sigma_{gKR}(M_C) = \emptyset$,
(ii) $\sigma_{gDR}(M_C) = \emptyset$,
(iii) $A$ and $B$ are polynomially Riesz,
(iv) $\sigma_b(M_C)$ is finite.

**Proof.** (i) $\iff$ (ii) From [9] Theorem 3.10], it follows that $\eta \sigma_{gKR}(M_C) = \eta \sigma_{gDR}(M_C)$. This implies that $\sigma_{gKR}(M_C) = \emptyset$ if and only if $\sigma_{gDR}(M_C) = \emptyset$.

(ii) $\implies$ (iv) assume that $\sigma_{gDR}(M_C) = \emptyset$, since $\sigma_{gDR}(M_C) = \sigma_{gKR}(M_C) \cup \text{acc}_b(M_C)$, then $\text{acc}_b(M_C) = \emptyset$. Consequently, $\sigma_b(M_C)$ is a finite set.

(iii) $\implies$ (ii) Let $A$ and $B$ be polynomially Riesz, then $\sigma_b(A) = \pi_A^{-1}(0) = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n\}$
and \( \sigma_b(B) = \pi_B^{-1}(0) = \{ \mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_m \} \) where \( \pi_A \) and \( \pi_B \) are the minimal polynomials of \( A \) and \( B \). According to the proof of [12, Theorem 2.6] we get,

\[ \sigma_b(M_C) = \eta(\sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B)). \]

Also, it is known that \( \sigma_b(M_C) \subset \sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B) \). Since \( \sigma_b(M_C) \) and \( \sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B) \) are finite then, \( \sigma_b(M_C) = \sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B) = \pi_A^{-1}(0) \cup \pi_B^{-1}(0) = \{ \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n, \mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_m \} \).

Thus, for every \( \lambda \notin \pi_A^{-1}(0) \cup \pi_B^{-1}(0) \), \( M_C - \lambda \) is Browder and hence generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible.

Now from [10, Theorem 2.13] the Banach spaces \( X \) and \( Y \) are decomposed into the direct sums \( X = X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus X_n \), \( Y = Y_1 \oplus Y_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus Y_m \) where \( X_i \) is closed \( A \)-invariant subspace of \( X \) (resp, \( Y_j \) is closed \( B \)-invariant subspace of \( Y \)).

\( A = A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus A_n \) and \( B = B_1 \oplus B_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus B_m \), \( A_i = A_{X_i} \) and \( B_j = B_{Y_j} \).

\( A_i - \lambda_i \) and \( B_j - \mu_j \) are Riesz which implies that \( \sigma_b(A_i) \subset \{ \lambda_i \} \) and \( \sigma_b(B_j) \subset \{ \mu_j \} \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \).

**Case 1:** If \( n = m \), then \( A_i - \lambda_j \) and \( B_i - \mu_j \) are Browder for every \( i \neq j \), \( i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \). It is clear that \( X_1 \oplus Y_1, X_2 \oplus Y_2, \ldots, X_n \oplus Y_n \) are closed subspaces of \( X \oplus Y \) invariant by \( M_C \). Moreover, \( \sigma_b(M_{C_{X_i} \oplus Y_i}) = \sigma_b(A_i) \cup \sigma_b(B_i) \subset \{ \lambda_i, \mu_i \} \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \). Using the decomposition:

\[ M_C - \lambda_1 = M_{C_{X_1} \oplus Y_1} - \lambda_1 + M_{C_{X_2} \oplus Y_2} - \lambda_1 + \ldots + M_{C_{X_n} \oplus Y_n} - \lambda_1. \]

We have \( M_C - \lambda_1 \) is Browder, because \( M_{C_{X_i} \oplus Y_i} - \lambda_1 \) are Browder for every \( i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \). It follows that \( M_C - \lambda_1 \) is generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible. In the same way, we get that \( M_C - \lambda_i \) and \( M_C - \mu_i \) are generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible for every \( i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \).

**Case 2:** If \( n \neq m \) we will consider only the case where \( n > m \) since the argument of the case \( n < m \) is similar. So, \( M_C - \lambda_i \) and \( M_C - \mu_i \) are Browder thus, \( M_C - \lambda_i \) and \( M_C - \mu_i \) are generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible for every \( i \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \) and \( i = m + 1 \), we consider the decomposition:

\[ M_C - \lambda_{m+1} = M_{C_{X_1} \oplus Y_1} - \lambda_{m+1} + M_{C_{X_2} \oplus Y_2} - \lambda_{m+1} + \ldots + M_{C_{X_m} \oplus Y_m} - \lambda_{m+1} + M_{C_{X_m+1}} - \lambda_{m+1} + \ldots + M_{C_{X_n}} - \lambda_{m+1}. \]

Since \( M_{C_{X_{m+1}}} - \lambda_{m+1} \) is Riesz and \( M_{C_{X_i} \oplus Y_i} - \lambda_{m+1} + M_{C_{X_{m+1}} \oplus Y_2} - \lambda_{m+1} + \ldots + M_{C_{X_{m+1}} \oplus Y_m} - \lambda_{m+1} + \ldots + M_{C_{X_n} \oplus Y_m} - \lambda_{m+1} + \ldots + M_{C_{X_n} \oplus Y_n} - \lambda_{m+1} \) is Browder. As a result, \( M_C - \lambda_{m+1} \) is generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible. Similarly, we can prove that \( M_C - \lambda_k \) is generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible for every \( k \in \{m+2, \ldots, n\} \).

Which shows that \( M_C - \lambda \) is generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible for every \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \). Consequently, \( \sigma_{DR}(M_C) = \emptyset \).

**(iii) \Rightarrow (iv)\)** suppose that \( \sigma_b(M_C) \) is finite, we have

\[ \sigma_b(M_C) \subseteq \sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B) \subseteq \eta(\sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B)) = \eta(\sigma_b(M_C)) = \sigma_b(M_C). \]

Thus \( \sigma_b(M_C) = \sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B) \) which implies that \( \sigma_b(A) \) and \( \sigma_b(B) \) are finite. Then according to [10, Theorem 2.3] \( A \) and \( B \) are polynomially Riesz.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let \( T \in B(X) \).

(i) \( T \) is essentially Kato and \( 0 \notin \text{acc}_{b_+}(T) \) if and only if \( T \) is upper semi-Browder.

(ii) \( T \) is essentially Kato and \( 0 \notin \text{acc}_{b_-}(T) \) if and only if \( T \) is lower semi-Browder.
Proof. (i) If \( T \) is upper semi Browder then \( T \) is essentially Kato. Since \( 0 \notin \sigma_{b_{+}}(T) \) then \( 0 \notin \text{acc}\sigma_{b_{+}}(T) \).

Conversely, suppose that \( T \) is essentially Kato and \( 0 \notin \text{acc}\sigma_{b_{+}}(T) \) then, by [5, Theorem 2.2], there exists \( \delta > 0 \) such that \( T - \lambda \) is Kato for each \( \lambda \) such that \( 0 < |\lambda| < \delta \). Since \( 0 \notin \text{acc}\sigma_{b_{+}}(T) \) there exists \( \delta' > 0 \) such that \( T - \lambda \) is upper semi-Browder for every \( 0 < |\lambda| < \delta' \). For the sake of simplicity we tend to assume that \( \delta = \delta' \). Hence, from [11, Lemma 20.9], \( T - \lambda \) is bounded below for every \( 0 < |\lambda| < \delta \), which means that \( 0 \notin \text{acc}\sigma_{ap}(T) \). Moreover, let \( (M, N) \in \text{Red}(T) \) where \( T_M \) is Kato, \( T_N \) is nilpotent and \( N \) is finite dimensional. Clearly,

\[
\sigma_{ap}(T) = \sigma_{ap}(T_M) \cup \sigma_{ap}(T_N) = \sigma_{ap}(T_M) \cup \{0\}.
\]

As \( 0 \notin \text{acc}\sigma_{ap}(T) \), it follows that \( 0 \notin \text{acc}\sigma_{ap}(T_M) \) also \( T_M \) is Kato then according to [2, Theorem 2.14] \( T_M \) is bounded below. Altogether, \( T \) is upper semi-Browder.

(i) If \( T \) is essentially Kato then, by [11, Theorem 21.5], \( T^* \) is essentially Kato. Also, we have \( 0 \notin \text{acc}\sigma_{b_{+}}(T) = \text{acc}\sigma_{b_{+}}(T^*) \) and hence, by the first part \( T^* \) is upper semi-Browder, or equivalently \( T \) is lower semi-Browder. The reverse implication is obvious.

Under further hypothesis the converse of theorem [2.1] is also hold.

Proposition 2.3. Let \( A \in \mathcal{B}(H) \) and \( B \in \mathcal{B}(K) \) be essentially Kato operators, if \( M_C \) is generalized Dražin-Riesz invertible for some \( C \in \mathcal{B}(K, H) \). Then \( A \) is upper semi-Browder, \( B \) is lower semi-Browder and \( \alpha(A) + \alpha(B) = \beta(A) + \beta(B) \) i.e. \( M_{C_1} \) is Browder for some \( C_1 \in \mathcal{B}(K, H) \).

Proof. It follows from lemma [2.3] that \( 0 \notin \text{acc}\sigma_{b_{+}}(A) \cup \text{acc}\sigma_{b_{-}}(B) \) and there exists \( \delta > 0 \) such that \( \alpha(\lambda I - A) + \alpha(\lambda I - B) = \beta(\lambda I - A) + \beta(\lambda I - B) \) for every \( 0 < |\lambda| < \delta \). In addition to this \( A \) is upper semi-Browder and \( B \) is lower semi-Browder according to lemma [2.4]. Also, by lemma [2.1] it is easy to see that \( \alpha(A) + \alpha(B) = \beta(A) + \beta(B) \). Thus, following [5, Theorem 2.9] there exists \( C_1 \in \mathcal{B}(K, H) \) such that \( M_{C_1} \) is Browder.

Corollary 2.2. Let \( A \in \mathcal{B}(H) \) and \( B \in \mathcal{B}(K) \). Then:
\[
\sigma_{eK}(A) \cup \sigma_{eK}(B) \cup \bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{B}(K, H)} \sigma_{gDR}(M_C) = \bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{B}(K, H)} \sigma_b(M_C).
\]

Corollary 2.3. Let \( A \in \mathcal{B}(H) \) and \( B \in \mathcal{B}(K) \). Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) \( \bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{B}(K, H)} \sigma_{gDR}(M_C) = \bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{B}(K, H)} \sigma_b(M_C) \),
(ii) \( \sigma_{eK}(A) \cup \sigma_{eK}(B) \subset \bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{B}(K, H)} \sigma_{gDR}(M_C) \).
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