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Abstract

We fix \( z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \) and a field \( \mathbb{F} \) with \( \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{F} \subset \mathcal{M}_{z_0} := \) the field of germs of meromorphic functions at \( z_0 \). We fix \( f_1, \ldots, f_r \in \mathcal{M}_{z_0} \) and we consider the \( \mathbb{F} \)-algebras
\[
\mathcal{S} := \mathbb{F}[f_1, \ldots, f_r] \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\mathcal{S}} := \mathbb{F}[f_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, f_r^{\pm 1}].
\]
We present the general properties of the semigroup rings
\[
\mathcal{S}^{\text{hol}} \coloneqq \mathbb{F}[f^a \colon f^a = f_1^{a_1} \cdots f_r^{a_r} : (a_1, \ldots, a_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r \text{ and } f^a \text{ is holomorphic at } z_0],
\]
\[
\overline{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{hol}} \coloneqq \mathbb{F}[f^a \colon f^a = f_1^{a_1} \cdots f_r^{a_r} : (a_1, \ldots, a_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r \text{ and } f^a \text{ is holomorphic at } z_0],
\]
and we tackle in detail the case in which \( \mathbb{F} = \mathcal{M}_{<1} \) is the field of meromorphic functions of order \( < 1 \) and \( f_j \)'s are meromorphic functions over \( \mathbb{C} \) of finite order with a finite number of zeros and poles.
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1 Introduction

Let \( z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \) and let \( g \) be a holomorphic function at \( z_0 \), that is \( g \) is holomorphic on an open domain \( U \subset \mathbb{C} \) with \( z_0 \in U \). Replacing \( g(z) \) with \( g(z - z_0) \), we can assume that \( z_0 = 0 \). Given two holomorphic functions \( g_1 \) and \( g_2 \) at 0 we say that \( g_1 \sim g_2 \) if there exist an open domain \( U \ni z_0 \) such that \( g_1|_U = g_2|_U \). \( \sim \) is an equivalence relation. A class of equivalence of \( \sim \) is called a germ of holomorphic function. We denote \( \mathcal{O}_0 \) the ring of germs of holomorphic functions at 0. It is well known that
\[
\mathcal{O}_0 \cong \mathbb{C}\{z\} = \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n z^n : \limsup_n \sqrt[n]{|a_n|} > 0 \right\},
\]
the ring of convergent power series, which is an one dimensional local regular ring with the maximal ideal \( \mathfrak{m} = z\mathbb{C}\{z\} \).
Let $f$ be a meromorphic function at 0, that is there exists an open domain $U \subset \mathbb{C}$, $0 \in U$, and two holomorphic functions $g, h : U \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(z) = \frac{g(z)}{h(z)}$ for all $z \in U \setminus \{0\}$. It is well known that $f$ has a Laurent expansion

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=\ell}^{+\infty} a_n z^n, \ 0 < |z| < R,$$  
where $R = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|} > 0$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$.

If $a_\ell \neq 0$, the number $\text{ord}_{z=0} f(z) := \ell$ is called the order of zero of $f$ at 0. If $\ell \geq 0$, then $f$ is holomorphic at 0 and has a zero of order $\ell$ at 0. If $\ell < 0$, then 0 is a pole of order $-\ell$ of $f$. As in the holomorphic case, we define $\mathcal{M}_0$ the ring of germs of meromorphic function at 0. We have that $\mathcal{M}_0$ is the quotient field of $\mathcal{O}_0$ and hence

$$\mathcal{M}_0 \cong \mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{C}\{z\}) = \mathbb{C}\{z\}[z^{-1}] \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}\{z\}[t]}{(1 - zt)}.$$  

In order to simplify the notation, we denote by $f$ a holomorphic (meromorphic) function at 0 and its germ.

We fix a field $\mathbb{F}$ such that $\mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{F} \subset \mathcal{M}_0$ and some germs $f_1, \ldots, f_r \in \mathcal{M}_0$. We consider the $\mathbb{F}$-algebras $S := \mathbb{F}[f_1, \ldots, f_r]$ and $\overline{S} := \mathbb{F}[f_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, f_r^{\pm 1}]$. Our aim is to study the $\mathbb{F}$-subalgebras

$$S^{\text{hol}} := \mathbb{F}[f^a := f_1^{a_1} \cdots f_r^{a_r} : \text{ord}_{z=0} f(z) \geq 0, \ a = (a_1, \ldots, a_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r] \subset S,$$

$$\overline{S}^{\text{hol}} := \mathbb{F}[f^a := f_1^{a_1} \cdots f_r^{a_r} : \text{ord}_{z=0} f(z) \geq 0, \ a = (a_1, \ldots, a_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r] \subset \overline{S}.$$  

In the second section, we present the general properties of $S^{\text{hol}}$ and $\overline{S}^{\text{hol}}$, using the methods from [1]. Theorem 2.3, Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.5 are simple generalizations of the main results from [1], hence we omit the proofs.

In the third section, we present our main results of the paper. We let $\mathbb{F} := \mathcal{M}_{<1}$ be the field of meromorphic functions of order $< 1$ and we let $f_1, \ldots, f_r$ be some meromorphic functions of finite order with finite number of zeros and poles. In Proposition 3.1 we prove that such functions are of the form $R(z)e^{P(z)}$, where $R(z)$ is a rational function and $P(z)$ is a polynomial. In Theorem 3.3 we prove that if $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_r \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ are polynomials such that $P_j - P_k$ are non-constant for all $j \neq k$, then the functions $f_j(z) = e^{P_j(z)}$, $1 \leq j \leq r$, are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}$ and $\text{det}((g_{jk})_{j,k})$ is nonzero. In Corollary 3.4 we prove similar conclusions, when we replace $f_j$’s with linear combinations $h_j = \sum_{k=1}^r g_{jk} f_k$, $1 \leq j \leq r$, where $g_{jk} \in \mathbb{F}$ and the determinant $\text{det}((g_{jk})_{j,k})$ is nonzero. In Corollary 3.5 we prove that if $\varphi \in \mathbb{F}$ and $f_j(z) = e^{P_j(z)}$, $1 \leq j \leq r$, are as in the hypothesis of Theorem 3.3, then $\varphi, f_1, \ldots, f_r$ are linearly (algebraically) independent over $\mathbb{C}$. We conclude our paper with Example 3.6.
2 Preliminaries

Let \( \mathcal{M}_0 \) be the field of germs of meromorphic functions at 0. Let \( \mathbb{F} \) be a field such that \( \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{F} \subset \mathcal{M}_0 \) and let \( f_1, \ldots, f_r \in \mathcal{M}_0 \). Let \( S := \mathbb{F}[f_1, \ldots, f_r] \) and \( \overline{S} := \mathbb{F}[f_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, f_r^{\pm 1}] \).

Since \( S \) is a domain, we have
\[
S \cong \frac{\mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_r]}{p}, \tag{2.1}
\]
where \( p \subset \mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_r] \) is a prime ideal. Similarly,
\[
\overline{S} \cong \frac{\mathbb{F}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_r^{\pm 1}]}{q} \cong \frac{\mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_r, y_1, \ldots, y_r]}{\overline{p}}, \tag{2.2}
\]
where \( q \subset \mathbb{F}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_r^{\pm 1}] \) is a prime ideal and \( \overline{p} \subset \mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_r, y_1, \ldots, y_r] \) is a prime ideal such that
\[
q \cong \frac{\overline{p}}{(x_1y_1 - 1, \ldots, x_ry_r - 1)}.
\]

We consider the \( \mathbb{F} \)-subalgebras
\[
S^{\text{hol}} := \mathbb{F}[f^a := f_1^{a_1} \cdots f_r^{a_r} : a = (a_1, \ldots, a_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r \text{ and } \text{ord}_{z=0} f^a(z) \geq 0] \subset S, \tag{2.3}
\]
\[
\overline{S}^{\text{hol}} := \mathbb{F}[f^a := f_1^{a_1} \cdots f_r^{a_r} : a = (a_1, \ldots, a_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r \text{ and } \text{ord}_{z=0} f^a(z) \geq 0] \subset \overline{S}. \tag{2.4}
\]

Let \( \ell_j := \text{ord}_{z=0} f_j(z) \), for \( 1 \leq j \leq r \). From (2.3) it follows that
\[
S^{\text{hol}} := \mathbb{F}[f^a : a_1\ell_1 + \cdots + a_r\ell_r \geq 0, a \in \mathbb{N}^r]. \tag{2.5}
\]

Similarly, from (2.4) it follows that
\[
\overline{S}^{\text{hol}} := \mathbb{F}[f^a : a_1\ell_1 + \cdots + a_r\ell_r \geq 0, a \in \mathbb{Z}^r]. \tag{2.6}
\]

We consider the semigroups
\[
H := \{ a = (a_1, \ldots, a_r) : a_1\ell_1 + \cdots + a_r\ell_r \geq 0 \} \subset \mathbb{N}^r, \tag{2.7}
\]
\[
\overline{H} := \{ a = (a_1, \ldots, a_r) : a_1\ell_1 + \cdots + a_r\ell_r \geq 0 \} \subset \mathbb{Z}^r, \tag{2.8}
\]
and their associated toric ring
\[
\mathbb{F}[H] := \mathbb{F}[x^a = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_r^{a_r} : a \in H] \subset \mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_r]. \tag{2.9}
\]
\[
\mathbb{F}[\overline{H}] := \mathbb{F}[x^a = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_r^{a_r} : a \in \overline{H}] \subset \mathbb{F}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_r^{\pm 1}]. \tag{2.10}
\]

We consider the semigroup
\[
\tilde{H} := \{ (b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^r \times \mathbb{N}^r : b - c \in \overline{H} \}. \tag{2.11}
\]
with its associated toric ring $\mathbb{F}[\tilde{H}]$. One can easily check that

$$\mathbb{F}[\tilde{H}] \cong \frac{\mathbb{F}[\tilde{H}]}{(x_1y_1 - 1, \ldots, x_ry_r - 1)}. \quad (2.12)$$

From (2.1), (2.5) and (2.9) it follows that

$$S_{hol} \cong \frac{p + \mathbb{F}[H]}{p} \cong \frac{\mathbb{F}[H]}{p \cap \mathbb{F}[H]} \quad (2.13)$$

From (2.2), (2.6), (2.10) and (2.12) it follows that

$$S_{hol} \cong \frac{q + \mathbb{F}[\tilde{H}]}{q} \cong \frac{\mathbb{F}[\tilde{H}]}{q \cap \mathbb{F}[\tilde{H}]} \quad (2.14)$$

There are three cases to consider:

(i) $\ell_1 > 0, \ldots, \ell_p > 0, \ell_{p+1} = \cdots = \ell_r = 0$, where $p \geq 0$

(ii) $\ell_1 < 0, \ldots, \ell_q < 0, \ell_{q+1} = \cdots = \ell_r = 0$, where $q \geq 1$

(iii) $\ell_1 > 0, \ldots, \ell_p > 0, \ell_{p+1} < 0, \ldots, \ell_q < 0, \ell_{q+1} = \cdots = \ell_r = 0$, $1 \leq p < q \leq r$.

In the case (i), we have that

$$\mathbb{F}[H] = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_r] \text{ and } \mathbb{F}[\tilde{H}] = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_p, x_{p+1}^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_r^{\pm 1}].$$

In the case (ii), we have that

$$\mathbb{F}[H] = \mathbb{F}[x_{q+1}, \ldots, x_r] \text{ and } \mathbb{F}[\tilde{H}] = \mathbb{F}[x_1^{-1}, \ldots, x_q^{-1}, x_{q+1}^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_r^{\pm 1}].$$

Assume we are in the case (iii). Let $v_1, \ldots, v_m \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ be the minimal monomial set of generators of the $\mathbb{F}$-algebra $\mathbb{F}[H]$. In [4, Proposition 1.3(1)] we proved that $m \geq r$. We consider the natural epimorphism

$$\Phi : \mathbb{F}[t_1, \ldots, t_m] \to \mathbb{F}[H], \Phi(t_j) := v_j, \ 1 \leq j \leq m. \quad (2.15)$$

$I_H := \text{Ker}(\Phi)$ is called the toric ideal of $H$, see [8] for further details. From (2.13) and (2.15) it follows that

$$S_{hol} \cong \frac{\mathbb{F}[t_1, \ldots, t_m]}{\Phi^{-1}(p \cap \mathbb{F}[H])} \quad (2.16)$$

Now, assume that $w_1, \ldots, w_s$ are the minimal monomial generators of the $\mathbb{F}$-algebra $\mathbb{F}[\tilde{H}]$. We consider the natural epimorphism

$$\tilde{\Phi} : \mathbb{F}[t_1, \ldots, t_s] \to \mathbb{F}[\tilde{H}], \tilde{\Phi}(t_j) = w_j, \ 1 \leq j \leq s. \quad (2.17)$$

The ideal $I_{\tilde{H}} := \text{Ker}(\tilde{\Phi})$ is the toric ideal of $\tilde{H}$. From (2.14) and (2.17) it follows that

$$\overline{S}_{hol} \cong \frac{\mathbb{F}[t_1, \ldots, t_s]}{\tilde{\Phi}^{-1}(\mathbb{F} \cap \mathbb{F}[\tilde{H}])} \quad (2.18)$$
Remark 2.1. Let $K/Q$ be a finite Galois extension. For the character $\chi$ of the Galois group $G := Gal(K/Q)$ on a finite dimensional complex vector space, let $L(s, \chi) := L(s, \chi, K/Q)$ be the corresponding Artin L-function ([2], P.296)]. Artin conjectured that $L(s, \chi)$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$ and $s = 1$ is a simple pole. Brauer [3] proved that $L(s, \chi)$ is meromorphic in $\mathbb{C}$, of order 1. Let $\chi_1, \chi_2, \ldots, \chi_r$ be the irreducible characters of $G$. Let $f_1 := L(s, \chi_1), \ldots, f_r := L(s, \chi_r)$.

Artin [5] Satz P. 106] proved that $f_1, \ldots, f_r$ are multiplicatively independent. F. Nicolae proved in [6] that $f_1, \ldots, f_r$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{C}$. This result was extended in [5] to the field $\mathcal{M}_{<1}$ of meromorphic functions of order $< 1$. Let $F$ be a field such that $\mathbb{C} \subset F \subset \mathcal{M}_{<1}$. We consider $S := F[f_1, \ldots, f_r]$, $\overline{S} := F[f_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, f_r^{\pm 1}]$, $S^{hol}$, $\overline{S}^{hol}$.

Remark 2.1. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the corresponding Artin L-function ([2], P.296)]. Artin conjectured that $\mathcal{I}$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$ and $s = 1$ is a simple pole. Brauer [3] proved that $\mathcal{I}$ is meromorphic in $\mathbb{C}$, of order 1. Let $\chi_1, \chi_2, \ldots, \chi_r$ be the irreducible characters of $G$. Let $f_1 := L(s, \chi_1), \ldots, f_r := L(s, \chi_r)$.

We recall the several results from [4], which hold in our (more general) context.

Theorem 2.2. ([4], Proposition 1.3(2), Theorem 1.4, Proposition 2.2]) In the case (iii), the following are equivalent:

1. $I_H = (0)$.
2. $F[H]$ is minimally generated by $r$ monomials.
3. $\ell_1 > 0, \ell_2 < 0, \ldots, \ell_q < 0, \ell_{q+1} = \cdots = \ell_r = 0$, where $q \geq 2$, and $\ell_1 \ell_j$ for $2 \leq j \leq q$.
4. $F[H] = F[x_1, x_1^{m_2}x_2, \ldots, x_1^{m_r}x_r, x_{q+1}, \ldots, x_r]$, where $m_j = -\ell_j, 2 \leq j \leq q$.
5. $\overline{F[H]} = F[x_1, (x_1^{m_2}x_2)^{\pm 1}, \ldots, (x_1^{m_r}x_r)^{\pm 1}, x_{q+1}, \ldots, x_r]$.

Given a monomial $v \in F[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$, the support of $v$ is the set $\text{supp}(v) = \{x_j : v(x_j) \neq 0\}$. For $1 \leq t \leq r - 1$, we consider the numbers:

$\ell_t = |\{\text{supp}(v) : v \in F[H], |\text{supp}(v)| = t\}|$ and $N_t = \binom{r}{t} - \binom{r - 2}{t - 1} + 1$.

Theorem 2.3. ([4], Theorem 1.6]) Except the case (ii), the following are equivalent:

1. $F[H] = F[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$.
2. $I_H = (0)$ and there exists $1 \leq t \leq r - 1$ such that $\ell_t \geq N_t$.

Theorem 2.4. ([4], Theorem 1.13, Proposition 2.3]) In the case (iii), if $\ell_1 = \cdots = \ell_p = 1, \ell_{p+1} = \cdots = \ell_q = -1$ and $\ell_{q+1} = \cdots = \ell_r = 0$, then we have:

1. $F[H] = F[x_1, x_p, x_{q+1}, \ldots, x_r, x_jx_k : 1 \leq j \leq p, p + 1 \leq k \leq q]$.
2. $\overline{F[H]} = F[x_1, x_p, x_{q+1}^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_r^{\pm 1}, x_jx_k^{\pm 1} : 1 \leq j \leq p, p + 1 \leq k \leq q]$.

3. Letting $\Phi : F[t_1, \ldots, t_p, t_{q+1}, \ldots, t_r, t_{jk} : 1 \leq j \leq p, p + 1 \leq k \leq q] \to F[H]$, $\Phi(t_{jk}) = x_jx_k$, we have:

$I_H = \text{Ker}(\Phi) = (t_{ijk} - t_{jik}, t_{ijk} - t_{jik} - t_{jmk} : 1 \leq j, i \leq p, p + 1 \leq k, m \leq q)$.  


3 Main results

We denote $\mathcal{O}$ the domain of entire functions. We have that

$$\mathcal{O} = \{f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n z^n : a_n \in \mathbb{C}, \lim_{n} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|} = 0\} \subset \mathcal{O}_0 \cong \mathbb{C}\{z\}.$$  

Let $f \in \mathcal{O}$. If there exist a positive number $\rho$ and constants $A, B > 0$ such that

$$|f(z)| \leq Ae^{B|z|^\rho} \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{3.1}$$

then we say that $f$ has an order of growth $\leq \rho$. We define the order of growth of $f$ as

$$\rho(f) = \inf\{\rho > 0 : f \text{ has an order of growth } \leq \rho\}.$$  

For each integer $k \geq 0$ we define canonical factors by

$$E_0(z) = 1 - z \text{ and } E_k(z) = (1 - z)e^{z^2 + z^3 + \cdots + z^k} \text{ for } k \geq 1.$$  

Let $f \in \mathcal{O}$ be an entire function with the order of growth $\rho$. From Hadamard’s Theorem (see for instance [7, Theorem 5.1]), it follows that

$$f(z) = z^m e^{P(z)} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E_k(\frac{z}{z_n}), \tag{3.2}$$

where $k = [\rho], z_1, z_2, \ldots$ are the non-zero zeros of $f$, $P$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq k$ and $m$ is the order of the zero of $f$ at $z = 0$. In particular, if the number of zeros of $f$ is finite, then

$$\rho = k \text{ and } f(z) = Q(z)e^{P(z)}, \text{ where } Q \in \mathbb{C}[z]. \tag{3.3}$$

It is well known that the field of meromorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}$, denoted by $\mathcal{M}$ is the quotient field of $\mathcal{O}$. Moreover, if $f$ is meromorphic with order of growth $\leq \rho$, then $f$ is the quotient of two holomorphic functions with order of growth $\leq \rho$. For any $\rho > 0$, we denote $\mathcal{O}_{<\rho}$ the domain of entire functions with order of growth $< \rho$, and $\mathcal{M}_{<\rho}$ the quotient field of $\mathcal{O}_{<\rho}$, that is the field of meromorphic functions of order $< \rho$.

**Proposition 3.1.** If $f$ is a meromorphic function with order of growth $\rho$ with finitely many zeros and poles, then $\rho$ is an integer and $f(z) = R(z)e^{P(z)}$, where $R(z) \in \mathbb{C}(z)$ is a rational function, and $P \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ is a polynomial of degree $\rho$.

**Proof.** Since $f$ is meromorphic of order $\rho$, we can write $f(z) = \frac{g(z)}{h(z)}$, where $g$ and $h$ are holomorphic of order $\leq \rho$ and at least one of then has the order of growth $\rho$. From (3.3) it follows that $\rho$ is integer and

$$g(z) = Q_1(z)e^{P_1(z)} \text{ and } h(z) = Q_2(z)e^{P_2(z)},$$

where $P_1, Q_1, P_2$ and $Q_2$ are polynomials with $\max\{\deg(P_1), \deg(P_2)\} = \rho$. Therefore

$$f(z) = \frac{Q_1(z)}{Q_2(z)}e^{P_1(z) - P_2(z)}.$$  

Since $f$ has the order of growth $\rho$, it follows that $\deg(P_1 - P_2) = \rho$, as required. \qed
Remark 3.2. Let $f_1, \ldots, f_r$ be some meromorphic functions with finite orders of growth $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_r$ and finitely many zeros and poles. From Proposition 3.1 it follows that

$$f_j(z) = R_j(z)e^{P_j(z)} \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq r,$$

where $R_j \in \mathbb{C}(z)$ and $P_j \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$. We have the $\mathbb{C}(z)$-algebra isomorphisms

$$\mathbb{C}(z)[f_1, \ldots, f_r] \cong \mathbb{C}(z)[e^{P_1(z)}, \ldots, e^{P_r(z)}],$$

$$\mathbb{C}(z)[f_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, f_r^{\pm 1}] \cong \mathbb{C}(z)[e^{P_1(z)}, \ldots, e^{\pm P_r(z)}].$$

Since $\mathbb{C}(z)$ is a subfield of $\mathcal{M}_{<1}$, it follows that we have the $\mathcal{M}_{<1}$-algebra isomorphism

$$S := \mathcal{M}_{<1}[f_1, \ldots, f_r] \cong \mathcal{M}_{<1}[e^{P_1(z)}, \ldots, e^{P_r(z)}],$$

$$\overline{S} := \mathcal{M}_{<1}[f_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, f_r^{\pm 1}] \cong \mathcal{M}_{<1}[e^{P_1(z)}, \ldots, e^{\pm P_r(z)}].$$

However, in general $S^{hol} \subset (\mathcal{M}_{<1}[e^{P_1(z)}, \ldots, e^{P_r(z)}])^{hol} = \mathcal{M}_{<1}[e^{P_1(z)}, \ldots, e^{P_r(z)}]$, as the functions $f_1, \ldots, f_r$ could have poles at $z = 0$.

In the following theorem, we give a criterion for the linear (algebraic) independence of the functions $f_j(z) = e^{P_j(z)}$, $1 \leq j \leq r$, over the field $\mathcal{M}_{<1}$.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_r \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ be polynomials such that $P_j - P_k$ is non-constant for any $j \neq k$. Let $d_j := \deg(P_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$ and

$$f_j : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^*, \quad f_j(z) := e^{P_j(z)}, \quad (\forall) z \in \mathbb{C}, \ 1 \leq j \leq r.$$

1. The holomorphic functions $f_1, \ldots, f_r$ are linearly independent over $\mathcal{M}_{<1}$.

2. If $d_j \geq 1$ for all $1 \leq j \leq r$ and $|\{d_1, \ldots, d_r\}| = r$ then $f_1, \ldots, f_r$ are algebraically independent over $\mathcal{M}_{<1}$.

**Proof.** (1) Note that $f_j$ is an entire functions of order $d_j := \deg(P_j)$, for any $1 \leq j \leq r$. We use induction on $r \geq 1$. The case $r = 1$ is obvious. Assume $r \geq 2$ and let $g_1, \ldots, g_r \in \mathcal{M}_{<1}$ such that

$$g_1f_1 + g_2f_2 + \cdots + g_rf_r = 0.$$

If $g_r = 0$, then we are done by induction hypothesis. Without any loss of generality, we can assume that $g_r$ is identically 1. It follows that

$$g_1(z)e^{P_1(z) - P_r(z)} + \cdots + g_{r-1}(z)e^{P_{r-1}(z) - P_r(z)} + 1 = 0, \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (3.4)$$

Differentiating $(3.4)$ it follows that

$$(g_1'(z) + g_1(z)(P_1(z) - P_r(z)))e^{P_1(z) - P_r(z)} + \cdots + (g_{r-1}'(z) + g_{r-1}(z)(P_{r-1}(z) - P_r(z)))e^{P_{r-1}(z) - P_r(z)} = 0, \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{C}.$$
Since \((P_j - P_r) - (P_k - P_r) = P_j - P_k\) are non-constant for all \(1 \leq j \neq k \leq r - 1\), by induction hypothesis, it follows that \(g_j' + (P_j - P_r)g_j = 0\), for all \(1 \leq j \leq r - 1\), hence
\[
g_j(z) = C_j e^{P_r - P_j}(z), \quad \text{where } C_j \in \mathbb{C}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq r. \tag{3.5}
\]

If \(C_j \neq 0\), since \(\deg(P_r - P_j) \geq 1\), from (3.5) it follows that \(g_j\) is a holomorphic function of order \(\geq 1\), a contradiction. Hence \(g_j = 0\) for all \(1 \leq j \leq r - 1\) and thus we get a contradiction from (3.4).

(2) Let \(Q \in \mathbb{F}[t_1, \ldots, t_r]\) be a polynomial such that \(Q(f_1, \ldots, f_r) = 0\). We have that
\[
Q(t_1, \ldots, t_n) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{N}^r} g_a t^a, \quad \text{where } t^a := t_1^{a_1} \cdots t_r^{a_r}, \quad g_a \in \mathbb{F},
\]
and only a finite number of \(g_a\)'s are nonzero. Hence
\[
Q(f_1, \ldots, f_n)(z) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{N}^r} g_a(z) f^a(z), \quad \text{where } f^a := f_1^{a_1} \cdots f_r^{a_r}. \tag{3.6}
\]

For any \(a \in \mathbb{N}^r\), we have
\[
f^a(z) = e^{P_a(z)}, \quad \text{where } P_a := a_1 P_1 + \cdots + a_r P_r. \tag{3.7}
\]

Let \(b \neq a \in \mathbb{N}^r\). Since the \(d_j\)'s are pairwise disjoint, the polynomial
\[
P_a - P_b = (a_1 - b_1) P_1 + \cdots + (a_r - b_r) P_r, \tag{3.8}
\]
in non-constant. From (3.7), (3.8) and (i) it follows that the set \(\{f^a : a \in \mathbb{N}^r\}\) is linearly independent over \(\mathbb{F}\). Hence, from (3.6), we get \(Q = 0\), as required. \(\Box\)

**Corollary 3.4.** Let \(g_{jk} \in \mathcal{M}_{<1}\) for all \(1 \leq j, k \leq r\) such that
\[
D(z) := \det(g_{jk}(z))_{j,k} \neq 0, \quad (\forall)z \in A,
\]
where \(A \subset \mathbb{C}\) is a non-discrete subset. In the hypothesis of Theorem 3.3, the meromorphic functions \(h_j := \sum_{k=1}^{k=j} g_{jk} f_k\), \(1 \leq j \leq k\), are linearly independent over \(\mathcal{M}_{<1}\).

Moreover, in the hypothesis (2) of Theorem 3.3, the functions \(h_1, \ldots, h_r\) are algebraically independent over \(\mathcal{M}_{<1}\).

**Proof.** As \(D(z)\) is non-constant on a non-discrete subset \(A \subset \mathbb{C}\), it follows that \(D \in \mathcal{M}_{<1}\) is nonzero. Since, from Theorem 3.3, \(\{f_1, \ldots, f_r\}\) are linearly independent over \(\mathcal{M}_{<1}\), it follows that \(\{h_1, \ldots, h_r\}\) are also linearly independent over \(\mathcal{M}_{<1}\).

Since \(D\) is nonzero and \(f_1, \ldots, f_r\) are algebraically independent over \(\mathbb{F}\), it follows that the map
\[
\mathcal{M}_{<1} [f_1, \ldots, f_r] \to \mathcal{M}_{<1} [h_1, \ldots, h_r], \quad f_j \mapsto h_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq r,
\]
is a \(\mathcal{M}_{<1}\)-algebra isomorphism, hence \(h_1, \ldots, h_r\) are algebraically independent. \(\Box\)
Corollary 3.5. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_{<1}$ be a non-constant function and let $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_r \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ be non-constant polynomials of degrees $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_r$ such that $P_j - P_k$ is non-constant for any $j \neq k$. Let $f_j(z) = e^{P_j(z)}$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$. Then:

1. $\varphi, f_1, \ldots, f_r$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}$.
2. If $|\{d_1, \ldots, d_r\}| = r$ then $\varphi, f_1, \ldots, f_r$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{C}$.

Moreover, if $A = (a_{ij})_{0 \leq i,j \leq r}$ is a nonsingular matrix with entries in $\mathbb{C}$, and

$$g_j = a_{0j}\varphi + a_{1j}f_1 + \cdots + a_{rj}f_j \text{ for } 0 \leq j \leq r,$$

then the conclusions (1) and (2) holds if we replace $\varphi, f_1, \ldots, f_r$ with $g_0, g_1, \ldots, g_r$.

Proof. (1) We consider a linear combination

$$a \varphi + a_1f_1 + \cdots + a_rf_r = 0, \quad a \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } a_j \in \mathbb{C} \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq r. \quad (3.9)$$

If $a = 0$, then $a_1 = \cdots = a_r = 0$ from Theorem 3.3(1). Assume $a \neq 0$. Note that, at most one of the polynomials $P_j$’s is constant. We consider two cases:

(i) If $d_1 = 0$ and $d_j \geq 1$ for $2 \leq j \leq r$, then $f_1 \in \mathbb{C}$. Let

$$g_j(z) := \frac{1}{a \varphi(z) + a_1f_1}, \quad \text{for } 2 \leq j \leq r.$$

From (3.9) it follows that

$$1 + g_2f_2 + \cdots + g_rf_r = 0.$$

According to the proof of Theorem 3.3(1), this yields a contradiction.

(ii) If $d_j \geq 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$, then we let

$$g_j(z) := \frac{1}{a \varphi(z)} \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq r.$$

From (3.9) it follows that

$$1 + g_1f_1 + \cdots + g_rf_r = 0,$$

which, according to the proof of Theorem 3.3(1), yields a contradiction.

(2) From Theorem 3.3(2) it follows that $f_1, \ldots, f_r$ are algebraically independent over $\mathcal{M}_{<0}$ and hence over $\mathbb{C}(\varphi)$. On the other hand, the nonconstant function $\varphi$ is algebraically independent over $\mathbb{C}$. Thus $\varphi, f_1, \ldots, f_r$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{C}$.

The last assertion follows from Corollary 3.4. \hfill \Box

We conclude our paper with a list of examples.
Example 3.6. (1) Let \( f_1(z) = z, f_2(z) = e^{\frac{z}{2}}, f_3(z) = e^z \) and \( S = \mathbb{C}[f_1, f_2, f_3] \). According to Corollary 3.5, the meromorphic functions \( f_1, f_2, f_3 \) are linearly independent over \( \mathbb{C} \). One can easily check that

\[
S := \mathbb{C}[f_1, f_2, f_3] \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]}{p}, \quad \text{where} \quad p = (x_1^2 x_2 x_3 - 1).
\]

On the other hand, we have that

\[
\overline{S} := \mathbb{C}[f_1^{\pm 1}, f_2^{\pm 1}, f_3^{\pm 1}] \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3]}{\overline{p}}, \quad \text{where} \quad \overline{p} = (x_1 y_1 - 1, x_2 y_2 - 1, x_3 y_3 - 1, x_1^2 x_2 x_3 - 1).
\]

We consider the semigroups

\[
H = \{(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3 : f_1^{a_1} f_2^{a_2} f_3^{a_3} \text{ is holomorphic at } 0\},
\]

\[
\overline{H} = \{(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : f_1^{a_1} f_2^{a_2} f_3^{a_3} \text{ is holomorphic at } 0\}.
\]

Since \( \ell_1 = \text{ord}_{z=0} f_1 = 1, \ell_2 = \text{ord}_{z=0} f_2 = -2 \) and \( \ell_3 = \text{ord}_{z=0} f_3 = 0 \), from Theorem 2.2 it follows that

\[
\mathbb{C}[H] = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_1^2 x_2, x_3] \cong \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2, t_3], \quad I_H = (0) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{C}[\overline{H}] = \mathbb{C}[x_1, (x_1^2 x_2)^{\pm 1}, x_3^{\pm 1}] \cong \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2^{\pm 1}, t_3^{\pm 1}].
\]

From (2.13) and (2.16) it follows that

\[
S^{\text{hol}} = \mathbb{C}[z, e^{-z}, e^z] \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_1^2 x_2, x_3]}{(x_1^2 x_2 x_3 - 1)} \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2, t_3]}{(t_2 t_3 - 1)} \cong \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2^{\pm 1}].
\]

From (2.14) and (2.18) it follows that \( \overline{S}^{\text{hol}} = \mathbb{C}[z, e^{-z}, e^z] \cong \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2^{\pm 1}] \).

(2) Let \( f_1(z) = z, f_2(z) = \sin z, f_3(z) = e^z \), \( f_4(z) = e^{-z} \), \( S = \mathbb{C}[f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4] \). We have

\[
S \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]}{(x_1^2 x_3 x_4 - 1)}.
\]

Since \( \ell_1 = \ell_2 = 1 \) and \( \ell_3 = \ell_4 = -1 \), from Theorem 2.3 it follows that

\[
\mathbb{C}[H] = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_1 x_3, x_1 x_4, x_2 x_3, x_2 x_4] \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6]}{(t_1 t_2 - t_3 t_5, t_1 t_4 - t_2 t_6, t_1 t_6 - t_2 t_4, t_3 t_4 - t_5 t_6)}.
\]

From (2.16) it follows that

\[
S^{\text{hol}} = \mathbb{C}[z, \sin z, e^z, e^{-z}, \frac{e^z \sin z}{z}, \frac{e^{-z} \sin z}{z}] \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6]}{(t_1 t_2 - t_3 t_5, t_1 t_4 - t_2 t_6, t_1 t_6 - t_2 t_4, t_3 t_4 - t_5 t_6, t_1 t_4 - t_2 t_6, t_1 t_6 - t_2 t_4, t_3 t_4 - t_5 t_6)}.
\]
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