EQUIANGULAR LINES WITH A FIXED ANGLE
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Abstract. Solving a longstanding problem on equiangular lines, we determine, for each given fixed angle and in all sufficiently large dimensions, the maximum number of lines pairwise separated by the given angle.

Fix $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let $N_\alpha(d)$ denote the maximum number of lines in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with pairwise common angle $\arccos \alpha$. Let $k$ denote the minimum number (if it exists) of vertices of a graph whose adjacency matrix has spectral radius exactly $(1 - \alpha)/(2\alpha)$. If $k < \infty$, then $N_\alpha(d) = \lfloor k(d - 1)/(k - 1) \rfloor$ for all sufficiently large $d$, and otherwise $N_\alpha(d) = d + o(d)$. In particular, $N_{1/(2k-1)}(d) = \lfloor k(d - 1)/(k - 1) \rfloor$ for every integer $k \geq 2$ and all sufficiently large $d$.

A key ingredient is a new result in spectral graph theory: the adjacency matrix of a connected bounded degree graph has sublinear second eigenvalue multiplicity.

1. Introduction

A set of lines passing through the origin in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is called equiangular if they are pairwise separated by the same angle. Equiangular lines and their variants appear naturally in pure and applied mathematics. It is an old and natural problem to determine the maximum number of equiangular lines in a given dimension. The study of equiangular lines was initiated by Haantjes [10] in connection with elliptic geometry and has subsequently grown into an extensively studied subject. Equiangular lines show up in coding theory as tight frames [16]. Complex equiangular lines, also known under the name SIC-POVM, play important roles in quantum information theory [15].

The problem of determining $N(d)$, the maximum number of equiangular lines in $\mathbb{R}^d$, was formally posed by van Lint and Seidel [13]. The exact value of $N(d)$ has been determined for only finitely many $d$ (see [23, 22]). A general upper bound $N(d) \leq \binom{d+1}{2}$ was shown by Gerzon (see [12]). It had remained open for some time if there is a matching quadratic lower bound, until de Caen [4] gave a remarkable construction showing $N(d) \geq \frac{2}{9}(d + 1)^2$ for $d$ of the form $d = 6 \cdot 4^i - 1$, which in particular implies that $N(d) = \Theta(d^2)$ for all $d$. All examples of sets of $\Theta(d^2)$ equiangular lines in $\mathbb{R}^d$ have angles approaching $90^\circ$ as $d \to \infty$. It turns out that a completely different behavior emerges when the common angle is held fixed as $d \to \infty$, which is the focus of this paper.

Let $N_\alpha(d)$ denote the maximum number of lines in $\mathbb{R}^d$ through the origin with pairwise angle $\arccos \alpha$. Equivalently, $N_\alpha(d)$ is the maximum number of unit vectors in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with pairwise inner products $\pm \alpha$. Lemmens and Seidel [12] in 1973 initiated the problem of studying $N_\alpha(d)$ for fixed $\alpha$ and large $d$. They completely determined the values of $N_{1/3}(d)$ for all $d$, and in particular proved that $N_{1/3}(d) = 2(d - 1)$ for all $d \geq 15$. Neumann (see [12]) showed that $N_{\alpha}(d) \leq 2d$ unless $1/\alpha$ is an odd integer. It was conjectured by Lemmens and Seidel [12] and subsequently proved by Neumaier [14] that $N_{1/5}(d) = \lfloor 3(d - 1)/2 \rfloor$ for all sufficiently large $d$. Neumaier [14] writes that “the next interesting case [$\alpha = 1/7$] will require substantially stronger techniques.”
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We focus on the problem for fixed \( \alpha \) and large \( d \) and refer the readers to [6] for discussion on bounds for smaller values of \( d \).

Recently there were a number of significant advances giving new upper bounds on \( N_\alpha(d) \), starting with the work of Bukh [3] who proved that \( N_\alpha(d) \) is at most linear in the dimension for every fixed \( \alpha \). Then came a surprising breakthrough of Balla, Dräxl, Keevash, and Sudakov [11], who showed that \( \limsup_{d \to \infty} N_\alpha(d)/d \), as a function of \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \), is maximized at \( \alpha = 1/3 \), and in fact this limit is at most 1.93 unless \( \alpha = 1/3 \), in which case the limit is 2. In addition to introducing many new tools and ideas, their important paper presents an approach to the equiangular lines problem which forms a bedrock for subsequent work.

An outstanding problem is to determine \( \lim_{d \to \infty} N_\alpha(d)/d \) for every \( \alpha \). The results in [12, 14] suggest, and it is explicitly conjectured in [3, Conjecture 8], that \( N_{1/(2k-1)}(d) = kd/(k - 1) + O_k(1) \) as \( d \to \infty \). A conjectural value of \( \lim_{d \to \infty} N_\alpha(d)/d \) for every \( \alpha \) was given in [11] in terms of the following spectral graph quantity.

**Definition 1.1 (Spectral radius order).** Define the spectral radius order, denoted \( k(\lambda) \), of a real \( \lambda > 0 \) to be the smallest integer \( k \) so that there exists a \( k \)-vertex graph \( G \) whose spectral radius \( \lambda_1(G) \) is exactly \( \lambda \). (When we say the spectral radius or eigenvalues of a graph we always refer to its adjacency matrix.) Set \( k(\lambda) = \infty \) if no such graph exists.

Jiang and Polyanskii [11] conjectured that \( \lim_{d \to \infty} N_\alpha(d)/d = k/(k - 1) \) where \( k = k(\lambda) \) with \( \lambda = (1 - \alpha)/(2\alpha) \). They proved their conjecture whenever \( \lambda < \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{5}} \approx 2.058 \) (the cases \( \alpha = 1/3, 1/5 \), corresponding to \( \lambda = 1, 2 \), were known earlier, as discussed). In particular, it was shown that \( N_{1/1+2\sqrt{2}}(d) = 3d/2 + O(1) \). Furthermore, it was shown that \( N_\alpha(d) \leq 1.49d \) for every \( \alpha \notin \{1/3, 1/5, 1/(1 + 2\sqrt{2})\} \) and sufficiently large \( d > d_0(\alpha) \), improving the earlier bound in [11].

There is a natural limitation to all previous techniques when \( \lambda \geq \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{5}} \), which Neumaier had already predicted at the end of his paper [11] (hence his comment about \( \alpha = 1/7 \), i.e., \( \lambda = 3 \), mentioned earlier). We refer to [11] for discussion.

We completely settle all these conjectures in a strong form.

**Theorem 1.2 (Main theorem).** Fix \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \). Let \( \lambda = (1 - \alpha)/(2\alpha) \) and \( k = k(\lambda) \) be its spectral radius order. The maximum number \( N_\alpha(d) \) of equiangular lines in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) with common angle \( \arccos \alpha \) satisfies

- (a) \( N_\alpha(d) = \lfloor k(d - 1)/(k - 1) \rfloor \) for all sufficiently large \( d > d_0(\alpha) \) if \( k < \infty \).
- (b) \( N_\alpha(d) = d + o(d) \) as \( d \to \infty \) if \( k = \infty \).

**Remark.** Our proof of (a) works for \( d > 2^{2C\lambda k} \) with some constant \( C \). For (b), it is known [11, Propositions 15 and 23] that \( d \leq N_\alpha(d) \leq d + 2 \) unless \( \lambda \) is a totally real algebraic integer that is largest among its conjugates.\(^2\) For the remaining values of \( \alpha \), we leave it as an open problem to determine the growth order of \( N_\alpha(d) - d \).

If \( k \geq 2 \) is an integer and \( \alpha = 1/(2k - 1) \), then \( \lambda = k - 1 \) and \( k(\lambda) = k \) (the complete graph \( K_k \) is the graph on fewest vertices with spectral radius \( k - 1 \)), so the following corollary confirms Bukh’s conjecture [3] in a stronger form, and extending the only two previously known cases of \( k = 2 \) [12] and \( k = 3 \) [14].

---

1. In fact a stronger version of the inequality was shown by Bukh [3], namely that for every fixed \( \beta > 0 \) one cannot have more than \( C_\beta d \) unit vectors in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) whose mutual inner products lie in \( [-\beta, -\beta] \cup \{\alpha\} \).

2. The conjugates of an algebraic integer \( \lambda \) are the other roots of its minimal polynomial. We say that \( \lambda \) is totally real if all its conjugates are real.
Corollary 1.3. For every fixed integer $k \geq 2$, one has $N_{1/(2k-1)}(d) = \lfloor k(d-1)/(k-1) \rfloor$ for all sufficiently large $d > d_0(k)$.
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2. Proof ideas

In this section we summarize several key ideas used in the proof and discuss their origins.

Connection to spectral graph theory. Choose a unit vector in the direction of each line in the equiangular set. By considering the Gram matrix, we recast the problem to one concerning the spectrum of the adjacency matrix of an associated graph. The connection between equiangular lines and spectral graph theory has been well known from early works, making equiangular lines one of the foundational problems of algebraic graph theory (e.g., see [7, Chapter 11]).

Forbidden induced subgraphs. Using the fact that the Gram matrix is positive semidefinite, we show that the associated graph cannot have certain induced subgraphs. This idea has appeared in the early works of Lemmens and Seidel [13] and Neumaier [14], and it was reintroduced in recent papers [1, 3, 11] under the guise of taking an orthogonal projection onto some subspace. In our proof, we do not take projections, and instead simply verify the forbidden induced configurations by testing positive semidefiniteness using appropriately chosen vectors.

Switching. Given a set of unit vectors representing an equiangular lines configuration, we may negate some unit vector without changing the configuration of lines. The corresponding operation on the associated graph picks some vertex and swaps the adjacency and non-adjacency relations coming from that vertex. The idea of switching already appears in the early work of van Lint and Seidel [13]. It was further used by Neumaier [14] together with an application of Ramsey’s theorem to determine $N_{1/5}(d)$.

A novel extension of the switching argument was introduced in [1], combining the knowledge of forbidden induced subgraphs (mentioned above) with an application of Ramsey’s theorem. This can be used to show that one can switch some of the vertices in the associated graph so that it has bounded degree.

Theorem 2.1. For every $\alpha \in (0,1)$, there exists some $\Delta = \Delta(\alpha)$ so that for every set of equiangular lines in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with common angle $\arccos \alpha$, one can choose a set $S$ of unit vectors, with one unit vector in the direction of each line in the equiangular set, so that each unit vector in $S$ has inner product $-\alpha$ with at most $\Delta$ other vectors in $S$.

The proof of this theorem follows by combining Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8 of [1]. Since this result is an important ingredient of our proof and does not appear explicitly in [1], we give a self-contained and streamlined proof in Section 5.

Second eigenvalue multiplicity. Our most significant new contribution is an upper bound on the second eigenvalue multiplicity of the associated graph. Let $\lambda_1(G) \geq \lambda_2(G) \geq \cdots$ be the eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix of $G$, accounting for multiplicities as usual. We call $\lambda_2(G)$ the second eigenvalue of $G$.

Theorem 2.2. For every $\Delta$ there is a constant $C = C(\Delta)$ so that every connected $n$-vertex graph with maximum degree at most $\Delta$ has second eigenvalue multiplicity at most $Cn/\log \log n$. 
We introduce a novel approach to bound the second eigenvalue multiplicity using the Cauchy interlacing theorem along with comparing local and global spectral data via counting closed walks in the graph after deleting a small fraction of the vertices. See Section 4 for the proof as well as remarks on bounds.

In contrast, the strategy in [1] and later adapted in [11] had the flavor of using projections to exclude a finite set of subgraphs with spectral radii exceeding \( \lambda \), though this strategy runs into a serious limitation when \( \lambda \geq \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3} \), as foreseen by Neumaier [14], since the family of forbidden subgraphs has infinitely many minimal elements [11]. Our method overcomes this significant barrier.

3. Proof of the main theorem

A set of \( N \) equiangular lines can be represented by unit vectors \( v_1, \ldots, v_N \in \mathbb{R}^d \) with \( \langle v_i, v_j \rangle = \pm \alpha \) for all \( i \neq j \). The Gram matrix \( ([\langle v_i, v_j \rangle])_{i,j} \) is a positive semidefinite matrix with 1’s on the diagonal and \( \pm \alpha \) everywhere else, so it equals to \( (1-\alpha)I + \alpha(J - 2A_G) \), where \( I \) is the identity matrix, \( J \) the all-1’s matrix, and \( A_G \) the adjacency matrix of an associated graph \( G \) on vertex set \( [N] \) where \( ij \) is an edge whenever \( \langle v_i, v_j \rangle = -\alpha \). Dividing by \( 2\alpha \), we can rewrite this matrix as \( \lambda I - A_G + \frac{1}{2}J \), where \( \lambda = (1 - \alpha)/(2\alpha) \). Conversely, for every \( G \) and \( \lambda \) for which the above matrix is positive semidefinite and has rank \( d \), there exists a corresponding configuration of \( N \) equiangular lines in \( \mathbb{R}^d \), one line for each vertex of \( G \), with pairwise inner product \( \pm \alpha \). Thus the equiangular lines problem has the following equivalent spectral graph theoretic formulation.

**Lemma 3.1.** There exists a family of \( N \) equiangular lines in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) with common angle \( \arccos \alpha \) if and only if there exists an \( N \)-vertex graph \( G \) such that the matrix \( \lambda I - A_G + \frac{1}{2}J \) is positive semidefinite and has rank at most \( d \), where \( \lambda = (1 - \alpha)/(2\alpha) \) and \( J \) is the all-1’s matrix. \( \square \)

We first establish the lower bounds.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \) and \( \lambda = (1 - \alpha)/(2\alpha) \). Let \( d \) be a positive integer. One has \( N_\alpha(d) \geq d \). If \( k = k(\lambda) < \infty \), then \( N_\alpha(d) \geq \lfloor k(d-1)/(k-1) \rfloor \).

**Proof.** Let \( G \) be the empty graph on \( d \) vertices, so that \( A_G = 0 \) and \( \lambda I - A_G + \frac{1}{2}J \) is positive semidefinite and has rank \( d \). So \( N_\alpha(d) \geq d \) by Lemma 3.1.

Now assume \( k < \infty \). Let \( H \) be a \( k \)-vertex graph with \( \lambda_1(H) = \lambda \). Let \( G \) be the disjoint union of \( \lfloor (d-1)/(k-1) \rfloor \) copies of \( H \) along with \( (d-1) - (k-1) \lfloor (d-1)/(k-1) \rfloor \) isolated vertices. The number of vertices in \( G \) is \( (d-1) + \lfloor (d-1)/(k-1) \rfloor = \lfloor k(d-1)/(k-1) \rfloor \).

Since \( \lambda \) is the spectral radius of \( G \) and the multiplicity of \( \lambda \) in \( G \) is \( \lfloor (d-1)/(k-1) \rfloor \), the matrix \( \lambda I - A_G \) is positive semidefinite and has rank \( d-1 \). Because \( \frac{1}{2}J \) is also positive semidefinite and has rank 1, their sum \( \lambda I - A_G + \frac{1}{2}J \) is positive semidefinite and has rank at most \( d \). By Lemma 3.1, \( N_\alpha(d) \geq \lfloor k(d-1)/(k-1) \rfloor \). \( \square \)

Before we prove the upper bound in Theorem 1.2 assuming Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we note that in several situations, removing a subgraph with high spectral radius and its neighborhood leaves a subgraph with low spectral radius. We need two slightly different versions of this idea which are nearly identical, yet must be distinguished for technical reasons. We write \( G[U] \) for the subgraph of \( G \) induced by \( U \subseteq V(G) \).

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \( \lambda \) be a positive real, and \( G \) be either

(a) a connected graph with \( \lambda_2(G) = \lambda \), or
(b) any graph with \( \lambda I - A_G + \frac{1}{2}J \) positive semidefinite.
Let $W, U \subseteq V(G)$ be nonempty disjoint subsets such that no vertex of $W$ is adjacent to a vertex of $U$. If $\lambda_1(G[W]) > \lambda$, then $\lambda_1(G[U]) < \lambda$.

**Proof.** (a) If $G$ is connected, by the Perron–Frobenius theorem, we can choose top eigenvectors $v, w, u$ of $G, G[W], G[U]$ respectively so that all coordinates of $v$ are positive, and all coordinates of $w$ and $u$ are nonnegative.

Let $x = w - cu \in \mathbb{R}^{V(G)}$ (padding zeros to extend $w$ and $u$ to vectors of length $|V(G)|$). Since both $v^\top w$ and $v^\top u$ are positive, we can choose $c > 0$ so that $v^\top x = 0$. By the Courant–Fischer theorem, $\lambda x^\top x \geq x^\top A_G x$, which expands to

$$\lambda w^\top w + c^2 u^\top u \geq w^\top A_G[w] w + c^2 u^\top A_G[u] u = \lambda_1(G[W]) w^\top w + c^2 \lambda_1(G[U]) u^\top u,$$

and the result thus follows.

(b) When $\lambda I - A_G + \frac{1}{2} J$ is positive semidefinite, any vector $x$ orthogonal to $1$ satisfies $\lambda x^\top x \geq x^\top A_G x$, and this case can be dealt with by an identical argument with $v$ replaced by $1$.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** The lower bounds follow from Proposition 3.2. Consider $N$ equiangular lines in $\mathbb{R}^d$. By Theorem 2.1, there is some constant $\Delta = \Delta(\alpha)$ such that we can choose one unit vector in the direction of each line so that the associated graph (whose edges correspond to negative inner products) has maximum degree at most $\Delta$. Let $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_t$ be the connected components of $G$, numbered such that $\lambda_1(G) = \lambda_1(C_1)$.

If $\lambda$ is not an eigenvalue of $A_G$, then $\lambda I - A_G$ has full rank. As $J$ has rank $1$,

$$d \geq \text{rank}(\lambda I - A_G + \frac{1}{2} J) \geq N - 1.$$

Thus $N \leq d + 1$, and Theorem 1.2 clearly holds. Therefore we may assume that $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $A_G$.

First consider the case $\lambda_1(G) = \lambda$. By the definition of spectral radius order $k = k(\lambda) < \infty$. Since both $\lambda I - A_G$ and $J$ are positive semidefinite,

$$\ker(\lambda I - A_G + \frac{1}{2} J) = \ker(\lambda I - A_G) \cap \ker(J).$$

By the Perron–Frobenius theorem, there is a top eigenvector of $G$ with nonnegative entries. This vector lies in $\ker(\lambda I - A_G)$ but not in $\ker(J)$, implying that $\dim \ker(\lambda I - A_G + \frac{1}{2} J) \leq \dim \ker(\lambda I - A_G) - 1$. By the rank–nullity theorem, we obtain

$$\text{rank}(\lambda I - A_G) \leq \text{rank}(\lambda I - A_G + \frac{1}{2} J) - 1 \leq d - 1.$$

Without loss of generality, suppose $C_1, \ldots, C_j$ are the components of $G$ with spectral radius exactly $\lambda$, and thus $|C_1|, \ldots, |C_j| \geq k$ by the definition of spectral radius order. By the Perron–Frobenius theorem, the multiplicity of $\lambda$ in each component is at most $1$. Thus

$$\dim \ker(\lambda I - A_G) = j \quad \text{and} \quad \text{rank}(\lambda I - A_G) \geq (k - 1)j.$$

Combining the two bounds on rank we obtain $j \leq (d - 1)/(k - 1)$. Thus,

$$N = \text{rank}(\lambda I - A_G) + \dim \ker(\lambda I - A_G) \leq d - 1 + j \leq \frac{k(d - 1)}{k - 1}.$$

Therefore Theorem 1.2 also holds in this case.

Now assume $\lambda_1(C_1) > \lambda$. Since $\lambda I - A_G + \frac{1}{2} J$ is positive semidefinite and $J$ has rank $1$, $\lambda I - A_G$ has at most one negative eigenvalue. Thus $\lambda_2(G) \leq \lambda$. 


Moreover, by Lemma 3.3(b), the spectral radius of all the remaining components must be strictly less than $\lambda$. Therefore $\lambda I - A_{C_i}$ is invertible for all $i > 1$, so $\dim \ker(\lambda I - A_G) = \dim \ker(\lambda I - A_{C_1})$. Since $C_1$ has maximum degree at most $\Delta$, Theorem 2.2 gives

$$\dim \ker(\lambda I - A_{C_1}) = O(\Delta(N/\log \log N)).$$

Also,

$$\rank(\lambda I - A_{C_1}) \leq \rank(\lambda I - A_{C_1} + \frac{1}{2}J) + 1 \leq d + 1.$$

Thus

$$N = \rank(\lambda I - A_{C_1}) + \dim \ker(\lambda I - C_1) \leq O(\Delta(N/\log \log N) + d + 1.)$$

This implies that $N \leq d + O(\Delta(d/\log \log d))$. When $k < \infty$, this is smaller than $\lfloor k(d - 1)/(k - 1) \rfloor$ for sufficiently large $d$. □

4. Bounding second eigenvalue multiplicity

In this section we prove Theorem 2.2 which bounds the second eigenvalue multiplicity of a bounded degree graph.

**Definition 4.1.** The $r$-neighborhood of a vertex $v$ in a graph $G$, denoted $G_r(v)$, is the subgraph of $G$ induced by all the vertices that are at most distance $r$ away from $v$. An $r$-net in $G$ is a subset $V$ of the vertices such that all vertices in $G$ are within distance $r$ from some vertex in $V$.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let $n$ and $r$ be positive integers. Every $n$-vertex connected graph has an $r$-net with size at most $\lceil n/(r + 1) \rceil$.

**Proof.** It suffices to prove the lemma in the case where $G$ has no isolated vertices. The result then follows from the observation that $A_{G_{2r}}^H \leq A_{G_{2r}}^G - I$ entry-wise (padding zeros to extend $A_H$ to a $|V(G)| \times |V(G)|$ matrix). Indeed, for each vertex $v$ of $H$, the number of closed walks of length $2r$ starting from $v$ is strictly more in $G$ than in $H$, since in $G$ one can walk to a nearest vertex in the $r$-net and then walk back (and then walking back and forth along a single edge to reach length $2r$) and this walk is not available in $H$. □

The next lemma tells us that removing an $r$-net from a graph significantly decreases its spectral radius.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let $r$ be a positive integer. If $H$ (with at least 1 vertex) is obtained from a graph $G$ by deleting an $r$-net of $G$, then

$$\lambda_1(H)^{2r} \leq \lambda_1(G)^{2r} - 1.$$

**Proof.** It suffices to prove the lemma in the case where $G$ has no isolated vertices. The result then follows from the observation that $A_{G_{2r}}^H \leq A_{G_{2r}}^G - I$ entry-wise (padding zeros to extend $A_H$ to a $|V(G)| \times |V(G)|$ matrix). Indeed, for each vertex $v$ of $H$, the number of closed walks of length $2r$ starting from $v$ is strictly more in $G$ than in $H$, since in $G$ one can walk to a nearest vertex in the $r$-net and then walk back (and then walking back and forth along a single edge to reach length $2r$) and this walk is not available in $H$. □

The next lemma connects the spectrum of a graph with its local spectral radii.

**Lemma 4.4.** For every graph $G$ and positive integer $r$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{|G|}{r}} \lambda_i(G)^{2r} \leq \sum_{v \in V(G)} \lambda_1(G_r(v))^{2r}.$$
Proof. The left-hand side counts the number of closed walks of length $2r$ in $G$. The number of such walks starting at $v \in V(G)$ is $1^1_A G_r(v) 1$, since such a walk must stay within distance $r$ from $v$. This quantity is upper bounded by $\lambda_1(G_r(v))^{2r}$, completing the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 2.2 Let $G$ be a connected $n$-vertex graph with maximum degree at most $\Delta$. If $\lambda_2(G) \leq 0$, the theorem holds as the graph has bounded size. Indeed, in this case, $2|E(G)| = \sum_{i=1}^{[G]} \lambda_i(G)^2 \leq \lambda_1(G)^2 + (\lambda_2(G) + \cdots + \lambda_\|G\|)^2 = 2\lambda_1(G)^2 \leq 2\Delta^2$.

Now suppose $\lambda = \lambda_2(G) > 0$. Let $r_1 = \lfloor c \log n \rfloor$ and $r_2 = \lfloor c \log n \rfloor$ where $c = c(\Delta) > 0$ is a sufficiently small constant. Let $r = r_1 + r_2$.

If $\lambda_1(G_{r_1}(v)) > \lambda$ for some $v \in V(G)$, then Lemma 3.3(a) tells us that the graph obtained by removing from $G$ all vertices within distance $r + 1$ to $v$ has spectral radius strictly smaller than $\lambda$. The Cauchy eigenvalue interlacing theorem then implies that the multiplicity of $\lambda$ in $G$ is at most $|G_{r+1}(v)| \leq \Delta^{r+1} + 1 = O(\sqrt{n})$ when $c$ is sufficiently small.

From now on assume that $\lambda_1(G_{r_1}(v)) \leq \lambda$ for every $v \in V(G)$. Let $H$ denote $G$ after removing an $r_1$-net $V_0$ of size at most $[n/(r_1+1)]$ from $G$, obtained from Lemma 4.2. For each $v \in V(H)$, the vertices in $G_{r_1}(v)$ not in $H_{r_2}(v)$ form an $r_1$-net of $G_{r_1}(v)$, and hence by Lemma 4.3, $\lambda_1(H_{r_2}(v))^{2r_1} \leq \lambda_1(G_{r_1}(v))^{2r_1} - 1 \leq \lambda^{2r_1} - 1$. By Lemma 4.4

$$\sum_{i=1}^{[H]} \lambda_i(H)^{2r_2} \leq \sum_{v \in V(H)} \lambda_1(H_{r_2}(v))^{2r_2} \leq (\lambda^{2r_1} - 1)^{r_2/r_1} n.$$ 

Hence the multiplicity of $\lambda$ in $H$ is at most

$$(1 - \lambda^{-2r_1})^{r_2/r_1} n \leq e^{-r_2 \lambda^{-2r_1} / r_1} n \leq e^{-\sqrt{\log n}} n,$$

provided that $c$ is chosen to be small enough initially (here we note that $\lambda \leq \lambda_1(G) \leq \Delta$).

We removed at most $[n/(r_1 + 1)] = O(n / \log \log n)$ vertices from $G$ to obtain $H$. Thus by the Cauchy eigenvalue interlacing theorem, the multiplicity of $\lambda$ in $G$ is at most $O(n / \log \log n)$. □

Remark. Theorem 2.2 fails for disconnected graphs since $\lambda_2(G)$ can be the spectral radius of many identical small components.

It seems likely that the upper bound can be further improved. It cannot be improved beyond $O(n^{1/3})$ due to the following construction: let $p \geq 5$ be a prime and $G$ the Cayley graph of $\text{PSL}(2, p)$ with two standard group generators. Then $G$ is a connected 4-regular graph on $p(p^2 - 1)/2$ vertices. Since all non-trivial representations of $\text{PSL}(2, p)$ have dimension at least $(p - 1)/2$, all eigenvalues of $G$ except $\lambda_1(G)$ has multiplicity at least $(p - 1)/2$ (see [8]). More generally, one can use quasirandom groups [8], which are groups with no small irreducible non-trivial representations.

The claim is false without the maximum degree hypothesis. Paley graphs have $p$ vertices and second eigenvalue $(\sqrt{p} - 1)/2$ with multiplicity $(p - 1)/2$. Other strongly regular graphs and distance-regular graphs have similar properties.

5. Switching to a Bounded Degree Graph

It remains to prove Theorem 2.1 which says that one can choose the unit vectors for the equiangular lines so that the associated graph $G$ has bounded degree. Recall that the edges of $G$ correspond

---

3Alternatively, a graph $G$ with $\lambda_2(G) \leq 0$ cannot contain as an induced subgraph a path on four vertices or a triangle with a pendant edge, from which one deduces that $G$ must be complete multipartite and thus has at most $2\Delta$ vertices.

We begin by using the positive semidefiniteness of the Gram matrix to show that certain induced subgraphs cannot appear in $G$.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Let $G$ be the associated graph of a set of unit vectors with pairwise inner products $\pm \alpha$. Then the largest clique in $G$ has size at most $\alpha^{-1} + 1$.

**Proof.** Let $v_1, \ldots, v_M$ be unit vectors corresponding to a clique in $G$, so that $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = -\alpha$ for $i \neq j$. Then $0 \leq \|v_1 + \cdots + v_M\|^2 = M - M(M - 1)\alpha$. Hence $M \leq \alpha^{-1} + 1$. \hfill $\square$

**Definition 5.2.** For a graph $G$ and sets $A \subseteq X \subseteq V(G)$, define $C_X(A)$ to be the set of vertices in $V(G) \setminus X$ that are adjacent to all vertices in $A$ and not adjacent to any vertices in $X \setminus A$.

**Lemma 5.3.** Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\lambda = (1 - \alpha)/(2\alpha)$. There exist positive integers $M_1, M_2$ depending only on $\alpha$ such that the following holds. Let $G$ be the associated graph of a set of unit vectors with pairwise inner products $\pm \alpha$. If $X$ is an independent set of $G$ with $M_1$ vertices, then

(a) the maximum degree of the subgraph of $G$ induced by $C_X(\emptyset)$ (i.e., the non-neighbors of $X$) is at most $\lceil \lambda^2 \rceil$, and

(b) $|C_X(Y)| \leq M_2$ for every nonempty proper subset $Y$ of $X$.

**Proof.** (a) Assume for contradiction that there exists a star $K_{1,D}$ in $C_X(\emptyset)$ with vertex set $V_1$ where $D = \lceil \lambda^2 \rceil + 1$. Consider the vector $v$ that assigns $\sqrt{D}$ to the center of the star, 1 to all other vertices in $V_1$, $-(D + \sqrt{D})/|X|$ to all vertices in $X$, and 0 to all other vertices of $G$. We have

$$v^T(\lambda I - AG + \frac{1}{2}J)v \geq 0$$

due to positive semidefiniteness. Since $Jv = 0$,

$$0 \leq \lambda(v^Tv) - v^TAGv \leq \lambda \left(2D + \frac{(D + \sqrt{D})^2}{|X|}\right) - 2D\sqrt{D}.$$ 

As $\lambda < \sqrt{D}$, this gives a contradiction when $|X| = M_1$ is sufficiently large.

(b) Write $a = |Y|$, $b = |X \setminus Y|$, and $c = |C_X(Y)|$. For any real numbers $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$, we consider the vector $v$ that assigns $\alpha$ to the vertices in $Y$, $\beta$ to the vertices in $X \setminus Y$, $\gamma$ to the vertices in $C_X(Y)$, and 0 to all other vertices. We have

$$0 \leq v^T(\lambda I - AG + \frac{1}{2}J)v \leq \lambda(\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2) - 2\alpha\gamma\gamma + \frac{\lambda}{b + 2\lambda}(\alpha + c\gamma)^2.$$ 

This inequality holds for all real $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$. In particular, taking $\beta = -(\alpha + \gamma)/(b + 2\lambda)$, we obtain

$$\lambda(\alpha^2 + \gamma^2) - 2\alpha\gamma\gamma + \frac{\lambda}{b + 2\lambda}(\alpha + \gamma)^2 \geq 0$$

for all real numbers $\alpha$ and $\gamma$. This is a quadratic form in $\alpha$ and $\gamma$. For it to take nonnegative values its discriminant must be nonpositive. Thus

$$4\left(\frac{(b + \lambda)^2}{(b + 2\lambda)^2}\right)a^2c^2 = 4\left(\lambda a + \frac{\lambda a}{b + 2\lambda}\right)\left(\lambda c + \frac{\lambda c}{b + 2\lambda}\right) \leq 0,$$

which simplifies to

$$(b + \lambda)^2ac \leq (\lambda a + \lambda b + 2\lambda^2)(\lambda c + \lambda b + 2\lambda^2).$$

Rearranging the inequality gives

$$c \leq \frac{\lambda^2(a + b + 2\lambda)}{ab - \lambda^2}.$$
Since \(a + b = M_1\) and \(a, b\) are positive integers, whenever \(M_1 \geq \lambda^2 + 2\), we have
\[
c \leq \lambda^2(a + b + 2\lambda) = \lambda^2(M_1 + 2\lambda).
\]
Choosing \(M_1, M_2\) appropriately, we conclude \(|C_X(Y)| = c \leq M_2\), as desired.

**Proof of Theorem 2.1.** For a set of \(N\) equiangular lines in \(\mathbb{R}^d\) with common angle \(\arccos \alpha\), choose unit vectors \(v_1, \ldots, v_N\) in the directions of the lines arbitrarily. Let \(G\) be the associated graph, whose vertex set is \(V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_N\}\) with an edge between two vectors if their inner product is \(-\alpha\).

Let \(M_0 = [\alpha^{-1}] + 2\) and define \(M_1, M_2\) as in Lemma 5.3. By Ramsey’s theorem, there exists \(R = R(M_0, M_1)\) such that if \(|V| > R\), then \(G\) contains either a clique of size \(M_0\) or an independent set of size \(M_1\). As long as we choose \(\Delta \geq R\), the result is trivially true for \(|V| \leq R\). Thus we may assume that \(|V| > R\). By Lemma 5.1, \(G\) does not contain a clique of size \(M_0\). Thus \(G\) must contain an independent set of size \(M_1\), which we call \(V_1\).

We modify our set of vectors \(\{v_1, \ldots, v_N\}\) in two stages.

**Step 1:** For any vertex \(v_i \in C_{V_1}(V_1)\), the common neighborhood of \(V_1\) in \(G\), replace \(v_i\) by \(-v_i\).

We classify the vertices in \(V \setminus V_1\) by how they connect to \(V_1\). In particular, \(V \setminus V_1 = \bigcup_{Y \subseteq V_1} C_{V_1}(Y)\). Step 1 ensures that \(C_{V_1}(V_1)\) is empty, while Lemma 5.3(b) bounds the size \(C_{V_1}(Y)\) for \(Y \neq \emptyset\). Let \(V_2 = C_{V_1}(\emptyset)\), the non-neighbors of \(V_1\). By Lemma 5.3(b), \(|V \setminus V_2| \leq M := M_1 + 2M_1M_2\).

**Step 2:** For any vertex \(v_i \in V \setminus V_2\) that is adjacent to more than half of the vertices in \(V_2\), replace \(v_i\) by \(-v_i\).

By Lemma 5.3(a), the maximum degree of the subgraph induced by \(V_2\) is at most \(\lceil \lambda^2 \rceil\), so the degree (in the new \(G\)) of any vertex of \(V_2\) is at most \(D := \lceil \lambda^2 \rceil + M\).

Now we establish a bound on the degree of any vertex \(v \in V \setminus V_2\). Let \(U\) be the set of non-neighbors of \(v\) in \(V_2\). By Step 2, \(U\) has size at least \(|V_2|/2 \geq (N - M)/2\). Since all vertices in \(V_2\) have maximum degree at most \(D\), we can find among \(U\) an independent set of size at least \((N - M)/(2(D + 1))\). In particular, if \(N \geq 2(D + 1)M_1 + M\), then there exists an independent set \(X \subseteq U \subseteq V_2\) with \(|X| = M_1\). (As above, the case when \(N\) is small is trivial as long as we choose \(\Delta\) large enough.) The degree of any vertex of \(X\) is at most \(D\), so \(|V \setminus C_X(\emptyset)| \leq M_1 D + M_1\). Furthermore, by definition, \(v \in C_X(\emptyset)\), so by Lemma 5.3(a), the degree of \(v\) in \(G\) is at most \(M_1 D + M_1 + \lceil \lambda^2 \rceil\). Thus we have bounded the degree of every vertex by a constant depending only on \(\alpha\).

\[\square\]

### 6. Further Questions

Our main theorem completely determines \(N_\alpha(d)\) for sufficiently large \(d\) in the case \(k(\lambda) < \infty\). However, it is still open what happens exactly when \(k(\lambda) = \infty\). The construction in Proposition 3.2 only gives a lower bound \(N_\alpha(d) \geq d\), whereas the proof of Theorem 1.2 shows \(N_\alpha(d) = d + O_\alpha(d/\log \log d)\). The following conjecture was made in [11] and has been verified except when \(\lambda\) is a totally real algebraic integer that is largest among its conjugates [11, Propositions 15 and 23].

**Conjecture 6.1.** Fix \(\alpha \in (0, 1)\), and let \(\lambda = (1 - \alpha)/(2\alpha)\). If \(k(\lambda) = \infty\), then \(N_\alpha(d) = d + O_\alpha(1)\).

**Question 6.2.** How large does \(d\) need to be for Theorem 1.2 to hold?

Many interesting questions can be asked regarding Theorem 2.2 as well.
Question 6.3. Fix $\Delta$. What is the maximum possible second eigenvalue multiplicity of a connected $n$-vertex graph with maximum degree at most $\Delta$?

Theorem 2.2 shows that the $\lambda_2$ multiplicity is $O_\Delta(n/\log \log n)$. On the other hand, it cannot be better than $O(n^{1/3})$ when $\Delta \geq 4$ (see the remark at the end of Section 4).

Question 6.4. Fix $\Delta, \lambda > 0$. What is the maximum multiplicity that $\lambda$ can appear as the second eigenvalue of a connected $n$-vertex graph with maximum degree at most $\Delta$?

If the answer is $O(1)$ for some $\lambda$ and sufficiently large $\Delta$, then our proof shows that Conjecture 6.1 holds for this $\lambda$.

Finally, there are many similarly flavored questions regarding $s$-distance sets and spherical codes in $\mathbb{R}^n$, the sphere, and other spaces. Complex versions and higher dimensional analogs are also worth exploring further.
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