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A Ryser design $D$ on $v$ points is a collection of $v$ proper subsets (called blocks) of a point-set with $v$ points such that every two blocks intersect each other in $\lambda$ points (and $\lambda < v$ is a fixed number) and there are at least two block sizes. A design $D$ is called a symmetric design, if every point of $D$ has the same replication number (or equivalently, all the blocks have the same size) and every two blocks intersect each other in $\lambda$ points. The only known construction of a Ryser design is via block complementation of a symmetric design. Such a Ryser design is called a Ryser design of Type-1. This is the ground for the Ryser-Woodall conjecture: “every Ryser design is of Type-1”. This long standing conjecture has been shown to be valid in many situations. Let $D$ denote a Ryser design of order $v$, index $\lambda$ and replication numbers $r_1, r_2$. Let $e_i$ denote the number of points of $D$ with replication number $r_i$ (with $i = 1, 2$). Call $A$ small (respectively large) if $|A| < 2\lambda$ (respectively $|A| > 2\lambda$) and average if $|A| = 2\lambda$. Let $D$ denote the integer $e_1 - r_2$ and let $\rho > 1$ denote the rational number $\frac{r_1 - 1}{r_2 - 1}$. Main results of the present article are the following.

For every block $A$, $r_1 \geq |A| \geq r_2$ (this improves an earlier known inequality $|A| \geq r_2$). If there is no small block (respectively no large block) in $D$, then $D \leq -1$ (respectively $D \geq 0$). With an extra assumption $e_2 > e_1$ an earlier known upper bound on $v$ is improved from a cubic to a quadratic in $\lambda$. It is also proved that if $v \leq \lambda^2 + \lambda + 1$ and if $\rho$ equals $\lambda$ or $\lambda - 1$, then $D$ is of Type-1. Finally a Ryser design with $2^n + 1$ points is shown to be of Type-1.

1. Introduction

A design is a pair $(X, L)$, where $X$ is a finite set of points and $L \subseteq P(X)$, where $P(X)$ is the power set of $X$. The elements of $X$ are called its points and the members of $L$ are called the blocks. Most of the definitions, formulas and proofs of standard results used here can be found in [3].
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Definition 1.1. A design $D = (X, L)$ is said to be a symmetric $(v, k, \lambda)$ design if
1. $|X| = |L| = v$,
2. $|B_1 \cap B_2| = \lambda \geq 1$ for all blocks $B_1$ and $B_2$ of $D$, $B_1 \neq B_2$,
3. $|B| = k > \lambda$ for all blocks $B$ of $D$.

Definition 1.2. A design $D = (X, L)$ is said to be a Ryser design of order $v$ and index $\lambda$ if it satisfies the following:
1. $|X| = |L| = v$,
2. $|B_1 \cap B_2| = \lambda$ for all blocks $B_1$ and $B_2$ of $D$, $B_1 \neq B_2$,
3. $|B| > \lambda$ for all blocks $B$ of $D$,
4. there exist blocks $B_1$ and $B_2$ of $D$ with $|B_1| \neq |B_2|$.

Here condition 4 distinguishes a Ryser design from a symmetric design, and condition 3 disallows repeated blocks and also any block being contained in another block.

Woodall [11] introduced a new type of combinatorial object which is a combinatorial dual of a Ryser design. All known examples of Ryser designs can be described by the following construction given by Ryser which is also known as the Ryser-Woodall complementation. Let $D = (X, A)$ be a symmetric $(v, k, k - \lambda)$ design with $k \neq 2\lambda$. Let $A$ be a fixed block of $D$. Form the collection $B = \{A\} \cup \{A \Delta B : B \in A, B \neq A\}$, where $A \Delta B$ denotes the usual symmetric difference of $A$ and $B$. Then $\overline{D} = (X, B)$ is a Ryser design of order $v$ and index $\lambda$ obtained from $D$ by block complementation with respect to the block $A$. We denote $\overline{D}$ by $D \ast A$. Then $A$ is also a block of $D \ast A$ and the original design $D$ can be obtained by complementing $D \ast A$ with respect to the block $A$. If $D$ is a symmetric $(v, k, \lambda')$ design, then the design obtained by complementing $D$ with respect to some block is a Ryser design of order $v$ with index $\lambda = k - \lambda'$. A Ryser design obtained in this way is said to be of Type-1. Define a Ryser design to be of Type-2 if it is not of Type-1. We now state

**The Ryser Design Conjecture [3]:** Every Ryser design is of Type-1.

In a significant paper Singhi and Shrikhande [9] proved the conjecture when the index $\lambda$ is a prime. In [8] Seress showed the truthfulness of the conjecture for $\lambda = 2p$, where $p$ is a prime. In [2] Ionin and Shrikhande developed a new approach to the Ryser design conjecture that led to new results for certain parameter values. They also gave an alternate proof of the celebrated non-uniform Fisher Inequality. Ionin and Shrikhande went on to explore the validity of the Ryser design conjecture from a different perspective. Their results prove the conjecture for certain values of $v$ rather than for $\lambda$. Both Ryser and Woodall independently proved the following result:

**Theorem 1.3** ([3] Theorem 14.1.2] Ryser Woodall Theorem). If $D$ is a Ryser design of order $v$, then there exist integers $r_1$ and $r_2$, $r_1 \neq r_2$ such that $r_1 + r_2 = v + 1$ and any point occurs either in $r_1$ blocks or in $r_2$ blocks.
Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a Ryser design of order $v$ and index $\lambda$. It is known that a Ryser design has two invariants $r_1 > r_2$ with $r_1 + r_2 = v + 1$ such that every point has replication number either $r_1$ or $r_2$. Let $g$ denote the gcd between $r_1 - 1$ and $r_2 - 1$ and let $c$ and $d$ respectively denote the integers $\frac{r_1 - 1}{g}$ and $\frac{r_2 - 1}{g}$. Let $\rho = \frac{r_1 - 1}{r_2 - 1} = \frac{c}{d}$. Then $r_1 + r_2 = v + 1$ implies $g$ divides $(v - 1)$ and $v - 1 = (c + d)g$. Let $a = c - d$ then any two of $c, d$ and $a$ are coprime to each other. The point-set is partitioned into subsets $E_i$ and $E_2$, where $E_i$ is the set of points with replication number $r_i$ and let $e_i = |E_i|$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then $e_1, e_2 > 0$ and $e_1 + e_2 = v$. For a block $A$, let $\tau_i(A)$ denote $|E_i \cap A|$, the number of points of block $A$ with replication number $r_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then $|A| = \tau_1(A) + \tau_2(A)$. We say a block $A$ is large, average or small if $|A|$ is greater than $2\lambda$, equal to $2\lambda$ or less than $2\lambda$ respectively. A block which is not average is called a non average block.

The Ryser-Woodall complementation of a Ryser design $\mathcal{D}$ of index $\lambda$ with respect to some block $A \in \mathcal{D}$ is either a symmetric design or a Ryser design of index $(|A| - \lambda)$. If $\mathcal{D} \ast A$ is the new Ryser design of index $(|A| - \lambda)$ obtained by Ryser-Woodall complementation of a Ryser design $\mathcal{D}$ with respect to the block $A$, we denote the new parameters of $\mathcal{D} \ast A$ by $\lambda(\mathcal{D} \ast A), e_1(\mathcal{D} \ast A)$ etc.

Let $\mathcal{D}_r(X)$ denote the set of all incidence structures $\mathcal{D} = (X, \mathcal{B})$ where $\mathcal{B}$ is a set of subsets of $X$ and $\mathcal{D}$ is a Ryser design with replication numbers $r_1$ and $r_2 = v + 1 - r_1$; or a symmetric design with block size $r_1$ or $r_2$.

**Proposition 1.4** ([3] Proposition 14.1.7). Let $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{D}_r(X)$ and let $A, B$ be blocks of $\mathcal{D}$. Then $\mathcal{D} \ast A \in \mathcal{D}_r(X)$ and the following conditions hold:

(i) $(\mathcal{D} \ast A) \ast A = \mathcal{D}$;
(ii) $A \triangle B$ is a block of $\mathcal{D} \ast A$ and $(\mathcal{D} \ast A) \ast (A \triangle B) = \mathcal{D} \ast (B)$;
(iii) $r_1(\mathcal{D} \ast A) = r_1(\mathcal{D})$;
(iv) $\lambda(\mathcal{D} \ast A) = |A| - \lambda(\mathcal{D})$;
(v) $e_1(\mathcal{D} \ast A) = e_1(\mathcal{D}) \triangle A$;
(vi) $\mathcal{D} \ast A$ is a symmetric design if and only if $A = E_1(\mathcal{D})$ or $A = E_2(\mathcal{D})$.

**Remark 1.5.** Since $|A \triangle B| = |A| + |B| - 2|A \cap B|$, observe that if a design is of Type-1 then it has all average blocks except for one, and hence a Type-2 Ryser design must have at least two non average blocks.

**Theorem 1.6** ([3] Theorem 14.1.17]). For any Ryser design with index $\lambda > 1$ and replication numbers $r_1$ and $r_2$, $\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - 1} \leq \rho \leq \lambda$, where $\rho = \frac{r_1 - 1}{r_2 - 1}$.

**Theorem 1.7** ([7] Theorem 1.7]). A Ryser design is of Type-1 if and only if $e_1e_2 = \lambda(v - 1)$.

**Theorem 1.8** ([3] Theorem 14.4.8]). All Ryser designs of index less than 9 are of Type-1.
Theorem 1.9 ([3, Theorem 14.1.20]). If \( r_1, r_2 \) are the replication numbers of a Ryser design of index \( \lambda > 2 \), then \( r_1 - r_2 \leq (\lambda - 1)g \), where \( g = \gcd(r_1 - 1, r_2 - 1) \).

Theorem 1.10 ([3, Corollary 14.1.16]). If \( D \) is a Ryser design with \( g = 1 \), then \( D \) is of Type-1.

Theorem 1.11 ([3, Theorem 14.1.19]). The number of points of a Ryser design of index \( \lambda > 1 \) does not exceed \( \lambda^3 + 2 \) and therefore for any fixed \( \lambda > 1 \), there are only finitely many Ryser designs of index \( \lambda \).

For any symmetric \((v, k, \lambda)\) design \( D \), the parameter \( n = k - \lambda \) is called the order of \( D \). It is well known that \( 4n-1 \leq v \leq n^2 + n + 1 \) with \( v = 4n-1 \) if and only if \( D \) is a Hadamard \((4n-1, 2n-1, n-1)\) design or its complement, and \( v = n^2 + n + 1 \) if and only if \( D \) is a projective plane of order \( n \) or its complement. Ionin and Shrikhande [2] made the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.12. For any Ryser design on \( v \) points \( 4\lambda - 1 \leq v \leq \lambda^2 + \lambda + 1 \).

In this article, we show that for any block \( A \) of a Ryser design, \( r_1 \geq |A| \geq r_2 \). Let \( D = e_1 - r_2 \). We establish a relation between the block size and the parameter \( D \). We prove that if there is no small block (respectively no large block) in a Ryser design, then \( D \leq -1 \) (respectively \( D \geq 0 \)). In support of Conjecture 1.12 we prove that \( e_2 > e_1 \) implies \( 2\lambda^2 + 3\lambda - 1 > v \geq 4\lambda - 1 \). We also prove that Ryser designs with number of points at most \( \lambda^2 + \lambda + 1 \) and \( \rho = \lambda \) or \( \rho = \lambda - 1 \) are Type-1. Finally we prove that Ryser design with \( v = 2^n + 1 \) points is of Type-1.

2. A bound on the block sizes of a Ryser design

By Theorem 1.9 we have

\[ a < \lambda \]

We use the following equations which can be found in [9] and [2].

\[ e_1r_1(r_1 - 1) + e_2r_2(r_2 - 1) = \lambda v(v - 1) \]

\[ (\rho - 1)e_1 = \lambda(\rho + 1) - r_2 \]

\[ e_1 = \lambda + \frac{\lambda + D}{\rho} \]

\[ (\rho - 1)e_2 = \rho r_1 - \lambda(\rho + 1) \]

\[ e_2 = \lambda + [\lambda - (D + 1)]\rho \]

From equations (5) and (3), respectively:

\[ r_1 = 2\lambda + \left(\frac{a}{c}\right)(e_2 - \lambda) \]

\[ r_2 = 2\lambda - \left(\frac{a}{d}\right)(e_1 - \lambda) \]
For a block $A$ with $|A| = \tau_1(A) + \tau_2(A)$, a simple two way counting gives
\begin{equation}
(r_1 - 1)\tau_1(A) + (r_2 - 1)\tau_2(A) = \lambda(v - 1)
\end{equation}
Dividing through by $g$, the common g.c.d. of $r_1 - 1, r_2 - 1$ and $v - 1$ yields: $c\tau_1(A) + d\tau_2(A) = \lambda(c + d)$ and hence $c(\tau_1(A) - \lambda) + d(\tau_2(A) - \lambda) = 0$. Using the coprimality of $c$ and $d$ then shows that $c$ divides $\tau_2(A) - \lambda$ while $d$ divides $\tau_1(A) - \lambda$ and writing the ratios to be $t$ and $s$ respectively, it is clear that $s = -t$ and hence $\tau_1(A) - \lambda = -td$ and $\tau_2(A) - \lambda = tc$ for some integer $t$. That is
\begin{align}
\tau_1(A) &= \lambda - td \\
\tau_2(A) &= \lambda + tc \\
|A| &= 2\lambda + ta
\end{align}
Hence we get the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $A$ be a block of a Ryser design. Then the size of $A$ has the form $|A| = 2\lambda + ta$, where $t$ is an integer. The block $A$ is large, average or small depending on whether $t > 0, t = 0$ or $t < 0$ respectively. Hence $\tau_1(A) = \tau_2(A) = \lambda$ if $A$ is an average block, $\tau_1(A) > \lambda > \tau_2(A)$ if $A$ is a small block and $\tau_2(A) > \lambda > \tau_1(A)$ if $A$ is a large block.

**Theorem 2.2.** Every block $A$ of a Ryser design has block size bounded by $r_1 \geq |A| \geq r_2$.

**Proof.** Since $\tau_1(A) \leq e_1$, equation (10) gives $t \leq \frac{e_1 - \lambda}{d}$, and hence we get $2\lambda + ta \geq 2\lambda - (e_1 - \lambda)\frac{a}{d}$. By equations (12) and (8), we get $|A| \geq r_2$. Similarly, since $\tau_2(A) \leq e_2$, equation (11) gives $t \leq \frac{e_2 - \lambda}{c}$, and hence $2\lambda + ta \leq 2\lambda + (e_2 - \lambda)\frac{a}{c}$. Using equations (12) and (7), we get $|A| \leq r_1$. Therefore, we have $r_1 \geq |A| \geq r_2$. \hfill \Box

3. **Some results on the Ryser design conjecture**

For a Ryser design with blocks $|A_i| = k_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, v$ the column sum of the incidence matrix is equal to the row sum of the incidence matrix which implies $\sum k_i = e_1r_1 + e_2r_2$.

Hence from equation (3) and (5), we get
\begin{equation}
e_1r_1 + e_2r_2 = \lambda(v - 1) + r_1r_2\end{equation}
In equation (11), let $x = \frac{e_2 - \lambda}{c}$ and in equation (8), let $y = \frac{e_1 - \lambda}{d}$. Then, $r_1 = 2\lambda + xa$ and $r_2 = 2\lambda - ya$. Since $c$ and $a$ are co-prime, it follows at once that $c$ divides $e_2 - \lambda$ and hence $x$ is an integer. By equation (11) we get
\begin{equation}x = \frac{e_2 - \lambda}{c} = \frac{\lambda - (D + 1)}{d}\end{equation}
The assertion that $y$ is an integer follows similarly. By equation (4) we get
\begin{equation}y = \frac{e_1 - \lambda}{d} = \frac{\lambda + D}{c}\end{equation}
From equations (14) and (15) we have:

\[ \begin{align*}
  x &= \frac{\lambda - (D + 1)}{d} \Rightarrow xd = \lambda - (D + 1) \\
  y &= \frac{\lambda + D}{c} \Rightarrow yc = \lambda + D
\end{align*} \]

This gives us the following equations:

\[ \begin{align*}
  (16) \quad xc + yd &= v - 2\lambda, \\
  (17) \quad xd + yc &= 2\lambda - 1
\end{align*} \]

On adding equations (16) and (17) we get \( x(c + d) + y(c + d) = v - 1 \). Since \( v - 1 = (c + d)g \) we have

\[ x + y = g \]

Subtracting equation (17) from equation (16) obtains \( x(c - d) - y(c - d) = v - (4\lambda - 1) \). Hence we have,

\[ x - y = \frac{v - (4\lambda - 1)}{a} \]

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \( D \) be a Ryser design of order \( v \) and index \( \lambda \). Then the following conditions are equivalent.

(i) \( v \geq 4\lambda - 1 \)
(ii) \( x \geq y \)
(iii) \( e_2 > e_1 \)

**Theorem 3.2.** The number of points of a Ryser design of index \( \lambda > 1 \) does not exceed \( 2\lambda^2 + 3\lambda - 1 \) and therefore for any fixed \( \lambda > 1 \), there are only finitely many Ryser designs of index \( \lambda \). Further \( e_2 > e_1 \) implies \( 2\lambda^2 + 3\lambda - 1 > v \geq 4\lambda - 1 \).

**Proof.** Using equation (19), we have \( (x - y)a = v - (4\lambda - 1) \) and hence \( v \geq 4\lambda - 1 \) if and only if \( x \geq y \). Also, \( v = 4\lambda - 1 + (x - y)a \) and from equation (1) we know that \( a < \lambda \). Hence, \( v < 4\lambda - 1 + (x - y)\lambda \). From equation (17), \( 0 \leq x - y < xd + yc = 2\lambda - 1 \). Therefore, \( v < 4\lambda - 1 + (x - y)\lambda < 4\lambda - 1 + (2\lambda - 1)\lambda \), that is, \( v < 2\lambda^2 + 3\lambda - 1 \). Lemma 3.1 completes our proof.

**Proposition 3.3.** If there is no small block (respectively no large block) in a Ryser design, then \( D \leq -1 \) (respectively \( D \geq 0 \)).

**Proof.** From equation (13), \( r_1(e_1 - r_2) + e_2r_2 = \lambda(v - 1) \). This gives \( r_1D + e_2r_2 = \lambda v - \lambda \) which implies \( r_1D + \sum_A(\tau_2(A)) = \sum_A(\lambda) - \lambda \) and hence \( r_1D = \sum_A(\lambda - \tau_2(A)) - \lambda \). If there is no small block in the Ryser design then all the blocks are large or average. Using Lemma
2.1 $\tau_2(A) \geq \lambda$ for a large or an average block $A$ and hence if we do not have a small block then $D \leq -1$. Proof in the other case is similar. □

**Theorem 3.4.** A Ryser design is of Type-1 if and only if $D = 0$ or $D = -1$. We have the following cases for different values of $D$.

(i) $D = 0$ if and only if $yc = \lambda$ and $xd = \lambda - 1$.
(ii) $D = -1$ if and only if $xd = \lambda$ and $yc = \lambda - 1$.
(iii) $D > 0$ if and only if $yc > \lambda > \lambda - 1 > xd$.
(iv) $D < -1$ if and only if $xd > \lambda > \lambda - 1 > yc$.

**Proof.** Equations (4) and (6) imply $e_1 e_2 = \lambda(v - 1) - D(D + 1)$. By Theorem 1.7 a Ryser design is of Type-1 if and only if $D(D + 1) = 0$. That is a Ryser design is of Type-1 if and only if $D = 0$ or $D = -1$.

Equations (14) and (15) imply $D + 1 = \lambda - xd$ (20)
$D = yc - \lambda$ (21)

Then all the four statements follow from the two equations above. □

By Theorem 1.6, we have $\rho \leq \lambda$ and $\rho /\in (\lambda - 1, \lambda)$. Therefore we consider the cases with $\rho = \lambda$ and $\rho = \lambda - 1$.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let $D$ be a Type-2 Ryser design with $\rho = \lambda$ or $\rho = \lambda - 1$. Then $D < -1$.

**Proof.** We prove the result for $\rho = \lambda - 1$. The case $\rho = \lambda$ is similar.

Since $\rho = \frac{c}{d}$, with $\gcd(c, d) = 1$ we have $c = \lambda - 1$, $d = 1$ and hence, $a = c - d = \lambda - 2$. By remark 1.5 $D$ has at least two non average blocks. Complement $D$ with respect to any non average block of size $k$ to obtain a new Ryser design $\overline{D}$. Let $\overline{\rho} = \frac{\overline{\tau}_1 - 1}{\overline{\tau}_2 - 1}$, where $\overline{\tau}_1$ and $\overline{\tau}_2$ are the replication numbers and let $\overline{\lambda}$ be the index of the new design. By Proposition 1.4 (iii) and Theorem 1.6 we get $\overline{\lambda} = k - \lambda \geq \overline{\rho} = \rho = \lambda - 1$, for the new design which implies $k \geq 2\lambda - 1$. That is, a non average blocks of $D$ is either large or small of size $2\lambda - 1$.

Since a small block has size $k = 2\lambda - ta$ for some positive integer $t$ we have, $2\lambda - ta = 2\lambda - 1$ which implies $t = 1$ and $a = 1$. That is, $a = \lambda - 2 = 1$ and hence $\lambda = 3$. By Theorem 1.8 $D$ is of Type-1, a contradiction.

Therefore, a non average block of $D$ is large and by Proposition 3.3 we have $D \leq -1$. Using the fact that $D$ is of Type-2 Theorem 3.4 now implies that $D < -1$ completing the proof. □

**Theorem 3.6.** Let $D$ be a Ryser design with at most $\lambda^2 + \lambda + 1$ points with $\rho = \lambda$ or $\rho = \lambda - 1$. Then, $D$ is of Type-1.
Proof. Suppose not. Then $D$ is of Type-2. We prove that this leads to a contradiction.

Let $\rho = \lambda$. Then $\lambda = \frac{c}{d}$, with $\gcd(c, d) = 1$ implies $c = \lambda$, $d = 1$ and hence, $a = c - d = \lambda - 1$. By lemma 3.5 we have $D < -1$. Equations (16) and (17) imply $x \lambda + y = v - 2 \lambda$ and $x + y \lambda = 2 \lambda - 1$. Adding the two we get $(x + y)(\lambda + 1) = v - 1$. Then, by equation (18) we have $g = \frac{v - 1}{\lambda + 1}$. Now, $g = x + y = x + \lambda y - y(\lambda - 1)$. Then, by equation (17) we get $v - 1 = 2 \lambda - 1 - y(\lambda - 1)$. This gives us $\lambda^2 + \lambda + 1 - v = (\lambda^2 - 1)(y - 1)$, and hence $y \geq 1$. Since $D < -1$, Theorem 3.4 (iv) implies $x > \lambda > \lambda - 1 > y(\lambda - 1)$, with $y \geq 1$ which is impossible. The case $\rho = \lambda - 1$ is similar. □

Theorem 3.7. A Ryser design with $v = 2^n + 1$ points is of Type-1.

Proof. Note right at the beginning that the case $r_2 = 1$ leads to $r_1 = v$ forcing repeated blocks that are disallowed by the stipulations on a Ryser design. Equation (2) and division by $g$, then obtains:

$$e_1(gc + 1)c + e_2(gd + 1)d = \lambda[g(c + d) + 1](c + d)$$

By Theorem 1.10 we are done if $g = 1$ and hence we must assume that $g \neq 1$. Since $g$ must divide $v - 1 = 2^n$ and $g \neq v - 1$, we have: $g = 2^m$ where $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$ is an integer. Let $k = m - n$. Then equation (22) reduces to:

$$e_1(2^m c + 1)c + e_2(2^m d + 1)d = \lambda[2^n + 1]2^k$$

Here, $k$ is a positive integer showing that the right side is an even number. Since $c + d = 2^k$, it follows that $c, d$ have the same parity and since their g.c.d. is 1, $c$ and $d$ must be both odd. On the other hand, $e_1 + e_2 = 2^n + 1$. So exactly one of $e_1$ and $e_2$ is odd say $e_1$ is odd and $e_2$ is even. On the left side of equation (23), the first summand is odd while the second is even forcing the right side to be odd, which is impossible. □
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