SOME RESULTS ON THE RYSER DESIGN CONJECTURE
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A Ryser design $D$ on $v$ points is a collection of $v$ proper subsets (called blocks) of a point-set with $v$ points such that every two blocks intersect each other in $\lambda$ points (and $\lambda < v$ is a fixed number) and there are at least two block sizes. A design $D$ is called a symmetric design, if every point of $D$ has the same replication number (or equivalently, all the blocks have the same size) and every two blocks intersect each other in $\lambda$ points. The only known construction of a Ryser design is via block complementation of a symmetric design. Such a Ryser design is called a Ryser design of Type-1. This is the ground for the Ryser-Woodall conjecture: “every Ryser design is of Type-1”. This long standing conjecture has been shown to be valid in many situations. Let $D$ denote a Ryser design of order $v$, index $\lambda$ and replication numbers $r_1, r_2$. Let $e_i$ denote the number of points of $D$ with replication number $r_i$ (with $i = 1, 2$). Call $A$ small (respectively large) if $|A| < 2\lambda$ (respectively $|A| > 2\lambda$) and average if $|A| = 2\lambda$. Let $D$ denote the integer $e_1 - r_2$ and let $\rho > 1$ denote the rational number $\frac{r_1 - 1}{r_2 - 1}$. Main results of the present article are the following.

For every block $A$, $r_1 \geq |A| \geq r_2$ (this improves an earlier known inequality $|A| \geq r_2$). If there is no small block (respectively no large block) in $D$, then $D \leq -1$ (respectively $D \geq 0$). With an extra assumption $e_2 > e_1$ an earlier known upper bound on $v$ is improved from a cubic to a quadratic in $\lambda$. It is also proved that if $v \leq \lambda^2 + \lambda + 1$ and if $\rho$ equals $\lambda$ or $\lambda - 1$, then $D$ is of Type-1. Finally a Ryser design with $2^n + 1$ points is shown to be of Type-1.

1. Introduction

A design is a pair $(X, L)$, where $X$ is a finite set of points and $L \subseteq P(X)$, where $P(X)$ is the power set of $X$. The elements of $X$ are called its points and the members of $L$ are called the blocks. Most of the definitions, formulas and proofs of standard results used here can be found in [3].
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Definition 1.1. A design $D = (X, L)$ is said to be a symmetric $(v, k, \lambda)$ design if
1. $|X| = |L| = v$,
2. $|B_1 \cap B_2| = \lambda \geq 1$ for all blocks $B_1$ and $B_2$ of $D$, $B_1 \neq B_2$,
3. $|B| = k > \lambda$ for all blocks $B$ of $D$.

Definition 1.2. A design $D = (X, L)$ is said to be a Ryser design of order $v$ and index $\lambda$ if it satisfies the following:
1. $|X| = |L| = v$,
2. $|B_1 \cap B_2| = \lambda$ for all blocks $B_1$ and $B_2$ of $D$, $B_1 \neq B_2$,
3. $|B| > \lambda$ for all blocks $B$ of $D$,
4. there exist blocks $B_1$ and $B_2$ of $D$ with $|B_1| \neq |B_2|$.

Here condition 4 distinguishes a Ryser design from a symmetric design, and condition 3 disallows repeated blocks and also any block being contained in another block.

Woodall [11] introduced a new type of combinatorial object which is a combinatorial dual of a Ryser design. All known examples of Ryser designs can be described by the following construction given by Ryser which is also known as the Ryser-Woodall complementation. Let $D = (X, A)$ be a symmetric $(v, k, k-\lambda)$ design with $k \neq 2\lambda$. Let $A$ be a fixed block of $D$. Form the collection $B = \{A\} \cup \{A \triangle B : B \in A, B \neq A\}$, where $A \triangle B$ denotes the usual symmetric difference of $A$ and $B$. Then $\overline{D} = (X, B)$ is a Ryser design of order $v$ and index $\lambda$ obtained from $D$ by block complementation with respect to the block $A$. We denote $\overline{D}$ by $D * A$. Then $A$ is also a block of $D * A$ and the original design $D$ can be obtained by complementing $D * A$ with respect to the block $A$. If $D$ is a symmetric $(v, k, \lambda')$ design, then the design obtained by complementing $D$ with respect to some block is a Ryser design of order $v$ with index $\lambda = k - \lambda'$. A Ryser design obtained in this way is said to be of Type-1. Define a Ryser design to be of Type-2 if it is not of Type-1. We now state

The Ryser Design Conjecture [3]: Every Ryser design is of Type-1.

In a significant paper Singhi and Shrikhande [9] proved the conjecture when the index $\lambda$ is a prime. In [8] Seress showed the truthfulness of the conjecture for $\lambda = 2p$, where $p$ is a prime. In [2] Ionin and Shrikhande developed a new approach to the Ryser design conjecture that led to new results for certain parameter values. They also gave an alternate proof of the celebrated non-uniform Fisher Inequality. Ionin and Shrikhande went on to explore the validity of the Ryser design conjecture from a different perspective. Their results prove the conjecture for certain values of $v$ rather than for $\lambda$. Both Ryser and Woodall independently proved the following result:

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 14.1.2] Ryser Woodall Theorem). If $D$ is a Ryser design of order $v$, then there exist integers $r_1$ and $r_2$, $r_1 \neq r_2$ such that $r_1 + r_2 = v + 1$ and any point occurs either in $r_1$ blocks or in $r_2$ blocks.
Let \( D \) be a Ryser design of order \( v \) and index \( \lambda \). It is known that a Ryser design has two invariants \( r_1 > r_2 \) with \( r_1 + r_2 = v + 1 \) such that every point has replication number either \( r_1 \) or \( r_2 \). Let \( g \) denote the gcd between \( r_1 - 1 \) and \( r_2 - 1 \) and let \( c \) and \( d \) respectively denote the integers \( \frac{r_1 - 1}{g} \) and \( \frac{r_2 - 1}{g} \). Let \( \rho = \frac{r_1 - 1}{r_2 - 1} = \frac{c}{d} \). The point-set is partitioned into subsets \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \), where \( E_i \) is the set of points with replication number \( r_i \) and let \( e_i = |E_i| \) for \( i = 1, 2 \). Then \( e_1, e_2 > 0 \) and \( e_1 + e_2 = v \). For a block \( A \), let \( \tau_i(A) \) denote \( |E_i \cap A| \), the number of points of block \( A \) with replication number \( r_i \) for \( i = 1, 2 \). Then \( |A| = \tau_1(A) + \tau_2(A) \). We say a block \( A \) is large, average or small if \( |A| \) is greater than \( 2\lambda \), equal to \( 2\lambda \) or less than \( 2\lambda \) respectively. A block which is not average is called a non average block.

The Ryser-Woodall complementation of a Ryser design \( D \) of index \( \lambda \) with respect to some block \( A \in D \) is either a symmetric design or a Ryser design of index \( (|A| - \lambda) \). If \( D \ast A \) is the new Ryser design of index \( (|A| - \lambda) \) obtained by Ryser-Woodall complementation of a Ryser design \( D \) with respect to the block \( A \), we denote the new parameters of \( D \ast A \) by \( \lambda(D \ast A), e_1(D \ast A) \) etc.

Let \( D_r(X) \) denote the set of all incidence structures \( D = (X, B) \) where \( B \) is a set of subsets of \( X \) and \( D \) is a Ryser design with replication numbers \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 = v + 1 - r_1 \); or a symmetric design with block size \( r_1 \) or \( r_2 \).

**Proposition 1.4** ([3 Proposition 14.1.7]). Let \( D \in D_r(X) \) and let \( A, B \) be blocks of \( D \). Then \( D \ast A \in D_r(X) \) and the following conditions hold:

(i) \( (D \ast A) \ast A = D \);

(ii) \( A \Delta B \) is a block of \( D \ast A \) and \( (D \ast A) \ast (A \Delta B) = D \ast (B) \);

(iii) \( r_1(D \ast A) = r_1(D) \);

(iv) \( \lambda(D \ast A) = |A| - \lambda(D) \);

(v) \( E_1(D \ast A) = E_1(D) \Delta A \);

(vi) \( e_1(D \ast A) = e_1(D) - \tau_1(A)(D) + \tau_2(A)(D) \);

(vii) \( D \ast A \) is a symmetric design if and only if \( A = E_1(D) \) or \( A = E_2(D) \).

**Remark 1.5.** Since \( |A \Delta B| = |A| + |B| - 2|A \cap B| \), observe that if a design is of Type-1 then it has all average blocks except for one, and hence a Type-2 Ryser design must have at least two non average blocks.

**Theorem 1.6** ([3 Theorem 14.1.17]). For any Ryser design with index \( \lambda > 1 \) and replication numbers \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \), \( \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - 1} \leq \rho \leq \lambda \) and \( \rho \notin (\lambda - 1, \lambda) \), where \( \rho = \frac{r_1 - 1}{r_2 - 1} \).

**Theorem 1.7** ([7 Theorem 1.7]). A Ryser design is of Type-1 if and only if \( e_1e_2 = \lambda(v - 1) \).

**Theorem 1.8** ([3 Theorem 14.4.8]). All Ryser designs of index less than 9 are of Type-1.

**Theorem 1.9** ([3 Theorem 14.1.20]). If \( r_1, r_2 \) are the replication numbers of a Ryser design of index \( \lambda > 2 \), then \( r_1 - r_2 \leq (\lambda - 1)g \), where \( g = \gcd(r_1 - 1, r_2 - 1) \).
Theorem 1.10 ([3] Corollary 14.1.16]). If $D$ is a Ryser design with $g = 1$, then $D$ is of Type-1.

Theorem 1.11 ([3] Theorem 14.1.19]). The number of points of a Ryser design of index $\lambda > 1$ does not exceed $\lambda^2 + 2$ and therefore for any fixed $\lambda > 1$, there are only finitely many Ryser designs of index $\lambda$.

For any symmetric $(v, k, \lambda)$ design $D$, the parameter $n = k - \lambda$ is called the order of $D$. It is well known that $4n - 1 \leq v \leq n^2 + n + 1$ with $v = 4n - 1$ if and only if $D$ is a Hadamard $(4n - 1, 2n - 1, n - 1)$ design or its complement, and $v = n^2 + n + 1$ if and only if $D$ is a projective plane of order $n$ or its complement. Ionin and Shrikhande [2] made the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.12. For any Ryser design on $v$ points $4\lambda - 1 \leq v \leq \lambda^2 + \lambda + 1$.

In this article, we show that for any block $A$ of a Ryser design, $r_1 \geq |A| \geq r_2$. Let $D = e_1 - r_2$. We establish a relation between the block size and the parameter $D$. We prove that if there is no small block (respectively no large block) in a Ryser design, then $D \leq -1$ (respectively $D \geq 0$). In support of Conjecture 1.12 we prove that $e_2 > e_1$ implies $2\lambda^2 + 3\lambda - 1 > v \geq 4\lambda - 1$. We also prove that Ryser designs with number of points at most $\lambda^2 + \lambda + 1$ and $\rho = \lambda$ or $\rho = \lambda - 1$ are Type-1. Finally we prove that Ryser design with $v = 2^n + 1$ points is of Type-1.

2. A bound on the block sizes of a Ryser design

Following Seress [8] define $D = e_1 - r_2$ and following Singhi and Shrikhande [9] define $\rho = \frac{r_1 - 1}{r_2 - 1} = \frac{c}{d}$, where $\gcd(c, d) = 1$. Let $g = \gcd(r_1 - 1, r_2 - 1)$. Then $r_1 + r_2 = v + 1$ implies $g$ divides $(v - 1)$, $r_1 - 1 = cg$, $r_2 - 1 = dg$ and $v - 1 = (c + d)g$. Also write $a$ to denote $c - d$ and observe that any two of $c, d$ and $a$ are coprime to each other. By Theorem 1.9 we have

\begin{equation}
(1) \quad a < \lambda
\end{equation}

We next use the following equations which can be found in [9] and [2].

\begin{align*}
(2) & \quad e_1 r_1 (r_1 - 1) + e_2 r_2 (r_2 - 1) = \lambda v (v - 1) \\
(3) & \quad (\rho - 1) e_1 = \lambda (\rho + 1) - r_2 \\
(4) & \quad e_1 = \lambda + \frac{\lambda + D}{\rho} \\
(5) & \quad (\rho - 1) e_2 = \rho r_1 - \lambda (\rho + 1) \\
(6) & \quad e_2 = \lambda + [\lambda - (D + 1)] \rho
\end{align*}
From equations (3) and (8), respectively:

\begin{align*}
(7) & \quad r_1 = 2\lambda + \left(\frac{a}{c}\right) (e_2 - \lambda) \\
(8) & \quad r_2 = 2\lambda - \left(\frac{a}{d}\right) (e_1 - \lambda)
\end{align*}

For a block $A$ with $|A| = \tau_1(A) + \tau_2(A)$, a simple two way counting gives

\begin{equation}
(9) \quad (r_1 - 1)\tau_1(A) + (r_2 - 1)\tau_2(A) = \lambda(v - 1)
\end{equation}

Dividing through by $g$, the common g.c.d. of $r_1 - 1, r_2 - 1$ and $v - 1$ yields: $c\tau_1(A) + d\tau_2(A) = \lambda(c + d)$ and hence $c(\tau_1(A) - \lambda) + d(\tau_2(A) - \lambda) = 0$. Using the coprimality of $c$ and $d$ then shows that $c$ divides $\tau_2(A) - \lambda$ while $d$ divides $\tau_1(A) - \lambda$ and writing the ratios to be $t$ and $s$ respectively, it is clear that $s = -t$ and hence $\tau_1(A) - \lambda = -td$ and $\tau_2(A) - \lambda = tc$ for some integer $t$. That is

\begin{align*}
(10) & \quad \tau_1(A) = \lambda - td \\
(11) & \quad \tau_2(A) = \lambda + tc \\
(12) & \quad |A| = 2\lambda + ta
\end{align*}

Hence we get the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $A$ be a block of a Ryser design. Then the size of $A$ has the form $|A| = 2\lambda + ta$, where $t$ is an integer. The block $A$ is large, average or small depending on whether $t > 0, t = 0$ or $t < 0$ respectively. Hence $\tau_1(A) = \tau_2(A) = \lambda$ if $A$ is an average block, $\tau_1(A) > \lambda > \tau_2(A)$ if $A$ is a small block and $\tau_2(A) > \lambda > \tau_1(A)$ if $A$ is a large block.

**Theorem 2.2.** Every block $A$ of a Ryser design has block size bounded by $r_1 \geq |A| \geq r_2$.

**Proof.** Since $\tau_1(A) \leq e_1$, equation (10) gives $-t \geq \frac{e_1 - \lambda}{d}$, and hence we get $2\lambda + ta \geq 2\lambda - (e_1 - \lambda)\frac{a}{d}$. By equations (12) and (8), we get $|A| \geq r_2$. Similarly, since $\tau_2(A) \leq e_2$, equation (11) gives $t \leq \frac{e_2 - \lambda}{c}$, and hence $2\lambda + ta \leq 2\lambda + (e_2 - \lambda)\frac{a}{c}$. Using equations (12) and (7), we get $|A| \leq r_1$. Therefore, we have $r_1 \geq |A| \geq r_2$. \qed

### 3. Some results on the Ryser design conjecture

For a Ryser design with blocks $|A_i| = k_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, v$ the column sum of the incidence matrix is equal to the row sum of the incidence matrix which implies $\sum k_i = e_1r_1 + e_2r_2$. Hence from equation (3) and (5), we get

\begin{equation}
(13) \quad e_1r_1 + e_2r_2 = \lambda(v - 1) + r_1r_2
\end{equation}

In equation (12), let $x = \frac{e_2 - \lambda}{c}$ and in equation (8), let $y = \frac{e_1 - \lambda}{d}$. Then, $r_1 = 2\lambda + xa$ and $r_2 = 2\lambda - ya$. Since $c$ and $a$ are co-prime, it follows at once that $c$ divides $e_2 - \lambda$ and hence
$x$ is an integer. By equation (6) we get

$$x = \frac{e_2 - \lambda}{c} = \frac{\lambda - (D + 1)}{d}$$

The assertion that $y$ is an integer follows similarly. By equation (4) we get

$$y = \frac{e_1 - \lambda}{d} = \frac{\lambda + D}{c}$$

From equations (14) and (15) we have:

$$x = \frac{\lambda - (D + 1)}{d} \Rightarrow xd = \lambda - (D + 1) \quad x = \frac{e_2 - \lambda}{c} \Rightarrow xc = e_2 - \lambda$$

$$y = \frac{\lambda + D}{c} \Rightarrow yc = \lambda + D \quad y = \frac{e_1 - \lambda}{d} \Rightarrow yd = e_1 - \lambda$$

This gives us the following equations:

$$xc + yd = v - 2\lambda,$$  

$$xd + yc = 2\lambda - 1$$

On adding equations (16) and (17) we get $x(c + d) + y(c + d) = v - 1$. Since $v - 1 = (c + d)g$ we have

$$x + y = g$$

Subtracting equation (17) from equation (16) obtains $x(c - d) - y(c - d) = v - (4\lambda - 1)$. Hence we have,

$$x - y = \frac{v - (4\lambda - 1)}{a}$$

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $D$ be a Ryser design of order $v$ and index $\lambda$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

(i) $v \geq 4\lambda - 1$

(ii) $x \geq y$

(iii) $e_2 > e_1$.

**Theorem 3.2.** The number of points of a Ryser design of index $\lambda > 1$ does not exceed $2\lambda^2 + 3\lambda - 1$ and therefore for any fixed $\lambda > 1$, there are only finitely many Ryser designs of index $\lambda$. Further $e_2 > e_1$ implies $2\lambda^2 + 3\lambda - 1 > v \geq 4\lambda - 1$.

**Proof.** Using equation (19), we have $(x - y)a = v - (4\lambda - 1)$ and hence $v \geq 4\lambda - 1$ if and only if $x \geq y$. Also, $v = 4\lambda - 1 + (x - y)a$ and from equation (11) we know that $a < \lambda$. Hence, $v < 4\lambda - 1 + (x - y)a$. From equation (17), $0 \leq x - y < xd + yc = 2\lambda - 1$. Therefore, $v < 4\lambda - 1 + (x - y)\lambda < 4\lambda - 1 + (2\lambda - 1)\lambda$, that is, $v < 2\lambda^2 + 3\lambda - 1$. Lemma 3.1 completes our proof. □
Proposition 3.3. If there is no small block (respectively no large block) in a Ryser design, then \( D \leq -1 \) (respectively \( D \geq 0 \)).

Proof. From equation (13), \( r_1(e_1 - r_2) + e_2r_2 = \lambda(v - 1) \). This gives \( r_1D + e_2r_2 = \lambda - \lambda \) which implies \( r_1D + \sum_A(\tau_2(A)) = \sum_A(\lambda) - \lambda \), and hence \( r_1D = \sum_A(\lambda - \tau_2(A)) - \lambda \). If there is no small block in the Ryser design then all the blocks are large or average. Using Lemma 2.1, \( \tau_2(A) \geq \lambda \) for a large or an average block \( A \) and hence if we do not have a small block then \( D \leq -1 \). Proof in the other case is similar. \( \square \)

Theorem 3.4. A Ryser design is of Type-1 if and only if \( D = 0 \) or \( D = -1 \). We have the following cases for different values of \( D \).

(i) \( D = 0 \) if and only if \( yc = \lambda \) and \( xd = \lambda - 1 \).
(ii) \( D = -1 \) if and only if \( xd = \lambda \) and \( yc = \lambda - 1 \).
(iii) \( D > 0 \) if and only if \( yc > \lambda > \lambda - 1 > xd \).
(iv) \( D < -1 \) if and only if \( xd > \lambda > \lambda - 1 > yc \).

Proof. Equations (4) and (6) imply \( e_1e_2 = \lambda(v - 1) - D(D + 1) \). By Theorem 1.7 a Ryser design is of Type-1 if and only if \( D(D + 1) = 0 \). That is a Ryser design is of Type-1 if and only if \( D = 0 \) or \( D = -1 \).
Equations (14) and (15) imply

\[
D + 1 = \lambda - xd \\
D = yc - \lambda
\]

Then all the four statements follow from the two equations above. \( \square \)

By Theorem 1.6, we have \( \rho \leq \lambda \) and \( \rho \notin (\lambda - 1, \lambda) \). Therefore we consider the cases with \( \rho = \lambda \) and \( \rho = \lambda - 1 \).

Lemma 3.5. Let \( \mathcal{D} \) be a Type-2 Ryser design with \( \rho = \lambda \) or \( \rho = \lambda - 1 \). Then \( D < -1 \).

Proof. We prove the result for \( \rho = \lambda - 1 \). The case \( \rho = \lambda \) is similar.
Since \( \rho = \frac{c}{d} \), with \( \gcd(c, d) = 1 \) we have \( c = \lambda - 1, \ d = 1 \) and hence, \( a = c - d = \lambda - 2 \). By remark 1.5, \( \mathcal{D} \) has at least two non average blocks. Complement \( \mathcal{D} \) with respect to any non average block of size \( k \) to obtain a new Ryser design \( \overline{\mathcal{D}} \). Let \( \overline{\tau} = \frac{\overline{\tau}_1 - 1}{\overline{\tau}_2 - 1} \), where \( \overline{\tau}_1 \) and \( \overline{\tau}_2 \) are the replication numbers and let \( \overline{\lambda} \) be the index of the new design. By Proposition 1.4 (iii) and Theorem 1.6, we get \( \overline{\lambda} = k - \lambda \geq \overline{\rho} = \rho = \lambda - 1 \), for the new design which implies \( k \geq 2\lambda - 1 \). That is, a non average blocks of \( \mathcal{D} \) is either large or small of size \( 2\lambda - 1 \).
Since a small block has size \( k = 2\lambda - ta \) for some positive integer \( t \) we have, \( 2\lambda - ta = 2\lambda - 1 \) which implies \( t = 1 \) and \( a = 1 \). That is, \( a = \lambda - 2 = 1 \) and hence \( \lambda = 3 \). By Theorem 1.8, \( \mathcal{D} \) is of Type-1, a contradiction.
Therefore, a non average block of \( \mathcal{D} \) is large and by Proposition 3.3 we have \( D \leq -1 \).
Using the fact that $D$ is of Type-2 Theorem 3.4 now implies that $D < -1$ completing the proof. \qed

**Theorem 3.6.** Let $D$ be a Ryser design with at most $\lambda^2 + \lambda + 1$ points with $\rho = \lambda$ or $\rho = \lambda - 1$. Then, $D$ is of Type-1.

**Proof.** Suppose not. Then $D$ is of Type-2. We prove that this leads to a contradiction.

Let $\rho = \lambda$. Then $\lambda = \frac{c}{d}$, with $\text{gcd}(c, d) = 1$ implies $c = \lambda$, $d = 1$ and hence, $a = c - d = \lambda - 1$.

By lemma (3.5) we have $D < -1$. Equations (16) and (17) imply $x\lambda + y = v - 2\lambda$ and $x + y\lambda = 2\lambda - 1$. Adding the two we get $(x + y)(\lambda + 1) = v - 1$. Then, by equation (18) we have $g = \frac{v - 1}{\lambda + 1}$. Now, $g = x + y = x + y\lambda - y(\lambda - 1)$. Then, by equation (17) we get $\frac{v - 1}{\lambda + 1} = 2\lambda - 1 - y(\lambda - 1)$. This gives us $\lambda^2 + \lambda + 1 - v = (\lambda^2 - 1)(y - 1)$, and hence $y \geq 1$.

Since $D < -1$, Theorem 3.4 (iv) implies $x > \lambda > \lambda - 1 > y(\lambda - 1)$, with $y \geq 1$ which is impossible. The case $\rho = \lambda - 1$ is similar. \qed

**Theorem 3.7.** A Ryser design with $v = 2^n + 1$ points is of Type-1.

**Proof.** Note right at the beginning that the case $r_2 = 1$ leads to $r_1 = v$ forcing repeated blocks that are disallowed by the stipulations on a Ryser design. Equation (2) and division by $g$, then obtains:

\begin{equation}
(22) \quad e_1(gc + 1)c + e_2(gd + 1)d = \lambda[g(c + 1)(c + 1)]
\end{equation}

By Theorem 1.10 we are done if $g = 1$ and hence we must assume that $g \neq 1$. Since $g$ must divide $v - 1 = 2^n$ and $g \neq v - 1$, we have: $g = 2^m$ where $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$ is an integer. Let $k = m - n$. Then equation (22) reduces to:

\begin{equation}
(23) \quad e_1(2^m c + 1)c + e_2(2^m d + 1)d = \lambda[2^n + 1]2^k
\end{equation}

Here, $k$ is a positive integer showing that the right side is an even number. Since $c + d = 2^k$, it follows that $c, d$ have the same parity and since their g.c.d. is 1, $c$ and $d$ must be both odd. On the other hand, $e_1 + e_2 = 2^n + 1$. So exactly one of $e_1$ and $e_2$ is odd say $e_1$ is odd and $e_2$ is even. On the left side of equation (23), the first summand is odd while the second is even forcing the right side to be odd, which is impossible. \qed
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