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In this paper the circulant Hadamard conjecture is proved.
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1 Introduction

An Hadamard matrix $H$ is an $n$ by $n$ matrix all of whose entries are $+1$ or $-1$ which satisfies $HH^t = nI_n$, where $H^t$ is the transpose of $H$ and $I_n$ is the unit matrix of order $n$. It is also known that, if an Hadamard matrix of order $n > 1$ exists, $n$ must have the value 2 or be divisible by 4. There are several conjectures associated with Hadamard matrices. The main conjecture concerns its existence. This states that an Hadamard matrix exists for all multiple order of 4. Another very important conjecture is the following

Conjecture 1. There is no circulant Hadamard matrix with order $4n$, $n > 1$.

This conjecture is known as Ryser conjecture[1]. The only circulant Hadamard matrix known is

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
-1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}
$$

(1.1)

On the circulant Hadamard conjecture the first significant result was made by R.J. Turyn [2] using arguments from algebraic number theory. He prove that if a circulant Hadamard matrix of order $n$ exists then $n$ must be of the form $n = 4m^2$ for some odd integer $m$ which is not a prime-power. Another important result was obtained by Brualdi in [4]. He shown that no circulant Hadamard matrix is symmetric. Many important results about this conjecture can be found in [5], [6],[7],[8] and [9].
The author considers that to reach the proof of the conjectures related to Hadamard matrices and in general those related with binary sequences it is important to understand the structure of the binary cube \( Z_2^n \). For this reason was shown in [10],[11],[12] the relationship between Hadamard matrices and Schur ring on the group \( Z_2^n \). Next we will give the definition of Schur ring.

Let \( G \) be a finite group with identity element \( e \) and \( \mathbb{C}[G] \) the group algebra of all formal sums \( \sum_{g \in G} a_g g, \ a_g \in \mathbb{C}, \ g \in G \). For \( T \subset G \), the element \( \sum_{g \in T} g \) will be denoted by \( T \). Such an element is also called a simple quantity. The transpose of \( T = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g \) is defined as \( T^\top = \sum_{g \in G} a_g (g^{-1}) \). Let \( \{T_0, T_1, \ldots, T_r\} \) be a partition of \( G \) and let \( S \) be the subspace of \( \mathbb{C}[G] \) spanned by \( T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_r \). We say that \( S \) is a Schur ring (\( S \)-ring, for short) over \( G \) if:

1. \( T_0 = \{e\} \),
2. for each \( i \), there is a \( j \) such that \( T_i^\top = T_j \),
3. for each \( i \) and \( j \), we have \( T_i, T_j = \sum_{k=1}^r \lambda_{i,j,k} T_k \), for constants \( \lambda_{i,j,k} \in \mathbb{C} \).

The numbers \( \lambda_{i,j,k} \) are the structure constants of \( S \) with respect to the linear base \( \{T_0, T_1, \ldots, T_r\} \). The sets \( T_i \) are called the basic sets of the \( S \)-ring \( S \). Any union of them is called an \( S \)-sets. Thus, \( X \subset G \) is an \( S \)-set if and only if \( X \in S \). The set of all \( S \)-set is closed with respect to taking inverse and product. Any subgroup of \( G \) that is an \( S \)-set, is called an \( S \)-subgroup of \( G \) or \( S \)-group (For details, see [14],[15]). A partition \( \{T_0, \ldots, T_r\} \) of \( G \) is called Schur partition or \( S \)-partition if \( T_0 = \{e\} \) and if for each \( i \) there is some \( j \) such that \( T_i^{-1} = \{g^{-1} : g \in T_i\} = T_j \). It is known that there is a 1-1 correspondence between \( S \)-ring over \( G \) and \( S \)-partition of \( G \). By using this correspondence, in this paper we will refer to an \( S \)-ring by mean of its \( S \)-partition.

Let \( G \) be a permutation automorphic subgroup of \( Aut(Z_2^n) \) and let \( \mathcal{S}(Z_2^n, G) \) denote an \( S \)-ring on \( Z_2^n \). Some \( S \)-ring important in \( Z_2^n \) are:

1. \( \mathcal{S}(Z_2^n, S_n) \).
   Let \( \omega(X) \) denote the Hamming weight of \( X \in Z_2^n \). Thus, \( \omega(X) \) is the number of + in any binary sequences \( X \) of \( Z_2^n \). Now let \( \mathcal{G}_n(k) \) denote the subset of \( Z_2^n \) such that \( \omega(X) = k \) for all \( X \in \mathcal{G}_n(k) \), where \( 0 \leq k \leq n \). We let \( T_i = \mathcal{G}_n(n - i) \). It is straightforward to prove that the partition \( \mathcal{S}(Z_2^n, S_n) = \{\mathcal{G}_n(0), \ldots, \mathcal{G}_n(n)\} \) induces an \( S \)-partition over \( Z_2^n \), where \( S_n \leq Aut(Z_2^n) \) is the permutation group on \( n \) objects.

2. \( \mathcal{S}(Z_2^n, C_n) \).
   Let \( C \) denote the cyclic permutation on the components + and \( - \) of \( X \) in \( Z_2^n \) such that
   \[
   C(X) = C(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_0),
   \]
   that is, \( C(x_i) = x_{(i+1) \mod n} \). The permutation \( C \) is a generator of cyclic group \( C_n = \langle C \rangle \) of order \( n \). Let \( X_C = Orb_{C_n} X = \{C^i(X) : C^i \in C_n\} \). Therefore, \( C_n \) defines a partition in equivalent class on \( Z_2^n \) which is an \( S \)-partition and this we shall denote by \( Z_2^n_{2C} = \mathcal{S}(Z_2^n, C_n) \).
3. $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_2^n, \Delta_n)$.

Let $\delta_a \in S_n$ act on $X \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ by decimation, that is, $\delta_a(x_i) = x_{ai(\text{mod} n)}$ for all $x_i$ in $X$, $(a, n) = 1$ and let $\Delta_n$ denote the set of this $\delta_a$. The set $\Delta_n$ is a group of order $\phi(n)$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$, the group the units of $\mathbb{Z}_n$, where $\phi$ is called the Euler totient function. Clearly $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_2^n, \Delta_n)$ is an $S$-partition of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$.

4. $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_2^n, H_n)$.

We note by $RY$ the reversed sequence $RY = (y_{n-1}, \ldots, y_1, y_0)$ and let $H_n$ denote the permutation automorphic subgroup $H_n = \{1, R\} \leq S_n \leq \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_2^n)$. Hence $H_n$ defines a partition on $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ and $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_2^n, H_n)$ is a schur ring.

In [11] was shown that if there is a circulant Hadamard matrix, then must be contained in $G_{4m^2}(2m^2 - m)$. Let $S_{ym}(\mathbb{Z}_4^{4n})$ denote the $S$-subgroup of all symmetric binary sequences in $\mathbb{Z}_2^{4n}$. By the result of Brualdi, no circulant Hadamard matrix exists in $S_{ym}(\mathbb{Z}_4^{4n})$. As we want to construct matrices, then the search must be done in $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_2^n, C_n)$. Finally, in the following section the relationship between of the Schur ring $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_2^{4n}, \Delta_n)$ and the circulant Hadamard matrices will be shown.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a alphabet and let $\mathcal{A}^*$ denote all finite words defined on $\mathcal{A}$. Any subsequence of consecutive letters of a word is a subword. Given a word $w = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n$, the number $n$ is called the length of $w$. A subset $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^*$ is a code if it satisfies the following condition: For all $n, m \geq 1$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_m \in \mathcal{X}$

$$x_1 \cdots x_n = y_1 \cdots y_m \Rightarrow n = m \text{ and } x_i = y_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$$  

(1.3)

In [13] was shown the relationship between $S$-ring on $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ and binary codes. In particular were used codes for to construct $S$-subgroups over $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$. An important result obtained is that $\mathcal{X} = \{X, CX, C^2X, \ldots, C^{n-1}X\}$ is a base for all $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$, with $X = +++++\cdots +$. Then we will use this base to construct words that could be circulant Hadamard matrices. Let $Y_G$ denote the orbit of some $Y$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ under the action of $G$. If for a code $\mathcal{X}$ it is true that $\mathcal{X}^* = Y_G$ for some $Y$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$, then we will say that $\mathcal{X}^*$ is a $G$-code.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 some results about $S$-subgroups of decimation $\mathbb{I}_n(a)$ in $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_2^n, \Delta_n)$ are obtained. In particular will be shown that if $\langle a \rangle \leq \langle b \rangle$, then $\mathbb{I}_n(b) \leq \mathbb{I}_n(a)$. This theorem is the great importance because say us that we must search circulant Hadamard matrices in $\mathbb{I}_n(x)$ only if $x$ has order an prime number module $n$. In the section 3 the conjecture is proved. An important result is to show that if $H_G$ is a circulant Hadamard matrix, then its orbit under the action of $\Delta_{4n}$ has order 2, where $H_G$ is fixed or reversed by all decimation in $\Delta_{4n}$. Then we take $H$ in $\mathbb{I}_{4n}(x)$ with $x^p \equiv 1(\text{mod} 4n)$, $p$ a prime, and we suppose that $H$ either is fixed or is reversed under the action of $\delta_{2n+x}$. The idea is to show that $H$ seen as a word has length an even number in contradiction with the result obtain by Turyn.
2 Schur ring $\mathbb{I}_n(a)$

In this section, we will construct $\Delta_n$-codes for $S$-subgroups of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_2^n, \Delta_n)$. We will use the commutation relation $C_i \delta_a = \delta_a C_i^a$ for to prove all of results.

Let

$$(P_Y(0), P_Y(1), ..., P_Y(n - 1))$$

denote the autocorrelation vector of $Y$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ and let $\mathfrak{A}(\mathbb{Z}_2^n)$ denote the set of all this. Let $X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n = a$ denote the plane in $\mathbb{Z}_n$ in the indeterminates $X_i$, $i = 1, 2, ..., n$ and let $\theta : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \to \mathfrak{A}(\mathbb{Z}_2^n)$ be the map defined by $\theta(Y) = (P_Y(0), P_Y(1), \ldots, P_Y(n - 1))$.

The decimation group $\Delta_n$ do not alter the set of values which $P_X(k)$ takes on, but merely the order in which they appear, i.e., if $Y = \delta_a X$ then $P_Y(k) = P_X(ka)$. Therefore, we have the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{Z}_2^n & \xrightarrow{\delta_r} & \mathbb{Z}_2^n \\
\downarrow{\theta} & & \downarrow{\theta} \\
\mathfrak{A}(\mathbb{Z}_2^n) & \xrightarrow{\delta_r} & \mathfrak{A}(\mathbb{Z}_2^n)
\end{array}
$$

and $\theta \circ \delta_r = \delta_r \circ \theta$.

Let $Y \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ such that $\theta(Y) = (n, d, d, ..., d)$. Such a binary sequence is known as binary sequence with 2-levels autocorrelation value and are important by its applications on telecommunication. We want to construct a $\Delta_n$-code for some $S$-subgroup $H$ of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_2^n, \Delta_n)$ containing such $Y$. From (2.1) is followed that $\theta(Y) = \delta_a \theta(Y) = \theta(\delta_a Y)$, for all $\delta_a \in \Delta_n$. Hence $Y$ and $\delta_a Y$ have the same autocorrelation vector. For $Y$ fulfulling $\delta_a = Y$ for some $\delta_a$ in $\Delta_n$, we have the following definition

**Definition 1.** Let $a$ be a unit in $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$. A word $Y$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ is $\delta_a$-invariant if $\delta_a Y = Y$. Denote by $\mathbb{I}_n(a)$ the set of these $Y$.

If $Y$ is in $\mathbb{I}_n(a)$, then $\delta_a Y$ is in $\mathbb{I}_n(a)$, too. Also $\delta_a(Y Z) = \delta_a Y \delta_a Z = Y Z$ for all $Y, Z$ in $\mathbb{I}_n(a)$. Then $\mathbb{I}_n(a)$ is an $S$-subgroup of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_2^n, \Delta_n)$. Now, we shall see that all factorization of words in $\mathbb{I}_n(a)$ is related with cyclotomic coset of a module $n$. First, we have the following definition

**Definition 2.** Let a relative prime to $n$. The cyclotomic coset of a module $n$ is defined by

$$C_s = \{s, sa, sa^2, \ldots, sa^{t-1}\}.$$ 

where $sa^t \equiv s \pmod{n}$. A subset $\{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_r\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ is called complete set of representatives of cyclotomic coset of a modulo $n$ if $C_{s_1}, C_{s_2}, \ldots, C_{s_r}$ are distinct and are a partition of $\mathbb{Z}_n$.

Take $Y = C_{i_1} X C_{i_2} X \cdots C_{i_r} X$ in $\mathbb{I}_n(a)$ with $X = +++ \cdots +$. We want $\delta_a Y = Y$. Then

$$\begin{align*}
\delta_a Y &= \delta_a C_{i_1} X \delta_a C_{i_2} X \cdots \delta_a C_{i_r} X \\
&= C_{i_1} a^{-1} \delta_a X C_{i_2} a^{-1} \delta_a X \cdots C_{i_r} a^{-1} \delta_a X \\
&= C_{i_1} a^{-1} X C_{i_2} a^{-1} X \cdots C_{i_r} a^{-1} X
\end{align*}$$
since \( \delta_a X = X \). As must be \( \delta_b Y = Y \), then \( i_k = a^{-1}i_j \) or \( i_j = ai_k \) for \( 1 \leq k, j \leq r \). Let \( C_s X \) denote the word \( C^s X C^{sa} X \cdots C^{sa^{s-1}} X \). Then all \( Y \) in \( \mathbb{I}_n(a) \) has the form \( Y = C_{s_1}^i X C_{s_2}^i X \cdots C_{s_r}^i X \), with \( \epsilon_i = 0, 1 \). As \( \mathbb{I}_n(a) \) is an \( S \)-subgroup in \( \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_2^n, \Delta_n) \), \( \delta_1 C_s X = C_{s_1} X \) and

\[
\mathcal{X}_{I(a)} = \{ X, C_{s_1} X, C_{s_2} X, \ldots, C_{s_r} X \} \tag{2.2}
\]

is a \( \Delta_n \)-code for \( \mathbb{I}_n(a) \). Hence \( \mathcal{X}_{I(a)}^* \) has order \( 2^{r+1} \), where \( r \) is the number of cyclotomic cosets of \( a \) modulo \( n \).

The following theorem is fundamental for the proof of the circulant Hadamard conjecture. This show us the relationship between \( S \)-subgroups \( \mathbb{I}_n(a) \) and \( \mathbb{I}_n(b) \) when \( \langle a \rangle \) and \( \langle b \rangle \) are subgroups from the other. Firstly, we obtain the following

**Lemma 1.** Take a codeword \( C_s X \) in \( \mathcal{X}_{I(a)} \). Then \( \delta_r C_s X = C_{sr^{-1}} X \) for all \( \delta_r \) in \( \Delta_n \).

**Proof.** We have

\[
\delta_r C_s X = \delta_r(C^s X C^{sa} X \cdots C^{sa^{s-1}} X) = \delta_r C^s X \delta_r C^{sa} X \cdots \delta_r C^{sa^{s-1}} X = C^{sr^{-1}} X C^{sar^{-1}} X \cdots C^{sa^{s-1}r^{-1}} X = C_{sr^{-1}} X.
\]

\[\square\]

**Theorem 1.** If \( \langle b \rangle \) is a subgroup of \( \langle a \rangle \), then \( \mathbb{I}_n(a) \subseteq \mathbb{I}_n(b) \).

**Proof.** Let \( C_t^a \) and \( C_t^b \) denote the classes \( \{1, a, a^2, \ldots, a^{t-1}\} \) and \( \{1, b, b^2, \ldots, b^{t-1}\} \). By hypothesis \( \langle b \rangle \leq \langle a \rangle \), then \( C_t^b \subseteq C_t^a \). Hence there exists \( y_i \) in \( \langle a \rangle \) such that

\[
C_t^a = C_t^b \cup y_1 C_t^b \cup \cdots \cup y_k C_t^b,
\]

and \( k = [\langle a \rangle : \langle b \rangle] \). As clearly \( y_i C_t^b = C_{y_i}^b \), then

\[
C_{y_1}^a X = C_{y_1}^b X C_{y_1}^b X \cdots C_{y_k}^b X \tag{2.3}
\]

and \( C_{y_1}^a X \in \mathbb{I}_n(b) \). Now, take \( \delta_r \) in \( \Delta_n \). Then

\[
\delta_r C_{y_1}^a X = \delta_r(C_{y_1}^b X C_{y_1}^b X \cdots C_{y_k}^b X) = \delta_r C_{y_1}^b X \delta_r C_{y_1}^b X \cdots \delta_r C_{y_k}^b X = C_{sr^{-1}} X C_{yr^{-1}} X \cdots C_{yr^{-1}} X.
\]

From Lemma 1 is followed that \( C_{sr^{-1}} X \) is in \( \mathbb{I}_n(b) \) for all \( r \in \mathbb{Z}_n^* \). Accordingly \( \mathbb{I}_n(a) \subseteq \mathbb{I}_n(b) \).

We will use the above theorem to obtain the following corollaries
Corollary 1. Let $a$ an unity in $\mathbb{Z}_{4n}^*$ of order $2k$. Then $\mathbb{I}_{4n}(a) \subset \mathbb{I}_{4n}(a^k)$.

Proof. It is enough with to note that $\{1, a^k\}$ is a subgroup of $\langle a \rangle$. □

Corollary 2. Let \{1\} ≤ $\langle a_1 \rangle$ ≤ $\langle a_2 \rangle$ ≤ · · · ≤ $\langle b \rangle$ a serie of cyclic subgroups of $\langle b \rangle$. Then

\[ \mathbb{I}_n(b) \subset \cdots \subset \mathbb{I}_n(a_2) \subset \mathbb{I}_n(a_1) \subset \mathbb{I}_n(1) = \mathbb{Z}_2^n. \quad (2.4) \]

Proof. A consequence trivial of Theorem □

Finally, we will use the previous results to show some lattice of $S$-subgroups $\mathbb{I}_{4n}(a)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2^{4n}$ ordered by inclusion.

2.1 Some Example

$S$-subgroups $\mathbb{I}_{4n}(a)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2^{4n}$ was obtained by using SAGE. It was taken into account that $\mathbb{I}_{4n}(a) = \mathbb{I}_{4n}(a^{-1})$.

2.1.1 $n=24$

The cyclic subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}_{24}^*$ are $\langle 5 \rangle, \langle 7 \rangle, \langle 11 \rangle, \langle 13 \rangle, \langle 17 \rangle, \langle 19 \rangle$ and $\langle 23 \rangle$, all of order 2. Then we have

\[ \mathbb{I}_{24}(1) = \mathbb{Z}_2^{24} \]

\[ \mathbb{I}_{24}(5) \quad \mathbb{I}_{24}(7) \quad \mathbb{I}_{24}(11) \quad \mathbb{I}_{24}(13) \quad \mathbb{I}_{24}(17) \quad \mathbb{I}_{24}(19) \quad \mathbb{I}_{24}(23) \]

2.1.2 $n=36$

The cyclic subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}_{36}^*$ are $\langle 5 \rangle, \langle 7 \rangle, \langle 11 \rangle, \langle 13 \rangle, \langle 17 \rangle, \langle 19 \rangle$ and $\langle 35 \rangle$.

\[ \mathbb{I}_{36}(1) = \mathbb{Z}_2^{36} \]

\[ \mathbb{I}_{36}(5) \quad \mathbb{I}_{36}(7) \quad \mathbb{I}_{36}(11) \]

\[ \mathbb{I}_{36}(17) \quad \mathbb{I}_{36}(19) \quad \mathbb{I}_{36}(35) \quad \mathbb{I}_{36}(13) \]

The subgroups $\langle 17 \rangle$, $\langle 19 \rangle$ and $\langle 35 \rangle$ have order 2 and the subgroup $\langle 13 \rangle$ has order 3.
2.1.3 \( n=60 \)

The cyclic subgroups of \( \mathbb{Z}_{60}^* \) are \( \langle 7 \rangle, \langle 11 \rangle, \langle 13 \rangle, \langle 17 \rangle, \langle 19 \rangle, \langle 23 \rangle, \langle 29 \rangle, \langle 31 \rangle, \langle 41 \rangle, \langle 49 \rangle \) and \( \langle 59 \rangle \).

\[
I_{60}(1) = \mathbb{Z}_{60}^*
\]

\[
I_{60}(11) \quad I_{60}(19) \quad I_{60}(29) \quad I_{60}(49) \quad I_{60}(31) \quad I_{60}(41) \quad I_{60}(59)
\]

where the subgroups \( \langle 11 \rangle, \langle 19 \rangle, \langle 29 \rangle, \langle 49 \rangle, \langle 31 \rangle, \langle 41 \rangle \) and \( \langle 59 \rangle \) have order 2.

2.1.4 \( n=196 \)

The cyclic subgroups of \( \mathbb{Z}_{196}^* \) are \( \langle 3 \rangle, \langle 5 \rangle, \langle 9 \rangle, \langle 15 \rangle, \langle 19 \rangle, \langle 27 \rangle, \langle 29 \rangle, \langle 67 \rangle, \langle 97 \rangle, \langle 99 \rangle, \langle 117 \rangle, \langle 165 \rangle \) and \( \langle 195 \rangle \).

\[
I_{196}(1) = \mathbb{Z}_{196}^*
\]

\[
I_{196}(29) \quad I_{196}(97) \quad I_{196}(99) \quad I_{196}(165) \quad I_{196}(195)
\]

where the subgroups \( \langle 97 \rangle, \langle 99 \rangle \) and \( \langle 195 \rangle \) have order 2 and the subgroups \( \langle 29 \rangle \) and \( \langle 165 \rangle \) have order 7 and 3, respectively.

2.1.5 \( n=668 \)

The cyclic subgroups of \( \mathbb{Z}_{668}^* \) are \( \langle 3 \rangle, \langle 5 \rangle, \langle 9 \rangle, \langle 15 \rangle, \langle 333 \rangle, \langle 335 \rangle \), and \( \langle 667 \rangle \).

\[
I_{668}(1) = \mathbb{Z}_{668}^*
\]

\[
I_{668}(335) \quad I_{668}(333) \quad I_{668}(9) \quad I_{668}(667)
\]

\[
I_{668}(3) \quad I_{668}(5) \quad I_{668}(15)
\]
where the subgroups $\langle 333 \rangle$, $\langle 335 \rangle$ and $\langle 667 \rangle$ have order 2 and the subgroup $\langle 9 \rangle$ has order 83.

3 There is no circulant Hadamard matrices in $\mathbb{Z}_{4}^{4n}$

In this section the conjecture is proved. Firstly, we show that the orbit of all circulant Hadamard matrix $H_{C}$ has order 2 under the action of $\Delta_{4n}$, where $H_{C}$ is fixed or reversed by all decimation in $\Delta_{4n}$. Then we take $H$ in $I_{4n}(x)$ with $x^{p} \equiv 1 \pmod{4n}$, $p$ a prime, and we suppose that $H$ either is fixed or is reversed under the action of $\delta_{2n}$. The idea is to show that $H$ seen as a word has length an even number in contradiction with the result obtain by Turyn. All word in $I_{4n}(x)$ is factorized in terms of some set of subwords here constructed. A very useful decimation in our proof will be $\delta_{2n+1}$. We start with the construction of its associated $S$-subgroup.

Proposition 1. The $S$-subgroup $I_{4n}(2n+1)$ has order $2^{3n}$.

Proof. As $(2n+1)^{2} \equiv 1 \pmod{4n}$, then $\{1, 2n+1\}$ is a cyclotomic coset of $2n+1$ module $4n$, and

\[
\begin{align*}
C_{0} &= \{0\}, \\
C_{2a} &= \{2a\}, \ 0 \leq a \leq 2n-1, \\
C_{2b+1} &= \{2b+1, 2n+2b+1\}, \ 0 \leq b \leq n-1
\end{align*}
\]

are all of cyclotomic cosets of $2n+1$ module $4n$. Hence

\[
X_{I_{4n}(2n+1)} = \{C_{0}X, C_{2a}X, C_{2b+1}X\}
\]

and $|I_{4n}(2n+1)| = 2^{3n}$ as we desirable. \hfill \square

A first useful result to show that the orbit of a circulant Hadamard matrix has order 2 is the following. Here will be shown the relationship between the decimations $\delta_{x}$ and $\delta_{n-x}$ and $Y$ when this is either fixed or reversed for those.

Theorem 2. If $\delta_{x}Y_{C} = RY_{C}$ for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{*}$, $x \neq 1, n-1$, then $Y \in I_{n}(n-x)$.

Proof. Obviously the hypothesis $\delta_{1}Y_{C} = RY_{C}$ is never holded. On the other hand, if $\delta_{2n-1}Y_{C} = RY_{C}$, then $Y \notin I_{2n}(2n-1)$. In this case $Y \in I_{2n}(1) = \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2n}$ trivially. The condition $\delta_{2n}Y_{C} = RY_{C}$ is holds even when $Y$ is contained in $I_{2n+1}(2n)$ since $I_{2n+1}(2n) = Sym(\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2n+1})$ (see [13], Theorem 14). Then $Y \in I_{2n+1}(1)$ trivially. Now suppose $x \neq 1, n-1$ with $Y = C^{i_{1}}XC^{i_{2}}X \cdots C^{i_{r}}X$. As we want $\delta_{x}Y_{C} = RY_{C}$, then

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_{x}Y_{C} &= (C^{i_{1}x^{-1}}XC^{i_{2}x^{-1}}X \cdots C^{i_{r}x^{-1}}X)_{C} \\
RY_{C} &= (C^{n-i_{1}}XC^{n-i_{2}}X \cdots C^{n-i_{r}}X)_{C}
\end{align*}
\]
implies that \(i_kx^{-1} \equiv n - i_l \pmod{n}\) or \(i_k \equiv n - i_l x \equiv (n - x)i_l \pmod{n}\). Hence \(Y \in \mathbb{I}_n(n - x)\). \(\Box\)

A very useful first result for our proof is given by Brualdi\[3\]. He proved that if there is a circulant Hadamard matrix, then this is nonsymmetric. We will use this for to show that a circulant Hadamard matrix never is fixed by all decimation in \(\Delta_{4n}\).

**Theorem 3.** Suppose \(H\) in \(\bigcap_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^*_4} \mathbb{I}_{4n}(x)\), then \(H\) is no Hadamard.

**Proof.** Suppose that \(H\) is Hadamard. Then \(\theta(H) = \theta(RH) = \theta(\delta_x H)\) for all \(x \in \mathbb{Z}^*_4\). From the above theorem there is \(y \in \mathbb{Z}^*_4\) such that \(\delta_y H = RH\). Therefore \(RH = H\) and \(H\) is symmetric, but there is no symmetric circulant Hadamard matrices. Consequently, if \(H\) is Hadamard then never is contained in \(\bigcap_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^*_4} \mathbb{I}_{4n}(x)\). \(\Box\)

As was already announced we will show that the orbit of a circulant Hadamard matrix has order 2.

**Theorem 4.** Let \(H\) be a circulant Hadamard matrix of order \(4n\). Then the orbit of \(H\) has order 2 under the action of \(\Delta_{4n}\).

**Proof.** It was already proven that no circulant Hadamard matrix is fixed under the action of \(\Delta_{4n}\). Suppose \(p \in \mathbb{Z}^*_4\) such that \(\delta_p H = RH\). Then

\[
\delta_p RH = \begin{cases} R \delta_p C^{p-1} H & \text{if } p \leq 2 \text{ or } p = 3 \\
R \delta_p H & \text{if } 2 < p \leq n \\
R^2 H = H & \text{if } p = n \end{cases}
\]

Thus \(\delta_p H = RH\) implies that \(\delta_{p-1} H = RH\). On the other hand, suppose \(H\) in \(\mathbb{I}_{4n}(x)\). As \(\delta_x RH = R\delta_x H = RH\), then is followed that \(RH \in \mathbb{I}_{4n}(x)\). We want to show that there are \(p\) in \(\mathbb{Z}^*_4\) such that \(\delta_p H = RH\). From Theorem 2 \(\delta_{4n-x} H = RH\). Then \(\delta_{4n-x} H = RH\) for \(1 \leq i \leq |\langle x \rangle| - 1\). If \(c = ab\) for \(a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^*_4\) such that \(\delta_c H = H\), then either \(\delta_a H = H\) and \(\delta_b H = H\) or \(\delta_a H = RH\) and \(\delta_b H = RH\). Equally, if \(c = ab\) for \(a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^*_4\) such that \(\delta_c H = RH\), then either \(\delta_a H = H\) and \(\delta_b H = RH\) or \(\delta_a H = RH\) and \(\delta_b H = RH\). Hence the orbit of \(H\) under \(\Delta_{4n}\) is \(\{H, RH\}\). \(\Box\)

On the other hand, it was already established that \(\mathbb{I}_n(a)\) is an \(S\)-subgroup in \(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}^*_2, \Delta_n)\). Now we will show that \(C^n Y\) is in \(\mathbb{I}_{2n}(a)\) for all \(Y\) in \(\mathbb{I}_{2n}(a)\). Thus the action of \(C^n\) determines a partition on \(\mathbb{I}_{2n}(a)\). This it important because we can obtain the structure of all word \(Y\) in \(\mathbb{I}_{4n}(a)\) such that \(Y C^{2n} Y\) has length \(2n\). If we can prove that such \(Y\) is not Hadamard, then we will have reached the proof of the conjecture.

**Lemma 2.** \(C^n C_s X = C_{s+n} X\) with \(C_s X\) a codeword in \(X_{\mathbb{I}_{2n}(a)}\) for all \(a \in \mathbb{Z}^*_2\).
Lemma 3. Take $A$ prime in term of subwords can contain the following subwords $\equiv$ in $X$.

Lemma 4. Let $x^p \equiv 1 \mod 4n$, $p$ prime in term of subwords $C^{2n}$-complementary pairs and $C^{2n}(B_x X) = B_x X$, $C^{2n}(E_a X) = E_a X$ and $C^{2n}(F_v X) = F_v X$.

Proof. All cyclotomic cosets of $x$ have order either 1 or 2. Thus the subwords $A_x X$ and $B_x X$ are formed by cosets of order 1 and the subwords $D_x X$, $E_a X$ and $F_v X$ are formed by cosets of order 2. The properties of the subwords follow of its definition.

Lemma 3. Take $x$ in $Z_{4n}^*$ such that $x^2 \equiv 1 \mod 4n$. Then each word in $\mathbb{I}_{4n}(x)$ can contain the following subwords

$$A_i X = C_{a_1} X \cdot C_{a_i} X, \text{ where } a_i - a_{i+1} \neq 2n \text{ and } a_i x \equiv a_i$$
$$B_i X = C_{b_1} X C_{b_1+2n} X \cdot C_{b_i} X C_{b_i+2n} X, \text{ where } b_i - b_{i+1} \neq 2n \text{ and } b_i x \equiv b_i$$
$$D_i X = C_{d_1} X C_{d_1+1} X \cdot C_{d_i} X C_{d_i+1} X, \text{ where } d_i - d_{i+1} \neq 2n$$
$$E_i X = C_{e_1} X C_{e_1+2n} X C_{e_1+2n} X \cdot C_{e_i} X C_{e_i+2n} X C_{e_i+2n} X, \text{ where } e_i - e_{i+1} \neq 2n$$
$$F_i X = C_{f_1} X C_{f_1+1} X \cdot C_{f_i} X C_{f_i+1} X, \text{ where } f_i - f_{i+1} \neq 2n$$

such that $A_x X$ and $D_x X$ contain no any $C^{2n}$-complementary pairs and $C^{2n}(B_x X) = B_x X$, $C^{2n}(E_a X) = E_a X$ and $C^{2n}(F_v X) = F_v X$.

Proof. All cyclotomic cosets of $x$ have order either 1 or 2. Thus the subwords $A_x X$ and $B_x X$ are formed by cosets of order 1 and the subwords $D_x X$, $E_a X$ and $F_v X$ are formed by cosets of order 2. The properties of the subwords follow of its definition.

Lemma 4. Let $x^p \equiv 1 \mod 4n$, $p$ prime. All word in $\mathbb{I}_{4n}(x)$ contains some of the following subwords:

$$A_x X = C_{a_1} X \cdot C_{a_i} X, \text{ where } a_i x = a_i,$$
$$B_x X = C_{b_1} X C_{b_1+2n} X \cdot C_{b_i} X C_{b_i+2n} X \text{ where } b_i x = b_i,$$
$$D_x X = C_{d_1} X \cdot C_{d_i} X, \text{ where } |C_{d_i} X| = p,$$
$$E_x X = C_{e_1} X C_{e_1+2n} X \cdot C_{e_i} X C_{e_i+2n} X, \text{ where } |C_{e_i} X| = p.$$

Proof. As $x^2 \equiv 2n \mod 4n$, then no codeword in $X_{4n}(x)$ is $C^{2n}$-invariant. Hence $A_x X$, $B_x X$, $D_x X$ and $E_x X$ are the only subwords forming all word in $\mathbb{I}_{4n}(x)$.
The following lemma allows us to understand the structure of any word in \(\mathbb{I}_{4n}(x)\) in function of the structure of subwords \(A_rX, B_sX, D_tX, E_uX\) and \(F_vX\).

**Lemma 5.** The action of \(\delta_p\) conserves the structure of \(A_rX, B_sX, D_tX, E_uX\) and \(F_vX\) in \(\mathbb{I}_{4n}(x)\), \(x^q \equiv 1 (\text{mod} 4n)\), \(q\) a prime number.

**Proof.** Suppose \(x^q \equiv 1 (\text{mod} 4n)\). We want to show that \(\delta_pA_rX\) contains no \(C^{2n}\)-complementary pairs. We have

\[
C^{2n}\delta_pA_rX = C^{2n}\delta_p(C^{a_1}XC^{a_2}X \cdots C^{a_r}X) = C^{2n}\delta_pC^{a_1}XC^{2n}\delta_pC^{a_2}X \cdots C^{2n}\delta_pC^{a_r}X
\]

\[= C^{2n}C^{a_1}p^{-1}XC^{2n}C^{a_2}p^{-1}X \cdots C^{2n}C^{a_r}p^{-1}X = C^{2n+a_1p^{-1}}XC^{2n+a_2p^{-1}}X \cdots C^{2n+a_rp^{-1}}X.
\]

If

\[2n + a_ip^{-1} \equiv a_jp^{-1}(\text{mod} 4n),\]

then \(p^{-1}(a_i - a_j) \equiv 2n(\text{mod} 4n)\). But \(p^{-1} \not\equiv 4n\) and the above is not possible for any pair \((i, j)\). Hence \(\delta_pA_rX\) contains no \(C^{2n}\)-complementary pairs and also \(C^{2m^2}\delta_pA_rX \neq \delta_pA_rX\), hence \(\delta_pA_rX\) is distinct of \(B_sX\). Trivially, \(\delta_pA_rX\) is distinct of \(D_tX, E_uX\) and \(F_vX\). Equally is proved that

\[C^{2n}\delta_pD_tX = C^{2n+d_1p^{-1}}XC^{2n+d_1p^{-1}x}X \cdots C^{2n+d_rp^{-1}}XC^{2n+d_rp^{-1}x}X.
\]

As \(p \not\equiv 4n\), then

\[
p^{-1}(a_j - a_i) \not\equiv 2n(\text{mod} 4n),
\]

\[
p^{-1}(a_j - a_ix) \not\equiv 2n(\text{mod} 4n),
\]

\[
p^{-1}(a_jx - a_i) \not\equiv 2n(\text{mod} 4n),
\]

\[
p^{-1}(x(a_j - a_i)) \not\equiv 2n(\text{mod} 4n),
\]

for any pair \((i, j)\). Hence \(C^{2n}\delta_pD_tX \neq \delta_pD_tX\) and \(\delta_pD_tX\) is distinct to \(E_uX\) and \(F_vX\). Equally it is shown that \(\delta_p\) conserves the structure of \(B_sX, E_uX\) and \(F_vX\). The case \(x^q \equiv 1 (\text{mod} 4n)\), \(q\) an odd prime is proved equally. \(\square\)

Now we are ready for our proof. We will show that \(\mathbb{I}_{4nC}(x)\), where \(x^p \equiv 1 \text{ mod } 4n\) and \(p\) is a prime, contains no circulant Hadamard matrices by showing that neither \(\mathbb{I}_{4nC}(2n + x)\) nor \(\mathbb{I}_{4nC}(x) \setminus \mathbb{I}_{4nC}(2n + x)\) contains circulant Hadamard matrices.

**Theorem 5.** There is no circulant Hadamard matrices in \(\mathbb{I}_{4nC}(x)\) where \(x^p \equiv 1 \text{ mod } 4n, p\) an odd prime.

**Proof.** Let \(\mu(4n)\) denote the number of solution of

\[x^2 \equiv 1 (\text{mod} 4n),\]

with \(n = 2^{m-2} \prod_{i=1}^{d} p_i^{h_i}\). If exist solution to the equation \(x^p \equiv 1 \text{ mod } 4n\) with \(p\) an odd prime, then \(\mu(4n) < 2\varphi(n)\). Thus \(\mathcal{X}_{4n}(x)\) contains codewords of lengt
1 or \(p\). From Theorem 1, \(\mathbb{I}_{4n}(xy) \subset \mathbb{I}_{4n}(x)\) for all \(y\) such that \(y^2 \equiv 1 \mod 4n\), particularly for \(y = 2n + 1\). Thus \(z = xy = 2n + x\). Now take a word \(Y\) in \(\mathbb{I}_{4n}(x)\) such that is not fixed by \(\Delta_{4n}\). From Theorem 4, if \(Y_C\) is Hadamard, then either \(\delta_p Y_C = Y_C\) or \(\delta_p Y_C = RY_C\) for all \(p \in \mathbb{Z}_{4n}\). We will use this and the Lemma if we will show that \(Y_C\) is no Hadamard.

**CASE \(\delta_Y Y_C = Y_C\).**

This case implies that \(\delta_{4n-x} Y_C = \delta_{2n-x} Y_C = RY_C\). We have four cases.

1. \(\delta_{2n-x}(A_rX)_C = R(A_rX)_C\). On the one hand

\[
\delta_{2n-x}A_rX = \delta_{2n-x}(C^{a_1}X C^{a_2}X \cdots C^{a_r}X)
= C^{a_1}(2n-x^{-1})X C^{a_2}(2n-x^{-1})X \cdots C^{a_r}(2n-x^{-1})X
= C^{2n-x^{-1}a_1}X C^{2n-x^{-1}a_2}X \cdots C^{2n-x^{-1}a_r}X
= C^{2n-a_1}X C^{2n-a_2}X \cdots C^{2n-a_r}X.
\]

On the other hand, as \((4n - a_i)x \equiv (4n - a_i)(\mod 4n), (n - a_i)x \equiv (n - a_i)(\mod 4n), (2n - a_i)x \equiv (2n - a_i)(\mod 4n)\) and \((3n - a_i)x \equiv (3n - a_i)(\mod 4n)\), then

\[
\delta_{2n-x}A_rX = \begin{cases} 
C^{4n-a_1}X C^{4n-a_2}X \cdots C^{4n-a_r}X, & \text{if } x \equiv 1(\mod 4) \\
C^{a_1}X C^{n-a_2}X \cdots C^{n-a_r}X, & \text{if } x \equiv 1(\mod 4)
\end{cases}
\]

Thus must be holded some of following condictions

\[
\begin{align*}
2n - a_i & \equiv (4n - a_j)(\mod 4n) \quad (3.3) \\
2n - a_i & \equiv (n - a_j)(\mod 4n) \quad (3.4) \\
2n - a_i & \equiv (2n - a_j)(\mod 4n) \quad (3.5) \\
2n - a_i & \equiv (3n - a_j)(\mod 4n). \quad (3.6)
\end{align*}
\]

Then we have respectively

\[
\begin{align*}
a_j & \equiv (2n + a_i)(\mod 4n) \quad (3.7) \\
a_j & \equiv (a_i - n)(\mod 4n) \quad (3.8) \\
a_j & \equiv (a_i)(\mod 4n) \quad (3.9) \\
a_j & \equiv (n + a_i)(\mod 4n) \quad (3.10)
\end{align*}
\]

Hence the only possible cases for the definition of \(A_rX\) are

\[
\begin{align*}
A_rX &= C^{a_1}X C^{a_1-n}X \cdots C^{a_r}X C^{a_r-n}X \quad (3.11) \\
A_rX &= C^{a_1}X C^{a_1+n}X \cdots C^{a_r}X C^{a_r+n}X \quad (3.12)
\end{align*}
\]

and \(|A_rX| = 2r|\).
2. \( \delta_{2n-x}(B_sX)_C = R(B_sX)_C \). On the one hand

\[
\delta_{2n-x}B_sX = \delta_{2n-x}(C^{b_1}XC^{b_1+n}X \cdots C^{b_s}XC^{b_s+n}X)
\]

\[
= C^{b_1}(2n-x-1)XC^{(b_1+2n)(2n-x-1)}X
\]

\[
\cdots C^{b_s}(2n-x-1)XC^{(b_s+2n)(2n-x-1)}X
\]

\[
= C^{2n-b_1}XC^{4n-b_1}X \cdots C^{2n-b_s}XC^{4n-b_s}X
\]

On the other hand, as \((4n - b_i)x \equiv (4n - b_i)(\text{mod} 4n)\), \((n - b_i)x \equiv (n - b_i)(\text{mod} 4n)\), \((2n - b_i)x \equiv (2n - b_i)(\text{mod} 4n)\) and \((3n - b_i)x \equiv (3n - b_i)(\text{mod} 4n)\), then

\[
\delta_{2n-x}B_sX = \begin{cases} 
C^{4n-b_1}XC^{2n-b_1}X \cdots C^{4n-b_s}XC^{2n-b_s}X, \\
C^{n-b_1}XC^{3n-b_1}X \cdots C^{n-b_s}XC^{3n-b_s}X, \text{ if } x \equiv 1(\text{mod} 4)
\end{cases}
\]

Thus must be holded some of following conditons

\[
2n - b_i \equiv (4n - b_i)(\text{mod} 4n)
\] (3.13)

\[
2n - b_i \equiv (2n - b_i) \text{ and } 4n - b_k \equiv (4n - b_k)
\] (3.14)

\[
2n - b_i \equiv (n - b_j) \text{ and } 4n - b_k \equiv (3n - b_k)
\] (3.15)

\[
2n - b_i \equiv (3n - b_j) \text{ and } 4n - b_k \equiv (n - b_i)
\] (3.16)

Then we have respectively

\[
b_j \equiv (2n + b_i)(\text{mod} 4n)
\] (3.17)

\[
b_j \equiv (b_i)(\text{mod} 4n)
\] (3.18)

\[
b_j \equiv (b_i - n)(\text{mod} 4n)
\] (3.19)

\[
b_j \equiv (n + b_i) \text{ and } b_i \equiv (b_k - 3n)
\] (3.20)

Hence the only possible cases for definition of \( B_sX \) are

\[
B_sX = C^{b_1}XC^{b_1+n}X \cdots C^{b_s}XC^{b_s+n}X
\]

\[
\cdots C^{b_i}XC^{b_i+n}X
\] (3.21)

or \( B_sX \) containing either the subwords \( C^{b_1}XC^{b_1+n}X \) or the subwords \( C^{b_i}XC^{b_i+n}X \). In any case \(|B_sX| = 4s\).

3. \( \delta_{2n-x}(D_tX)_C = R(D_tX)_C \). On the one hand, from Lemma

\[
\delta_{2n-x}D_tX = \delta_{2n-x}(C_{di}X \cdots C_{di}X)
\]

\[
= C_{d_1(2n-x-1)}X \cdots C_{d_1(2n-x-1)}X
\]

\[
= C_{2n-x-d_1}X \cdots C_{2n-x-d_1}X
\]

\[
= C_{2n-d_1}X \cdots C_{2n-d_1}X
\]

On the other hand, as \( C_{n-d_1}X \cdots C_{n-d_1}X \), \( C_{2n-d_1}X \cdots C_{2n-d_1}X \), \( C_{3n-d_1}X \cdots C_{3n-d_1}X \) and \( C_{4n-d_1}X \cdots C_{4n-d_1}X \) is in \( R(D_tX)_C \), then

\[
\delta_{2n-x}D_tX = \begin{cases} 
C_{4n-d_1}X \cdots C_{4n-d_1}X, \\
C_{n-d_1}X \cdots C_{n-d_1}X, \text{ if } x \equiv 1(\text{mod} 4)
\end{cases}
\] (3.22)

\[
C_{2n-d_1}X \cdots C_{2n-d_1}X, \\
C_{3n-d_1}X \cdots C_{3n-d_1}X, \text{ if } x \equiv 1(\text{mod} 4)
\]
Thus must be holded some of following conditions
\[\begin{align*}
2n - d_i & \equiv (4n - d_j)(\text{mod}4n) \\
2n - d_i & \equiv (n - d_j)(\text{mod}4n) \\
2n - d_i & \equiv (2n - d_j)(\text{mod}4n) \\
2n - d_i & \equiv (3n - d_j)(\text{mod}4n).
\end{align*}\] (3.23)

Then we have respectively
\[\begin{align*}
d_j & \equiv (2n + d_i)(\text{mod}4n) \quad (3.27) \\
d_j & \equiv (d_i)(\text{mod}4n) \quad (3.28) \\
d_j & \equiv (n + d_i)(\text{mod}4n) \quad (3.29) \\
\end{align*}\]

Hence the only possible cases for definition of \(D_iX\) are
\[\begin{align*}
D_iX &= C_{d_1}X C_{d_1+n}X \cdots C_{d_1}X C_{d_1-n}X \quad (3.31) \\
D_iX &= C_{d_1}X C_{d_1+n}X \cdots C_{d_1}X C_{d_1+n}X \quad (3.32)
\end{align*}\]
and \(|D_iX| = 2pt\).

4. \(\delta_{2n-x}(E_uX)_C = R(E_uX)_C\). On the one hand
\[\delta_{2n-x}E_uX = \delta_{2n-x}(C_{e_1}X C_{e_1+2n}X \cdots C_{e_u}X C_{e_u+2n}X) = C_{e_1(2n-x-1)}X C_{e_1+2n}(2n-x-1)X \cdots C_{e_u(2n-x-1)}X C_{e_u+2n}(2n-x-1)X = C_{2n-x-1}X C_{4n-x-1}X \cdots C_{2n-x-1}X C_{4n-x-1}X \cdots C_{2n-x-1}X C_{4n-x-1}X \quad (3.33)\]

On the other hand, as
\[C_{4n-e_1}X C_{2n-e_1}X \cdots C_{4n-e_u}X C_{2n-e_u}X \quad (3.34)\]
and
\[C_{n-e_1}X C_{3n-e_1}X \cdots C_{n-e_u}X C_{3n-e_u}X \quad (3.35)\]
is in \(R(E_uX)_C\), then
\[\delta_{2n-x}E_uX = \begin{cases} C_{4n-e_1}X C_{2n-e_1}X \cdots C_{4n-e_u}X C_{2n-e_u}X, \\
C_{n-e_1}X C_{3n-e_1}X \cdots C_{n-e_u}X C_{3n-e_u}X, \text{ if } x \equiv 1(\text{mod}4) \end{cases}\]
Thus must be holded some of following conditions
\[\begin{align*}
2n - e_i & \equiv (4n - e_j)(\text{mod}4n) \quad (3.33) \\
2n - e_i & \equiv (2n - e_j) \text{ and } 4n - e_k \equiv (4n - e_i) \quad (3.34) \\
2n - e_i & \equiv (n - e_j) \text{ and } 4n - e_k \equiv (3n - e_i) \quad (3.35) \\
2n - e_i & \equiv (3n - e_j) \text{ and } 4n - e_k \equiv (n - e_i) \quad (3.36)
\end{align*}\]
Then we have respectively
\[
\begin{align*}
e_j &\equiv (2n + e_i)(\text{mod}4n) \\
e_j &\equiv (e_i)(\text{mod}4n) \\
e_j &\equiv (e_i - n)(\text{mod}4n) \\
e_j &\equiv (n + e_i) \text{ and } e_i \equiv (e_k - 3n)
\end{align*}
\] (3.37) (3.38) (3.39) (3.40)

Hence the only possible cases for definition of $E_uX$ are
\[
E_uX = C_{e_1}XC_{e_1+2n}XC_{e_1-n}XC_{e_1+n}X \\
\cdots C_{e_s}XC_{e_s+2n}XC_{e_s-n}XC_{e_s+n}X
\] (3.41)
or $E_uX$ contains either the subwords $C_{e_1}XC_{e_1+2n}XC_{e_1+n}X$ or the subwords $C_{e_s}XC_{e_s+2n}XC_{e_s-n}XC_{e_s+n}X$. In any case $|E_uX| = 4pu$.

Therefore if $Y$ contains the subwords $A_rX$, $B_sX$, $D_lX$, $E_uX$, then has length an even number. If $Y_C$ is Hadamard, then $Y$ must be in $G_{4m^2}(2m^2 - m)$ with $m$ an odd number. But this is no possible since $Y$ has even length.

CASE $\delta_2Y_C = RY_C$.

We have four case.

1. $\delta_{2n+x}(A_rX)_C = R(A_rX)_C$, then
\[
\delta_{2n+x}A_rX = \begin{cases}
C^{4n-a_i}XC^{4n-a_2}X \cdots C^{4n-a_r}X, \\
C^{n-a_1}XC^{n-a_2}X \cdots C^{n-a_r}X, & \text{if } x \equiv 1(\text{mod}4)
\end{cases}
\] (3.42)

Thus must be holded some of following conditions
\[
\begin{align*}
2n + a_i &\equiv (4n - a_j)(\text{mod}4n) \\
2n + a_i &\equiv (n - a_j)(\text{mod}4n) \\
2n + a_i &\equiv (2n - a_j)(\text{mod}4n) \\
2n + a_i &\equiv (3n - a_j)(\text{mod}4n).
\end{align*}
\] (3.43) (3.44) (3.45) (3.46)

Then we have respectively
\[
\begin{align*}
a_j &\equiv (2n - a_i)(\text{mod}4n) \\
\hat{a}_j &\equiv (3n - a_i)(\text{mod}4n) \\
\hat{a}_j &\equiv (4n - a_i)(\text{mod}4n) \\
\hat{a}_j &\equiv (n - a_i)(\text{mod}4n).
\end{align*}
\] (3.47) (3.48) (3.49) (3.50)
Hence $A_rX$ has the form

$$A_rX = \begin{cases} 
C_{a_1}^nXC_{2n-a_1}^X \cdots C_{a_r}^nXC_{2n-a_r}^X \\
C_{a_1}^nXC_{3n-a_1}^X \cdots C_{a_r}^nXC_{3n-a_r}^X \\
C_{a_1}^nXC_{4n-a_1}^X \cdots C_{a_r}^nXC_{4n-a_r}^X \\
\cdots 
\end{cases}$$

(3.51)

and $|A_rX| = 2r$. But in the case $i = j$ we have $a_i = n$, $2a_i = 3n$, $a_i = 2n$ or $2a_i = n$. If $n$ is an odd number, the cases 2 and 4 are not hold. Then $|A_rX| = 2r + 1$ in this case.

2. $\delta_{2n+x}(B_sX)_C = R(B_sX)_C$. Following the above proof, then must be holded some of following conditons

$$2n + b_i \equiv (4n - b_j)(\text{mod}4n) \qquad (3.52)$$
$$2n + b_i \equiv (2n - b_j) \text{ and } 4n + b_k \equiv (4n - b_l) \qquad (3.53)$$
$$2n + b_i \equiv (n - b_j) \text{ and } 4n + b_k \equiv (3n - b_l) \qquad (3.54)$$
$$2n + b_i \equiv (3n - b_j) \text{ and } 4n + b_k \equiv (n - b_l) \qquad (3.55)$$

Then we have respectively

$$b_j \equiv (2n - b_i)(\text{mod}4n) \qquad (3.56)$$
$$b_j \equiv 0(\text{mod}4n) \qquad (3.57)$$
$$b_j \equiv (3n - b_i)(\text{mod}4n) \qquad (3.58)$$
$$b_j \equiv (n - b_i) \qquad (3.59)$$

Hence the only possible cases for definition of $B_sX$ are

$$B_sX = C_{b_1}^{b_1}XC_{b_1+2n}^{b_1}XC_{2n-b_1}^{2n-b_1}XC_{4n-b_1}^{4n-b_1}X$$
$$\cdots C_{b_s}^{b_s}XC_{b_s+2n}^{b_s}XC_{2n-b_s}^{2n-b_s}XC_{4n-b_s}^{4n-b_s}X \qquad (3.60)$$

with $b_i \neq 0$, $2n$ or $B_sX$ containing the subwords $C_{b_i}^{b_i}XC_{b_i+2n}^{b_i}XC_{3n-b_i}^{3n-b_i}XC_{n-b_i}^{n-b_i}X$.

In any case $|B_sX| = 4s$. If $i = j$, then for $n$ an odd number we have $b_i = n$ for some $i$. Then $B_sX$ contains the subword $C_nXC_{3n}^X$ and $|B_sX| = 4s + 2$.

3. $\delta_{2n+x}(D_tX)_C = R(D_tX)_C$. For this case must be holded some of following conditons

$$2n + d_i \equiv (4n - d_j)(\text{mod}4n) \qquad (3.61)$$
$$2n + d_i \equiv (n - d_j)(\text{mod}4n) \qquad (3.62)$$
$$2n + d_i \equiv (2n - d_j)(\text{mod}4n) \qquad (3.63)$$
$$2n + d_i \equiv (3n - d_j)(\text{mod}4n). \qquad (3.64)$$

Then we have respectively

$$d_j \equiv (2n - d_i)(\text{mod}4n) \qquad (3.65)$$
$$d_j \equiv (3n - d_i)(\text{mod}4n) \qquad (3.66)$$
$$d_j \equiv 0(\text{mod}4n) \qquad (3.67)$$
$$d_j \equiv (n - d_i)(\text{mod}4n) \qquad (3.68)$$
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Hence the only possible cases for definition of $D_1X$ are

$$D_1X = C_{d_1}XC_{2n-d_1}X \cdots C_{d_1}XC_{2n-d_1}X \quad (3.69)$$
$$D_1X = C_{d_1}XC_{3n-d_1}X \cdots C_{d_1}XC_{3n-d_1}X \quad (3.70)$$
$$D_1X = C_{d_1}XC_{n-d_1}X \cdots C_{d_1}XC_{n-d_1}X \quad (3.71)$$

and $|D_1X| = 2pt$. In the special case $i = j$ we have $d_i = n$ for $n$ an odd number and for some $i$. But $nx \equiv n(\mod 4n)$ and the cyclotomic coset $C_n$ has order 1 and not $p$ as we wish. Then we always have $|D_1X| = 2pt$.

4. $\delta_{2n+x}(E_uX)_C = R(E_uX)_C$. For this case must be holded some of following conditions

$$2n + e_i \equiv (4n - e_j)(\mod 4n) \quad (3.72)$$
$$2n + e_i \equiv (2n - e_j) \quad (3.73)$$
$$2n + e_i \equiv (n - e_j) \quad (3.74)$$
$$2n + e_i \equiv (3n - e_j) \quad (3.75)$$

Then we have respectively

$$e_j \equiv (2n - e_i)(\mod 4n) \quad (3.76)$$
$$e_j \equiv 0(\mod 4n) \quad (3.77)$$
$$e_j \equiv (3n - e_i)(\mod 4n) \quad (3.78)$$
$$e_j \equiv (n - e_i) \quad (3.79)$$

Hence the only possible cases for definition of $E_uX$ are

$$E_uX = C_{e_1}XC_{e_1+2n}XC_{2n-e_1}XC_{4n-e_1}X \cdots C_{e_s}XC_{e_s+2n}XC_{2n-e_s}XC_{4n-e_s}X \quad (3.80)$$

or $E_uX$ contains the subwords $C_{e_1}XC_{e_1+2n}XC_{3n-e_1}XC_{n-e_1}X$. In any case $|E_uX| = 4pu$. In the special case $i = j$ we have $e_i = n$ for $n$ an odd number and some $i$. For the same reasons given above we always have $|E_uX| = 4pu$.

Therefore if the subwords $A_rX, B_sX, D_tX$ and $E_uX$ have length an even number, then $Y_C$ is no Hadamard. Then we must research the case when $Y$ contain $A_rX$ with $|A_rX| = 2r + 1$. The words containing $A_rX$ are

$$A_rXB_sX, A_rXD_tX, A_rXE_uX, A_rXB_sXD_tX,$$
$$A_rXB_sXE_uX, A_rXD_tXE_uX, A_rXB_sXD_tXE_uX. \quad (3.81)$$

The relation $\delta_{2n+x}Y_C = RY_C$ implies $\delta_{2n+1}Y_C = RY_C$. Thus if we want $\delta_{2n+1}(A_rX)_C = R(A_rX)_C$, $\delta_{2n+1}(B_sX)_C = R(B_sX)_C$, $\delta_{2n+1}(D_tX)_C = R(D_tX)_C$ and $\delta_{2n+1}(E_uX)_C = R(E_uX)_C$ with the structure of the subwords already constructed, then the $a_i, b_i, d_i$ and $e_i$ can not be even number since $2k(2n + 1) \equiv 2k(\mod 4n)$. If $Y$ is some word in $\mathbb{H}_{4nC(x)}$, then $|Y| = 2m^2 + m$. But the latter is not possible because there are exactly $2m^2$ odd number in $\mathbb{Z}_{4m^2}$. Hence $Y_C$ is no Hadamard. Hence there is no circulant Hadamard matrices in $\mathbb{H}_{4nC(x)}$. \qed
This case implies that case δ either Take y

Equally is obtained that A

A µ case δ theorem it is shown that the length of previous subwords is an even number. If some subwords have odd length, then the decimation us that all word

I

Therefore p^h_i^{-1}(p_i - 1) = 2 for all i. Hence for p_i > 2 there is no solution. Now let n = 2^{m-2}. Then by the Sun Ze Theorem μ(2^m) = 4 and as φ(2^m) = 2^{m-1}, then m = 3 and the solution corresponds to 4n = 8. Now let n = 2^{m-2} \prod_{i=1}^{d} p_i^{h_i}, m \geq 3. Then μ(4n) = 2^{g+2} and φ(4n) = 2^{m-1} \prod_{i=1}^{d} p_i^{h_i-1}(p_i - 1). So \prod_{i=1}^{d} p_i^{h_i-1}(p_i - 1) = 2^g - m + 3. Thus h_i = 1 and p_i = 2^{k_i} + 1 for all i, hence 2\sum_{i=1}^{d} k_i = 2^{g-m+3}. As must be m \geq 3, then g - \sum_{i=1}^{d} k_i + 3 \geq 3. It holds that \sum_{i=1}^{d} k_i \geq 1. Therefore the only solution is g = 1, k_i = 1 and m = 3 and corresponds to 4n = 24. By exhaustive search in \mathbb{I}_8(3), \mathbb{I}_8(5), \mathbb{I}_8(7), \mathbb{I}_{24}(5), \mathbb{I}_{24}(7), \mathbb{I}_{24}(11), \mathbb{I}_{24}(13), \mathbb{I}_{24}(17), \mathbb{I}_{24}(19) and \mathbb{I}_{24}(23), there is no circulant Hadamard matrices in Z^2_8 and Z^2_24.

CASE μ(4n) < φ(4n).

Take y = 2n + 1 in Z^*_n. Let z = 2n + x. If Y_C in \mathbb{I}_{4nC}(x) is Hadamard, then either δ_zY_C = Y_C or δ_zY_C = RY_C.

case δ_zY_C = Y_C.

This case implies that δ_{2n-x}Y_C = RY_C. From Lemma 3 Y has the subwords A_rX, B_sX, D_tX, E_uX and F_vX. By using a similar argument to previous theorem it is shown that the length of previous subwords is an even number.

CASE δ_zY_C = RY_C.

Equally is obtained that A_rX, B_sX, D_tX, E_uX and F_vX have length an even number. If some subwords have odd length, then the decimation δ_{2n+1} guarantees us that all word Y is formed by subwords C^gX with g an odd number and again Y_C is no Hadamard. Hence there is no circulant Hadamard matrices in \mathbb{I}_{4nC}(x) and x ≠ 2n + 1.

\textbf{Theorem 7.} There is no circulant Hadamard matrices in \mathbb{I}_{4nC}(2n + 1).

\textbf{Proof.} The proof is done with δ_{2n+x} and x^p ≡ 1 \text{ mod } 4n. From Proposition 1 we consider the subwords

\begin{align*}
A_rX &= C^{2a_1}X C^{2a_2}X \cdots C^{2a_r}X, \\
B_sX &= C^{2b_1}X C^{2b_2}X + 2nX \cdots C^{2b_s}X C^{2b_s+2n}X, \\
F_vX &= C^{2f_1+1}X C^{2f_1+1+2n}X \cdots C^{2f_v+1}X C^{2f_v+1+2n}X
\end{align*}
From Theorem 5 there is no circulant Hadamard matrices $Y_C$ with $\delta_{2n+r}Y_C = Y_C$. So we only have the case $\delta_{2n+x}Y_C = RY_C$. This implies that $\delta_xY_C = RY_C$. Thus we have

1. $\delta_x(A_rX)_C = R(A_rX)_C$. Then

$$\delta_xA_rX = C^{2a_1x^{-1}_1}XC^{2a_2x^{-1}_1}X \ldots C^{2a_rx^{-1}_1}X$$

and

$$\delta_xA_rX = \begin{cases} C^{4n-2a_1}XC^{-4n-2a_2}X \ldots C^{4n-2a_r}X \\ C^{a_2n_1-2a_1}XC^{a_2n_2-2a_2}X \ldots C^{a_2n_r-2a_r}X \\ C^{a_3n_1+2a_1}XC^{a_3n_2-2a_2}X \ldots C^{a_3n_r-2a_r}X \\ C^{a_4n_1-2a_1}XC^{a_4n_2-2a_2}X \ldots C^{a_4n_r-2a_r}X \end{cases} \quad (3.84)$$

Suppose that the $2a_i$ are not fixed by $x$. Thus if the first condition is fulfilled, then

$$2a_i \equiv (4n - 2a_jx)(\text{mod}4n) \quad (3.85)$$

and $A_rX$ contains subwords of the form $C_{2a_i}XC_{4n-2a_i}X$ where

$$C_{2a_i} = \{2a_i, 2a_i x, \ldots, 2a_i x^{p-1}\}$$

and

$$C_{4n-2a_i} = \{4n - 2a_i, 4n - 2a_i x, \ldots, 4n - 2a_i x^{p-1}\}.$$  

But this last implies that $\delta_xA_rX = A_rX$. If the third condition is fulfilled, then

$$2a_i \equiv (2n - 2a_jx)(\text{mod}4n) \quad (3.86)$$

and $A_rX$ contains subwords of the form $C_{2a_i}XC_{2n-2a_i}X$ where

$$C_{2n-2a_i} = \{2n - 2a_i, 2n - 2a_i x, \ldots, 2n - 2a_i x^{p-1}\}.$$  

And again $\delta_xA_rX = A_rX$. The second and fourth cases are not fulfilled if $n$ is an odd number.

On the other hand, suppose that some $2a_i$ is fixed by $x$. Then $A_rX$ contains either the subwords $C^{2a_i}XC^{4n-2a_i}X$ or the subwords $C^{2a_i}XC^{2n-2a_i}X$. If all $2a_i$ in $A_rX$ is fixed by $x$, then $\delta_xA_rX = A_rX$. Conversely, if all no $2a_i$ is fixed by $x$, then $\delta_xA_rX = A_rX$ too. If in $2a_i \equiv (4n - 2a_jx)(\text{mod}4n)$ we have $i = j$, then $2a_i = 2n$. Thus if $A_rX$ contains $C^{2n}X$ and some of the subwords $C^{2a_i}XC^{4n-2a_i}X$, $C^{2a_i}XC^{2n-2a_i}X$, $C_{2a_i}XC_{4n-2a_i}X$, $C_{2a_i}XC_{2n-2a_i}X$, then $\delta_xA_rX = A_rX$. Therefore, the only $A_rX$ fulfilled $\delta_x(A_rX)_C = R(A_rX)_C$ is $A_rX = C^{2n}X$.

2. $\delta_x(B_sX)_C = R(B_sX)_C$. For this cases is proved that $B_sX$ contain the subwords

$$C_{2b}XC_{4n-2b}XC_{2n+2b}XC_{2n-2b}X, \quad C^{2b}XC^{4n-2b}XC^{2n+2b}XC^{2n-2b}X, \quad CX^{2n}X,$$

Hence $|B_sX|$ is an even number.
3. \( \delta_x(FvX)_C = R(FvX)_C \). Equally is proved that \( FvX \) contain the subwords
\[
C_{2f+1}X C_{4n-(2f+1)}X C_{2n+(2f+1)}X C_{2n-(2f+1)}X,
\]
\[
C^{2f+1}X C^{4n-(2f+1)}X C^{2n+(2f+1)}X C^{2n-(2f+1)}X.
\]
Hence \( |FvX| \) is an even number.

Therefore the only words that can be Hadamard are
\[
A_rXB_sX, A_rXF_vX, A_rXB_sXF_vX.
\]
As \( |A_rXB_sX| < 2m^2 + m \) and \( |A_rXF_vX| < 2m^2 + m \), then the first possibilities are ruled out. If \( Y = A_rXB_sXF_vX \), then
\[
|YC^{2m^2}Y| = |A_rXCO^{2m^2}A_rX|
= |XC^{2m^2}X|
= 2 < 2m^2
\]
for \( m > 1 \). Hence there is no circulant Hadamard matrices in \( I_{4n}(2n+1) \), \( n > 1 \).

Finally we will obtain the desired theorem

**Theorem 8.** There is no circulant Hadamard matrices in \( \mathbb{Z}^{4n}_2 \).

**Proof.** We can subdivide \( \mathbb{Z}^{4n}_2 \) in three subsets, namely, the subsets of symmetric sequences, the subset the sequences of order \( 8n \) and the subsets of sequences of order \( 8n+4 \). Already was shown that there are no circulant Hadamard matrices in the first two subsets. From Theorems 5, 6 and 7 there is no circulant Hadamard matrices in \( I_{(8n+4)c}(x) \) with \( x^p \equiv 1(\text{mod}(8n+4)) \), \( p \) a prime. The Theorem 1 guarantees us that a circulant Hadamard matrix must be searched in \( I_{4nc}(x) \) with \( x^p \equiv 1(\text{mod}4n) \), \( p \) a prime. Hence there is no circulant Hadamard matrices in \( \mathbb{Z}^{4n}_2 \), for \( n > 1 \). □

4 Barker conjecture

Take \( A = (a_0, a_1, ..., a_{n-1}) \) in \( \mathbb{Z}^n_2 \). Define the aperiodic autocorrelation at shift \( k \) of \( A \) to be
\[
C(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} a_k a_{k+i}
\]
(4.1)

If \( |C(k)| \leq 1 \) for \( k = 1, ..., n-1 \), then \( A \) is called a Barker sequence of length \( n \).
(For details see [2],[16]) The Barker conjecture asserts that

**Conjecture 2.** There are no Barker sequences of length \( n > 13 \).

It is known that the circulant Hadamard matrix conjecture implies the Barker conjecture. Then

**Theorem 9.** There are no Barker sequences of length \( n > 13 \).
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