ON TWO CONJECTURES INVOLVING QUADRATIC RESIDUES

FEDOR PETROV AND ZHI-WEI SUN

Abstract. Let \( p \) be an odd prime with \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \). In this paper we confirm two conjectures of Sun involving quadratic residues modulo \( p \). For example, we show that for any integer \( a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \) we have

\[
\left(-1\right)^{|\left\{ 1 \leq k < p/4: \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = -1 \right\|} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq (p-1)/2} \left( e^{2\pi i a j^2/p} + e^{2\pi i a k^2/p} \right) = \begin{cases} \\
1 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\
\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \varepsilon_p^{-(\frac{a}{p}) h(p)} & \text{if } p \equiv 5 \pmod{8},
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \left(\frac{\cdot}{p}\right) \) is the Legendre symbol, and \( \varepsilon_p \) and \( h(p) \) are the fundamental unit and the class number of the real quadratic field \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}) \).

1. Introduction

Let \( p \) be an odd prime and let \( \zeta = e^{2\pi i/p} \). Let \( a \in \mathbb{Z} \) with \( p \nmid a \). Recently, Z.-W. Sun [S19] showed that

\[
\left(-1\right)^{a \frac{p+1}{4} \frac{p-1}{2}} 2^{(p-1)(p-3)/8} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq (p-1)/2} \cos \pi \frac{a(k^2 - j^2)}{p} = \begin{cases} \\
1 & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\
\pm \varepsilon_p^{-(\frac{a}{p}) h(p)((\frac{a}{p})-1)/2} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4},
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \left(\frac{\cdot}{p}\right) \) is the Legendre symbol, and \( \varepsilon_p \) and \( h(p) \) and the fundamental unit and the class number of the real quadratic field \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}) \).

In this paper we establish the following result conjectured by Sun [S19, Conjecture 6.7].
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Theorem 1.1. Let $p$ be a prime with $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and let $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/p}$.

Let $a$ be an integer not divisible by $p$.

(i) If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, then
\[
\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq (p-1)/2} (\zeta^{aj^2} + \zeta^{ak^2}) = (-1)^{|\{1 \leq k < p/4 : (k/p) = -1\}|}.
\] (1.2)

(ii) When $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$, we have
\[
(-1)^{|\{1 \leq k < p/4 : (k/p) = -1\}|} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq (p-1)/2} (\zeta^{aj^2} + \zeta^{ak^2}) = \left(\frac{a}{p}\right)^{-\frac{p-1}{2}\varepsilon_p^{-h(p)}} h(-4p). \] (1.3)

Remark 1.1. Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ be a prime. By Dirichlet’s class number formula, we have
\[
\frac{p-1}{2} - 4 \left\lvert \left\{ 1 \leq k < \frac{p}{4} : \left(\frac{k}{p}\right) = -1 \right\} \right\rvert = h(-4p),
\] where $h(d)$ with $d \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$ denotes the class number of the quadratic field with discriminant $d$. In 1982 K. S. Williams and J. D. Currie [WC, (1.4)] showed that
\[
2^{(p-1)/4} \equiv (-1)^{|\{1 \leq k < \frac{p}{4} : (k/p) = -1\}|} \times \begin{cases} 
1 \pmod{p} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\
\frac{p-1}{2}! \pmod{p} & \text{if } p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}.
\end{cases}
\] (1.4)

Any prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ can be written as $p = x^2 + 4y^2$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, Dirichlet proved that 2 is a quartic residue modulo $p$ if and only if $4 \mid y$ (cf. Exer. 28 of [IR, p. 64]). Combining this with (1.4) and Theorem 1.1(i), we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1.1. Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ be a prime and write $p = x^2 + (4y)^2$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. For any integer $a$ not divisible by $p$, we have
\[
\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq (p-1)/2} \left( e^{2\pi iaj^2/p} + e^{2\pi iak^2/p} \right) = (-1)^y. \] (1.5)

Let $p$ be an odd prime. For each $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ we let $\{a\}_p$ denote the least nonnegative residue of $a$ modulo $p$. Define
\[
s(p) := \left\lvert \left\{ (j, k) : 1 \leq j < k \leq \frac{p-1}{2} \& \{j^2\}_p > \{k^2\}_p \right\} \right\rvert
\] and
\[
t(p) := \left\lvert \left\{ (j, k) : 1 \leq j < k \leq \frac{p-1}{2} \& \{k^2 - j^2\}_p > \frac{p}{2} \right\} \right\rvert
\]
as in [S19]. Sun [S19, Theorem 1.4(i)] showed that
\[
(-1)^{s(p)} = (-1)^{t(p)} = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, \\
(-1)^{(h(p)+1)/2} & \text{if } p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}.
\end{cases}
\]

He also conjectured [S19, Conjecture 6.1] that if \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \) then
\[
s(p) + t(p) \equiv \left| \left\{ 1 \leq k < \frac{p}{4} : \left( \frac{k}{p} \right) = 1 \right\} \right| \pmod{2}.
\] (1.6)

Our next theorem in the case \( a = 1 \) confirms this conjecture.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let \( p \) be a prime with \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \), and let \( a \in \mathbb{Z} \) with \( p \nmid a \). Then
\[
\left| \left\{ (j, k) : 1 \leq j < k \leq \frac{p-1}{2} \land \{a j^2\}_p > \{a k^2\}_p \right\} \right|
+ \left| \left\{ (j, k) : 1 \leq j < k \leq \frac{p-1}{2} \land \{a k^2 - a j^2\}_p > \frac{p}{2} \right\} \right|
\equiv \left| \left\{ 1 \leq k < \frac{p}{4} : \left( \frac{k}{p} \right) = \left( \frac{a}{p} \right) \right\} \right| \pmod{2}.
\] (1.7)

We will show Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 in Sections 2 and 3 respectively.

2. **Proof of Theorem 1.1**

in 2006 H. Pan [P06] obtained the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** (H. Pan [P06]) Let \( n > 1 \) be an odd integer and let \( c \) be any integer relatively prime to \( n \). For each \( j = 1, \ldots, (n-1)/2 \) let \( \pi_c(j) \) be the unique \( r \in \{1, \ldots, (n-1)/2\} \) with \( cj \) congruent to \( r \) or \(-r\) modulo \( n \). For the permutation \( \pi_c \) on \( \{1, \ldots, (n-1)/2\} \), its sign is given by
\[
\text{sign}(\pi_c) = \left( \frac{c}{n} \right)^{(n+1)/2},
\]

where \( \left( \frac{c}{n} \right) \) is the Jacobi symbol.

**Proof of the First Part of Theorem 1.1.** As \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \), there is an integer \( c \) with \( c^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{p} \). For \( j = 1, \ldots, (p-1)/2 \) let \( \pi_c(j) \) be the unique \( r \in \{1, \ldots, (p-1)/2\} \) with \( cj \) congruent to \( r \) or \(-r\) modulo \( p \). Then \( \pi_c \) is a permutation on \( \{1, \ldots, (p-1)/2\} \), and
\[
\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq (p-1)/2} (\zeta^{c j^2} + \zeta^{c k^2}) = \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq (p-1)/2} \frac{\zeta^{2a j^2} - \zeta^{2a k^2}}{\zeta^{a j^2} - \zeta^{a k^2}}
\]
\[
\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq \frac{p-1}{2}} \frac{\zeta^{acj^2} - \zeta^{ack^2}}{\zeta^{aj^2} - \zeta^{ak^2}} = \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq \frac{p-1}{2}} \frac{\zeta^{a\pi_c(j)^2} - \zeta^{a\pi_c(k)^2}}{\zeta^{aj^2} - \zeta^{ak^2}}
\]
\[
= (-1)^{|\{(j, k): 1 \leq j < k \leq \frac{p-1}{2} \& \pi_c(j) > \pi_c(k)\}|} = \text{sign}(\pi_c) = \left( \frac{c}{p} \right)
\]
with the help of Lemma 2.1. In view of (1.4), we have
\[
\left( \frac{c}{p} \right) \equiv c^{(p-1)/2} = (c^2)^{(p-1)/4} \equiv 2^{(p-1)/4} \equiv (-1)^{|\{0 < k < \frac{p}{4}: (\frac{k}{p}) = -1\}|} \pmod{p}.
\]
Therefore (1.2) holds in the case \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \).

\[\square\]

Remark 2.1. Our method to prove part (i) of Theorem 1.1 does not work for part (ii) of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of the Second Part of Theorem 1.1. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1. \( (\frac{a}{p}) = 1 \).

In this case,
\[
\left\{ a_j^2 \right\}_p \colon 1 \leq j \leq \frac{p-1}{2} = \left\{ k^2 \right\}_p \colon 1 \leq k \leq \frac{p-1}{2}
\]
and thus
\[
\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq \frac{p-1}{2}} (\zeta^{aj^2} + \zeta^{ak^2}) = \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq \frac{p-1}{2}} (\zeta^{j^2} + \zeta^{k^2}).
\]
So it suffices to show 1.3 for \( a = 1 \). In view of (1.1) with \( a = 1 \), we only need to prove that
\[
(-1)^{|\{0 < k < \frac{p}{4}: (\frac{k}{p}) = -1\}|} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq \frac{p-1}{2}} (\zeta^{j^2} + \zeta^{k^2}) > 0. \tag{2.1}
\]

As \( (\frac{1}{p}) = 1 \), for each \( 1 \leq j \leq \frac{p-1}{2} \) there is a unique integer \( j^* \in \{1, \ldots, (p-1)/2\} \) such that \( p - j^2 \equiv j^2 \pmod{p} \). As \( (\frac{2}{p}) = -1 \), we have \( j \neq j^* \). For any distinct \( j, k \in \{1, \ldots, (p-1)/2\} \), we have \( \zeta^j + \zeta^k \neq 0 \) (since \( \zeta^{2j^2} \neq \zeta^{2k^2} \)) and
\[
(\zeta^{j^2} + \zeta^{k^2})(\zeta^{j^2} + \zeta^{k^2}) = (\zeta^j + \zeta^k)(\zeta^{-j} + \zeta^{-k}) = |\zeta^j + \zeta^k|^2 > 0;
\]
also,
\[\{j, k\} = \{j^*, k^*\} \iff j^* = k \text{ and } k^* = j \iff j^* = k.\]

For \( 1 \leq j \leq \frac{p-1}{2} \), clearly
\[
\zeta^{j^2} + \zeta^{j^2} = \zeta^{j^2} + \zeta^{-j^2} = 2 \cos 2\pi \frac{j^2}{p} = 2 \cos 2\pi \frac{j^2}{p}
\]
and hence
\[ \zeta_j^2 + \zeta_k^2 > 0 \iff \cos 2\pi \frac{j^2}{p} > 0 \iff \{j^2\}_p < \frac{p}{4} \text{ or } \{j^2\}_p < \frac{p}{4}. \]

Thus the sign of the product
\[ \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq (p-1)/2} (\zeta_j^2 + \zeta_k^2) \]
is
\[ (-1)^{(p-1)/4-|\{1 \leq k < \frac{p}{4}: (\frac{k}{p}) = 1\}|} = (-1)^{|\{1 \leq k < \frac{p}{4}: (\frac{k}{p}) = -1\}|}. \]

This proves (2.1).

Case 2. \((\frac{a}{p}) = -1.\)

By the discussion in Case 1, we have
\[ (-1)^{|\{1 \leq k < \frac{p}{4}: (\frac{k}{p}) = -1\}|} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq (p-1)/2} (\zeta_j^2 + \zeta_k^2) = \varepsilon_p^{-h(p)}. \tag{2.2} \]

Let \(\varphi_a\) be the element of the Galois group \(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)/\mathbb{Q})\) with \(\varphi_a(\zeta) = \zeta^a.\) Then
\[ \varphi_a(\sqrt{p}) = \varphi_a\left(\sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \zeta^{x^2}\right) = \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \zeta^{ax^2} = \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \sqrt{p} = -\sqrt{p} \]
by the evaluation of quadratic Gauss sums. Hence
\[ \varphi_a(\varepsilon_p^{-h(p)}) = \left(\frac{N(\varepsilon_p)}{\varepsilon_p}\right)^{-h(p)} = -\varepsilon_p^{h(p)} \]
where \(N(\varepsilon_p)\) is the norm of \(\varepsilon_p\) with respect to the field extension \(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q},\) and we have used the known results \(N(\varepsilon_p) = -1\) and \(2 \not| h(p)\) (cf. [Co, p. 185 and p. 187]). Thus, by applying the automorphism \(\varphi_a\) to the identity (2.2), we get
\[ (-1)^{|\{1 \leq k < \frac{p}{4}: (\frac{k}{p}) = -1\}|} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq (p-1)/2} (\zeta_j^a + \zeta_k^a) \]
\[ = \varphi_a(\varepsilon_p^{-h(p)}) = -\varepsilon_p^{h(p)} = \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \varepsilon_p^{-\frac{a}{4}h(p)}. \]

In view of the above, we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.1(ii). \(\square\)
Lemma 3.1. Let $p$ be an odd prime, and let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $p \nmid a$. Then

$$\left| \left\{ (j, k) : 1 \leq j < k \leq \frac{p-1}{2} \land \{a_j^2\}_p > \{ak^2\}_p \right\} \right|$$

$$+ \left| \left\{ (j, k) : 1 \leq j < k \leq \frac{p-1}{2} \land \{ak^2 - a_j^2\}_p > \frac{p}{2} \right\} \right|$$

$$\equiv \left| \left\{ (j, k) : 1 \leq j < k \leq \frac{p-1}{2} \land |\{a_j^2\}_p - \{ak^2\}_p| > \frac{p}{2} \right\} \right| \pmod{2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.1)

Proof. For $j, k \in \{1, \ldots, (p-1)/2\}$ with $\{a_j^2\}_p > \{ak^2\}_p$, clearly

$$\{ak^2 - a_j^2\}_p = \{ak^2\}_p - \{a_j^2\}_p + p > \frac{p}{2} \iff |\{ak^2\}_p - \{a_j^2\}_p| < \frac{p}{2}.$$  

If $1 \leq j < k \leq (p-1)/2$ and $\{ak^2\}_p > \{a_j^2\}_p$, then

$$\{ak^2 - a_j^2\}_p > \frac{p}{2} \iff \{ak^2\}_p - \{a_j^2\}_p \neq \frac{p}{2}$$

$$\iff |\{ak^2\}_p - \{a_j^2\}_p| \neq \frac{p}{2}$$

$$\iff |\{ak^2\}_p - \{a_j^2\}_p| > \frac{p}{2}.$$  

So (3.1) holds. \hfill \Box

Proof of Theorem 1.2. In view of Lemma 3.1, it suffices to show that

$$\left| \left\{ (j, k) : 1 \leq j < k \leq \frac{p-1}{2} \land |\{a_j^2\}_p - \{ak^2\}_p| > \frac{p}{2} \right\} \right|$$

$$\equiv \left| \left\{ 1 \leq k < \frac{p}{4} : \left( \frac{ak}{p} \right) = 1 \right\} \right| \pmod{2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

As $\left( \frac{-1}{p} \right) = 1$, for each $1 \leq j \leq (p-1)/2$ there is a unique integer $j_* \in \{1, \ldots, (p-1)/2\}$ such that $-j^2 \equiv j_*^2 \pmod{p}$ and hence $-a_j^2 \equiv \{ak^2\}_p \pmod{p}$. Clearly, $|\{1 \leq j \leq (p-1)/2 : j = j_*\}| \leq 1$. Note that

$$|\{a_j^2\}_p - \{ak^2\}_p| = |(p - \{a_j^2\}_p) - (p - \{ak^2\}_p)| = |\{a_j^2\}_p - \{ak^2\}_p|.$$  

If $j$ and $k$ are distinct elements of $1, \ldots, (p-1)/2$, then $\{j, k\} = \{j_*, k_*\}$ if and only if $j_* = k$ and $k_* = j$. Thus

$$\left| \left\{ (j, k) : 1 \leq j < k \leq \frac{p-1}{2} \land |\{a_j^2\}_p - \{ak^2\}_p| > \frac{p}{2} \right\} \right|$$

$$\equiv \frac{1}{2} \left| \left\{ 1 \leq j \leq \frac{p-1}{2} : |\{a_j^2\}_p - \{a_j_*^2\}_p| = |2\{a_j^2\}_p - p| > \frac{p}{2} \right\} \right|.$$
\[ \frac{1}{2} \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} p - 1 : \{aj^2\}_p < \frac{p}{4} \text{ or } \{aj^2\}_p > \frac{3}{4}p \right\rfloor \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} p - 1 : \{aj^2\}_p < \frac{p}{4} \right\rfloor \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} p - 1 : \{aj^2\}_p < \frac{p}{4} \right\rfloor \]
\[ = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{p}{4} \right) : \left( \frac{ak}{p} \right) = 1 \right\rfloor \pmod{2}. \]
This proves the desired (3.2).
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