APPROXIMATION OF THE SECOND EIGENVALUE OF THE $p$-LAPLACE OPERATOR IN SYMMETRIC DOMAINS
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Abstract. A new idea to approximate the second eigenfunction and second eigenvalue of $p$-Laplace operator, under special symmetry assumption on the domain is presented. Our algorithm generates a descending sequence of positive numbers that converges to second eigenvalue. We give various examples and computational tests.
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1. Introduction

The $p$-Laplace operator is a homogeneous nonlinear operator which arises frequently in various applications to physics, mechanics, and image processing. Derivation of the $p$-Laplace operator from a nonlinear Darcy law and the continuity equation has been described in [5]. The eigenvalues and the corresponding eigenfunctions of the $p$-Laplace operator have been much discussed in the literature, due to mathematical challenges, open questions cf. [3, 13, 21, 22], and regarding related applications in image processing we refer to [14,16]. In particular, the second eigenvalue and eigenfunction have been studied extensively, see [1,11,24].

For dimension higher than one, except for the case $p = 2$, the structure of higher eigenfunctions are not well understood. For the one-dimensional case see [12,15,23]. In this work, we are interested in numerical approximation of the second eigenvalue $\lambda_2$ and the corresponding eigenfunction of the $p$-Laplace operator. We treat the second eigenvalue as an optimal bi-partition of the first eigenvalue. There are various problems in mathematical physics and probability theory related to optimal partitioning of the first eigenvalue, see [4,6,7]. In [17] investigated Constrained Descent Method and the Constrained Mountain Pass Algorithm to approximate the two smallest eigenvalue for $1 \leq p \leq 10$.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we review mathematical background and characterization of the second eigenvalue. Next in Section 3, we present our numerical approximation along the proof of convergence. In Section 4, as an application of our algorithm, we study the spectral clustering. Last section deals with the numerical implementation.
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2. Mathematical Background

In this section, we briefly review some known results about the first and second eigenfunction of \( p \)-Laplace operator with zero Dirichlet boundary condition in bounded domain.

For \( 1 \leq p < \infty \) the first eigenvalue of the \( p \)-Laplace operator in \( W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \), denoted by \( \lambda_{1,p}(\Omega) \) is given by

\[
\lambda_{1,p}(\Omega) := \min_{u \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega), u \neq 0} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^p dx}{\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^p dx}.
\]

For every \( 1 < p < \infty \), the first eigenvalue is simple and isolated and the first eigenfunction doesn’t change the sign. The corresponding minimizer satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation

\[
\begin{cases}
-\Delta_p u = \lambda |u|^{p-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\
u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\end{cases}
\]

Here \( \Delta_p u = \text{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) \) which for \( p = 2 \), we have Laplace operator.

**Definition 2.1.** A non zero function \( u \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \cap C(\Omega) \), is called a \( p \)-eigenfunction in the weak sense if there exist a \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \) such that

\[
\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p - 2 \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p-2} u \phi dx, \quad \forall \phi \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega).
\]

The associated number \( \lambda \) is called a \( p \)-eigenvalue. It is not, however, known whether every such quantity is a “variational eigenvalue” like for the case \( p = 2 \). In [1] Anane and Tsouli gave a characterization of the variational eigenvalues of Problem (2.2). Higher eigenvalues can be obtained through the following minimax principle. To do this, first define Krasnoselskii genus of a set \( A \subseteq W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \) by

\[
\gamma(A) = \min \{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \text{there exist } f : A \to \mathbb{R}^k \setminus 0, f \text{ continuous and odd} \}.
\]

For \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) define

\[
\Gamma_k := \{ A \subseteq W^{1,p}_0(\Omega), \text{symmetric, compact and } \gamma(A) \geq k \}.
\]

Then the eigenvalues of the \( p \)-Laplace are

\[
\lambda_{k,p}(\Omega) = \min \sup_{A \in \Gamma_k} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^p dx}{\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^p dx},
\]

which satisfying

\[
0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_k \to \infty,
\]
as \( k \) tends to infinity, see [1, 19, 21, 22].

It is shown by Anane and Tsouli that \( \lambda_2 \) defined by (2.4) is essentially the second eigenvalue of the Dirichlet \( p \)-Laplace, means that the eigenvalue problem (2.2) has no other eigenvalue between \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \).

In the one dimensional case, \( \Omega = (a,b) \subset \mathbb{R} \), it is known that all eigenvalues are simple and the eigenfunction corresponding to \( \lambda_n \) has exactly \( n + 1 \) zeros, counting the ending boundary points \( a,b \). The eigenvalues can be computed explicitly by variational formula and the corresponding eigenfunctions are obtained in terms of the Gaussian hypergeometric function, see [15, 23]).
There are other characterization of second eigenvalue. Note that \( \lambda_1 \) is isolated in the spectrum, this allows to define the second eigenvalue as
\[
\lambda_2 = \inf \{ \lambda : \text{is eigenvalue and } \lambda > \lambda_1 \}.
\]
Here, we consider another characterization of the second eigenvalue which we use for our numerical simulation.

**Definition 2.2.** Given a bounded open set \( \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \), a class bi-partition of \( \Omega \) (or decomposition) is a family of pairwise disjoint, open and connected subsets \( \{ \Omega_1, \Omega_2 \} \) such that
\[
\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subseteq \Omega, \, \Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2 = \emptyset, \, \Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2.
\]
By \( D_2 \) we mean the set of all bi-partition of \( \Omega \).

For any arbitrary partition \( D = (\Omega_1, \Omega_2) \in D_2 \), we define
\[
\Lambda_2(D) = \max (\lambda_1(\Omega_1), \lambda_1(\Omega_2)).
\]
Also let \( \mathcal{L}_2(\Omega) \) denote the infimum over all the bi-partition of \( \Lambda_2(D) \), i.e.,
\[
(2.5) \quad \mathcal{L}_2(\Omega) = \inf_{D \in D_2} \Lambda_2(D).
\]
An optimal bi-partition is a partition which realizes the infimum in (2.5).

The following Lemma in [11] shows existence for minimal two partitions and implies that
\[
\lambda_2(\Omega) = \mathcal{L}_2(\Omega).
\]

**Lemma 2.1.** There exists \( u \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \) such that \( (\{u^+ > 0\}, \{u^- > 0\}) \) achieves infimum in (2.5). Furthermore,
\[
\lambda_1(\{u^+ > 0\}) = \lambda_1(\{u^- > 0\}).
\]

It is also known that any eigenfunction associated to an eigenvalue different from \( \lambda_1 \) changes sign. The following properties for second eigenvalue hold:
- If \( \Omega_1 \subseteq \Omega_2 \subseteq \Omega \), then
  \[
  \lambda_2(p, \Omega_2) \leq \lambda_2(p, \Omega_1).
  \]
- Let \( \Omega \) be a bounded domain in \( \mathbb{R}^d \), then the eigenfunction associated to \( \lambda_2(p, \Omega) \) admits exactly two nodal domains.
- The Courant theorem implies that in the linear case \( p = 2 \), the number of nodal domains of an eigenfunction associated to \( \lambda_2 \) is exactly 2.
- In [2, 3] is shown that the second eigenfunctions are not radial in ball.

The limiting cases \( p \to 1 \) and \( p \to \infty \) are more complicated and requires tools from non smooth critical point theory and the concept viscosity solutions. Note for the limiting case \( p \) tends to one, there are several ways to define the second eigenfunction of the 1-Laplace operator which it does not satisfy many of the properties of the second eigenfunction of the p-Laplace operator.
operator in general [24]. As \( p \) tends to one, in some cases the second eigenfunction takes the form
\[
u_2 = c_1 \chi_{C_1} - c_2 \chi_{C_2}.
\]
Here \( \chi_A \) is the characteristic function of given set \( A \), the pair \((C_1, C_2)\) is a so called Cheeger-2-cluster of \( \Omega \), while in other cases functions of that type can’t be eigenfunctions at all.

In the limiting case as \( p \) tends to infinity, the second eigenvalue has a geometric characterization. Let denote the second eigenvalue of infinity - Laplace by \( \Lambda_2 \). According to [20] we have
\[
(2.6) \quad \Lambda_2 = \frac{1}{r_2},
\]
where
\[
r_2 = \sup \{r \in \mathbb{R}^+ : \text{there are disjoint balls } B_1, B_2 \subset \Omega \text{ with radius } r \}.
\]
More precisely, this result can be stated in the following lemma from [20].

**Lemma 2.2.** Let \( \lambda_2(p) \) be the second \( p \)-eigenvalue in \( \Omega \). Then it holds that
\[
\Lambda_2 = \lim_{p \to \infty} \lambda_2(p) \frac{1}{p}.
\]
Then \( \Lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R} \) is the second eigenvalue of the infinity Laplace.

Also it is shown in [20] that the second eigenfunction of the infinity Laplace operator can be obtained as a viscosity solution of the following equation
\[
(2.7) \quad F_{\Lambda}(x, u, \nabla u, D^2 u) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega,
\]
for which \( F_{\Lambda} \) is given by
\[
(2.8) \quad F_{\Lambda}(x, u, \nabla u, D^2 u) = \begin{cases}
\min \{ |\nabla u| - \Lambda u, -\Delta_{\infty} u \} & u(x) > 0, \\
-\Delta_{\infty} u & u(x) = 0, \\
\max \{ -|\nabla u| - \Lambda u, -\Delta_{\infty} u \} & u(x) < 0.
\end{cases}
\]
Here \( \Lambda = \Lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R} \) denotes the second eigenvalue of the infinity Laplace given by (2.6).

### 3. An iterative scheme

In this section we discuss our Algorithm to approximate the second eigenvalue \( \lambda_2 \) and corresponding eigenfunction denoted by \( w_2 \). For Dirichlet boundary condition our main assumption is that domain \( \Omega \) has the following symmetric property
\[
\|w_2^+\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = \|w_2^-\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.
\]
In [10] the authors studied gradient flows of \( p \)-homogeneous functionals on a Hilbert space and proved that after suitable rescaling the flow always converges to a nonlinear eigenfunction of the associated subdifferential operator. They also gave conditions for convergence to the first eigenfunction.

The inverse power method is known to be an efficient method to approximate the first eigenvalue of given operator see [8, 9, 18]. Our aim here is to extend this method combining with Lemma 2.1 to approximate the second eigenvalue under symmetry assumption on domain.
We know that the second eigenfunction changes its sign on the domain, i.e., the second eigenfunction can be written as $u = u_+ - u_-$, where
\[ u_+ = \max(u, 0), \quad u_- = \max(-u, 0). \]
Obviously $u_+, u_- \geq 0$, $u_+ \cdot u_- = 0$ in $\Omega$.

Also the support of positive and negative part of $u$ are
\[ \Omega_+ = \{x \in \Omega : u(x) > 0\}, \quad \Omega_- = \{x \in \Omega : u(x) < 0\}. \]

The supports of $u_+$ and $u_-$ are called nodal domains. By Lemma 2.1, $\lambda_2(\Omega)$ is equal to $L_2(\Omega)$ and the nodal domains of the second eigenfunction are optimal partitions, i.e.,
\[ \lambda_2(\Omega) = L_2(\Omega) = \inf_{(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) \in \mathcal{D}_2} \max(\lambda_1(\Omega_+), \lambda_1(\Omega_-)). \]

The restriction of the second eigenfunction on each nodal domain is the first eigenfunction, i.e.,
\[ \lambda_2(\Omega) = \lambda_1(\Omega_+) = \lambda_1(\Omega_-). \]

The second eigenvalue problem can be written as
\[
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta_p (u_+ - u_-) &= \lambda_1(\Omega_+) u_+^{p-1} - \lambda_1(\Omega_-) u_-^{p-1} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
u_+ = u_- &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\end{aligned}
\]  

The main idea is as follows:
1. Choose arbitrary initial bi-partition $\Omega_0^+ \text{ and } \Omega_0^-$. 
2. Given $\Omega_k^+$ and $\Omega_k^-$, $k = 0, 1, \ldots$, obtain the first eigenvalues denoted by $\lambda_1^k(\Omega_+)$, $\lambda_1^k(\Omega_-)$ and first eigenfunctions $(u_0^+, u_0^-)$ normalized in $L_p$ related to $(\Omega_0^+, \Omega_0^-)$.
3. Solve the following boundary value problem
\[
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta_p u &= \lambda_1^k(\Omega_+) (u_0^+)^{p-1} - \lambda_1(\Omega_-) (u_0^-)^{p-1} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\end{aligned}
\]
4. Update $(\Omega_+, \Omega_-)$ as supports of positive part and negative part of the solution $u$.
5. Go to step (2).

Note that in step 2, given $\Omega_k^+$ and $\Omega_k^-$, one needs to calculate $\lambda_1(\Omega_k^+)$ and $\lambda_1(\Omega_k^-)$ and corresponding first eigenvalues for each sub-domain. To do this, we implement the inverse power method along our Algorithm.

Given any approximations $u_k^+$ and $u_k^-$ normalized in $L_p$ (for $k = 0, 1, 2 \cdots$ with disjoint supports, then obtain values $\lambda_k^+$ and $\lambda_k^-$ by
\[
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_k^+ &= \lambda_k^+(\Omega_k^+) = \int_{\Omega_k^+} |\nabla u_k^+(x)|^p \, dx, \\
\lambda_k^- &= \lambda_k^-(\Omega_k^-) = \int_{\Omega_k^-} |\nabla u_k^-(x)|^p \, dx.
\end{aligned}
\]  

The steps in algorithm to approximate the second eigenvalue and the second eigenfunction are as follows:

Algorithm 1
(1) Initialization: Set \( k = 0 \), choose initial arbitrary guesses \( u_0^+ > 0 \) and \( u_0^- > 0 \) having disjoint supports and vanishing on the boundary with \( \Omega_+ \) and \( \Omega_- \) as the supports of functions \( u_0^+ \) and \( u_0^- \) respectively. We scale \( u_0^\pm \) in \( L^p(\Omega) \).

(2) Given \( u^k = u^+_k - u^-_k \) where \( u^+_k \) and \( u^-_k \) are normalized in \( L^p \), with disjoint supports, then obtain \( \lambda^+_k \) and \( \lambda^-_k \) by (3.2).

(3) Solve

\[
\begin{cases}
-\Delta_p u = |u|^p - 2 \left( \lambda^+_k u^+_k - \lambda^-_k u^-_k \right) & \text{in } \Omega, \\
u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\end{cases}
\]

(4) Set \( u^{k+1}_+ \) and \( u^{k+1}_- \) as positive and negative part of the solution of (3.3). Normalized \( u^{k+1}_\pm \) in \( L^p \).

(5) Calculate \( \lambda^{k+1}_1(\Omega_1), \lambda^{k+1}_2(\Omega_2) \).

(6) Stop if for a given tolerance \( \epsilon \) the following holds:

\[
|\lambda^{k+1}_1(\Omega_+) - \lambda^+_1(\Omega_+)| \leq \epsilon, \quad |\lambda^{k+1}_1(\Omega_-) - \lambda^-_1(\Omega_-)| \leq \epsilon.
\]

(7) Set \( k = k + 1 \) and go to (2).

Remark 1. Note that \( \Omega_+ \) and \( \Omega_- \) change in iterations but for simplicity we write \( \Omega_+ \) and \( \Omega_- \) instead of \( \Omega_{+k}^1 \) and \( \Omega_{-k}^2 \).

Remark 2. Consider the case \( p = 2 \). Let denote the first eigenfunction by \( w_1 \). We know that in order to obtain the second eigenvalue

\[
\lambda_2(\Omega) = \inf_{u \perp w_1} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 \, dx}{\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^2 \, dx}.
\]

Assume the initial guess \( u_0 \) be chosen such that \( (\lambda^+_0 u_0^+ - \lambda^-_0 u_0^-) \perp w_1 \) then the Algorithm generates sequence \( \{u_n\} \) which are orthogonal to \( w_1 \). Multiply the equation

\[-\Delta u = \lambda_0^+ (\Omega_+) u_0^+ - \lambda_0^- (\Omega_-) u_0^-\]

by \( w_1 \) and integrating by parts two times on left side, implies

\[\int_{\Omega} u(\Delta w_1) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} (\lambda_0^+ u_0^+ - \lambda_0^- u_0^-) w_1 \, dx = 0.\]

This shows

\[\int_{\Omega} u w_1 \, dx = 0.\]

Remark 3. Consider the Neumann case

\[
\begin{cases}
-\Delta_p u = |u|^{p-2} u & \text{in } \Omega, \\
|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nu = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\end{cases}
\]
Integration over $\Omega$ and using Gauss’s divergence theorem then for any eigenfunction (not first) the following holds

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^p - 2 u \, dx = 0,$$

which indicates

$$\int_{\Omega_+} |u_+|^p - 2 u_+ \, dx = \int_{\Omega_-} |u_-|^p - 2 u_- \, dx.$$

Equivalently,

$$\|u_+\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = \|u_-\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

3.1. Convergence of the Algorithm. In the sequel, for any $v \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ by $\tilde{v}$ we mean the normalized in $L^p(\Omega)$

$$\tilde{v} = \frac{v}{\|v\|_{L^p(\Omega)}}.$$

For an open $D \subset \Omega$, let $\lambda_1(D)$ denote the first eigenvalue of the Laplace operator in $W^{1,p}_0(D)$, given by

$$\lambda_1(D) = \min_{\tilde{v} \in W^{1,p}_0(D) \, \|\tilde{v}\|_{L^p(D)} = 1} \int_D |\nabla \tilde{v}|^p \, dx.$$

Given $u$ we write $u = u_+ - u_-$ where

$$u_+ = \max(u, 0) \quad u_- = \max(-u, 0),$$

$$\Omega_+ = \{x \in \Omega : u(x) > 0\} \quad \Omega_- = \{x \in \Omega : -u(x) > 0\}.$$

Furthermore, we define $u_+^k, u_-^k \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ as the positive and negative parts of the solution of the following Dirichlet problem inductively,

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta_p u^k = |\tilde{u}^{k-1}|^p - 2 \left( \lambda_+^{k-1}(\Omega_+) \tilde{u}_+^{k-1} - \lambda_-^{k-1}(\Omega_-) \tilde{u}_-^{k-1} \right) \\
\quad u^k = 0
\end{cases} \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$\quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.$$

Here $\tilde{u}^{k-1} = \tilde{u}_+^{k-1} - \tilde{u}_-^{k-1}$.

First note that the following facts hold:

$$\|\tilde{u}_+^{k-1}| = |\tilde{u}_+^{k-1} - \tilde{u}_-^{k-1}| = \tilde{u}_+^{k-1} + \tilde{u}_-^{k-1},$$

$$|\tilde{u}_-^{k-1}|^p - 2 = (\tilde{u}_+^{k-1})^p - 2 + (\tilde{u}_-^{k-1})^p - 2,$$

$$\|\lambda_+^{k-1} \tilde{u}_+^{k-1} - \lambda_-^{k-1} \tilde{u}_-^{k-1}\|_{L^p} = (\lambda_+^{k-1})^p + (\lambda_-^{k-1})^p,$$

$$\|\lambda_+^{k-1} \nabla \tilde{u}_+^{k-1} - \lambda_-^{k-1} \nabla \tilde{u}_-^{k-1}\|_{L^p} = (\lambda_+^{k-1})^{p+1} + (\lambda_-^{k-1})^{p+1}.$$

The next Lemma shows the monotonicity of sequence of approximations of second eigenvalues as $p$ tends to one.
Lemma 3.1. Let $\lambda^k_\pm(\Omega_\pm)$ and $\lambda^{k-1}_\pm(\Omega_\pm)$ be obtained by Algorithm 1. Then as $p \to 1$ the following holds

$$\max \left( \lambda^k_+(\Omega_+), \lambda^k_- (\Omega_-) \right) \leq \max \left( \lambda^{k-1}_+(\Omega_+), \lambda^{k-1}_- (\Omega_-) \right),$$

for every $k \geq 1$.

Proof. To start, multiply the first equation (3.5) by $\Omega$ to deduce

$$p \left( \left[ \lambda^{k-1}_+ (\tilde{u}^k_+)^{p-1} - \lambda^{k-1}_- (\tilde{u}^k_-)^{p-1} \right] \right) \leq \max \left( \lambda^k_+(\Omega_+), \lambda^k_- (\Omega_-) \right).$$

for every $k \geq 1$.

Lemma 3.2. With same assumptions as before the sequence $u^k$ is bounded from below for every $p \geq 1$.

Proof. Multiply equation (3.5) by $u^k$, integrate over $\Omega$ and Hölder inequality give

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^k|^p dx \leq \|u^k\|_{L^p} \left[ (\lambda^{k-1}_+)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + (\lambda^{k-1}_-)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}}.$$

The same argument as above indicates

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^k|^p dx \leq \|u^k\|_{L^p} \left[ (\lambda^{k-1}_+)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + (\lambda^{k-1}_-)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}}.$$

The inequalities (3.11) and (3.12) imply

$$\max \left( \frac{\|\nabla^2 u^k\|_{L^p}}{\|u^k\|_{L^p}}, \frac{\|\nabla u^k\|_{L^p}}{\|u^k\|_{L^p}} \right) \leq \left[ (\lambda^{k-1}_+)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + (\lambda^{k-1}_-)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}}.$$

Let $p \to 1^+$ and using the fact

$$\lim_{a \to \infty} (a^\alpha + b^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} = \max (a, b),$$

complete the proof. \qed

Let $u^k$ satisfies

$$\lambda_2 \|u^k\|_{L^p} \leq \|\nabla u^k\|_{L^p}.$$

This shows

$$\lambda_2 \|u^k\|_{L^p} \leq \|u^k\|_{L^p} \left[ (\lambda^{k-1}_+)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + (\lambda^{k-1}_-)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}},$$
which implies
\[ ||u_k||_{L^p} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_2} \left[ (\lambda_+^{k-1})^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + (\lambda_-^{k-1})^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right]^\frac{1}{p}. \]

Multiply (3.5) by \( \tilde{u}_+^{k-1} \) and integrate over \( \Omega \) to deduce
\[ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_k|^{p-2} \nabla u_k \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}_+^{k-1} \ dx = \lambda_+^{k-1}. \]

Here we used the facts that
\[ \tilde{u}_+^{k-1} \cdot \tilde{u}_-^{k-1} = 0, \quad \int_{\Omega} (\tilde{u}_+^{k-1})^p \ dx = 1. \]

Form here we get
\[ \lambda_+^{k-1} \leq ||\nabla u_k||_{L^p} ||\nabla \tilde{u}_+^{k-1}||_{L^p}, \]

the same argument shows
\[ \lambda_-^{k-1} \leq ||\nabla u_k||_{L^p} ||\nabla \tilde{u}_-^{k-1}||_{L^p}. \]

Considering \( ||\nabla \tilde{u}_+^{k-1}||_{L^p} = (\lambda_+^{k-1})^{\frac{1}{p}} \) and \( ||\nabla \tilde{u}_-^{k-1}||_{L^p} = (\lambda_-^{k-1})^{\frac{1}{p}} \) implies
\[ \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
(\lambda_+^{k-1})^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \leq ||\nabla u_k||_{L^p}^{p-1}, \\
(\lambda_-^{k-1})^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \leq ||\nabla u_k||_{L^p}^{p-1}.
\end{array} \right. \]

Inserting the inequalities (3.15) in (3.12) yields
\[ (3.16) \quad 1 \leq 2^{\frac{k-1}{p}} ||u_k||_{L^p}. \]

Also from inequalities (3.15) and (3.11) it follows
\[ \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
||\nabla u_+^k||_{L^p} \leq 2^{\frac{p-1}{p}} ||u_+^k||_{L^p} ||\nabla u_k||_{L^p}^{p-1}, \\
||\nabla u_-^k||_{L^p} \leq 2^{\frac{p-1}{p}} ||u_-^k||_{L^p} ||\nabla u_k||_{L^p}^{p-1}.
\end{array} \right. \]

\[ \Box \]

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \( \lambda_+^k(\Omega_+) \) and \( \lambda_-^k(\Omega_-) \) be obtained by Algorithm 1. Then
\[ \max \left( \lambda_+^k(\Omega_+), \lambda_-^k(\Omega_-) \right) \leq \max \left( \lambda_+^{k-1}(\Omega_+), \lambda_-^{k-1}(\Omega_-) \right), \]

for every \( k \geq 1. \)

**Proof.** We rewrite the right hand side of (3.13) as
\[ ||\nabla u_+^k||_{L^p} \leq ||u_+^k||_{L^p} \left[ \lambda_+^{k-1}(\tilde{u}_+^{k-1})^{p-1} - \lambda_-^{k-1}(\tilde{u}_-^{k-1})^{p-1} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \]
\[ ||\nabla u_-^k||_{L^p} \leq ||u_-^k||_{L^p} \left[ \lambda_+^{k-1}(\tilde{u}_+^{k-1})^{p-1} - \lambda_-^{k-1}(\tilde{u}_-^{k-1})^{p-1} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \]
Next we have
\[
\|\lambda_k^{-1}(\tilde{u}_+^{k-1})^{p-1} - \lambda_k^{-1}(\tilde{u}_-^{k-1})^{p-1}\|_{L^p}^p
= \int_\Omega \left(\lambda_k^{k-1} - \lambda_k^{k-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} u_+^{k-1} - \left(\lambda_k^{k-1} - \lambda_k^{k-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} u_-^{k-1}\right) dx
= \int_\Omega \left(\lambda_k^{k-1} u_+^{k-1} - \left(\lambda_k^{k-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} u_+^{k-1}\right) dx
= \int_\Omega (-\Delta_p u^k) \left(\left(\lambda_k^{k-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} u_+^{k-1} - \left(\lambda_k^{k-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} u_-^{k-1}\right) dx
= \int_\Omega \nabla u^k \cdot \left(\left(\lambda_k^{k-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \nabla \tilde{u}_+^{k-1} - \left(\lambda_k^{k-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \nabla \tilde{u}_-^{k-1}\right) dx
\leq \|\nabla u^k\|_{L^p}^p\|\left(\lambda_k^{k-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \nabla \tilde{u}_+^{k-1} - \left(\lambda_k^{k-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \nabla \tilde{u}_-^{k-1}\|_{L^p}.
\]

Inserting the last inequality in (3.18) and dividing by $\|u^k\|_{L^p}^p$ we obtain
\[
\frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u^k|^p dx}{\int_\Omega |u^k|^p dx} \leq \left(\frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u^k|^p dx}{\int_\Omega |u^k|^p dx}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\|\lambda_k^{k-1} \nabla \tilde{u}_+^{k-1} - \left(\lambda_k^{k-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \nabla \tilde{u}_-^{k-1}\|_{L^p}}{\|\lambda_k^{k-1} \tilde{u}_+^{k-1} - \left(\lambda_k^{k-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \tilde{u}_-^{k-1}\|_{L^p}}.
\]

The inequality above yields
\[
\frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u^k|^p dx}{\int_\Omega |u^k|^p dx} \leq \frac{\|\lambda_k^{k-1} \nabla \tilde{u}_+^{k-1} - \left(\lambda_k^{k-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \nabla \tilde{u}_-^{k-1}\|_{L^p}^p}{\|\lambda_k^{k-1} \tilde{u}_+^{k-1} - \left(\lambda_k^{k-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \tilde{u}_-^{k-1}\|_{L^p}^p}.
\]

Thus
\[
\frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u^k|^p dx}{\int_\Omega |u^k|^p dx} \leq \frac{(\lambda_k^{k-1})^{p+1} + (\lambda_k^{k-1})^{p+1}}{(\lambda_k^{k-1})^p + (\lambda_k^{k-1})^p}.
\]

Form (3.19) we infer
\[
\lambda_k \leq \max \left(\lambda_k^{k-1}, \lambda_k^{k-1}\right).
\]

\[
\square
\]

4. Numerical Implementation

In this section, we briefly explain about numerical approximation of the following problem
\[
(4.1) \quad \begin{cases}
-\Delta_p u = f(x) & \text{in } \partial \Omega, \\
u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\end{cases}
\]

For $p > 1$ the solution of Problem (4.1) is the unique minimizer of the following functional
\[
E(u) = \min_{u \in W_0^{k,p}(\Omega)} \int_\Omega \frac{1}{p} |\nabla u(x)|^p - f(x) u(x) dx.
\]

Equation in (4.1) is understood in weak sense:
\[
\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi dx = \int_\Omega f(x) \phi dx, \quad \forall \phi \in W_0^{k,p}(\Omega).
\]
The discretization of problem is as follows. Let $T_h$ be a regular triangulation of $\Omega_h$ which is composed of disjoint open regular triangles $T_i$, that is,

$$\Omega_h = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} T_i.$$ 

Considering the regularity for the solution of the $p$-Laplace equation, we deal with continuous piecewise linear element. Consider a finite dimensional subspace $V_h$ of $C^0(\Omega_h)$, such that the restriction on elements of $T_h$, where $P_1$ is the linear function space:

$$V_h^0 = \{ v \in H^1_0 : v|_{T} \in P_1, \quad \forall T \in T_h \}.$$ 

Assume $u_n \in V_h^0$ be the current approximation the, we associate the residual denoted by $R_n$

$$R_n = f(x) - \nabla(|\nabla u_n|^{p-2}\nabla u_n).$$

Equivalently,

$$\int_{\Omega} R_n \phi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} (f(x)\phi - |\nabla u_n|^{p-2}\nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \phi) \, dx.$$ 

Then to update $u_n$ and obtaining next approximation denoted by $u_{n+1}$

$$u_{n+1} = u_n + \alpha_n w_n,$$ 

where $w_n$ is determined solution of linearized $p-$ Laplace and the source term residual, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} 
-\Delta w_n = R_n & \text{in } \Omega, \\
w_n = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega,
\end{cases}$$

Or

$$\int_{\Omega} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_n|^{p-2}) \nabla w_n \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} R_n \phi \, dx.$$ 

The step length $\alpha_n$ in search direction $w_n$ can be obtained as

$$E(u_n + \alpha_n w_n) = \min_{\alpha} E(u_n + \alpha w_n).$$

4.1. Numerical Examples. This section provides some examples of numerical approximations to the $p$-Laplace eigenvalue problem for different values of $p$. For initial guess we use the second eigenfunction of Laplace operator.

Example 4.1. Here, we verify our algorithm by invoking it in dimension one. Let $\lambda_p$ denotes the second eigenvalue in the interval $(a,b)$ then by result in [12,23] we have

$$\sqrt[p]{\lambda_p} = \frac{\sqrt[p]{p-1}\pi}{(b-a)p\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})}.$$ 

Let $\Omega = (-2, 2)$ then for $p = 100$ the above formula gives:

$$\sqrt[50]{\lambda_{100}} = 2.0944.$$ 

For $p = 50, p = 100$ our approximation of second eigenvalue are

$$\sqrt[50]{\lambda_{50}} = 2.1717 \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt[100]{\lambda_{100}} = 2.0981.$$
with asymptotic given by \((2.6)\) or \((4.4)\)

\[
\lim_{p \to \infty} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_p}{p}} = 2.
\]

**Figure 1.** The second eigenfunction for \(p = 100\).

**Example 4.2.** Let \(p = 2\) and the domain be \(\Omega = [-2, 2] \times [-2, 2]\). The second eigenvalue is \(\lambda_2 = \frac{5\pi^2}{16}\). We set \(\Delta x = \Delta y = .005\). The initial value is given in Figure 1. and our approximate value after 50 iterations is: 3.0843295. with

\[
|\lambda_2 - \lambda_2^{(50)}| \leq 0.002.
\]

**Figure 2.** Initial guess

In Figure 2, the approximation of the second eigenfunction is shown.

**Example 4.3.** Let domain be the square \([0, 2] \times [0, 2]\). Picture 4 shows the second eigenfunction for \(p = 10\).
Figure 3. Surface of $u_2$.

Figure 4. Surface of $u_2$ for $p = 10$.
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