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Abstract

The phenomenon of localization is usually accompanied with the presence of quenched disorder. To what extent disorder is necessary for localization is a well-known open problem. In this paper, we prove the instability of disorder-free localization. For any translationally invariant local Hamiltonian exhibiting either Anderson or many-body localization, an arbitrarily small translationally invariant random local perturbation almost surely leads to the following manifestations of delocalization: (i) For any (inhomogeneous) initial state, the spatial distribution of energy or any other local conserved quantity becomes uniform at late times. (ii) The time evolution completely scrambles local information in the sense that the out-of-time-ordered correlator of any traceless local operators decays to zero at late times.

1 Introduction

Thermalization versus localization is a fundamental problem in quantum statistical mechanics. In the presence of disorder, localization can occur not only in single-particle systems, but also in interacting many-body systems. The former is known as Anderson localization (AL) [7], and the latter is called many-body localization (MBL) [34, 6, 52, 2, 5, 1].

In the past decade, significant progress has been made towards understanding AL and especially MBL. Characteristic features of localization include, but not limited to,

- absence of transport and vanishing dc conductivity [17, 9];
- slow growth of entanglement with time [57, 8, 53, 42, 54, 3, 21];
- area law for the entanglement of (almost) all eigenstates [26, 10, 41, 23, 4];
- (quasi-)local integrals of motion [11, 25, 38, 27, 28];
- intermediate- and late-time behavior of out-of-time-ordered correlators (OTOC) [24, 16, 13, 56, 19, 12].

As a diagnostic of quantum chaos, OTOC describes information scrambling [32, 43, 36, 44, 20, 49, 37, 56, 30, 31]. In MBL systems, it is well known that OTOC of two randomly selected traceless local operators almost surely decays to a non-zero value at late times. (“Almost surely” means that the event occurs with probability 1.) This has been confirmed numerically and can be understood from the perspective of (quasi-)local integrals of motion [24, 16, 13, 50, 19, 12].
Since localization is usually accompanied with disorder, to what extent disorder is necessary for localization is a well-known open problem. While early works [18, 14, 39] suggested the possibility that localization can persist in the absence of disorder, it was later argued heuristically that translational invariance (TI) may inevitably lead to delocalization [15]. Numerical study [40, 51, 55] of disorder-free localization appears to be challenging due to significant finite-size effects [35]. More recently, a line of works [45, 47, 11, 48, 46] constructed and studied exactly solvable TI models exhibiting localization, but these models are non-generic in the sense of being highly fine tuned. It was not clear whether their localization properties are robust against generic TI local perturbations.

In this paper, we give a simple mathematical proof of the instability of disorder-free localization. For any TI local Hamiltonian exhibiting either AL or MBL, an arbitrarily small TI random local perturbation almost surely leads to the following manifestations of delocalization:

- For any (inhomogeneous) initial state, the spatial distribution of energy or any other local conserved quantity becomes uniform at late times.
- The time evolution completely scrambles local information in the sense that OTOC of any traceless local operators decays to zero at late times.

These results rule out the existence of (quasi-)local integrals of motion and support the viewpoint that quasi-MBL [55] is the most localized stable phase for TI systems.

2 Results

For notational simplicity, we present the results in one dimension. (It is easy to see that the same results hold in higher spatial dimensions.) We work with a chain of $n$ spins so that the dimension of the Hilbert space is $D = d^n$, where $d$ is the local dimension of each spin.

Suppose that $H_{\text{loc}}$ is a TI local Hamiltonian exhibiting either AL or MBL. Note that TI implies periodic boundary conditions. Let $h$ be a random Hermitian operator acting on a particular pair of neighboring spins so that the matrix representation of $h$ is of size $d^2 \times d^2$. Let $T$ be the unitary lattice translation operator, which acts on the computational basis states as

$$T(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) = x_n \otimes x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n-1}, \quad x_l \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d-1\}. \quad (1)$$

The TI local perturbation is

$$H_{\text{per}} = c \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} T^l h T^{-l}, \quad (2)$$

where $c > 0$ is an arbitrarily small number. We write the perturbed Hamiltonian as

$$H := H_{\text{loc}} + H_{\text{per}}, \quad H = \sum_{l=1}^{n} H_l; \quad (3)$$

where $H_l = T^l H_1 T^{-l}$ is a local term in $H$.

Let $\rho(t) := e^{-iHt} \rho e^{iHt}$ be the time evolution of a density operator.

**Observation 1.** For any (inhomogeneous) initial state $\rho$,

$$\lim_{\tau \to \infty} \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau} \text{tr}(\rho(t) H_l) \, dt = \text{tr}(\rho H) / n, \quad \forall l \quad (4)$$

holds almost surely. In this sense, every local term $H_l$ has the same amount of energy at late times. Furthermore, the same result holds for any other local conserved quantity.
The late-time OTOC of local (not necessarily Hermitian) operators $A, B$ is given by

$$\text{OTOC}_{\infty}(A, B) := \lim_{\tau \to \infty} \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau (A(t)B^\dagger A(t)B) \, dt, \quad (5)$$

where $A(t) := e^{iHt}Ae^{-iHt}$ is the time evolution of $A$ in the Heisenberg picture, and $\langle X \rangle := \text{tr} X/D$ denotes the expectation value of an operator at infinite temperature.

Let $f, g : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be two positive functions. One writes $f(x) = O(g(x))$ if and only if there exist positive numbers $M, x_0$ such that $f(x) \leq Mg(x)$ for all $x > x_0$.

**Theorem 1.** For any traceless local (not necessarily Hermitian) operators $A, B$ with bounded norm $\|A\|, \|B\| = O(1)$,

$$\text{OTOC}_{\infty}(A, B) = O(1/n) \quad (6)$$

holds almost surely. In this sense, OTOC$_{\infty}(A, B)$ vanishes in the thermodynamic limit $n \to +\infty$.

## 3 Proofs

Let $\{|1\rangle, |2\rangle, \ldots, |D\rangle\}$ be a complete set of TI eigenstates of $H$ with corresponding energies $E_1 \leq E_2 \leq \cdots \leq E_D$, and $X_{jk} = \langle j|X|k\rangle$ be the matrix element of an operator in the energy eigenbasis.

**Proof of Observation 7.** Writing out the matrix elements,

$$\lim_{\tau \to \infty} \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau \text{tr}(\rho(t)H_i) \, dt = \lim_{\tau \to \infty} \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{j,k} \rho_{jk}(H_i)kj e^{i(E_k - E_j)\tau} \, dt = \sum_{j,k} \rho_{jk}(H_i)kj \delta_{E_j, E_k}, \quad (7)$$

where $\delta$ is the Kronecker delta. Since $H_{\text{per}}$ is a random perturbation, the spectrum of $H$ should be almost surely non-degenerate. Hence,

$$\lim_{\tau \to \infty} \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau \text{tr}(\rho(t)H_i) \, dt = \sum_j \rho_{jj}(H_i)jj = \sum_j \rho_{jj}E_j/n = \text{tr}(\rho H)/n, \quad (8)$$

where we used fact that $(H_i)_{jj} = E_j/n$ for any $l$ due to TI. It is easy to see that the same result holds for any other local conserved quantity. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 7.** We start by following the calculations of [22]. Writing out the matrix elements,

$$\langle A^\dagger(t)B^\dagger A(t)B \rangle = \frac{1}{D} \sum_{pqrst} \langle A^\dagger \rangle_{pq} \langle B^\dagger \rangle_{qr} \langle A \rangle_{rs} \langle B \rangle_{sp} e^{i(E_p - E_q + E_r - E_s)\tau}. \quad (9)$$

Substituting into Eq. (5),

$$\text{OTOC}_{\infty}(A, B) = \frac{1}{D} \sum_{pqrst} \langle A^\dagger \rangle_{pq} \langle B^\dagger \rangle_{qr} \langle A \rangle_{rs} \langle B \rangle_{sp} \delta_{E_p + E_r, E_q + E_s}. \quad (10)$$

Since $H_{\text{per}}$ is a random perturbation, the spectrum of $H$ should be generic in the sense that

$$E_p + E_r = E_q + E_s \implies ((p = q) \text{ and } (r = s)) \text{ or } ((p = s) \text{ and } (r = q)) \quad (11)$$

holds almost surely. Hence,

$$\text{OTOC}_{\infty}(A, B) = \frac{1}{D} \sum_{j,k} \langle A^\dagger \rangle_{jj} \langle B^\dagger \rangle_{jk} A_{kk}B_{kj} + \frac{1}{D} \sum_{j \neq k} \langle A^\dagger \rangle_{jk} \langle B^\dagger \rangle_{kk} A_{kj}B_{jj}. \quad (12)$$

3
(The constraint \( j \neq k \) in the second sum avoids double counting.) The first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (12) is upper bounded by

\[
\frac{1}{D} \sum_{j,k} |A_{jj}||A_{kk}||B_{kj}|^2 \leq \frac{1}{D} \sqrt{\sum_j |A_{jj}|^2 |B_{kj}|^2 \sum_j |A_{kk}|^2 |B_{kj}|^2 }
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{D} \sqrt{\sum_j |A_{jj}|^2 (B^\dagger B)_{jj} \sum_k |A_{kk}|^2 (BB^\dagger)_{kk} } \leq \frac{O(1)}{D} \sum_j |A_{jj}|^2 = O(1/n),
\]

where we used Lemma 1 (below) and the fact that \((B^\dagger B)_{jj} \leq \|B\|^2 = O(1)\). The second term on the right-hand side of Eq. (12) can be upper bounded similarly.

**Lemma 1.** For any traceless local operator \( A \) with bounded norm \( \|A\| = O(1) \),

\[
\frac{1}{D} \sum_j |A_{jj}|^2 = O(1/n).
\]

**Proof.** We use the trick of [29]. Let

\[
\mathcal{A} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} T^l A T^{-l}
\]

so that \( A_{jj} = \mathcal{A}_{jj} \) due to TI. Hence,

\[
\frac{1}{D} \sum_j |A_{jj}|^2 = \frac{1}{D} \sum_j (A^\dagger)_{jj} A_{jj} \leq \frac{1}{D} \sum_{j,k} (A^\dagger)_{jk} A_{kj} = \frac{1}{D} \sum_j (A^\dagger A)_{jj} = \langle A^\dagger A \rangle.
\]

Expanding \( \mathcal{A} \) in the Pauli basis, we count the number of terms that do not vanish upon taking the trace in the expansion of \( A^\dagger A \). There are \( O(n) \) such terms, each of which is \( O(1/n^2) \). Therefore,

\[
\langle A^\dagger A \rangle = O(1/n).
\]
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