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ABSTRACT. We give sharp functional inequalities related to the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup in the Dunkl differential-operators setting. These inequalities are used to give some applications and derive out useful properties of this semigroup.

1. Introduction and Preparatory results

Functional inequalities for semigroups play fundamental roles in the study of semigroups in general and are particularly used to reveal more insights on the equilibrium states and the spectrum of the generators of these semigroups. See e.g. [1, 7, 8] and the references there in.

The aim of this work is to establish some functional inequalities related to the generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup in the Dunkl differential operators setting.

These differential operators, introduced by Dunkl [3], generalize the standard differential operators and have attracted considerable interests in various fields of mathematics and in physics [5, 6, 10].

A generalized Laplacian is associated in a natural way to these operators and is involved in the generalization of several standard semigroups, including the heat and Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroups. See [9, 10] for details on these generalized semigroups.

Our first main result in this context is a family of generalized Poincaré-type inequalities for a Gaussian probability measure $m_k$ applied to the generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup (see Theorem 2.10). An application of this yields a series expansion formula for integrals of the form $\int f^2 \, dm_k$, by means of the infinitesimal generator of the generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup (see Theorem 2.11). Our second main result is a generalized reverse Poincaré inequality for the generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup itself (see Theorem 3.4).

We point out here that in [8], a family of Poincaré-type inequalities are stated in the Dunkl setting for the generalized heat semigroup $P_t := e^{t\Delta_k}$, $t \geq 0$, where $\Delta_k$ is the generalized Laplacian associated to Dunkl differential operators introduced in a while.
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The paper is organized as follows. The remaining of this section is devoted to introduce the setting of Dunkl differential operators along with some preliminary results needed to prepare our main results. In Section 2 we introduce the generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup and some of its basic properties and establish our first main result in this paper. Finally, Section 3 is used to establish a reverse Poincaré inequality for the generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup.

Let $R$ be a root system in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $G$ the corresponding reflection group, $R_+$ a positive subsystem of $R$ and $k$ a $G$-invariant function defined on $R$. The associated Dunkl differential-difference operators are defined for functions $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ of class $C^1$ by

$$T_\xi f = \partial_\xi f + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k(\alpha) \langle \alpha, \xi \rangle \frac{f - \sigma_\alpha f}{\alpha^\ast}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$  

(1.1)

Here $\langle , \rangle$ is the usual euclidean scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^d$ considered also as a bilinear form in $\mathbb{C}^d \times \mathbb{C}^d$ and $\| \|$ is the associated euclidean norm. $\sigma_\alpha$ denotes the reflection with respect to the hyperplane $H_\alpha$ orthogonal to $\alpha$ and its action on functions is given by $\langle \sigma_\alpha f \rangle (x) := f(\sigma_\alpha x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. $\partial_\xi$ is the directional derivative in the direction $\xi$ and $\alpha^\ast$ is the linear form defined by $\alpha(x) := \langle \alpha, x \rangle$.

In the sequel, we write $T_j$ for $T_{e_j}$ where $(e_1, \ldots, e_d)$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^d$. The Dunkl Laplacian is defined by

$$\Delta_k f = \sum_{j=1}^d T_j^2 f, \quad f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

and it is known, by direct calculations, that

$$\Delta_k f = \Delta f + 2 \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k(\alpha) \frac{\langle \nabla f, \alpha \rangle}{\alpha^\ast} - \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k(\alpha) \| \alpha \|^2 \frac{f - \sigma_\alpha f}{(\alpha^\ast)^2}.$$  

(1.2)

This gives that for $f, g \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have

$$\Delta_k (fg) = f \Delta_k (g) + g \Delta_k (f) + 2 \langle \nabla f, \nabla g \rangle + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k(\alpha) \| \alpha \|^2 \frac{(f - \sigma_\alpha f) \times (g - \sigma_\alpha g)}{(\alpha^\ast)^2}.$$  

(1.3)

Here $\Delta$ and $\nabla$ are the standard Laplacian and gradient operators respectively.

More details on Dunkl differential operators may be found in [3]. In particular, for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the useful product formula

$$T_\xi (f \times g) = f \times T_\xi (g) + g \times T_\xi (f),$$

(1.4)

holds whenever $f$ or $g$ is $G$-invariant.

We define the "generalized" gradient operator

$$T := (T_1, \ldots, T_d),$$

(1.5)
acting on functions by setting

\( T f := (T_1 f, \ldots, T_d f) \).

From now on, we suppose that the parameter function \( k \) is nonnegative and define the measures \( d\omega_k \) and \( dm_k \) on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) by

\[
\begin{align*}
d\omega_k(x) := \omega_k(x)dx, \\
dm_k(x) := c_k e^{-\|x\|^2/2}\omega_k(x)dx,
\end{align*}
\]
where \( dx \) is the Lebesgue measure in \( \mathbb{R}^d \), \( c_k^{-1} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\|x\|^2/2}\omega_k(x)dx \) and \( \omega_k \) is the weight function defined by

\[
(1.7) \quad \omega_k(x) := \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+} |\langle \alpha, x \rangle|^{2k(\alpha)}.
\]

Note that \( \omega_k \) is \( G \)-invariant and homogeneous of degree \( 2\gamma \) with \( \gamma := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+} k(\alpha) \).

For \( p \geq 1 \), \( L^p(m_k) \) (resp. \( L^p(\omega_k) \)) denotes the set of Borelian functions satisfying

\[
\|f\|_{p,m_k}^p := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f|^p dm_k < +\infty. \quad (\text{resp. } \|f\|_{p,\omega_k}^p := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f|^p d\omega_k < +\infty).
\]

For \( f \) in the space of Schwartz functions, denoted hereafter by \( S(\mathbb{R}^d) \), and \( g \) smooth bounded function, we have \([4]\)

\[
(1.8) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (T_j f)gd\omega_k = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(T_j g)d\omega_k,
\]
for all \( j = 1, \ldots, d \).

The so-called Dunkl kernel \( E_k(\cdot, y), y \in \mathbb{C}^d \), plays the role of a generalized exponential function and is defined as the unique solution of the system

\[
\begin{align*}
f(0) = 1, \\
T_\xi f(x) = \langle \xi, y \rangle f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,
\end{align*}
\]
for all \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \). It is shown \([2]\) that \( E_k \) is nonnegative in \( \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \) and extends as an analytic function in \( \mathbb{C}^d \times \mathbb{C}^d \) and satisfies the following.

**Proposition 1.1.** ([2]) Let \( z, w \in \mathbb{C}^d \) and \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \). Then

1. \( E_k(z, 0) = 1 \),
2. \( E_k(z, w) = E_k(w, z) \),
3. \( E_k(\lambda z, w) = E_k(z, \lambda w) \),
4. For all \( \nu = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ z \in \mathbb{C}^d, \) we have

\[
|D_\nu E_k(x, z)| \leq \|x\|^\nu \exp(\|z\| \|\text{Re}(z)\|)
\]

where

\[
D_\nu = \frac{\partial^\nu}{\partial z_1^{\nu_1} \cdots \partial z_n^{\nu_n}}, \quad |\nu| = \nu_1 + \cdots + \nu_n.
\]
The Dunkl transform is defined for \( f \in L^1(\omega_k) \) by
\[
\mathcal{F}_k(f)(\xi) = c_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) E_h(-i\xi, x) d\omega_k(x).
\]
(1.9)

More details on this transform may be found in [2, 4]. The Dunkl transform shares several basic properties with its counterpart in the classical case, in particular \( \mathcal{F}_k \) is a topological isomorphism from \( S(\mathbb{R}^d) \) into itself where it satisfies the inversion formula and Plancherel Theorem. Moreover, for all \( f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^1(\omega_k) \) we have
\[
\mathcal{F}_k(T_j f)(y) = iy_j \mathcal{F}_k(f)(y), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad j = 1, \ldots, d.
\]
(1.10)

In all the sequel, we deal only with real valued functions unless explicitly expressed.

2. The generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup

We consider the kernel \( K \) defined by:
\[
K(t, x, y) := \mathcal{F}_k \left( E_h(itx, \cdot) e^{-\frac{(\cdot, \cdot)^2}{2(1-t^2)}} \right)(y),
\]
where \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and \( t \in [0, 1] \).

For simplicity, we will also use
\[
Q(t, x, y) := e^{\frac{|y|^2}{2}} K(t, x, y).
\]
(2.1)

By the definition of \( \mathcal{F}_k \) and following [4] we have
\[
K(t, x, y) = \frac{1}{(1-t^2)^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{\frac{t^2|y|^2}{2(1-t^2)^2}}} E_h \left( \frac{tx}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} , \frac{t x}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} \right)
\]
for all \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and \( t \in [0, 1] \). This shows in particular that \( K \) is non negative on \([0,1][\times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d\) and from Proposition 1.1 we infer that
\[
|K(t, x, y)| \leq \frac{1}{(1-t^2)^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{\frac{(t|x|-|y|)^2}{2(1-t^2)}},
\]
(2.3)

for all \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and \( t \in [0,1] \).

It is worth noting that the kernel \( K \) is related to the generalized heat kernel \( H_k \) defined and studied in [9] by the relationship:
\[
K(t, x, y) = H_k((1-t^2)/2, t x, y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t \in [0,1].
\]
(2.5)

The following are direct properties of the kernel \( Q \) needed for the sequel.

**Proposition 2.1.** For \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and \( s, t \in [0,1] \) we have

(1) \( Q(t, x, y) = Q(t, y, x) \).

(2) \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q(t, x, y) dm_k(y) = 1 \).
Following [10], we define the generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck transform \( O_t \), \( t \geq 0 \), by setting \( O_0 f = f \) and
\[
O_t f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y) Q(e^{-t}, x, y) dm_k(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t > 0,
\]
whenever this makes sense.

According to [10], \((O_t)_{t \geq 0}\) is a contraction semigroup in \( L^p(m_k) \), \( p \in [1, \infty] \), and its generator is the closure of the operator \( L_k \), with dense domain \( S(\mathbb{R}^d) \), where \( L_k \) is defined by
\[
L_k := \Delta_k - x \cdot \nabla = \Delta_k - \sum_{j=1}^d x_j \partial_j.
\]
Here \( \nabla \) is the usual gradient. Further, in \( S(\mathbb{R}^d) \) we have
\[
\|O_t f - f\|_{L^p(m_k)} \to 0, \quad \forall p \in [1, \infty],
\]
and
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} O_t f \, dm_k = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \, dm_k, \quad \forall t \geq 0.
\]
The symmetry property of the kernel \( Q \) in Proposition 2.1 (1), leads to
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (O_t f) g \, dm_k = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(O_t g) \, dm_k,
\]
for all \( f, g \in S(\mathbb{R}^d) \).

Moreover, by Jensen’s inequality we have
\[
|O_t f|^p \leq O_t(|f|^p),
\]
for all \( f \in L^p(m_k), \quad p \geq 1 \) and \( t \geq 0 \).

Although most of our results will be applicable for a large set of functions including polynomials or smooth functions with polynomial growth, we will restrict ourselves to functions in the set \( S(\mathbb{R}^d) \).

One essential tool for the sequel is the following

**Proposition 2.2.** Let \( f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d) \). Then
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L_k(f) \, dm_k = 0.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d) \). Using Proposition 1.1, we may derive with respect to \( t > 0 \) in (2.8), which yields
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} O_t(L_k f) \, dm_k = 0, \quad \forall t > 0.
\]
Our claim follows by letting \( t \to 0 \). \( \square \)

A useful commutation relationship between the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck transform and the Dunkl operators, is revealed by the next result.
Proposition 2.3. Acting on $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have
\[ T_j \circ O_t = e^{-t} O_t \circ T_j, \]
for all $t \geq 0$ and $j = 1, \ldots, d$.

Proof. Plancherel identity for the Dunkl transform gives us
\[ O_t f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y) K(t, x, y) d\omega_k(y) \]
\[ = c_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F_k(f)(y) E_k(ie^{-t}x, y)e^{-(1-e^{-2t})|y|^2} d\omega_k(y) \]
for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t \geq 0$. Fix $j$ in $\{1, \ldots, d\}$. Using the definition of the Dunkl kernel $E_k$, Proposition 1.1 and (1.10) all together we get
\[ T_j(O_t f)(x) = i e^{-t} c_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} y_j F_k(f)(y) E_k(ie^{-t}x, y)e^{-(1-e^{-2t})|y|^2} d\omega_k(y) \]
\[ = c_k e^{-t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F_k(T_j f)(y) E_k(ie^{-t}x, y)e^{-(1-e^{-2t})|y|^2} d\omega_k(y) \]
\[ = e^{-t} O_t(T_j f)(x) \]
where, once again, we have used Plancherel identity for the Dunkl transform in the last step. This proves our claim and ends the proof. \qed

A bilinear form, denoted hereafter by $\Gamma_k$, is associated to the semigroup $O_t$, and defined by
\[ \Gamma_k(f, g) := \frac{1}{2} (L_k(f g) - f L_k(g) - g L_k(f)), \]
where $f, g : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ are functions of class $C^2$.

In the sequel, we will write $\Gamma_k(f) := \Gamma_k(f, f)$ for simplicity.

In the standard case, that is when the parameter function is $k = 0$, the bilinear form $\Gamma_0$ plays a fundamental role in the study of the classical Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup (see i.e [1]).

We begin here by giving a useful expression of $\Gamma_k$, avoiding the generalized Laplacian used in the definition of $L_k$. More precisely, we have

Proposition 2.4. Let $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then we have
\[ \Gamma_k(f) = \| \nabla f \|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R^*_+} k(\alpha) \| \alpha \|^2 \left[ \frac{f - \sigma \alpha f}{\alpha^*} \right]^2. \]
In particular, $\Gamma_k(f) \geq 0$ for all $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof. Straightforward calculations using the formulas (1.2) and (1.3). \quad \Box

The following proposition establishes a symmetry formula for the infinitesimal generator $L_k$, which will be useful for the sequel.
Proposition 2.5. Let \( f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \). Then
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L_k(f)g dm_k = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle T(f), T(g) \rangle dm_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k(\alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f-\sigma_\alpha f)(g-\sigma_\alpha g) dm_k.
\]
In particular,
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L_k(f)g dm_k = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f L_k(g) dm_k.
\]

Proof. By the formula (1.8) we have
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L_k(f)(x)g(x) dm_k(x) = -\sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} T_j(f)(x)T_j(e^{-\|\cdot\|^2/2}g)(x) d\omega_k(x)
- \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_j f(x)x_jg(x)e^{-\|x\|^2/2} d\omega_k(x).
\]
Since \( e^{-\|\cdot\|^2/2} \) is \( G \)-invariant, the product formula (1.4) yields
\[
T_j(e^{-\|\cdot\|^2/2}g)(x) = (T_j(g)(x) - x_j g(x)) e^{-\|x\|^2/2},
\]
whence
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L_k(f)(x)g(x) dm_k(x) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle T(f)(x), T(g)(x) \rangle dm_k(x)
+ \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} T_j(f)(x)x_jg(x) dm_k(x) - \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_j f(x)x_jg(x) dm_k(x)
= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle T(f)(x), T(g)(x) \rangle dm_k(x) + \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x_j \varepsilon_j(f)(x)g(x) dm_k(x),
\]
where \( \varepsilon_j := T_j - \partial_j \). Using the definition of \( T_j \), we get
\[
\sum_{j=1}^d x_j \varepsilon_j(f)(x) = \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k(\alpha) x_j \alpha_j \frac{f(x) - f(\sigma_\alpha x)}{\langle x, \alpha \rangle}
= \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k(\alpha) (f(x) - f(\sigma_\alpha x))
\]
and the the result follows since
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f - \sigma_\alpha f) g dm_k = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f - \sigma_\alpha f) (g - \sigma_\alpha g) dm_k.
\]
\[\square\]

By letting \( f = g \) in (2.15), it follows that
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(\sigma_\alpha x) - f(x)) f(\sigma_\alpha x) dm_k = \frac{1}{2} \| f - \sigma_\alpha f \|^2_{L^2 dm_k},
\]
for all real valued \( f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and a direct application of (2.16) gives us more insights in the form \( \Gamma_k \). More precisely, we have
Proposition 2.6. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be real valued. We have

\begin{equation}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Gamma_k(f) dm_k = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \| T_\mu f \|^2 dm_k - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+} k(\alpha) \| f - \sigma_{\alpha} f \|_{2,m_k}^2.
\end{equation}

As a consequence,

\begin{equation}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \| T_\mu f \|^2 dm_k \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+} k(\alpha) \| f - \sigma_{\alpha} f \|_{2,m_k}^2.
\end{equation}

\textbf{Proof.} Since $\Gamma_k(f) = \frac{1}{2} L_k(f^2) - f L_k(f)$, the first part of the result follows from Proposition 2.2, Proposition 2.5 and (2.16). The last part follows since $\Gamma_k(f) \geq 0$ as shown by (2.12). □

A useful property of the generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck transform is given by the next theorem.

Theorem 2.7. Let $\Phi : I \subset \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a derivable and convex function. Consider $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and assume that $\Phi \circ f$ and $\Phi' \circ f$ are well defined and are in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then we have

\begin{equation}
L_k(\Phi(f)) \geq \Phi'(f)L_k(f).
\end{equation}

\textbf{Proof.} Fix $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and define $\psi(t) := \mathcal{O}_t(\Phi(f)) - \Phi(\mathcal{O}_tf)$, $t \geq 0$. By applying Jensen inequality to $\mathcal{O}_t$ we get that

\[ \psi(t) \geq \psi(0), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \]

from which we infer that $\psi(0) \geq 0$. Since $\psi'(t) = L_k \mathcal{O}_t(\Phi(f)) - \Phi'(\mathcal{O}_tf)L_k(\mathcal{O}_tf)$, we get

\[ L_k(\Phi(f)) - \Phi'(f)L_k(f) \geq 0, \]

and this ends the proof. □

A direct application of Theorem 2.7 gives

Corollary 2.8. Consider $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $f \geq 0$. Then for all $p \geq 1$ we have

\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^{p-1} L_k(f) dm_k \leq 0. \]

\textbf{Proof.} By considering $\Phi(z) = z^p$, $p \geq 1$, $z \geq 0$ in Theorem 2.7, we get

\[ pf^{p-1} L_k(f) \leq L_k(f^p). \]

Our claim follows by integrating the above inequality taking into account that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L_k(g)dm_k = 0$ for all $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. □

The next technical lemma is the cornerstone of the proof of Theorem 2.10 below.
Lemma 2.9. Fix $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and consider the function $\psi$ defined by

$$\psi(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\mathcal{O}_t f)^2 dm_k, \quad t \geq 0.$$  

Then $\psi$ is of class $C^n$ in $[0, +\infty[$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$\psi^{(n)}(t) = 2^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f L^n_k \mathcal{O}_{2t} f dm_k.$$  

Moreover, $\psi^{(2n)} \geq 0$ and $\psi^{(2n+1)} \leq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We will proceed by induction on $n \geq 0$ to prove (2.20). First of all, the estimates on the Dunkl kernel provided by Proposition 1.1 ensure that the function $\psi$ is of class $C^0$ in $[0, 1[$. Further, by the symmetry formula (2.9) we may write

$$\psi(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\mathcal{O}_{2t} f) f dm_k,$$

and this proves (2.20) for $n = 0$. Assume that (2.20) holds for $n \geq 0$.

Again the estimates on the Dunkl kernel provided by Proposition 1.1 ensure that the function $\psi$ is of class $C^{n+1}$ in $[0, \infty[$, with

$$\psi^{(n+1)}(t) = 2^{n+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f L^{n+1}_k (\mathcal{O}_{2t} f) dm_k, \quad \forall t > 0.$$  

Since $\|\mathcal{O}_t(g)\|_\infty \leq \|g\|_\infty$ for all $t \geq 0$ and all $g \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the dominated convergence theorem allow us to see that $\psi^{(n+1)}$ extends continuously to $[0, \infty[$. This proves (2.20) for $n + 1$.

Since $L_k$ commutes with $\mathcal{O}_t$, the symmetry properties of $L_k$ and $\mathcal{O}_t$ in (2.14) and (2.9) respectively, give us

$$\psi^{(2n)}(t) = 2^{2n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\mathcal{O}_t L^n_k f)^2 dm_k,$$

and

$$\psi^{(2n+1)}(t) = 2^{2n+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\mathcal{O}_t L^n_k f) L_k (\mathcal{O}_t L^n_k f) dm_k.$$  

In particular, this shows that $\psi^{(2n)} \geq 0$ and Corollary 2.8 provides that $\psi^{(2n+1)} \leq 0$. The proof is then complete.

Our first main result is ready. It consists of a family of Poincaré-type inequalities for the measure $m_k$ applied to the generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup, and is as follows.

Theorem 2.10. Fix $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then for all $t \geq 0$ we have

$$2t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Gamma_k (\mathcal{O}_t T f) dm_k \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^2 dm_k - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\mathcal{O}_t f)^2 dm_k \leq 2t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Gamma_k (f) dm_k.$$  

Proof. Fix $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and set

$$\psi(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\mathcal{O}_t f)^2 dm_k, \quad t \geq 0.$$
We see that
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^2 dm_k - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\mathcal{O}_t f)^2 dm_k = \psi(0) - \psi(t) = -\int_0^t \psi'(s) ds. \]
Owing to Lemma 2.9, \( \psi' \) is increasing in \([0, +\infty[, \) by consequence
\[ -t \psi'(t) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^2 dm_k - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\mathcal{O}_t f)^2 dm_k \leq -t \psi'(0), \]
that is
\[ -2t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{O}_t \mathcal{L}_k f \mathcal{O}_t f dm_k \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^2 dm_k - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\mathcal{O}_t f)^2 dm_k \leq -2t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}_k(f) dm_k. \]
Now (2.21) follows by using that \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}_k(g) dm_k = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}_k(g) dm_k \) for all \( g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \).

One key tool in the proof of Theorem 2.10 is the function \( \psi \) defined by (2.19). By pushing further the expansion of \( \psi \), we obtain the following.

**Theorem 2.11.** Fix \( f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and \( t \geq 0 \). Then
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\mathcal{O}_t f)^2 dm_k = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2t)^n}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f L_k^n f dm_k. \]
if and only if
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{2^n}{n!} \int_0^t (t - s)^n \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f L_k^{n+1} (\mathcal{O}_2 s f) dm_k \right) ds = 0. \]

**Proof.** From Lemma 2.9 we know that the function
\[ \psi(s) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\mathcal{O}_s f)^2 dm_k, \quad s \geq 0, \]
is smooth. The Taylor expansion formula applied to \( \psi \) to the order \( N \) together with Lemma 2.9 give us
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\mathcal{O}_t f)^2 dm_k = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2t)^n}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f L_k^n f dm_k \]
and this proves our claim.

### 3. A REVERSE POINCARÉ-TYPE INEQUALITY FOR THE ORNSTEIN-UHLENBECK SEMIGROUP

In this section we show that \( f \mapsto \frac{\|\mathcal{T}(f)\|_2^2}{\mathcal{L}_k(f)} \) is bounded above in the space \( \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and give a necessary and sufficient condition for its boundedness below.

The boundedness above will lead to a reverse Poincaré-type inequality for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup in a meaning stated in Theorem 3.4 below.
Theorem 3.1. For all $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have
\[ \|T(f)\|^2 \leq (1 + 2\gamma) \Gamma_k(f). \]
Moreover, the constant $C := 1 + 2\gamma$ is optimal.

Proof. We may write $T(f) = \nabla f + M$, with
\[ M := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+} k(\alpha) \frac{f - \sigma_\alpha f}{\alpha^*}. \]
This yields
\[ \|T(f)\|^2 = \|\nabla f\|^2 + 2 \langle \nabla f, M \rangle + \|M\|^2. \]
In other hand, we have
\[ \|M\|^2 = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}_+} k(\alpha) k(\beta) \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \left( \frac{f - \sigma_\alpha f}{\alpha^*} \right) \left( \frac{f - \sigma_\beta f}{\beta^*} \right), \]
from which we deduce that
\[ \|M\|^2 \leq \gamma \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+} k(\alpha) \|\alpha\|^2 \left( \frac{f - \sigma_\alpha f}{\alpha^*} \right)^2. \]
Alternatively,
\[ \langle \nabla(f), M \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha} k(\alpha) \left( \|\nabla f\|^2 + \left( \|\alpha\| \frac{f - \sigma_\alpha f}{\alpha^*} \right)^2 \right) \leq \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\nabla(f)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha} k(\alpha) \|\alpha\|^2 \left( \frac{f - \sigma_\alpha f}{\alpha^*} \right)^2. \]
Gathering all these pieces, and keeping in mind the expression (2.12), we get
\[ \|T(f)\|^2 \leq (1 + 2\gamma) \Gamma_k(f). \]

Let us show that the constant $C := 1 + 2\gamma$ is optimal. We choose the case $d = 1$ and recall that in this context we have
\[ T(f)(x) = f'(x) + k \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{x}, \]
and
\[ \Gamma_k(f)(x) = (f'(x))^2 + \frac{k}{2} \left( \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{x} \right)^2. \]
It is easily seen then that for $f(x) := x$ we have $(T(f))^2 = (1 + 2\gamma) \Gamma_k(f)$, and this ends the proof. \qed

Unlike the upper boundedness given by Theorem 3.1, the lower boundedness of the map $f \mapsto \frac{\|T(f)\|^2}{\Gamma_k(f)}$ in $C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, is not guaranteed for general parameter functions $k$, at least in the one dimensional, as stated by the following.

Theorem 3.2. Assume that $d = 1$. Then the following are equivalents
There exists a positive constant $c$ such that

\begin{equation}
(3.1) \quad c\Gamma_k(f) \leq \|T(f)\|^2, \quad \forall f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}),
\end{equation}

(2) $k = 0$.

\textbf{Proof.} Recall that in dimension one we have
\[\Gamma_k(f)(x) = (f'(x))^2 + \frac{k}{2}(f(x))^2,\]
and
\[T(f)(x) = f'(x) + kf(x),\]
where $f(x) := \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{x}, \ x \in \mathbb{R}$.

It is then obvious that (2) implies (1).

Now suppose that there exists a positive constant $c$ such that (3.1) holds.

First of all by taking $f(x) := x^2$, we see that $c \leq 1$.

Alternatively consider the function
\[f(x) := x^2 + x, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.\]
We see that
\[\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} = x + \frac{1}{2},\]
for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. By consequence, applying (3.1) to $f$ we deduce that

\begin{equation}
(3.2) \quad (c - 1)y^2 - 2ky + \frac{k^2}{2} - k^2 \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}.
\end{equation}

- First case: Suppose that $c \neq 1$.
  In this case, setting $y = \frac{k}{c - 1}$ in (3.2) yields that
  \[-\frac{k^2}{c - 1} + \frac{k^2}{2} - k^2 \leq 0,\]
  and then
  \[\frac{k}{2} \leq \frac{k^2}{c - 1} \leq 0,\]
  which yields $k = 0$.

- Second case: Suppose that $c = 1$.
  In this case from (3.2) we get that
  \[-2ky + \frac{k}{2} - k^2 \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R},\]
  and this gives $k = 0$ as well.

The proof is complete. \qed

A direct application of Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 2.3 gives

\textbf{Corollary 3.3.} For all $t \geq 0$ and all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have
\[e^{-2t} \|C_tT(f)\|^2 \leq (1 + 2\gamma)\Gamma(C_tf),\]
where we set hereafter
\[C_t(Tf) := (C_tf, \ldots C_taf)).\]
Our second main result is ready and is stated as follows.

**Theorem 3.4.** For all real-valued \( f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and all \( t \geq 0 \) we have

\[
\mathcal{O}_t(f^2) - (\mathcal{O}_t(f))^2 \geq \frac{1 - e^{-2t}}{1 + 2\gamma} \|\mathcal{O}_t T(f)\|^2.
\]

**Proof.** Consider the function

\[
\psi(s) := \mathcal{O}_s \left( (\mathcal{O}_{t-s} f)^2 \right), \quad s \in [0,t]
\]

A direct calculations using the integral expression of \( \mathcal{O}_s \), give us that

\[
\psi'(s) = 2\mathcal{O}_s \left( \Gamma_k (\mathcal{O}_{t-s} f) \right).
\]

Using Proposition 3.3 and the positivity of the transform \( \mathcal{O}_s \), \( s \geq 0 \), we see that

\[
\mathcal{O}_t(f^2) - (\mathcal{O}_t(f))^2 = 2 \int_0^t \mathcal{O}_s \left( \Gamma_k (\mathcal{O}_{t-s} f) \right) ds \\
\geq \frac{2}{1 + 2\gamma} \int_0^t e^{-2(t-s)} \mathcal{O}_s \left( \|\mathcal{O}_{t-s} T(f)\|^2 \right) ds.
\]

Now using that \( \mathcal{O}_t (g^2) \geq (\mathcal{O}_t g)^2 \) for all real-valued \( g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \), we get

\[
\mathcal{O}_t(f^2) - (\mathcal{O}_t(f))^2 \geq \frac{2}{1 + 2\gamma} \|\mathcal{O}_t T(f)\|^2 \int_0^t e^{-2(t-s)} ds = \frac{1 - e^{-2t}}{1 + 2\gamma} \|\mathcal{O}_t T(f)\|^2.
\]

\[\square\]
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