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Abstract. In this paper we study Smale skew product endomorphisms (introduced in
[21]) now over countable graph directed Markov systems, and we prove the exact dimen-
sionality of conditional measures in fibers, and then the global exact dimensionality of
the equilibrium measure itself. In particular, this applies to natural extensions of graph-
directed Markov systems, and to skew products over parabolic systems. This comprises
large classes of examples. In the end, we apply these results to obtain a general formula for
the Hausdorff (and pointwise) dimension of equilibrium measures with respect to induced
maps of natural extensions \( T_\beta \) of \( \beta \)-maps, for arbitrary \( \beta > 1 \).
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1. Introduction

In this paper we extend our study from [21] and now define and investigate Smale skew
product endomorphisms over graph–directed Markov systems with countable alphabets. In
this case the limit set of the system may be non-compact and, due to the fact that the
alphabet is countable, the situation is different than in the finite case. First, in Section 2
we recall several notions and results about Smale skew product endomorphisms from [21],
necessary in the current paper.

Then, in Section 3 we extend the notion of Smale endomorphism to graph-directed
Markov systems and prove the exact dimensionality of the conditional measures in fibers
for equilibrium states of summable Hölder continuous potentials. Afterwards we show that
this implies the global exact dimensionality of the equilibrium measure itself on the skew
product. These results are contained in Theorem 3.5 and its Corollaries.

In Subsection 3.1 we apply our results to study skew products with conformal parabolic
graph-directed Markov systems in the base. This applies to many classes of examples, such as in Subsection 3.2 to backward continued fractions.

Next, an important application of our results is given in Section 4 to natural extensions
of graph-directed Markov systems. These natural extensions are shown to be Smale skew
products in fact. We prove the exact dimensionality of conditional measures in their fibers,
and then the exact dimensionality of the global equilibrium state.

Applications of this are given in Section 5 for generalized Liouville systems and their
inverse limits. And then in Section 6 to inverse limits of \( \beta \)-maps for arbitrary \( \beta > 1 \). In
we give a general formula for the pointwise (and Hausdorff) dimension of equilibrium measures with respect to induced maps on natural extensions of $\beta$-maps, for arbitrary numbers $\beta > 1$.

2. Background on Smale skew product endomorphisms

In this section we collect some notions and results from [21], which will be used in the sequel. We include them in order to make the current paper more accessible to the reader.

2.1. Notions from two-sided thermodynamic formalism. Let $E$ be a countable set and a matrix $A : E \times E \to \{0, 1\}$. A finite or countable infinite tuple $\omega$ of elements of $E$ is called $A$-admissible if and only if $A_{ab} = 1$ for any two consecutive elements $a, b$ of $E$. The matrix $A$ is called finitely irreducible if there is a finite set $F$ of finite $A$-admissible words so that for any two elements $a, b$ of $E$ there exists $\gamma \in F$ such that the word $a\gamma b$ is $A$-admissible. In the sequel, the incidence matrix $A$ is assumed to be finitely irreducible. Given $\beta > 0$, define the metric $d_\beta$ on $E^\mathbb{N}$,

$$d_\beta\big((\omega_n)_0, (\tau_n)_0\big) = \exp(-\beta \max\{n \geq 0 : (0 \leq k \leq n) \Rightarrow \omega_k = \tau_k\})$$

with the standard convention that $e^{-\infty} = 0$. All metrics $d_\beta$, $\beta > 0$, on $E^\mathbb{N}$ are Hölder continuously equivalent and induce the product topology on $E^\mathbb{N}$. Let

$$E_A^+ = \{(\omega_n)_0^\infty : \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \ A_{\omega_n\omega_{n+1}} = 1\}$$

$E_A^+$ is a closed subset of $E^\mathbb{N}$ and we endow it with the topology and metrics $d_\beta$ inherited from $E^\mathbb{N}$. The shift map $\sigma : E^\mathbb{N} \to E^\mathbb{N}$ is defined by $\sigma((\omega_n)_0^\infty) = ((\omega_{n+1})_0^\infty)$. For every finite word $\omega = \omega_0\omega_1 \ldots \omega_{n-1}$, put $|\omega| = n$ the length of $\omega$, and

$$[\omega] = \{\tau \in E_A^+ : \forall (0 \leq j \leq n-1) : \tau_j = \omega_j\}$$

is the cylinder generated by $\omega$. If $\psi : E_A^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, define the pressure $P(\psi)$ by

$$P(\psi) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{|\omega| = n} \exp\left(\sup(S_n \psi|_{[\omega]})\right)$$

and the limit exists, as the sequence $\log \sum_{|\omega| = n} \exp\left(\sup(S_n \psi|_{[\omega]})\right), n \in \mathbb{N}$, is sub-additive. A function $\psi : E_A^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ is called summable if

$$\sum_{e \in E} \exp\left(\sup(\psi|_{[e]})\right) < +\infty.$$

A shift-invariant Borel probability measure $\mu$ on $E_A^+$ is called a Gibbs state of $\psi$ if there are constants $C \geq 1$ and $P \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$C^{-1} \leq \frac{\mu([\omega])}{\exp(S_n \psi(\tau) - Pn)} \leq C$$

for all $n \geq 1$, all admissible words $\omega$ of length $n$ and all $\tau \in [\omega]$. It follows from (2.1) that if $\psi$ admits a Gibbs state, then $P = P(\psi)$.

**Definition 2.1.** A function $g : E_A^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ is called Hölder continuous if it is Hölder continuous with respect to one, equivalently all, metrics $d_\beta$. Then there exists $\beta > 0$ s.t $g$ is Lipschitz continuous with respect to $d_\beta$. The corresponding Lipschitz constant is $L_\beta(g)$. 

**Theorem 6.4** we give a general formula for the pointwise (and Hausdorff) dimension of equilibrium measures with respect to induced maps on natural extensions of $\beta$-maps, for arbitrary numbers $\beta > 1$. 

**2. Background on Smale skew product endomorphisms**
A measure realizing supremum in Variational Principle is called an \textit{equilibrium state} for \( \psi \). See also \cite{32, 33} for thermodynamic formalism of equilibrium states on 1-sided countable Markov shifts \( E^+_A \).

We now recall from \cite{21} results from the thermodynamic formalism of 2-sided shifts \((E_A, \sigma)\) on countable alphabets. Again \( E \) is a countable set and \( A : E \times E \to \{0, 1\} \) a finitely irreducible matrix. For \( \beta > 0 \) the metric \( d_{\beta} \) on \( E^\mathbb{Z} \) is
\[
d_{\beta}((\omega_n)^{+\infty}, (\tau_n)^{+\infty}) = \exp\left(-\beta \max\{n \geq 0 : \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}|k| \leq n \Rightarrow \omega_k = \tau_k\}\right)
\]
with \( e^{-\infty} = 0 \). All metrics \( d_{\beta}, \beta > 0 \), on \( E^\mathbb{Z} \) induce the product topology on \( E^\mathbb{Z} \). We set
\[
E_A = \{ (\omega_n)^{+\infty} : \forall n \in \mathbb{Z} A_{\omega_n\omega_{n+1}} = 1 \}.
\]
Hölder continuity is defined similarly as before, for potentials \( g : E_A \to \mathbb{C} \). For every \( \omega \in E_A \) and all \( -\infty \leq m < n \leq +\infty \), define \( \omega|^n_m = \omega_m \omega_{m+1} \ldots \omega_n \). Let \( E^*_A \) be the set of all \( A \)-admissible finite words. For \( \tau \in E^* \), \( \tau = \tau_m \tau_{m+1} \ldots \tau_n \), we let the cylinder from \( m \) to \( n \),
\[
[\tau]^n_m = \{ \omega \in E_A : \omega|^n_m = \tau \}
\]
The family of cylinders from \( m \) to \( n \) is denoted by \( C^n_m \). If \( m = 0 \), write \( [\tau] \) for \( [\tau]^n_0 \).

Let \( \psi : E_A \to \mathbb{R} \) be a continuous function. The topological pressure \( P(\psi) \) is:
\[
P(\psi) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{\omega \in C^n_0} \exp\left( \sup_{\omega} (S_n \psi|_\omega) \right),
\]
and the limit exists by the same subadditivity argument. A shift-invariant Borel probability measure \( \mu \) on \( E_A \) is called a \textit{Gibbs state} of \( \psi \) if there are constants \( C \geq 1, P \in \mathbb{R} \) such that
\[
C^{-1} \leq \frac{\mu([\omega]^{n-1}_0)}{\exp(S_n \psi(\omega) - Pn)} \leq C
\]
for all \( n \geq 1, \omega \in E_A \). From (2.3), if \( \psi \) admits a Gibbs state, then \( P = P(\psi) \).

The function \( \psi : E_A \to \mathbb{R} \) is called \textit{summable} if
\[
\sum_{e \in E} \exp\left( \sup_{\omega} (\psi|_e) \right) < +\infty.
\]

In \cite{21} we proved the following results:

\textbf{Lemma 2.2.} \cite{21} A Hölder continuous \( \psi : E_A \to \mathbb{R} \) is summable if and only if \( P(\psi) < \infty \).

\textbf{Theorem 2.3.} \cite{21} For every Hölder continuous summable potential \( \psi : E_A \to \mathbb{R} \) there exists a unique Gibbs state \( \mu_\psi \) on \( E_A \), and the measure \( \mu_\psi \) is ergodic.

\textbf{Theorem 2.4} (Variational Principle for Two-Sided Shifts, \cite{21}). Suppose that \( \psi : E_A \to \mathbb{R} \) is a Hölder continuous summable potential. Then
\[
\sup \left\{ h_\mu(\sigma) + \int_{E_A} \psi d\mu : \mu \circ \sigma^{-1} = \mu \text{ and } \int \psi d\mu > -\infty \right\} = P(\psi) = h_{\mu_\psi}(\sigma) + \int_{E_A} \psi d\mu_\psi,
\]
and \( \mu_\psi \) is the only measure at which this supremum is attained.
Equilibrium states for $\psi$ are defined as before for $E_+^A$.
Consider now the partition:
$$\mathcal{P}_\tau = \{[\omega]_0^+ : \omega \in E_A\} = \{[\omega] : \omega \in E_+^A\}.$$  
$\mathcal{P}_\tau$ is a measurable partition of $E_A$ and two $\alpha, \beta \in E_A$ are in the same set of $\mathcal{P}_\tau$ if and only if $\alpha|_0^+ = \beta|_0^+$. If $\mu$ is a Borel probability measure on $E_A$, let
$$\{\nu^\omega : \tau \in E_A\}$$
be a canonical system of conditional measures induced by partition $\mathcal{P}_\tau$ and measure $\mu$ (see Rokhlin [29]). Each $\nu^\omega$ is a Borel probability measure on $[\tau|_0^+]$ and we also write $\nu^\omega$, $\omega \in E_+^A$, for the conditional measure on $[\omega]$. The canonical projection is:
$$\pi_1 : E_A \to E_+^A, \quad \pi_1(\tau) = \tau|_0^+, \quad \tau \in E_A,$$
The system $\{\nu^\omega : \omega \in E_+^A\}$ of conditional measures is determined by,
$$\int_{E_A} g \, d\mu = \int_{E_+^A} \int_{[\omega]} g \, d\nu^\omega \, d(\mu \circ \pi_1^{-1})(\omega)$$
for every $g \in L^1(\mu)$ ([29]). The canonical system of conditional measures of $\mu$ is uniquely defined up to a set of $\mu \circ \pi_1^{-1}$-measure zero.

**Theorem 2.5.** [21] Suppose that $\psi : E_A \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Hölder continuous summable potential. Let $\mu$ be a Borel probability shift-invariant measure on $E_A$. Then $\mu = \mu_\psi$, the unique Gibbs state for $\psi$ if and only if there exists $D \geq 1$ such that for all $n \geq 1$,
$$D^{-1} \leq \frac{\nu^\omega([\tau\omega])}{\exp(S_n\psi(\rho) - P(\psi)n)} \leq D,$$
for $\mu \circ \pi_1^{-1}$-a.e $\omega \in E_+^A$, $\mu$-a.e $\tau \omega \in E_A(-n, +\infty)$ with $A_{\tau-\omega_0} = 1$, and $\rho \in \sigma^{-n}([\tau\omega|_0^+]_+)$ = $[\tau\omega|_0^+]_+$.

### 2.2. Skew product Smale spaces of countable type.

**Definition 2.6.** [21] Let $(Y, d)$ be a complete bounded metric space. For every $\omega \in E_+^A$ let $Y_\omega \subset Y$ be an arbitrary set and let $T_\omega : Y_\omega \to Y_{\sigma(\omega)}$ be a continuous injective map. Define
$$\hat{Y} := \bigcup_{\omega \in E_+^A} \{\omega\} \times Y_\omega \subset E_+^A \times Y.$$  
Define the map $T : \hat{Y} \to \hat{Y}$ by $T(\omega, y) = (\sigma(\omega), T_\omega(y))$. The pair $(\hat{Y}, T : \hat{Y} \to \hat{Y})$ is called a skew product Smale endomorphism if there exists $\lambda > 1$ such that $T$ is fiberwise uniformly contracting, i.e for all $\omega \in E_+^A$ and all $y_1, y_2 \in Y_\omega$,
$$d(T_\omega(y_2), T_\omega(y_1)) \leq \lambda^{-1}d(y_2, y_1).$$
For every $\tau \in E_A(-n, \infty)$ the map $T^n_\tau = T_{\tau|_0^\infty} \circ T_{\tau|_{-1}^\infty} \circ \ldots \circ T_{\tau|_{-n}^\infty} : Y_\tau \to Y_{\tau|_0^\infty}$ is well-defined. For every $\tau \in E_A$ define
$$T^n_\tau := T^n_{\tau|_{-n}^\infty} : Y_{\tau|_{-n}^\infty} \to Y_{\tau|_0^\infty}.$$
Then \((T^n_\tau(Y_{\tau|\infty}))_{n=0}^\infty\) are descending, and 
\(\text{diam}(T^n_\tau(Y_{\tau|\infty})) \leq \lambda^{-n}\text{diam}(Y)\). As \((Y,d)\) is complete, \(\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty T^n_\tau(Y_{\tau|\infty})\) is a singleton denoted by \(\hat{\pi}_2(\tau)\). Hence we defined the map 
\[\hat{\pi}_2 : E_A \to Y,\]
and define also \(\hat{\pi} : E_A \to E_A^+ \times Y\) by
\[(2.6) \quad \hat{\pi}(\tau) = (\tau|_0^\infty, \hat{\pi}_2(\tau)),\]
and the truncation to the elements of non-negative indices by
\[\pi_0 : E_A \to E_A^+, \quad \pi_0(\tau) = \tau|_0^\infty.\]
In the notation for \(\pi_0\) we drop the hat symbol, as this projection is independent of the skew product on \(\hat{Y}\). For all \(\omega \in E_A^+\) define the \(\hat{\pi}_2\)-projection of the cylinder \([\omega] \subset E_A\),
\[J_\omega := \hat{\pi}_2([\omega]) \in Y,\]
and call these sets the stable Smale fibers of the system \(T\). The global invariant set is:
\[J := \hat{\pi}(E_A) = \bigcup_{\omega \in E_A^+} \{\omega\} \times J_\omega \subset E_A^+ \times Y,\]
called the Smale space (or the fibered limit set) induced by the Smale pre-system \(T\).

For each \(\tau \in E_A\) we have \(\hat{\pi}_2(\tau) \in \overline{\gamma_{\tau|0}^\infty}\); so \(J_\omega \subset \overline{\gamma}_{\omega}\) for every \(\omega \in E_A^+\). Since all maps \(T_\omega : Y_\omega \to Y_{\sigma(\omega)}\) are Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant \(\lambda^{-1}\), they extend uniquely to Lipschitz continuous maps from \(\overline{\gamma}_{\omega}\) to \(\overline{\gamma}_{\sigma(\omega)}\). In [21] we have proved the following.

**Proposition 2.7.** [21] For every \(\omega \in E_A^+\) we have that \(T_\omega(J_\omega) \subset J_{\sigma(\omega)}\), \(\bigcup_{e \in E, \omega_0=1} T_{\omega_0}(J_{\omega_0}) = J_\omega\), and \(T \circ \hat{\pi} = \hat{\pi} \circ \sigma\).

Then \(T(J) \subset J\), so consider the system
\[T : J \to J\]
which we call the skew product Smale endomorphism generated by \(T : \hat{Y} \to \hat{Y}\).

**Definition 2.8.** The measure \(\mu \in M_T(J)\) is called an equilibrium state of the continuous potential \(\psi : \hat{Y} \to \mathbb{R}\), if \(\int \psi \, d\mu > -\infty\) and \(h(\mu) + \int \psi \, d\mu = P_T(\psi)\).

**Definition 2.9.** The potential \(\psi : J \to \mathbb{R}\) is called summable if
\[\sum_{e \in E} \exp\left(\sup(\psi|_e)\right) < \infty.\]

**Observation 2.10.** \(\psi : J \to \mathbb{R}\) is summable if and only if \(\psi \circ \hat{\pi} : E_A \to \mathbb{R}\) is summable.

**Definition 2.11.** We call a continuous skew product Smale endomorphism \(T : \hat{Y} \to \hat{Y}\) Hölder, if \(\hat{\pi} : E_A \to J\) is Hölder continuous.
2.3. Conformal skew product Smale endomorphisms. In this subsection we keep the setting of skew product Smale endomorphisms. As in [21], we assume more about the spaces $Y_\omega$, $\omega \in E_+^A$, and the fiber maps $T_\omega : Y_\omega \to Y_{\sigma(\omega)}$, namely:

(a) $Y_\omega$ is a closed bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$, with some $d \geq 1$ such that $\text{Int}(Y_\omega) = Y_\omega$.

(b) Each map $T_\omega : Y_\omega \to Y_{\sigma(\omega)}$ extends to a $C^1$ conformal embedding from $Y_\omega^*$ to $Y_{\sigma(\omega)}^*$, where $Y_\omega^*$ is a bounded connected open subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ containing $Y_\omega$. The same symbol $T_\omega$ denotes this extension and we assume that the maps $T_\omega : Y_\omega^* \to Y_{\sigma(\omega)}^*$ satisfy:

(c) Formula (2.5) holds for all $y_1, y_2 \in Y_\omega^*$, perhaps with some smaller constant $\lambda > 1$.

(d) (Bounded Distortion Property 1) There exist constants $\alpha > 0$ and $H > 0$ such that for all $y, z \in Y_\omega^*$ we have that:

$$|\log |T_\omega'(y)| - \log |T_\omega'(z)|| \leq H||y - z||^\alpha.$$ 

(e) The function $E_A \ni \tau \mapsto \log |T_\tau'(\pi_2(\omega))| \in \mathbb{R}$ is Hölder continuous.

(f) (Open Set Condition) For every $\omega \in E_+^A$ and for all $a, b \in E$ with $A_{a\omega b} = A_{b\omega a} = 1$ and $a \neq b$, we have $T_{a\omega}(\text{Int}(Y_{a\omega})) \cap T_{b\omega}(\text{Int}(Y_{b\omega})) = \emptyset$.

(g) (Strong Open Set Condition) There exists a measurable function $\delta : E_+^A \to (0, \infty)$ such that for every $\omega \in E_+^A$, $J_\omega \cap (Y_\omega \setminus \overline{B}(Y_\omega^e, \delta(\omega))) \neq \emptyset$.

Any skew product Smale endomorphism satisfying conditions (a)–(g) will be called in the sequel a conformal skew product Smale endomorphism.

From (c), (d), and (e), we obtain two more Bounded Distortion Properties (BDP):

(BDP 2) There is $H > 0$, so that for all $\tau \in E_A$, $y, z \in Y_{\tau|_{-n}}, n > 0$,

$$|\log |(T_\tau^n)'(y)| - \log |(T_\tau^n)'(z)|| \leq H||y - z||^\alpha.$$ 

(BDP 3) For all $\tau \in E_A$, $n \geq 0$, and $y, z \in Y_{\tau|_{-n}}, K^{-1} \leq \left|\frac{(T_\tau^n)'(y)}{(T_\tau^n)'(z)}\right| \leq K$.

2.4. General skew products over countable–to–1 endomorphisms. We now recall some notions and results on general skew products over countable-to-1 endomorphisms from [21], that will be used in the sequel. For general thermodynamic formalism of endomorphisms, one can see [30], [13], [17], [14], [15], [20], [24], etc. In [13] it was proved a result about the exact dimensionality of measures on stable manifolds for hyperbolic endomorphisms. In [21] we proved a result about skew products whose base transformations are modeled by 1-sided shifts on a countable alphabet. Assume we have a skew product:

$$F : X \times Y \to X \times Y,$$

where $X$ and $Y$ are complete bounded metric spaces, $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ for some $d \geq 1$, and

$$F(x, y) = (f(x), g(x, y)),$$

where the map $Y \ni y \mapsto g(x, y)$ is injective and continuous for every $x \in X$. Denote the map $Y \ni y \mapsto g(x, y)$ also by $g_x(y)$. Assume that

$$f : X \to X,$$
is at most countable–to–1, with dynamics modeled by a 1–sided Markov shift on a countable alphabet $E$ with matrix $A$ finitely irreducible, i.e there is a surjective Hölder continuous
\[ p : \mathbb{E}_A^+ \rightarrow X \]
called \textit{coding}, so that $p \circ \sigma = f \circ p$. Assume conditions (a)–(g) from 2.3 are satisfied for,
\[ T_\omega : Y_\omega \rightarrow Y_{\sigma(\omega)}, \quad T_\omega := g_{p(\omega)}, \]
for all $\omega \in \mathbb{E}_A^+$. Then as in [21] we call $F : X \times Y \rightarrow X \times Y$ a \textit{generalized conformal skew product Smale endomorphism}.

Given the skew product $F$ as before, we form a skew product endomorphism by defining
\begin{equation}
F_\omega : Y \rightarrow Y,
\end{equation}
\[ \hat{F}_\omega(y) := g(p(\omega), y). \]
The system $(\hat{Y}, \hat{F})$ is called the \textit{symbolic lift} of $F$. If $\hat{Y} = \mathbb{E}_A^+ \times Y$, we obtain a conformal skew product Smale endomorphism $\hat{F} : \hat{Y} \rightarrow \hat{Y}$ given by
\begin{equation}
\hat{F}(\omega, y) = (\sigma(\omega), \hat{F}_\omega(y))
\end{equation}
As in subsection 2.2, we study the fibers $J_\omega$, $\omega \in \mathbb{E}_A^+$ and the sets $J_x$, $x \in X$. From definition, $J_\omega = \hat{\pi}_2([\omega])$ is the set of points of type
\[ \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \hat{F}_{\tau_1 \omega} \circ \hat{F}_{\tau_2 \tau_1 \omega} \circ \ldots \circ \hat{F}_{\tau_n \ldots \tau_1 \omega}(Y). \]
By \textit{n-prehistory} of $x$ with respect to $(f, X)$ we understand any finite set of points in $X$
\[ (x, x_{-1}, x_{-2}, \ldots, x_{-n}) \in X^{n+1}, \]
where $f(x_{-1}) = x$, $f(x_{-2}) = x_{-1}, \ldots, f(x_{-n}) = x_{-n+1}$. Call a \textit{prehistory} of $x$ with respect to $(f, X)$, any infinite sequence of consecutive preimages in $X$, i.e. $\hat{x} = (x, x_{-1}, x_{-2}, \ldots)$, where $f(x_{-i}) = x_{-i+1}$, $i \geq -1$. The space of prehistories is denoted by $\hat{X}$ and is called the \textit{natural extension} (or \textit{inverse limit}) of $(f, X)$. There is a bijection $\hat{f} : \hat{X} \rightarrow \hat{X},$
\[ \hat{f}(\hat{x}) = (f(x), x, x_{-1}, \ldots). \]
The terms inverse limit and natural extension are both used, without having necessarily an invariant measure on $X$. On $\hat{X}$ we take the canonical metric, which induces the topology equivalent to the one inherited from the product topology on $X^\mathbb{N}$. Then $\hat{f}$ is a homeomorphism. For more on dynamics of endomorphisms and inverse limits, one can see for eg, [4], [30], [17], [5], [13], [20], [15], [16], [14], [18]. In above notation, $f(p(\tau_{-1} \omega)) = p(\omega) = x$, and for all the prehistories of $x$, $\hat{x} = (x, x_{-1}, x_{-2}, \ldots) \in \hat{X}$, consider the set $J_x$ of points of type
\[ \bigcap_{n \geq 1} g_{x_{-1}} \circ g_{x_{-2}} \circ \ldots \circ g_{x_{-n}}(Y) \]
If $\hat{\eta} = (\eta_0, \eta_1, \ldots)$ is another sequence in $\mathbb{E}_A^+$ with $p(\hat{\eta}) = x$, then for any $\eta_{-1}$ with $\eta_{-1} \hat{\eta} \in \mathbb{E}_A^+$, we have $p(\eta_{-1} \hat{\eta}) = x'_{-1}$ where $x'_{-1}$ is a 1-preimage (i.e preimage of order 1) of $x$. Thus,
\begin{equation}
J_x = \bigcup_{\omega \in \mathbb{E}_A^+, p(\omega) = x} J_\omega
\end{equation}
We denote the fibered limit sets for $T$ and $F$ by:

\begin{equation}
J = \bigcup_{\omega \in E_A^+} \{\omega\} \times J_\omega \subset E_A^+ \times Y \quad \text{and} \quad J(x) := \bigcup_{x \in X} \{x\} \times J_x \subset X \times Y
\end{equation}

So $\hat{F}(J) = J$ and $F(J(X)) = J(X)$. The Hölder continuous projection
\[ p_J : J \longrightarrow J(X), \quad p_J(\omega, y) = (p(\omega), y), \]
and we obtain $F \circ p_J = p_J \circ \hat{F}$. In the sequel, $\hat{\pi}_2 : E_A \longrightarrow Y$ and $\hat{\pi} : E_A \longrightarrow E_A^+ \times Y$ are the maps defined in subsection 2.2 and,
\[ \hat{\pi}(\tau) = (\tau|_0^{\infty}, \hat{\pi}_2(\tau)). \]

Now, we want to know if enough points $x \in X$ have unique coding sequences in $E_A^+$.

**Definition 2.12.** [21] Let $F : X \times Y \longrightarrow X \times Y$ be a generalized conformal skew product Smale endomorphism. Let $\mu$ be a Borel probability measure $X$. We then say that the coding
\[ p : E_A^+ \longrightarrow X \]
is $\mu$-injective, if there exists a $\mu$-measurable set $G \subset X$ with $\mu(G) = 1$ such that for every point $x \in G$, the set $p^{-1}(x)$ is a singleton in $E_A^+$. Denote such a set $G$ by $G_\mu$ and for $x \in G_\mu$ the only element of $p^{-1}(x)$ by $\omega(x)$.

In [21] we proved the following.

**Proposition 2.13.** If the coding $p : E_A^+ \longrightarrow X$ is $\mu$-injective, then for every $x \in G_\mu$, we have that $J_x = J_{\omega(x)}$.

In the sequel we work only with $\mu$-injective codings, and the measure $\mu$ will be clear from the context. Also given a metric space $X$ with a coding $p : E_A^+ \rightarrow X$, and a potential $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we say that $\phi$ is Hölder continuous if and only if $\phi \circ p$ is Hölder continuous.

Now consider a potential $\phi : J(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the potential
\[ \hat{\phi} := \phi \circ p_J \circ \hat{\pi} : E_A \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \]
is Hölder continuous and summable. For example, $\hat{\phi}$ is Hölder continuous if $\phi : J(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is itself Hölder continuous. This case will be quite frequent in examples below. Define now

\begin{equation}
\mu_\phi := \mu_{\hat{\phi}} \circ (p_J \circ \hat{\pi})^{-1},
\end{equation}

and call it the equilibrium measure of $\phi$ on $J(X)$ with respect to $F$.

Now, let us consider the partition $\xi'$ of $J(X)$ into the fiber sets $\{x\} \times J_x$, $x \in X$, and the conditional measures $\mu_\phi^x$ associated to $\mu_\phi$ with respect to the measurable partition $\xi'$ (see [29]). Recall that for each $\omega \in E_A^+$, we have $\hat{\pi}_2(\omega) = J_\omega$.

**Definition 2.14.** We say in general that a measure $\mu$ is exact dimensional on a space $X$, if its pointwise dimension at $x$, defined by the formula
\[ d_\mu(x) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \mu(B(x, r))}{\log r}, \]
exists for $\mu$-a.e $x \in X$, and $d_\mu(\cdot)$ is constant $\mu$-almost everywhere (see [27]).
If $\mu$ is a probability $\sigma$-invariant measure on $E_A$, then by $\chi_\mu(\sigma)$ is its Lyapunov exponent,

$$\chi_\mu(\sigma) := -\int_{E_A} \log \left| T_{\tau_0}^{-1}(p_2(\tau)) \right| d\mu(\tau) = -\int_{E_A} \int_{[\omega]} \log \left| T'_\omega(p_2(\tau)) \right| d\tilde{\mu}_\omega(\tau) dm(\omega),$$

where $m = \mu \circ \pi_0^{-1} = \pi_* \mu$ is the canonical projection of $\mu$ onto $E^+_A$.

Define the Lyapunov exponent of an $F$-invariant measure $\mu$ on $J(X) = \bigcup_{x \in X} \{x\} \times J_x$ by:

$$\chi_\mu(F) = -\int_{J(X)} \log |g'_x(y)| d\mu(x,y).$$

Denote by $p_1 : X \times Y \to X$ the canonical projection on the first coordinate, $p_1(x,y) = x$. Then we proved that the conditional measures on fibers are exact dimensional.

**Theorem 2.15.** [21] Let $F : X \times Y \to X \times Y$ a generalized conformal skew product Smale endomorphism. Let $\phi : J(X) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a potential such that $\hat{\phi} := \phi \circ p_1 \circ \hat{\pi} : E_A \to \mathbb{R}$ is Hölder continuous summable. Assume the coding $p : E^+_A \to X$ is $\mu_\phi \circ p_1^{-1}$-injective.

Then, for $\mu_\phi \circ p_1^{-1}$-a.e $x \in X$, the conditional measure $\mu^x_\phi$ is exact dimensional on $J_x$, and

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\log \mu^x_\phi(B(y,r))}{\log r} = \frac{h_{\mu_\phi}(F)}{\chi_{\mu_\phi}(F)} = \text{HD}(\mu^x_\phi),$$

for $\mu^x_\phi$-a.e $y \in J_x$; hence equivalently for $\mu_{\hat{\phi}}$-a.e $(x, y) \in J(X)$.

By using Theorem 2.15, we have proved in [21] the exact dimensionality of conditional measures of equilibrium states on fibers for many types of skew products. Then we also proved the following result about global exact dimensionality of measures on $J(X)$.

**Theorem 2.16.** [21] Let $F : X \times Y \to X \times Y$ a generalized conformal skew product Smale endomorphism. Assume that $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with some integer $d \geq 1$. Let $\mu$ be a Borel probability $F$-invariant measure on $J(X)$, and $(\mu^x)_{x \in X}$ be the Rokhlin’s canonical system of conditional measures of $\mu$, with respect to the partition $(\{x\} \times J_x)_{x \in X}$. Assume that:

(a) There exists $\alpha > 0$ such that for $\mu \circ p_1^{-1}$-a.e $x \in X$, the conditional measure $\mu^x$ is exact dimensional and $\text{HD}(\mu^x) = \alpha$,

(b) The measure $\mu \circ p_1^{-1}$ is exact dimensional on $X$.

Then, the measure $\mu$ is exact dimensional on $J(X)$, and for $\mu$-a.e $(x, y) \in J(X)$,

$$\text{HD}(\mu) = \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\log \mu(B((x, y), r))}{\log r} = \alpha + \text{HD}(\mu \circ p_1^{-1})$$

### 3. Skew Products with the Base Maps Being Graph–Directed Markov Systems

In this section we consider dynamical systems being skew products for which the base map is given by a countable alphabet conformal graph directed Markov system (GDMS). Our main goal is to prove that equilibrium measures for skew products over such base maps are exact dimensional. A directed multigraph consists of:

- A finite set $V$ of vertices,
• A countable (either finite or infinite) set $E$ of directed edges,
• A map $A : E \times E \to \{0, 1\}$ called an incidence matrix on $(V, E)$,
• Two functions $i, t : E \to V$, such that $A_{ab} = 1$ implies $t(a) = i(b)$.

Now suppose also that a collection of nonempty compact metric spaces $\{X_v\}_{v \in V}$ is given along with a number $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, and that for every $e \in E$, we have an injective contraction

$$\phi_e : X_{t(e)} \to X_{i(e)}$$

with Lipschitz constant $\leq \lambda$. Then the collection

$$\mathcal{S} = \{ \phi_e : X_{t(e)} \to X_{i(e)} \}_{e \in E}$$

is called a graph directed Markov system (or GDMS). We now describe the limit set of $\mathcal{S}$. For every $\omega \in E_A^*$, $\{ \phi_{\omega_n} (X_{t(\omega_n)}) \}_{n \geq 1}$ form a descending sequence of compact sets and thus

$$\bigcap_{n \geq 1} \phi_{\omega_n} (X_{t(\omega_n)}) \neq \emptyset.$$ 

Since for every $n \geq 1$, $\diam(\phi_{\omega_n} (X_{t(\omega_n)})) \leq \lambda^n \diam (X_{t(\omega_n)}) \leq \lambda^n \max \{ \diam(X_v) : v \in V \}$, the intersection

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \phi_{\omega_n} (X_{t(\omega_n)})$$

is a singleton, and we denote its only element by $\pi(\omega)$. In this way we define a map

$$\pi : E_A^* \to \coprod_{v \in V} X_v,$$

where $X := \coprod_{v \in V} X_v$ is the disjoint union. The map $\pi$ is called the coding map, and

$$J = J_\mathcal{S} := \pi(E_A^*)$$

is called the limit set of the GDMS $\mathcal{S}$. The sets

$$J_v = \pi(\{ \omega \in E_A^* : i(\omega_1) = v \})$$

for $v \in V$ are called the local limit sets of $\mathcal{S}$.

We call the GDMS $\mathcal{S}$ finite if the alphabet $E$ is finite. Moreover we call $\mathcal{S}$ maximal if for all $a, b \in E$, we have $A_{ab} = 1$ if and only if $t(a) = i(b)$. In [12] a maximal GDMS was called a graph directed system (abbr. GDS). Finally, we call a maximal GDMS $\mathcal{S}$ an iterated function system (or IFS) if $V$, the set of vertices of $\mathcal{S}$, is a singleton. Equivalently, a GDMS is an IFS if and only if the set of vertices of $\mathcal{S}$ is a singleton and all entries of the incidence matrix $A$ are 1. For (countable) IFS with overlaps, see for eg [22], [23], [25].

**Definition 3.1.** We call the GDMS $\mathcal{S}$ and its incidence matrix $A$ finitely (symbolically) irreducible if there exists a finite set $\Lambda \subset E_A^*$ such that for all $a, b \in E$ there exists a word $\omega \in \Lambda$ such that the concatenation $a \omega b$ is in $E_A^*$. $\mathcal{S}$ and $A$ are called finitely primitive if the set $\Lambda$ may be chosen to consist of words all having the same length. Note that all IFSs are finitely primitive.

We call a GDMS conformal if for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$, the following conditions hold:

(a) For every vertex $v \in V$, $X_v$ is a compact connected subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$, and $X_v = \text{Int}(X_v)$.
(b) There exists a family of open connected sets $W_v \subset X_v$ ($v \in V$) such that for every $e \in E$, the map $\phi_e$ extends to a $C^1$ conformal diffeomorphism from $W_{t(e)}$ into $W_{i(e)}$ with Lipschitz constant $\leq \lambda$. 
(c) (Bounded Distortion Property) There are two constants $L \geq 1$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that for every $e \in E$ and every pair of points $x, y \in X_{t(e)}$,

$$\left| \frac{\left| \phi'_e(y) \right|}{\left| \phi'_e(x) \right|} - 1 \right| \leq L \|y - x\|^\alpha,$$

where $|\phi'_e(x)|$ denotes the scaling of the derivative, which is a linear similarity map.

(d) (Open Set Condition) If $a, b \in E$, and $a \neq b$, then $\phi_a(\text{Int}(X_a)) \cap \phi_b(\text{Int}(X_b)) = \emptyset$.

(e) (Boundary Condition) There exists $e \in E$ such that $J_S \cap \text{Int}X_e \neq \emptyset$.

Examples of conformal GDMS contain: GDMS obtained by gluing conformal iterated function systems in the same Euclidean space; and GDMS obtained from Markov partitions $\{X_m\}_{m \in M}$ of expanding conformal maps $f : X \to X$ with $M$ as the set of vertices, and contractions being the inverse branches of $f$, and incidence matrix $A$ given by the respective Markov partition $\{X_m\}_{m \in M}$.

We define the GDMS map

$$f = f_S : J_S \longrightarrow J_S,$$

associated to the system $S$, by

$$f(\phi_e(x)) := x$$

if $x \in \text{Int}(X_{t(e)})$ (then $e$ is uniquely determined), and $f(z)$ to be some given preassigned point $\xi$ of $J_S$, if $z \notin \bigcup_{e \in E} \phi_e(\text{Int}(X_{t(e)}))$.

A special class of conformal GDMSs is provided by one dimensional systems. Indeed, if $X$ is compact interval in $\mathbb{R}$, then the GDMSs, more precisely the derived maps $f_S : J_S \to J_S$, associated to them, are sometimes called expanding Markov-Rényi maps (EMR maps); see [28]. A sufficient condition for (BDP), i.e. (c) is the Rényi condition, i.e,

$$\sup_{e \in E} \sup_{x, y, z \in X} \left\{ \frac{|\phi'_e(x)|}{|\phi'_e(y)| \cdot |\phi'_e(z)|} \right\} < +\infty.$$

Let us now consider a general GDMS map $f : J_S \to J_S$, and a skew product

$$F : J_S \times Y \longrightarrow J_S \times Y,$$

where $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a bounded open set, defined by the formula

$$F(x, y) = (f(x), g(x, y)),$$

Recall from 2.4 that the symbolic lift of $F$ is $\hat{F} : E^+_A \times Y \longrightarrow E^+_A \times Y$, given by

$$\hat{F}(\omega, y) = (\sigma(\omega), g(\pi(\omega), y)).$$

The map $p : E^+_A \to X$ is now equal to the map $\pi_S : E^+_A \to X$. So, the map $p \times \text{Id} : E^+_A \times Y \to J_S \times Y$ is given by the formula $(p \times \text{Id})(\omega, y) := (\pi_S(\omega), y)$. Using the notation
of subsection 2.4, we denote by $p_J$ its restriction to the set

$$J = \bigcup_{\omega \in E_A^+} \{\omega\} \times J_\omega$$

If the symbolic lift $\hat{F}$ is a Hölder conformal skew product Smale endomorphism, then we say by extension that $F$ is a Hölder conformal skew product endomorphism over $f$. Recall also from (2.9) that the fibered limit set of $F$ is

$$J(J_S) = \bigcup_{x \in J_S} \{x\} \times J_x.$$  

The first result, easy but useful for us is the following.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let $f : J_S \rightarrow J_S$ be a finitely irreducible conformal GDMS map, let $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open bounded set, and let $F : J_S \times Y \rightarrow J_S \times Y$ be a Hölder conformal skew product endomorphism over $f$. If $\nu$ is a Borel probability shift–invariant ergodic measure on $E_A^+$ with full topological support, then the coding $p = \pi_S : E_A^+ \rightarrow J_S$ is $\nu \circ \pi_S^{-1}$–injective.

**Proof.** Since $\phi(e(\text{Int} X_t(e))) \subset \text{Int} X_t(e)$, we have that $\sigma^{-n}(\pi_S^{-1}(\text{Int} X)) \subset \pi_S^{-1}(\text{Int} X)$, where

$$\text{Int} X := \bigcup_{e \in E} \text{Int} X_t(e).$$

Since the Borel probability measure $\nu$ is shift–invariant and ergodic, it thus follows that $\nu(\pi_S^{-1}(\text{Int} X)) \in \{0, 1\}$. But since $\text{supp}(\nu) = E_A^+$, we thus conclude from the Strong Open Set Condition (e) that $\nu(\pi_S^{-1}(\text{Int} X)) > 0$. Hence, $\nu(\pi_S^{-1}(\text{Int} X)) = 1$. From the shift–invariance of $\nu$, we thus conclude that

$$\nu \left( \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma^{-n}(\pi_S^{-1}(\text{Int} X)) \right) = 1$$

Denote the set in parentheses by $\text{Int}_\infty(S)$. Then, by the Open Set Condition (d), the map $\pi_S|_{\text{Int}_\infty(S)}$ is one–to–one and $\pi_S^{-1}(\pi_S(\text{Int}_\infty(S))) = \text{Int}_\infty(S)$. Thus,

$$\nu \circ \pi_S^{-1}(\pi_S(\text{Int}_\infty(S))) = \nu(\text{Int}_\infty(S)) = 1,$$

and for every point $x \in \pi_S(\text{Int}_\infty(S))$, the set $\pi_S^{-1}(x)$ is a singleton.  

We can now prove the following result:

**Theorem 3.3.** Let $f : J_S \rightarrow J_S$ be a finitely irreducible conformal GDMS map, let $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be open bounded, and let a Hölder conformal skew–product endomorphism over $f$,

$$F : J_S \times Y \rightarrow J_S \times Y$$

Let $\phi : J(J_S) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a potential such that $\hat{\phi} = \phi \circ p_J \circ \hat{\pi} : E_A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a locally Hölder continuous summable potential on $E_A$. Then, for $\mu_\phi \circ p_1^{-1}$–a.e $x \in X$, the conditional
measure $\mu^x_\phi$ is exact dimensional on $J_x$, and, for $\mu^x_\phi$-a.e. $y \in J_x$,
\[
\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\log \mu^x_\phi(B(y,r))}{\log r} = \frac{h_{\mu^x_\phi}(F)}{\chi_{\mu^x_\phi}(F)} = \text{HD}(\mu^x_\phi)
\]

Proof. One needs to notice that $\text{supp}(\mu^x_\phi \circ \pi^{-1}) = E^+_A$ since $\mu^x_\phi$ is the equilibrium state of the locally Hölder continuous summable potential $\hat{\phi}$ on $E_A$. We showed in [21] that
\[
\mu^x_\phi \circ p_1^{-1} = \mu^x_\phi \circ (p_1 \circ \hat{\pi})^{-1} \circ p_1^{-1} = \mu^x_\phi \circ (p_1 \circ p_1 \circ \hat{\pi})^{-1} = \mu^x_\phi \circ (p \circ \pi_1)^{-1} = \mu^x_\phi \circ \pi^{-1} \circ p^{-1}
\]
This allows then to apply Lemma 3.2, with the measure $p = \mu^x_\phi \circ \pi^{-1}$, in order to conclude that the coding $p = \pi_S : E^+_A \to X$ is $\mu^x_\phi \circ p_1^{-1}$-injective.

Hence Theorem 2.15 applies to give the exact dimensionality of the conditional measure $\mu^x_\phi$, together with the formula for its Hausdorff dimension. □

Now consider the following situation. Let $S$, $f$, $F$, and $Y$ be as above. Let $\theta : J_S \to \mathbb{R}$ be an arbitrary potential such that $\theta \circ \pi_S : E^+_A \to \mathbb{R}$ is a locally Hölder continuous summable potential. Let
\[
(3.2) \quad \phi_\theta := \theta \circ p_1 : J(J_S) \to \mathbb{R}.
\]
Then we have the following.

Lemma 3.4. The potential $\hat{\phi}_\theta = \phi_\theta \circ p_1 \circ \hat{\pi} : E_A \to \mathbb{R}$ is locally Hölder continuous and summable.

Proof. Since $\hat{\phi}_\theta = (\theta \circ \pi_S) \circ \pi_1$, it follows that $\hat{\phi}_\theta$ is locally Hölder continuous as a composition of two locally Hölder continuous functions. From the definition of summability, the function $\hat{\phi}_\theta : E_A \to \mathbb{R}$ is summable, since $\theta \circ \pi_S : E^+_A \to \mathbb{R}$ is summable. □

In this setting, as a result related to Theorem 3.3, we get the following.

Theorem 3.5. Let $S$ be a finitely irreducible conformal GDMS. Let $f : J_S \to J_S$ be the corresponding GDMS map. Let $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open bounded set, and let
\[
F : J_S \times Y \to J_S \times Y
\]
be a Hölder conformal skew product endomorphism over $f$. Let also $\theta : J_S \to \mathbb{R}$ be a potential such that $\theta \circ \pi_S : E^+_A \to \mathbb{R}$ is a locally Hölder continuous summable. Then,

(a) For $\mu_{\theta \circ \pi_S} \circ \pi^{-1}_S$-a.e. $x \in J_S$, the conditional measure $\mu^x_\phi$ is exact dimensional on $J_x$, and
\[
\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\log \mu^x_\phi(B(y,r))}{\log r} = \frac{h_{\mu^x_\phi}(F)}{\chi_{\mu^x_\phi}(F)}
\]
for $\mu^x_\phi$-a.e. $y \in J_x$; hence, equivalently for $\mu^x_\phi$-a.e. $(x,y) \in J(J_S)$.

(b) The equilibrium state $\mu^*_{\phi_\theta}$ of $\phi_\theta : J(J_S) \to \mathbb{R}$ for $F$, is exact dimensional on $J(J_S)$ and
\[
\text{HD}(\mu_{\phi_\theta}) = \frac{h_{\mu_{\phi_\theta}}(F)}{\chi_{\mu_{\phi_\theta}}(F)} + \text{HD}(\mu_{\theta \circ \pi_S} \circ \pi^{-1}_S) = \frac{h_{\mu_{\phi_\theta}}(F)}{\chi_{\mu_{\phi_\theta}}(F)} + \frac{h_{\mu_{\theta \circ \pi_S}}}{\chi_{\mu_{\theta \circ \pi_S}}}.
\]
Proof. It follows from (2.10) that
\[ \mu_{\phi} \circ p_1^{-1} = \mu_{\phi_{opJ} \circ \hat{\pi}} \circ (p_J \circ \hat{\pi})^{-1} \circ p_1^{-1} = \mu_{\theta_{op1 \circ p_J \circ \hat{\pi}}} \circ (p_1 \circ p_J \circ \hat{\pi})^{-1}. \]
Since \( \pi_S \circ \pi_1 = p_1 \circ p_J \circ \hat{\pi} \), then,
\[ \mu_{\theta_{opS}} \circ \pi_S^{-1} = \mu_{\theta_{opS \circ \pi_1}} \circ \pi_1^{-1} \circ \pi_S^{-1} = \mu_{\theta_{op1 \circ opJ \circ \hat{\pi}}} \circ (p_1 \circ p_J \circ \hat{\pi})^{-1}. \]
Therefore,
\[ \mu_{\phi} \circ p_1^{-1} = \mu_{\theta_{opS}} \circ \pi_S^{-1}. \]
Hence, (a) follows now directly from Theorem 3.3, while (b) follows from (a) and Theorem 2.16 since exact dimensionality of the measure \( \mu_{\theta_{opS}} \circ \pi_S^{-1} \) has been proved in [22]. Indeed in [22] we proved, as a particular case of the random case, the exact dimensionality for all projections of ergodic invariant measures on limit sets of countable conformal IFSs with arbitrary overlaps; and this result extends easily to GDMS.

\[ \square \]

An immediate consequence of Theorem 3.3 is this:

Corollary 3.6. Let \( f : J_S \to J_S \) be a finitely irreducible conformal GDMS map, let \( Y \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) be an open bounded set, and let
\[ F : J_S \times Y \to J_S \times Y \]
be a Hölder conformal skew product endomorphism over \( f \). Let \( \phi : J(J_S) \to \mathbb{R} \) be a locally Hölder continuous potential such that \( \dot{\phi} := \phi \circ p_J \circ \hat{\pi} : E_A \to \mathbb{R} \) is summable. Then, for \( \mu_{\phi} \circ p_1^{-1} \)-a.e \( x \in X \), the conditional measure \( \mu_{\phi}^{x} \) is exact dimensional on \( J_x \), and
\[ \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\log \mu_{\phi}^{x}(B(y, r))}{\log r} = \frac{h_{\mu_{\phi}}(F)}{\chi_{\mu_{\phi}}(F)} = \text{HD}(\mu_{\phi}^{x}), \]
for \( \mu_{\phi}^{x} \)-a.e \( y \in J_x \); hence, equivalently, for \( \mu_{\phi} \)-a.e \( (x, y) \in J(J_S) \).

A Corollary of Theorem 3.5, which will be applied to EMR maps (in the sense of [28]), is then the following:

Corollary 3.7. Let \( S \) be a finitely irreducible conformal GDMS. Let \( f : J_S \to J_S \) be the corresponding GDMS map. Let \( Y \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) be an open bounded set, and let
\[ F : J_S \times Y \to J_S \times Y \]
be a Hölder conformal skew product endomorphism over \( f \). Let \( \theta : J_S \to \mathbb{R} \) be a locally Hölder continuous potential so that \( \theta \circ \pi_S : E_A^+ \to \mathbb{R} \) is a summable potential. Then,
(a) For \( \mu_{\theta_{opS}} \circ \pi_S^{-1} \)-a.e. \( x \in J_S \), the conditional measure \( \mu_{\theta}^{x} \) is exact dimensional on \( J_x \); in fact
\[ \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\log \mu_{\theta}^{x}(B(y, r))}{\log r} = \frac{h_{\mu_{\theta}}(F)}{\chi_{\mu_{\theta}}(F)} \]
for \( \mu_{\theta}^{x} \)-a.e. \( y \in J_x \); hence, equivalently for \( \mu_{\theta} \)-a.e \( (x, y) \in J(J_S) \).
(b) The equilibrium state \( \mu_{\phi} \) of \( \phi : J(J_S) \to \mathbb{R} \) for \( F \), is exact dimensional on \( J(J_S) \) and
\[ \text{HD}(\mu_{\phi}) = \frac{h_{\mu_{\phi}}(F)}{\chi_{\mu_{\phi}}(F)} + \text{HD}(\mu_{\theta_{opS}} \circ \pi_S^{-1}) = \frac{h_{\mu_{\theta}}(F)}{\chi_{\mu_{\theta}}(F)} + \frac{h_{\mu_{\theta_{opS}}}}{\chi_{\mu_{\theta_{opS}}}}. \]
Remark 3.8. As mentioned above, it follows from the last Corollary that our results hold in a particular setting when the derived map \( f_S : I \to I \), associated to the GDMS \( S \), is an expanding Markov-Rényi (EMR) map in the sense of [28].

Now, consider further an arbitrary conformal GDMS
\[
S = \{ \phi_e : X_{i(e)} \to X_{i(e)} \}_{e \in E}
\]
Let \( \theta : J_S \to \mathbb{R} \) be a potential such that \( \theta \circ \pi_S : E_A^+ \to \mathbb{R} \) is locally Hölder continuous and summable. Of particular importance are then the potentials \( \theta_{q,t} : J_S \to \mathbb{R}, t, q \in \mathbb{R}, \)
\[
(3.3) \quad \theta_{q,t}(x) := t \log |\phi'_e(x)| + q(\theta(\phi_e(x)) - P(\theta))
\]
playing a significant role for developing a multifractal analysis of equilibrium states. Then
\[
\theta_{q,t} \circ \pi_S(\omega) = t \log |\phi'_{\omega_0}(\pi_S(\omega))| + q(\theta \circ \pi_S(\omega) - P(\phi))
\]
In terms of the GDMS map \( f_S : J_S \to J_S \) associated to \( S \), and defined by (3.1), we have
\[
(3.4) \quad \theta_{q,t}(x) = -t \log |f'(x)| + q(\theta(x) - P(\theta)).
\]
From the Bounded Distortion Property (BDP) from the definition of conformal GDMSs, the first summand in the above formula is Hölder continuous and the second one is Hölder continuous by its definition. Thus, we obtain:

Lemma 3.9. For all \( q, t \in \mathbb{R} \) the potential \( \theta_{q,t} \circ \pi_S : E_A^+ \to \mathbb{R} \) is locally Hölder continuous.

The problem of parameters \( q, t \in \mathbb{R} \) for which \( \theta_{q,t} \circ \pi_S : E_A^+ \to \mathbb{R} \) are summable is more delicate and has been treated in detail in [6]. The importance of the geometric potentials \( \theta_{q,t} \circ \pi_S \) is due to the fact that these are suitable for a description of geometry of the limit set \( J_S \). If \( q = 0 \), then the parameter \( t \geq 0 \) for which \( P(\theta_{q,t}) = 0 \) (if it exists) coincides with the Hausdorff dimension \( \text{HD}(J_S) \) of the limit set \( J_S \), and also these potentials play a role in multifractal analysis of the equilibrium state \( \mu_{\theta_{0} \circ \pi_S} \circ \pi_S^{-1} \), for example as in [6], [12], [28].

Denote by \( \Sigma(S, \theta) \) the set of pairs \((t, q) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \) for which the potential \( \theta_{q,t} \circ \pi_S : E_A^+ \to \mathbb{R} \) is summable and by \( \Sigma_0(S, \theta) \) the set of those \( q \in \mathbb{R} \) for which there exists a (unique) real number \( T(q) \), usually referred to as a temperature, so that \((q, T(q)) \in \Sigma(S, \theta) \) and
\[
P(\theta_{q,T(q)} \circ \pi_S) = 0.
\]
We now are in the setting of Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 3.5. For \( q \in \Sigma_0(S, \theta) \) abbreviate
\[
\psi_q := \phi_{\theta_{q,T(q)}} = \theta_{q,T(q)} \circ \pi_1 : J(S) \to \mathbb{R}.
\]
From Theorem 3.5, we get the following.

Corollary 3.10. With \( \theta_{q,t} \) defined in (3.3) and with notation following it, we have the following. If \( q \in \Sigma_0(S, \theta) \), then,
(a) For \( \mu_{\theta_{q,T(q)} \circ \pi_S} \circ \pi_S^{-1} \)-a.e. \( x \in J_S \), the conditional measure \( \mu^x_{\psi_q} \) is exact dimensional on \( J_x \); in fact
\[
\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\log \mu^x_{\psi_q}(B(y, r))}{\log r} = h_{\mu_{\psi_q}}(F) = \chi_{\mu_{\psi_q}}(F)
\]
for \( \mu^x_{\psi_q} \)-a.e. \( y \in J_x \); hence, equivalently for \( \mu_{\psi_q} \)-a.e. \((x, y) \in J(J_S)\).
(b) The equilibrium state $\mu_{\psi_q}$ of $\psi_q : J(J_S) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $F$, is exact dimensional on $J(J_S)$, and

$$\text{HD}(\mu_{\psi_q}) = \frac{h_{\mu_{\psi_q}}(F)}{\chi_{\mu_{\psi_q}}(F)} + \text{HD}(\mu_{\theta, T(0)^{\circ} \circ \pi_S}) = \frac{h_{\mu_{\theta, T(0)^{\circ} \circ \pi_S}}(F)}{\chi_{\mu_{\theta, T(0)^{\circ} \circ \pi_S}}(F)} + \frac{h_{\mu_{\theta, T(0)^{\circ} \circ \pi_S}}(F)}{\chi_{\mu_{\theta, T(0)^{\circ} \circ \pi_S}}(F)}.$$

3.1. Skew products with conformal parabolic GDMSs in the base. Now we pass to the second large class of examples, built on parabolic iterated function systems.

Assume again that we are given

- a directed multigraph $(V, E, i, t)$ ($E$ countable, $V$ finite),
- an incidence matrix $A : E \times E \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$,
- two functions $i, t : E \rightarrow V$ such that $A_{ab} = 1$ implies $t(a) = i(b)$,
- nonempty compact metric spaces $\{X_v\}_{v \in V}$.

Suppose further that we have a collection of conformal maps

$$\phi_e : X_{t(e)} \rightarrow X_{i(e)}, \ e \in E,$$

satisfying the following conditions (which are more general than the above in that we do not necessarily assume that the maps are uniform contractions):

1. (Open Set Condition) $\phi_a(\text{Int}(X)) \cap \phi_b(\text{Int}(X)) = \emptyset$, for all $a, b \in E$ with $a \neq b$.
2. $|\phi_e'(x)| < 1$ everywhere except for finitely many pairs $(e, x_e)$, $e \in E$, for which $x_e$ is the unique fixed point of $\phi_e$ and $|\phi_e'(x_e)| = 1$. Such pairs and indices $e$ will be called parabolic and the set of parabolic indices will be denoted by $\Omega$. All other indices will be called hyperbolic. We assume $A_{ee} = 1$ for all $e \in \Omega$.
3. $\forall n \geq 1 \forall \omega = (\omega_1 \omega_2 ... \omega_n) \in E_A^n$ if $\omega_n$ is a hyperbolic index or $\omega_{n-1} \neq \omega_n$, then $\phi_{\omega}$ extends conformally to an open connected $W_{t(\omega_n)} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and maps $W_{t(\omega_n)}$ into $W_{i(\omega_n)}$.
4. If $e \in E$ is a parabolic index, then

$$\bigcap_{n \geq 0} \phi_{en}(X) = \{x_e\}$$

and the diameters of the sets $\phi_{en}(X)$ converge to 0.
5. (Bounded Distortion Property) $\exists K \geq 1 \forall n \geq 1 \forall \omega \in E_A^n \forall x, y \in W_{t(\omega_n)}$ if $\omega_n$ is a hyperbolic index or $\omega_{n-1} \neq \omega_n$, then

$$\frac{|\phi_{\omega}'(y)|}{|\phi_{\omega}'(x)|} \leq K.$$

6. $\exists \kappa < 1 \forall n \geq 1 \forall \omega \in E_A^n$ if $\omega_n$ is a hyperbolic index or $\omega_{n-1} \neq \omega_n$, then $\|\phi_{\omega}'\| \leq \kappa$.
7. (Cone Condition) There exist $\alpha, l > 0$ such that for every $x \in \partial X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ there exists an open cone $\text{Con}(x, \alpha, l) \subset \text{Int}(X)$ with vertex $x$, central angle of Lebesgue measure $\alpha$, and altitude $l$.
8. There exists a constant $L \geq 1$ such that for every $e \in E$ and every $x, y \in V$,

$$\left|\frac{\phi_e'(y)}{\phi_e'(x)} - 1\right| \leq L\|y - x\|^{\alpha}.$$

We call such a system $\mathcal{S} = \{\phi_e : e \in E\}$ a subparabolic conformal graph directed Markov system.
Definition 3.11. If $\Omega \neq \emptyset$, we call the system $S = \{\phi_i : i \in E\}$ parabolic.

As declared in (2) the elements of the set $E \setminus \Omega$ are called hyperbolic. We extend this name to all the words appearing in (5) and (6). It follows from (3) that for every hyperbolic word $\omega$, $\phi_\omega(W_{t(\omega)}) \subset W_{t(\omega)}$. Note that our conditions ensure that $\phi'_e(x) \neq 0$ for all $e \in E$ and all $x \in X_{t(i)}$. It was proved (though only for IFSs but the case of GDMSs can be treated completely similarly) in [9] (comp. [12]) that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{\omega \in E_A^*} \{\text{diam}(\phi_\omega(X_{t(\omega)}))\} = 0.$$  

This implies then:

Corollary 3.12. The map $\pi : E_A^+ \to X := \bigoplus_{v \in V} X_v$, $\{\pi(\omega)\} := \bigcap_{n \geq 0} \phi_{\omega|_n}(X)$, is well-defined, and $\pi$ is uniformly continuous.

Similarly as for hyperbolic (attracting) systems the limit set $J = J_S$ of $S = \{\phi_e\}_{e \in e}$ is,

$$J_S := \pi(E_A^+)$$

and satisfies the following self-reproducing property: $J = \bigcup_{e \in E} \phi_e(J)$.

We now want to associate to the parabolic system $S$ a canonical hyperbolic system $S^*$; this will be done by using the jump transform ([34]). We will then be able to apply the ideas from the previous section to $S^*$. The set of edges is:

$$E_* := \{i^n j : n \geq 1, i \in \Omega, i \neq j \in E, A_{ij} = 1\} \cup (E \setminus \Omega) \subset E_A^*.$$  

We set

$$V_* := V$$

and keep the functions $t$ and $i$ on $E_*$ as the restrictions of $t$ and $i$ from $E_A^*$. The incidence matrix $A_* : E_* \times E_* \to \{0,1\}$ is defined in the natural (the only reasonable) way by declaring that $A_{e|e} = 1$ if and only if $ab \in E_A^*$. Finally

$$S^* := \{\phi_e : X_{t(e)} \to X_{t(e)} | e \in E^*\}.$$  

It follows from our assumptions (see [9] and [12]) that the following is true.

Theorem 3.13. The system $S^*$ is a hyperbolic (contracting) conformal GDMS and the limit sets $J_S$ and $J_{S^*}$ differ only by a countable set. If the system $S$ is finitely irreducible, then so is the system $S^*$.

The most important advantage of $S^*$ is that it is a an attracting conformal GDMS. On the other hand, the price we pay by replacing the non–uniform contractions in $S$ with the uniform contractions in $S^*$ is that even if the alphabet $E$ is finite, the alphabet $E_*$ of $S^*$ is always infinite. Thus we will be able to apply our results on infinite Smale systems. We have the following quantitative behavior around parabolic points.

Proposition 3.14. Let $S$ be a conformal parabolic GDMS. Then there exists a constant $C \in (0, +\infty)$ and for every $i \in \Omega$ there exists some constant $\beta_i \in (0, +\infty)$ such that for all $n \geq 1$ and for all $z \in X_i := \bigcup_{j \in \Gamma_i} \phi_j(X)$,

$$C^{-1} n^{-\beta_i+1} \leq |\phi'_e(z)| \leq C n^{-\beta_i+1}.$$  

If $d = 2$ then all constants $\beta_i$ are integers $\geq 1$, and if $d \geq 3$ then all $\beta_i$ are equal to 1.
From Theorem 3.5 we obtain:

**Corollary 3.15.** Let $S$ be an irreducible conformal parabolic GDMS. Let $S^*$ be the corresponding attracting conformal GDMS produced in Theorem 3.13. Furthermore, let $f : J_{S^*} \to J_{S^*}$ be the corresponding GDMS map. Let $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open bounded set, and let

$$F : J_{S^*} \times Y \to J_{S^*} \times Y$$

be a Hölder conformal skew product endomorphism over $f$. Let $\theta : J_{S^*} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a potential such that $\theta \circ \pi_{S^*} : E^+_A \to \mathbb{R}$ is a locally Hölder continuous summable potential. Then,

(a) For $\mu_{\theta \circ \pi_{S^*}} \circ \pi_{S^*}^{-1}$ a.e. $x \in J_{S^*}$, the conditional measure $\mu_{\phi_{\theta}}^x$ is exact dimensional on $J_x$ and for $\mu_{\phi_{\theta}}^x$ a.e. $y \in J_x$ (hence, equivalently for $\mu_{\phi_{\theta}} -$a.e. $(x,y) \in J(J_{S^*})$) we have,

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\log \mu_{\phi_{\theta}}^x(B(y,r))}{\log r} = \frac{h_{\mu_{\phi_{\theta}}}(F)}{\chi_{\mu_{\phi_{\theta}}}(F)}$$

(b) The equilibrium state $\mu_{\phi_{\theta}}$ of $\phi_{\theta} : J(J_{S^*}) \to \mathbb{R}$ for $F$, is exact dimensional on $J(J_{S^*})$ and

$$\text{HD}(\mu_{\phi_{\theta}}) = \frac{h_{\mu_{\phi_{\theta}}}(F)}{\chi_{\mu_{\phi_{\theta}}}(F)} + \text{HD}(\mu_{\theta \circ \pi_{S^*}} \circ \pi_{S^*}^{-1}) = \frac{h_{\mu_{\phi_{\theta}}}(F)}{\chi_{\mu_{\phi_{\theta}}}(F)} + \frac{h_{\mu_{\theta \circ \pi_{S^*}}}}{\chi_{\mu_{\theta \circ \pi_{S^*}}}}.$$

From Corollary 3.10, by replacing $S$ with $S^*$, we get:

**Corollary 3.16.** With $\theta_{q,t}$ defined in (3.3) and with notation following it, we have the following. If $q \in \Sigma_0(S^*, \theta)$, then we obtain for the potential $\psi_q = \theta_{q,T(q)} \circ p_1 : J(J_{S^*}) \to \mathbb{R}$ the same conclusions (a), (b) as in Corollary 3.15.

We investigate now an example, denoted by $I$, formed by the inverse maps of the two continuous pieces of the Manneville–Pomeau map $f_{\alpha} : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ defined by:

$$f_{\alpha}(x) = x + x^{1+\alpha} \text{ (mod 1)},$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is arbitrary fixed. Of course the GDMS map resulting from $I$ is $f_{\alpha}$.

Then as a consequence of Corollary 3.15, we obtain:

**Corollary 3.17.** $\alpha > 0$. Let $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open bounded set, and let

$$F_{\alpha} : J_I \times Y \to J_I \times Y$$

be a Hölder conformal skew product endomorphism over the Manneville–Pomeau map $f_{\alpha}$.

Let $\theta : J_I \to \mathbb{R}$ be a potential such that $\theta \circ \pi_I : \mathbb{N}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ is a locally Hölder continuous summable potential. Then, the conclusions of Corollary 3.15 will hold in this case.

Also for the geometric potentials $\theta_{q,T(q)}$ we obtain:

**Corollary 3.18.** With $\theta_{q,t}$ defined in (3.3), then for $q \in \Sigma_0(I, \theta)$, we obtain the same conclusions (a), (b) as in Corollary 3.17 for the potential $\theta_{q,T(q)}$.

**Remark 3.19.** In constructing the attracting conformal GDMS of Theorem 3.13 we built on Schweiger’s jump transformation, [34]. We could also use inducing on each $X_v$, $v \in V \setminus \Omega$, considering the system generated by $\phi_{\omega}$ where $i(\omega) = t(\omega \mid _{\omega}) = v$ and $i(\omega_k) \neq v$ for all $k = 2,3,\ldots, |\omega| - 1$. The “jump” construction of Theorem 3.13 seems to be somewhat better as it usually leads to a smaller system.
3.2. Backward continued fractions. Each irrational \( x \in [0,1] \) has a unique expansion in the form of backward continued fraction:

\[
x = \frac{1}{\omega_1 - \frac{1}{\omega_2 - \frac{1}{\omega_3 - \cdots}}},
\]

where \( \omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots \) are integers \( \geq 2 \). The corresponding map to the Gauss map from the regular continued fractions, is the Rényi map \( V : [0,1) \rightarrow [0,1) \) given by the formula

\[
V(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1-x} & \text{if } x \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}
\]

The graph of Rényi map is the reflection of the graph of the Gauss map in the line \( x = \frac{1}{2} \).

The backward continued fraction system \( S \) is given correspondingly by the maps:

\[
(3.6) \quad \phi_i : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1], \quad \phi_i(x) = \frac{1}{i-x}, \quad i \geq 2.
\]

Hence the map \( \phi_2 \) has a neutral fixed point at 1, and is contracting everywhere else. All the other maps \( \phi_j \) are contracting on \([0,1), \) for \( j \geq 3 \). Unlike for the regular continued fractions where the invariant absolutely continuous measure for the Gauss map is the measure \( \frac{dx}{1+x} \), for backward continued fractions, the invariant absolutely continuous measure for \( V \) is \( \frac{dx}{x} \) (see [1]). The backward continued fraction map \( V \) is a factor of a cross-section map for the geodesic flow on the unit tangent bundle of the modular surface.

The system given by (3.6) satisfies our conditions for a parabolic system. We can then apply Corollary 3.15 with regard to a Hölder conformal skew product endomorphism \( F : J_{S^*} \times Y \rightarrow J_{S^*} \times Y \) over \( f \), to obtain the exact dimensionality of the conditional measures of the equilibrium measure \( \mu_\phi \) of any Hölder continuous summable potential \( \phi \). We infer also the global exact dimensionality of \( \mu_\phi \) on \( J_{S^*} \times Y \).

4. Natural Extensions of Graph Directed Markov Systems

Finally in this section, we want to define and explore the systems we refer to which as natural extensions of graph directed Markov systems. More precisely, let \( S = \{ \phi_e : X_{t(e)} \rightarrow X_{i(e)} \}_{e \in E} \) be a conformal finitely irreducible graph directed Markov system and let

\[
f = f_S : J_S \rightarrow J_S
\]

be the GDMS map associated to the system \( S \) and given by formula (3.1). Fix an arbitrarily chosen point \( \xi \in J_S \). We then define the skew product map

\[
\tilde{f} : J_S \times J_S \rightarrow J_S \times J_S,
\]

(4.1) \( \tilde{f}(\phi_e(x), y) := (x, \phi_e(y)) = (f(\phi_e(x)), \phi_e(y)) \),

if \( x \in \text{Int}(X_{t(e)}) \) (then \( e \) is uniquely determined), and

(4.2) \( \tilde{f}(z, y) := (\xi, \xi) \),

if \( z \notin \bigcup_{e \in E} \phi_e(\text{Int}(X_{t(e)})) \). We call it the natural extension (or inverse limit) of \( f \), see also 2.4. For applications to endomorphisms see for eg [31], [19], [14], [15], [17]. In [15] it was constructed and studied in detail a large class of skew product endomorphisms with
overlaps in fibers, which dynamically are far from both homeomorphisms and constant-to-1 endomorphisms, in regard to their fiber dimensions. From Theorem 3.3 we obtain:

**Corollary 4.1.** Let $S$ be a conformal finitely irreducible graph directed Markov system and let $f = f_S : J_S \to J_S$ be the corresponding GDMS map. Let also

$$\hat{f} : J_S \times \overline{J}_S \to J_S \times \overline{J}_S$$

be the natural extension of the map $f$, as defined above. Let $\phi : J_S \times \overline{J}_S \to \mathbb{R}$ be a potential such that $\hat{\phi} := \phi \circ p \circ \hat{\pi} : E_A \to \mathbb{R}$ is locally Hölder continuous and summable. Then, for $\mu_\phi \circ p^{-1}$-a.e. $x \in J_S$, the conditional measure $\mu^x_\phi$ is exact dimensional on $\overline{J}_S$, and

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\log \mu^x_\phi(B(y, r))}{\log r} = \frac{h_{\mu_\phi}(\hat{f})}{\chi_{\mu_\phi}(\hat{f})},$$

for $\mu^x_\phi$-a.e. $y \in \overline{J}_S$; hence, equivalently, for $\mu_\phi$-a.e. $(x, y) \in J_S \times \overline{J}_S$.

As a consequence of Theorem 3.5 we obtain the following.

**Corollary 4.2.** Let $S$ be a conformal finitely irreducible graph directed Markov system and let $f = f_S : J_S \to J_S$ be the corresponding GDMS map. Furthermore, let

$$\hat{f} : J_S \times \overline{J}_S \to J_S \times \overline{J}_S$$

be the natural extension of the map $f$. Let $\theta : J_S \to \mathbb{R}$ be an arbitrary potential such that $\theta \circ \pi_S : E_A^+ \to J_S$ is a locally Hölder continuous summable potential. Then,

(a) For $\mu_{\theta \circ \pi_S} \circ p^{-1}$-a.e. $x \in J_S$, the conditional measure $\mu^x_{\phi_\theta}$ is exact dimensional on $J_x$; in fact for $\mu^x_{\phi_\theta}$-a.e. $y \in J_x$,

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\log \mu^x_{\phi_\theta}(B(y, r))}{\log r} = \frac{h_{\mu_{\phi_\theta}}(\hat{f})}{\chi_{\mu_{\phi_\theta}}(\hat{f})}.$$

(b) The equilibrium state $\mu_{\phi_\theta}$ of $\phi_\theta : J_S \times \overline{J}_S \to \mathbb{R}$ for $\hat{f}$, is exact dimensional and,

$$\text{HD}(\mu_{\phi_\theta}) = \frac{h_{\mu_{\phi_\theta}}(\hat{f})}{\chi_{\mu_{\phi_\theta}}(\hat{f})} + \text{HD}(\mu_{\theta \circ \pi_S} \circ p^{-1}) = \frac{h_{\mu_{\phi_\theta}}(\hat{f})}{\chi_{\mu_{\phi_\theta}}(\hat{f})} + \frac{h_{\mu_{\theta \circ \pi_S}}}{\chi_{\mu_{\theta \circ \pi_S}}}.$$

Thus, in the important case of the Gauss map and the continued fraction system, we have the exact dimensionality of the conditional measures on the fibers of the natural extension, and also the global exact dimensionality of equilibrium measures:

**Corollary 4.3.** Let $\mathbb{Q}^c$ be the set of all irrational numbers in the unit interval $[0, 1]$. Let $G : \mathbb{Q}^c \to \mathbb{Q}^c$ the Gauss map $G(x) := \{ \frac{1}{x} \}$, and let the iterated function system on $[0, 1]

$$S_G = \left\{ [0, 1] \ni x \mapsto \frac{1}{x + n} \in [0, 1] \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}},$$

consisting of all inverse branches of the Gauss map $G$, so that $G = f_{S_G}$. Let

$$\hat{G} : J_G \times \overline{J}_G \to J_G \times \overline{J}_G$$

be the natural extension of $G$. Let $\theta : J_G \to \mathbb{R}$ be a potential such that $\theta \circ \pi_G : E_A^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ is locally Hölder continuous summable. Then the conclusion of Corollary 4.2 holds.
5. Generalized Lüroth Systems and Their Inverse Limits

We include this short section since it is needed for the full treatment of $\beta$-transformations, for arbitrary $\beta > 1$, and of their natural extensions. It just collects the results of the previous sections in the case of a special subclass of maps.

**Definition 5.1.** We call an iterated function system $\mathcal{S} = \{\phi_e : I \to I\}_{e \in E}$ a Lüroth system if the maps $\phi_e : I \to I$, $e \in E$, are of the form $x \mapsto ax + b$, $\alpha \neq 0$, and $\text{Leb} \left( \bigcup_{e \in E} \phi_e(I) \right) = 1$.

Let $f = f_S : J_S \to J_S$ be the map associated to the system $\mathcal{S}$ and given by formula (3.1):

\begin{equation}
(5.1)
\quad f(\phi_e(x)) = x
\end{equation}

Writing $\phi_e(x) = a_e x + b_e$, $e \in E$, with $a_e \in (0, 1)$, we rewrite (5.1) in the following more explicit form

\begin{equation}
(5.2)
\quad f(x) = \begin{cases} 
    a_e^{-1} x - a_e^{-1} b_e, & \text{if } x \in \text{Int}(\phi_e(I)) \\
    0, & \text{if } x \notin \bigcup_{e \in E} \text{Int}(\phi_e(I)).
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

In particular, we took the given preassigned point $\xi$ involved in (3.1) to be 0. In the sequel we will need however mainly only the definition of $f$ on $\bigcup_{e \in E} \text{Int}(\phi_e(I))$.

The natural extension $\tilde{f} : J_S \times \overline{J}_S \to J_S \times \overline{J}_S$ of $f$ is given by formula (4.1). In more explicit terms, we have:

\begin{equation}
(5.3)
\quad \tilde{f}(x, y) = \begin{cases} 
    (f(x), a_e y + b_e) = (a_e^{-1} x - a_e^{-1} b_e, a_e y + b_e), & x \in \text{Int}(\phi_e(I)) \\
    (0, 0), & x \notin \bigcup_{e \in E} \text{Int}(\phi_e(I)).
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

As consequences of Corollary 4.1, we have:

**Corollary 5.2.** Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a Lüroth system system and let $f = f_S : J_S \to J_S$ be the corresponding GDMS map. Furthermore, let

\begin{equation}
\tilde{f} : J_S \times \overline{J}_S \to J_S \times \overline{J}_S
\end{equation}

be the natural extension of the map $f$. Let $\phi : J_S \times \overline{J}_S \to \mathbb{R}$ be a potential such that $\hat{\phi} = \phi \circ p_J \circ \hat{\pi} : E_A \to \mathbb{R}$ is locally Hölder continuous and summable. Then, for $\mu_\phi \circ p_1^{-1}$-a.e $x \in J_S$, the conditional measure $\mu_\phi^x$ is exact dimensional on $\overline{J}_S$, and moreover,

\begin{equation}
\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\log \mu_\phi^x(B(y, r))}{\log r} = \frac{h_{\mu_\phi}(\tilde{f})}{\chi_{\mu_\phi}(\tilde{f})},
\end{equation}

for $\mu_\phi^x$-a.e $y \in \overline{J}_S$; hence, equivalently, for $\mu_\phi$-a.e $(x, y) \in J_S \times \overline{J}_S$.

In particular, the above conclusions hold if $\phi : J_S \times \overline{J}_S \to \mathbb{R}$ is a locally Hölder continuous potential with $\hat{\phi} = \phi \circ p_J \circ \hat{\pi} : E_A \to \mathbb{R}$ summable. And the above conclusions hold if $\theta : J_S \to \mathbb{R}$ with $\theta \circ \pi_S : E_A^+ \to J_S$ locally Hölder continuous summable potential.
6. Thermodynamic Formalism for Inverse Limits of $\beta$–Maps, $\beta > 1$

For arbitrary $\beta > 1$, the $\beta$–transformation is $T_\beta : [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, 1)$,
$$T_\beta(x) = \beta x \pmod 1,$$
and the $\beta$–expansion of $x$ is:
$$x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_k}{\beta^k},$$
where $d_k = d_k(x) = [\beta T_\beta^{k-1}(x)], \ k \geq 1$, where as usually $[a]$ denotes the integer part of a real number $a$. The digits $d_k, \ k \geq 1$ are chosen from the finite set $\{0, 1, \ldots, [\beta]\}$.

Not all infinite series of the form $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_k}{\beta^k}$, with $d_k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, [\beta]\}$ are however $\beta$–expansions of some number. We say that a sequence of digits $(d_1, d_2, \ldots)$ is admissible if there is $x \in [0, 1)$ with $\beta$-expansion $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_k}{\beta^k}$. The map $T_\beta$ does not necessarily preserve Lebesgue measure $\lambda$, however it has a unique probability measure $\nu_\beta = h_\beta d\lambda$, which is equivalent to $\lambda$ and $T_\beta$–invariant. Its density $h_\beta$ has an explicit form (see [26], [3]).

Consider now the inverse limit of the system $([0, 1), T_\beta)$. First, let us take $\beta = \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$, as a simpler example. Define the following skew product map
$$T_\beta(x, y) := \left(T_\beta(x), \frac{y + [\beta x]}{\beta}\right),$$
on a subset $Z \subset [0, 1)^2$, where the horizontal $[0, 1)$ is considered as the future-axis and the vertical $[0, 1)$ is considered as the past-axis. The inverse limit of $T_\beta$ must encapsulate both the forward iterates of $T_\beta$, and the backward trajectories of $T_\beta$. For $\beta = \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$, take
$$Z = [0, 1/\beta) \times [0, 1) \bigcup [1/\beta, 1) \times [0, 1/\beta).$$
Then the map $T_\beta : Z \rightarrow Z$ is well defined and bijective, and it is the inverse limit of $T_\beta$. For $\beta = \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$, the set of admissible sequences forms a subshift of finite type $E_2^+(11)$, defined as the set of sequences in $E_2^+ \text{ which do not contain the forbidden word } 11$. In this case there is a Hölder continuous coding map $\pi : E_2^+(11) \rightarrow [0, 1)$, given by
$$\pi((d_1, d_2, \ldots)) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{d_n}{\beta^n}.$$We can then take skew product endomorphisms over $T_{\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}}$, and obtain the following.

**Theorem 6.1.** Let $F : [0, 1) \times Y \rightarrow [0, 1) \times Y$ be a skew product endomorphism given by
$$F(x, y) = \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} x \pmod 1, g(x, y)\right),$$where $Y$ is an open bounded set in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $g(x, \cdot) : Y \rightarrow Y$ is a conformal map for every $x \in [0, 1)$. Assume also that for any $x_{-1}, x'_{-1} \in [0, 1)$ with $T_{\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}} x_{-1} = T_{\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}} x'_{-1}$, we have
$$g(x_{-1}, Y) \cap g(x'_{-1}, Y) = \emptyset,$$
and that the fiber maps $g_x$ satisfy conditions (a)-(g) of subsection 2.3. Then, for any locally Hölder continuous potential $\phi : [0,1) \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, its equilibrium measure $\mu_\phi$ has exact dimensional conditional measures $\mu^x_\phi$, for $\mu_\phi \circ \pi_1^{-1}$-a.e $x \in [0,1)$.

**Proof.** This case is simpler because the coding space is a subshift of finite type on finitely many symbols. Moreover we see that the coding map $\pi : E^+_2(11) \rightarrow [0,1)$ over $T_{\frac{1}{\beta},\pi}$ is injective outside a countable set, and the associated symbolic skew product

$$\hat{F} : E^+_2(11) \times Y \rightarrow E^+_2(11) \times Y$$

over $E^+_2(11)$, satisfies conditions (a)-(g) in subsection 2.3. Therefore if $\phi$ is locally Hölder we have also the summability condition, since we work with finitely many symbols, thus from Theorem 2.15 we obtain the conclusion. \[\square\]

We now recall the concepts of the first return time and first return map. If $(X,\mathcal{F})$ is a $\sigma$–algebra, $f : X \rightarrow X$ measurable, and $\mu$ a Borel probability $f$-invariant measure on $(X,\mathcal{F})$, let $A \subset X$ be a measurable set with $\mu(A) > 0$. As we work with equilibrium measures, it is enough to take $A$ with $\text{Int}(A) \neq \emptyset$. By Poincaré Recurrence Theorem it $\mu$-a.e $x \in A$ is recurrent, i.e it returns infinitely often to $A$ under iterates of $f$. Define then,

$$n(x) := \inf \{ m \geq 1, T^m(x) \in A \}$$

This number being finite fro $\mu$–a.e. $x \in X$, is commonly called the first return time of $x$ to $A$. This permits to define the induced, or first return, map $T_A : A \rightarrow A$, by the formula

$$T_A(x) = T^{n(x)}(x).$$

It is well known, and easy to prove, that if $\mu_A(B) := \frac{\mu(B)}{\mu(A)}$, for all $B \subset A$, then the probability measure $\mu_A$ on $A$ is $T_A$–invariant.

It was proved (see for eg [3]) that the induced transformation of the natural extension $\mathcal{T}_\beta$ onto a certain subset, is the natural extension of a GLS system. Recall that natural extensions are viewed only as dynamical systems, without measures. When $\beta = \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$, take the partition $\mathcal{I} = \{ [0, \frac{1}{\beta}), [\frac{1}{\beta}, 1) \}$ and the associated GLS($\mathcal{I}$)-transformation,

$$S(x) = \begin{cases} 
T_\beta(x), & x \in [0, \frac{1}{\beta}) \\
T^2_\beta(x), & x \in [\frac{1}{\beta}, 1)
\end{cases}$$

So if $\beta = \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$, let $W := [0, 1) \times \left[0, \frac{1}{\beta}\right)$, and consider

$$\mathcal{T}_{\beta,W} : W \rightarrow W,$$

be the induced transformation of $\mathcal{T}_\beta$ on $W$. If $(x,y) \in [0, \frac{1}{\beta}) \times [0, 1)$, then $\mathcal{T}_\beta(x,y) \in [0, 1) \times \left[0, \frac{1}{\beta}\right)$, so for such $(x,y)$, we get $n(x,y) = 1$. If $(x,y) \in [\frac{1}{\beta}, 1) \times [0, \frac{1}{\beta})$, then $\mathcal{T}_\beta(x,y) \in [0, \frac{1}{\beta}) \times [\frac{1}{\beta}, 1) \notin W$, but $\mathcal{T}^2_\beta(x,y) \in W$, so $n(x,y) = 2$. 
Consider the infinite \( \beta \)-expansion of \( Z \). (6.4) \[ \tilde{y}(x) = \begin{cases} \beta x, & \text{if } (x, y) \in \left[ 0, \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \times \left[ 0, \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \\ \beta \left( \beta x - 1, \frac{u+1}{\beta} \right) = \left( \beta^2 x - y, \frac{u+1}{\beta^2} \right), & \text{if } (x, y) \in \left[ \frac{1}{\beta}, 1 \right) \times \left[ 0, \frac{1}{\beta} \right). \end{cases} \]

Then, from (5.3), the inverse limit of \( S \) is the map \( S : [0, 1)^2 \rightarrow [0, 1)^2 \) given by:

(6.3) \[ \begin{cases} \left( \beta x, \frac{y}{\beta} \right), & \text{if } (x, y) \in \left[ 0, \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \times [0, 1) \\ \left( \beta^2 x - y, \frac{r+1}{\beta} + y \beta - 1 \right) = \left( \beta^2 x - \beta, \frac{r+1}{\beta^2} \right), & \text{if } (x, y) \in \left[ \frac{1}{\beta}, 1 \right) \times [0, 1) \end{cases} \]

If \( \Psi : [0, 1)^2 \rightarrow W \) is given by \( \Psi(x, y) := \left( x, \frac{y}{\beta} \right) \), then \( \Psi \) is an isomorphism between \( ([0, 1)^2, S) \) and \( ([0, 1) \times \left[ 0, \frac{1}{\beta} \right), T_{\beta,W} \) \). So \( T_{\beta,W} \) coincides (mod \( \Psi \)) with the natural extension \( S \) of GLS(\( I \)).

For a general number \( \beta > 1 \), not all sequences in \( E^+_\beta \) are admissible, i.e., not all sequences of digits \( (d_1, d_2, \ldots) \) determine a point \( x \in [0, 1) \) that has \( \beta \)-expansion

\[ x = \sum_{n \geq 1} d_n \frac{1}{\beta^n}. \]

This is an important obstacle, since we cannot code \( T_\beta \) with subshifts of finite type. For general \( \beta > 1 \), one needs a more complicated GLS with partition \( I \) with countably many subintervals \( I_n, n \in D \), and then to induce the natural extension of \( T_\beta \) on an appropriate subset, in order to obtain the natural extension of the GLS(\( I \))-map. We will apply next Corollary 5.2 for equilibrium states on the natural extension of the GLS(\( I \)) expansion. The construction of the inverse limit of \( T_\beta \) can be found in [3], [4], and we recall it here for the sake of completeness. Define the following rectangles

\[ Z_0 := [0, 1)^2, \quad Z_i := [0, T_{\beta}^i 1) \times \left[ 0, \frac{1}{\beta^i} \right), \quad i \geq 1. \]

Consider the \( Z \) of the natural extension \( Z \) obtained by placing each rectangle \( Z_{i+1} \) on top of \( Z_i \), for all \( i \geq 0 \). The index \( i \) indicates at what height we are in this stack. If \( 1 \) has a finite \( \beta \)-expansion of length \( n \), then only \( n \) such rectangles \( Z_i \) are stacked. Assume \( 1 \) has an infinite \( \beta \)-expansion; the finite case being treated in the same ways as for \( \beta = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \).

Write the \( \beta \)-expansions of \( 1, x, y \), respectively as:

\[ 1 = .b_1 b_2 \ldots; \quad x = .d_1 d_2 \ldots; \quad y = .0 \ldots 0c_{i+1}c_{i+2} \ldots \]

with \( 0 \) repeated \( i \) times. If \( (x, y) \in Z_i \), then \( d_1 \leq b_{i+1} \). Define \( T_\beta : Z \rightarrow Z, T_\beta(x, y) = (T_\beta(x), \tilde{y}(x)) \), with

(6.4) \[ \tilde{y}(x) = \begin{cases} \beta x, & \text{if } d_1 < b_{i+1}, \\ \beta (\beta x - 1) + \frac{d_1 + 1}{\beta} = .b_1 \ldots b_i d_{i+1} c_{i+2} \ldots, & \text{if } d_1 = b_{i+1}. \end{cases} \]
If \((x, y) \in Z_i\) then \(d_1 \leq b_{i+1}\), so

\[
\mathcal{T}_\beta(x, y) = \begin{cases} 
Z_0, & \text{if } d_1 < b_{i+1}, \\
Z_{i+1}, & \text{if } d_1 = b_{i+1}.
\end{cases}
\]

For \((x, y) \in Z_0\), if \(d_1 < b_1\) then \(\mathcal{T}_\beta(x, y) \in Z_0\); and if \(d_1 = b_1, 1 \leq i \leq n - 1\) and \(d_n < b_n\), then \(\mathcal{T}_\beta^i(x, y) \in Z_i, i \leq n - 1\) and \(\mathcal{T}_\beta^n(x, y) \in Z_0\). Hence the induced map of \(\mathcal{T}_\beta\) on \(Z_0 = [0, 1]^2\) is

\[
\mathcal{T}_{\beta, Z_0}(x, y) = \begin{cases} 
\mathcal{T}_\beta(x, y), & \text{if } d_1 < b_1, \\
\mathcal{T}_\beta^n(x, y), & \text{if } d_1 = b_1, 1 \leq i \leq n - 1, \text{ and } d_n < b_n
\end{cases}
\]

Partition \(Z_0\) into subsets

\[
Z_0^k := \left\{ (x, y) \in Z_0, \inf \{n \geq 1, \mathcal{T}_\beta^n(x, y) \in Z_0\} = k \right\}.
\]

Then,

\[
\mathcal{T}_{\beta, Z_0}(x, y) = \begin{cases} 
(T_\beta(x), \frac{1}{\beta}(y + d_1)), & (x, y) \in Z_0^1, \\
(T_\beta^k(x), \frac{b_0}{\beta^1} + \ldots + \frac{b_{k-1}}{\beta^{k-1}} + \frac{d_1}{\beta^k} + \frac{y}{\beta^k}), & (x, y) \in Z_0^k, k \geq 2
\end{cases}
\]

For any \(n \geq 1\), if \(b_0 := 0\), there exist unique integers \(k = k(n) \geq 0\) and \(1 \leq i \leq b_{k+1}\) so that

\[
n = b_0 + b_1 + \ldots + b_k + (i - 1).
\]

Define a partition \(\mathcal{I} = \{I_n, n \geq 1\}\) of \([0, 1]\) by

\[
I_n := \left[b_0 + \frac{b_1}{\beta} + \ldots + \frac{b_k}{\beta^k} + \frac{i - 1}{\beta^{k+1}}, b_0 + \frac{b_1}{\beta} + \ldots + \frac{b_k}{\beta^k} + \frac{i}{\beta^{k+1}}\right]
\]

From the definition of \(\mathcal{T}_{\beta, Z_0}\) and of \(I_n\), we see that for \((x, y) \in I_n \times [0, 1]\), we have:

\[
\mathcal{T}_{\beta, Z_0}(x, y) = \mathcal{T}_\beta^{k+1}(x, y) = \left(T_\beta^{k+1}(x), b_0 + \frac{b_1}{\beta} + \ldots + \frac{b_k}{\beta^k} + \frac{i - 1}{\beta^{k+1}} + \frac{y}{\beta^{k+1}}\right)
\]

If we take the transformation \(S\) of \(\text{GLS}(\mathcal{I})\) and its natural extension \(\mathcal{S}\), then (5.3) applies. If \(x \in I_n\), then \(s_1(x) = \beta^{k+1}\) and,

\[
h_1(x)/s_1(x) = b_0 + \frac{b_1}{\beta} + \ldots + \frac{b_k}{\beta^k} + \frac{i - 1}{\beta^{k+1}}.
\]

Thus by (5.3), \(\mathcal{S}\) is equal to the induced map of the natural extension of \(T_\beta\) on \(Z_0\):

\[
\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{T}_{\beta, Z_0}
\]

We can now apply (6.8) to equilibrium states of locally Hölder continuous potentials, for the induced map of the natural extension \(\mathcal{T}_\beta\), in order to prove the exact dimensionality of their conditional measures on fibers. By (6.8) and Corollary 5.2, we obtain the following result, for the induced map of the natural extension of the \(\beta\)-transformation:
**Theorem 6.2.** Let $\beta > 1$ arbitrary and let $T_\beta : [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ be the $\beta$-map, given by $T_\beta(x) = \beta x \mod 1$. Let $\phi : [0, 1)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a locally Hölder continuous map with
\[
\sum_{n \geq 1} \exp(\sup \phi|_{I_n \times [0, 1)}) < +\infty,
\]
where $I_n, n \geq 1,$ are given by (6.7). Let $\mu_\phi$ be the equilibrium state of $\phi$ with respect to the induced map $T_{\beta, [0,1)^2}$ of the natural extension $T_\beta$ on $[0, 1)^2$. Denote by $S$ the natural extension of the GLS($\mathcal{I}$) map, where $\mathcal{I}$ is the partition of $[0, 1)$ given by $(I_n)_{n \geq 1}$. Then for $\mu_\phi \circ \pi_1^{-1}$-a.e $x \in [0, 1)$, conditional measure $\mu_\phi^x$ is exact dimensional on $[0, 1)$ and
\[
\text{HD}(\mu_\phi^x) = \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\mu_\phi^x(B(y, r))}{\log r} = \frac{h_{\mu_\phi}(S)}{\chi_{\mu_\phi}(S)}.
\]
Due to the particular expression of the induced map on $[0, 1)^2$ of the natural extension $T_\beta$ of the $\beta$-transformation $T_\beta$, as a natural extension of a GLS-transformation, we can say more about the Lyapunov exponent $\chi_{\mu_\phi}(S)$.

**Corollary 6.3.** In the setting of Theorem 6.2 with $\beta > 1$ arbitrary, write the $\beta$-expansion of 1 as $1 = .b_1 b_2 \ldots$. For an arbitrary integer $n \geq 1$, define the integers $k = k(n) \geq 0$ and $1 \leq i = i(n) \leq b_{k+1}$ so that $n = b_1 + \ldots + b_k + i - 1$. Then,

(a) with the intervals $I_n, n \geq 1$ given by (6.7), we obtain the Lyapunov exponent as,
\[
\chi_{\mu_\phi}(S) = \log \beta \cdot \sum_{n \geq 1} (k(n) + 1) \cdot \mu_\phi(I_n \times [0, 1)).
\]

Hence for $\mu_\phi \circ \pi_1^{-1}$-a.e $x \in [0, 1)$, we have:
\[
\text{HD}(\mu_\phi^x) = \frac{h_{\mu_\phi}(S)}{\log \beta \sum_{n \geq 1} (k(n)+1) \cdot \mu_\phi(I_n \times [0, 1))}.
\]

(b) When $\beta = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, we obtain that the Lyapunov exponent of $\mu_\phi$ is equal to
\[
\chi_{\mu_\phi}(S) = \log \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \cdot \left(1 + \mu_\phi\left(\left\lfloor \frac{1}{\beta}\right\rfloor \times [0, 1)\right)\right).
\]

Hence, for $\mu_\phi \circ \pi_1^{-1}$-a.e $x \in [0, 1)$, we have:
\[
\text{HD}(\mu_\phi^x) = \frac{h_{\mu_\phi}(S)}{\log \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \cdot \left(1 + \mu_\phi\left(\left\lfloor \frac{1}{\beta}\right\rfloor \times [0, 1)\right)\right)}.
\]

**Proof.** In order to prove (a) we apply (6.7), and (6.8). Let us write
\[
S(x, y) = (S(x), g_x(y)), \ (x, y) \in [0, 1) \times [0, 1),
\]
where $S$ is the GLS($\mathcal{I}$)-transformation and $S$ is its natural extension (see 5.3). The derivative of the fiber map $g_x$ is constant and equal to $L_n$, for $x \in I_n$, where $L_n$ is the length of the interval $I_n$ and thus equal to $\frac{1}{\beta^n}$. Finally, for the Hausdorff (and pointwise) dimension of conditional measures we apply Theorem 6.2.

For (b) we apply (6.3) to get, for $\beta = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$,
\[
g_x(y) = \frac{1}{\beta}, \ \text{if} \ (x, y) \in [0, \frac{1}{\beta}) \times [0, 1), \ \text{and},
\]
\[
g_x(y) = \frac{1}{\beta^2}, \ \text{if} \ (x, y) \in \left[\frac{1}{\beta}, 1\right) \times [0, 1)
\]
Then use that $\mu_\phi \left( \left[0, \frac{1}{\beta}\right) \times [0, 1) \right) + \mu_\phi \left( \left[\frac{1}{\beta}, 1 \right) \times [0, 1) \right) = 1$. \hfill \Box$

Now, from (6.8), we know that the induced map $T_{\beta,\lfloor 0,1 \rfloor^2}$ is equal to the inverse limit $S$ of the GLS transformation $S$ associated to the countable partition $\mathcal{I}$, given by (6.7). According to (5.3), and if $f$ is given by (5.2), then $S(x,y)$ and $T_{\beta,\lfloor 0,1 \rfloor^2}$ can be written as

\begin{equation}
S(x,y) = T_{\beta,\lfloor 0,1 \rfloor^2}(x,y) = \left( f(x), \frac{h_1}{s_1} + \frac{y}{s_1} \right), \quad (x,y) \in [0,1)^2
\end{equation}

We will use the explicit form of induced map $T_{\beta,\lfloor 0,1 \rfloor^2}$ of the natural extension $T_{\beta}$ of $T_\beta$, $\beta > 1$, plus Theorem 2.16, to prove that any $T_{\beta,\lfloor 0,1 \rfloor}^–$invariant equilibrium measure $\mu_\phi$ is exact dimensional on $[0,1) \times [0,1)$, and to compute its Hausdorff dimension. We use notation from Corollary 6.3, and $\pi_1 : [0,1)^2 \rightarrow [0,1)$ is the projection on first coordinate.

**Theorem 6.4.** Let an arbitrary $\beta > 1$, $T_\beta(x) = \beta x \pmod{1}$, $x \in [0,1)$, $T_\beta$ be the natural extension of $T_\beta$, and $S = T_{\beta,\lfloor 0,1 \rfloor^2}$ the induced map of $T_{\beta}$ on $[0,1)^2$. Recall the associated map $f$ from (5.2), (6.9). Let $\phi : [0,1)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a locally Hölder continuous potential with,

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \exp(\sup_{I_n \times [0,1)}) < +\infty,$$

and let $\mu_\phi$ be its unique equilibrium measure with respect to $T_{\beta,\lfloor 0,1 \rfloor^2}$. Define $\nu := \mu_\phi \circ \pi_1^{-1}$ the projection of $\mu_\phi$ to the first coordinate. Then, $\mu_\phi$ is exact dimensional on $[0,1)^2$ and,

$$\text{HD}(\mu_\phi) = \frac{2h_\nu(f)}{\log \beta \cdot \sum_{n \geq 1} (k(n) + 1) \mu_\phi(I_n \times [0,1))}.$$

**Proof.** First we will show that $\mu_\phi$ is exact dimensional. Recall from (6.9) that for $\beta > 1$ arbitrary, and for all $(x,y) \in [0,1)^2$,

$$T_{\beta,\lfloor 0,1 \rfloor^2}(x,y) = S(x,y) = \left( f(x), \frac{h_1}{s_1} + \frac{y}{s_1} \right)$$

Note that the projection $\nu$ is $f$–invariant and ergodic on $[0,1)$. Now, if $\mathcal{I}$ denotes the countable partition $(I_n)_{n \geq 1}$ from (6.7), then from the previous Section we obtain the conjugacy $\pi : \Sigma_{\mathcal{I}}^+ \rightarrow [0,1)$ between $(\Sigma_{\mathcal{I}}^+ , \sigma)$ and $([0,1), f)$. Moreover, we also have that $\nu$ gives zero measure to points, and thus $\nu$ is the projection of an ergodic measure $\tilde{\nu}$ on $\Sigma_{\mathcal{I}}^+$. The interval $[0,1)$ is viewed as the limit set of the iterated system associated to the countable partition $\mathcal{I}$ of $[0,1)$, where the contractions are the inverses of the branches of $f$ on $I_n, n \geq 1$.

Consider now the random system given, with the notation of [22], by a parameter space $\Lambda = \{ \lambda \}$ with a homeomorphism $\theta : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$, $\theta(\lambda) = \lambda$, which preserves the Dirac delta measure $\delta_{\lambda}$, and the shift space $(\Sigma_{\mathcal{I}}^+ , \sigma)$ with the ergodic $\sigma$–invariant measure $\nu$. Then, the measure $\tilde{\nu}$ is in fact the only conditional measure of the product measure $\delta_{\lambda} \times \tilde{\nu}$ on $\Lambda \times \Sigma_{\mathcal{I}}^+$. On the other hand, since our potential $\phi$ is summable, it follows from our results in 2.2 and from the fact that $(\lfloor 0,1 \rfloor^2 , T_{\beta,\lfloor 0,1 \rfloor^2})$ is coded by a Smale space of countable type, that the entropy $h_{\mu_\phi}(T_{\beta,\lfloor 0,1 \rfloor^2})$ is finite. Therefore, since $\nu = \mu_\phi \circ \pi_1^{-1}$, we obtain also that $h_\phi(f) < +\infty$. But then, we get from Remark 3.4 of [22] that

$$H_{\delta_{\lambda} \times \tilde{\nu}}(\pi_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{I}}^+}^{-1}(\xi) | \pi_{\Lambda}^{-1}(\xi_{\Lambda})) < +\infty.$$
Hence, it follows from Theorem 3.13 in [22] and the discussion above, that $\nu$ is exact dimensional on $[0,1)$, and that

$$\text{HD} (\nu) = \frac{h_\nu (f)}{\chi_\nu (f)}.$$ 

On the other hand, it follows from Theorem 6.2 that the conditional measures of $\mu_\phi$ are exact dimensional on fibers (which are all equal to $[0,1)$ in this case).

Now, if the $f$–invariant measure $\nu$ is exact dimensional on $[0,1)$, and if the conditional measures of $\mu_\phi$ are exact dimensional on fibers, we apply Theorem 2.16 to obtain that $\mu_\phi$ is exact dimensional globally on $[0,1)^2$. From Theorem 2.16, $\text{HD} (\mu_\phi)$ is the sum of $\text{HD} (\nu)$ and of the dimension of conditional measures.

The last step is then to obtain the expression of the Lyapunov exponent $\chi_\nu (f)$. In our case, it follows from (5.2) that $|f'(x)| = L_n^{-1}$ for all $x \in I_n$, where $L_n$ denotes the length of $I_n$, $n \geq 1$. In addition, it follows from (6.7) that

$$L_n = \frac{1}{\beta^{k(n)+1}}.$$ 

Also $\nu (A) = \mu_\phi (A \times [0,1))$, for any Borel set $A \subset [0,1)$. Therefore,

$$\chi_\nu (f) = \log \beta \cdot \sum_{n \geq 1} (k(n)+1) \mu_\phi (I_n \times [0,1)).$$

In addition, by Shannon-McMillan-Breiman Theorem ([7]), $h_{\mu_\phi} (S)$ is equal to $h_\nu (f)$ since $S$ contracts in the second coordinate. From above discussion and Corollary 6.3, the dimension of $\mu_\phi$ is then obtained as the one from the statement.

\[ \square \]
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