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Abstract

It is a necessity of derivation based Cartan calculi on noncommutative algebras to employ central bimodules [14, 15]. In analogy to differential geometry we construct a noncommutative Cartan calculus for any braided commutative algebra in the symmetric braided monoidal category of equivariant braided symmetric bimodules. In particular, bimodules are considered over the full underlying algebra. Braided versions of the Lie derivative, the insertion and de Rham differential are related by braided commutators, also incorporating the braided Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket, generalizing the classical situation and twisted Cartan calculi. We further prove that Drinfel’d twist deformation corresponds to gauge equivalences of these noncommutative calculi. Then, braided covariant derivatives and metrics on braided commutative algebras are discussed. In particular, we show the existence of a braided Levi-Civita covariant derivative for a fixed braided metric and that braided covariant derivatives are compatible with twist deformation. Furthermore, we project braided Cartan calculi to submanifold algebras and prove that this process commutes with twist deformation if the Hopf algebra action respects the submanifold ideal.
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1 Introduction

In [33] Stanislaw Lech Woronowicz generalized the notion of Cartan calculus to noncommutative algebras by understanding the de Rham differential as a linear map from the algebra to a bimodule, satisfying a Leibniz rule in addition. It is proven that such a first order calculus admits an extension to the exterior algebra. Noncommutative calculi based on derivations rather than generalizations of differential forms are discussed by Michel Dubois-Violette and Peter Michor in [14, 15], though differential forms are included as dual objects to derivations. Note however that bimodules have to be considered over the center of the algebra. Other early works on this topic include papers [27] [28] of Peter Schupp, also indicating the similarity
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to the classical Cartan calculus. All their results stand as interesting concepts in the theory of noncommutative geometry \cite{[10]}, further justified by many follow-ups and continuations. A particular class of noncommutative Cartan calculi is given by twisted Cartan calculi in the overlap of deformation quantization \cite{[9][11]} and quantum groups \cite{[17][23]}. Drinfel’d twists \cite{[14]} are tools to deform Hopf algebras as well as the representation theory of the Hopf algebra in a compatible way. While they are interesting by their own in the theory of quantum groups, Drinfel’d twists experienced a lot of attention in the field of deformation quantization since they induce star products if the corresponding symmetry acts on a smooth manifold by derivations. Explicit examples of star products are quite rare, so this connection was very desirable (consider for example \cite{[1]} and references therein). However, this should be taken with a grain of salt since there are several situations \cite{[5][11]} in which deformation quantization can not be obtained via a twisting procedure. It was pointed out in \cite{[3]} that twisting the algebraic structures leads to a noncommutative calculus, the so-called twisted Cartan calculus. The mentioned article even provides twisted covariant derivatives and metrics, generalizing classical Riemannian geometry. The additional braided symmetries appearing in this work were the main motivation for the author to consider noncommutative Cartan calculi only depending on a triangular structure, rather than on the Drinfel’d twist itself. In \cite{[4][5]} elements of noncommutative geometry, like noncommutative Cartan calculi and covariant derivatives are developed in the more general setting of quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebras and non-associative noncommutative algebras. Refining their observations in the case of triangular Hopf algebras and braided symmetric algebras we give a construction of a noncommutative Cartan calculus, the braided Cartan calculus, which is entirely parallel to differential geometry. To approach this problem it is useful to first examine the duality of algebraic structures and categorical properties of the corresponding representations, generally known as Tannaka-Krein duality. A triangular Hopf algebra structure corresponds to a symmetric braided (rigid) monoidal structure on the category of its representations. Having this in mind, Drinfel’d twist deformation can be understood as a gauge equivalence on the braided monoidal category of representations of the Hopf algebra (see \cite{[2][22]}). Before applying the Drinfel’d functor to the Cartan calculus one should consider that multivector fields and differential forms, the most important bimodules in this setting, inherit additional properties and symmetries. In fact it is nearby to consider equivariant algebra bimodules instead of general Hopf algebra modules. They form a monoidal category if one considers the tensor product over the algebra and the monoidal equivalence descends to this subcategory. Note however that the category fails to be braided in general, unless one incorporates additional symmetry: the braided symmetric equivariant bimodules of a braided commutative algebra form a braided monoidal category with Drinfel’d twists corresponding to gauge transformations \cite{[3]}. Both, the classical and the twisted Cartan calculus, satisfy these conditions. The first one with respect to any cocommutative Hopf algebra and trivial triangular structure and the latter with respect to the twisted Hopf algebra endowed with the triangular structure corresponding to the twist. Thus it is natural to ask if there is a noncommutative Cartan calculus within the category of braided symmetric equivariant bimodules of a braided commutative algebra for any triangular structure. It is the main purpose of this paper to give a positive answer to this question including explicit constructions. It would be interesting to generalize it to the setting of \cite{[1]}, to Lie-Rinehart algebras \cite{[21]} and furthermore to connect the braided Cartan calculus to Hochschild cohomology and the Cartan calculus introduced by Boris Tsygan e.g. in \cite{[20][20]}. The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we recall basic properties of triangular Hopf algebras and their braided monoidal categories of representations. In particular we focus on the gauge equivalence induced by the Drinfel’d functor. Then, equivariant algebra bimodules are discussed and under which conditions they form a braided monoidal category which is closed under the twist gauge transformations. By doing so we also clarify the notation for the following sections. Our main result is developed in Section 3: we generalize the construction of the Cartan calculus and multivector fields of a commutative algebra to braided commutative algebras by incorporating a braided symmetry. In particular we want to stress that we explicitly relate braided versions of the Lie derivative, insertion and de Rham differential by braided commutators, also making use of the braided Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket. This is what we call the braided Cartan calculus. In the special case of a commutative algebra we regain the commutation relations of the classical Cartan calculus. Connecting to Section 2 we introduce a twist deformation of the braided Cartan calculus and prove that it gives the braided Cartan calculus corresponding to the twisted triangular structure, showing that our construction respects gauge equivalence classes. To encourage the similarity of the braided Cartan calculus to the classical one we further introduce braided covariant derivatives and metrics, give several constructions like extending them to braided multivector fields and differential forms and proving the existence of a braided Levi-Civita covariant derivative for every braided metric.
As expected, twisting respects the constructions. Finally in Section 4 we study braided Cartan calculi on submanifold algebras. We show how to project the algebraic structure and that this procedure commutes with twist deformation if the submanifold ideal is respected. An explicit example, given by twist quantization of quadric surfaces of $\mathbb{R}^3$, is elaborated in [19].

Throughout these notes every module is considered over a commutative ring $k$. If not stated otherwise every algebra is assumed to be unital and associative. A map $\Phi: V^* \to W^*$ between graded modules $V^*$ and $W^*$ is said to be homogeneous of degree $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $\Phi(V^j) \subseteq W^{k+j}$, where $\omega \equiv (2)$.) We often write $\Phi: V^* \to W^{k+j}$ in this case. The graded commutator of two homogeneous maps $\Phi, \Psi: V^* \to V^*$ of degree $k$ and $\ell$ is defined by $[\Phi, \Psi] = \Phi \circ \Psi - (-1)^k \Psi \circ \Phi$. The tensor product of $k$-modules is denoted by $\otimes$.

## 2 Preliminaries on Quantum Groups

In this introductory section we recall the notion of triangular Hopf algebra together with its braided monoidal category of representations. Afterwards we show how to twist the algebraic structure by a 2-cocycle and in which sense this induces an equivalence on the categorical level. In the last subsection we discuss equivariant algebra bimodules and their twist deformation. The previous braided monoidal equivalence can be refined to the bimodules which inherit a braided symmetry in addition if the algebra is braided commutative. For more details on (triangular) Hopf algebras we refer to the textbooks [9, 22, 23, 26]. The more experienced readers is recommended to [2, 11, 13, 20] for a prompt discussion of what is covered in this section.

### 2.1 Triangular Hopf Algebras and their Representations

In a shortcut we introduce the category of algebras over a commutative ring $k$ along with their representations. Dualizing the definition we obtain coalgebras, combining to the notion of bialgebra if the algebra and coalgebra structures respect each other. From the categorical perspective bialgebras are those algebras whose category of representations is monoidal with respect to the usual associativity and unit constraints. Integrating a braiding in this category induces universal $R$-matrices on the bialgebra, while finally an additional antipode corresponds to a rigid (braided) monoidal category and accordingly to a (triangular) Hopf algebra on the algebraic level.

A $k$-algebra is a $k$-module $A$ endowed with $k$-linear maps $\mu: A \otimes A \to A$ and $\eta: k \to A$, called product and unit of $A$, such that the identities

$$\mu \circ (\mu \otimes \text{id}) = \mu \circ (\text{id} \otimes \mu): A^{\otimes 3} \to A$$

and

$$\mu \circ (\eta \otimes \text{id}) = \text{id} = \mu \circ (\text{id} \otimes \eta): A \to A$$

hold, where we used the isomorphisms $k \otimes A \cong A \cong A \otimes k$ in the second and third equation. These are the well-known associativity and unit properties. A $k$-algebra $A$ is said to be commutative if $\mu_{21} = \mu$, where $\mu_{21}: A \otimes A \ni (a \otimes b) \mapsto \mu(b \otimes a) \in A$. In the following we often drop the symbol $\mu$ and simply write $a \cdot b$ or $ab$ for the product of two elements $a, b \in A$. The $k$-algebras form a category $\mathcal{A}$ with morphisms being $k$-algebra homomorphisms, i.e. $k$-linear maps $\phi: A \to A'$ between $k$-algebras $(A, \mu, \eta)$ and $(A', \mu', \eta')$ such that

$$\phi \circ \mu = \mu' \circ (\phi \otimes \phi): A \otimes A \to A'$$

and

$$\phi \circ \eta = \eta': k \to A'.$$

Dualising this concept we define a $k$-coalgebra to be a $k$-module $C$ together with $k$-linear maps $\Delta: C \to C \otimes C$ and $\epsilon: C \to k$ satisfying

$$(\Delta \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta: C \to C^{\otimes 3}$$

and

$$(\epsilon \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta = \text{id} = (\text{id} \otimes \epsilon) \circ \Delta: C \to C.$$
and similarly for higher coproducts. A coalgebra \( C \) is said to be cocommutative if \( \Delta_2 = \Delta \), where \( \Delta_2(c) = c_{(2)} \otimes c_{(1)} \) for all \( c \in C \). A \( k \)-coalgebra homomorphism is a \( k \)-linear map \( \psi : C \to C' \) between \( k \)-coalgebras \((C, \Delta, \epsilon)\) and \((C', \Delta', \epsilon')\) obeying the relations

\[
\Delta' \circ \psi = (\psi \otimes \psi) \circ \Delta : C \to C' \otimes C' \text{ and } \eta' \circ \psi = \eta : C \to k.
\]

The category of \( k \)-comodules is denoted by \( \mathcal{C} \).

**Example 2.1.** We give some elementary examples and constructions of (co)algebras, focusing on the ones we need in the rest of these notes.

i.) The tensor product \( A \otimes A' \) of two \( k \)-algebras \((A, \mu, \eta)\) and \((A', \mu', \eta')\) becomes a \( k \)-algebra with product

\[
\mu_{A \otimes A'} = (\mu \otimes \mu') \circ (id \otimes \tau_{A',A} \otimes id) : (A \otimes A') \otimes (A \otimes A') \to A \otimes A'
\]

and unit \( \eta_{A \otimes A'} = \eta \otimes \eta' \), where we use the isomorphism \( k \otimes k \cong k \) for the latter definition and \( \tau_{A',A} : A' \otimes A \to A \otimes A' \) denotes the tensor flip isomorphism. Dually, the tensor product \( C \otimes C \) of two \( k \)-coalgebras \((C, \Delta, \epsilon)\) and \((C', \Delta', \epsilon')\) can be structured as a \( k \)-coalgebra with coproduct

\[
\Delta_{C \otimes C'} = (id \otimes \tau_{C,C'} \otimes id) \circ (\Delta \otimes \Delta') : C \otimes C' \to (C \otimes C') \otimes (C \otimes C')
\]

and counit \( \epsilon_{C \otimes C'} = \epsilon \otimes \epsilon' \).

ii.) Any commutative ring \( k \) is a \( k \)-(co)algebra with product and unit given by its ring multiplication and unit element, while the coproduct and counit are defined by \( \Delta(\lambda) = \lambda(1 \otimes 1) \) and \( \epsilon(\lambda) = \lambda \) for all \( \lambda \in k \).

A \( k \)-algebra \((A, \mu, \eta)\) which is also a \( k \)-coalgebra with coproduct \( \Delta \) and counit \( \epsilon \) is said to be a \( k \)-bialgebra if \( \Delta \) and \( \epsilon \) are \( k \)-algebra homomorphisms and \( \mu \) and \( \eta \) are \( k \)-coalgebra homomorphisms. In fact it is clear by the symmetry in the definition of algebra and coalgebra that a \( k \)-algebra and \( k \)-coalgebra is a \( k \)-bialgebra if and only if its algebra structures are coalgebra homomorphisms if and only if its coalgebra structures are algebra homomorphisms. A \( k \)-bialgebra homomorphism is a \( k \)-algebra homomorphism between \( k \)-bialgebras which is a \( k \)-coalgebra homomorphism in addition.

**Definition 2.2.** A \( k \)-bialgebra \((H, \mu, \eta, \Delta, \epsilon)\) is said to be triangular if there is an invertible element \( \mathcal{R} \in H \otimes H \), called universal \( k \)-matrix or triangular structure, with inverse given by \( \mathcal{R}^{-1} = \tau_H H(\mathcal{R}) \), such that

\[
\Delta_1(\xi) = \mathcal{R} \Delta(\xi) \mathcal{R}^{-1} \text{ for all } \xi \in H,
\]

which means that \( H \) is quasi-cocommutative, and the hexagon relations

\[
(\Delta \otimes id)(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R}_{13} \mathcal{R}_{23} \text{ and } (id \otimes \Delta)(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R}_{13} \mathcal{R}_{12}
\]

are satisfied, where \( \mathcal{R}_{12} = \mathcal{R} \otimes 1 \), \( \mathcal{R}_{23} = 1 \otimes \mathcal{R} \), \( \mathcal{R}_{13} = (id \otimes \tau_{H,H})(\mathcal{R}_{12}) \in H^{\otimes 3} \). It is said to be a \( k \)-Hopf algebra if there is a bijective \( k \)-linear map \( S : H \to H \), called antipode, such that

\[
\mu \circ (S \otimes id) \circ \Delta = \eta \circ \epsilon = \mu \circ (id \otimes S) \circ \Delta : H \to H
\]

holds. A \( k \)-bialgebra homomorphism between \( k \)-Hopf algebras is said to be a \( k \)-Hopf algebra homomorphism if it intertwines the antipodes in addition. We denote the category of \( k \)-Hopf algebras by \( \mathcal{H} \). A \( k \)-Hopf algebra \((H, \mu, \eta, \Delta, \epsilon, S)\) is called triangular if its underlying bialgebra structure is.

In the following we often drop the reference to the commutative ring \( k \) and simply refer to Hopf algebras etc. Remark that there are slightly weaker definitions of Hopf algebra, not assuming the antipode to have an inverse (see [22] [23] [26]). We follow the convention of [20], arguing that in all examples which are relevant for us the antipode is invertible and we do not want to state this as an additional condition throughout. One can show that the antipode \( S \) of a Hopf algebra \((H, \mu, \eta, \Delta, \epsilon, S)\) is unique and that it is an anti-bialgebra homomorphism in the sense that

\[
S(\xi \chi) = S(\chi) S(\xi), \quad S(1) = 1, \quad S(\xi_{(1)}) \otimes S(\xi_{(2)}) = S(\xi_{(2)}) \otimes S(\xi_{(1)}) \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon \circ S = \epsilon
\]

for all \( \xi, \chi \in H \). If \( H \) is commutative or cocommutative it follows that \( S^2 = id \). Moreover, any cocommutative Hopf algebra is triangular with universal \( R \)-matrix given by \( R = 1 \otimes 1 \). Any universal \( R \)-matrix \( R \) satisfies the quantum Yang-Baxter equation

\[
\mathcal{R}_{12} \mathcal{R}_{13} \mathcal{R}_{23} = \mathcal{R}_{23} \mathcal{R}_{13} \mathcal{R}_{12}.
\]
Fix a triangular $k$-bialgebra $(H, \mu, \eta, \Delta, \epsilon, \mathcal{R})$ for the moment. We motivate its definition by elaborating that the representation theory of $H$ has interesting categorical properties. Recall that a representation of $H$ is nothing but a left $H$-module, i.e., a $k$-module $M$ together with a $k$-linear map $\lambda : H \otimes M \to M$, called left $H$-module action or left $H$-module structure, such that

$$\lambda \circ (\text{id}_H \otimes \lambda) = \lambda \circ (\mu \otimes \text{id}_M) : H \otimes H \otimes M \to M$$

and $\lambda \circ (\eta \otimes \text{id}_M) = \text{id}_M$ hold. A left $H$-module homomorphism is a $k$-linear map $\Phi : M \to M'$ between left $H$-modules $(M, \lambda)$ and $(M', \lambda')$ such that

$$\Phi \circ \lambda = \lambda' \circ (\text{id}_H \otimes \Phi) : H \otimes M \to M'.$$

This forms the category $\mathcal{H}[M]$ of left $H$-modules. In the following we often write $\xi \cdot m$ instead of $\lambda(\xi \otimes m)$ for a left $H$-module $(M, \lambda)$, where $\xi \in H$ and $m \in M$. Note that until now we only used the algebra structure of $H$ in the definition of $\mathcal{H}[M]$. In other words, we can consider the category of representations for any algebra. However, since $\Delta$ and $\epsilon$ are algebra homomorphisms we can define a left $H$-module action on the tensor product of two left $H$-modules $(M, \lambda)$ and $(M', \lambda')$ by

$$\lambda_{M \otimes M'} = (\lambda \otimes \lambda') \circ (\text{id}_H \otimes \tau_{H,H} \otimes \text{id}_{M'} \otimes \text{id}_{M''}) : H \otimes (M \otimes M') \to M \otimes M'$$

and a left $H$-module action on $k$ by

$$\lambda_0 = (\epsilon \otimes \text{id}_k) : H \otimes k \to k \otimes k \cong k.$$ 

Those actions respect the usual associativity and unital constraints of the tensor product of $k$-modules because $\Delta$ is coassociative and $\epsilon$ satisfies the counit axiom. In other words, $(\mathcal{H}[M], \otimes)$ is a monoidal category. The universal $\mathcal{R}$-matrix $\mathcal{R}$ induces a symmetric braiding on this category by defining

$$c_{M, M'}(m \otimes m') = \mathcal{R}^{-1} \cdot (m' \otimes m) \in M' \otimes M$$

for all $m \in M$, $m' \in M'$. In fact, the hexagon relations of $\mathcal{R}$ correspond to the hexagon relations of $c$ and $c_{M, M'} \circ c_{M', M} = \text{id}_{M \otimes M'}$ since $\mathcal{R}_{21}$ is the inverse of $\mathcal{R}$. Conversely, any symmetric braiding $c$ on $(\mathcal{H}[M], \otimes)$ determines a triangular structure $\mathcal{R} = \tau_{H,H}(\mathcal{R}_{H,H}(1 \otimes 1)) \in H \otimes H$, where $H$ acts on itself by left multiplication.

**Proposition 2.3** ([22] Proposition XIII.1.4.). The representation theory $\mathcal{H}[M]$ of a $k$-bialgebra is a monoidal category. It is braided symmetric if and only if $H$ is triangular.

In the case of a Hopf algebra $(H, \mu, \eta, \Delta, \epsilon, S)$ we receive an additional rigidity property for its monoidal category in the sense that every left $H$-module admits a left and right dual module. However, for this we have to restrict our consideration to finitely generated projective $k$-modules $\mathcal{M}$. The antipode of $H$ can be used to transfer the rigidity property from $\mathcal{M}$ to $H \mathcal{M}$. Denote the usual dual pairing between a finitely generated projective $k$-module $M$ and its dual module $M^*$ by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : M^* \otimes M \to k$.

**Proposition 2.4** ([5] Example 5.1.4). Let $H$ be a $k$-Hopf algebra and consider the monoidal category $\mathcal{H}[M]$ of left $H$-modules, characterized by the braiding structure of $H$. The monoidal subcategory $\mathcal{H}[M]$ of finitely generated projective left $H$-modules is rigid, where the left and right dual $M^*$ and $\mathcal{M}$ of an object $M$ in $\mathcal{H}[M]$ are defined as the finitely generated projective $k$-module $M^*$ with left $H$-module action given by

$$\langle \xi \cdot \alpha, m \rangle = \langle \alpha, S(\xi) \cdot m \rangle$$

and

$$\langle \xi \cdot \alpha, m \rangle = \langle \alpha, S^{-1}(\xi) \cdot m \rangle$$

for all $\xi \in H$, $m \in M$ and $\alpha \in M^*$, respectively. The forgetful functor $F : H \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ is monoidal.

### 2.2 Gauge Equivalences

In this section we introduce Drinifel’d twists as tools to deform (triangular) Hopf algebra structures. It turns out that the representation theory of the deformed (triangular) Hopf algebra is (braided) monoidally equivalent the representation theory of the undeformed (triangular) Hopf algebra. The definition of Drinifel’d twist originates from [12], while the monoidal equivalence was proven in [13]. We further refer to [2] [20] for a discussion of this topic. Fix a Hopf algebra $(H, \mu, \eta, \Delta, \epsilon, S)$ in the following.
Definition 2.5. A (Drinfel’d) twist on $H$ is an invertible element $F \in H \otimes H$ satisfying the 2-cocycle condition

$$(F \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes \text{id})(F) = (1 \otimes F)(\text{id} \otimes \Delta)(F)$$

and the normalization condition $(\epsilon \otimes \text{id})(F) = (\text{id} \otimes \epsilon)(F)$. If $F$ has a two-sided inverse $F^{-1}$, then $F$ is an invertible element of the monoidal category $H \otimes H$.

There are several examples and constructions of Drinfel’d twists, showing that this is a rich concept. We refer the interested reader to [16, 25]. It follows that the inverse $F^{-1}$ of a twist $F$ on $H$ is normalized, i.e. $(\epsilon \otimes \text{id})(F^{-1}) = (\text{id} \otimes \epsilon)(F^{-1})$ and satisfies the so called inverse 2-cocycle condition

$$(\Delta \otimes \text{id})(F^{-1})(F^{-1} \otimes 1) = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)(F^{-1})(1 \otimes F^{-1}).$$

Any element $F \in H \otimes H$ can be written as a finite sum of factorizing elements $F_1 \otimes F_2$, $F_1, F_2 \in H$. In the following we usually omit this finite sum and simply write $F = F_1 \otimes F_2$, which is called leg notation. Using this convention, the 2-cocycle condition reads

$$F_1 F'_1 \otimes F_2, F'_{1(2)} \otimes F''_2 = F'_1 \otimes F_1 F_{1(2)} \otimes F_2 F'_{2(2)}.$$
2.3 Equivariant Hopf Algebra Module Algebra Representations

It is our purpose to refine the monoidal equivalence $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{M}$ of Theorem 2.7 to equivariant module algebra bimodules. This monoidal equivalence however fails to be braided in general. To fix this we have to restrict ourselves to braided commutative algebras and braided symmetric algebra bimodules. Nonetheless, this setting is rich enough to allow for several interesting examples, e.g. the braided multivector fields and differential forms of a braided commutative algebra as we see in the following sections.

Fix a Hopf algebra $(H, \mu, \eta, \Delta, \epsilon, S)$ and consider a left $H$-module algebra $(A, \lambda)$ which is an algebra with product $\mu_A$ and unit $\eta_A$ in addition. It is said to be a left $H$-module algebra if the module action respects the algebra structure, i.e.

$$\lambda \circ (\text{id}_H \otimes \mu_A) = \mu_A \circ (\lambda \otimes \lambda) \circ (\text{id}_H \otimes \tau_{H,A} \otimes \text{id}_A) \circ (\Delta \otimes \text{id}_{A \otimes A}) : H \otimes A \otimes A \rightarrow A$$

and

$$\lambda \circ (\text{id}_H \otimes \eta_A) = \eta_A : H \rightarrow A \text{ hold.}$$

In the following we often write $\mu_A(a \otimes b) = a \cdot b$ for $a, b \in A$ and $\xi \triangleright a$ for the module action of $\xi \in H$ on $a \in A$. The units of $A$ and $H$ are sometimes denoted by $1_A$ and $1_H$, respectively or simply by 1. In this notation the module algebra axioms read

$$\xi \triangleright (a \cdot b) = (\xi_1 \triangleright a) \cdot (\xi_2 \triangleright b) \text{ and } \xi \triangleright 1_A = \epsilon(\xi)1_A$$

for all $\xi \in H$ and $a, b \in A$. A left $H$-module algebra homomorphism is a left $H$-module homomorphism between left $H$-module algebras which is an algebra homomorphism in addition. The category of left $H$-module algebras is denoted by $\mathcal{H}_A$.

**Lemma 2.8** ([2] Theorem 3.4). Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a twist on $H$ and consider a left $H$-module algebra $(A, \lambda, 1_A)$. Then $\mathcal{A}_F = (A, \cdot_F, 1_A)$ is a left $H_F$-module algebra with respect to the same left $H$-module action, where

$$a \cdot_F b = (F_1^{-1} \triangleright a) \cdot (F_2^{-1} \triangleright b)$$

for all $a, b \in A$.

Fix a left $H$-module algebra $A$ in the following and consider the category $\mathcal{A}_M$ of left $A$-modules. In order to compare it to the representation theory of the deformed algebra $\mathcal{A}_F$ we have to incorporate an additional action of the Hopf algebra $H$ on the modules, where it is nearby that this action has to respect the $A$-module structure in order to lead to interesting results. So we consider the subcategory $H^\mathcal{A}_M$ of $H$-equivariant left $A$-modules. Namely, the objects of $H^\mathcal{A}_M$ are left $H$-modules $\mathcal{M}$, which are left $A$-modules in addition such that

$$\xi \triangleright (a \cdot m) = (\xi_1 \triangleright a) \cdot (\xi_2 \triangleright m)$$

for all $\xi \in H$, $a \in A$ and $m \in \mathcal{M}$. Morphisms are left $H$-module homomorphisms between $H$-equivariant left $A$-modules, which are also left $A$-module homomorphisms.

**Lemma 2.9.** Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a twist on $H$ and $A$ a left $H$-module algebra. Then there is a functor

$$\operatorname{Drin}_F : H^\mathcal{A}_M \rightarrow H^\mathcal{A}_F M,$$

called Drinfel’d functor, which is the identity on morphisms and assigns to every $H$-equivariant left $A$-module the same left $H$-module but with left $\mathcal{A}_F$-module structure given by

$$a \cdot_F m = (F_1^{-1} \triangleright a) \cdot (F_2^{-1} \triangleright m)$$

for all $a \in A$ and $m \in \mathcal{M}$.

**Proof.** In fact, the obtained $k$-module $\mathcal{M}_F$ is an object in $H^\mathcal{A}_F M$, since

$$(a \cdot_F b) \cdot_F m = a \cdot_F (b \cdot_F m) \text{ and } \xi \triangleright (a \cdot_F m) = (\xi_1 \triangleright a) \cdot_F (\xi_2 \triangleright m)$$

follow for all $\xi \in H$, $a, b \in A$ and $m \in \mathcal{M}$ in complete analogy to Lemma 2.8. Furthermore, any morphisms $\phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}'$ in $H^\mathcal{A}_M$ is automatically a morphism in $H^\mathcal{A}_F M$, where left $H_F$-linearity is trivially given and left $\mathcal{A}_F$-linearity follows since

$$\phi(a \cdot_F m) = \phi((\xi_1 \triangleright a) \cdot (\xi_2 \triangleright m)) = (\xi_1 \triangleright a) \cdot \phi(\xi_2 \triangleright m) = (\xi_1 \triangleright a) \cdot (\xi_2 \triangleright \phi(m)) = a \cdot_F \phi(b)$$

for all $a \in A$ and $m \in \mathcal{M}$.
One might ask if the monoidal equivalence of Theorem 2.7 restricts to \( M \). However, \( \mathcal{A} \mathcal{M} \) is not monoidal with respect to the usual tensor product of \( \mathbb{k} \)-modules, since there is no coproduct on \( \mathcal{A} \) in general to distribute the left \( \mathcal{A} \)-module action to the tensor factors. To obtain a monoidal category we need two specifications: first we consider the subcategory of \( \mathcal{H} \)-equivariant \( \mathcal{A} \)-bimodules \( H_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{A} \), i.e. there are commuting left and right \( \mathcal{A} \)-actions which are equivariant with respect to the left \( \mathcal{H} \)-action. Secondly, we consider the tensor product \( \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \) over \( \mathcal{A} \), which is defined for two objects \( \mathcal{M} \) and \( \mathcal{M}' \) by the quotient

\[
\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}'/N_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'},
\]

where \( N_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'} = \text{im}(\rho_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{M}'} - \text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \lambda_{\mathcal{M}'}) \) and \( \lambda_{\mathcal{M}'} \) and \( \rho_{\mathcal{M}} \) denote the left and right \( \mathcal{A} \)-actions on \( \mathcal{M}' \) and \( \mathcal{M} \), respectively. As a consequence one has

\[
(m \cdot a) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} m' = m \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} (a \cdot m')
\]

for all \( a \in \mathcal{A} \), \( m \in \mathcal{M} \) and \( m' \in \mathcal{M}' \). Then \( \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{M}' \) is a \( \mathcal{H} \)-equivariant \( \mathcal{A} \)-bimodule, with induced left \( \mathcal{H} \)-action and left and right \( \mathcal{A} \)-action given by

\[
a \cdot (m \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} m') = (a \cdot m) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} m' \quad \text{and} \quad (m \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} m') \cdot a = m \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} (m' \cdot a)
\]

for all \( a \in \mathcal{A} \), \( m \in \mathcal{M} \) and \( m' \in \mathcal{M}' \). On morphisms \( \phi : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N} \) and \( \psi : \mathcal{M}' \to \mathcal{N}' \) of \( H_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{A} \) one defines \( (\phi \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \psi)(m \otimes m') = \phi(m) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \psi(m') \) for all \( m \in \mathcal{M} \) and \( m' \in \mathcal{M}' \).

**Proposition 2.10.** The tuple \( (H_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{A}, \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}) \) is a monoidal category and for a twist \( F \) on \( H \) the monoidal equivalence of Theorem 2.7 descends to a monoidal equivalence of \( (H_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{A}, \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}) \) and \( (H_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{A}, \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}) \).

We refer to [4] Theorem 3.13 for a proof and more information. In contrast to Theorem 2.7 we do not obtain a symmetric braided monoidal structure on \( H_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{A} \) if \( H \) is triangular in general. The \( \mathcal{H} \)-equivariant \( \mathcal{A} \)-bimodules are still too arbitrary. One has to demand more symmetry before. We do so by considering a braided commutative left \( \mathcal{H} \)-module algebra \( \mathcal{A} \) for a triangular Hopf algebra \( (H, \mathcal{R}) \) instead of a general left \( \mathcal{A} \)-module algebra. This means that \( b \cdot a = (\mathcal{R}_{1}^{\iota} \triangleright b \cdot a) \cdot (\mathcal{R}_{2}^{\iota} \triangleright b) \) holds for all \( a, b \in \mathcal{A} \). On the level of \( \mathcal{A} \)-bimodules we want to keep this symmetry: an \( \mathcal{H} \)-equivariant braided symmetric \( \mathcal{A} \)-bimodule \( \mathcal{M} \) for a braided commutative left \( \mathcal{H} \)-module algebra \( \mathcal{A} \) is an \( \mathcal{H} \)-equivariant \( \mathcal{A} \)-bimodule such that \( m \cdot a = (\mathcal{R}_{1}^{\iota} \triangleright a \cdot \mathcal{R}_{2}^{\iota} \triangleright m) \) for all \( a \in \mathcal{A} \) and \( m \in \mathcal{M} \). In other words, the left and right \( \mathcal{A} \)-actions are related via the universal \( \mathcal{R} \)-matrix, mirroring the braided commutativity of \( \mathcal{A} \). These bimodules form a category \( H_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{A} \) with morphisms being the usual left \( \mathcal{H} \)-linear and left and right \( \mathcal{A} \)-linear maps. A proof of the following statement can be found in [4] Theorem 5.21.

**Theorem 2.11.** If \( H \) is triangular, and \( \mathcal{A} \) is braided commutative we obtain a braided monoidal equivalence

\[
(H_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{A}, \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{R}) \cong (H_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{A}, \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}})
\]

between braided monoidal categories.

### 3 Braided Commutative Geometry

We enter the main section of this paper with the aim to construct a noncommutative Cartan calculus for any braided commutative algebra. Since its development is entirely parallel to the classical Cartan calculus on a commutative algebra with basically no choices on the way it feels justified to call it the braided Cartan calculus on a fixed braided commutative algebra. Before proving this result we recall the notion of multivector fields and differential forms on a commutative algebra, also to indicate the naturality of the generalization. The corresponding Graßmann and Gerstenhaber structures are equivariant with respect to a cocommutative Hopf algebra if the commutative algebra is a Hopf algebra module algebra in addition. We give the definitions of braided Graßmann and Gerstenhaber algebras and provide braided multivector fields and differential forms on a braided commutative algebra as (dual) examples. The triangular structure on the Hopf algebra is crucial for this construction. In the second subsection we furthermore introduce a differential on the braided Graßmann algebra of braided differential forms via a braided version of the Chevalley-Eilenberg formula. Remark that the differential is a graded braided derivation with respect to the braided wedge product, however, since it is an integral for the Hopf algebra action, it resembles a graded (non-braided) derivation. Using graded braided commutators the relations between the braided Lie derivative, insertion and differential are generalizing and entirely mirroring the commutation relations of the classical Cartan calculus. We end the second subsection by applying the gauge equivalence given by the Drinfel’d functor to the braided Cartan calculus and proving that the result is the braided
Cartan calculus on the twisted algebra with respect to the twisted triangular structure. Furthermore, some ramifications of this gauge equivalence, in particular for the interpretation of the twisted Cartan calculus on a commutative algebra, are discussed. The third and last subsection deals with braided covariant derivatives and metrics. It is an instance of the fruitfulness of applications of the braided Cartan calculus and furthermore reinforced how similar differential geometry and braided commutative geometry can be treated. The main results are the extension of a braided covariant derivative to braided multivector fields and differential forms and the existence of a unique braided Levi-Civita covariant derivative for a fixed braided metric. Parallel we show how to apply the Drinfel’d functor to the new objects and that it is compatible with all constructions.

3.1 Braided Graßmann and Gerstenhaber Algebras

For the classical Cartan calculus of a commutative algebra $A$ the two most important $A$-bimodules are the multivector fields $\mathfrak{x}^\bullet(A)$ and differential forms $\Omega^\bullet(A)$. They are graded and possess a Graßmann structure. If $A$ is a left $H$-module algebra for a cocommutative Hopf algebra $H$, $\mathfrak{x}^\bullet(A)$ and $\Omega^\bullet(A)$ are $H$-equivariant symmetric $A$-bimodules and the $H$-action respects the grading. Let us briefly recall the construction of those modules and then generalize them to the category $\mathcal{A}^H$ for a triangular Hopf algebra $(H, \mathcal{R})$ and a braided commutative left $H$-module algebra $A$.

Fix a cocommutative Hopf algebra $H$ and a commutative left $H$-module algebra $A$ for the moment. The derivations $\text{Der}(A)$ of $A$ are an $H$-equivariant symmetric $A$-bimodule with left $H$-action given by the adjoint action

\[
(\xi \triangleright X)(a) = \xi(\triangleright) \circ (X(S(\xi)) \triangleright a)
\]

and left and right $A$-module actions $(a \cdot X)(b) = a \cdot X(b) = (X \cdot a)(b)$, for all $\xi \in H$, $X \in \text{Der}(A)$ and $a \in A$. In particular, the tensor algebra

\[
\mathcal{T}^*\text{Der}(A) = A \oplus \text{Der}(A) \oplus (\text{Der}(A) \otimes_A \text{Der}(A)) \oplus \cdots
\]

of $\text{Der}(A)$ with respect to the tensor product $\otimes_A$ over $A$ is well-defined. It is an $H$-equivariant symmetric $A$-bimodule with module actions defined on homogeneous elements $X_1 \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A X_k \in \mathcal{T}^k\text{Der}(A)$ by

\[
\xi \triangleright (X_1 \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A X_k) = (\xi \triangleleft X_1) \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A (\xi \triangleleft X_k),
\]

\[
a \cdot (X_1 \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A X_k) = (a \cdot X_1) \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A X_k,
\]

\[
(X_1 \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A X_k) \cdot a = X_1 \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A (X_k \cdot a)
\]

for all $\xi \in H$ and $a \in A$. Furthermore, there is an ideal $I$ in $\mathcal{T}^*\text{Der}(A)$ generated by elements $X_1 \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A X_k \in \mathcal{T}^k\text{Der}(A)$ such that $X_i = X_j$ for a pair $(i, j)$ such that $1 \leq i < j \leq k$. The quotient $\mathcal{T}^*\text{Der}(A)/I$ is the exterior algebra. It is the Graßmann algebra $\mathfrak{x}^\bullet(A)$ of multivector fields on $A$ and the induced product, the wedge product, is denoted by $\wedge$. Since $H$ is cocommutative and the $A$-actions symmetric, they respect the ideal $I$. Consequently, the induced actions on $\mathfrak{x}^\bullet(A)$ are well-defined, structuring the multivector fields as an $H$-equivariant symmetric $A$-bimodule with the additional property that the left $H$-action respects the grading. Moreover, the usual commutator of endomorphisms $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is a Lie bracket for the derivations of $A$. It extends uniquely to a Gerstenhaber bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ on $\mathfrak{x}^\bullet(A)$ by defining $[a, b] = 0$, $[X, a] = X(a)$ for all $a, b \in A$ and $X \in \text{Der}(A)$ and inductively declaring the graded Leibniz rule

\[
[[X, Y] \wedge Z] = [X, Y] \wedge Z + (-1)^{(k-1)(\ell-1)} [X, Z] [Y, Y]
\]

(1)

for all $X \in \mathfrak{x}^k(A)$, $Y \in \mathfrak{x}^\ell(A)$ and $Z \in \mathfrak{x}^\bullet(A)$. In detail this means that $[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{x}^k(A) \times \mathfrak{x}^\ell(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{x}^{k+\ell-1}(A)$ is a graded (with respect to the degree shifted by $-1$) Lie bracket, i.e. it is graded skew-symmetric

\[
[Y, X] = -(\ell-1)(k-1)(-1)^{[Y, [X, Y]]}
\]

and satisfies the graded Jacobi identity

\[
[X, [Y, Z]] = [[[X, Y], Z] + (-1)^{(k-1)(\ell-1)}[Y, [X, Z]]],
\]

where $X \in \mathfrak{x}^k(A)$, $Y \in \mathfrak{x}^\ell(A)$ and $Z \in \mathfrak{x}^\bullet(A)$, such that the graded Leibniz rule (1) holds in addition. Using the formula

\[
[X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_k, Y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_\ell] = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^\ell (-1)^{i+j} [X_i, Y_j] \wedge X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{X_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_k \wedge Y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{Y_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_\ell
\]
which holds for all \(X_1, \ldots, X_k, Y_1, \ldots, Y_l \in \mathfrak{X}^k(A)\), it is easy to prove that the Gerstenhaber bracket \(\llbracket,\rrbracket\) is \(H\)-equivariant, i.e. that
\[
\xi \triangleright [X, Y] = \llbracket \xi_{(1)} \triangleright X, \xi_{(2)} \triangleright Y \rrbracket
\]
for all \(\xi \in H\) and \(X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}^*(A)\). Note that \(\bar{X}_i\) and \(\bar{Y}_j\) means that \(X_i\) and \(Y_j\) are left out in the above wedge product.

Differential forms on \(A\) are defined in the following way: consider \(\text{Hom}_A(\text{Der}(A), A)\), the space of \(A\)-linear maps \(\text{Der}(A) \to A\). It is an \(H\)-equivariant symmetric \(A\)-bimodule with respect to the adjoint \(H\)-action and \((a \cdot \omega)(X) = a \cdot \omega(X) = (\omega \cdot a)(X)\) for all \(a \in A\), \(\omega \in \text{Hom}_A(\text{Der}(A), A)\) and \(X \in \text{Der}(A)\). The corresponding exterior algebra is denoted by \(\bigwedge^* A\). One can define a differential \(d\) of \(\omega \in \bigwedge^k A\) via
\[
(d\omega)(X_1, \ldots, X_{k+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} X_i(\omega(X_1, \ldots, \hat{X}_i, \ldots, X_{k+1}))
\]
+ \[
\sum_{i<j} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([X_i, X_j], X_1, \ldots, \hat{X}_i, \ldots, \hat{X}_j, \ldots, X_{k+1})
\]
for all \(X_1, \ldots, X_{k+1} \in \text{Der}(A)\). This is known as the Chevalley-Eilenberg formula. Define now the differential forms \(\bigwedge^* A\) on \(A\) to be the smallest differential graded subalgebra of \(\bigwedge^* A\) such that \(A \subseteq \bigwedge^* A\) (compare to [13]). In this case every homogeneous element \(\bigwedge^k A\) can be written as a finite sum of elements of the form \(a_1 a_2 \ldots a_k\), where \(a_0, \ldots, a_k \in A\).

The induced actions structure \((\bigwedge^* A), \wedge\) is an \(H\)-equivariant symmetric \(A\)-bimodule and a Graßmann algebra such that \(\wedge\) is equivariant and \(H \triangleright \bigwedge^k A \subseteq \bigwedge^k A\). From the Chevalley-Eilenberg formula it follows that \(d\) commutes with \(\triangleright\). The insertion \(i_x : \bigwedge^k A \to \bigwedge^{k-1} A\) of derivations \(X \in \mathfrak{X}^k(A)\) into the first slot of a differential form, i.e. \((i_X(\omega))(X_1, \ldots, X_{k-1}) = \omega(X, X_1, \ldots, X_{k-1})\) for all \(\omega \in \bigwedge^k A\) and \(X_1, \ldots, X_{k-1} \in \mathfrak{X}^k(A)\) is \(H\)-equivariant. Now we are ready to generalize the concept of Graßmann and Gerstenhaber algebra to the setting of equivariant braided symmetric bimodules. Fix a triangular Hopf algebra \((H, R)\).

**Definition 3.1** (Braided Graßmann algebra). A graded \(k\)-module \(\mathfrak{S}^* = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathfrak{S}^k\) is said to be a braided Graßmann algebra if

i.) there is a left \(H\)-module action on \(\mathfrak{S}^*\) such that \(H \triangleright \mathfrak{S}^k \subseteq \mathfrak{S}^k\); 

ii.) there is a \(H\)-equivariant, graded, associative, braided commutative product \(\wedge_R : \mathfrak{S}^k \times \mathfrak{S}^l \to \mathfrak{S}^{k+l}\), the latter condition being

\[
X \wedge_R Y = (-1)^{kl} (R_{1}^{-1} \triangleright Y) \wedge_R (R_{2}^{-1} \triangleright X),
\]

for all \(X \in \mathfrak{S}^k, Y \in \mathfrak{S}^l\).

In particular \(\mathfrak{S}^0\) is a braided commutative \(H\)-module algebra for any braided Graßmann algebra \(\mathfrak{S}^*\). In some cases there is a graded Lie bracket on a braided Graßmann algebra respecting the graded product and the braiding simultaneously.

**Definition 3.2** (Braided Gerstenhaber algebra). A braided Graßmann algebra \((\mathfrak{S}^*, \wedge_R)\) is said to be a braided Gerstenhaber algebra if there is a \(H\)-equivariant graded (with degree shifted by \(-1\)) braided Lie bracket \([,]_R : \mathfrak{S}^k \times \mathfrak{S}^l \to \mathfrak{S}^{k+l-1}\), i.e.

\[
[X, Y]_R = (-1)^{(k-1)(l-1)} [(R_{1}^{-1} \triangleright Y), (R_{2}^{-1} \triangleright X)]_R
\]

and

\[
[X, [Y, Z]]_R = [[X, Y]_R, Z]_R + (-1)^{(k-1)(l-1)} [(R_{1}^{-1} \triangleright Y), [(R_{2}^{-1} \triangleright X), Z]_R]
\]

satisfying a graded braided Leibniz rule

\[
[X, Y \wedge_R Z]_R = [X, Y]_R \wedge_R Z + (-1)^{(k-1)(l-1)} [(R_{1}^{-1} \triangleright Y), [(R_{2}^{-1} \triangleright X), Z]_R]
\]

with respect to \(\wedge_R\) in addition. Above \(X \in \mathfrak{S}^k, Y \in \mathfrak{S}^l\) and \(Z \in \mathfrak{S}^*\).

Let \(\mathfrak{S}^*\) be a braided Gerstenhaber algebra. It follows that \(\mathfrak{S}^0\) is an associative, braided commutative \(H\)-module algebra and \(\mathfrak{S}^1\) is a braided Lie algebra. Moreover, \(\mathfrak{S}^1\) is an \(H\)-equivariant, braided symmetric \(\mathfrak{S}^0\)-bimodule and \(\mathfrak{S}^0\) is an \(H\)-equivariant, braided symmetric \(\mathfrak{S}^1\)-bimodule. This means that for any \(X \in \mathfrak{S}^1\) we can define the braided Lie derivative

\[
\mathcal{L}^R_X(Y \wedge_R Z) = \mathcal{L}^R_X Y \wedge_R Z + (R_{1}^{-1} \triangleright Y) \wedge_R (R_{2}^{-1} \triangleright \mathcal{L}^R_X Z)
\]
for all \( X \in \mathfrak{g} \) and \( Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}^* \). It furthermore satisfies \( \mathcal{L}^R_{[X,Y]} = -\mathcal{L}^R_X \mathcal{L}^R_Y - \mathcal{L}^R_Y \mathcal{L}^R_X \) for all \( X, Y \in \mathfrak{g} \). On the other hand one can start with a braided commutative left \( H \)-module algebra \( A \) and construct a braided Gerstenhaber algebra. An endomorphism \( X \) of \( A \) is said to be a braided derivation if

\[
X(ab) = X(a)b + (R_{-1}^{-1} \triangleright a)((R_{-2}^{-1} \triangleright X)(b))
\]

for all \( a, b \in A \), where the left \( H \)-action on endomorphisms is given by the adjoint action.

**Lemma 3.3.** The braided derivations \( \text{Der}_R(A) \) are an \( H \)-equivariant braided symmetric \( A \)-bimodule. Furthermore, the braided commutator

\[
[X, Y]_R = XY - (R_{-1}^{-1} \triangleright Y)(R_{-2}^{-1} \triangleright X),
\]

where \( X, Y \in \text{Der}_R(A) \), structures \( \text{Der}_R(A) \) as a braided Lie algebra.

This is an elementary consequence of the properties of the triangular structure. In the next step we want to generalize the construction of multivector fields of a commutative algebra (compare also to \([7]\)). Since \( \text{Der}_R(A) \) is an \( A \)-bimodule we can still build the tensor algebra \( T^* \text{Der}_R(A) \) with respect to \( \otimes_A \) and with module actions on homogeneous elements \( X_1 \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A X_k \in T^k \text{Der}_R(A) \) defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
\xi \triangleright (X_1 \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A X_k) &= (\xi_1 \triangleright X_1) \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A (\xi_k \triangleright X_k), \\
(1 \otimes a) \cdot (X_1 \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A X_k) &= (a \cdot X_1) \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A (a \cdot X_k), \\
(X_1 \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A X_k) \cdot a &= X_1 \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A (X_k \cdot a)
\end{align*}
\]

for all \( \xi \in H \) and \( a \in A \). However this time the ideal \( I \) is generated by elements \( X_1 \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A X_k \in T^k \text{Der}_R(A) \) which equal

\[
X_1 \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A X_{i-1} \otimes_A \left( R_{i-1}^{-1} \triangleright \left( (R_{i-1}^{-1} \triangleright X_j) \otimes_A (R_{i-2}^{-1} \triangleright (X_{i+1} \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A X_{j-1})) \right) \right)
\]

\[
\otimes_A (R_{i-2}^{-1} \triangleright X_i) \otimes_A X_{i+1} \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A X_k
\]

for a pair \( (i, j) \) such that \( 1 \leq i < j \leq k \). One can prove that the module actions respect \( I \). This induces an \( H \)-equivariant, graded, associative, braided commutative product \( \wedge_R \) on the quotient, declaring the braided multivector fields \( (X^*_R(A), \wedge_R) \) on \( A \). We are defining a \( k \)-bilinear operation \( \wedge \) on \( X^*_R(A) \) in the following. If \( a, b \in A \) we set \([a, b]_R = 0\). For \( a \in A \) and a factorizing element \( X = X_1 \wedge_R \cdots \wedge_R X_k \in X^*_R(A) \) where \( k > 0 \) we define

\[
[X, a]_R = \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{k-i} X_1 \wedge_R \cdots \wedge_R X_{i-1} \wedge_R (X_i(R_{-1}^{-1} \triangleright a))
\]

\[
\wedge_R \left( R_{i-2}^{-1} \triangleright \left( X_{i+1} \wedge_R \cdots \wedge_R X_k \right) \right)
\]

and

\[
[a, X]_R = \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^i \left( R_{i-1}^{-1} \triangleright \left( X_1 \wedge_R \cdots \wedge_R X_{i-1} \right) \right) \wedge_R ((R_{i-2}^{-1} \triangleright X_i)(R_{-1}^{-1} \triangleright a))
\]

\[
\wedge_R X_{i+1} \wedge_R \cdots \wedge_R X_k.
\]

Furthermore, on factorizing elements \( X = X_1 \wedge_R \cdots \wedge_R X_k \in X^*_R(A) \) and \( Y = Y_1 \wedge_R \cdots \wedge_R Y_\ell \in X^*_R(A) \), where \( k, \ell > 0 \), we define

\[
[X, Y]_R = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^\ell (-1)^{i+j} [R_{-1}^{-1} \triangleright X_i, R_{i-1}^{-1} \triangleright Y_j]_R
\]

\[
\wedge_R \left( R_{i-2}^{-1} \triangleright \left( (R_{-1}^{-1} \triangleright X_i, R_{i-1}^{-1} \triangleright Y_j) \right) \wedge_R X_{i+1} \wedge_R \cdots \wedge_R X_k \right)
\]

\[
\wedge_R Y_j \wedge_R Y_{j+1} \wedge_R \cdots \wedge_R Y_\ell
\]

where \([\cdot, \cdot]_R\) denotes the braided commutator and \( \overline{X}_i \) and \( \overline{Y}_j \) means that \( X_i \) and \( Y_j \) are omitted in above product. The operation \([\cdot, \cdot]_R\) is said to be the braided Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket.

**Proposition 3.4.** The braided multivector fields \( (X^*_R(A), \wedge_R, [-, -]_R) \) on \( A \) are a braided Gerstenhaber algebra.
Inductively, this leads to an element $\xi \in X$. More general, we define inductively $H$-linear in $a$ and $\omega$.

Dually, we consider $k$-linear maps $\omega: \text{Der}_R(A) \to A$ such that $\omega(X \cdot a) = \omega(X) \cdot a$ for all $X \in \text{Der}_R(A)$ and $a \in A$ and denote their accumulation by $\Omega^1_R(A)$. We structure $\Omega^1_R(A)$ as a braided symmetric $A$-bimodule with left and right $A$-actions defined by

$$(a \cdot \omega)(X) = a \cdot \omega(X)$$
and
$$(\omega \cdot a)(X) = \omega(R^{-1}_{1\to X}) \cdot (R^{-1}_{2\to a}),$$
respectively, and left $H$-action

$$(\xi \triangleright \omega)(X) = \xi_{(1)} \triangleright (\omega(S(\xi_{(2)}) \triangleright X)),$$

the adjoint action, for all $\xi \in H$, $a \in A$, $\omega \in \Omega^1_R(A)$ and $X \in \text{Der}_R(A)$. It follows that $\omega(a \cdot X) = (R^{-1}_{1\to a} \cdot (R^{-1}_{2\to \omega}(X)))$ and $\xi \triangleright (\omega(X)) = (\xi_{(1)} \triangleright \omega)(\xi_{(2)} \triangleright X)$ for all $\xi \in H$, $\omega \in \Omega^1_R(A)$, $a \in A$ and $X \in \text{Der}_R(A)$. There is an $H$-equivariant insertion $i^R_\omega: \Omega^1_R(A) \to A$, defined for any $X \in \text{Der}_R(A)$ and $\omega \in \Omega^1_R(A)$ by $i^R_\omega(\omega) = (R^{-1}_{1\to \omega})(R^{-1}_{2\to X})$. In fact,

$$\xi \triangleright (i^R_\omega(\omega)) = \xi \triangleright ((R^{-1}_{1\to \omega})(R^{-1}_{2\to X})) = ((\xi_{(1)}R^{-1}_{1\to \omega})(\xi_{(2)}R^{-1}_{2\to X}) \triangleright X) = (R^{-1}_{1\to \xi_{(1)}}R^{-1}_{2\to \xi_{(1)}} \triangleright X) = i^R_\omega(\xi_{(1)} \triangleright X) = i^R_\omega(\xi_{(2)} \triangleright X).$$

for all $\xi \in H$, $X \in \text{Der}_R(A)$ and $\omega \in \Omega^1_R(A)$. For $\omega, \eta \in \Omega^1_R(A)$ we define

$$(\omega \wedge_R \eta)(X, Y) = ((\omega R^{-1}_{1\to X})(\eta R^{-1}_{2\to Y}))(\omega R^{-1}_{1\to Y})(\eta R^{-1}_{2\to Y})$$

for all $X, Y \in \text{Der}_R(A)$. One proves that

$$(\omega \wedge_R \eta)(R^{-1}_{1\to Y}, R^{-1}_{2\to X}) = (\omega \wedge_R \eta)(X, Y) = -((\omega R^{-1}_{1\to Y})(\eta R^{-1}_{2\to X}))(X, Y)$$

and that

$$(\omega \wedge_R \eta)(X, Y \cdot a) = ((\omega \wedge_R \eta)(X, Y)) \cdot a,$$
$$(\omega \wedge_R \eta)(a \cdot X, Y) = (R^{-1}_{1\to a} \cdot (R^{-1}_{2\to (\omega \wedge_R \eta})(X, Y))),$$
$$\xi \triangleright ((\omega \wedge_R \eta)(X, Y)) = (\xi_{(1)} \triangleright \omega) \wedge_R (\xi_{(2)} \triangleright \eta))(\xi_{(3)} \triangleright X, \xi_{(4)} \triangleright Y)$$
hold for all $\xi \in H$, $\omega, \eta \in \Omega^1_R(A)$, $a \in A$ and $X, Y \in \text{Der}_R(A)$. The $k$-linear span of elements $\omega \wedge_R \eta$ is denoted by $\Omega^k_R(A)$. It becomes an $H$-equivariant braided symmetric $A$-bimodule with module actions given by

$$(\xi \triangleright (\omega \wedge_R \eta))(X, Y) = \xi_{(1)} \triangleright ((\omega \wedge_R \eta)(X, S(\xi_{(3)}) \triangleright X, S(\xi_{(4)}) \triangleright Y),$$
$$(\omega \wedge_R \eta)(X, Y) = a \cdot ((\omega \wedge_R \eta)(X, Y)),$$
$$(\omega \wedge_R \eta)(X, Y) = (\omega \wedge_R \eta)(R^{-1}_{1\to X}, R^{-1}_{1\to X})(X, Y) \cdot (R^{-1}_{2\to X} \cdot a).$$

Inductively, this leads to an $H$-equivariant braided symmetric $A$-bimodule $\Omega^k_R(A) = \bigoplus_{a \geq 0} \Omega^1_R(A)$, where $\Omega^1_R(A) := A$. Explicitly, the module actions on homogeneous elements $\omega_1 \wedge_R \ldots \wedge_R \omega_k \in \Omega^k_R(A)$ read

$$(\xi \triangleright (\omega \wedge_R \ldots \wedge R \omega_k))(X_1, \ldots, X_k) = (\omega_1 \wedge_R \ldots \wedge R \omega_k)(S(\xi_{(k+1)}) \triangleright X_1, \ldots, S(\xi_{(2)}) \triangleright X_k),$$
$$(a \cdot (\omega_1 \wedge_R \ldots \wedge R \omega_k))(X_1, \ldots, X_k) = a \cdot ((\omega_1 \wedge_R \ldots \wedge R \omega_k)(X_1, \ldots, X_k),$$
$$((\omega_1 \wedge_R \ldots \wedge R \omega_k) \cdot a)(X_1, \ldots, X_k) = ((\omega_1 \wedge_R \ldots \wedge R \omega_k)(R^{-1}_{(1\to X_1), \ldots, R^{-1}_{(1\to X_k)} \triangleright X_k})(R^{-1}_{2\to a} \cdot a))$$

for all $X_1, \ldots, X_k \in \text{Der}_R(A), a \in A$ and $\xi \in H$. It is useful to further define the insertion $i^R_\omega: \Omega^k_R(A) \to \Omega^{k+1}_R(A)$ of an element $X \in \text{Der}_R(A)$ into the last slot an element $\omega \in \Omega^1_R(A)$ by

$$i^R_\omega(\omega) = (-1)^{k-1}(R^{-1}_{1\to \omega})(\ldots, R^{-1}_{2\to X}).$$

More general, we define inductively

$$i^R_{\omega \wedge_R Y} = i^R_\omega i^R_Y$$
for all $X, Y \in \Omega^k_R(A)$.

**Lemma 3.5.** $(\Omega^k_R(A), \wedge_R)$ is a braided Grassmann algebra and the insertion

$$i^R_\omega: \Omega^k_R(A) \to \Omega^{k+1}_R(A)$$

of a braided multivector field $X \in \Omega^k_R(A)$ is $H$-equivariant, right $A$-linear and braided left $A$-linear homogeneous map of degree $-k$. Furthermore it is left $A$-linear and braided right $A$-linear in $X$. For $k = 1$ we obtain a graded braided derivation of degree $-1$. 
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Proof. Fix \(a, b \in \mathcal{A}, X \in \text{Der}_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A}), \xi \in H\) and \(\omega \in \Omega^1_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{A})\). First of all, the left and right \(\mathcal{A}\) and left \(H\)-module actions are well-defined on \(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{A})\), since \((b \cdot \omega)(X \cdot a) = b \cdot (\omega(X \cdot a)) = ((b \cdot \omega)(X)) \cdot a\),

\[
(\omega \cdot b)(X \cdot a) = \omega((R_{1(1)} \supset X) \cdot (R_{1(2)} \supset a)) \cdot (R_{2}^{-1} \supset b) \\
= \omega(R_{1(1)}^{-1} \supset X) \cdot ((R_{1(1)}^{-1} \supset R_{2}^{-1}) \supset b) \cdot ((R_{2}^{-1} \supset R_{1(2)}^{-1}) \supset a) \\
= \omega(R_{1}^{-1} \supset X) \cdot (R_{2}^{-1} \supset b) \cdot a \\
= ((\omega \cdot b)(X)) \cdot a
\]

and

\[
(\xi \triangleright \omega)(X \cdot a) = \xi_1(\triangleright (\omega(S(\xi_2(1)) \triangleright X) \cdot (S(\xi_2(2)) \triangleright a))) \\
= (\xi_1 \triangleright a) \cdot ((\xi_2 \triangleright \omega)(R_{1}^{-1} \supset X)) \\
= (\xi_1 \triangleright a) \cdot ((\xi_2 \triangleright \omega)((R_{1}^{-1} \supset X) \cdot (R_{2}^{-1} \supset b))) \\
= (\xi_1 \triangleright a) \cdot ((\xi_2 \triangleright \omega)(R_{1}^{-1} \triangleright X)) \\
= ((\xi_1 \triangleright a) \cdot \xi_2 \triangleright \omega \cdot (\xi_3 \triangleright b))(X)
\]

hold by the hexagon relations and the bialgebra anti-homomorphism properties of \(S\). The \(\mathcal{A}\)-bimodule is \(H\)-equivariant, since

\[
(\xi \triangleright (a \cdot \omega \cdot b))(X) = \xi_1(\triangleright ((a \cdot \omega \cdot b)(S(\xi_3) \triangleright X))) \\
= (\xi_1 \triangleright a) \cdot ((\xi_2 \triangleright \omega)(R_{1}^{-1} \supset X)) \\
= (\xi_1 \triangleright a) \cdot ((\xi_2 \triangleright \omega)((R_{1}^{-1} \supset X) \cdot (R_{2}^{-1} \supset b))) \\
= (\xi_1 \triangleright a) \cdot ((\xi_2 \triangleright \omega)(R_{1}^{-1} \triangleright X)) \\
= ((\xi_1 \triangleright a) \cdot \xi_2 \triangleright \omega \cdot (\xi_3 \triangleright b))(X)
\]

and it is braided symmetric because

\[
((R_{1}^{-1} \supset \omega) \cdot (R_{2}^{-1} \supset a))(X) = ((R_{1}^{-1} \supset \omega)(R_{1}^{-1} \triangleright X)) \cdot ((R_{2}^{-1} \supset R_{2}^{-1}) \supset a) \\
= ((R_{1}^{-1} \supset R_{2}^{-1} \supset R_{2}^{-1} \supset a) \cdot (R_{2}^{-1} \supset ((R_{1}^{-1} \supset \omega)(R_{1}^{-1} \supset X)))) \\
= (a \cdot \omega)(X).
\]

By definition these properties extend to \(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{A}), ((\xi \triangleright) \cap \mathcal{R})\), giving an associative, graded braided commutative product \(\wedge\). This proves that \((\Omega^1_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{A}), \wedge)\) is a braided Graßmann algebra. We further prove that \(i^X_\mathcal{R}\) is a braided graded derivation of the wedge product for \(X \in \text{Der}_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A})\). Let \(\omega, \eta \in \Omega^1_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{A})\). Then

\[
i^X_\mathcal{R}(\omega \wedge \mathcal{R} \eta) = \eta(-1)^{2(1)}((R_{1(1)}^{-1} \supset \omega) \wedge \mathcal{R} (R_{1(2)}^{-1} \supset \eta))(R_{2}^{-1} \supset X) \\
= - (R_{1(1)}^{-1} \supset \omega)(R_{1(2)}^{-1} \supset \eta)(R_{2}^{-1} \supset X) \\
+ (R_{1(1)}^{-1} \supset \omega)((R_{1}^{-1} \supset R_{2}^{-1} \supset X)((R_{2}^{-1} \supset R_{2}^{-1} \supset X)))) \\
= \eta(-1)^{1(1)}(R_{1(1)}^{-1} \supset \omega) \wedge \mathcal{R} i^X_\mathcal{R}(\omega).\eta.
\]

In particular this implies \(\xi \triangleright (i^X_\mathcal{R}(\omega \wedge \mathcal{R} \eta)) = i^X_\mathcal{R}(\xi_1 \triangleright X)((\xi_2 \triangleright \omega) \wedge \mathcal{R} (\xi_3 \triangleright \eta))\) for all \(\xi \in H\). Inductively, one shows

\[
i^X_\mathcal{R}(\omega \wedge \mathcal{R} \eta) = i^X_\mathcal{R}(\omega) \wedge \mathcal{R} \eta + (-1)^{1(1)}(R_{1(1)}^{-1} \supset \omega) \wedge \mathcal{R} i^X_\mathcal{R}(\omega \wedge \mathcal{R} \eta)
\]

and \(\xi \triangleright (i^X_\mathcal{R} \eta) = i^X_\mathcal{R}(\xi_1 \triangleright X)(\xi_2 \triangleright \eta)\) for all \(\xi \in H, X \in \text{Der}_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A}), \omega \in \Omega^1_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{A})\) and \(\eta \in \Omega^1_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{A})\). For factorizing elements \(X_1 \wedge X_2 \in \mathcal{X}_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A})\) this implies

\[
\xi \triangleright i^X_\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R} X_1 \wedge X_2 = \xi \triangleright (i^X_\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R} X_1 \wedge X_2) = i^X_\mathcal{R}(\xi_1 \triangleright X_1 \wedge \mathcal{R} X_2)(\xi_2 \triangleright \omega) = i^X_\mathcal{R}(\xi_1 \triangleright (X_1 \wedge \mathcal{R} X_2))(\xi_2 \triangleright \omega)
\]

for all \(\xi \in H\) and \(\omega \in \Omega^1_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{A})\) and inductively one obtain \(\xi \triangleright (i^X_\mathcal{R} \omega) = i^X_\mathcal{R}(\xi_1 \triangleright X_1 \wedge \mathcal{R} X_2)(\xi_2 \triangleright \omega)\) for any \(X \in \Omega^1_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{A})\). It is easy to verify that \(i^X_\mathcal{R}\) inherits the linearity properties

\[
i^X_\mathcal{R}(a \cdot \omega) = (i^X_\mathcal{R} \omega), \]
\[
i^X_\mathcal{R}(a \cdot \omega) = (i^X_\mathcal{R}(R_{1(1)}^{-1} \supset \omega)) \cdot (R_{2}^{-1} \supset a), \]
\[
i^X_\mathcal{R}(\omega \cdot a) = (i^X_\mathcal{R} \omega) \cdot a, \]
\[
i^X_\mathcal{R}(a \cdot \omega) = (R_{1(1)}^{-1} \supset a) \cdot (i^X_\mathcal{R} \omega)
\]

for all \(X \in \mathcal{X}_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A}), a \in \mathcal{A}\) and \(\omega \in \Omega^1_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{A})\). This concludes the proof of the lemma. \(\Box\)
3.2 Braided Cartan Calculi and Gauge Equivalence

In the following pages we construct a noncommutative Cartan calculus for any braided symmetric algebra. The development is entirely parallel to the classical Cartan calculus of a commutative algebra, however in a braided monoidal category. In particular we are not constrained to use the center of the algebra. Afterwards we define a twist deformation of any braided Cartan calculus and show that it gives the braided Cartan calculus of the twist deformed algebra with respect to the twisted triangular structure.

One defines a differential \( d : \Omega^*_R(A) \to \Omega^{k+1}_R(A) \) on \( a \in A \) by \( i^*_a(da) = X(a) \) for all \( X \in \text{Der}_R(A) \), and \( \omega \in \Omega^*_R(A) \) by

\[
(d\omega)(X,Y) = (R_{-1}^{-1} \triangleright X)((R_{-2}^{-1} \triangleright \omega)(Y)) - (R_{-1}^{-1} \triangleright Y)(R_{-2}^{-1} \triangleright (\omega(X))) - \omega([X,Y]_R)
\]

for all \( X, Y \in \text{Der}_R(A) \) and extends \( d \) to higher wedge powers by demanding it to be a graded derivation with respect to \( \wedge \), i.e.

\[
d(\omega_1 \wedge_R \omega_2) = (d\omega_1) \wedge_R \omega_2 + (-1)^q \omega_1 \wedge_R (d\omega_2)
\]

for \( \omega_1 \in \Omega^k_R(A) \) and \( \omega_2 \in \Omega^*_R(A) \). One can also directly define \( d\omega \in \Omega^{k+1}_R(A) \) for any \( \omega \in \Omega^*_R(A) \) by

\[
(d\omega)(X_0, \ldots, X_k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^i (R_{-1}^{-1} \triangleright X_i) \left( (R_{-2}^{-1} \triangleright \omega(X_0, \ldots, X_{i-1}, X_{i+1}, \ldots, X_k)) \right)
\]

for all \( X_0, \ldots, X_k \in \text{Der}_R(A) \). It is sufficient to prove \( d^2 = 0 \) on \( \Omega^*_R(A) \) for \( k < 2 \), since \( d^2 \) is a graded braided derivation. The computations can be found in [2]. Define now the differential forms \( \Omega^*_R(A) \) on \( A \) to be the smallest differential graded subalgebra of \( \Omega^*_R(A) \) such that \( A \subseteq \Omega^*_R(A) \). In this case every homogeneous element \( \Omega^k_R(A) \) can be written as a finite sum of elements of the form \( a_0 \otimes d a_1 \wedge_R \ldots \wedge_R d a_k \), where \( a_0, \ldots, a_k \in A \). Using the above formula and the fact that the braided commutator is \( H \)-equivariant it immediately follows that \( d \) commutes with the left \( H \)-module action. In other words, \( d \) is an integral for the adjoint action, i.e.

\[
(\xi \triangleright d)\omega = (d(\xi(\xi(2))) \triangleright \omega)) = (\xi(1))S(\xi(2))) \triangleright (d\omega) = \epsilon(\xi) d\omega
\]

for all \( \xi \in H \) and \( \omega \in \Omega^*_R(A) \). Recall that the graded braided commutator of two homogeneous maps \( \Phi, \Psi : \mathfrak{g}^* \to \mathfrak{g}^* \) of degree \( k \) and \( \ell \) between braided Grassmann algebras is defined by

\[
[\Phi, \Psi]_R = \Phi \circ \Psi - (-1)^{k\ell} (R_{-1}^{-1} \triangleright \Psi) \circ (R_{-2}^{-1} \triangleright \Phi).
\]

In particular, if \( \Phi \) or \( \Psi \) is an integral, the graded braided commutator coincides with the graded commutator. If \( \Phi, \Psi : \mathfrak{g}^*_R(A) \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \to \mathfrak{g}^* \) are \( H \)-equivariant maps such that \( \Phi_X, \Psi_Y : \mathfrak{g}^* \to \mathfrak{g}^* \) are homogeneous of degree \( k \) and \( \ell \) for any \( X \in \mathfrak{g}^*_R(A) \) and \( Y \in \mathfrak{g}^*_R(A) \), respectively, the graded braided commutator of \( \Phi_X \) and \( \Psi_Y \) reads

\[
[\Phi_X, \Psi_Y]_R = \Phi_X \Psi_Y - (-1)^{k\ell} \Phi_{X R^{-1} Y} \Psi_{R^{-1} Y X}.
\]

For any \( X \in \mathfrak{g}^*_R(A) \) we define the braided Lie derivative \( \mathfrak{L}^R_X : \Omega^*_R(A) \to \Omega^{(k-1)}_R(A) \) by \( \mathfrak{L}^R_X = [X, d]_R \). It is a homogeneous map of degree \(-(k-1)\) and \( H \)-equivariant. For \( k = 1 \) it is a braided derivation of \( \Omega^*_R(A) \).

Lemma 3.6. One has

\[
\mathfrak{L}^R_A \omega = -(da) \wedge_R \omega \text{ and } \mathfrak{L}^R_{X \wedge_R Y} = i^R_X \mathfrak{L}^R_Y + (-1)^f \mathfrak{L}^R_{X i^R_Y}
\]

for all \( a \in A \), \( \omega \in \Omega^*_R(A) \), \( X \in \mathfrak{g}^*_R(A) \) and \( Y \in \mathfrak{g}^*_R(A) \). If \( X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}^*_R(A) \)

\[
[\mathfrak{L}^R_X, i^R_Y]_R = i^R_{[X,Y]_R}
\]
Proof. By the very definition of the braided Lie derivative
\[ \mathcal{L}_a^R \omega = i_a^R d\omega - (-1)^{0 \cdot 1} (i_a^R \omega) = a \wedge_R d\omega - ((da) \wedge_R \omega + (-1)^0 a \wedge_R d\omega) = -(da) \wedge_R \omega \]
follows. From the graded braided Leibniz rule of the graded braided commutator we obtain
\[ [\mathcal{L}_X^R, \mathcal{L}_Y^R]_{\wedge_R} = [i_X^R i_Y^R]_{\wedge_R} = [i_X^R]_{\wedge_R} [i_Y^R]_{\wedge_R} = r_{X^R \wedge_R Y^R} \]

The missing formula trivially holds on braided differential forms of degree 0, while for \( \omega \in \Omega_R^k(\mathcal{A}) \) one obtains
\[ [\mathcal{L}_X^R, i_Y^R]_{\wedge_R} \omega = \mathcal{L}_X^R(i_Y^R \omega) - (-1)^{0 \cdot 1} (i_X^R \omega) \]
\[ = (i_X^R \mathcal{L}_Y^R) \omega - i_X^R (i_Y^R \mathcal{L}_X^R) \omega = \mathcal{L}_X^R(i_Y^R \omega) - (i_X^R \omega) \]
\[ = \mathcal{L}_X^R(i_Y^R \omega) - (i_X^R \omega) \]
for all \( X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_R(\mathcal{A}) \). Since \( [\mathcal{L}_X^R, i_Y^R]_{\wedge_R} \) is a graded braided derivation this is all we have to prove.

Now we are prepared to prove the main theorem of this section. It assigns to any braided commutative left \( H \)-module algebra \( \mathcal{A} \) a noncommutative Cartan calculus, which we call the braided Cartan calculus of \( \mathcal{A} \) in the following.

**Theorem 3.7 (Braided Cartan calculus).** Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a braided commutative left \( H \)-module algebra and consider the braided differential forms \( \Omega^*_R(\mathcal{A}, \wedge_R, d) \) and braided multivector fields \( \mathfrak{X}_R^k(\mathcal{A}, \wedge_R, [\cdot, \cdot]_R) \) on \( \mathcal{A} \). The \( H \)-equivariant homogeneous maps
\[ \mathcal{L}_X^R : \Omega^*_R(\mathcal{A}) \to \Omega^*_R(\mathcal{A}) \quad \text{and} \quad i_X^R : \Omega^*_R(\mathcal{A}) \to \Omega^*_R^{k-k}(\mathcal{A}), \]
where \( X \in \mathfrak{X}_R^k(\mathcal{A}) \), satisfy
\[ [\mathcal{L}_X^R, \mathcal{L}_Y^R]_{\wedge_R} = [\mathcal{L}_X^R, i_Y^R]_{\wedge_R}, \quad [i_X^R, i_Y^R]_{\wedge_R} = 0, \quad [i_X^R, d]_{\wedge_R} = \mathcal{L}_X^R, \]
\[ [\mathcal{L}_X^R, d]_{\wedge_R} = 0, \quad [d, d]_{\wedge_R} = 0, \]
for all \( X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_R(\mathcal{A}) \).

**Proof.** We are going to prove the above formulas in reversed order. Since \( d \) is a differential it follows that \( [d, d]_{\wedge_R} = 2d^2 = 0 \). Recall that there is no braiding appearing here since \( d \) is an integral. By the definition of the braided Lie derivative \( i_X^R, d_{\wedge_R} = \mathcal{L}_X^R \) holds for all \( X \in \mathfrak{X}_R(\mathcal{A}) \). Let \( X \in \mathfrak{X}_R(\mathcal{A}) \) and \( Y \in \mathfrak{X}_R(\mathcal{A}) \). Then
\[ [i_X^R, i_Y^R]_{\wedge_R} = i_X^R i_Y^R - (-1)^{\ell_X \ell_Y} i_Y^R i_X^R = i_X^R i_Y^R - i_X^R i_Y^R = 0 \]
follows by the very definition of \( i_X^R \wedge_Y^R = i_Y^R i_X^R \). Using the graded braided Jacobi identity of the graded braided commutator we obtain
\[ [[i_X^R, d]_{\wedge_R}, d]_{\wedge_R} = [[[i_X^R, d]_{\wedge_R}, d]_{\wedge_R}, d]_{\wedge_R} = (-1)^{\ell_X \ell_Y} [[[i_X^R, d]_{\wedge_R}, d]_{\wedge_R}, d]_{\wedge_R} = -[[[i_X^R, d]_{\wedge_R}, d]_{\wedge_R}, d]_{\wedge_R} = 0 \]
for all \( X \in \mathfrak{X}_R(\mathcal{A}) \), which implies \( [\mathcal{L}_X^R, d]_{\wedge_R} = 0 \). Again, there is no braiding appearing since \( d \) is an integral. Recall that the braided Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket of a homogeneous element \( Y = Y_1 \wedge_R \cdots \wedge_R Y_k \in \mathfrak{X}_R^k(\mathcal{A}) \) with \( a \in \mathcal{A} \) and \( X \in \mathfrak{X}_R^k(\mathcal{A}) \) read
\[ [a, Y]_{\wedge_R} = \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{j+1} (R_{\ell(a) \rightarrow Y_1} \wedge_R \cdots \wedge_R (R_{\ell(Y_{j-1}) \rightarrow Y_{j-1}}) \wedge_R [R_{\ell(Y_j) \rightarrow a} Y_j]_{\wedge_R Y_{j+1} \wedge_R \cdots \wedge_R Y_k} \]
and
\[ [X, Y]_{\wedge_R} = \sum_{j=1}^k (R_{\ell(Y_{j-1}) \rightarrow Y_{j-1}}) \wedge_R \cdots \wedge_R (R_{\ell(Y_{k-1}) \rightarrow Y_{k-1}}) \wedge_R [R_{\ell(X_{j-1}) \rightarrow X} Y_j]_{\wedge_R Y_{j+1} \wedge_R \cdots \wedge_R Y_k}, \]

for all \( X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_R^k(\mathcal{A}) \).
respectively. If $\ell = 1$ we obtain
\[
[L_a^R, i^R_{Y}]_\Omega \omega = (L_a^R i^R_Y - (1)^{-1} i^R_{R_1^{-1} Y} L_{R_2^{-1} a}) \omega \\
= - d a \wedge_R i^R_Y - i^R_{R_1^{-1} Y} (d((R_1^{-1} R_2^{-1}) \triangleright a) \wedge_R \omega) \\
= - d a \wedge_R i^R_Y - (R_1^{-1} \triangleright Y)(R_2^{-1} \triangleright a) \cdot \omega + d((R_1^{-1} R_2^{-1}) \triangleright a) \wedge_R i^R_{R_2^{-1} a} Y \wedge_R \omega \\
= i^R_{[a, Y]} \wedge_R \omega
\]
for all $\omega \in \Omega^*_R(A)$ by Lemma 3.6. Using the graded braided Leibniz rule this extends to any $\ell > 1$, namely
\[
[L_a^R, i^R_{Y_1 \wedge_R \ldots \wedge_R Y_l}]_R = (L_a^R, i^R_{Y_1 \wedge_R \ldots \wedge_R Y_l} + (1)^{-1} i^R_{R_1^{-1} Y_1} [L_{R_2^{-1} a}^R, i^R_{Y_2 \wedge_R \ldots \wedge_R Y_l}]) \\
= i^R_{[a, Y_1 \wedge_R \ldots \wedge_R Y_l]} - i^R_{R_1^{-1} Y_1} [L_{R_2^{-1} a}^R, i^R_{Y_2 \wedge_R \ldots \wedge_R Y_l}] \\
= \cdots = i^R_{[a, Y_1 \wedge_R \ldots \wedge_R Y_l]}.
\]
Again by Lemma 3.6 we know that $[L_{X_k^R}, i^R_{Y}]_R = i^R_{X_k Y}]_R$ holds for $\ell = 1$ and $X \in X^R(A)$. Using the graded braided Leibniz rule this extends to all $Y \in X^R(A)$. Assume now that $[L_{X_k^R}, i^R_{Y}]_R = i^R_{X_k Y}]_R$ holds for all $X \in X^R(A)$ and $Z \in X^R(A)$ for a fixed $k > 0$. Then, for all $X \in X^R(A), Y \in X^R(A)$ and $Z \in X^R(A)$ it follows that
\[
[L_{X \wedge_R Y}^R, i^R_{Z}]_R = i^R_{X \wedge_R Y} - L_{X \wedge_R Y}^R i^R_{Z}]_R \\
= i^R_{L_{X \wedge_R Y}^R, i^R_{Z}]_R + i^R_{X \wedge_R Y, i^R_{Z}]_R} - L_{X \wedge_R Y}^R i^R_{Z}]_R \\
= i^R_{[X \wedge_R Y]_R, i^R_{Z}]_R} - (1)^{-1} m_{X \wedge_R Y, i^R_{Z}]_R} i^R_{R_2^{-1}} Y]]_R \\
= i^R_{[X, \wedge_R Y, i^R_{Z}]_R} - (1)^{-1} m_{X, \wedge_R Y, i^R_{Z}]_R} i^R_{R_2^{-1}} Y]]_R \\
= i^R_{X \wedge_R Y, i^R_{Z}]_R} - (1)^{-1} m_{X, \wedge_R Y, i^R_{Z}]_R} i^R_{R_2^{-1}} Y]]_R \\
= i^R_{X \wedge_R Y, i^R_{Z}]_R}
\]
for all $X \in X^R(A), Y \in X^R(A)$ and $Z \in X^R(A)$ using Lemma 3.6. By induction $[L_{X_k^R}, i^R_{Y}]_R = i^R_{X_k Y}]_R$ holds for all $X, Y \in X^R(A)$. The remaining formula is verified via
\[
[L_{X}^R, [Y, d]]_R = [L_{X}^R, [Y, d]]_R \\
= ([L_{X}^R, i^R_{Y, d}]_R]_R \\
= ([L_{X}^R, i^R_{Y, d}]_R]_R + (1)^{-1} m_{X, d, i^R_{Y, d}]_R} i^R_{R_2^{-1}} Y]]_R \\
= [L_{X, Y}]_R \wedge_R \alpha + 0 \\
= [L_{X, Y}]_R \\
\]
for all $X \in X^R(A)$ and $Y \in X^R(A)$. This concludes the proof of the theorem.

In particular, the Cartan calculus on a commutative algebra is a braided Cartan calculus with respect to the trivial triangular structure and a (possibly trivial) action of a cocommutative Hopf algebra. We discuss a further class of examples which is to some extent already present in the literature, see [3] for $\mathcal{R} = 1 \otimes 1$ and [4] Proposition 3.22, for the first order calculus in the case of a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra and non-associative algebras.

Fix a braided Cartan calculus $(\Omega^*_R(A), \wedge_R, \mathcal{L}^R, i^R, d)$ and a Drinfeld's twist $F$ on $H$ in the following. We define for all $X, Y \in X^R_A(A)$ and $\omega, \alpha \in \Omega^*_R(A)$ the twisted wedge product
\[
X \wedge_F Y = (F_{-1} \triangleright X) \wedge_R (F_{-1} \triangleright Y), \\
\omega \wedge_F \alpha = (F_{-1} \triangleright \omega) \wedge_R (F_{-1} \triangleright \alpha)
\]
of braided multivector fields and differential forms, the twisted Lie derivative and twisted insertion derivation
\[
[L^F_X, \omega] = F -1 \triangleright X (F_{-1} \triangleright \omega), \\
i^F_X \omega = i^R_{F^{-1} \triangleright X} (F_{-1} \triangleright \omega),
\]
as well as the twisted Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket
\[
[X, Y]_F = [F_{-1} \triangleright X, F_{-1} \triangleright Y]_R.
\]
forming the twisted braided Cartan calculus \((\Omega^*_R(\mathcal{A}), \wedge, \mathcal{L}^F, i^F, \partial)\) and the twisted braided multivector fields \((\mathcal{X}^*_R(\mathcal{A}), \wedge, [\cdot, \cdot]_R)\) with respect to \(R\) and \(F\). In the next proposition we clarify its relation to the braided Cartan calculus with respect to \(R\) and \(R_F\). In particular we prove that the twisted braided Cartan calculus is a braided Cartan calculus if we choose the appropriate tensor product.

**Proposition 3.8.** Let \(F\) be a Drinfel’d twist on \(H\). The Drinfel’d functor

\[
\text{Drin}_{\text{Drin}}: (\mathcal{M}, \otimes) \to (\mathcal{M}_F, \otimes_F)
\]

transforms the braided Cartan calculus \((\Omega^*_R(\mathcal{A}), \wedge, \mathcal{L}^R, i^R, \partial)\) and the multivector fields \((\mathcal{X}^*_R(\mathcal{A}), \wedge, [\cdot, \cdot]_R)\) with respect to \(R\) into the twisted braided Cartan calculus and twisted braided multivector fields with respect to \(R\) and \(F\). The twisted calculus and multivector fields coincide with the braided Cartan calculus \((\Omega^*_R(\mathcal{A}_F), \wedge, \mathcal{L}^{R_F}, i^{R_F}, \partial)\) and the multivector fields \((\mathcal{X}^*_R(\mathcal{A}_F), \wedge, [\cdot, \cdot]_{R_F})\) on \(\mathcal{A}_F\) with respect to \(R_F\).

**Proof.** The first statement is clear since for all left \(H\)-modules \(M, M'\) and \(M''\) and every left \(H\)-module homomorphism \(\Phi: M \otimes M' \to M''\) we obtain two left \(H_F\)-module homomorphisms

\[
\text{Drin}(\Phi): (M \otimes M')_F \to M''_F
\]

and

\[
\Phi_F = \text{Drin}(\Phi) \circ \varphi: M_F \otimes_F M' \to M''_F,
\]

where \(\text{Drin}(\Phi) = \Phi\) is the identity. The left \(H_F\)-module action on \((M \otimes M')_F\) is given by \(\xi \triangleright (m \otimes m') = (\xi_1 \triangleright m) \otimes (\xi_2 \triangleright m')\), while the left \(H_F\)-module action on \(M_F \otimes_F M'_F\) is \(\xi \triangleright (m \otimes m') = (\xi_1 \triangleright m) \otimes (\xi_2 \triangleright m').\) Recall that \(\varphi(m \otimes m') = (F_1 \triangleleft m) \otimes (F_2 \triangleleft m').\) This is exactly how the twisted braided calculus was constructed. It remains to prove that it coincides with the braided Cartan calculus on \(A_F\) with respect to \(R_F\). First, we are able to view any braided derivation \(X\) on \(A\) with respect to \(R\) as a braided derivation on \(A_F\) with respect to \(R_F\) by considering the twisted evaluation \(X^F(a) = (F_1 \triangleleft X)(F_2 \triangleleft a)\) for all \(a \in A\) and conversely, any braided derivation \(X\) on \(A_F\) with respect to \(R_F\) can be interpreted as a braided derivation on \(A\) with respect to \(R\) if it is evaluated like \(X^{F'}(a) = (F_1 \triangleright X)(F_2 \triangleright a)\).

The twisted concatenation \(X \cdot_F Y = (F_1 \triangleleft X)(F_2 \triangleright Y)\) of endomorphisms satisfies \((X \cdot_F Y)^F = X^F \cdot Y^F\). Then one obtains

\[
[F_1^{-1} \triangleleft X, F_2^{-1} \triangleright Y]_R &= (F_1^{-1} \triangleleft X)(F_2^{-1} \triangleright Y) - ((R_1^{-1} F_1^{-1}) \triangleleft X)(R_2^{-1} F_2^{-1}) \triangleright Y
\]

\[
= X \cdot_F Y - (R_1^{-1} F_1^{-1}) \triangleright Y (R_2^{-1} F_2^{-1}) \triangleright X
\]

\[
= [X, Y]_R
\]

for \(X, Y \in \text{Der}_{R_F}(A_F)\). Furthermore

\[
(F_1^{-1} \triangleleft X) \wedge_R (F_2^{-1} \triangleright Y) = (F_1^{-1} \triangleleft X) \wedge_A (F_2^{-1} \triangleright Y) - ((R_1^{-1} F_1^{-1}) \triangleleft X) \wedge_A ((R_2^{-1} F_2^{-1}) \triangleright X)
\]

\[
= X \wedge_A Y - (R_1^{-1} F_1^{-1}) \wedge_A (R_2^{-1} F_2^{-1}) \wedge X
\]

\[
= X \wedge_{R_F} Y,
\]

which means we can identify the twisted braided Gerstenhaber algebra of multivector fields \((\mathcal{X}_F^*(A_F), \wedge, [\cdot, \cdot]_F)\) with the braided multivector fields \((\mathcal{X}_R^*(A_F), \wedge, [\cdot, \cdot]_R)\) with respect to \(R\). The twisted module action satisfies \((a \cdot_F X)^F = a \cdot_F X^F\). Similarly one checks that \((\Omega^*_R(\mathcal{A}), \wedge, \delta)\) and \((\Omega^*_R(\mathcal{A}_F), \wedge, \delta)\) coincide, where we interpret a differential 1-form \(\omega \in \Omega^1_R(\mathcal{A})\) as a differential 1-form \(\omega_F \in \Omega^1_R(\mathcal{A}_F)\) via \(\omega_F(X^F) = (F_1^{-1} \triangleleft \omega)(F_2^{-1} \triangleright X^F)\) for all \(X \in \text{Der}_R(\mathcal{A})\). In fact \(\omega^F(X \cdot_F a) = \omega^F(X^F) \cdot_F a\) for all \(a \in A\). In particular this means that

\[
i^F_{X^F, \omega^F} = i^R_{F_1^{-1} \triangleleft X^F, F_2^{-1} \triangleright \omega} = i^F_{X, \omega}.
\]

Then \(\mathcal{L}^F = \mathcal{L}^{R_F}\) is a simple consequence. \(\square\)

In other words, the above proposition shows that the twisted braided Cartan calculus is gauge equivalent to the untwisted braided Cartan calculus. Since the construction of the braided Cartan calculus is determined by the triangular structure and the twisted braided Cartan calculus is braided with respect to the twisted triangular structure one can argue that our construction respects the gauge equivalence. In this light twist deformations seem trivial. One the other hand, there are situation where it is worth to distinguish the braided Cartan calculus and its twist deformations. Imagine for example a commutative left \(H\)-module algebra \(A\) for a commutative Hopf algebra \(H\). For a nontrivial twist \(F\) on \(H\) the twisted braided Cartan calculus is not symmetric but braided symmetric, which means it is noncommutative in particular. In this sense one might consider the twisted Cartan calculus as a quantization of the untwisted one even if both are gauge equivalent. This might be interpreted as a quantization which is in 1-1-correspondence to its classical counterpart.
3.3 Braided Covariant Derivatives and Metrics

Fix in the following a triangular Hopf algebra \((H, \mathcal{R})\) and a braided commutative left \(H\)-module algebra \(A\). Consider its braided Cartan calculus \((\Omega^*_R(A), \wedge_R, \mathcal{L}_R, \mathcal{R}, d)\). We introduce braided covariant derivatives and show that this notion is interesting by proving that a braided covariant derivative induces several others, similar to differential geometry. We furthermore give a generalization of metrics and Levi-Civita covariant derivatives to the braided commutative setting. Like for commutative algebras the braided Levi-Civita covariant derivative corresponding to a fixed braided metric is unique. Furthermore, we introduce twisted braided metrics and braided covariant derivatives and prove that they are well-defined objects with respect to the twisted universal \(\mathcal{R}\)-matrix. In particular, the twisted braided Levi-Civita covariant derivative is the braided Levi-Civita covariant derivative corresponding to the twisted metric.

**Definition 3.9** (Braided covariant derivative). Consider an \(H\)-equivariant braided symmetric \(A\)-bimodule \(M\). A \(H\)-equivariant map \(\nabla^R : \mathfrak{x}_R(A) \otimes M \to M\) is said to be a braided covariant derivative for \(M\) (with respect to \(\mathcal{R}\)), if for all \(a \in A, X \in \mathfrak{x}_R(A)\) and \(s \in M\) one has

\[
\nabla^R_{a \cdot X}s = a \cdot (\nabla^R_Xs)
\]

and

\[
\nabla^R_X(a \cdot s) = (\mathcal{L}^R_Xa) \cdot s + (\mathcal{R}^{-1}_1 \triangleright a) \cdot (\nabla^R_{X \cdot a}s).
\]

The curvature of a braided covariant derivative \(\nabla^R\) for \(M\) is defined by

\[
R^R_{\nabla^R}(X, Y) = \nabla^R_{X \cdot Y} - \nabla^R_{X \cdot Y} - \nabla^R_{X \cdot Y} - \nabla^R_{X \cdot Y} \nabla^R_{X \cdot Y} - \nabla^R_{X \cdot Y} [X, Y]_R
\]

for \(X, Y \in \mathfrak{x}_R(A)\). If \(M = \mathfrak{x}_R(A)\) we can further define the torsion of \(\nabla^R\) by

\[
\text{Tor}^R_{\nabla^R}(X, Y) = \nabla^R_{X \cdot Y} - \nabla^R_{Y \cdot X} \nabla^R_{X \cdot Y} - \nabla^R_{X \cdot Y} \nabla^R_{X \cdot Y} - \nabla^R_{X \cdot Y} \nabla^R_{X \cdot Y} \nabla^R_{X \cdot Y} \nabla^R_{X \cdot Y} \nabla^R_{X \cdot Y} \nabla^R_{X \cdot Y} \nabla^R_{X \cdot Y} [X, Y]_R
\]

for all \(X, Y \in \mathfrak{x}_R(A)\). A braided covariant derivative \(\nabla^R\) is flat if \(R^R = 0\) and torsion-free if \(\text{Tor}^R_{\nabla^R} = 0\). It immediately follows that \(\nabla^R\) is braided right \(A\)-linear in the first argument and satisfies a braided Leibniz rule in the second argument for the right \(A\)-module action.

**Lemma 3.10.** For all \(a \in A, X \in \mathfrak{x}_R(A)\) and \(s \in M\), \(\nabla^R_{X \cdot a}s = (\nabla^R_X(R^{-1}_1 \triangleright s)) \cdot (R^{-1}_2 \triangleright a)\) and

\[
\nabla^R_X(s \cdot a) = (\nabla^R_Xs) \cdot a + (R^{-1}_1 \triangleright s) \cdot (\mathcal{L}^R_{X \cdot a}a)
\]

hold.

Furthermore, there are natural extensions of \(\nabla^R\) to braided multivector fields and differential forms in analogy to differential geometry. We define the braided dual pairing \(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_R : \Omega^*_R(A) \otimes \mathfrak{x}_R(\mathcal{R}) \to A\) by \(\langle \omega, X \rangle_R = \omega(X)\) for all \(\omega \in \Omega^*_R(A)\) and \(X \in \mathfrak{x}_R(A)\). It is \(H\)-equivariant, left \(A\)-linear in the first and right \(A\)-linear in the second argument.

**Proposition 3.11.** A braided covariant derivative \(\nabla^R\) on \(\mathfrak{x}_R(A)\) induces a braided covariant derivative \(\nabla^R\) on \(\Omega^*_R(A)\) via

\[
\langle \nabla^R_X\omega, Y \rangle_R = \mathcal{L}^R_X(\omega, Y) - (R^{-1}_1 \triangleright \omega, \nabla^R_{X \cdot a}Y) \nabla^R_{X \cdot a}Y
\]

for all \(X, Y \in \mathfrak{x}_R(A)\) and \(\omega \in \Omega^*_R(A)\). Moreover, \(\nabla^R\) and \(\nabla^R\) can be extended as braided derivations to braided covariant derivatives on \(\mathfrak{x}_R(A)\) and \(\Omega^*_R(A)\), respectively.

**Proof.** Let \(X, Y \in \mathfrak{x}_R(A)\), \(\omega \in \Omega^*_R(A)\) and \(a \in A\). Then \(\nabla^R_X\omega \in \Omega^*_R(A)\) is well-defined, since

\[
\langle \nabla^R_X\omega, Y \cdot a \rangle_R = \mathcal{L}^R_X(\omega, Y \cdot a) - (R^{-1}_1 \triangleright \omega, \nabla^R_{X \cdot a}(Y \cdot a)) \nabla^R_{X \cdot a}(Y \cdot a) = (\mathcal{L}^R_X(\omega, Y) \cdot a + (R^{-1}_1 \triangleright \omega, Y) \cdot \mathcal{L}^R_{X \cdot a}a - (R^{-1}_1 \triangleright \omega, \nabla^R_{X \cdot a}(Y \cdot a) \cdot a + (R^{-1}_1 \triangleright Y) \cdot \mathcal{L}^R_{X \cdot a}(Y \cdot a)) \nabla^R_{X \cdot a}(Y \cdot a) = \langle \nabla^R_X\omega, Y \rangle_R \cdot a.
\]

The other properties of \(\nabla^R\) follow by similar computations.

Let \(\nabla^R : \mathfrak{x}_R(A) \otimes M \to M\) be a braided covariant derivative with respect to \(\mathcal{R}\) on an \(H\)-equivariant braided symmetric \(A\)-bimodule \(M\). For any twist \(F\) on \(H\) we define the twisted braided covariant derivative

\[
\nabla^F : \mathfrak{x}_R(A) \otimes M \to M
\]

by \(\nabla^F_Xs = \nabla^R_{X \cdot F^{-1}}(F^{-1}_2 \triangleright s)\) for all \(X \in \mathfrak{x}_R(A)\) and \(s \in M\).
Proposition 3.12. The twisted braided covariant derivative is a braided covariant derivative with respect to the twisted triangular structure. The Drinfeld’s functor maps $\nabla^R$ to $\nabla^F$.

Proof. Let $\xi \in H$, $\alpha \in A$, $X \in \mathcal{H}_k(A)$ and $s \in \mathcal{M}$. Then
$$\nabla_X (\xi \triangleright a) = \nabla_X^{(1)} (\xi \triangleright a) = \nabla_X^{(1)(1)} (\xi \triangleright a) = \nabla_X^{(1)(1)} (\xi \triangleright a)$$
shows that $\nabla^F$ is $H_F$-equivariant, while
$$\nabla_X^{(1)} (\xi \triangleright a) = \nabla_X^{(1)(1)} (\xi \triangleright a) = \nabla_X^{(1)(1)} (\xi \triangleright a)$$
and
$$\nabla_X^{(1)} (\xi \triangleright a) = \nabla_X^{(1)(1)} (\xi \triangleright a) = \nabla_X^{(1)(1)} (\xi \triangleright a)$$
are the correct linearity properties, proving that $\nabla^F$ is a braided covariant derivative with respect to $\mathcal{R}_F$.

In Riemannian geometry, covariant derivatives are always considered together with a Riemannian metric. We want to generalize them to the braided symmetric setting. For a triangular Hopf algebra $(H, \mathcal{R})$ and a braided commutative left $H$-module algebra $A$ we define a $k$-linear map $g : \mathcal{H}_k(A) \otimes_A \mathcal{H}_k(A) \to A$ which is left $A$-linear in the first argument and $H$-equivariant to be a braided metric if it is braided symmetric, i.e., if $g(Y, X) = g(R_{1 \rhd 1} \triangleright X, R_{2 \rhd 1} \triangleright Y)$ for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{H}_k(A)$ and it is non-degenerate, i.e., if $g(X, Y) = 0$ for all $Y \in \mathcal{H}_k(A)$ implies $X = 0$. It follows that $g$ is braided right $A$-linear in the first argument as well as right $A$-linear and braided left $A$-linear in the second argument. A braided covariant derivative $\nabla^R : \mathcal{H}_k(A) \otimes \mathcal{H}_k(A) \to \mathcal{H}_k(A)$ on $A$ is said to be a metric braided covariant derivative with respect to a braided metric $g$, if
$$\nabla^R(g(Y, Z)) = g(\nabla_X^R Y, Z) + g(R_{1 \rhd 1} \triangleright Y, \nabla_X^{R_{2 \rhd 1}} Z)$$
holds for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{H}_k(A)$.

Lemma 3.13. Let $g$ be a braided metric on $A$. Then there is a unique torsion-free metric braided covariant derivative on $A$.

Proof. Fix a braided metric $g$ on $A$. Any braided covariant derivative $\nabla^R$ on $A$ which is torsion free and metric with respect to $g$ satisfies
$$2g(\nabla_X^R Y, Z) = X(g(Y, Z)) + (R_{1 \rhd 1} \triangleright Y)(g(R_{1 \rhd 1} \triangleright Z, R_{2 \rhd 1} \triangleright X))$$
for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{H}_k(A)$. In particular, this shows the uniqueness of a torsion-free braided covariant derivative which is metric with respect to $g$, since $g$ is non-degenerate. It remains to prove that a $k$-bilinear map $\nabla^R$ determined by the above formula is a metric torsion-free braided covariant derivative. This follows by the (braided) linearity properties of $g$ and the braided Leibniz rule. A full proof can be found in [32].
The unique metric braided covariant derivative on $(\mathcal{A}, g)$ is said to be the *braided Levi-Civita covariant derivative*. We want to remark that Lemma 3.13 admits a generalization in the sense that for any value of the torsion there exists a unique metric braided covariant derivative.

**Corollary 3.14.** Let $g$ be a braided metric on $\mathcal{A}$. Then, the twisted braided metric $g_\mathcal{F}$, which is defined by

$$g_\mathcal{F}(X, Y) = g(\mathcal{F}_1^{-1} \triangleright X, \mathcal{F}_2^{-1} \triangleright Y)$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_H(\mathcal{A})$ is a braided metric with respect to $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{F}$. Moreover, twisting the braided Levi-Civita covariant derivative with respect to $g$ leads to the braided Levi-Civita covariant derivative with respect to $g_\mathcal{F}$.

**Proof.** Let $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_H(\mathcal{A})$. The relation

$$L^R_\mathcal{F}(g_\mathcal{F}(Y, Z)) = g_\mathcal{F}(\nabla^R_\mathcal{F} Y, Z) + g_\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{R}_1^{-1} \triangleright Y, \nabla^R_\mathcal{R}_2^{-1} \triangleright X, Z)$$

follows from $L^R_\mathcal{F} g(Y, Z) = g(\nabla^R_\mathcal{F} Y, Z) + g(\mathcal{R}_1^{-1} \triangleright Y, \nabla^R_\mathcal{R}_2^{-1} \triangleright X, Z)$ and the $H$-equivariance of $g$, $\nabla^R$ and $L^R$. The last statement holds since $\text{Tor}^R = 0$ if $\text{Tor}^R = 0$. $\square$

4 Submanifolds in Braided Commutative Geometry

As a further application of the braided Cartan calculus we show that it is compatible with the concept of submanifold algebras if the triangular Hopf algebra respects the corresponding submanifold ideal. This can be understood as a powerful tool to produce new examples of braided Cartan calculi in an efficient way. Moreover, Drinfel’d twist gauge equivalence is compatible with the projection of braided Cartan calculi to submanifold algebras, which gives a nice supplement to Proposition 3.8. Following [24] we recall the notion of submanifold ideals compatible with the projection of braided Cartan calculi to submanifold algebras, which gives as an explicit application (see [19]). While the main Section 3 stands out with quite an amount of details, we are relatively short-spoken in the present section. The interested reader is relegated to [32] for a more circumstantial discussion.

4.1 Braided Cartan Calculi on Submanifolds

In noncommutative geometry there is a well-known notion of submanifold ideal (see [24] and references therein), generalizing the concept of (closed embedded smooth) submanifolds. We show that the quotients of submanifold ideals and braided commutative algebras are braided commutative if we impose the additional condition of the triangular Hopf algebra respecting the submanifold ideal. In the spirit of these notes we continue by describing the braided Cartan calculus of the braided commutative submanifold algebra. We prove that the calculus on the submanifold algebra is given by the projection of the initial calculus. Moreover, we show that this projection commutes with twist deformation: the twist deformation of the braided Cartan calculus on the submanifold algebra coincides with the projection of the twisted braided Cartan calculus of the ambient algebra. The following discussion is also motivated by [18].

Fix a triangular Hopf algebra $(H, R)$ and a braided commutative left $H$-module algebra $\mathcal{A}$. For any algebra ideal $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ the quotient $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}$ is an algebra and there is a surjective projection $\text{pr}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}$ defined by $\text{pr}(a) = [a] = a + \mathcal{C}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. If the left $H$-action respects $\mathcal{C}$ in addition, i.e. if $H \triangleright a \subseteq \mathcal{C}$, the quotient $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}$ is a braided commutative left $H$-module algebra with respect to the left $H$-action defined by $\xi \triangleright \text{pr}(a) = \text{pr}(\xi \triangleright a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. A braided derivation $X \in \text{Der}_R(\mathcal{A})$ is said to be *tangent to $\mathcal{C}$* if $X(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. The set of all braided derivations of $\mathcal{A}$ which are tangent to $\mathcal{C}$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{X}_H(\mathcal{A})$. There is a projection $\text{pr}: \mathfrak{X}_H(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Der}_R(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C})$ defined for any $X \in \mathfrak{X}_H(\mathcal{A})$ by

$$\text{pr}(X)(\text{pr}(a)) = \text{pr}(X(a))$$
for all $a \in A$. Inspired by [24] we define a submanifold ideal of $A$ to be an algebra ideal $\mathcal{C} \subseteq A$ such that the projection $\text{pr} : X^*_1(A) \to \text{Der}_R(A/\mathcal{C})$ is surjective, where we include the additional condition $H \triangleright \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ since otherwise we can not be certain that $A/\mathcal{C}$ is braided commutative. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a submanifold ideal of $A$. Then there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \ker(\text{pr}) \to X^*_1(A) \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} \text{Der}_R(A/\mathcal{C}) \to 0$$

of braided Lie algebras via the left $H$-action $\triangleright \text{pr}(X) = (\xi \triangleright X)$ for all $\xi \in H$ and $X \in X^*_1(A)$, which extends to a short exact sequence of braided Gerstenhaber algebras

$$0 \to \Lambda^\mathcal{C}_R \ker(\text{pr}) \to X^*_R(A) \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} \text{Der}_R(A/\mathcal{C}) \to 0$$

by defining inductively $\text{pr}(X \wedge Y) = (\text{pr}(X)) \wedge_R (\text{pr}(Y))$ for all $X, Y \in X^*_1(A)$, where $X^*_1(A) = A \oplus \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \Lambda^k_R X^*_1(A)$. In particular $\text{pr}([X, Y]_R) = [\text{pr}(X), \text{pr}(Y)]_R$ for all $X, Y \in X^*_1(A)$. For braided differential forms $\omega = a_0 \wedge_R \xi_1 \wedge_R \cdots \wedge_R \xi_n \in \Omega^\mathcal{C}_R(A)$ one defines

$$\text{pr}(\omega) = \text{pr}(a_0) \circ (\text{pr}(\xi_1) \wedge_R \cdots \wedge_R (\text{pr}(\xi_n)))$$

leading to a short exact sequence of differential graded algebras

$$0 \to \ker(\text{pr}) \to \Omega^\mathcal{C}_R(A) \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} \Omega^\mathcal{C}_R(A/\mathcal{C}) \to 0,$$

where $\ker(\text{pr}) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} (\ker(\text{pr}))^k$ is defined recursively by $\ker(\text{pr})^0 = \mathcal{C}$ and

$$\ker(\text{pr})^{k+1} = \{ \omega \in \Omega^k_R(A) \mid \xi^R \circ \omega \in \ker(\text{pr})^k \text{ for all } X \in X^*_1(A) \}$$

for $k \geq 0$. As for braided multivector fields we define a left $H$-action on $\Omega^\mathcal{C}_R(A/\mathcal{C})$ by $\xi \triangleright \text{pr}(\omega) = \text{pr}((\xi \triangleright \omega))$ for all $\xi \in H$ and $\omega \in \Omega^\mathcal{C}_R(A)$. 

**Lemma 4.1.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a submanifold ideal of $A$. Then, the projected left $H$-actions on $X^*_R(A/\mathcal{C})$ and $\Omega^\mathcal{C}_R(A/\mathcal{C})$ are well-defined and $X^*_R(A/\mathcal{C})$ and $\Omega^\mathcal{C}_R(A/\mathcal{C})$ are objects in $\mathcal{M}^\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{M}^\mathcal{C}$. Moreover, we obtain

$$L^R_{\text{pr}(X)}(\omega) = \text{pr}(\text{pr}(\text{pr}(\omega))) = \text{pr}(\text{pr}(\omega)) = \text{pr}(\text{pr}(\omega))$$

for all $X \in X^*_1(A)$ and $\omega \in \Omega^\mathcal{C}_R(A)$. 

In the next theorem we prove that the gauge equivalence given by the Drinfel’d functor is compatible with the notion of submanifold ideals. In other words, the projection to submanifold algebras and twisting commutes. In the particular case of a cocommutative Hopf algebra with trivial triangular structure this means that twist quantization and projection to the submanifold algebra commute.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a submanifold ideal of $A$. Then, for any twist $F$ on $H$, the projection of the twisted braided Gerstenhaber algebra $(X^*_R(A), \wedge_F, \cdot_F)$ of braided multivector fields on $A$ which are tangent to $\mathcal{C}$ coincides with the twisted braided Gerstenhaber algebra $(X^*_R(A/\mathcal{C}), \wedge_F, \cdot_F)$ on $A/\mathcal{C}$. Moreover, the twisted braided Cartan calculus on $A/\mathcal{C}$ is given by the projection of the twisted braided Cartan calculus on $A$. Namely, $\Omega^\mathcal{C}_R(A/\mathcal{C}) = \text{pr}((\Omega^\mathcal{C}_R(A)/\mathcal{C}))$, 

$$L^F_{\text{pr}(X)}(\omega) = \text{pr}(\text{pr}(\text{pr}(\omega))) = \text{pr}(\text{pr}(\omega)) = \text{pr}(\text{pr}(\omega))$$

for all $X \in X^*_1(A)$ and $\omega \in \Omega^\mathcal{C}_R(A)$. 

**Proof.** Note that the twisted braided multivector fields are a braided Gerstenhaber algebra since the braided multivector fields which are tangent to $\mathcal{C}$ are an $H$-submodule and a braided symmetric $A$-sub-bimodule of $X^*_R(A)$. We already noticed that $\text{pr} : X^*_1(A) \to X^*_1(A/\mathcal{C})$ is surjective. Let $X, Y \in X^*_1(A)$ and $a \in A$. Then

$$\text{pr}(X) \wedge_F \text{pr}(Y) = (\text{pr}(X)) \wedge (\text{pr}(Y)) = \text{pr}(X \wedge F) Y,$$

and similarly $[\text{pr}(X), \text{pr}(Y)]_F = [\text{pr}(X), \text{pr}(Y)]_F$ and $\text{pr}(a) \cdot_F \text{pr}(X) = \text{pr}(a \cdot_F X)$ follow. Moreover,

$$L^F_{\text{pr}(X)}(\omega) = L^F_{\text{pr}(X)}(\text{pr}(\text{pr}(\omega))) = \text{pr}(\text{pr}(\omega))$$

and

$$L^F_{\text{pr}(X)}(\omega) = L^F_{\text{pr}(X)}(\text{pr}(\text{pr}(\omega))) = \text{pr}(\text{pr}(\omega))$$

for all $X \in X^*_1(A)$ and $\omega \in \Omega^\mathcal{C}_R(A)$ by Lemma 4.1. The other statements have already been proven or follow immediately.
This theorem and Lemma 4.3 do not only encourage the compatibility of the braided Cartan calculus with the gauge equivalence given by the Drinfel’d functor but also give a tool to produce new examples of braided Cartan calculi and a strategy on how to compute them in an efficient way. The braided Cartan calculus on a braided commutative algebra can simply be projected to any submanifold algebra if the Hopf algebra respects to submanifold ideal and gauge equivalence classes are respected by this procedure. In particular, the twisted Cartan calculus on a commutative algebra projects to any submanifold algebra if this condition is satisfied. An instance of this is discussed in [10].

4.2 Braided Covariant Derivatives on Submanifolds

Let us come back to braided covariant derivatives. It turns out that for a given braided metric one is able to project a braided covariant derivative to the submanifold algebra. Furthermore, this projection is well-behaved with respect to the notion of curvature and torsion, as well as for the induced braided covariant derivatives on braided multivector fields and braided differential forms. Note however, that the projection heavily depends on the chosen braided metric. In the end we discuss that on hypersurfaces the projected braided covariant derivative can be expressed in terms of the original braided covariant derivative and the braided second fundamental form. Parallel we twist deform all objects and show that projection commutes with the twisting.

Fix a submanifold ideal \( C \) of \( A \). Since a braided metric \( g \) on \( A \) is non-degenerate there is a direct sum decomposition

\[ X^1_R(A) = X^1_A(A) \oplus X^1_C(A), \]

where \( X^1_A(A) \) are the so-called braided normal vector fields with respect to \( C \) and \( g \), defined to be the subspace orthogonal to \( X^1(A) \) with respect to \( g \). Then, \( \text{pr}_g : X^1_R(A) \rightarrow X^1_R(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}) \) is the projection which first projects to the first factor in the above decomposition and applies \( \text{pr} : X^1_A(A) \rightarrow X^1_R(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}) \) afterwards. In particular \( \text{pr}_g(X) = \text{pr}(X) \) for all \( X \in X^1_A(A) \). We define a \( k \)-linear map \( g_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}} : X^1_R(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}} X^1_R(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C} \) by

\[ g_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}}(\text{pr}_g(X), \text{pr}_g(Y)) = \text{pr}_g(g(X, Y)) \]

for all \( X, Y \in X^1_R(A) \).

**Lemma 4.3.** For any submanifold ideal \( C \) of \( A \) and any braided metric \( g \) on \( A \), \( g_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}} \) is a well-defined braided metric on \( \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C} \). If \( \nabla^\mathcal{R} : X^1_R(A) \otimes X^1_R(A) \rightarrow X^1_R(A) \) is a braided covariant derivative on \( A \) its projection

\[ \nabla^\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}_{\text{pr}(X)}Y = \text{pr}_g(\nabla^\mathcal{R}_X Y), \]

where \( X, Y \in X^1_A(A) \), is a braided covariant derivative with respect to \( \mathcal{R} \) on \( \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C} \). If furthermore, \( \nabla^\mathcal{R} \) is the braided Levi-Civita covariant derivative with respect to \( g \), \( \nabla^\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C} \) is the braided Levi-Civita covariant derivative on \( \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C} \) with respect to \( g_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}} \).

As expected, the curvature and torsion of a projected braided covariant derivative coincide with the projection of the curvature and torsion of the initial braided covariant derivative.

**Corollary 4.4.** The curvature \( R^\nabla^\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C} \) and the torsion \( \text{Tor}^\nabla^\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C} \) of the projected braided covariant derivative \( \nabla^\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C} \) are given by

\[ R^\nabla^\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}(\text{pr}(X), \text{pr}(Y))(\text{pr}(Z)) = \text{pr}_g(R^\nabla^\mathcal{R}(X, Y) Z) \]

and

\[ \text{Tor}^\nabla^\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}(\text{pr}(X), \text{pr}(Y)) = \text{pr}_g(\text{Tor}^\nabla^\mathcal{R}(X, Y)) \]

for all \( X, Y, Z \in X^1_A(A) \).

One extends the projection \( \text{pr}_g : X^1_R(A) \rightarrow X^1_R(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{R}) \) to braided multivector fields by defining it to coincide with \( \text{pr} \) on \( A \) and to be a homomorphism of the braided wedge product on higher wedge powers. On braided differential forms we set \( \text{pr}_g = \text{pr} \).

**Corollary 4.5.** Let \( g \) be a braided metric, \( C \) be a submanifold ideal and \( \nabla^\mathcal{R} \) be a braided covariant derivative on \( A \). Then, the covariant derivatives \( \nabla^\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C} : X^1_R(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}) \otimes X^1_R(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow X^1_R(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}) \) and \( \hat{\nabla}^\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C} : X^1_R(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}) \otimes \Omega^1_R(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \Omega^1_R(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}) \), induced by the projected covariant derivative \( \nabla^\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C} \) on \( \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C} \) are projected from the covariant derivatives induced by \( \nabla^\mathcal{R} \). Namely, for all \( X \in X^1_A(A) \), \( Y \in X^1_A(A) \) and \( \omega \in \Omega^1_R(A) \), one has

\[ \nabla^\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}_{\text{pr}(X)}Y = \text{pr}_g(\nabla^\mathcal{R}_X Y) \]

and

\[ \hat{\nabla}^\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}_{\text{pr}(X)}\text{pr}(\omega) = \text{pr}_g(\nabla^\mathcal{R}_X \omega). \]
Furthermore, also twisted braided covariant derivatives behave well under projection. Fix a braided metric $g$, a submanifold ideal $C$ and a braided covariant derivative $\nabla^R$ on $\mathcal{A}$ in the following.

**Proposition 4.6.** For any twist $\mathcal{F}$ on $H$, the projection of the twisted braided covariant derivative coincides with the twisted version of the projected braided covariant derivative, i.e. $(\nabla^{A/c})^F_{\nabla^R}(\mathcal{F})_{pr(X)}(Y) = pr_Y(\nabla^R_{\mathcal{F}}(X))$ for all $X,Y \in \mathfrak{X}_1^1(\mathcal{A})$. Similar statements hold for the induced (twisted) braided covariant derivatives on braided differential forms and braided multivector fields.

Proof. For all $X,Y \in \mathfrak{X}_1^1(\mathcal{A})$ one obtains

$$pr_g(\nabla^R_{\mathcal{F}}(X)) = pr_g(\nabla^{A/c}_{\mathcal{F}^{-1} \triangleright X}(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \triangleright Y)) = \nabla^{A/c}_{pr_{\mathcal{F}^{-1} \triangleright X}}(pr_{\mathcal{F}^{-1} \triangleright Y})$$

$$= \nabla^R_{\mathcal{F}^{-1} \triangleright pr_X}(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \triangleright pr_Y) = (\nabla^{A/c})^F_{\nabla^R}(Y)$$

and similar one proves the statements about the induced braided covariant derivatives. $\square$

There are explicit formulas for the curvature and torsion of the twisted braided covariant derivative on the submanifold algebra in terms of the initial curvature and torsion.

**Corollary 4.7.** For all $X,Y,Z \in \mathfrak{X}_1^1(\mathcal{A})$

$$R(\nabla^{A/c})^F_{pr(X),pr(Y)}(pr(Z)) = R^{A/c}_{\nabla^R}(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \triangleright X,\mathcal{F}^{-1} \triangleright Y,\mathcal{F}^{-1} \triangleright Z)$$

$$= pr(\nabla^R_{\mathcal{F}^{-1} \triangleright X,\mathcal{F}^{-1} \triangleright Y,\mathcal{F}^{-1} \triangleright Z})$$

and

$$Tor(\nabla^{A/c})^F_{pr(X),pr(Y)} = Tor^{A/c}_{\nabla^R}(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \triangleright X,\mathcal{F}^{-1} \triangleright Y)$$

hold.

If the submanifold algebra $C$ is a hypersurface of $\mathcal{A}$ in the sense that the braided normal vector fields $\mathfrak{X}_n(\mathcal{A})$ are generated by a single braided vector field $X_n \in \mathfrak{X}_n(\mathcal{A})$, the projection of the braided Levi-Civita covariant derivative can be described explicitly in terms of the braided second fundamental form $II^R(X,Y) = g(X_n,\nabla^R_{\mathcal{F}}Y) \cdot X_n$, where $X,Y \in \mathfrak{X}_1^1(\mathcal{A})$, namely by

$$pr_g(\nabla^R_{\mathcal{F}}Y) = \nabla^R_{\mathcal{F}}Y - II^R(X,Y).$$

We give a twist deformation of $II^R$ in the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.8.** Choose a Drinfel’d twist $\mathcal{F}$ on $H$. Then, the projection of the twisted braided Levi-Civita covariant derivative $\nabla^F$ is given by

$$pr_g(\nabla^F_{\mathcal{F}}Y) = \nabla^F_{\mathcal{F}}Y - II^F(X,Y)$$

for all $X,Y \in \mathfrak{X}_1^1(\mathcal{A})$, where $II^F(X,Y) = g_F(X_n,\nabla^F_{\mathcal{F}}Y) \cdot X_n = II^R(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \triangleright X,\mathcal{F}^{-1} \triangleright Y)$.

Proof. First note that $\xi \triangleright X_n = \iota(\xi)X_n$ for all $\xi \in H$, since $\xi \triangleright X_n \in \mathfrak{X}_1^1(\mathcal{A})$ by the $H$-equivariance of $g$. Then

$$II^F(X,Y) = g_F(X_n,\nabla^F_{\mathcal{F}}Y) \cdot X_n$$

$$=(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \triangleright g(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \triangleright X_n,\mathcal{F}^{-1} \triangleright \nabla^{R}_{\mathcal{F}^{-1} \triangleright X}(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \triangleright Y)))\cdot X_n$$

$$= g(X_n,\nabla^{R}_{\mathcal{F}^{-1} \triangleright X}(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \triangleright Y)) \cdot X_n$$

$$= II^R(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \triangleright X,\mathcal{F}^{-1} \triangleright Y)$$

implies $pr_g(\nabla^F_{\mathcal{F}}Y) = \nabla^F_{\mathcal{F}}Y - II^F(X,Y)$. $\square$
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