Identifying the occurrence time of an impending mainshock: A very recent case.
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The procedure by means of which the occurrence time of an impending mainshock can be identified by analyzing in natural time the seismicity in the candidate area subsequent to the recording of a precursory Seismic Electric Signals (SES) activity is reviewed. Here, we report the application of this procedure to an Mw5.4 mainshock that occurred in Greece on 17 November 2014 and was strongly felt in Athens. This mainshock (which is pretty rare since it is the strongest in that area for more than half a century) was preceded by an SES activity recorded on 27 July 2014 and the results of the natural time analysis reveal that the system approached the critical point (mainshock occurrence) early in the morning on 15 November 2014. A similar SES activity that has been recently recorded is also presented. Furthermore, in a Note we discuss the case of the Mw5.3 earthquake that was also strongly felt in Athens on 19 July 2019.

PACS numbers: 05.40.-a, 05.45.Tp, 91.30.Dk, 89.75.-k

I. INTRODUCTION

Earthquakes (EQs) in general exhibit complex correlations in time, space, and magnitude M which have been investigated by several authors [1–20]. The earthquake scaling laws [21] (Turcotte 1997) indicate the existence of phenomena closely associated with the proximity of the system to a critical point, e.g., see Holliday et al. [4]. Here, we take this view that mainshocks are (non-equilibrium) critical phenomena. Major EQs are preceded by transient changes of the electric field of the Earth termed Seismic Electric Signals (SES) [22, 23] (Varotsos and Alexopoulos 1984a,b). A series of such signals recorded within a short time are called SES activities [24–27], the average lead time of which is of the order of a few months [28]. It has been suggested that SES are emitted when the stress in the focal area of the impending mainshock reaches a critical value [22, 23, 29, 30]. This suggestion is strengthened by the finding [31] that the fluctuations of the order parameter of seismicity have been identified before the occurrence of the k-th EQ. The combination of this procedure, which refers to an SES activity subsequent to the recording of a SES activity [22, 23] (Varotsos and Alexopoulos 1984a,b). A

natural time analysis of the subsequent seismicity in the candidate area (which is determined on the basis of SES data, e.g., see Varotsos [26]) identifies when the system approaches the critical point, i.e., the mainshock occurrence, e.g., see Fig.1 of Huang et al. [41]. It is one of the aims of this paper to report a characteristic application of this procedure, which refers to an SES activity that was followed by a pronounced Mw=5.4 mainshock in Greece on 17 November 2014, which is pretty rare as explained later.

II. SUMMARY OF THE PROCEDURE TO IDENTIFY THE OCCURRENCE TIME OF AN IMPENDING MAINSHOCK

Let us first summarize the natural time analysis in the case of seismicity: In a time series comprising N EQs, the natural time \( \chi_k = k/N \) serves as an index for the occurrence of the k-th EQ. The combination of this index with the energy \( Q_k \) released during the k-th EQ of magnitude \( M_k \), i.e., the pair \( (\chi_k, Q_k) \), is studied in natural time analysis. Alternatively, one studies the pair \( (\chi_k, p_k) \), where \( p_k = Q_k/\sum_{n=1}^{N} Q_n \) stands for the normalized energy released during the k-th EQ. It has been found that the variance of \( \chi_k \) weighted for \( p_k \), designated by \( \kappa_1 \), which is given by

\[ \kappa_1 = \langle \chi^2 \rangle - \langle \chi \rangle^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{N} p_k (\chi_k)^2 - \left( \sum_{k=1}^{N} p_k \chi_k \right)^2. \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

plays a prominent role in natural time analysis. In particular, \( \kappa_1 \) may serve as an order parameter for seismicity [33] and it has been empirically observed [28, 33, 40, 41] that \( \kappa_1 \) of the seismicity in the candidate area
above a magnitude threshold $M_{\text{thres}}$ subsequent to an SES activity becomes equal to 0.070 when approaching the critical point (mainshock occurrence). Note that $Q_k$, and hence $p_k$, for earthquakes is estimated through the usual relation: \[ Q_k \propto 10^{1.5M_k}. \]

Upon the recording of an SES activity, one can estimate an area $A$ within which the impending mainshock is expected to occur. The magnitude $M$ of the expected EQ is estimated through the relation $\log_{10} \left( \frac{2V}{L} \right) \approx 0.3M + \text{const.}$, e.g., see [24], where for a given measuring dipole of length $L$ and a given seismic area the SES amplitude $\Delta V/L$ is found from the anomalous variation $\Delta V$ of the potential difference between the corresponding two electrodes. When area $A$ reaches criticality, one expects in general that all its subareas have also reached criticality simultaneously. At that time, therefore, the evolution of seismicity in each of its subareas is expected to result in $\kappa_1$ values close to 0.070. Assuming equi-partition of probability among the subareas, the distribution $\text{Prob}(\kappa_1)$ of the $\kappa_1$ values of all subareas should be peaked at around 0.070 exhibiting also magnitude threshold invariance. This usually occurs a few days to around one week before the mainshock, thus it enables the prediction of the occurrence time of major EQs with time window of the order of a week or less.

III. APPLICATION TO A PRONOUNCED SEISMIC ACTIVITY IN GREECE

The SES activity shown in Fig.1(a) was recorded on 27 July 2014 at Keratea (KER) geoelectrical station, the location of which is depicted with the red bullet in Fig.1(b). On the basis of the selectivity map of this station (i.e., the map showing all seismic areas in the past that gave rise to SES recorded at this station, e.g., see Varotsos and Lazaridou [24] and the ratio of the SES components the candidate area was determined [49]. This is depicted here by the rectangle in Fig.1(b) as was designated in the uppermost right part of Fig.2 of the paper uploaded by Sarlis et al. [49] on 7 August 2014.

We now proceed to the natural time analysis of the seismicity subsequent to the aforementioned SES activity at KER within the candidate area $N(37.7-39.0)E(22.6-24.2)$. The EQ catalogue of the Institute of Geodynamics of the National Observatory of Athens available on 2 February 2015 at http://www.gein.noa.gr/services/current_catalogue.php was used, e.g., see [47, 48]. Figure 2(a) depicts $\text{Prob}(\kappa_1)$ versus $\kappa_1$ of seismicity for $M_{\text{thres}}=2.8$ (the data used are compiled in Table 1 of [49]). The EQ catalog of the Observatory of Athens available on 2 February 2015 at http://www.gein.noa.gr/services/current_catalogue.php shows that $\kappa_1 \approx 0.070$ and $\kappa_2 \approx 3.6$ during the last decade. The EQ catalogue of the SES activity at KER within the candidate area $N(37.7-39.0)E(22.6-24.2)$ the EQs that occurred within the subarea $N(37.7-39.0)E(22.6-24.2)$ since 1965. In view of this very pronounced nature recorded at the Keratea (KER) geoelectrical station of the SES telemetric network. (b) The predicted epicentral area designated by the rectangle on a map in which the location of the KER station (red bullet) is shown along with that of other geoelectrical stations Lamia (LAM), Loutraki (LOU) and Pirgos (PIR) (black bullets). The epicenters of the strongest EQs in Greece (Mw$\geq$6.5) during the last decade are also shown with stars. The central station of the SES telemetric network is located at Athens (ATH, black square).
is also depicted. For details on the exact (sub)areas within the rectangle of Fig.1(b) considered in each panel see Section 3.

A pronounced Mw(USGS)=5.4 EQ was strongly felt 15 Nov 01:01 and is depicted. The probability of the forthcoming EQ.

**IV. CONCLUSIONS**

A pronounced Mw(USGS)=5.4 EQ was strongly felt at Athens, Greece, on 17 November 2014. This is pretty rare since it is the strongest EQ that occurred in that area since 1965. The procedure based on natural time analysis of the seismicity subsequent to an SES activity recorded on 27 July 2014 at the KER station close to Athens revealed that the system approached the critical point (mainshock occurrence) just a few days before, i.e., on 15 November 2014.

Despite severe experimental difficulties during the current period, it seems that an SES activity of more or less similar polarity has recently been recorded at KER on 15 March 2020 (Fig.4). Natural time analysis of the subsequent seismicity in the area designated by the rectangle in Fig.1(b) is currently being carried out. (Remarkably, such an analysis has just been reported [www.nature.com/articles/s41598-020-59333-4](http://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-020-59333-4) as
being a powerful tool to detect the onset of acceleration as an early warning of an impending failure.) The results of this analysis during 6 and 7 June 2020 showed that \( \text{Prob}(\kappa_1) \) versus \( \kappa_1 \) exhibited a maximum at \( \kappa_1 = 0.070 \) upon the occurrence of the following small EQs: a) the ML=1.8 event at 20:59 UT on 6 June 2020 with an epicenter at 37.67°N 22.60°E for \( M_{\text{thres}} = 1.8 \) and b) the ML=2.2 event at 06:32 UT on 7 June 2020 with an epicenter at 38.65°N 22.85°E for \( M_{\text{thres}} = 2.0 \) and 2.2.

Finally, upon the occurrence of the ML=2.9 EQ at 22:53 UT on 13 July 2020 with an epicenter at 38.23°N 24.15°E, we observe that the distribution \( \text{Prob}(\kappa_1) \) of the \( \kappa_1 \) values of all subareas is peaked at around 0.070 exhibiting magnitude threshold invariance (for the reasons explained in the last paragraph of Section II) as can be seen in Figs. 5(a), (b), (c), (d), which depict the corresponding results for \( M_{\text{thres}} = 2.4, 2.6, 2.7 \) and 2.8, respectively. In addition, such a peak at around 0.070 was also observed for \( M_{\text{thres}} = 2.1 \) and 2.2 upon the occurrence of the ML=2.3 EQ at 16:45 UT on 13 July 2020 and for \( M_{\text{thres}} = 2.0 \) upon the occurrence of the ML=2.4 EQ at 19:07 UT on 14 July 2020 with an epicenter at 38.22°N 24.18°E.

**Note added on 1 August 2019.** In the main text of the previous version of this paper [49], it has been reported that a pronounced \( M_w(\text{USGS})=5.4 \) earthquake (EQ) - or ML(ATH)=5.2 EQ, thus Ms(ATH)=5.7 EQ - which was strongly felt at Athens, Greece at 23:05 UT on 17 November 2014 with an epicenter at 38.64°N 23.40°E has been preceded by an SES activity at Keratea (KER) geoelectrical station uploaded in the arXiv [46] almost three months before, i.e., on 7 August 2014. This, as mentioned in the conclusion, is pretty rare since it was the strongest EQ in the area within the coordinates 38.3°N-39.0°N, 23.0°E-23.8°E for more than half a century, i.e., since 1965. It has been followed by an EQ of equal magnitude (ML=5.2) almost four minutes later, i.e., at 23:09 UT on 17 November 2014, practically at the same epicenter, i.e., at 38.64°N 23.41°E.

Here, we report that at 11:13 UT on 19 July 2019, a \( M_w(\text{USGS})=5.3 \) EQ - or ML(ATH)=5.1 - was also strongly felt at Athens with an epicenter at 38.12°N 23.53°E. It has been preceded by an SES activity at KER which can be seen in Fig.3. An inspection of this figure shows that it had a different ratio of the SES components compared to the SES activity depicted in Fig.1(a) that preceded the previous EQ in 2014 mentioned above. This explains why (e.g., see Varotsos and Lazaridou [24]) the recent 19 July 2019 EQ occurred at a different region of the SES selectivity map of the measuring station depicted in Fig. 1(b). Another important difference between these two cases is that the recent event was followed almost 1 hour later, i.e., at 12:11 UT on 19 July 2019, approximately at the same epicenter, i.e., at 38.10°N 23.58°E, by a smaller EQ with ML=4.3. The evolution of the seismic activity is currently studied by analysing in natural time the events occurring in the candidate area (Fig. 1(b)) subsequent to the SES activity recorded at KER on 19 June 2019 (Fig. 3) by means of the procedure developed in Section II. In view of the complexity of this procedure, which is the most accurate, one may alternatively rely - but only approximately - on the upper time chart depicted in Fig.28 of Ref. [24], which is explained in simple...
words in p.35 of Varotsos et al. [51].
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FIG. 5: The same as Fig. 2 depicting the results of \( \text{Prob}(\kappa_1) \) versus \( \kappa_1 \) but for the SES activity recorded at KER shown in Fig. 4 for \( M_{\text{thres}} = 2.4, 2.6, 2.7 \) and 2.8 upon the occurrence of the ML=2.9 at 22:53 UT on 13 July 2020.