A metric space with its transfinite asymptotic dimension \( \omega + 1 \)
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Abstract. We construct a metric space whose transfinite asymptotic dimension and complementary-finite asymptotic dimension are both \( \omega + 1 \), where \( \omega \) is the smallest infinite ordinal number. Therefore, we prove that the omega conjecture is not true.
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1 Introduction

In coarse geometry, asymptotic dimension of a metric space is an important concept which was defined by Gromov for studying asymptotic invariants of discrete groups [1]. This dimension can be considered as an asymptotic analogue of the Lebesgue covering dimension. T. Radul defined the trasfinite asymptotic dimension (trasdim) which can be viewed as a transfinite extension for asymptotic dimension and gave examples of metric spaces with trasdim = \( \omega \) and with trasdim = \( \infty \), where \( \omega \) is the smallest infinite ordinal number (see [2]). But whether there is a metric space \( X \) with \( \omega < \text{trasdim}(X) < \infty \) is still unknown so far. M. Satkiewicz stated "omega conjecture" (see [3]). That is, \( \omega \leq \text{trasdim}(X) < \infty \) implies \( \text{trasdim}(X) = \omega \). In this paper, we prove that the "omega conjecture" is not true by constructing a metric space \( X_{\omega + 1} \) with trasdim(\( X_{\omega + 1} \)) = \( \omega + 1 \).

In the paper [4], we introduced another approach to classify the metric spaces with infinite asymptotic dimension, which is called complementary-finite asymptotic dimension (coasdim), and gave metric spaces \( X_k \) with coasdim(\( X_k \)) = \( \omega + k \) and trasdim(\( X_k \)) = \( \omega \), where \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). The metric space \( X_{\omega + 1} \) constructed in this paper is the first metric space \( Y \) with trasdim(\( Y \)) > \( \omega \) and trasdim(\( Y \)) = coasdim(\( Y \)).

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we recall some definitions and properties of transfinite asymptotic dimension. In Section 3, we introduce a concrete metric space \( X_{\omega + 1} \) and prove that transfinite asymptotic dimension and complementary-finite asymptotic dimension of \( X_{\omega + 1} \) are both \( \omega + 1 \).

2 Preliminaries

Our terminology concerning the asymptotic dimension follows from [5] and for undefined terminology we refer to [2]. Let \( (X, d) \) be a metric space and \( U, V \subseteq X \), let

\[
\text{diam } U = \sup \{ d(x, y) | x, y \in U \} \quad \text{and} \quad d(U, V) = \inf \{ d(x, y) | x \in U, y \in V \}.
\]

Let \( R > 0 \) and \( \mathcal{U} \) be a family of subsets of \( X \). \( \mathcal{U} \) is said to be \( R \)-bounded if

\[
\text{diam } \mathcal{U} \overset{\Delta}{=} \sup \{ \text{diam } U \mid U \in \mathcal{U} \} \leq R.
\]
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In this case, \( U \) is said to be uniformly bounded. Let \( r > 0 \), a family \( U \) is said to be \( r \)-disjoint if
\[
d(U,V) \geq r \quad \text{for every } U,V \in U \text{ with } U \neq V.
\]

In this paper, we denote \( \bigcup \{ U \mid U \in \mathcal{U} \} \) by \( \mathcal{U} \) and denote \( \{ U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}_1 \text{ or } U \in \mathcal{U}_2 \} \) by \( \mathcal{U}_1 \cup \mathcal{U}_2 \).

**Definition 2.1.** A metric space \( X \) is said to have finite asymptotic dimension if there is an \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), such that for every \( r > 0 \), there exists a sequence of uniformly bounded families \( \{ \mathcal{U}_i \}_{i=0}^n \) of subsets of \( X \) such that the family \( \bigcup_{i=0}^n \mathcal{U}_i \) covers \( X \) and each \( \mathcal{U}_i \) is \( r \)-disjoint for \( i = 0, 1, \ldots, n \). In this case, we say that the asymptotic dimension of \( X \) is less than or equal to \( n \), which is denoted by \( \text{asdim} X \leq n \). We say that \( \text{asdim} X = n \) if \( \text{asdim} X \leq n \) and \( \text{asdim} X \leq n-1 \) is not true.

**Definition 2.2.** Let \( \epsilon > 0 \) and \( Y \) be a metric space.

- A subset \( A \subseteq Y \) is called \( \epsilon \)-path connected if for every \( x, y \in A \), there are \( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in A \) for some \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( a_1 = x, a_n = y \) and \( d(a_i, a_{i+1}) < \epsilon \) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1 \).
- A subset \( B \subseteq Y \) is called an \( \epsilon \)-path connected component if \( B \subseteq C \) and \( C \) is \( \epsilon \)-path connected implies \( B = C \).

**Remark 2.1.** Any nonempty subset \( A \subseteq Y \) can be written as \( A = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}} C_{\alpha} \), where each \( C_{\alpha} \) is an \( \epsilon \)-path connected component. In this case, \( d(C_{\alpha}, C_{\beta}) \geq \epsilon \) when \( \alpha \neq \beta \).

**Definition 2.3.** Let \( X \) be a topological space and \( A, B \) be a pair of disjoint subsets of \( X \). We say that a set \( L \subseteq X \) is a partition between \( A \) and \( B \) if there exist open sets \( U, W \subseteq X \) satisfying the conditions \( A \subseteq U, B \subseteq W, U \cap W = \emptyset \) and \( X \setminus L = U \cup W \).

T. Radul generalized asymptotic dimension of a metric space \( X \) to transfinite asymptotic dimension which is denoted by \( \text{trasdim}(X) \) (see [2]). Let \( \text{FinN} \) denote the collection of all finite, nonempty subsets of \( \mathbb{N} \) and let \( M \subseteq \text{FinN} \). For \( \sigma \in \{ \emptyset \} \cup \text{FinN} \), let
\[
M^\sigma = \{ \tau \in \text{FinN} \mid \tau \cup \sigma \in M \text{ and } \tau \cap \sigma = \emptyset \}.
\]

Let \( M^a \) abbreviate \( M^{\{a\}} \) for \( a \in \mathbb{N} \). Define the ordinal number \( \text{Ord}M \) inductively as follows:
\[
\text{Ord}M = 0 \iff M = \emptyset,
\]
\[
\text{Ord}M \leq \alpha \iff \forall a \in \mathbb{N}, \text{Ord}M^a < \alpha,
\]
\[
\text{Ord}M = \alpha \iff \text{Ord}M \leq \alpha \text{ and } \text{Ord}M < \alpha \text{ is not true},
\]
\[
\text{Ord}M = \infty \iff \text{Ord}M \leq \alpha \text{ is not true for every ordinal number } \alpha.
\]

**Lemma 2.1.** (see [6], Lemma D) Let \( M \subseteq \text{FinN} \) and \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Then \( \text{Ord}M \leq n \) if and only if for each \( \sigma \in M \), \( |\sigma| \leq n \).

**Lemma 2.2.** (see [6], Lemma E) If \( M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq \text{FinN} \), then \( \text{Ord}M_1 \leq \text{Ord}M_2 \).

Given a metric space \( (X, d) \), define the following collection:
\[
A(X,d) = \{ \sigma \in \text{FinN} \mid \text{ there are no uniformly bounded families } \mathcal{U}_i \text{ for } i \in \sigma \\
\text{ such that each } \mathcal{U}_i \text{ is } i \text{-disjoint and } \bigcup_{i \in \sigma} \mathcal{U}_i \text{ covers } X \}.
\]

The transfinite asymptotic dimension of \( X \) is defined as \( \text{trasdim} X = \text{Ord}A(X,d) \).

### 3 Main result

**Lemma 3.1.** (see [7], Theorem 1.8.1) Let \( n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 1 \) and let \( I^n \) be the \( n \)-dimensional cube. Let \( A_i, B_i \), where \( i = 1, 2, \cdots, n \), be the pair of two opposite faces of \( I^n \). If \( L_i \) is a partition between \( A_i \) and \( B_i \) for \( i = 1, 2, \cdots, n \), then \( \bigcap_{i=1}^n L_i \neq \emptyset \).
Let $A$ be a subset of a metric space $X$ and $\epsilon > 0$, we denote \( \{x \in X | d(x, A) < \epsilon \} \) by $N_\epsilon(A)$.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n > 1$ and let $I^n$ be the $n$-dimensional cube. Let $A_i, B_i$, where $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, be the pair of two opposite faces of $I^n$. If $L_i$ is a partition between $A_i$ and $B_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, then for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a subset $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k\}$ of $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} L_i$ such that $d(a_1, A_n) < \epsilon$, $d(a_k, B_n) < \epsilon$ and $d(a_i, a_{i+1}) < \epsilon$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k - 1$.

**Proof.** Assume that $0 < \epsilon < 1$. By the Lemma 3.1 \( \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} L_i \neq \emptyset \). Let \( \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} L_i = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} V_\alpha \), where each $V_\alpha$ is an $\epsilon$-path connected component. Let $C_1 = \bigcup \{ V_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}, d(V_\alpha, A_n) < \epsilon \}$, $C_2 = \bigcup \{ V_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}, d(V_\alpha, A_n) \geq \epsilon \}$, then $C_1 \cup C_2 = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} L_i$.

- **Claim 1** There exists $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $d(V_\alpha, A_n) < \epsilon$.
  Suppose that $d(V_\alpha, A_n) \geq \epsilon$ for each $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$. Then $C_1 = \emptyset$ and $C_2 = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} L_i$. Note that
  
  \[
  d(N_{\epsilon/3}(A_n), N_{\epsilon/3}(B_n \cup C_2)) > \epsilon/3, A_n \subset N_{\epsilon/3}(A_n) \text{ and } B_n \subset N_{\epsilon/3}(B_n \cup C_2).
  \]

  Let $L_n = I^n \setminus (N_{\epsilon/3}(A_n) \cup N_{\epsilon/3}(B_n \cup C_2))$, then $L_n$ is a partition between $A_n$ and $B_n$. It follows that
  
  \[
  \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} L_i = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} L_i \cap L_n = C_2 \cap L_n = \emptyset,
  \]
  which is a contradiction with Lemma 3.1. Therefore, there exists $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $d(V_\alpha, A_n) < \epsilon$.

- **Claim 2** There exists $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $d(V_\alpha, A_n) < \epsilon$ and $d(V_\alpha, B_n) < \epsilon$.
  If not, $d(V_\alpha, A_n) < \epsilon$ implies $d(V_\alpha, B_n) \geq \epsilon$. Note that $C_1 \neq \emptyset$.
  
  - If $C_2 \neq \emptyset$, then $d(C_1, C_2) > \epsilon$. Indeed, for every $x \in C_1, y \in C_2$, there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $\alpha \neq \beta$ such that $x \in V_\alpha$ and $y \in V_\beta$. Since $d(V_\alpha, V_\beta) \geq \epsilon$, $d(x, y) \geq \epsilon$. Therefore, $d(C_1, C_2) \geq \epsilon$.
  
  Since $d(C_2, A_n) \geq \epsilon$, $d(C_1, B_n) \geq \epsilon$ and $d(C_1, C_2) \geq \epsilon$, $d(N_{\epsilon/3}(A_n \cup C_1), N_{\epsilon/3}(B_n \cup C_2)) > \epsilon/3$.
  
  - If $C_2 = \emptyset$, then $d(N_{\epsilon/3}(A_n \cup C_1), N_{\epsilon/3}(B_n \cup C_2)) = d(N_{\epsilon/3}(A_n \cup C_1), N_{\epsilon/3}(B_n)) > \epsilon/3$.

  Note that
  
  \[
  d(N_{\epsilon/3}(A_n \cup C_1), N_{\epsilon/3}(B_n \cup C_2)) > \epsilon/3, A_n \subset N_{\epsilon/3}(A_n \cup C_1) \text{ and } B_n \subset N_{\epsilon/3}(B_n \cup C_2).
  \]

  Let $L_n = I^n \setminus (N_{\epsilon/3}(A_n \cup C_1) \cup N_{\epsilon/3}(B_n \cup C_2))$, then $L_n$ is a partition between $A_n$ and $B_n$. It follows that
  
  \[
  \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} L_i = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} L_i \cap L_n = (C_1 \cup C_2) \cap L_n = \emptyset,
  \]
  which is a contradiction with Lemma 3.1.

So there exist $v_1, v_2 \in V_\alpha$ such that $d(v_1, A_n) < \epsilon$ and $d(v_2, B_n) < \epsilon$. Since $V_\alpha$ is an $\epsilon$-path connected, there are $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} L_i$ such that $a_1 = v_1$, $a_k = v_2$ and $d(a_i, a_{i+1}) < \epsilon$ for $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$. Then
  
  \[
  d(a_1, A_n) < \epsilon, d(a_k, B_n) < \epsilon \text{ and } d(a_i, a_{i+1}) < \epsilon \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \cdots, k - 1.
  \]

\[\Box\]

**Lemma 3.2.** (see [1], Proposition 2.1) Given a metric space $X$, let $l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $\text{transdim}(X) \leq \omega + l$;
- (2) For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $m = m(k) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are uniformly bounded families $\mathcal{U}_{-l}, \mathcal{U}_{-l+1}, \ldots, \mathcal{U}_m$ satisfying $\mathcal{U}_l$ is $k$-disjoint for $i = -l, \cdots, 0$, $\mathcal{U}_j$ is $n$-disjoint for $j = 1, 2, \cdots, m$ and $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}_i$ covers $X$. 

Let $X_1 = \mathbb{R}$, $X_2 = (\mathbb{R} \times 2\mathbb{Z}) \cup (2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R})$, $X_3 = (\mathbb{R} \times 3\mathbb{Z} \times 2\mathbb{Z}) \cup (3\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R} \times 2\mathbb{Z}) \cup (3\mathbb{Z} \times 2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R})$.

For $a = (a_1, a_2, ..., a_l) \in X_i$ and $b = (b_1, b_2, ..., b_k) \in X_k$ with $l \leq k$, let $a' = (a_1, ..., a_l, 0, ..., 0), b' = (b_1, ..., b_k, 0, ..., 0) \in \bigoplus \mathbb{R}$ and put $c = 0$ if $l = k$ and $c = l + (l + 1) + ... + (k - 1)$ if $l < k$. Define a metric $d$ on $\bigcup X_n$ by

$$d(a, b) = \max\{d_{\max}(a', b'), c\},$$

where $d_{\max}$ is the maximum metric in $\bigoplus \mathbb{R}$. Let $X_{n+1} = (\bigcup X_n, d)$.

**Proposition 3.2.** $\operatorname{trasdim}(X_{n+1}) \leq \omega$ is not true.

**Proof.** Suppose that $\operatorname{trasdim}(X_{n+1}) \leq \omega$. Then by Lemma 3.2 for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $m = m(k) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $B = B(k, n) > 0$ and $B$-bounded families $\mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{V}_1, ..., \mathcal{V}_m$ such that $\mathcal{V}_0$ is $k$-disjoint, $\mathcal{V}_j$ is $n$-disjoint for $j = 1, 2, ..., m$ and $\bigcup_{i=0}^m \mathcal{V}_i$ covers $X$. Let $\mathcal{U}_i = \{V \cap X_{m+1} \cap [0, 2B+n]^m : V \in \mathcal{V}_i\}$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, ..., m$. Choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n > 2(4m+6)$.

Without loss of generality, we assume that $\frac{2B+n}{m+1} = p \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $[0, 2B+n]^{m+1}$ contains $(m+1)$-dimensional closed cubes $V_1, V_2, ..., V_{m+1}$, where $V_i = \prod_{j=1}^{m+1} [(m+1)]_n^{(i)}, (m+1)]_n^{(i)}$, whose edges are contained in $X_{m+1}$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., p^{m+1}$. Let $\mathcal{V} = \{V_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq p^{m+1}}$ and $A_i, B_i$ be the pair of two opposite faces of $[0, 2B+n]^{m+1}$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., m+1$. For $1 \leq i \leq m$, $A_i \cup B_i \cup (\bigcup U_i)$ can be written as the union of $(4m+6)$-path connected components, i.e., $A_i \cup B_i \cup (\bigcup U_i) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} C_{\alpha}^{(i)}$, where $C_{\alpha}^{(i)}$ is a $(4m+6)$-path connected component. For $1 \leq i \leq m$, since $d(A_i, B_i) = 2B + n$ and $\mathcal{U}_i$ is $n$-disjoint and $B$-bounded, there exist $\alpha_1, \beta_1 \in \mathcal{A}_i$ and $\alpha_1 \neq \beta_1$ such that $A_i \subset C_{\alpha_1}^{(i)}$ and $B_i \subset C_{\beta_1}^{(i)}$.

For $1 \leq i \leq m$, let $D_{\alpha}^{(i)} = \bigcup \{V \in \mathcal{V} \mid V \cap C_{\alpha}^{(i)} \neq \emptyset\}$. Since $d(C_{\alpha_1}^{(i)}, C_{\beta_1}^{(i)}) > 4m + 6, d(D_{\alpha_1}^{(i)}, D_{\beta_1}^{(i)}) > 2m + 4$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$. Let $L_i = [0, 2B+n]^{m+1} \setminus (\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_i} N_{m+2}(D_{\alpha}^{(i)}))$. Then $L_i$ is a partition between $A_i$ and $B_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Indeed, for every $x \in A_i$, there exists $V \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $x \in V$. Since $A_i \subset C_{\alpha_1}^{(i)}, x \in V \cap C_{\alpha_1}^{(i)}$. It follows that $V \subset D_{\alpha_1}^{(i)} \subset N_{m+2}(D_{\alpha_1}^{(i)})$. Then $x \in N_{m+2}(D_{\alpha_1}^{(i)})$. Therefore, $A_i \subset N_{m+2}(D_{\alpha_1}^{(i)})$. Similarly, we have $B_i \subset N_{m+2}(D_{\beta_1}^{(i)})$.

By Proposition 3.1 let $\epsilon = m + 1$, there exists a subset $\{a_1, a_2, ..., a_l\}$ of $\bigcap_{i=1}^\epsilon L_i$ such that $d(a_j, A_{m+1}) < m + 1, d(a_j, B_{m+1}) < m + 1$ and $d(a_i, a_{i+1}) < m + 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq l - 1$. For $1 \leq j \leq l$, there exists $V_{a_j} \subset \mathcal{V}$ such that $a_j \subset V_{n_{a_j}}$. Since $d(a_j, a_{j+1}) < m + 1$, then $V_{n_{a_j}} \cap V_{n_{a_{j+1}}} \neq \emptyset$ for $j = 1, 2, ..., l - 1$.

Since $a_j \in \bigcap_{i=1}^\epsilon L_i, L_i = [0, 2B+n]^{m+1} \setminus (\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_i} N_{m+2}(D_{\alpha}^{(i)}))$ and $\bigcup U_i \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_i} D_{\alpha}^{(i)}, V_{n_{a_j}} \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^\epsilon U_i = \emptyset$ for $j = 1, 2, ..., l$. Indeed, for $1 \leq i \leq m, a_j \subset L_i = [0, 2B+n]^{m+1} \setminus (\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_i} N_{m+2}(D_{\alpha}^{(i)}))$. Then $a_j \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_i} N_{m+2}(D_{\alpha}^{(i)})$ for $j = 1, 2, ..., l$. It follows that $d(a_j, D_{\alpha}^{(i)}) \geq m + 2$ for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_i$. For each $x \subset V_{n_{a_j}}, d(x, a_j) \leq m + 1 < m + 2$. Then $x \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_i} D_{\alpha}^{(i)}$. It follows that $x \subset \bigcup U_i$. Thus, $V_{n_{a_j}} \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^\epsilon U_i = \emptyset$ for $j = 1, 2, ..., l$.

Note that $\bigcup_{i=1}^\epsilon V_{n_{a_j}}$ is connected and $\bigcup_{j=1}^\epsilon V_{n_{a_j}} \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^\epsilon U_i = \emptyset$. So there exists a path $\alpha$ consisting of some edges of $\{V_{n_{a_j}}\}_{1 \leq j \leq l} \subset \mathcal{A}_i$ such that $\alpha \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^\epsilon U_i = \emptyset$. Since $\bigcup_{i=1}^\epsilon U_i$ covers $X_{m+1} \cap [0, 2B + n]^{m+1}$, $\alpha$ is covered by the $B$-bounded family $U_0$. But the length of $\alpha \geq 2B + n - 2(m + 1) > 2B$, which is a contradiction.

\[\square\]

**Definition 3.4.** Every ordinal number $\gamma$ can be represented as $\gamma = \lambda(\gamma) + n(\gamma)$, where $\lambda(\gamma)$ is the limit ordinal or 0 and $n(\gamma) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Let $X$ be a metric space, we define complementary-finite asymptotic dimension $\operatorname{coasdim}(X)$ inductively as follows:

- $\operatorname{coasdim}(X) = -1$ if $X = \emptyset$,
- $\operatorname{coasdim}(X) \leq \gamma$ if for every $r > 0$ there exist $r$-disjoint uniformly bounded families $U_0, ..., U_n(\gamma)$ of subsets of $X$ such that $\operatorname{coasdim}(X \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^n U_i) < \lambda(\gamma)$,
- $\operatorname{coasdim}(X) = \gamma$ if $\operatorname{coasdim}(X) \leq \gamma$ and for every $\beta < \gamma$, $\operatorname{coasdim}(X) \leq \beta$ is not true,
- $\operatorname{coasdim}(X) = \infty$ if for every ordinal number $\gamma$, $\operatorname{coasdim}(X) \leq \gamma$ is not true.

**Remark 3.2.**
• It is easy to see that for every $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, coasdim($X$) $\leq n$ if and only if asdim($X$) $\leq n$.

• To simplify, we will abuse the notation a little bit. In the following, asdim($X$) $< \omega$ means that asdim($X$) $\leq n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

**Lemma 3.3.** (see [4], Theorem 3.2) Let $X$ be a metric space, if coasdim($X$) $\leq \omega + k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then trasdim($X$) $\leq \omega + k$. Especially, coasdim($X$) $= \omega$ implies trasdim($X$) $= \omega$.

**Proposition 3.3.** trasdim($X_{\omega+1}$) $\leq \omega + 1$.

**Proof.** By the Lemma 3.3 it suffices to show that coasdim($X_{\omega+1}$) $\leq \omega + 1$. i.e., for every $k > 0$ there exist $k$-disjoint uniformly bounded families $U_0, U_1$ of subsets of $X_{\omega+1}$ such that coasdim($X_{\omega+1} \setminus \bigcup (U_0 \cup U_1)$) $< \omega$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq 6k$, let $V_0(n) = \{\langle i - k, in + k \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

- If $[n - 2k] = 2m$, then let
  \[ V_1(n) = \{\langle in + 2jk, in + (2j + 1)k \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}, j = 1, 2, ..., m - 1\}, \]
  \[ V_2(n) = \{\langle in + (2j - 1)k, in + 2jk \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}, j = 1, 2, ..., m - 1\} \cup \{\langle in + 2mk - k, in + 2mk + 2k \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \]

- If $[n - 2k] = 2m + 1$, then let
  \[ V_1(n) = \{\langle in + 2jk, in + (2j + 1)k \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}, j = 1, 2, ..., m\}, \]
  \[ V_2(n) = \{\langle in + (2j - 1)k, in + 2jk \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}, j = 1, 2, ..., m\} \cup \{\langle in + 2mk + k, in + 2mk + 3k \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \]

Note that $V_0(n) \cup V_1(n), V_2(n)$ are $k$-disjoint, $3k$-bounded and $V_0(n) \cup V_1(n) \cup V_2(n)$ covers $\mathbb{R}$. Let

\begin{align*}
W_0(n) &= \{\prod_{i=1}^{n} V_i \cap X_n \mid V_i \in V_0(n)\} \\
W_1(n) &= \{\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} \{nn_i\} \times V_j \times \prod_{i=j+1}^{n} \{nn_i\} \mid n_i \in \mathbb{Z}, V_j \in V_1(n), j = 2, 3, \cdots, n\} \cup \{\prod_{i=2}^{n} \{nn_i\} \mid n_i \in \mathbb{Z}, V_i \in V_1(n)\} \\
W_2(n) &= \{\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} \{nn_i\} \times V_j \times \prod_{i=j+1}^{n} \{nn_i\} \mid V_j \in V_2(n), j = 2, 3, \cdots, n\} \cup \{\prod_{i=2}^{n} \{nn_i\} \mid n_i \in \mathbb{Z}, V_i \in V_2(n)\}
\end{align*}

It is easy to see that $W_i(n)$ are $k$-disjoint and $3k$-bounded for $i = 0, 1, 2$. Let

\[ U_0(n) = W_0(n) \bigcup W_1(n), U_1(n) = W_2(n). \]

Since $V_0(n) \cup V_1(n)$ is $k$-disjoint and $3k$-bounded, $U_0(n)$ is $k$-disjoint and $3k$-bounded. For every $x \in X_n$, without loss of generality, we assume that $x = (x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{R} \times n\mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times n\mathbb{Z}$. Then $x$ is in one of the following cases.

- $x_i \in n\mathbb{Z}$ for $i = 2, 3, \cdots$ and $x_1 \in [ni - k, ni + k]$ for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, it is easy to see that $x \in \bigcup W_0(n)$.
- $x_i \in n\mathbb{Z}$ for $i = 2, 3, \cdots$ and $x_1 \in V$ for some $V \in V_1(n)$, it is easy to see that $x \in \bigcup W_1(n)$.
- $x_i \in n\mathbb{Z}$ for $i = 2, 3, \cdots$ and $x_1 \in V$ for some $V \in V_2(n)$, it is easy to see that $x \in \bigcup W_2(n)$.

So $U_0(n) \cup U_1(n)$ covers $X_n$. Let

\[ U_0 = \bigcup_{n \geq 6k} U_0(n), U_1 = \bigcup_{n \geq 6k} U_1(n). \]
Since \(d(X_i, X_j) \geq n\) when \(i, j > n\) and \(i \neq j\), then \(U_0, U_1\) are \(k\)-disjoint, \(3k\)-bounded and \(U_0 \cup U_1\) covers \(\bigsqcup_{n \geq 6k} X_n\). It follows that
\[
\text{coasdim}(X_{\omega+1} \setminus \bigcup(U_0 \cup U_1)) = \text{asdim}(X_{\omega+1} \setminus \bigsqcup_{n \geq 6k} X_n) = \text{asdim}(\bigsqcup_{n=1}^{6k-1} X_n) < \omega,
\]
which implies \(\text{coasdim}(X_{\omega+1}) \leq \omega + 1\). \(\square\)

**Remark 3.3.**

- By the Proposition 3.2 and the Proposition 3.3, we can obtain that \(\text{trasdim}(X_{\omega+1}) = \omega + 1\).
- By the Proposition 3.2 and the Lemma 3.3, we can obtain that \(\text{coasdim}(X_{\omega+1}) \leq \omega\) is not true. Moreover, we obtain that \(\text{coasdim}(X_{\omega+1}) = \omega + 1\) by the Proposition 3.3.
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