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Abstract

We introduce two new classes of integers. The first class consists of numbers $N$ for which there exists at least one nonnegative integer $A$, such that the sum of $A$ and the sum of digits of $N$, added to the reversal of the sum, gives $N$. The second class consists of numbers $N$ for which there exists at least one nonnegative integer $A$, such that the sum of $A$ and the sum of the digits of $N$, multiplied by the reversal of the sum, gives $N$. All palindromes that either have an even number of digits or an odd number of digits and the middle digit even belong to the first class, and all squares of palindromes with at least two digits belong to the second class. These classes contain and are strictly larger than the classes of $b$-ARH numbers, respectively $b$-MRH numbers introduced in Nițică [6].

1 Introduction

Let $b \geq 2$ be a numeration base. In Nițică [6], motivated by a property of the taxicab number, 1729 [5], we introduce the classes of $b$-additive Ramanujan-Hardy (or $b$-ARH) numbers and $b$-multiplicative Ramanujan-Hardy (or $b$-MRH) numbers. The first class consists of numbers $N$ for which there exists at least one integer $M$, called additive multiplier, such that the product of $M$ and the sum of base $b$ digits of $N$, added to the reversal of the product, gives $N$. The second class consists of numbers $N$ for which there exists at least one integer $M$, called multiplicative multiplier, such that the product of $M$ and the sum of base $b$ digits of $N$, multiplied by the reversal of the product, gives $N$. We show in [6, 8] the existence of infinite sets of $b$-ARH and $b$-MRH numbers and infinite sets of multipliers for an infinity of numeration bases. Nevertheless, several questions asked in [6, 8] remain open. In particular
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we would like to find obstructions to the existence of multipliers and infinite sets of multipliers of fixed multiplicity.

In this paper we change the definitions above. We replace the product between the sum of digits and the multiplier by the sum of the sum of digits and a positive extra term. This gives two new classes of numbers, $b$-wARH and $b$-wMRH. These are strictly larger than those above. We believe that the study of these classes will bring some insight into the remaining open questions in $[6, 8]$. Another motivation for the study of these classes of numbers is the study of numerical palindromes. All palindromes that either have an even number of digits or an odd number of digits and the middle digit even belong to the first class, and all squares of palindromes with at least two digits belong to the second class. The results in $[6, 8]$ also give new examples of $b$-Niven numbers. These are numbers divisible by the sum of their base $b$ digits. See, for example, $[1, 2, 3, 4, 7]$). In particular, any $b$-MRH number is a $b$-Niven number. We expect the study here to shine new facets of this notion.

A computer search produced many wARH numbers. There are 77 integers less than 10000 having this property; see the sequence A305131 in the OEIS $[9]$ and Table 1 in this paper. For example, 121212 has extra term 60597. The sum of the digits is 9, one has $9 + 60597 = 60606$, and $60606 + 60606 = 121212$.

A computer search also produced many wMRH numbers. There are 365 integers less than 10000 having the property; see the sequence A306830 in the OEIS $[9]$ and Table 2 in this paper. For example, 2268 has extra term 18. The sum of the digits is 18, one has $18 + 18 = 36$, and $36 \times 63 = 2268$.

The paper is dedicated to the study of these classes of numbers. As a by-product we also clarify some relationships between the classes of numbers introduced here and in $[6]$, and the well studied class of $b$-Niven numbers. The Venn diagrams in Figure 1, in which the universal set is the set of integers, record some relationships and lead to some open questions. The inclusion of the set of $b$-ARH numbers into the set of $b$-wARH numbers is proved in Proposition 7 and the inclusion of the set of $b$-MRH numbers into the set of $b$-wMRH numbers is proved in Proposition 17. We believe that each proper subset in the Venn diagrams contains an infinity of integers. Those subsets for which we already know this fact are marked by a full black dot. For the others, the question is open. See Corollary 12 for an infinity of $b$-wARH numbers that are not $b$-Niven numbers. No large prime number can be either $b$-Niven or $b$-wMRH numbers. See the proof of Proposition 27 for an infinity of $b$-wMRH numbers that are not $b$-Niven numbers, and consequently neither $b$-MRH numbers.

2 Statements of the main results

In what follows let $b \geq 2$ be an arbitrary numeration base.

Definition 1. If $N$ is a positive integer written in base $b$, we call reversal of $N$ and let $N^R$ denote the integer obtained from $N$ by writing its digits in reverse order.
We observe that addition and multiplication of integers are independent of the numeration base. The operation of taking the reversal is not.

Let $s_b(N)$ denote the sum of the base $b$ digits of an integer $N$.

**Definition 2.** A positive integer $N$ written in base $b$ is called $b$-weak Ramanujan-Hardy number, or simply $b$-wARH number, if there exists an integer $A \geq 0$, called additive extra term, such that

$$N = A + s_b(N) + (A + s_b(N))^R,$$

where $(A + s_b(N))^R$ is the reversal of base $b$-representation of $A + s_b(N)$.

**Definition 3.** A positive integer $N$ written in base $b$ is called $b$-weak-multiplicative Ramanujan-Hardy number, or simply $b$-wMRH number, if there exists an integer $A \geq 0$, called multiplicative extra term, such that

$$N = (A + s_b(N)) \cdot (A + s_b(N))^R,$$

where $(A + s_b(N))^R$ is the reversal of base $b$-representation of $A + s_b(N)$.

To simplify the notation, let $s(N)$, wARH, wMRH denote $s_{10}(N)$, 10-wARH, 10-wMRH. We observe that the notions of $b$-wARH and $b$-wMRH numbers are dependent on the base.

**Example 4.** The number $[12]_{10}$ is a wARH number with extra term $A = 3$, but $[12]_3$ is not a 3-wARH number. The number $[152]_{10} = 21 \cdot 12$ is a wMRH number with extra term $A = 3$, and $[252]_3 = 5 \cdot 7$ is a 3-wMRH number with extra term $A = 3$ but $[252]_4$ is not a 4-wMRH number.

Once these notions are introduced and examples of such numbers found, several natural questions arise. Do there exist infinitely many $b$-wARH numbers? Do there exist infinitely many $b$-wMRH numbers? Do there exist infinitely many additive extra terms? Do there
exist infinitely many multiplicative extra terms? All these questions are positively answered below for all numeration bases.

In what follows, if $x$ is a string of digits, we let $(x)^k$ denote the string obtained by repeating $x$ $k$-times. We also let $[x]_b$ denote the value of the string $x$ in base $b$.

The following proposition is of independent interest and it is also needed later.

**Proposition 5.** Let $N$ be a base $b$ integer. Then:

a) $2s_b(N) \leq N$, if $N$ has at least two digits;

b) $2s_b(N) + b - 1 \leq N \cdot b + \frac{b-1}{2}$, if $N$ has at least two digits;

c) If $N$ has at least three digits, then

$$s_b(N^2) \leq N.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The Proof of proposition 5 is done in Section 3

**Remark 6.** In Proposition 5, c), the condition that $N$ has at least 3 digits is necessary, as shown by $N = [13]_{11} = 14_{10}$. Indeed, $N^2 = [169]_{11}$ and $s_{11}(N^2) = 16 > 14$.

The following proposition gives many examples of $b$-wARH numbers.

**Proposition 7.** a) Let $N$ be a base $b$ palindrome either with an even number of digits or with an odd number of digits and the middle digit even. Then $N$ is a $b$-wARH number.

b) Let $N$ be a $b$-ARH number, Then $N$ is a $b$-wARH number.

**Remark 8.** We observe that [8, Theorem 1] gives for any $b \geq 2$ an infinity of $b$-wARH number that are not palindromes.

**Corollary 9.** For any string of digits $I$ there exists an infinity of $b$-wARH numbers that contain $I$ in their base $b$-representation.

**Proof.** The string $I$ is part of an infinity of base $b$ palindromes with an even number of digits.

**Corollary 10.** For any integer $N$ there exists an infinity of integers $M$ such that $N \cdot M$ is a $b$-wARH number. Consequently, all integers are divisors of $b$-wARH numbers.

**Proof.** It is proved in [8, Theorem 5] that for any integer $N$ there exists an infinity of integers $M$ such that $N \cdot M$ is a palindrome. If the palindrome has an even number of digits, we are done. Otherwise, if $P = N \cdot M$ is an arbitrary palindrome with $k$ digits, consider the product $P \cdot [1(0)^{k-1}]_b$, which is a palindrome with $2k$ digits.

**Corollary 11.** For any $b \geq 2$ there exist an infinity of arithmetic progressions of length $b$ of $b$-wARH numbers.

**Proof.** If $I$ is a string of base $b$-digits of length at least 1, consider the following arithmetic progression of palindromes:

$$[I00I^R]_b, [I11I^R]_b, [I22I^R]_b, \ldots, [I(b-2)(b-2)I^R]_b, [I(b-1)(b-1)I]_b.$$
Corollary 12. There exists an infinity of $b$-wARH numbers that are not $b$-Niven numbers.

Proof. For any $k \geq 1$ define $N_k = [1(0)^k (b - 1(b - 1)(0)^k 1)_b]$. Then $s_b(N_k) = 2b$ and $N_k$ is not divisible by $b$. But $N_k$ are palindromes with even number of digits, so they are $b$-wARH numbers.

We show in [6, Theorem 26] the existence of an infinity of integers that are not $b$-ARH. The following result has a similar proof.

Proposition 13. There exists an infinity of numbers that are not $b$-wARH numbers.

The following result complements [6, Corollary 19], which applies only for $b$ even and gives an infinity of $b$-ARH numbers that are not $b$-MRH numbers.

Proposition 14. There exists an infinity of $b$-wARH numbers that are not $b$-MRH numbers.

Proposition 14 is proved in Section 5.

Question 15. Does there exist an infinity of $b$-wARH numbers that are not $b$-ARH numbers?

Proposition 16. For any $b \geq 2$ there exists an infinity of $b$-wARH numbers and an infinity of extra terms.

Proof. Consider the sequence of palindromes $N_k = [1(0)^k (0)^k 1)_b]$, $k \geq 1$, with additive terms $A_k = b^{2k} - 2$.

The following proposition gives many examples of $b$-wMRH numbers.

Proposition 17. a) Let $P$ be a a base $b$-palindrome with at least two digits and let $N = P^2$. Then $N$ is a $b$-wMRH number. b) Let $N$ be a $b$-MRH number, Then $N$ is a $b$-wMRH number.

Remark 18. We observe that [8, Theorem4] gives for an infinity of numeration bases an infinity of $b$-wMRH number that are not squares of palindromes.

Corollary 19. For any string of base $b$ digits $I$ there exists an infinity of $b$-wMRH numbers that contain $I$ in their base $b$-representation.

Proof. It is enough to show that the string $I$ is part of an infinity of base $b$ squares of base $b$ palindromes. If $[I]_b$ is even, let $[J]_b$ be a $k_0$ digit string such that $2J = I$. Then $I$ is part of the base $b$-representation of $([1(0)^k J(0)^k 1]_b)^2$, for all $k \geq 3k_0$. If $[I]_b$ is odd, let $[J]_b$ be a $k_0$ digit string such that $2J + 1 = I$. Then $I$ is part of the base $b$-representation of $([J(0)^k 1(0)^k 1(0)^k J]_b)^2$ for all $k \geq 3k_0$.

Corollary 20. For any integer $N$ there exists an infinity of integers $M$ such that $N \cdot M$ is a $b$-wMRH number. Consequently, all integers are divisors of $b$-wMRH numbers.
Proof. It is proved in [8, Theorem 5] that for any integer $N$ there exists an infinity of integers $M$ such that $N \cdot M$ is a palindrome. Then the product $N \cdot M \cdot (N \cdot M)$ is a $b$-wMRH number.

It is well known that there exists an infinity of numbers that are not $b$-Niven. As a $b$-MRH number is $b$-Niven, this gives an infinity of numbers that are not $b$-MRH numbers.

**Proposition 21.** There exists an infinity of numbers that are not $b$-wMRH numbers.

**Proof.** No prime number is $b$-wMRH number. □

**Remark 22.** The condition in Proposition 17 that $P$ has at least 2 digits is necessary. Some squares of one digit numbers are $b$-wMRH number, for example 81, and some are not, for example 25.

**Proposition 23.** For any $b \geq 2$ there exists an infinity of $b$-wMRH numbers and an infinity of extra terms.

**Proof.** Consider the sequence $N_k = (10^k) \cdot (100^k) \cdot (1010^k)$, $k \geq 1$, with additive terms $A_k = b^k - 1$.

Combining Proposition 7, c) and [6, Theorems 13,15 ] one has the following result.

**Theorem 24.** a) Consider the numbers

$$N_k = \left(\left(\frac{1}{10}\right)^k\right)_b,$$

where $b$ is even, $k = [1(0)^{\left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor}]_b, p \geq 1, p$ an arbitrary natural number. All numbers $N_k$ are $b$-wARH numbers. Each $N_k$ has a subset of additive multipliers of cardinality $2^{k-2p}$ consisting of all integers $k \cdot \left(\left(\frac{1}{10}\right)_b\right)$, where $I$ is a sequence of 0 and 1 of length $k - 2p$ in which no two digits symmetric about the center of the sequence are identical.

b) Consider the numbers

$$N_k = \left(\left(\frac{1}{10}\right)^{k-2p}(100^p)\right)_b,$$

where $b$ is even and $k = [1(0)^{\left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor}]_b, p \geq 1, p$ arbitrary natural number. All numbers $N_k$ are $b$-wARH numbers. For each $N_k$ the set of additive extra terms has cardinality $(b - 1)^{k-2p}$ and consists of all integers $2 \cdot \left(\left(10^p I\right)_b - 1\right)$, where $I$ is a concatenation of $k - 2p$ two digits strings of type $0\alpha, \alpha \neq 0$, in which any pair of nonzero digits symmetric about the center of $I0$ have their sum equal to $b$.

**Corollary 25.** If $b$ is even, there exists infinitely many $b$-wARH numbers that have at least two extra terms.

**Question 26.** Do there exist infinitely many $b$-wMRH numbers that have at least two extra terms?
Proposition 27. There exists an infinity of $b$-wMRH numbers that are not $b$-MRH numbers.

Question 28. Does there exist an infinity of $b$-wARH numbers that are not $b$-wMRH?

Motivated by the results in Theorem 24, we introduce the following notions.

Definition 29. If $N$ is a $b$-wARH number, let the multiplicity of $N$ be the cardinality of the corresponding set of additive extra terms.

Definition 30. If $N$ is a $b$-wMRH number, let the multiplicity of $N$ be the cardinality of the corresponding set of multiplicative extra terms.

Theorem 24 has the following corollary.

Corollary 31. The multiplicity of $b$-wARH numbers is unbounded for any even base.

Question 32. Is the multiplicity of $b$-wMRH numbers bounded?

We show in [6, Theorem 25] an infinity of $b$-Niven numbers that are not $b$-MRH numbers. The following question is open.

Question 33. Does there exist an infinity of $b$-Niven numbers that are not $b$-wMRH numbers?

We show in Section 13 that 2 is not a multiplicative extra term for base 10. We do not know how to answer the following questions for any base.

Question 34. Do there exist infinitely many integers that are not additive extra terms?

Question 35. Do there exist infinitely many integers that are not multiplicative extra terms?

In what follows let $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denote the integer part, let $\ln x$ denote the natural logarithm and let $\log_b x$ denote base $b$ logarithm of the positive real number $x$.

The following theorems give bounds for the number of digits in a $b$-wARH number with fixed extra term. Due to independent interest and in order to simplify the statements of other results we consider first the case when the extra term is $A = 0$.

Theorem 36. Let $N$ be a $b$-wARH number with $k$ digits and additive extra term $A = 0$. Then $N = 0$, $N = [11]_2$, $N = [22]_3$, or $N = [1(b - 2)]_b$.

Remark 37. We leave as open the problem of finding all $b$-wMRH numbers with extra term $A = 0$. We only observe that if $b = 10$ a $wMRH$ number with $A = 0$ is also an $MRH$ number with multiplier $M = 1$. It is shown in [6] that all such numbers are 1, 81, 1458 and 1729.

Theorem 38. Let $N$ be a $b$-wARH number with $k$ digits and additive extra term $A$. Then

$$k \leq A + 4.$$
**Corollary 39.** For fixed additive extra term $A$ and base $b$, the set of $b$-wARH numbers with extra term $A$ is finite.

**Theorem 40.** Let $N$ be a $b$-wARH number with $k$ digits and additive extra term $A$. Under the assumption $A \geq b^3$ one has:

$$k \leq 2\lfloor \log_b A \rfloor.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The following theorems give bounds for the number of digits in a $b$-wMRH number with fixed extra term.

**Theorem 41.** Let $N$ be a $b$-wMRH number with $k$ digits and multiplicative extra term $A \geq 1$. Then

$$k \leq \begin{cases} A + 4, & \text{if } b \geq 6; \\ A + 5, & \text{if } 2 \leq b \leq 5. \end{cases}$$

**Corollary 42.** For fixed multiplicative extra terms $A$ and base $b$, the set of $b$-wMRH numbers with extra term $A$ is finite.

**Theorem 43.** Let $N$ be a $b$-wMRH number with $k$ digits and multiplicative extra term $A \geq 1$. Under any of the following assumptions:

- $b \geq 3$ and $A \geq b^3$;
- $b = 2$ and $A \geq b^2$;

one has

$$k \leq 3\lfloor \log_b A \rfloor.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

We summarize the rest of the paper. Proposition 5 is proved in Section 3, Proposition 7 is proved in Section 4, Proposition 14 is proved in Section 5, Proposition 17 is proved in Section 6, Proposition 27 is proved in Section 7, Proposition 36 is proved in Section 8, Theorem 38 is proved in Section 9, Theorem 40 is proved in Section 10, Theorem 41 is proved in Section 11, and Theorem 43 is proved in Section 12. In Section 13 we show examples of wARH numbers and ask additional questions and in Section 14 we show examples of wMRH numbers and ask additional questions.

### 3 Proof of Proposition 5

*Proof.* a), b) Clearly b) implies a), so it is enough to prove b). Assume $N$ has $n \geq 2$ digits. Then $N \geq b^{n-1}$ and $s_b(N) \leq n(b - 1)$. To finish the proof, we show by induction on $n \geq 2$ that

$$2(b - 1)n + (b - 1) \leq b \cdot (b^{n-1}) + \frac{b - 1}{2}. $$  \hspace{1cm} (8)
Inequality (8) is true if \( n = 2 \). Assume now that it is true for \( n \) and prove it for \( n + 1 \). Induction hypothesis gives that:

\[
2(b - 1)(n + 1) + (b - 1) = 2(b - 1)n + 2(b - 1) + (b - 1) \leq b \cdot (b^{n-1}) + \frac{b - 1}{2} + 2(b - 1). \tag{9}
\]

We still need to show that:

\[
b \cdot (b^{n-1}) + \frac{b - 1}{2} + 2(b - 1) \leq b \cdot (b^n) + \frac{b - 1}{2}.
\tag{10}
\]

After some cancellation, equation (10) becomes \( 2 \leq b^n \), which is true for \( n \geq 2, b \geq 2 \).

c) Assume that \( N \) has \( n \geq 3 \) digits. Then \( b^{n-1} \leq N \leq b^n - 1 \). Hence

\[
b^{2n-2} \leq N^2 \geq (b^n - 1)^2 = b^{2n} - 2b^n + 1.
\tag{11}
\]

So \( N^2 \) has \( 2n - 1 \) digits, and \( s_b(N^2) \leq (b - 1)(2n - 1) \). To finish the proof it is enough to show that

\[
(b - 1)(2n - 1) \leq b^n - 1.
\tag{12}
\]

Equation (12) is true for \( n = 3 \) and \( b \geq 2 \). We assume \( n \geq 4 \) fixed and prove (12) by induction on \( b \geq 3 \). The induction hypothesis, \( b \geq 3 \), and the binomial expansion of \((1 + b)^n\), imply that for all \( b \geq 3 \) one has that:

\[
b(2n - 1) = (b - 1)(2n - 1) + (2b - 1) \leq b^n - 1 + (2n - 1) \leq (b + 1)^{n-1},
\]

which finishes the proof of (12) if \( b \geq 2 \).

If \( b = 2 \) Inequality (12) becomes \( 2n - 1 \leq 2^n - 1 \), true for \( n \geq 4 \). There are only 4 integers with \( b = 2, n = 3 \), and for them inequality (3) can be checked numerically.

\[\square\]

## 4 Proof of Proposition 7

**Proof.**

a) Assume first that \( N = [a_1a_2 \ldots a_na_n]_b \). Define \( A = [a_1a_2 \ldots a_n(0)^n]_b - s_b(N) \). Then \( A \geq 0 \) due to Proposition 5 a) applied to \([a_1a_2 \ldots a_n(0^n)]_b\). One has that:

\[
(s_b(N) + A) + (s_b(N) + A)^R = [a_1a_2 \ldots a_n(0)^n]_b + ([a_1a_2 \ldots a_n(0)^n]_b)^R
= [a_1a_2 \ldots a_n(0)^n]_b + [a_n \ldots a_1]_b = N.
\]

Now assume that \( N = [a_1a_2 \ldots a_na_{n+1}a_n \ldots a_1]_b \), where \( a_{n+1} \) is even. Define \( A = [a_1a_2 \ldots a_n \left(\frac{a_{n+1}}{2}\right)(0)^n]_b - s_b(N) \). Then \( A \geq 0 \) due to Lemma 5 a) applied to \([a_1a_2 \ldots a_n \left(\frac{a_{n+1}}{2}\right)(0)^n]_b\). One has that:

\[
(s_b(N) + A) + (s_b(N) + A)^R = [a_1a_2 \ldots a_n(0)^n]_b + ([a_1a_2 \ldots a_n(0)^n]_b)^R
= [a_1a_2 \ldots a_n \left(\frac{a_{n+1}}{2}\right)(0)^n]_b + \left(\frac{a_{n+1}}{2}\right)a_n \ldots a_1 = N.
\]

b) Let \( N \) be a \( b \)-ARH number with additive multiplier \( M \geq 1 \). Then \( N \) is also a \( b \)-wARH number with extra term \( A = s_b(N)(M - 1) \). \[\square\]
5 Proof of Proposition 14

Proof. It is known that a base $b$ number is divisible by $b-1$ only if and only if the sum of its digits is divisible by $b-1$. Consider the palindromes

$$N_k = [(b-1)(0)^k(b-1)]_b, \text{ } k \text{ even.}$$

It follows from Proposition 7, a), that the numbers $N_k$ are $b$-MRH numbers. If $b = 2$, then $s_b(N_k) = 2$, but $N_k$ is odd, so $N_k$ is not a $b$-MRH number. Assume $b \geq 4$. As $s_b(N) = 2(b-1)$ it follows that $N_k$ is divisible by $b-1$, but not by $(b-1)^2$. Nevertheless, if $N_k$ is $b$-MRH number then it must be divisible by $(b-1)^2$. If $b = 3$ consider the palindromes $N_k = [2(0)^k2(0)^k2]_3$. It follows from Proposition 7, a), that the numbers $N_k$ are $3$-WARH numbers. As $s_3(N_k) = 6$ and $N_k$ are divisible by 2, but not by 4, it follows that $N_k$ are not $3$-MRH numbers.

6 Proof of Proposition 17

Proof. a) Let $P$ be a base $b$ palindrome and let $N = P^2$. Assume that $P$ has at least three digits. It follows from Proposition 5 c), that $s_b(N) \leq P$. Let $A = P - s_b(N)$. Then $N$ is a $b$-wMRH number with extra term $A$. Assume now that $P$ has two digits. Then $P = [aa]_b$ for $1 \leq a \leq b - 1$. We will show that formula (3) is still valid. Then the argument above can be applied again. We distinguish three cases.

Case 1. $2a^2 < b$ Then $P = a(b+1)$, $N = [a^2(2a^2)a^2]_b$, and $s_b(N) = 4a^2$. If $a > 1$ one has that:

$$s_b(N) = 4a^2 < 4 \cdot \frac{b}{2} = 2b < a(b+1) = P.$$ 

If $a = 1$ and $b \geq 3$ one has that:

$$s_b(N) = 4 \leq b + 1 = P.$$ 

If $a = 1$ and $b = 2$ then the condition $2a^2 < b$ is not satisfied.

Case 2. $a^2 < b \leq 2a^2$ We distinguish two subcases: a) $a^2 + 1 < b$ and b) $a^2 + 1 = b$.

Subcase a) $s_b(N) = a^2 + 1 + 2a^2 - b + a^2 = 4a^2 + 1 - b < 3(b-1)$. If $a \geq 3$ then

$$s_b(N) < 3(b-1) < a(b+1) = P.$$ 

If $a = 1$, the condition $b \leq 2a^2$ implies that $b = 2$. In this case $P = [11]_2$ and

$$s_b(P^2) = s_b([10001]_2) = 2 \leq P = 3.$$ 

If $a = 2$, $b \in \{6, 7, 8\}$. So $P = [22]_6$, $P = [22]_7$ or $P = [22]_8$. These cases can be checked numerically.
Subcase b) $s_b(N) = a^2 + 1 + 2a^2 - b + a^2 = 3a^2 = 3(b - 1)$. If $a \geq 3$ then

$$s_b(N) = 3(b - 1) \leq a(b + 1) = P.$$ 

If $a = 1$ then $b = 2$ and $P = [11]_2$. If $a = 2$ then $5 < b < 8$ and the only new possibility is $[22]_5$ which can be checked numerically.

Case 3. $a^2 \geq b$ Note that each "carry over" in the computation of $P^2$ reduces $s_b(P^2)$ by $b$ and also increases it by 1. We have at least 4 carry overs, so the largest value for $s_b(P^2)$ is $4a^2 - 4b + 4$. The inequality $s_b(P^2) \leq P$ becomes

$$4a^2 - 4b + 4 \leq a(b + 1),$$

or equivalently

$$4a^2 - a(b + 1) + 4(1 - b) \leq 0, \text{ for } 1 \leq a \leq b - 1. \quad (13)$$

If $b \geq 3$, the quadratic function in $(13)$ has the vertex at $a = \frac{b^2 + 1}{4} \in (1, b - 1)$, so its largest values in the interval $[1, b-1]$ are reached in the endpoints. Since its value in $a = 1$ is $7 - 5b$ and its value in $a = b - 1$ is $6 - 7b$, it follows that $(13)$ holds. If $b = 2$ the remaining case is $P = [11]_2$.

b) Let $N$ be a $b$-MRH number with additive multiplier $M \geq 1$. Then $N$ is a $b$-wMRH number with extra term $A = s_b(N)(M - 1)$.

7 Proof of Proposition 27

Proof. It follows from Proposition 17 that it is enough to find an infinity of squares of palindromes that are not $b$-Niven numbers.

If $b = 2$ consider $N_k = ([1(0)^k1(0)^k]_2)^2 = [1(0)^k11(0)^k011(0)^k01]_2$. Then $s_b(N_k) = 6$ and $N_k$ is not divisible by 2 because it is odd. If $b$ is even, and $b \neq 2$, then consider $N_k = ([1(0)^k1]_b)^2 = [1(0)^k2(0)^k1]_b$. Then $s_b(N_k) = 4$ and $N_k$ is not divisible by 2 because it is odd.

If $b$ is odd and $b$ congruent to 0 or 2 modulo 3, consider the numbers

$$N_k = (1(0)^k1(0)^k1)_b^2 = [1(0)^k2(0)^k3(0)^k2(0)^k1]_{b,k} + 1 \text{ odd.}$$

Then $s_b(N_k) = 9$ and $N_k$ is not divisible by 3 because $[1(0)^k1(0)^k1]_b$ is not divisible by 3. For the case, $b \geq 11$ congruent to 1 modulo 3, consider the numbers

$$N_k = ([2(0)^k1(0)^k2]_b)^2 = [4(0)^k3(0)^k10(0)^k3(0)^k4]_{b,k} + 1.$$

Then $s_b(N_k) = 24$ and $N_k$ is not divisible by 3 because $[2(0)^k1(0)^k2]_b$ is not divisible by 3. If $b \leq 11$, then $b \in \{9,7,5,3\}$ and these cases are covered above.
8 Proof of Theorem 36

Let $N \geq 1$ be a $b$-wARH number with extra term $A = 0$ and $k$ digits. Then $N$ is also a $b$-ARH number with additive multiplier $M = 1$. It follows from [6, Theorem 35] that $k \leq 2$ if $b \geq 4$ and $k \leq 3$ if $b = 2$ or $b = 3$. If $k = 1$ and $N > 0$, then $s_b(N) + s_b(N)^R > N$, so we can assume $k \geq 2$. If $k = 2$, then $N = [\alpha \beta]_b$ with $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq b - 1$. If $\alpha + \beta < b$, then the equation $s_b(N) + s_b(N)^R = N$ gives $\alpha(b - 2) = \beta \leq b - 1$, which implies $\alpha \leq 2$. If $\alpha = 0$, then $\beta = 0$, so $N = 0$. If $\alpha = 1$, then $\beta = b - 2$ and $N = [1(b - 2)]_2$. If $\alpha = 2$ then $b = 3$ and $\beta = 2$, so $N = [22]_3$. Assume now $\alpha + \beta \geq b$. Then $\alpha b + \beta = 2(1 + \alpha + \beta - b)$ which implies $2(b - 2) \leq 2 + \beta - b \leq 1$. So $\alpha = 1$ and $b = 2$, which implies $\beta = 1$. So $N = [11]_2$. The remaining cases with $k = 3$ and $a = 2, a = 3$ are finite in number and do not give any other $b$-wARH number.

9 Proof of Theorem 38

The case $A = 0$ is covered by Theorem 36. Assume that $N$ is a $b$-wARH number with $k \geq 2$ digits and additive extra term $A \geq 1$. One has that:

$$b^{k-1} \leq N = (s_b(N) + A) + (s_b(N) + A)^R \leq (b + 1) ((b - 1)k + A). \quad (14)$$

We show by induction on $k$ that:

$$(b + 1) ((b - 1)k + A) < b^{k-1}, \text{ for } k \geq A + 5, b \geq 2, A \geq 1. \quad (15)$$

As (14) and (15) are contradictory, this finishes the proof of the theorem.

For $k = A + 5$, (15) gives that:

$$(b + 1) ((b - 1)(A + 5) + A) < b^{A+4}, b \geq 2, A \geq 1, \quad (16)$$

which we prove by induction on $A$. If $A = 1$, (16) gives that $(b + 1) (6(b - 1) + 1) < b^5$, which is true for $b \geq 2$.

We show the induction step in (16). From the induction hypothesis one has that:

$$b^{A+5} = b^{A+4} b \geq b(b + 1) ((b - 1)(A + 5) + A).$$

One still needs to show that

$$b(b + 1) ((b - 1)(A + 5) + A) \geq (b + 1) ((b - 1)(A + 6) + A + 1).$$

The last inequality follows from $b(A + 5) \geq A + 6$ and $bA \geq A + 1$.

We show the induction step in (15). From the induction hypothesis one has that:

$$b^{k} = b^{k-1} b \geq b(b + 1) ((b - 1)k + A).$$
One still needs to show that
\[ b(b+1)((b-1)k + A) \geq (b+1)((b-1)(k+1) + A). \]

Last inequality is equivalent to
\[ b(b-1)k + bA \geq (b-1)(k+1) + A, \]
which follows due to \( bk \geq k + 1 \) and \( b \geq 1 \).

10 Proof of Theorem 40

Proof. Assume that \( N \) is a \( b \)-wARH number with \( k \geq 2 \) digits and additive extra term \( A \geq 1 \). One has (14). We show by induction on \( k \) that
\[ b^{k-1} > (b+1)((b-1)k + A), A \geq b^3, k \geq 2[\log_b A], b \geq 2, \tag{17} \]
which is in contradiction to (14) and finishes the proof of the theorem.

In order to prove (17) for \( k = 2[\log_b A] \) it is enough to show that
\[ b^{2[\log_b A]} > (b^2 - 1)(2[\log_b A] + 1) + (b - 1)A, b \geq 2, A \geq b^3, \tag{18} \]
which we will prove by induction on \( A \). If \( A = b^3 \), then (18) becomes \( b^6 > (b^2 - 1) \cdot 7 + (b - 1)b^3 \), which is true for \( b \geq 2 \). We now the induction step in (18). From induction hypothesis follows that
\[ (A + 1)^2 = a^2 + 2A + 1 > (b^2 - 1)(\log_b A^2 + 1) + (b - 1)A + 2A + 1. \]

One still needs to check that:
\[ (b^2 - 1)(\log_b A^2 + 1) + (b - 1)A + 2A + 1 \geq (b^2 - 1)(\log_b(A + 1)^2 + 1) + (b - 1)(A + 1). \]

Last equation is equivalent to \( (b^2 - 1)\log_b \left( \frac{A}{A+1} \right) + 2A + 1 > b - 1 \), which is clearly true if \( A \geq b^3 \).

It remains to show the induction step in (17). From induction hypothesis follows that
\[ b^k = b \cdot b^{k-1} > (b+1)((b-1)k + A). \]

One still needs to show
\[ (b+1)((b-1)k + A) \geq (b+1)((b-1)(k+1) + A). \]

Last equation is equivalent to \( (b-1)^2k + (b-1)A \geq b - 1 \), which is clearly true for \( A \geq 1, b \geq 2 \). \( \square \)
11 Proof of Theorem 41

Proof. Assume that $N$ is a $b$-wMRH number with $k \geq 2$ digits and additive extra term $A \geq 1$. One has that:

$$b^{k-1} \leq N = (s_b(N) + A) \cdot (s_b(N) + A)^R \leq b ((b - 1)k + A)^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

In order to prove the theorem for $b \geq 6$, one shows by induction on $k$ that:

$$b ((b - 1)k + A)^2 < b^{k-1}, \text{ if } k \geq A + 5, A \geq 1, b \geq 6.$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

If $k = A + 5$ (20) becomes

$$b ((b - 1)(A + 5) + A)^2 < b^{A+4}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

We prove (21) by induction on $A \geq 1$. If $A = 1$, (21) becomes $b ((b - 1)6 + 1)^2 < b^5$, which is true for $b \geq 6$. We show the induction step in (21). It follows from the induction hypothesis that

$$b^{A+5} = b \cdot b^{A+4} > b^2 ((b - 1)(A + 5) + A)^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

One still needs to check that

$$b^2 ((b - 1)(A + 5) + A)^2 \geq b(b - 1)(A + 6) + A + 1)^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

Last equation is equivalent to

$$\sqrt{b(b - 1)(A + 5)} + \sqrt{bA} \geq (b - 1)(A + 6) + A + 1$$

which is clearly true if $b \geq 6$. We show the induction step in (20). It follows from the induction hypothesis that

$$b^k = b \cdot b^{k-1} > b^2 ((b - 1)k + A)^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

One still needs to check that

$$b^2 ((b - 1)k + A)^2 \geq b((b - 1)(k + 1) + A)^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

Last equation is equivalent to

$$\sqrt{b(b - 1)k} + \sqrt{bA} \geq (b - 1)(k + 1) + A,$$

which is clearly true if $b \geq 6$. Assume now $2 \leq b \leq 5$. One shows by induction on $k$ that:

$$b ((b - 1)k + A)^2 < b^{k-1}, \text{ if } k \geq A + 6, A \geq 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

This finishes the proof of the theorem if $2 \leq b \leq 5$. If $k = A + 6$ then (22) becomes the following equation which is proved by induction on $A \geq 1$:

$$b ((b - 1)(A + 6) + A) < 5^{A+5}, 2 \leq b \leq 5.$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)


12 Proof of Theorem 43

Proof. Assume that \( N \) is a \( b \)-wMRH number with \( k \geq 2 \) digits and additive extra term \( A \geq 1 \). One has (19). In order to finish the proof of the theorem in the case \( b \geq 3 \) one shows by induction on \( k \) that

\[
b^{k-1} > b(b-1)((b-1)k + A) \quad \text{for} \quad k \geq 3\lceil \log_b A \rceil + 1, \quad b \geq 3, \quad A \geq b^3. \quad (24)
\]

To prove (24) for \( k = 3\lceil \log_b A \rceil + 1 \) it is enough to show by induction on \( A \) that:

\[
b^{3\lceil \log_b A \rceil} > (b-1)((b-1)(3\log_b A + 1) + A), \quad b \geq 3, \quad A \geq b^2. \quad (25)
\]

If \( A = b^3 \), (24) becomes \( b^6 > (b-1)((b-1) \cdot 10 + b^3) \), which is true for \( b \geq 3 \).

We show the induction step in (25). It follows from the induction hypothesis that

\[
b^{3\lceil \log_b (A+1) \rceil-3} > (A+1)^3 \cdot (b-1)((b-1)(3\log_b A + 1) + A) \cdot (A+1). \]

One still needs to show

\[
(A+1)^3 \cdot (b-1)((b-1)(3\log_b A + 1) + A) \geq (b-1)((b-1)(3\log_b (A+1) + 1) + (A+1)).
\]

The last inequality follows due to the following inequalities which are true for \( A \geq b^2, b \geq 3 \):

\[
(A+1)^3 \cdot (b-1)((b-1)(3\log_b A + 1) > (b-1)^2(3\log_b (A+1) + 1),
\]

\[
(A+1)^3 \cdot A > A+1.
\]

We show the induction step in (24). It follows from the induction hypothesis that

\[
b^k = b \cdot b^{k-1} > b(b-1)((b-1)k + A). \]

One still needs to show

\[
b(b-1)((b-1)k + A) \geq (b-1)((b-1)(k + 1) + A). \]

Last inequality follows from the following inequalities which are obvious for \( b \geq 2 \):

\[
b(b-1)k \geq (b-1)(k + 1), \quad bA \geq A.
\]

If \( b = 2 \) one shows by induction on \( k \) that:

\[
2^{k-1} > 2(k + A), \quad \text{for} \quad k \geq 3\lceil \log_2 A \rceil, \quad A \geq 4, \quad (26)
\]
which is contradictory to (19) and ends the proof of the theorem.

In order to prove (26) for \( k = 3\lfloor \log_2 A \rfloor \), it is enough to show by induction on \( A \) that:

\[
2^{3\log_2 A - 1} \geq 2(3 \log_2 A + 4), \quad A \geq 4. \tag{27}
\]

If \( A = 4 \), (27) becomes \( 2^5 \geq 12 \), which is true. We show the induction step in (27). It follows from the induction hypothesis that:

\[
2^{3\log_2 (A+1) - 1} = \left( \frac{A+1}{A} \right)^3 \cdot 2^{3\log_2 A - 1} \geq \left( \frac{A+1}{A} \right)^3 \cdot 2(3 \log_2 A + 4).
\]

One still needs to show that

\[
\left( \frac{A+1}{A} \right)^3 \cdot 2(3 \log_2 A + 4) \geq 2(3 \log_2 (A+1) + 4).
\]

The last inequality is true for \( A \geq 4 \) due to \( A^4 \geq A + 1 \).

\[
\square
\]

13 Examples of \( \text{wARH} \) numbers

We list in Table 1 small \( \text{wARH} \) numbers \( N \) and one of their extra terms \( A \). We did not find any number that is not an additive extra term. This suggests that the answer to Question 34 is negative. We conjecture that all integers are additive extra terms. We observe from Table 1 that certain extra terms, for example 2, have associated several \( \text{wARH} \) numbers, respectively 210, 55. The last observation motivates the following definition and questions.

**Definition 44.** If \( A \) is an additive extra term in a base \( b \), let the **multiplicity** of \( A \) be the cardinality of the corresponding set of \( bw-\text{ARH} \) numbers.

**Question 45.** If we fix the multiplicity and the base, is the set of additive extra terms infinite?

**Question 46.** If we fix the base, is the multiplicity of additive extra terms bounded?

14 Examples of \( \text{wMRH} \) numbers

We list in Table 2 small \( \text{wMRH} \) numbers \( N \) and all their extra terms \( A \). Theorem 41 shows that a \( \text{wMRH} \) number with multiplier 2 has at most 7 digits. A computer search through all integers with at most 7 digits shows that 2 is not a multiplicative extra term. This motivates Question 35.

We observe from Table 2 that certain \( \text{wMRH} \) numbers, for example, 252, 403, and 736, have several extra terms (respectively \( \{3, 12\}, \{6, 24\}, \{7, 16\}\)). This suggests a positive
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>827</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>1251</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>1656</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>2662</td>
<td>1045</td>
<td>5005</td>
<td>994</td>
<td>7546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>828</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1252</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>1661</td>
<td>1046</td>
<td>2761</td>
<td>1774</td>
<td>5104</td>
<td>1183</td>
<td>7557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>847</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>1271</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>1675</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>2772</td>
<td>1053</td>
<td>5115</td>
<td>1002</td>
<td>7656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>848</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>1272</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>1676</td>
<td>719</td>
<td>2871</td>
<td>1872</td>
<td>5214</td>
<td>1281</td>
<td>7766</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: All 365 wARH numbers less than 10000 and one of their extra term.
Table 2: All 77 wMRH numbers less than 10000 with all their multiplicitive extra terms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1612</td>
<td>16, 52</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1729</td>
<td>0, 63</td>
<td>3627</td>
<td>21, 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>7, 16</td>
<td>1855</td>
<td>16, 34</td>
<td>3640</td>
<td>43, 52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1936</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>1944</td>
<td>9, 54</td>
<td>4030</td>
<td>123, 303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>2268</td>
<td>18, 45</td>
<td>4032</td>
<td>39, 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>976</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2296</td>
<td>9, 63</td>
<td>4275</td>
<td>39, 57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>999</td>
<td>2430</td>
<td>36, 45</td>
<td>4356</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1008</td>
<td>15, 33</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>4606</td>
<td>23, 78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1089</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2520</td>
<td>11, 201</td>
<td>4840</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>3, 12</td>
<td>1207</td>
<td>7, 61</td>
<td>2686</td>
<td>7, 70</td>
<td>4900</td>
<td>687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1210</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>2701</td>
<td>27, 63</td>
<td>4930</td>
<td>42, 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>21, 48</td>
<td>2944</td>
<td>27, 45</td>
<td>5092</td>
<td>51, 160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>403</td>
<td>6, 24</td>
<td>1458</td>
<td>0, 63</td>
<td>3025</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5605</td>
<td>43, 79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>484</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1462</td>
<td>21, 30</td>
<td>3154</td>
<td>25, 70</td>
<td>5740</td>
<td>124, 94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>490</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>3478</td>
<td>25, 52</td>
<td>5848</td>
<td>43, 61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We also observe from Table 1 that certain extra terms, for example 7, have associated several wMRH numbers, respectively 121, 736, 1207, 2668. The last observation motivates the following definition and questions.

**Definition 47.** If $A$ is a multiplicitive extra term in base $b$, let the *multiplicity* of $A$ be the cardinality of the corresponding set of $b$-wMRH numbers.

**Question 48.** If we fix the multiplicity and the base, is the set of multiplicitive extra terms infinite?

**Question 49.** If we fix the base, is the multiplicity of multiplicitive extra terms bounded?

**15 Conclusion**

In this paper we introduce two new classes of integers. The first class consists of all numbers $N$ for which there exists at least one nonnegative integer $A$, such that the sum of $A$ and the sum of digits of $N$, added to the reversal of the sum, gives $N$. The second class consists of all numbers $N$ for which there exists at least one nonnegative integer $A$, such that the sum of $A$ and the sum of the digits of $N$, multiplied by the reversal of the sum, gives $N$. All palindromes that either have an even number of digits or an odd number of digits and the middle digit even belong to the first class, and all squares of palindromes with at least two digits belong to the second class. These classes contain and are strictly larger than the classes of $b$-ARH numbers, respectively $b$-MRH numbers introduced in Nițică [6]. We show
many examples of such numbers and ask several questions that may lead to future research. In particular, we try to clarify some of the relationships between these classes of numbers and the well studied class of $b$-Niven numbers. Most of our results are true in a general numeration base.
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