Coherent population oscillations and an effective spin-exchange interaction in a \( \mathcal{PT} \) symmetric polariton mixture
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We study a two-species mixture of exciton-polaritons with self- and cross-interaction nonlinearities in a double well structure, in the presence of relaxation and continuous pumping. We identify the conditions that render the system parity-time (\( \mathcal{PT} \)) symmetric, and investigate its dynamic and static properties. We show that the system can exhibit long-term coherent oscillations of populations of the two polaritonic components between the two potential wells, and can simulate the dynamics of a pair of spin-1/2 particles (qubits) in the presence of exchange interaction.

I. INTRODUCTION

Exciton-polaritons are elementary excitations of semiconductor microcavities and constitute hybrid quasi-particles of strongly coupled light (cavity photons) and matter (quantum well excitons) excitations \([1, 2]\), retaining the properties of both constituents. The excitons instill effective interactions inducing polariton nonlinearities, whereas the small effective mass of photons enables Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) of polaritons at high temperatures \([3, 4]\). First experimental observations of exciton-polariton BECs have been reported more than a decade ago \([5, 6]\). Since then, the remarkable properties of the exciton-polariton systems, combined with their high condensation temperatures \([7]\), have motivated much of the research activity in this field. BECs of exciton polaritons have been studied in various geometries, e.g., parabolic traps \([8, 9]\), double-well potentials \([10, 11]\), triple wells \([12]\), and 1D and 2D polariton lattices \([13, 16]\). In contrast to relatively stable atomic BECs, the polariton BECs are open quantum systems; they are inherently strongly dissipative and require continuous pumping, e.g., by external laser fields \([17]\).

The dynamics of dissipative systems can be rendered pseudo-Hermitian in the parity-time (\( \mathcal{PT} \)) symmetric setup \([18, 20]\), where the gain and loss are exactly balanced in a complex potential with the reflection symmetric real part (energy) and reflection antisymmetric imaginary part (gain/loss). Systems with \( \mathcal{PT} \)-symmetry have recently attracted much interest in various branches of physics, extending from quantum mechanics \([21]\) and field theory \([22]\) to optics \([23, 25]\) and acoustics \([26, 27]\). Since the experimental realization of the spontaneous \( \mathcal{PT} \) symmetry breaking \([28, 29]\), there has been an enormous progress in the field and a multitude of interesting phenomena have been observed, such as, e.g., double refraction \([30]\), power oscillations \([28]\) and non-reciprocal diffraction \([31]\). The presence of nonlinearity renders \( \mathcal{PT} \) symmetric systems even more remarkable, permitting, for example, unidirectional \([32]\) and asymmetric \([33, 34]\) wave propagation in discrete and continuous structures.

Despite the plethora of different settings, the study of \( \mathcal{PT} \) symmetry in polariton structures is still in its infancy and most works address this issue in the single species context \([35, 38]\). A natural next step is to consider polariton mixtures \([41, 43]\) in a \( \mathcal{PT} \) symmetric setting where the losses of the polaritons – due to the semiconductor exciton recombination and photon escape for the micro-cavity – are compensated by gain from the external laser pumping of the exciton population. Here we study a two-species polariton mixture with self- and cross-interaction nonlinearities in a double well structure. We identify the necessary condition imposed on the pumping rate of the reservoirs and their losses to render the polariton mixture \( \mathcal{PT} \) symmetric. We construct the corresponding model, analyze its static and dynamic properties, and show that nearly perfect Rabi-like oscillations of the two polariton components between the two wells can be observed in this system in the presence of moderate self- and cross-interactions. This system can then formally be mapped onto a quantum system of two qubits, or spin-1/2 particles, coupled via exchange (XY) interaction. We calculate the fidelity of an effective SWAP gate and quantum state transfer that the system can simulate, despite being an essentially classical system of coupled BECs. Our results can thus have interesting implications for quantum simulations with polariton lattices.

II. THE EXCITON-POLARITON SYSTEM

We consider a mixture of two species of semiconductor cavity exciton polaritons in a double-well potential, as shown schematically in Fig. 1. Each of the species (+) or (−) represents a polariton composed of a circularly polarized \( \sigma_+ \) or \( \sigma_- \) cavity photon coupled to a semiconductor exciton transition with the corresponding change of the magnetic quantum number \( \Delta m = +1 \) or \( −1 \). Starting with the mean-field Gross-Pitaevskii equation for the exciton-polariton BEC in a tight-binding double-well potential, we follow the standard procedure \([44]\) to derive...
FIG. 1. Schematic representation of a coupled double well potential with a binary mixture of exciton-polaritons $\psi_{L,R}^\pm$.

Four exciton reservoirs $n_{L,R}^\pm$ replenish each polariton species $(\pm)$ in each well $(L)$, $(R)$, respectively. By balancing the polariton loss $\kappa_{L,R}^\pm$ and gain $Q_{L,R}^\pm$ via appropriate reservoir pumping $P_{L,R}^\pm$, we can render the system $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetric.

The complex wavefunctions can be written as $\psi_{L,R}^\pm(t)$ between the two wells, assumed the same for both species.

The dynamics of the system is governed by the equations

$$i\hbar \psi_{L}^\pm = \varepsilon_{L}^\pm \psi_{L}^\pm + g_e |\psi_{L}^\pm|^2 \psi_{R}^\pm + g_e |\psi_{L}^\mp|^2 \psi_{R}^\pm - J \psi_{R}^\pm,$$

$$+ i\frac{\hbar}{2} Q_{L}^\pm (n_{L}^\pm) - \kappa_{L}^\pm |\psi_{L}^\pm|, \quad (1a)$$

$$i\hbar \psi_{R}^\pm = \varepsilon_{R}^\pm \psi_{R}^\pm + g_e |\psi_{R}^\pm|^2 \psi_{L}^\pm + g_e |\psi_{R}^\mp|^2 \psi_{L}^\pm - J \psi_{L}^\pm,$$

$$+ i\frac{\hbar}{2} Q_{R}^\pm (n_{R}^\pm) - \kappa_{R}^\pm |\psi_{R}^\pm|, \quad (1b)$$

Here $\varepsilon_{L,R}^\pm$ are the single-particle energies in each well, $g_e$ and $g_e$ are the self- and cross-interaction strengths of the polaritons, and $J$ is the Josephson tunnel-coupling between the two wells, assumed the same for both species. The complex wavefunctions can be written as $\psi_{L,R}^\pm = \sqrt{N_{L,R}^\pm} e^{i\phi_{L,R}^\pm}$, where $N_{L,R}^\pm \equiv |\psi_{L,R}^\pm|^2$ are the polariton populations and $Q_{L,R}^\pm$ are the phases. In contrast to an atomic BEC [44], the polariton BEC is a non-conservative system, with the decay $\kappa_{L,R}^\pm$ due the exciton recombination losses and cavity photon losses. The polariton population is continuously replenished via coupling to exciton reservoirs with rates $Q_{L,R}^\pm (n_{L,R}^\pm)$ [39]. In turn, the exciton populations in each reservoir $n_{L,R}^\pm$ obey the equations

$$\dot{n}_{L}^\pm = P_{L}^\pm - \Gamma_{L} n_{L}^\pm - Q_{L}^\pm (n_{L}^\pm) N_{L}^\pm,$$

$$\dot{n}_{R}^\pm = P_{R}^\pm - \Gamma_{R} n_{R}^\pm - Q_{R}^\pm (n_{R}^\pm) N_{R}^\pm, \quad (2a)$$

where $P_{L,R}^\pm$ are the rates of exciton creation, usually induced by a suitable laser pumping [17], $\Gamma_{L,R}^\pm$ are the decay rates of the excitons in the corresponding reservoir, and $Q_{L,R}^\pm (n_{L,R}^\pm)$ are the rates of stimulated scattering of the reservoir excitons into the condensate of $N_{L,R}^\pm$ polaritons, see Fig. 1. For simplicity, the scattering rate can be approximated by a linear function of the reservoir exciton population, $Q_{L,R}^\pm (n_{L,R}^\pm) \approx q_{L,R} n_{L,R}^\pm$ [39].

The model can be further simplified if we assume sufficiently large reservoirs such that the exciton populations remain nearly constant in time, $\dot{n}_{L,R}^\pm \approx 0$, obtaining $n_{L,R}^\pm \approx \frac{P_{L,R}^\pm}{\Gamma_{L,R}^\pm + q_{L,R} N_{L,R}^\pm}$. Assuming also that $q_{L,R} N_{L,R}^\pm \ll \Gamma_{L,R}^\pm$, i.e. the reservoirs are only weakly depleted by the coupling to the polariton condensates, as compared to their strong pumping and decay, we have $n_{L,R}^\pm \approx \frac{P_{L,R}^\pm}{\Gamma_{L,R}^\pm}$. Upon substitution into Eqs. (1), the polariton gain/loss coefficients become $2\gamma_{L,R}^\pm = [q_{L,R}^2 P_{L,R}^\pm / \Gamma_{L,R}^\pm - \kappa_{L,R}^\pm]^2$.

A one-dimensional system, to be invariant under $\mathcal{PT}$ transformation [18][20], should be confined in a complex potential which has reflection symmetric and reflection antisymmetric real and imaginary parts, respectively. For the coupled-mode equations of the polariton system considered here, these requirements translate to the conditions

$$\varepsilon_{L}^\pm = \varepsilon_{R}^\pm, \quad (3a)$$

$$\gamma_{L}^\pm = -\gamma_{R}^\pm. \quad (3b)$$

We assume that the system is initially prepared with equal number of particles in each well, $N_{L}^+ + N_{L}^- = N_{R}^+ + N_{R}^- = N$. We then switch on the tunnel coupling $J$ and increase the reservoir pumping rate near the left well and reduce it near the right, in order to balance gain and loss according to the $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry condition (3b). The dynamics of the system is governed by the equations

$$i\hbar \psi_{L}^\pm = g_r |\psi_{R}^\pm|^2 \psi_{L}^\pm + g_e |\psi_{L}^\pm|^2 \psi_{R}^\pm - J \psi_{R}^\pm + i\gamma \psi_{L}^\pm, \quad (4a)$$

$$i\hbar \psi_{R}^\pm = g_r |\psi_{L}^\pm|^2 \psi_{R}^\pm + g_e |\psi_{R}^\pm|^2 \psi_{L}^\pm - J \psi_{L}^\pm - i\gamma \psi_{R}^\pm, \quad (4b)$$

where we set $\hbar = 1$ and the zero-point energies $\varepsilon_{L}^\pm = \varepsilon_{R}^\pm = 0$, and assumed the balanced gain/loss coefficients equal for both species, $\gamma = \gamma_{L} = -\gamma_{R}$.}

### III. $\mathcal{PT}$ Symmetry Breaking and Fixed Points

Let us first review the properties of a linear system with $g_r = g_e = 0$ [28][29]. The $(\pm)$ and $(\mp)$ polaritons decouple from each other and their equations become equivalent. The $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetric and broken phases can be determined from the eigenvalues of the corresponding Hamiltonian matrix: $\Lambda_{\pm} = \pm \sqrt{J^2 - \gamma^2}$. For $\gamma \lesssim J$, we have the $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetric phase and the system follows...
pseudo-Hermitian dynamics, with each polariton component exhibiting Rabi-like oscillations of population between the two wells with frequency \( \Omega = (\Lambda_+ - \Lambda_-)/2 = \sqrt{J^2 - \gamma^2} \). When \( \gamma > J \), the eigenvalues \( \lambda_{\pm} \) become imaginary, the \( \mathcal{PT} \) symmetry breaks, and the population of the particles in both wells will diverge exponentially.

In the presence of interactions, \( g_s, g_c \neq 0 \), the \( \mathcal{PT} \) phase diagram cannot be determined from the eigenvalues of non-linear Hamiltonian, but the relevant information can be extracted from the fixed points and their stability properties. Assuming \( N_L^+ + N_R^+ = N_L^- + N_R^- = N \), we can recast Eqs. (1) in terms of the polariton population imbalances \( z^\pm \) and phase differences \( \Phi^\pm = \phi^+_R - \phi^-_L \) as

\[
\dot{z}^\pm = -2\sqrt{1 - (z^\pm)^2} \sin \Phi^\pm + \frac{2g^\pm}{J}, \quad (5a)
\]

\[
\dot{\Phi}^\pm = \frac{g_s^+}{J} z^+ + \frac{g_c^+}{J} z^+ + 2 \frac{z^\pm}{\sqrt{1 - (z^\pm)^2}} \cos \Phi^\pm, \quad (5b)
\]

where we use the dimensionless time \( \tau = \tau J \). The fixed points correspond to the static solutions of these equations, \( \dot{z}^\pm = \dot{\Phi}^\pm = 0 \). By linearizing the above equations in the vicinity of a fixed point, we find the stability eigenvalues \( \lambda_i \) which determine whether the fixed point is (i) stable if all eigenvalues have negative real parts, (ii) unstable if one or more eigenvalues have positive real part, and (iii) elliptic if all eigenvalues are imaginary. The dependence of the stability eigenvalues on \( \gamma \) thus serves as the phase diagram of the nonlinear polariton system, since it shows when the system diverges and when it follows Hermitian-like dynamics.

A fixed point of the system corresponds to the trivial solution

\[
z_1^\pm = 0, \quad \Phi_1^\pm = \pm \arcsin \left( \frac{\gamma}{J} \right), \quad (6)
\]

with equal population of the (+) and (−) polaritons in each well. The analytic expression for the four stability eigenvalues is

\[
\lambda_i = \pm \sqrt{-4(J^2 - \gamma^2) - 2(g_s^\pm + g_c^\pm) \sqrt{(J^2 - \gamma^2)}}. \quad (7)
\]

In Fig. 2 we show the real parts of the stability eigenvalues \( \lambda_i \) as a function of \( \gamma \), for \( g_s N = 1, g_c N = 0.9 \) and \( J = 1 \).

\[\text{FIG. 2. Real part of the stability eigenvalues } \lambda_i \text{ of Eq. (7) around the fixed point (6) as a function of } \gamma, \text{ for } g_s N = 1, g_c N = 0.9 \text{ and } J = 1.\]

In the vicinity of a fixed point (6), we can recast Eqs. (4) in terms of the polariton populations \( z^\pm \) and phase imbalances \( \Phi^\pm \). We observe that the population of each species exhibits Rabi-like oscillations between the two wells with frequency \( J \).

IV. DYNAMICS OF THE SYSTEM

Before we examine the dynamics of our two-component \( \mathcal{PT} \) symmetric system, it is instructive to consider its Hermitian version with \( \gamma = 0 \). We assume an initial configuration with all (+) particles in the left well and all (−) particles in the right. Figure 3(a) illustrates the corresponding dynamics of population imbalances \( z^\pm = (N_L^\pm - N_R^\pm)/N \). We observe that the population of each species exhibits Rabi-like oscillations between the two wells with frequency \( J \).

The exciton polariton system is inherently dissipative, which necessitates continuous pumping of polaritons from the exciton reservoirs. In Fig. 3(b) we illustrate the dynamics of the system with the net loss from the right well, \( \gamma_R = -0.2 \), being larger than the net gain on the left well, \( \gamma_L = 0.1 \). As expected, the polariton populations decay to zero with rate \( \gamma_L + \gamma_R \), as do the population imbalances \( z^\pm \) normalized to the initial particle number \( N \). In the opposite case of gain being larger than loss, the polariton populations would diverge.

The Hermitian-like dynamics can be achieved with balanced loss and gain, \( \gamma_L = -\gamma_R = \gamma \), as per Eq. (5) corresponding to the \( \mathcal{PT} \) symmetric system. Note that the Hermitian system with \( \gamma_L = 0 \) can be considered as a special case of the \( \mathcal{PT} \) symmetric system with zero imaginary part of the potential. In Fig. 3(c) and (d) we illustrate the dynamics of the interacting system. For moderate interaction strengths \( g_s < g_c \), the dynamics is nearly indistinguishable from that of the Hermitian system (see Fig. 3(d)). But in the case of \( g_s \geq g_c \), the dynamics is characterized by slow modulation of the amplitude of the Rabi-like oscillations between the two wells (see Fig. 3(c)). These modulations are not sinusoidal,
exhibiting plateaus of maximal amplitude, followed by rapid collapse and revival of the oscillations. In the case of \( g_\ell = g_\ell \), the modulation amplitude becomes harmonic, with the period well approximated by \( T = 2\pi/\gamma \).

V. SIMULATING TWO-QUBIT SWAP GATE

The two-species polariton system in a \( \mathcal{PT} \) symmetric double well can be formally mapped onto a system of two qubits, or spin-1/2 particles, coupled via exchange interaction. This is an interesting analogy as it links an essentially classical system described by coupled-mode equations to a quantum system of coupled spins.

The complete basis for a system of two qubits (spins) consists of the four states \( \{ |↓↓\rangle, |↓↑\rangle, |↑↓\rangle, |↑↑\rangle \} \), and an arbitrary two-qubit state \( |\Psi\rangle \) can be expanded as

\[
|\Psi\rangle = C_{↓↓}|↓↓\rangle + C_{↓↑}|↓↑\rangle + C_{↑↓}|↑↓\rangle + C_{↑↑}|↑↑\rangle. \tag{8}
\]

We may associate the (+) component of the polariton in each well \( \psi_{L,R}^+ \) with the amplitude of the \( |\uparrow\rangle \) state of the spin and the (−) component \( \psi_{L,R}^- \) with the amplitude of the \( |\downarrow\rangle \) state of the spin. Then, the superposition amplitudes in Eq. (8) are given by

\[
C_{↓↓} = c_L c_R, \quad C_{↓↑} = c_L^* c_R^-, \quad C_{↑↓} = c_L^* c_R^+, \quad C_{↑↑} = c_L^+ c_R^+.
\]

Similarly for \( c_{L,R}^\pm \equiv \sqrt{\psi_{L,R}^+/|\psi_{L,R}^+|^2 + |\psi_{L,R}^-|^2} \).

Our aim is to realize the swap gate between the two spins representing qubits. Consider the four input states

|\( \downarrow\downarrow \)\rangle, |\( \downarrow\uparrow \)\rangle, |\( \uparrow\downarrow \)\rangle, |\( \uparrow\uparrow \)\rangle, \]

which can cast as vectors

\[
\begin{align*}
|u_{↓↓}\rangle &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, &
|u_{↓↑}\rangle &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, &
|u_{↑↓}\rangle &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, &
|u_{↑↑}\rangle &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.
\end{align*} \tag{9}
\]

In the simplest, non-interacting and Hermitian case, \( g_\ell = g_\ell = \gamma = 0 \), the dynamics of the system is analytically solvable and for each input state the time-dependent amplitudes of the output state \( |\Psi\rangle \) are given in Table I. We observe periodic oscillations of the excitations between the two spins, or qubits, with frequency \( J \). Choosing for the interaction time \( t \) half the period of the oscillations, \( tJ = \pi/2 \), yields the transformation

\[
U_{\text{SWAP}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{10}
\]

which is precisely the swap gate (with an overall minus sign). For comparison, we recall the dynamics of the coupled spin system governed by the spin-exchange (XY) Hamiltonian \( \hat{H} = -J \hat{\sigma}_x \hat{\sigma}_y + H. \), with \( \hat{\sigma}_x^+ = |\uparrow\rangle \langle \downarrow| \) and \( \hat{\sigma}_x^- = |\downarrow\rangle \langle \uparrow| \), for which the initial states \( |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle \) and \( |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \) remain unchanged, while the other two basis states evolve as

\[
|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle \rightarrow \cos(Jt)|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle + i \sin(Jt)|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, \\
|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle \rightarrow \cos(Jt)|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + i \sin(Jt)|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle.
\]

The precise dynamics of quantum spin system differs from that in Table I, but at time \( tJ = \pi \) we obtain the equivalent iSWAP gate

\[
U_{i\text{SWAP}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{11}
\]

Consider now the dynamics of the interacting, non-Hermitian \( \mathcal{PT} \) symmetric system. For each input state \( u_i \) at \( t = 0 \), the output state \( |\Psi_{o,i}\rangle \) at time \( t > 0 \) is

\[
|\Psi_{o,i}\rangle = C_{↓↓}^{(i)}|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle + C_{↓↑}^{(i)}|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle + C_{↑↓}^{(i)}|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + C_{↑↑}^{(i)}|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle. \tag{12}
\]
We can construct the transformation matrix $U$ as follows: For each input state $u_i$, the amplitudes of the output state $C_{o}^{(i)}$ (i, o $\in \{\downarrow\downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\uparrow, \uparrow\uparrow\}$) constitute the $i$th column of $U$. The complete matrix is then obtained by evaluating the dynamics of the system for the four input basis states.

We characterize gate transformation using the fidelity \[ F = \frac{1}{n(n+1)} \left[ \text{Tr}(MM^\dagger) + \left| \text{Tr}(M) \right|^2 \right], \tag{13} \] where $n = 4$ is the size of the Hilbert space (matrix dimension), and $M = U_0 U$ with $U_0$ the unitary transformation corresponding to the desired quantum gate, e.g., $U_{\text{SWAP}}$, and $U$ is the actual transformation that we obtain from our simulations. In other words, for any initial state $|\Psi\rangle$, the desired final state is $U_0 |\Psi\rangle$ while the actual state is $U |\Psi\rangle$, and Eq. \[ \text{(13)} \] gives the fidelity of the transformation averaged over all the input states.

The linear $\mathcal{PT}$ case, $g_s = g_c = 0$, is analytically solvable, and at half-period $tJ = \frac{\pi}{2}$ we obtain the fidelity $F = 0.992$ for $\gamma/J = 0.1$. The small reduction of fidelity is due to incomplete population transfer, $\min_j |C_{i\uparrow\downarrow}| \simeq \frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma^2 - \gamma_s^2}$, and a slight shift (stretching) of the oscillation frequency by $\gamma$, i.e., the effective Rabi frequency is $\sqrt{J^2 - \gamma^2} \simeq J(1 - \gamma^2/2J^2)$. For larger $\gamma/J = 0.3$, the fidelity at $tJ = \frac{\pi}{2}$ is reduced to $F = 0.935$, which can be improved somewhat by choosing slightly delayed $\text{SWAP}$ time $tJ = \frac{3\pi}{4}$.

We next consider the interacting system with equal self and cross-interaction strengths $g_s = g_c = 1$ and $\gamma/J = 0.1$. This system is not analytically solvable and we numerically calculate the time-dependent amplitudes $C_{o}^{(i)}$. We obtain fidelity $F = 0.991$ of the $\text{SWAP}$ gate at time $tJ = \frac{\pi}{2}$. Further increasing the loss/gain rate decreases the fidelity, e.g., for $\gamma/J = 0.3$ we obtain $F = 0.922$, and for $\gamma/J = 0.5$ we obtain $F = 0.799$, which can again be improved by using the optimal $\text{SWAP}$ times $t = \frac{n}{2\sqrt{J^2 - \gamma^2}}$.

Finally, we examine the general case of unequal self- and cross-interaction strengths. Figure 3 shows the evolution of the complex amplitudes $C_{o}^{(i)}$ for each input state $u_i$ for the system with $g_s = 1, g_c = 0.5$ and $\gamma/J = 0.1$. Each panel of the figure is a direct visualization of the corresponding time-dependent matrix element of transformation $U$, up to time $tJ = \pi$ of one complete period of population oscillation for the corresponding linear, Hermitian system. At half the period $tJ = \frac{\pi}{2}$, we obtain the $\text{SWAP}$ fidelity $F = 0.982$, which is only slightly lower than in the previous cases. With increasing the difference between $g_s$ and $g_c$ the fidelity decreases, albeit rather slowly. For instance, for $g_s = 1, g_c = 0.1$ the fidelity is $F = 0.963$ for $\gamma/J = 0.1$ and $F = 0.867$ for $\gamma/J = 0.3$.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

To summarize, we have considered a two-species polariton mixture with self- and cross-interaction nonlinearities in a $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetric double well structure and examined the static and dynamic properties of the system. We have shown that this essentially classical system described by the mean-field coupled-mode equations can nevertheless mimic quantum dynamics of exchange-coupled spins implementing quantum gates. Our work thus opens the prospects of analog simulations of interacting few- and many-body quantum systems with coupled exciton-polaritons in lattice potentials.
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