# BICATEGORIES OF FRACTIONS REVISITED: TOWARDS SMALL HOMS AND CANONICAL 2-CELLS 

DORETTE PRONK, LAURA SCULL


#### Abstract

This paper adresses two issues in dealing with bicategories of fractions. The first is to introduce a set of conditions on a class of arrows in a bicategory which is weaker than the one given in [5] but still allows a bicalculus of fractions. These conditions allow us to invert a smaller collection of arrows so that in some cases we may obtain a bicategory of fractions with small hom-categories. We adapt the construction of the bicategory of fractions to work with the weaker conditions. The second issue is the difficulty in dealing with 2 -cells, which are defined by equivalence classes. We discuss conditions under which there are canonical representatives for 2-cells, and how pasting of 2 -cells can be simplified in the presence of certain pseudo pullbacks. We also discuss how both of these improvements apply in the category of orbispaces.


## 1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to study some aspects of the structure of bicategories of fractions in more detail. We focus specifically on two goals. The first is to develop a weaker version of the calculus of fractions conditions of [5] that is still strong enough to allow us to create a bicategory of fractions where arrows are given by spans rather than zig-zags. (We will show that the conditions in [5] are not necessary in order to use fractions, although they make the construction slightly easier.) The second goal is to develop conditions under which we have canonical representatives for 2-cells, thus clarifying the structure of the category and its composition operations. Although this second goal could be considered independently from the first, we will in fact give our proofs in the context of the weaker conditions; since these imply the conditions of [5], our 2-cell results will apply in both contexts. For both of these goals, we will discuss how it applies to the example of orbispaces, defined as the bicategory of fractions of proper étale groupoids of suitable topological spaces with respect to the class of essential equivalences as in described in [4, 2].

For our first goal, we introduce a set of conditions on a class of arrows in a bicategory which is weaker than the one given in [5] but still allows us to form the localization as a bicalculus of fractions. One potential issue with localizations which are constructed as categories, or bicategories, of fractions is that the hom-sets, or hom-categories, may not be small, as there is no guarantee in general that the fractions with a given domain and

[^0]codomain form a set. To ensure that we do get a set in the classical bicategory of fractions construction, we need the class of arrows $\mathfrak{W J}$ to be inverted be small over each object; i.e., for any given object $C$ there is only a set of arrows in $\mathfrak{W}$ with codomain $C$. We may try to find a locally small subclass of the arrows to be inverted which generates the larger class in the sense that it induces an equivalent category (or bicategory) of fractions. This subclass may not satisfy all of the conditions for forming a (bi)category of fractions, so we consider whether any of the conditions can be weakened. When an arrow can be factored as a composite of arrows that are to be inverted, this arrow will receive an inverse in any localization that adds inverses for the arrows in the factorization. This observation leads us to consider the second condition of [5], the requirement that the class of arrows to be inverted is closed under composition, as an axiom that could potentially be weakened. We cannot completely omit it: some version of this axiom is needed to be able to define horizontal composition in the bicategory of fractions. However, we can replace it by the following condition:
[WB2] For each pair of composable arrows $B \xrightarrow{v} C \xrightarrow{w} D$ in $\mathfrak{W}$, there is an arrow $A \xrightarrow{u} B$ such that $A \xrightarrow{w v u} D$ is in $\mathfrak{W}$.

When a class of arrows satisfies this condition together with the other conditions for a bicalculus of fractions given in [5], it generates (through composition and closure under 2-isomorphisms) a larger class of arrows that satisfies all the bicalculus of fractions conditions. In this paper we will carefully consider all the conditions for the bicalculus of fractions and give more optimal versions of these conditions, and then provide an adjusted construction of the bicategory of fractions. This construction is still given with arrows that are single spans rather than zig-zags. This also provides us with a slightly weaker set of conditions for the classical construction of the category of fractions as given by Gabriel and Zisman in [3], spelled out in Corollary 4.13.

Our motivating example for this is the bicategory of orbispaces [10, 4, 2]. A priori, the hom-categories in this category are not small unless one requires all spaces to be second countable topological manifolds. We can work with a larger class of spaces, however, by observing that the class of essential equivalences has a subclass of essential covering maps that is small over each object, and satisfies the weakened conditions for a bicategory of fractions.

Related results and conditions have been presented in [9]. Roberts shows that for the case where $\mathfrak{W}$ is a singleton pretopology satisfying the WISC condition that each object have a set of covers that is weakly initial among all covers, the bicategory of fractions will be locally essentially small: each hom-category is equivalent to a small one. By weakening the conditions to obtain a right calculus of fractions we are able to restrict ourselves to only invert the sets of covers when constructing the localization and obtain a locally small bicategory of fractions.

A different construction, of so called faithful fractions, was introduced in [1]. The result of this construction has small hom-categories as well. Different additional conditions need
to be met to use this construction.
Another issue when working with a (bi)category of fractions is that the homs are defined by equivalence classes. For categories, arrows are given by equivalence classes; for bicategories the same is true for 2 -cells. This makes the hom-categories in the bicategory of fractions a priori very large and somewhat mysterious and hard to work with. Horizontal composition of 2-cells for instance is rather cumbersome to describe and calculate. Our second goal in this paper is to address this issue by providing conditions under which there are canonical representatives for 2-cells and under which the horizontal composition operation is significantly simplified. A partial simplification of the presentation of 2-cells was provided in the appendix of [12] under additional hypotheses, but this was not integrated with the operations of horizontal and vertical composition. In our motivating example of orbispaces, essential equivalences have several nice cancellation properties that allow for a simplification of the 2 -cell structure and allow us to use canonical representatives for 2-cells when this is convenient. These cancellation properties were identified as being (representably) fully faithful (ff) and co-ff in [1] and [7, 8] and used there to obtain related results about 2-cells in their representations of specific cases of 2-localizations.

In this work, we prove two types of results about the 2-cell structure: about the choice of representatives for 2-cells, and about conditions that allow us to simplify the pasting of 2-cells. Each representative diagram for a 2-cell in the bicategory of fractions, as in diagram (1) in Section 3, is given by two 2-cells in the original bicategory. The 'left-hand' 2 -cell $\alpha$ is invertible, and we think of this as the cell that allows the 'right-hand' 2 -cell $\beta$ to be defined. We focus on the role of the left-hand 2 -cell. Tommasini indirectly addresses the question of when a 2 -cell can be represented by a diagram with a given left-hand 2 -cell in [12]. In general this is not always possible, and moreover, two diagrams with the same left-hand 2-cell but different right-hand 2-cells may still represent the same 2-cell in the bicategory of fractions, so the universal homomorphism mapping a bicategory to its bicategory of fractions is in general neither 2-faithful nor 2-full. However, if the arrows to be inverted satisfy suitable subsets of the fully faithful or co fully faithful conditions, the situation simplifies and for each pair of spans we may choose any left-hand 2-cell and we show that each 2 -cell in the bicategory of fractions can then be uniquely represented by a diagram involving the given left-hand 2-cell.

Additionally, for the case when the bicategory has certain pseudo pullbacks, we develop results to simplify the horizontal composition of 2-cells in the bicategory of fractions. Overall, our goal is to make the role of 2-cells in the bicategory of fractions more transparent. In our motivating example of orbispaces these conditions are satisfied; this will be explored further in [6].

Note that in [1] the authors use the ff and co-ff cancellation properties of essential equivalences between internal categories in a regular category to describe the localization with respect to essential equivalences as a faithful bicategory of fractions. One of its notable properties is that 2-cells in the fractions bicategory correspond to suitable 2-cells in the original bicategory without needing to take equivalence classes. Similar results are obtained by Roberts for the bicategory of fractions of a pretopology consisting of ff and
co-ff arrows. He also gives a canonical presentation for the 2-cells that corresponds to taking the strict pullback as the left-hand cell in the 2-cell diagrams of the bicategory of fractions. The work in this paper sheds further light on why this can be done: if the arrows in $\mathfrak{W J}$ are ff and co-ff one may choose any class of left-hand 2-cells to obtain canonical representations of 2-cells and avoid the need for equivalence classes.

This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the new, weakened, conditions on a class $\mathfrak{W}$ to give rise to a bicalculus of fractions, and develop some theory on liftings of 2-cells related to the fourth condition on $\mathfrak{W}$, and on relating squares required by the third condition. In Section 3 we give the new bicategory of fractions construction $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$, a generalization of the one given in [5], with horizontal composition of arrows and 2-cells adjusted to account for the weaker assumption. In Section 4 we investigate the connection between our new construction and the original construction of [5], and show that if $\mathfrak{W}$ satisfies the weaker conditions of Section 2, then the class of arrows obtained by taking the closure of $\mathfrak{W}$ under composition and 2 -isomorphism satisfies the original conditions from [5] and gives a bi-equivalent bicategory of fractions. Additionally, we introduce the notion of weakly initial subclasses of arrows, designed to allow us to pass to an even smaller subclass of arrows to obtain a subclass of a given class of arrows that is small over each object. Sections 5 and 6 develop our results about simplifying 2-cells. In Section 5 we introduce conditions that allow us to simplify the form of the 2-cells in the bicategory of fractions and obtain canonical representatives for the equivalence classes, and in Section 6 we investigate the case when the original bicategory has certain pseudo pullbacks and show how this can be used to simplify horizontal composition of 2-cells in the bicategory of fractions. In Section 7 we indicate how this work applies to orbispaces, to be further explored in [6]. The last sections are appendices containing technical proofs. The first one gives the associativity 2-cells for composition. The second appendix proves associativity coherence. The third appendix proves that horizontal and vertical composition are well-defined on equivalence classes of 2-cell diagrams. And the fourth appendix gives the proof for a result about the horizontal composition of 2-cell diagrams when the left-hand 2-cells are pseudo pullbacks.
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## 2. Weaker Conditions for a Bicalculus of Fractions

In the first part of this section we introduce the new conditions on a class of arrows in a bicategory that will give rise to a bicalculus of fractions. These are a weakening of the conditions BF1-BF5 given in [5]. In the second part of this section we develop general
results about the structure of the 2-cells in a bicategory with a class of arrows satisfying our new conditions.
2.1. The New Conditions. We list our new conditions on a class of arrows. In Section 3 we will show that these are sufficient for the existence of the bicategory of fractions, although the specific construction of this bicategory needs to be changed.

- [WB1] All identities are in $\mathfrak{W}$.
- [WB2] For each pair of composable arrows $B \xrightarrow{v} C \xrightarrow{w} D$ in $\mathfrak{W}$, there is an arrow $A \xrightarrow{u} B$ such that $A \xrightarrow{w v u} D$ is in $\mathfrak{W}$.
- [WB3] For every pair $w: A \rightarrow B, f: C \rightarrow B$ with $w \in \mathfrak{W}$, there exist maps $h, v$, where $v \in \mathfrak{W}$, and an invertible 2-cell $\alpha$ as in the following diagram.

- [WB4] For any 2-cell

$$
\alpha: w \circ f \Rightarrow w \circ g
$$

with $w \in \mathfrak{W}$, there exists an arrow $u \in \mathfrak{W}$ and a 2 -cell

$$
\beta: f \circ u \Rightarrow g \circ u
$$

such that $\alpha \circ u=w \circ \beta$. Furthermore, the collection of such pairs $(u, \beta)$ has the following property: when $\left(u_{1}, \beta_{1}\right)$ and $\left(u_{2}, \beta_{2}\right)$ are two such pairs, there exist arrows $s, t$, such that $u_{1} \circ s$ and $u_{2} \circ t$ are in $\mathfrak{W J}$, and there is an invertible 2-cell $\varepsilon: u_{1} \circ s \Rightarrow u_{2} \circ t$ such that the following diagram commutes:


- [WB5] When $w \in \mathfrak{W}$ and there is an invertible 2-cell $\alpha: v \Rightarrow w$, then $v \in \mathfrak{W}$.


### 2.2. Remarks.

1. The original condition BF1 stated that all equivalences were in the class $\mathfrak{W J}$. It is well-known that it is sufficient to replace this with the given [WB1]; see for instance, [12].
2. Condition [WB2] is a significantly weaker version of the original condition BF2, which required that $\mathfrak{W}$ be closed under composition.
3. Conditions [WB3] and [WB5] are the same as the old conditions BF3 and BF5 respectively.
4. When $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are 2-cells as in condition [WB4], we will refer to $\beta$ as a lifting of $\alpha$ with respect to $w$. In [5], condition BF4 additionally required that if $\alpha$ is invertible, it has a lifting $\beta$ that is invertible. We will show in Proposition 2.5 that this assumption is not needed, as it can be derived from the other assumptions.
2.3. Properties of Liftings of 2-Cells. In this section we prove that our condition [WB4], together with the conditions [WB1]-[WB3] and [WB5], imply the original condition BF4. To do this, we develop some properties of the 2-cell liftings that [WB4] requires, and show that they can be chosen to respect composition.

We assume throughout this section that $\mathfrak{W}$ is a class of arrows satisfying conditions [WB1]-[WB5]. We begin by showing that for fixed $w \in \mathfrak{W}$, the collection of the liftings of cells given by [WB4] inherits the vertical composition structure in the sense that the vertical composition of two liftings gives a lifting for the vertical composition of the original cells.
2.4. Lemma. Let $\mathfrak{W J}$ satisfy [WB1]-[WB5]. Suppose that we have arrows

$$
B \underset{h}{\stackrel{f}{\rightrightarrows}} C \xrightarrow{w} D
$$

with $w \in \mathfrak{W}$, and let $\alpha_{1}: w f \Rightarrow w g$ and $\alpha_{2}: w g \Rightarrow w h$ be 2-cells. Then there exists an arrow $u: A \rightarrow B$ in $\mathfrak{W}$ with 2-cells $\beta_{1}: f u \Rightarrow g u$ and $\beta_{2}: g u \Rightarrow h u$ such that $w \beta_{1}=\alpha_{1} u$ and $w \beta_{2}=\alpha_{2} u$. It follows that $w\left(\beta_{2} \cdot \beta_{1}\right)=\left(\alpha_{2} \cdot \alpha_{1}\right) u$.
Proof. We begin by choosing two arbitrary arrows and cells as in condition [WB4]: let $u_{1}: A_{1} \rightarrow B$ and $u_{2}: A_{2} \rightarrow B$ be two arrows in $\mathfrak{W}$ with 2 -cells $\gamma_{1}: f u_{1} \Rightarrow g u_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}: g u_{2} \Rightarrow h u_{2}$ such that $w \gamma_{1}=\alpha_{1} u_{1}$ and $w \gamma_{2}=\alpha_{2} u_{2}$.

Since $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are in $\mathfrak{W J}$, condition [WB3] gives us a square

with $t \in \mathfrak{W}$ and $\zeta$ an invertible 2-cell. By Condition [WB2], there is an arrow $v: A \rightarrow$ $A_{3}$ such that the composition $u_{2} t v$ is in $\mathfrak{W}$, and hence by Condition [WB5] and the invertibility of $\zeta, u_{1} s v \in \mathfrak{W}$ also.

We claim that the following arrow and 2-cells satisfy the conditions of this lemma: $u=u_{1} s v, \beta_{1}=\gamma_{1} s v$ and $\beta_{2}=\left(\left(h \zeta^{-1}\right) \cdot\left(\gamma_{2} t\right) \cdot(g \zeta)\right) \circ v$, as in the diagram,


To prove this claim, first note that since $\gamma_{1}$ was chosen to satisfy [WB4], $w \beta_{1}=$ $w \gamma_{1} s v=\alpha_{1} u_{1} s v=\alpha_{1} u$. Now using the fact that $\gamma_{2}$ was also chosen so that $w \gamma_{2}=\alpha_{2} u_{2}$, we calculate $w \beta_{2}$ in the following diagrams:

and this is clearly equal to $\alpha_{2} u_{1} s v=\alpha_{2} u$, as required.
We now use this lemma to prove that whenever the 2 -cell $\alpha: w f \Rightarrow w g$ is invertible, there is at least one choice of a pair $(u, \beta)$ for [WB4] such that $\beta$ is also invertible.
2.5. Proposition. Let $\mathfrak{W}$ satisfy the conditions [WB1]-[WB5]. If $w \in \mathfrak{W}$ and $\alpha: w f \Rightarrow$ $w g$ is an invertible 2-cell, then there is an arrow $u \in \mathfrak{W}$ with an invertible 2-cell $\beta: f u \Rightarrow$ gu such that $w \beta=\alpha u$.

Proof. We begin by applying Lemma 2.4 to the case where $h=f, \alpha_{1}=\alpha$ and $\alpha_{2}=\alpha^{-1}$. This gives us an arrow $v \in \mathfrak{W}$ and 2-cells $\gamma: f v \Rightarrow g v$ and $\gamma^{\prime}: g v \Rightarrow f v$ such that $w \gamma=\alpha v$ and $w \gamma^{\prime}=\alpha^{-1} v$. So $w\left(\gamma^{\prime} \cdot \gamma\right)=\left(\alpha^{-1} \cdot \alpha\right) v=\operatorname{id}_{w f} v$. This does not guarantee that $\gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ are inverses, but we will show that there is a further lifting $v^{\prime}$ such that $v v^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{W}$ and $\gamma v^{\prime}$ and $\gamma^{\prime} v^{\prime}$ are inverses.

We create $v^{\prime}$ in two stages. First we find $u_{1}$ such that $\left(\gamma^{\prime} u_{1}\right)\left(\gamma u_{1}\right)=\operatorname{id}_{f u_{1}}$, and then we find $w_{1}$ such that $\left(\gamma u_{1} w_{1}\right)\left(\gamma^{\prime} u_{1} w_{1}\right)=\mathrm{id}_{f u_{1} w_{1}}$. To find $u_{1}$, we observe that both $w\left(\gamma^{\prime} \gamma\right)=\mathrm{id}_{w f} v$ and $w \circ \mathrm{id}_{f v}=\operatorname{id}_{w f} v$. Thus, $\left(v, \gamma^{\prime} \cdot \gamma\right)$ and $\left(v, \mathrm{id}_{f v}\right)$ are both pairs of liftings of $\mathrm{id}_{w f}$ with respect to $w$ as in [WB4]. The second half of [WB4] gives a relationship between any two such pairs, so applying that here gives two maps, $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$, and an invertible 2-cell,

with $v u_{i} \in \mathfrak{W}$ and such that


The left-hand side of this equation is equal to the identity 2 -cell, $\mathrm{id}_{f v u_{1}}$, so $\gamma^{\prime} u_{1} \cdot \gamma u_{1}=$ $\mathrm{id}_{f v u_{1}}$.

Now we create $w_{1}$ via the same argument applied to the 2-cells $\gamma u_{1} \cdot \gamma^{\prime} u_{1}$ and $\mathrm{id}_{g v u_{1}}$. We know that $w\left(\gamma u_{1} \cdot \gamma^{\prime} u_{1}\right)=\left(\alpha \cdot \alpha^{-1}\right) v u_{1}=\operatorname{id}_{w g} v u_{1}=\operatorname{id}_{w g v u_{1}}=w \mathrm{id}_{g v u_{1}}$. So both $\left(v u_{1}, \gamma u_{1} \cdot \gamma^{\prime} u_{1}\right)$ and $\left(v u_{1}, \mathrm{id}_{g v u_{1}}\right)$ are liftings of $\mathrm{id}_{w g}$ with respect to $w$, and applying the second half of [WB4] as above gives us $w_{1}, w_{2}$ and an invertible 2-cell $\epsilon$ such that $v u_{1} w_{i} \in \mathfrak{W}$ and $\gamma u_{1} w_{1} \cdot \gamma^{\prime} u_{1} w_{1}=\operatorname{id}_{g v u_{1} w_{1}}$. We conclude that $\gamma^{\prime} u_{1} w_{1}=\left(\gamma u_{1} w_{1}\right)^{-1}$. Therefore setting $v^{\prime}=u_{1} w_{1}, u=v v^{\prime}=v u_{1} w_{1}$ and $\beta=\gamma u_{1} w_{1}$ satisfies the requirements of the proposition.
2.6. Remark. Combining the proofs for Proposition 2.5 and Lemma 2.4 shows that if $\alpha$ in Proposition 2.5 is invertible, for any arrow $u \in \mathfrak{W}$ with 2 -cell $\beta: f u \Rightarrow g u$ such that $w \beta=\alpha u$, there is an arrow $s$ such that $\beta \circ s$ is invertible.

The following result concerning cancellability of arrows in $\mathfrak{W}$ was communicated to us by Matteo Tommasini [11].
2.7. Lemma. Let $\mathfrak{W}$ satisfy the conditions $[\mathbf{W B 1}]-[\mathbf{W B} 4]$. For any diagram

$$
C \xrightarrow[g]{\stackrel{f}{\Downarrow \beta_{1} \downarrow \beta_{2}}} B \xrightarrow{w} A
$$

with $w \in \mathfrak{W}$, if $w \beta_{1}=w \beta_{2}$ then there exists an arrow $v: D \rightarrow C$ in $\mathfrak{W}$ such that $\beta_{1} v=\beta_{2} v$.
Proof. Apply the second part of [WB4] to $\alpha:=w \beta_{1}=w \beta_{2}, u_{1}=u_{2}:=\operatorname{id}_{C}$ and the 2 -cells $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ as given (for simplicity we omit the structure cells from the bicategory in this calculation). This gives us the existence of arrows $v, v^{\prime}: D \rightrightarrows C$ such that $\operatorname{id}_{C} v, \operatorname{id}_{C} v^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{W}$, and hence $v, v^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{W}$ by [WB5], with an invertible 2-cell $\varepsilon: v \Rightarrow v^{\prime}$ such that $\beta_{1} \circ \varepsilon=\beta_{2} \circ \varepsilon$. Composing with $g \varepsilon^{-1}$ gives us that $\beta_{1} v=\beta_{2} v$ with $v \in \mathfrak{W}$ as required.
2.8. Squares as in Condition [WB3]. In this section we address a question related to condition [WB3]: if there are two squares as in [WB3] for the same cospan, how are these squares related to each other? This question was answered in the proof of Lemma A.1.1 in [5] for cospans where both arrows are in $\mathfrak{W}$. Here, we prove a more general result, for cospans with just one arrow in $\mathfrak{W}$ and assuming only the weaker condition [WB2]. This result will play a crucial role in the constructions of whiskering of 2-cells with arrows in the bicategory of fractions and in the construction of the associativity isomorphisms. It will also be used in the study of the equivalence relation on the 2-cells diagrams.
2.9. Proposition. For $w: A \rightarrow B$ in $\mathfrak{W}$ and $f: C \rightarrow B$ any arrow in $\mathcal{B}$, and any two squares,

where $u, u v_{1}$ and $u v_{2}$ are all in $\mathfrak{W}$, then there are arrows $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ and invertible 2-cells $\beta$ and $\gamma$ as in

such that $u v_{1} s_{1} \in \mathfrak{W}$, and the composites $(f \beta) \cdot\left(\alpha_{1} s_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\alpha_{2} s_{2}\right) \cdot(w \gamma)$ are equal:


Proof. Since $u v_{1}$ is in $\mathfrak{W}$, condition [WB3] gives us a square

with $\bar{v}_{1} \in \mathfrak{W}$. Applying Proposition 2.5 to the 2 -cell $\beta^{\prime}: u v_{1} \bar{v}_{2} \Rightarrow u v_{2} \bar{v}_{1}$, we get an arrow $\tilde{u}: F^{\prime} \rightarrow F$ in $\mathfrak{W}$ and an invertible 2-cell $\tilde{\beta}^{\prime}: v_{1} \bar{v}_{2} \tilde{u} \Rightarrow v_{2} \bar{v}_{1} \tilde{u}$.

Then we have the following invertible 2-cell from $w g_{1} \bar{v}_{2} \tilde{u}$ to $w g_{2} \bar{v}_{1} \tilde{u}$.


By applying Proposition 2.5 with respect to $w$, there is an arrow $\tilde{w}: F^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow F^{\prime}$ in $\mathfrak{W}$ with an invertible 2-cell $\gamma^{\prime}: g_{1} \bar{v}_{2} \tilde{u} \tilde{w} \Rightarrow g_{2} \bar{v}_{1} \tilde{u} \tilde{w}$ such that $w \gamma^{\prime}$ is equal to the pasting of this last diagram composed with $\tilde{w}$. Finally, by repeatedly applying condition [WB2] to the string of composable $\mathfrak{W}$ arrows $u v_{2}, \bar{v}_{1}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{w}$, there is an arrow $t: E \rightarrow F^{\prime \prime}$ such that $u v_{2} \bar{v}_{1} \tilde{u} \tilde{w} t \in \mathfrak{W}$. By condition [WB5] it follows that $u v_{1} \bar{v}_{2} \tilde{u} \tilde{w} t \in \mathfrak{W}$ as well. The reader may verify that $s_{1}=\bar{v}_{2} \tilde{u} \tilde{w} t, s_{2}=\bar{v}_{1} \tilde{u} \tilde{w} t, \beta=\tilde{\beta}^{\prime} \tilde{w} t$ and $\gamma=\gamma^{\prime} t$ satisfy the conditions of this proposition.
2.10. Remark. An extension of the result of Proposition 2.9, discussing how any two solutions to the problem of this proposition are related, can be found in Appendix A, Proposition A.1.

## 3. The New Bicategory of Fractions Construction

We will now show that the conditions introduced in Section 2.1 are sufficient to construct a bicategory of fractions $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$. Given a bicategory $\mathcal{B}$ and a class of arrows $\mathfrak{W}$ which satisfies the conditions [WB1]-[WB5], we first describe the new bicategory $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$, and then show that it has the universal property of the bicategory of fractions. The objects, arrows and 2-cells of $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$ are defined just as in [5], but we will need to adjust the definition of composition and pasting. We begin by reminding the reader of the definition as given in [5].

- Objects are the objects of $\mathcal{B}$.
- Arrows are spans of the form $\leftarrow \stackrel{w}{ } \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow}$ with $w \in \mathfrak{W}$ and $f$ an arbitrary arrow in $\mathcal{B}$.
- 2-Cells are equivalence classes of diagrams of the form

where $w u$ is in $\mathfrak{W}$ (and hence $w^{\prime} u^{\prime}$ is). Such a diagram (1) is equivalent to another such diagram

(with $w v$ in $\mathfrak{W}$ ) if and only if there exists a diagram of the form

with wus $\in \mathfrak{W}$, such that

and

3.1. Remark. In the description above, we consistently only require half of our arrow compositions to be in $\mathfrak{W}$. For example, we require only that $w v \in \mathfrak{W}$, and not the corresponding $w^{\prime} v^{\prime}$; similarly we only require wus $\in \mathfrak{W}$. However, since the 2-cells are invertible and $\mathfrak{W}$ satisfies [WB5], the other half follows automatically.

The original condition BF2 was used in [5] in the construction of composition of arrows and horizontal and vertical composition of 2-cells in the bicategory of fractions. In constructing these compositions under our weaker conditions, we need to adjust for the fact that $\mathfrak{W}$ is no longer closed under composition. Instead, we have the condition [WB2] that allows us to pre-compose with an additional arrow to get a composition in $\mathfrak{W}$. The description of the compositions in [5] relies heavily on the choices of squares as in condition [WB3] and liftings as in condition [WB4] (although, in fact, the construction only depends on the choices of the squares when they are used to compose the spans, as Tommasini [12] has shown that different choices made in the composition of 2-cells give equivalent representatives). In describing the compositions in the new bicategory of fractions, we use a collection of choices for arrows for composites as in [WB2] to augment the choices of squares and liftings to make sure that the necessary arrows are in $\mathfrak{W}$. We list and label these choices here before beginning the constructions so we can refer back to them.
3.2. Notation. The following choices of arrows and 2 -cells will be used in the construction of the bicategory of fractions $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$. The first three choices really determine the construction. The last four are just short-cuts for frequently used combinations of the first three.
[C1] For each pair of composable arrows $\xrightarrow{v} \xrightarrow{u}$ in $\mathfrak{W}$ use [WB2] to choose an arrow $w_{u, v}$ such that $u v w_{u, v} \in \mathfrak{W}$. When $v$ is an identity arrow, choose $w_{u, v}$ to be an identity as well.
[C2] For every pair $\xrightarrow{f} \stackrel{u}{\longleftarrow}$ with $u \in \mathfrak{W}$ use [WB3] to choose a square

with $u^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{W}$ and $\alpha$ invertible. When we want to stress the dependence of $\alpha$ on $f$ and $u$, we denote this cell by $\alpha_{f, u}$. Furthermore, require that when $u=1_{B}$, we choose the square,

where $\lambda_{f}$ and $\rho_{f}$ are the left and right unitor 2-cell respectively.
[C3] Given $\alpha: w \circ f \Rightarrow w \circ g$, a 2-cell with $w \in \mathfrak{W}$, choose a 1-cell $\tilde{w} \in \mathfrak{W}$ and a 2-cell

$$
\tilde{\alpha}: f \circ u \Rightarrow g \circ u
$$

such that $\alpha \circ \tilde{w}=w \circ \tilde{\alpha}$. Using Proposition 2.5, we choose $\tilde{\alpha}$ to be invertible whenever $\alpha$ is.
[C4] For each zig-zag, $\stackrel{w}{\leftarrow} \stackrel{f}{\longleftrightarrow} \stackrel{v}{\longleftarrow}$ with $v$ and $w$ in $\mathfrak{W},[\mathbf{C} 2]$ determines arrows $f^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime}$ and an invertible 2-cell $\alpha_{f, v}: v f^{\prime} \Rightarrow f v^{\prime}$. Compose this with the choice $w_{w, v^{\prime}}$ from [C1] to get $w v^{\prime} w_{w, v^{\prime}} \in \mathfrak{W}$, to obtain the diagram


Defining $\bar{v}=v^{\prime} w_{w, v^{\prime}}, \bar{f}=f^{\prime} w_{w, v^{\prime}}$ and $\alpha_{f, v}^{w}=\alpha_{f, v} w_{w, v^{\prime}}$ gives the chosen diagram

with $w \bar{v} \in \mathfrak{W}$. Note that $\bar{v}$ is not guaranteed to be in $\mathfrak{W}$, but $w \bar{v}$ is always in $\mathfrak{W}$ by construction.
[C5] For each cospan $\xrightarrow{w}{ }^{v}$ with both arrows $w, v \in \mathfrak{W}$, apply [C2] to obtain a square with an invertible 2-cell $\alpha_{w, v}$. Then compose with $w_{w, v^{\prime}}$ from [C1] to get $v^{\prime} w_{w, v^{\prime}} \in \mathfrak{W}$. Define $\hat{v}=v^{\prime} w_{w, v^{\prime}}, \hat{w}=w^{\prime} w_{w, v^{\prime}}$ and $\hat{\alpha}_{w, v}=\alpha_{w, v} w_{w, v^{\prime}}$ to obtain the chosen square

where $w \hat{v} \in \mathfrak{W}$ and the 2 -cell $\hat{\alpha}_{w, v}$ is invertible.
[C6] For each invertible 2-cell $\alpha: w \circ s_{1} \Rightarrow w \circ s_{2}$ with $w, w s_{1}, w s_{2} \in \mathfrak{W}$, apply [C3] to obtain $\tilde{w} \in \mathfrak{W}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}: s_{1} \tilde{w} \Rightarrow s_{2} \tilde{w}$, with $\tilde{\alpha}$ invertible. Then $w s_{1}$ and $\tilde{w}$ are in $\mathfrak{W}$, so apply [C1] to obtain an arrow $u$ such that $w s_{1} \tilde{w} u \in \mathfrak{W}$. Since $\tilde{\alpha}$ in [C3] is
invertible, we conclude that $w s_{2} \tilde{w} u$ is also in $\mathfrak{W J}$. Setting $\overline{\tilde{w}}=\tilde{w} u$, we get the chosen lifting

$$
\overline{\tilde{\alpha}}: s_{1} \overline{\tilde{w}} \Rightarrow s_{2} \overline{\tilde{w}}
$$

such that $w s_{1} \overline{\tilde{w}} \in \mathfrak{W}$ and $\overline{\tilde{\alpha}}$ is invertible.
[C7] For each configuration,

with $u v$ and $w$ in $\mathfrak{W}$, [C3] determines $\tilde{w} \in \mathfrak{W}$ and $\tilde{\beta}: f v \tilde{w} \Rightarrow f^{\prime} v^{\prime} \tilde{w}$, and [C1] determines an arrow $w_{\tilde{w}, u v}$ with $u v \tilde{w} w_{\tilde{w}, u v} \in \mathfrak{W}$. Now write $\overline{\tilde{w}}:=\tilde{w} w_{\tilde{w}, u v}$ and precomposing $\tilde{\beta}$ by $w_{\tilde{w}, u v}$ gives the chosen 2 -cell $\tilde{\beta}_{u}$ with $u v \overline{\tilde{w}} \in \mathfrak{W}$.


With these choices determined, we will now define the bicategory of fractions.
Composition of 1-Cells We define the composition of spans $A \lessdot \breve{u}^{u_{1}} S \xrightarrow{f_{1}} B$ and $B \stackrel{u_{2}}{\longleftrightarrow} T \xrightarrow{f_{2}} C$ in $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$ using the chosen square in [C4] of Notation 3.2,

so that $u_{1} \bar{u}_{2} \in \mathfrak{W}$. Then the composition of spans is given by

$$
A \stackrel{u_{1} \bar{u}_{2}}{\stackrel{f_{2} \bar{f}_{1}}{\longrightarrow} C . ~ . ~}
$$

### 3.3. Remarks.

1. Proposition 2.9 implies that any other choice of a square to define the composition results in an isomorphic arrow in $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$ : Proposition 2.9 gives a 2 -cell between the two arrows in $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$ that is observed to be invertible in Remark 3.4 Part 2. Proposition A. 1 below further shows that the isomorphism is unique when certain properties with respect to the defining squares are required. So given the squares used to define the two ways to compose, there is a canonical invertible 2-cell between the two resulting compositions.
2. Horizontal composition of 1-cells is clearly not associative in general. In Appendix A, Proposition A. 4 we introduce the family of associativity 2-cells and in Appendix B, Proposition B.4, we show that this family satisfies the associativity coherence conditions. The definition of the associativity cells is a direct generalization of the ones given in [5], but the proof of coherence is a bit more involved. The appendices highlight the technical results that lead to coherence in separate propositions.

Vertical Composition of 2-Cells We define the vertical composition of 2-cell diagrams,

and


First, since $u_{2} v_{3}$ and $u_{2} v_{2}$ are both in $\mathfrak{W}$, let

be the chosen square in [C5] of Notation 3.2: $\delta=\hat{\alpha}_{u_{2} v_{3}, u_{2} v_{2}}$ and $u_{2} v_{3} v_{2}^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{W}$. Since $\delta$ is invertible, $u_{2} v_{2} v_{3}^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{W}$ also.

Next, apply [C6] to $\delta: u_{2} v_{2} v_{3}^{\prime} \Rightarrow u_{2} v_{3} v_{2}^{\prime}$ and obtain an arrow $\overline{\widetilde{u}}_{2} \in \mathfrak{W}$ and an invertible 2-cell $\overline{\tilde{\delta}}: v_{2} v_{3}^{\prime} \overline{\tilde{u}}_{2} \Rightarrow v_{3} v_{2}^{\prime} \overline{\tilde{u}}_{2}$. Note that $u_{2} v_{2} v_{3}^{\prime} \overline{\tilde{u}}_{2} \in \mathfrak{W}$, as indicated in [C6].

This gives us the following representative for the vertical composition,


Observe that $u_{2} v_{2} v_{3}^{\prime} \overline{\tilde{u}}_{2} \in \mathfrak{W}$ by construction, and $u_{1} v_{1} v_{3}^{\prime} \overline{\tilde{u}}_{2}$ and $u_{3} v_{4} v_{2}^{\prime} \overline{\tilde{u}}_{2}$ are in $\mathfrak{W}$ since they are isomorphic to $u_{2} v_{2} v_{3}^{\prime} \overline{\tilde{u}}_{2}$. So this diagram represents a 2 -cell from $\stackrel{u_{1}}{\longleftrightarrow} \xrightarrow{f_{1}}$ to $\stackrel{u_{3}}{\stackrel{f_{3}}{\longrightarrow}}$.

### 3.4. Remarks.

1. In Appendix C, Proposition C. 1 we show that vertical composition is well-defined on equivalence classes of 2-cell diagrams and in Appendix A, Proposition A. 5 we show that it is strictly associative on equivalence classes of 2-cell diagrams.
2. It is straightforward to check that when both the left- and the right-hand 2-cells in a 2-cell diagram

are vertically invertible in the original bicategory $\mathcal{B}$ then the 2 -cell in $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$ represented by this diagram is vertically invertible with inverse represented by


Horizontal Composition of 2-Cells The construction for horizontal composition in [5] is given in terms of whiskering on the left and the right. We will address the two cases in the following two subsections.
3.5. Left Whiskering. Suppose we have

with $u_{i} s_{i} \in \mathfrak{W}$ and $\alpha$ invertible, so that the left side represents a 2 -cell. We begin by constructing the composites of the arrows involved. This gives us the cells in the following diagram,

where $\gamma_{1}=\alpha_{f_{1}, v}^{u_{1}}$ and $\gamma_{2}=\alpha_{f_{2}, v}^{u_{2}}$ are the chosen squares of [C4] of Notation 3.2. (Note that this is not a pasting diagram.) The next step is to construct squares that complete
the cospans $\xrightarrow{s_{1}} \prec^{\bar{v}_{1}}$ and $\xrightarrow{s_{2}} \stackrel{\bar{v}}{2}^{\bar{\nu}_{2}}$. Neither $s_{i}$ nor $\bar{v}_{i}$ (where $i=1,2$ ) are necessarily in $\mathfrak{W}$, but the $u_{i} s_{i}$ are by assumption, and the $u_{i} \bar{v}_{i}$ are by $[\mathbf{C 4}]$. Now take the squares chosen in [C5] for $i=1,2$,

where the composites $u_{i} s_{i} v_{i}^{\prime}$ are in $\mathfrak{W}$ and the 2-cells $\hat{\alpha}_{u_{i} s_{i}, u_{i} \bar{v}_{i}}$ are invertible. Now we have $\hat{\alpha}_{u_{i} s_{i}, u_{i} \bar{v}_{i}}: u_{i} \bar{v}_{i} s_{i}^{\prime} \Rightarrow u_{i} s_{i} v_{i}^{\prime}$ where $u_{i} \in \mathfrak{W}$, and hence [C6] determines arrows $\tilde{u_{i}}$ and 2-cells $\delta_{i}: \bar{v}_{i} s_{i}^{\prime} \tilde{u}_{i} \Rightarrow s_{i} v_{i}^{\prime} \tilde{u}_{i}$. If we write $v_{i}^{\prime} \tilde{u}_{i}=\tilde{v}_{i}$ then we have $u_{i} s_{i} \tilde{v}_{i} \in \mathfrak{W}$ for $i=1,2$.

Finally, we want to construct a square to complete the cospan $\xrightarrow{\tilde{v}_{1}} \underset{\sim}{\tilde{v}_{2}}$. Neither of the $\tilde{v}_{i}$ is necessarily in $\mathfrak{W}$, but the $u_{i} s_{i} \tilde{v}_{i}$ are. Also, since $\alpha: u_{1} s_{1} \Rightarrow u_{2} s_{2}$ is invertible, it follows that $u_{1} s_{1} \tilde{v}_{2} \in \mathfrak{W}$. Using a sequence of chosen squares and lifts as above, we construct a square

with $\delta_{3}$ invertible and $u_{1} s_{1} \tilde{v}_{1} t_{1} \in \mathfrak{W}$.
To find the right-hand 2 -cell in the diagram representing the left whiskering, we want to apply a choice of lifting as in condition [WB4] to the following diagram,

and lift with respect to $v$. However, we need to do this in such a way that we obtain a valid 2 -cell diagram. By construction, $\tilde{v}_{1}=v_{1}^{\prime} \tilde{u}_{1}$, and hence the 2 -isomoprhism $v_{1} \delta_{1}^{-1} t_{1}$ : $u_{1} s_{1} \tilde{v}_{1} t_{2} \Rightarrow u_{1} \bar{v}_{1} s_{1}^{\prime} \tilde{u}_{1} t_{1}$ ensures that $u_{1} \bar{v}_{1} s_{1}^{\prime} \tilde{u}_{1} t_{1} \in \mathfrak{W}$. This allows us to apply [C7] to get
a lifing for the diagram


This gives us an arrow $\tilde{v}$ and a 2 -cell $\tilde{\beta}: \bar{f}_{1} s_{1}^{\prime} \tilde{u}_{1} t_{1} \tilde{v} \Rightarrow \bar{f}_{2} s_{2} \tilde{u}_{2} t_{2} \tilde{v}$ such that $v \tilde{\beta}$ is equal to the pasting of the previous diagram composed with $\tilde{v}$, and $u_{1} \bar{v}_{1} s_{1}^{\prime} \tilde{u}_{1} t_{1} \tilde{v} \in \mathfrak{W}$.

The resulting representative for the horizontal composition can be described by

3.6. Right Whiskering. Consider a diagram

with $v_{1} s_{1}$ and $v_{2} s_{2}$ in $\mathfrak{W}$, and $\alpha$ invertible, so the right side represents a 2-cell. Again, we begin by constructing the horizontal compositions of the arrows involved using the squares of [C4] in Notation 3.2 as in the following diagram,

where $\gamma_{i}=\alpha_{f, v_{i}}^{u}$ and $u \bar{v}_{i} \in \mathfrak{W}$ for $i=1,2$. (Note that this is not a pasting diagram.)

Since $v_{i} s_{i} \in \mathfrak{W}$ for $i=1,2$ and $u \in \mathfrak{W}$, we have chosen squares from [C4] giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{s_{i}^{\prime}}{\stackrel{f_{i}^{\prime}}{\stackrel{f_{i}^{\prime}}{\leftarrow}} \underbrace{\longrightarrow}_{f}} v_{i s i} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $u s_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{W}$. Now apply Proposition 2.9 to the pairs of squares for $i=1,2$,


We obtain arrows and invertible 2-cells,

such that $u \bar{v}_{i} t_{i} \in \mathfrak{W}$ for $i=1,2$ and the composites of the following two pasting diagrams are equal:


Now apply Proposition 2.9 to the following two squares, where $v_{1} s_{1}, u, u s_{1}^{\prime}, u s_{2}^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{W}$ :


This gives us arrows and invertible 2-cells
such that $\mathrm{u} s_{1}^{\prime} p \in \mathfrak{W}$ and the following two pasting diagrams give the same composite:


Thus far we have constructed the following part of the left-hand cell of the whiskered 2-cell diagram,


We fill in the gap in the middle by chosen liftings of chosen squares according to conditions [WB3] and [WB4]. First note that the $u \bar{v}_{i} t_{i}$ are in $\mathfrak{W}$ for $i=1,2$, and hence since $\varepsilon_{i}$ is invertible, $u s_{i}^{\prime} r_{i} \in \mathfrak{W}$. So we have squares from [C2],

and

and we lift with respect to $u s_{1}^{\prime}$ and $u s_{2}^{\prime}$ respectively (as in [C3]) and add additional arrows $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ to obtain arrows $\bar{r}_{1}=r_{1}^{\prime} \tilde{u}_{1} w_{1}$ and $\bar{r}_{2}=r_{2}^{\prime} \tilde{u}_{2} w_{2}$ both in $\mathfrak{W}$. If we denote $\bar{p}=p^{\prime} \tilde{u}_{1} w_{1}$ and $\bar{q}=q^{\prime} \tilde{u}_{2} w_{2}$, we obtain invertible 2-cells


Finally, we take a chosen square according to [C2],

with $\tilde{r}_{2} \in \mathfrak{W}$. Since $u s_{1}^{\prime} p, \bar{r}_{1}, \tilde{r}_{2} \in \mathfrak{W}$, let $x$ be a chosen arrow such that $u s_{1}^{\prime} p \bar{r}_{1} \tilde{r}_{2} x \in \mathfrak{W J}$. Then the result of the whiskering becomes:


### 3.7. Remarks.

1. When the class $\mathfrak{W}$ of arrows to be inverted satisfies the traditional BF1-BF5 conditions from [5], this construction reduces to the construction given in that paper when one takes the identity arrow whenever a choice of an arrow based on condition [WB2] is needed. The definition of horizontal whiskering here is not exactly the same as the one given in [5], but the 2-cell diagrams obtained are equivalent. This is shown in [12], where it is proved that various choices to fill the 2-cell diagrams for whiskering all result in equivalent 2-cell diagrams.
2. The fact that the horizontal whiskering operations described here are well-defined on equivalence classes of 2-cell diagrams is established in Appendix C, Propositions C. 2 and C. 3 .

With these definitions, we get the following:
3.8. Theorem. For any bicategory $\mathcal{B}$ with a class $\mathfrak{W}$ of arrows that satisfies conditions [WB1]-[WB5], there is a bicategory of fractions $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$ with a homomorphism

$$
J_{\mathfrak{W}}: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)
$$

which sends arrows in $\mathfrak{W}$ to internal equivalences. Moreover, this bicategory satisfies the following universal property: for any bicategory $\mathcal{D}$, composition with $J_{\mathfrak{W}}$ induces an equivalence of categories

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right), \mathcal{D}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{W}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{D})
$$

where $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right), \mathcal{D}\right)$ denotes the category of homomorphisms and pseudo, resp. lax, resp. oplax, transformations and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{W}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{D})$ denotes the subcategory of homomorphisms and pseudo, resp. lax, resp. oplax, transformations that send arrows in $\mathfrak{W}$ to internal equivalences.

### 3.9. Remarks.

1. We can speak of transformations sending arrows to internal equivalences by representing them through a pseudo functor into an appropriate bicategory of cylinders on $\mathcal{D}$ (depending on the type of transformations). For pseudo transformations, the calculus of mates shows that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{W}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{D})$ is a full subcategory of $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{D})$, but for lax and oplax transformations this is not the case in general.
2. The universal property phrased in terms of the pseudo transformations determines the bicategory of fractions up to equivalence of bicategories. The other two universal properties are invariant under equivalence of bicategories. Hence we may view this result as saying that whenever a class of arrows admits a calculus of fractions, its bicategorical localization will also have these other two universal properties.
3. The description of the bicategory of fractions given here depends on the choices made for arrows, squares and liftings used in composition. However, the universal property implies that any other choice would give a biequivalent bicategory of fractions. We actually have a stronger result here: we can give explicit pseudofunctors going back and forth that are the identity in all dimensions (objects, arrows and 2-cells), but don't preserve horizontal composition strictly: composition in the domain bicategory may have been defined using a different square from the one used in the codomain bicategory. In Proposition A. 1 we show that there is a canonical 2-cell between these two compositions. The property established in Proposition A. 1 implies that they satisfy the coherence conditions to form the structure cells of a pseudo functor. Furthermore, these functors form commutative triangles with the $J_{\mathfrak{W}}$ functors from $\mathcal{B}$ into the bicategories of fractions. We will also see in the next section that these bicategories of fractions are biequivalent to a bicategory of fractions as defined in [5].

Proof. Analogous to the situation in [5], we define $J_{\mathfrak{W}}$ as follows: on objects $J_{\mathfrak{W}}(A)=A$; on arrows $J_{\mathfrak{W}}$ sends $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ to $A \stackrel{1_{A}}{\leftarrow} A \xrightarrow{f} B$; on 2-cells, $J_{\mathfrak{W J}}$ sends $\underset{g}{\underset{\underbrace{\Downarrow \alpha}_{g}}{f}} B$ to

where $\rho_{A}$ and $\lambda_{A}$ are the right and left unitors respectively for $1_{A}$. By the way we chose squares involving identity arrows, this gives a pseudo functor $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$ with structure cells as defined in [5]. The remainder of the proof goes as in [5]. We have given definitions
for all of the composition operations in $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$ and shown them to be well-defined and suitably associative, sending arrows in $\mathfrak{W}$ to internal equivalences. There are no coherence requirements on the choices of squares or liftings, so this gives a valid construction of a bicategory with all necessary properties.

The resulting homomorphism of bicategories has the same universal properties as the one for the original bicategory of fractions, since the proof of [5, Theorem 21] does not depend on any specific properties of the choices made.

A different way to derive this result will be given in Theorem 4.11.

## 4. Equivalences of Bicategories of Fractions

The first goal of this paper was to provide conditions under which we can take smaller classes of arrows to invert, while still obtaining an equivalent bicategory of fractions. In this section we develop a condition to allow us to restrict to a smaller subclass of arrows, namely when a subclass is weakly initial in the original class of arrows. This is related to the condition WISC, where we have weakly initial subsets of the class of arrows to be inverted. This was considered in [7] to obtain a locally essentially small bicategory of fractions.

We show that if we start with a class of arrows satisfying [WB1]-[WB5], and we have a weakly initial subclass which satisfies [WB1] and [WB5], then in fact the subclass will satisfy all the conditions[WB1]-[WB5] and the bicategory of fractions for the subclass is equivalent to the one for the original class of arrows. We will then apply this result to a class $\mathfrak{W}$ of arrows satisfying [WB1]-[WB5], and consider its closure under composition and invertible 2-cells, $\widehat{\mathfrak{W}}$. We show that $\widehat{\mathfrak{W}}$ satisfies the conditions BF1-BF5 of [5], and that $\mathfrak{W}$ is weakly initial in $\widehat{\mathfrak{W}}$. This gives an equivalence of bicategories

$$
\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right) \simeq \mathcal{B}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{W}}^{-1}\right),
$$

giving another proof that the newly constructed bicategories of fractions of Section 3 are indeed equivalent to the ones introduced in [5].
4.1. Weakly Initial Subclasses. We begin by reminding the reader of the notion of a weakly initial subclass of arrows. We will show that the new calculus of fractions conditions descend from a class to a weakly initial subclass.
4.2. Definition. Let $\mathfrak{W} \subseteq \mathfrak{V}$ be two classes of arrows in a bicategory $\mathcal{B}$. Then $\mathfrak{W}$ is weakly initial in $\mathfrak{V}$ if for each arrow $v \in \mathfrak{V}$, there is an arrow $u$ such that $v u \in \mathfrak{W}$.
4.3. Proposition. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a bicategory with a class of arrows $\mathfrak{V}$ satisfying all the conditions $[\mathbf{W B} 1]-[\mathbf{W B} 5]$, and a subclass $\mathfrak{W} \subseteq \mathfrak{V}$ which is weakly initial in $\mathfrak{V}$ and satisfies conditions [WB1] and [WB5]. Then $\mathfrak{W}$ also satisfies conditions [WB2]-[WB4].

Proof. [WB2] Let $A \xrightarrow{w_{1}} B$ and $B \xrightarrow{w_{2}} C$ be a pair of composable arrows in $\mathfrak{W}$. Since $\mathfrak{W} \subseteq \mathfrak{V}$ and $\mathfrak{V}$ satisfies condition [WB2], there is an arrow $u_{1}$ such that $w_{2} w_{1} u_{1} \in \mathfrak{V}$. Since $\mathfrak{W}$ is weakly initial in $\mathfrak{V}$, there is an arrow $u_{2}$ such that $w_{2} w_{1} u_{1} u_{2} \in \mathfrak{W}$. So $\mathfrak{W}$ satisfies condition [WB2].
[WB3] Consider a cospan of arrows $A \xrightarrow{f} C<{ }^{w} B$ with $w \in \mathfrak{W}$. Since $\mathfrak{V}$ satisfies [WB3], there is a square with an invertible 2-cell $\alpha$,

with $v \in \mathfrak{V}$. Since $\mathfrak{W}$ is weakly initial in $\mathfrak{V}$, there is an arrow $(E \xrightarrow{u} D)$ such that $v u \in \mathfrak{W}$. Then the square

shows that $\mathfrak{W}$ satisfies condition [WB3].
[WB4] Let $\alpha: w f \Rightarrow w g$ be a 2-cell with $w \in \mathfrak{W}$. Since $w \in \mathfrak{V}$ and $\mathfrak{V}$ satisfies [WB4], there is an arrow $v \in \mathfrak{V}$ with a 2 -cell $\beta: f v \Rightarrow g v$ such that $\alpha v=w \beta$. And since $\mathfrak{W}$ is weakly initial in $\mathfrak{V}$, there is an arrow $u$ such that $v u \in \mathfrak{W}$. Now take $w^{\prime}=v u \in \mathfrak{W}$ and $\beta^{\prime}=\beta u$. Then $w \beta^{\prime}=\alpha w^{\prime}$.

To check that $\mathfrak{W}$ also satisfies the second part of [WB4], let $\left(w_{1}^{\prime}, \beta_{1}\right)$ and $\left(w_{2}^{\prime}, \beta_{2}\right)$ be pairs such that $w_{1}^{\prime}, w_{2}^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{W}$, and $\beta_{1}: w_{1}^{\prime} f \Rightarrow w_{1}^{\prime} g, \beta_{2}: w_{2}^{\prime} f \Rightarrow w_{2}^{\prime} g$ such that $\alpha w_{1}^{\prime}=w \beta_{1}$ and $\alpha w_{2}^{\prime}=w \beta_{2}$. Since $w, w_{1}^{\prime}, w_{2}^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{V}$ and we assume that $\mathfrak{V}$ satisfies [ $\mathbf{W B} 4$ ], there are arrows $s, t$ such that $w_{1}^{\prime} s, w_{2}^{\prime} t \in \mathfrak{V}$, and an invertible 2-cell $\varepsilon: w_{1}^{\prime} s \Rightarrow w_{2}^{\prime} t$ such that

commutes. Since $w_{1}^{\prime} s \in \mathfrak{V}$, there is an arrow $u$ such that $w_{1}^{\prime} s u \in \mathfrak{W}$. Then $w_{2}^{\prime} t u \in \mathfrak{W}$ as well, since $\varepsilon u$ : $w_{1}^{\prime} s u \Rightarrow w_{2}^{\prime} t u$ is an invertible 2-cell and $\mathfrak{W}$ is closed under invertible 2-cells by condition [WB5]. So define $s^{\prime}=s u, t^{\prime}=t u$, and $\varepsilon^{\prime}=\varepsilon u: w_{1}^{\prime} s^{\prime} \xlongequal{\rightrightarrows} w_{2}^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ to obtain a commutative diagram

as required.
4.4. Theorem. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a bicategory with a class of arrows $\mathfrak{V}$ satisfying the conditions [WB1]-[WB5] and a class $\mathfrak{W J} \subseteq \mathfrak{V}$ which is initial in $\mathfrak{V}$ and satisfies [WB1] and [WB5]. Then there is an equivalence of bicategories $J: \mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{V}^{-1}\right)$ that makes the following diagram commutative,


Proof. By the universal property of $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{V}^{-1}\right)$ there is a canonical pseudo functor

$$
J: \mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{V}^{-1}\right)
$$

which is the identity on objects, sends the span $(w, f)$ in $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$ to the span $(w, f)$ in $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{V}^{-1}\right)$ and maps the 2 -cell represented by the diagram

in $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$ to the 2-cell represented by this same diagram in $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{V}^{-1}\right)$. Note that $J$ sends identity arrows to identity arrows and the comparison cells for compositions of arrows are the canonical 2 -cells related to the choices of squares for composition in $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$ and $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{V}^{-1}\right)$, as described in Remark 3.3.(1). It is clear that $J \circ J_{\mathfrak{W}}=J_{\mathfrak{V}}$ as required.

It is obvious that $J$ is an isomorphism on objects. To show that it is essentially surjective on arrows, let

$$
A \stackrel{v}{\longleftarrow} C \xrightarrow{f} B
$$

be an arrow in $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{V}^{-1}\right)$. Since $\mathfrak{W}$ is weakly initial in $\mathfrak{V}$, there is an arrow $(D \xrightarrow{u} C)$ such that $v u \in \mathfrak{W}$. So the span

$$
A \stackrel{v u}{\leftarrow} D \xrightarrow{f u} B
$$

is in the image of $J$. Furthermore, there is an invertible 2-cell

showing that $J$ is essentially surjective on arrows.
It remains to show that $J$ is fully faithful on 2-cells. To show that it is full on 2-cells, consider the 2-cell represented by the diagram,

with $w_{1}, w_{2} \in \mathfrak{W}$ and $w_{1} v_{1}, w_{2} v_{2} \in \mathfrak{V}$. Since $\mathfrak{W}$ is weakly initial in $\mathfrak{V}$, there is an arrow $u$ such that $w_{1} v_{1} u \in \mathfrak{W}$. Hence, the 2 -cell represented by

is in the image of $J$. This diagram represents the same 2-cell as (6), since the following diagram with unitor 2-cells gives an equivalence between them:


Hence (6) is in the image of $J$ and we conclude that $J$ is full on 2-cells.
To verify that $J$ is faithful on 2-cells, consider two 2-cells between the same spans of arrows

and

and suppose that these diagrams represent the same 2 -cell in $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{V}^{-1}\right)$. This means that there is an equivalence given by arrows $s$ and $t$ with 2-cells $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ as in

such that the appropriate diagrams of 2 -cells commute and $w_{1} v_{1} s \in \mathfrak{V}$. Since $\mathfrak{W}$ is weakly initial in $\mathfrak{V}$, there is an arrow $u$ such that $w_{1} v_{1} s u \in \mathfrak{W}$. So the diagram

represents an equivalence of the diagrams in (7) in $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$. We conclude that $J$ is fully faithful on 2-cells, and hence is a biequivalence of bicategories.
4.5. REMARK. This theorem implies that the choices made in constructing the bicategory of fractions in Section 3 do not matter, since $\mathfrak{W}$ is weakly initial in itself, and Theorem 4.4 provides an equivalence of bicategories created with different choices.

This result can be combined with the condition WISC given in [9] to obtain the following.
4.6. Corollary. If $\mathfrak{V}$ has a weakly initial subset $\mathfrak{S}_{X}$ over each object $X$, and these subsets contain identities and are closed under 2-isomorphism (conditions [WB1] and [WB5]), then the arrows in the weakly initial subsets define a locally small bicategory of fractions $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{-1}\right)$, equivalent to $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{V}^{-1}\right)$.

This strengthens the result in [9] where one would only get a locally essentially small bicategory of fractions.
4.7. Remark. Our notion of a weakly initial class of arrows is a dual notion to that of the right saturation of a class of arrows defined in [13]. The right saturation enlarges the class of arrows to be inverted, rather than restricting to a smaller subclass.

The right saturation of a class $\mathcal{W}$ of arrows consists of those arrows $f: C \rightarrow D$ for which there exist arrows $g: B \rightarrow C$ and $h: A \rightarrow B$ such that $g h$ and $f g$ are both in $\mathcal{W}$. If $\mathcal{W}$ satisfies the conditions BF1-BF5, then so does its saturation, and the saturation gives rise to an equivalent bicategory of fractions. It is not difficult to use [WB3] to show that if $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ is weakly initial in $\mathcal{V}$, then $\mathcal{V}$ is a subset of the saturation of $\mathcal{W}$. This does not immediately imply the equivalence of the induced bicategories of fractions, because $\mathcal{W}$ may not satisfy BF2. However, Theorem 4.4 implies that the equivalences of bicategories of fractions in [13] apply when we replace BF2 with [WB2].
4.8. Remark. In the case where one is only interested in obtaining a smaller version of $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{V}^{-1}\right)(X, Y)$ for a particular object $X$ (or for a particular class of objects) in the bicategory $\mathcal{B}$, there is a local version of Theorem 4.4. Given an object $X$ in $\mathcal{B}$ and a class of arrows $\mathfrak{V}$ in $\mathcal{B}$, we say that a subclass $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{V}$ is weakly initial in $\mathfrak{V}$ at $X$ when the class $\mathfrak{A} / X$ of arrows in $\mathfrak{A}$ with codomain $X$ is weakly initial in the class $\mathfrak{V} / X$ of arrows in $\mathfrak{V}$ with codomain $X$. We write $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{A}}(X, Y)$ for the category for spans from $X$ to $Y$ with reverse arrows in $\mathfrak{A}$ and 2-cells defined using 2-cell diagrams with the appropriate composites in
$\mathfrak{A}$. Now, if $\mathfrak{V}$ satisfies conditions [WB1]-[WB5], $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{V}$ satisfies condition [WB1] and [WB5], and $\mathfrak{A}$ is weakly initial in $\mathfrak{V}$ at $X$, there is an equivalence of categories

$$
\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{A}}(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{V}^{-1}\right)(X, Y),
$$

for any object $Y$ in $\mathcal{B}$.
4.9. Closure Under Composition. Given a class of arrows $\mathfrak{W}$ in a bicategory $\mathcal{B}$, let $\widehat{\mathfrak{W}}$ denote the class obtained from $\mathfrak{W}$ by closure under composition and invertible 2 -cells. So $\widehat{\mathfrak{W}}$ is the smallest class of arrows in $\mathcal{B}$ such that

- $\mathfrak{W} \subseteq \widehat{\mathfrak{W} ; ~}$
- If $f_{1}, f_{2} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{W}}$, and $f_{2} \circ f_{1}$ is defined, then $f_{2} \circ f_{1} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{W}}$;
- If $f \in \widehat{\mathfrak{W}}$ and $\alpha: f \stackrel{\sim}{\Rightarrow} g$ is an invertible 2-cell in $\mathcal{B}$, then $g \in \widehat{\mathfrak{W}}$.

Then $\widehat{\mathfrak{W}}$ has the stronger property BF2, and each arrow $w \in \widehat{\mathfrak{W}}$ will have an invertible 2-cell $\alpha: w \stackrel{\sim}{\Rightarrow} w_{n} \circ \cdots \circ w_{1}$ with codomain a finite composite of arrows $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n} \in \mathfrak{W}$.
4.10. Lemma. If $\mathfrak{W}$ satisfies the conditions [WB1]-[WB5], then $\widehat{\mathfrak{W}}$ defines a wide subcategory which satisfies the conditions from [5] for constructing a bicategory of fractions.
Proof. Since $\mathfrak{W}$ contains all identities, so does $\widehat{\mathfrak{W}}$, so $\widehat{\mathfrak{W}}$ satisfies condition BF1 from [5]. And $\widehat{\mathfrak{W}}$ has been created to be closed under composition, verifying BF2. Conditions BF3-BF5 are equivalent to conditions [WB3]-[WB5] (and BF3 and BF5 are identical to their weaker versions); see Remark 2.2. So it suffices to check conditions [WB3][WB5] for $\widehat{\mathfrak{W}}$.

Since every arrow $v$ in $\widehat{\mathfrak{W}}$ is isomorphic to a composition $w_{1} \circ \ldots \circ w_{n}$ of finitely many arrows in $\mathfrak{W}$, repeated application of $[\mathbf{W B 3}]$ for $\mathfrak{W}$ gives us $[\mathbf{W B 3}]$ for $\widehat{\mathfrak{W}}$ :


Note that $w_{n}^{\prime} \circ \cdots \circ w_{1}^{\prime} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{W}}$ by definition.
To verify condition [WB4], suppose that $\alpha: w f \Rightarrow w g$ and $\gamma: w_{n} \cdots w_{1} \xlongequal[\Rightarrow]{ } w$ with $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n} \in \mathfrak{W}$. Repeatedly applying [WB4] for $\mathfrak{W}$ gives us arrows $w_{n-k}^{\prime}$ and 2-cells $\beta_{n-k}: w_{n-k-1} \cdots w_{1} f w_{n}^{\prime} \cdots w_{n-k}^{\prime} \Rightarrow w_{n-k-1} \cdots w_{1} g w_{n}^{\prime} \cdots w_{n-k}^{\prime}$ for $k=0, \ldots, n-1$ such
that $w_{n-k} \cdots w_{n-1} w_{n} \beta_{n-k}=\left(\left(\gamma^{-1} g\right) \cdot \alpha \cdot(\gamma f)\right) w_{n}^{\prime} w_{n-1}^{\prime} \cdots w_{n-k}^{\prime}$. So $\beta_{1}$ with $w_{n}^{\prime} w_{n-1}^{\prime} \cdots w_{1}^{\prime}$ is the required lifting.

To check the compatibility condition in [WB4], consider $\alpha: w f \Rightarrow w g$ with liftings $\alpha^{\prime}: f w^{\prime} \Rightarrow g w^{\prime}$ and $\alpha^{\prime \prime}: f w^{\prime \prime} \Rightarrow g w^{\prime \prime}$. Since $w^{\prime}, w^{\prime \prime} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{W}}$, there are arrows $w_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, w_{k}^{\prime}$ and $w_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, w_{\ell}^{\prime \prime}$ in $\mathfrak{W}$ with invertible 2-cells, $\delta: w_{k}^{\prime} \cdots w_{1}^{\prime} \Rightarrow w^{\prime}$ and $\gamma: w_{\ell}^{\prime \prime} \cdots w_{1}^{\prime \prime} \Rightarrow w^{\prime \prime}$. By repeatedly applying condition [WB2] for $\mathfrak{W J}$ there are arrows $u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}$ such that $w^{\prime} u^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{W}$ and $w^{\prime \prime} u^{\prime \prime} \in \mathfrak{W}$. Hence we can apply [WB4] for $\mathfrak{W}$ to the liftings $\alpha^{\prime} u^{\prime}: f w^{\prime} u^{\prime} \Rightarrow g w^{\prime} u^{\prime}$ and $\alpha^{\prime \prime} u^{\prime \prime}: f w^{\prime \prime} u^{\prime \prime} \Rightarrow g w^{\prime \prime} u^{\prime \prime}$ and obtain arrows $s, t$ and a 2 -cell $\varepsilon: w^{\prime} u^{\prime} s \Rightarrow w^{\prime \prime} u^{\prime \prime} t$ showing compatibility of these liftings. This then gives us also the required arrows $u^{\prime} s$ and $u^{\prime \prime} t$ with the cell $\varepsilon$ to establish compatibility for the original liftings.

Finally, $\widehat{\mathfrak{W}}$ satisfies condition BF5 by construction.
4.11. Theorem. If $\mathfrak{W}$ satisfies the conditions $[\mathbf{W B 1}]-[\mathbf{W B 5}]$, then there is an equivalence of bicategories $J: \mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{W}}^{-1}\right)$, making the following triangle commute,

where $\mathcal{B}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{W}}^{-1}\right)$ is the bicategory of fractions from [5] and $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$ is the bicategory of fractions defined in Section 3.

Proof. We have shown that whenever a class of arrows $\mathfrak{W J}$ satisfies the stronger conditions BF1-BF5, the resulting bicategory of fractions is equivalent to the traditional one from [5]; see Remarks 3.7(1) and 4.5. So $\mathcal{B}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{W}}^{-1}\right)$ may be taken to be the classical bicategory of fractions and Theorem 4.4 now gives us the equivalence of the resulting bicategories of fractions.
4.12. Corollary. When $\mathfrak{W}$ satisfies the conditions [WB1]-[WB5], the pseudo functor $J_{\mathfrak{W}}: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$ satisfies the universal property for the bicategory of fractions.
Proof. A pseudo functor $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ sends the arrows in $\mathfrak{W}$ to equivalences if and only if it sends the arrows in $\widehat{\mathfrak{W}}$ to equivalences.

This result also applies to results for categories of fractions in the 1-category case given in [3].
4.13. Corollary. A class of arrows $W$ in a category $\mathcal{C}$ allows for the construction of a category of right fractions $\mathcal{C}\left[W^{-1}\right]$ if it satisfies the following conditions:

1. $W$ contains all identities;
2. For any pair of composable arrows $B \xrightarrow{v} C \xrightarrow{w} D$ in $W$ there is an arrow $A \xrightarrow{u} B$ such that $A \xrightarrow{w v u} D$ is in $W$;
3. For any arrow $w \in W$ and any arrow $f$ which shares its codomain with $w$, there is an arrow $w^{\prime} \in W$ and an arrow $f^{\prime}$ such that the following square is defined and commutes:

4. Given $w \in W$ and parallel arrows $f_{1}, f_{2}$ such that $w f_{1}=w f_{2}$, then there is an arrow $w^{\prime} \in W$ such that $f_{1} w^{\prime}=f_{2} w^{\prime}$,

$$
\xrightarrow{w^{\prime}} \xrightarrow[f_{2}]{\stackrel{f_{1}}{\longrightarrow}} \xrightarrow{w}
$$

### 4.14. Examples.

1. When one wants to add the inverse for an arrow $w$ in a monoid, the class $W$ in the traditional Gabriel-Zisman construction of [3] would be required to contain all powers of $w$. In our case $W$ only needs to contain a cofinal set of powers of $w$.
2. Consider the category of atlases and atlas maps for manifolds. In order to obtain the category containing all smooth maps between manifolds using the original conditions, one needs to take the category of fractions with respect to all atlas refinements. With the new theory we may restrict ourselves to refinements in which no charts are repeated, or any other family of refinements that is weakly initial among all refinements.

## 5. Simplifying 2-Cell Representatives

As we have seen, the universal homomorphism $J_{\mathfrak{W}}: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$ is defined to be the identity on objects, and takes an arrow $f: A \rightarrow B$ to the generalized arrow $A \stackrel{1_{A}}{\leftarrow} A \xrightarrow{f} B$ and a 2-cell $\alpha: f \Rightarrow g$ to a 2-cell diagram of the form below.


As Tommasini observed in Remark 3.5 of [12], this homomorphism is neither 2-full nor 2 -faithful in general. The map $J_{\mathfrak{W}}$ fails to be 2-full because not every 2-cell between $J_{\mathfrak{W}}(f)$ and $J_{\mathfrak{W}}(g)$ needs to have a representative of this particular form. The map $J_{\mathfrak{W}}$ fails to be 2 -faithful because two 2 -cell diagrams of this form, say with distinct right cells $\beta$ and $\gamma$, represent the same 2-cell in the bicategory of fractions when there is an arrow $t \in \mathfrak{W}$ such that $\beta t=\gamma t$. This leads us to consider the more general issue of the equivalence relation on the 2-cell diagrams.

In this section we discuss some variations of [WB4] and consider when a 2-cell in the bicategory of fractions can be represented by a 2-cell diagram with a given left-hand side. In the following section, we will look at choosing these left-hand sides to have nice additional properties that will simplify some of the composition constructions. In some cases representatives with a given left-hand side will even be unique. We will prove in [6] that some of these properties hold for the case of essential equivalences between orbifold étale groupoids. In fact they apply more generally to any fully faithful maps between étale topological groupoids.

Following the notation of [1] and [7] we say that an arrow $f: A \rightarrow B$ in a bicategory $\mathcal{B}$ has a property $\mathcal{P}$ when the induced functor $f_{*}: \mathcal{B}(X, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(X, B)$ has this property. Note that for full and faithful, these properties are closely related to Condition [WB4]. In this section we will see that if the arrows in $\mathfrak{W}$ have these properties and/or their duals, we are able to simplify our description of the 2-cells in the bicategory of fractions: each 2 -cell will have a representative with a given left-hand side and we won't need equivalence classes if we have chosen representatives.
5.1. Definition. An arrow $w$ in a bicategory $\mathcal{B}$ is

- full if for any 2-cell $\alpha: w f \Rightarrow w g$ there is a 2-cell $\tilde{\alpha}: f \Rightarrow g$ such that $w \tilde{\alpha}=\alpha$.
- fully faithful or $f f$ if for any 2-cell $\alpha: w f \Rightarrow w g$ there is a unique 2-cell $\tilde{\alpha}: f \Rightarrow g$ such that $w \tilde{\alpha}=\alpha$.
- co-full if for any 2-cell $\alpha: f w \Rightarrow g w$ there is a 2-cell $\alpha^{\prime}: f \Rightarrow g$ such that $\alpha^{\prime} w=\alpha$.
- co-fully-faithful or co-ff if for any 2-cell $\alpha: f w \Rightarrow g w$ there is a unique 2-cell $\alpha^{\prime}: f \Rightarrow$ $g$ such that $\alpha^{\prime} w=\alpha$.

Fractions condition [WB4] connects some of these properties as follows:
5.2. Lemma. If a class of arrows $\mathfrak{W}$ satisfies condition [WB4] and is co-fully-faithful it is also full.

Proof. Consider a 2-cell $\alpha: w f \Rightarrow w g$ with $w \in \mathfrak{W}$. Since $\mathfrak{W J}$ satisfies [WB4] there is an arrow $v \in \mathfrak{W}$ with a 2 -cell $\beta: f v \Rightarrow g v$ such that $w \beta=\alpha v$. Since $\mathfrak{W J}$ is co-full, there is a 2 -cell $\tilde{\alpha}: f \Rightarrow g$ such that $\beta=\tilde{\alpha} v$. Hence, $w \tilde{\alpha} v=w \beta=\alpha v$. Since $\mathfrak{W}$ is co-fully-faithful this implies that $w \tilde{\alpha}=\alpha$.
5.3. Lemma. Let $\mathfrak{W}$ be a class of co-full arrows in $\mathcal{B}$ satisfying the conditions [WB1][WB5]. Given any 2-cell diagram

in $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$ and any square

in $\mathcal{B}$ with $u_{1} t_{1} \in \mathfrak{W}$, there is a 2-cell $\delta$ such that the diagram

represents the same 2-cell in $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$ as (8).
Proof. By [WB3] there is a square

with $\bar{v}_{1} \in \mathfrak{W}$ and $\theta$ invertible. By [WB4] there is an arrow $\tilde{u}_{1} \in \mathfrak{W}$ and an invertible 2 -cell $\tilde{\theta}:\left(v_{1} \bar{t}_{1}\right) \tilde{u}_{1} \Rightarrow\left(t_{1} \bar{v}_{1}\right) \tilde{u}_{1}$. Now consider the pasting of the diagram


By $[\mathbf{W B} 4]$ there is an arrow $\tilde{u}_{2} \in \mathfrak{W}$ with an invertible 2 -cell $\zeta:\left(v_{2}\left(\bar{t}_{1} \tilde{u}_{1}\right)\right) \tilde{u}_{2} \Rightarrow\left(t_{2}\left(\bar{v}_{1} \tilde{u}_{1}\right)\right) \tilde{u}_{2}$ such that $u_{2} \zeta$ is equal to the pasting of the cells in (10) composed with $\tilde{u}_{2}$. Finally, we
need to ensure that certain compositions of arrows are in $\mathfrak{W}$. First consider the composition of arrows $\bar{v}_{1} \tilde{u}_{1} \tilde{u}_{2}$. Each of the three arrows in this composition is in $\mathfrak{W}$, so by [WB2] there is an arrow $s$ such that $\bar{v}_{1} \tilde{u}_{1} \tilde{u}_{2} s \in \mathfrak{W}$. Furthermore, $u_{2} t_{2} \in \mathfrak{W}$ as well, so there is an arrow $r$ such that $\left(u_{2} t_{2}\right)\left(\bar{v}_{1} \tilde{u}_{1} \tilde{u}_{2} s\right) r \in \mathfrak{W}$. Then we have the following equality of pastings of 2-cells:


We want to construct a cell $\delta$ such that $\beta$ and $\delta$ fit into a similar equality of 2 -cell pastings. So consider the following pasting diagram,


Since the arrows in $\mathfrak{W}$ are co-full, there is a 2 -cell $\delta: f_{1} t_{1} \Rightarrow f_{2} t_{2}$ such that $\delta \bar{v}_{1} \tilde{u}_{1} \tilde{u}_{2} s$ is equal to the pasting of this diagram. Then we get that

and hence we conclude that with $\delta$ thus defined, (9) is equivalent to (8).
We now want to address the question about uniqueness of 2-cell representatives with a given left-hand side. The following is the closest we can get to uniqueness for 2 -cell diagrams with a given left-hand side without adding any further conditions on the class $\mathfrak{W}$. This result is due to Matteo Tommasini [11], who first pointed it out to us and proved it. We include it here with his permission, with a different proof.
5.4. Proposition. Let $\mathfrak{W J}$ be a class of arrows satisfying conditions [WB1]-[WB5]. Let

be two equivalent 2-cell diagrams. Then there exists an arrow $w: D \rightarrow C$ such that $u_{1} v_{1} w \in \mathfrak{W J}$ and $\beta w=\gamma w$.
Proof. Since the two 2-cell diagrams in (11) are equivalent there is a diagram with invertible 2-cells,

with $u_{1} v_{1} s \in \mathfrak{W}$ such that

and


We want to use the first equation to derive a relationship between $\varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$. To make it possible to cancel $\alpha$ we first apply Proposition 2.5 to $u_{1} \varepsilon_{1}: u_{1} v_{1} s \Rightarrow u_{1} v_{1} t$ to obtain an arrow $\tilde{u}_{1}: E_{1} \rightarrow E$ in $\mathfrak{W J}$ and an invertible 2-cell $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{1}: s \tilde{u}_{1} \rightarrow t \tilde{u}_{1}$ such that $u_{1} v_{1} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{1}=u_{1} \varepsilon_{1} \tilde{u}_{1}$. Furthermore, by Lemma 2.7 there is an arrow $w_{1}: E_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow E_{1}$ in $\mathfrak{W}$ such that $v_{1} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{1} w_{1}=$ $\varepsilon_{1} \tilde{u}_{1} w_{1}$. Similarly, applying Proposition 2.5 to $u_{2} \varepsilon_{2}: u_{2} v_{2} s \Rightarrow u_{2} v_{2} t$ gives us an arrow $\tilde{u}_{2}: E_{2} \rightarrow E$ in $\mathfrak{W}$ with an invertible 2-cell $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{2}: s \tilde{u}_{2} \rightarrow t \tilde{u}_{2}$ such that $u_{2} v_{2} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{2}=u_{2} \varepsilon_{2} \tilde{u}_{2}$ and there is an arrow $w_{2}: E_{2}^{\prime} \rightarrow E_{2}$ in $\mathfrak{W}$ such that $v_{2} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{2} w_{2}=\varepsilon_{2} \tilde{u}_{2} w_{2}$. By condition [WB2],
let $x_{i}: E_{i}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow E_{i}^{\prime}($ for $i=1,2)$ be arrows such that $\tilde{u}_{i} w_{i} x_{i} \in \mathfrak{W}$ for $i=1,2$. Now apply condition [WB3] to obtain an invertible 2-cell

with $\bar{u}_{1} \in \mathfrak{W}$. Now write $z_{1}:=\tilde{u}_{1} w_{1} x_{1} \bar{u}_{1}$ and $z_{2}:=\tilde{u}_{2} w_{2} x_{2} \bar{u}_{2}$. Precomposing equation (12) horizontally by $z_{1}$ and then vertically by $u_{1} v_{1} s \delta$ gives the following equation:


Similarly, (13) induces the following equation:


Since $\varepsilon_{1} z_{1}=\varepsilon_{1} \tilde{u}_{1} w_{1} x_{1} \bar{u}_{1}=v_{1} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{1} w_{1} x_{1} \bar{u}_{1}$ We rewrite the left-hand side of (14) as follows:


Similarly, we rewrite the right-hand side of (14) as follows:


By composing with $\alpha^{-1} t z_{1}$ with the rewritten left and right-hand sides of (14) we derive that


By Lemma 2.7 there is an arrow $(r: G \rightarrow F) \in \mathfrak{W}$ such that


Finally there is an arrow $r^{\prime}: D \rightarrow G$ such that $u_{1} v_{1} s z_{1} r r^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{W}$.
We will now combine this result with (15). We first manipulate $\varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$ with $\delta$ just as we have done above. Note that we did not need the presence of $u_{1}$ or $u_{2}$ for this, so
the same calculations apply to the compositions with $\beta$ and $\gamma$. This gives us


Now precomposing by $r r^{\prime}$ and using the result from (16) gives us that $\beta$ and $\gamma$ become equal when precomposed by the same invertible cell. So we can conclude that $\beta s z_{2} r r^{\prime}=$ $\gamma s z_{2} r r^{\prime}$ and since $u_{1} v_{1} s z_{2} r r^{\prime} \cong u_{1} v_{1} s z_{1} r r^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{W}$, we also have that $u_{1} v_{1} s z_{2} r r^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{W}$ by [WB5]. So $w=s z_{2} r r^{\prime}: D \rightarrow C$ has the required property.

We use this result together with the condition that the arrows in $\mathfrak{W}$ be co-fully-faithful to obtain uniqueness of 2-cell representatives with a given left-hand side. The following lemma, proved by Matteo Tommasini [11] and included here with his permission, gives us a key ingredient.
5.5. Lemma. Let $\mathfrak{W}$ be a class of arrows satisfying conditions [WB1]-[WB5] and let $a: B \rightarrow A$ and $b: C \rightarrow B$ be arrows such that both $a$ and ab are in $\mathfrak{W}$. Then there is an arrow $c: D \rightarrow C$ such that $b c \in \mathfrak{W}$.
Proof. Since $a b \in \mathfrak{W}$, condition [WB3] gives us the existence of a square with an invertible 2-cell,

with $v \in \mathfrak{W}$. Since $a \in \mathfrak{W}$, we can apply Proposition 2.5 to $\alpha: a(b u) \xlongequal{\Rightarrow} a v$ to obtain an arrow $w: Y \rightarrow X$ in $\mathfrak{W}$ and an invertible 2-cell $\tilde{\alpha}$ : buw $\xlongequal[\Rightarrow]{\approx} v w$. Since both $v$ and $w$ are in $\mathfrak{W}$, there is an arrow $z: D \rightarrow Y$ such that $v w z \in \mathfrak{W}$ by condition [WB2]. Now $\tilde{\alpha} z: b u w z \stackrel{\sim}{\Rightarrow} v w z$, so buwz $\in \mathfrak{W}$ by condition [WB5]. Hence $c=u w z: D \rightarrow C$ has the required property.
5.6. Theorem. Let $\mathfrak{W}$ be a class of co-ff arrows in a bicategory $\mathcal{B}$ satisfying conditions [WB1]-[WB5]. Then each 2-cell in $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$ has at most one representative with a given left-hand 2-cell.
Proof. Given two 2-cell diagrams with the same left-hand side as in (11), Proposition 5.4 gives us an arrow $w$ such that $u_{1} v_{1} w \in \mathfrak{W}$ and $\beta w=\gamma w$. Since $u_{1} v_{1} \in \mathfrak{W}$ we can apply Lemma 5.5 to obtain an arrow $x: D^{\prime} \rightarrow D$ such that $w x \in \mathfrak{W}$. Now we have that $\beta w x=\gamma w x$ and since the arrows in $\mathfrak{W}$ are co-ff we conclude that $\beta=\gamma$.
5.7. Corollary. Let $\mathfrak{W}$ be a class of co-ff arrows in a bicategory $\mathcal{B}$ satisfying conditions [WB1]-[WB5]. Then each 2-cell in $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$ has precisely one representative with a given left-hand 2-cell.
Proof. This follows from Lemma 5.3 and Theorem 5.6.
5.8. Remark. This provides further understanding in regard to the results provided in [1] and [7] where no equivalence relation is needed for the 2-cells in the localizations: Abbad and Vitale introduce a category of so called faithful fractions where the objects are arrows in $\mathfrak{W}$ and hom-categories are hom-categories in the original bicategory between the domains of the objects. Roberts uses these conditions to obtain a decription of the 2-cells in his bicategory of fractions that can be viewed as the classical a 2-cell diagram with a strict pullback square as left-hand 2 -cell. In the next section we will work out the case where one has pseudo pullbacks for arrows in $\mathfrak{W}$.
5.9. Corollary. Suppose that $\mathfrak{W}$ be a class of co-ff arrows in a bicategory $\mathcal{B}$ satisfying conditions $[\mathbf{W B 1}]-[\mathbf{W B} 5]$. Then the universal homomorphism $J_{\mathfrak{W}}: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$ is 2-full and 2-faithful.
Proof. To show that the homomorphism is 2-full, consider an arbitrary 2-cell between $J_{\mathfrak{W}}(f)$ and $J_{\mathfrak{W}}(g)$. This will have a representative of the form


Now consider the square

and Lemma 5.3 says that we can represent the 2-cell between $J_{\mathfrak{W}}(f)$ and $J_{\mathfrak{W}}(g)$ using this square on the left side. Thus, the 2 -cell is the image of a 2 -cell in $\mathcal{B}$.

To show that the map $J_{\mathfrak{W}}$ is 2-faithful, suppose that we have two 2-cells $J_{\mathfrak{W}}(\alpha)$ and $J_{\mathfrak{W}}(\beta)$, represented by

which represent the same 2 -cell in $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$. Then there must be maps $r_{1}, r_{2}: E \rightrightarrows A$ with

2 -cells $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}$ as in

satisfying the equations to make the two diagrams in (17) equivalent and such that $1_{A} 1_{A} r_{1} \in \mathfrak{W}$. Write $\varepsilon_{1}^{\prime}, \varepsilon_{2}^{\prime}: r_{1} \Rightarrow r_{2}$ for the induced 2 -cells. Since the left-hand squares are just identities, this implies that $\varepsilon_{1}^{\prime}=\varepsilon_{2}^{\prime}: r_{1} \Rightarrow r_{2}$. The other equation then implies that $\alpha \circ \varepsilon_{1}^{\prime}=\beta \circ \varepsilon_{1}^{\prime}$. Since $\varepsilon_{1}^{\prime}$ is invertible, this implies that $\alpha r_{1}=\beta r_{1}$.

Since $1_{A} 1_{A} r_{1} \in \mathfrak{W}$,we conclude by [WB5] that $r_{1} \in \mathfrak{W}$. Hence, since the arrows in $\mathfrak{W}$ are co-ff, we get that there is a unique $\gamma: f \Rightarrow g$ such that $\gamma r_{1}=\alpha r_{1}$. Hence, $\alpha=\beta$.

## 6. Bicategories with Pseudo Pullbacks

We now apply the ideas of Section 5 to represent generalized 2-cells using pseudo pullbacks. If a bicategory has all pseudo pullbacks of the form

where $w \in \mathfrak{W}$, and the class $\mathfrak{W}$ is stable under these pseudo pullbacks in the sense that $w \in \mathfrak{W}$ implies that $\bar{w} \in \mathfrak{W}$, it is possible to use the pseudo pullbacks as chosen squares as in [C2] of Notation 3.2 in the construction of $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$. This makes the construction of this bicategory more canonical; see [13] for instance.

We are interested in a different use of the pseudo pullbacks: as the left-hand sides of the generalized 2 -cell diagrams. (The case with strict pullbacks was considered in [7].) This will allow us to simplify the horizontal composition operations. It will require some additional assumptions on $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathfrak{W}$, so we will develop conditions under which each 2cell has a representative diagram where $\alpha$ is a pseudo pullback. The first condition is the following.
6.1. Definition. We say that $\mathfrak{W}$ is pullback closed if for any pseudo pullback

with arrows $u, v \in \mathfrak{W}$, the composite $u \bar{v}$ is again in $\mathfrak{W}$.
Since $\rho$ is invertible, [WB5] will imply that $v \bar{u} \in \mathfrak{W}$ as well.
6.2. Proposition. If $\mathcal{B}$ has all pseudo pullbacks for cospans in $\mathfrak{W}$, and $\mathfrak{W}$ satisfies conditions $[\mathbf{W B 1}]-[\mathbf{W B 5}]$, is pullback closed, and all arrows in $\mathfrak{W}$ are co-full, then each 2-cell in $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{J}^{-1}\right)$ has a representative with the left-hand 2-cell a pseudo pullback.

Proof. For any 2-cell diagram,

the pseudo-pullback square

exists and has the property that $v \bar{v}^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{W}$. Hence, by Lemma 5.3 there is a representative of (18) with this pseudo-pullback square as left-hand 2-cell.

Moreover, the argument from Theorem 5.6 gives the following.
6.3. Proposition. If $\mathfrak{W}$ satisfies conditions [WB1]-[WB5], is pullback closed, and all arrows in $\mathfrak{W}$ are co-ff, then there is a canonical representation for each 2-cell which is unique up to equivalence of the central object.

Proof. The representation using the pseudo pullbacks is canonical and as unique as the choice of pseudo pullbacks.

We finally show that if $\mathfrak{W}$ is closed under pseudo pullbacks (rather than pullback closed), we can still use pseudo pullbacks to define the 2-cells:
6.4. Proposition. If $\mathfrak{W}$ satisfies conditions [WB1]-[WB5], is closed under pseudo pullbacks, and all arrows in $\mathfrak{W}$ are co-ff, then the 2-cells in the bicategory of fractions can be uniquely represented by 2-cell diagrams with a chosen pseudo pullback as left-hand 2-cell.
Proof. Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{W}}$ be the class of arrows generated from $\mathfrak{W}$ under composition and closure under 2-isomorphisms. Then $\widehat{\mathfrak{W}}$ satisfies the stronger bicategory of fractions axioms, is pullback-closed and its arrows are still co-ff (this property is preserved by composition and closure under 2-isomorphisms). So the result from Proposition 6.3 applies to $\widehat{\mathfrak{W}}$. Now note that $J: \mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{W}}^{-1}\right)$ is an equivalence of bicategories and in particular, it is 2-full and 2-faithful. Hence the 2-cells in $\mathcal{B}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{W}}^{-1}\right)$ between arrows in the image of $J$ are in 1-1 correspondence with 2 -cells between the original arrows in $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$.

Vertical composition of 2-cells is not simplified by taking representatives with pseudo pullbacks. In fact it is slightly complicated, since we need to calculate the vertical composition of the 2-cell diagrams and then construct an equivalent 2-cell diagram that has the pseudo pullback on the left-hand side, using the lifting as in the proof of Lemma 5.3. However, the horizontal whiskering operations can be significantly simplified by using pseudo pullbacks, as we show in the following two subsections.
6.5. Left Whiskering With Pseudo Pullbacks. Throughout this subsection, we will assume that $\mathcal{B}$ has all pseudo pullbacks of cospans in $\mathfrak{W}$ and that $\mathfrak{W}$ satisfies all conditions of Proposition 6.2: its arrows are co-full, it satisfies conditions [WB1]-[WB5], and is pullback closed. We will further require $\mathfrak{W}$ to be full. (Note that if $\mathfrak{W J}$ is co-fully faithful, this is implied.) We furthermore choose a pseudo pullback

for each cospan $A^{\prime} \xrightarrow{u_{1}} A \stackrel{u_{2}}{\longleftrightarrow} A^{\prime \prime}$ in $\mathfrak{W}$ and will now describe the left whiskering operation for 2 -cell representatives with these chosen pseudo pullbacks as left-hand 2-cells. So we consider whiskering of the form

where $\rho_{u_{1}, u_{2}}$ is the chosen pseudo pullback. We construct the composition of the 1-cells using chosen squares $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ as in Section 3.5,

such that $w_{1}:=u_{1} \bar{v}_{1}$ and $w_{2}:=u_{2} \bar{v}_{2}$ are in $\mathfrak{W}$. Let
be the chosen pseudo pullback. Then there is a unique arrow $h: P_{w_{1}, w_{2}} \rightarrow P_{u_{1}, u_{2}}$ such that $\pi_{1} h=\bar{v}_{1} \pi_{1}^{\prime}, \pi_{2} h=\bar{v}_{2} \pi_{2}^{\prime}$ and $\rho_{u_{1}, u_{2}} h=\rho_{w_{1}, w_{2}}$. Finally, let $\tilde{\beta}: \bar{f}_{1} \pi_{1}^{\prime} \Rightarrow \bar{f}_{2} \pi_{2}^{\prime}$ be the lifting of the diagram,

with respect to $v$ (this exists because we assume that $\mathfrak{W}$ is full). Then the result of whiskering as in (19) is given by

6.6. Lemma. Diagram (20) is equivalent to the diagram (3) obtained for this type of whiskering in Section 3.5.

Proof. It was shown in [12] that any pair of choices of the squares and liftings in the composition construction of Section 3.5 give equivalent 2-cell diagrams as long as we use the composition squares from [C2] of Notation 3.2 for the composition of the 1-cells and the squares have the right properties. The only place where the chosen squares are essential is in the composition of the 1-cells, so with the exception of the cells $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ we can replace all cells used in the whiskering algorithm from Section 3.5 with cells and squares we have just constructed above. So we will redo the construction from Section 3.5 and use the universal properties of the pseudo pullbacks to adjust the squares to obtain a 2 -cell diagram that is clearly equivalent to (20).

Recall that in Section 3.5 we used chosen squares $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}$ and $\delta_{3}$ to obtain diagrams


By the universal property of the pseudo pullback there is an arrow $\tilde{t}: T \rightarrow P_{u_{1}, u_{2}}$ such that the following diagram pastes to the same 2 -cell as the first diagram in (21),


We now replace the chosen squares $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}$ by the new commuting squares in this diagram and let $\delta_{3}=\mathrm{id}_{\tilde{t}}$. We obtain the following diagram,


This is almost a 2-cell diagram: we just need to take a lifting $\tilde{\beta}^{\prime}: \bar{f}_{1} \bar{\pi}_{1} t_{1} \Rightarrow \bar{f}_{2} \bar{\pi}_{2} t_{2}$ of the right-hand side with respect to $v$ (which is possible since $v$ is full)

To show that the resulting 2-cell,

is equivalent to (20), note that there is a unique arrow $t^{\prime}: T \rightarrow P_{w_{1}, w_{2}}$ such that $\rho_{w_{1}, w_{2}} t^{\prime}=$ $\rho_{u_{1}, u_{2}} \tilde{t}$. Now $\tilde{\beta} t^{\prime}$ is another lifting of the right-hand side in (19), so the diagrams with $\tilde{\beta}^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{\beta} t^{\prime}$ on the left-hand side are equivalent. Hence, (20) and (22) are equivalent.
6.7. Right Whiskering With Pullbacks. Throughout this section, we will assume all conditions of Proposition 6.2: $\mathcal{B}$ has all pseudo pullbacks of cospans in $\mathfrak{W}$ (and we will use the chosen pseudo pullbacks as in the previous subsection), $\mathfrak{W J}$ satisfies conditions [WB1]-[WB5], is pullback closed, and its arrows are co-full. Furthermore, we will require $\mathfrak{W}$ to be full as well. We now consider right whiskering for 2-cell representatives where the left-hand 2 -cell is a chosen pseudo pullback. So we start with the composition

where $P_{v_{1}, v_{2}}$ is the chosen pseudo pullback of $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$. First we construct the composition of the 1 -cells using chosen squares [C2]

such that $u_{1}:=u \bar{v}_{1}$ and $u_{2}:=u \bar{v}_{2}$ are in $\mathfrak{W}$ as in Section 3.6. Let

be the chosen pseudo pullback of $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$. Note that $\rho_{u_{1}, u_{2}}: u{\overline{v_{1}}}_{1} \Rightarrow u \bar{v}_{2} \bar{\pi}_{2}$. Since $u$ is full, there is a lifting $\tilde{\rho}_{u_{1}, u_{2}}: \bar{v}_{1} \bar{\pi}_{1} \Rightarrow \bar{v}_{2} \bar{\pi}_{2}$. This cell can be pasted with $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}^{-1}$ to
form


By the universal property of the pseudo pullback $P_{v_{1}, v_{2}}$, there is a unique arrow

$$
\begin{equation*}
h: P_{u_{1}, u_{2}} \rightarrow P_{v_{1}, v_{2}} \text { such that } \pi_{1} h=\bar{f}_{1} \bar{\pi}_{1} \text { and } \pi_{2} h=\bar{f}_{2} \bar{\pi}_{2} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and furthermore, $\rho_{v_{1}, v_{2}} h$ is equal to the pasting of (24). We claim that the following 2 -cell diagram represents the result of whiskering (23):

6.8. Lemma. Diagram (26) is equivalent to the diagram (5) obtained for this type of whiskering in Section 3.6.

Proof. Again, we use the result from [12] that the equivalence classes of the resulting 2 -cell diagrams in the whiskering constructions and vertical composition construction do not depend on the choice of the squares and liftings used as long as we use the chosen composition of 1-cells and the appropriate arrows are in $\mathfrak{W}$. We will now go through the algorithm of Section 3.6 and substitute the cells above. We will show that the result is precisely (26).

In (4), we take for $\delta_{1}$ and $\delta_{2}$ respectively,

This allows us to take $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ to be identity arrows and $t_{i}=\bar{\pi}_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. Furthermore, $\varphi_{i}$ is given by

$$
\bar{\pi}_{i} \downarrow_{\underset{\bar{f}_{i}}{=}}^{\stackrel{h}{=}} \pi_{i}
$$

and $\varepsilon_{i}=\operatorname{id}_{\bar{v}_{i} \bar{\pi}_{i}}$, for $i=1,2$. The next step is then to compare the pastings,


Here we may choose $p$ and $q$ to be identity arrows, $\tau=\operatorname{id}_{h}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}=\tilde{\rho}_{u_{1}, u_{2}}$, since

by (24) and (25).
Omitting the identity coherence cells, the resulting 2-cell diagram is

where all unlabeled arrows are identity arrows. Composing the cells in both the left-hand side and the right-hand side of this diagram gives us the 2-cell diagram in (26) as required.

### 6.9. Horizontal Composition of 2-Cell Diagrams with Pseudo Pullbacks.

 Suppose that we have two 2-cells that we want to compose:

The horizontal composition of these two general 2-cell diagrams is rather involved, being a combination of two whiskering operations and a vertical composition. However, for 2-cell diagrams with pseudo pullbacks as left-hand cells, the right-hand side of the horizontal composition can be calculated as a lifting with respect to $v_{1}$ of $\beta$ composed with suitable invertible 2 -cells, whiskered with $g_{1}$ and then post-composed with $\gamma$. If furthermore, $\beta$ is invertible, the horizontal composition can be calculated by using two universal arrows obtained from the two pseudo-pullback squares in the initial diagram, whiskered with $\gamma$. We describe this here.

Let $\delta_{1}$ and $\delta_{2}$ be chosen squares (as in $[\mathbf{C} 4]$ ) such that $u_{1} \bar{v}_{1}$ and $u_{2} \bar{v}_{2}$ are in $\mathfrak{W J}$, as in the following diagram.

(Note that this diagram is not a pasting diagram.) The left-hand side of the composed 2 -cell diagram will be the chosen pseudo pullback $\rho_{u_{1} \bar{v}_{1}, u_{2} \bar{v}_{2}}$. By the universal property of $\rho_{u_{1}, u_{2}}$, we obtain a unique arrow

$$
w_{u_{1}, u_{2}}: P_{u_{1} \bar{v}_{1}, u_{2} \bar{v}_{2}} \rightarrow P_{u_{1}, u_{2}}
$$

such that $\rho_{u_{1}, u_{2}} w_{u_{1}, u_{2}}=\rho_{u_{1} \bar{v}_{1}, u_{2} \bar{v}_{2}}$,


The arrow $w_{u_{1}, u_{2}}$ can be used to construct the following pasting diagram,


If $\beta$ is invertible, the universal property of the pseudo pullback $P_{v_{1}, v_{2}}$ gives rise to a unique arrow

$$
w_{v_{1}, v_{2}}: P_{u_{1} \bar{v}_{1}, u_{2} \bar{v}_{2}} \rightarrow P_{v_{1}, v_{2}}
$$

such that


Then the 2-cell diagram representing the horizontal composition of the 2-cell diagrams in (28) is


The full details that diagram (29) is indeed the desired horizontal composition of the composable 2-cells in (28) are given in Appendix D.

If $\beta$ is not invertible, we cannot use the universal property of the pseudo pullback $P_{u_{1}, u_{2}}$ as described above and we do not obtain such a nice reduction, but we will present the horizontal composition for that case in Appendix D as well.

## 7. Future Directions: An Application to Orbifolds

In this section, we briefly sketch how the results in this paper apply to the bicategory of orbigroupoids. Details will be given in [6]; here we only give an overview.

One way to define orbifolds is by using the 2-category of orbigroupoids: étale groupoids internal to a category of suitable topological spaces, such as topological manifolds or some more general category of spaces. Then we consider the class of essential equivalences, maps that are categorical equivalences internal to the topological category chosen: they satisfy a suitably topologized version of being essentially surjective and fully faithful. This bicategory has all pseudo pullbacks for cospans of essential equivalences. For more
details, see $[2,4]$. We define orbifolds as the bicategory of fractions of orbigroupoids with respect to the class of essential equivalences. Essential equivalences are both ff and co-ff. The class of essential equivalences is also pullback closed as in Definition 6.1, and satisfies the BF conditions from [5]. Thus, we can apply the results of Corollary 5.9 and Proposition 6.3 to get the following:

### 7.1. Theorem.

1. The universal map from the 2-category of orbigroupoids to its bicategory of fractions with respect to the class $\mathfrak{W}$ of essential equivalences,

$$
J_{\mathfrak{W}}: \text { OrbiGroupoids } \longrightarrow \text { OrbiGroupoids }\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)
$$

is 2-fully faithful.
2. Each 2-cell in OrbiGroupoids( $\mathfrak{W}^{-1}$ ) has a unique representation by a 2-cell diagram with any given left-hand side.
3. Given a choice of pseudo pullbacks for cospans of essential equivalences the 2-cells in OrbiGroupoids $\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$ can be uniquely represented by diagrams with these pseudo pullbacks as left-hand 2-cells and horizontal composition can be calculated as in Section 6.

Furthermore, there is a subclass $\mathfrak{C} \subset \mathfrak{W}$ of essential covering maps, defined by,
7.2. Definition. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be an étale groupoid. An essential covering map

$$
\varphi^{\mathcal{U}}: \mathcal{G}^{*}(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}
$$

is determined by a (non-repeating) collection of open subsets $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{G}_{0}\right)$ which meets every orbit of $\mathcal{G}$ (although it may not cover $\mathcal{G}_{0}$ ). Then $\mathcal{G}^{*}(\mathcal{U})$ is the groupoid defined by $\mathcal{G}^{*}(\mathcal{U})_{0}=\coprod_{U \in \mathcal{U}} U$, with $\varphi_{0}^{\mathcal{U}}: \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U})_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{0}$ defined by the inclusion maps. Furthermore, the space $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U})_{1}$ and the remaining maps are determined by the pullback diagram


The class $\mathfrak{C}$ of essential covering maps is locally small and satisfies conditions [WB1][WB5]. As essential equivalences they are also ff and co-ff. So we get a bicategory OrbiGroupoids $\left(\mathfrak{C}^{-1}\right)$ with small hom-categories, where

$$
J_{\mathfrak{C}}: \text { OrbiGroupoids } \longrightarrow \text { OrbiGroupoids }\left(\mathfrak{C}^{-1}\right)
$$

is 2-fully faithful. Furthermore, the essential covering maps are weakly initial in the essential equivalences in the sense described in Definition 4.2. Hence, there is an equivalence of bicategories, OrbiGroupoids $\left(\mathfrak{C}^{-1}\right) \simeq \operatorname{OrbiGroupoids}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$.

Now $\mathfrak{C}$ is not pullback-closed. However, because of this equivalence of bicategories we can use the 2-cell diagrams from OrbiGroupoids $\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$ as 2-cells between arrows in OrbiGroupoids $\left(\mathfrak{C}^{-1}\right)$, and hence represent these by 2 -cell diagrams with pseudo pullbacks as left-hand 2-cells; these are not necessarily in the shape required of 2-cell diagrams in OrbiGroupoids $\left(\mathfrak{C}^{-1}\right)$ because certain composites will not be in $\mathfrak{C}$, but they can be used as an alternate way to represent the 2-cells in this bicategory. This allows us to use the simplified composition described in Section 6. So we conclude:

### 7.3. Theorem.

1. The bicategory of fractions of orbigroupoids with respect to essential covering maps, OrbiGroupoids $\left(\mathfrak{C}^{-1}\right)$ has small hom-categories.
2. The pseudo functor $J_{\mathfrak{W}}$ : OrbiGroupoids $\longrightarrow$ OrbiGroupoids $\left(\mathfrak{C}^{-1}\right)$ is 2-fully faithful.
3. Each 2-cell in OrbiGroupoids( $\mathfrak{C}^{-1}$ ) has a unique representation by a 2-cell diagram with any given left-hand side.
4. Given a choice of pseudo-pullback squares the 2-cells in OrbiGroupoids $\left(\mathfrak{C}^{-1}\right)$ can be uniquely represented by diagrams with pseudo pullbacks as left-hand 2-cells, and horizontal composition can be calculated as in Section 6.

For further details, proofs, and applications, see [6].
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## Appendix A Associativity Part I: Associativity 2-cells

The goal of these appendices is to study associativity coherence and well-definedness for composition in $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$. In Appendix A we will construct the associativity 2-cells, based on an extension of Proposition 2.9. In Appendix B we will show that these cells satisfy the coherence pentagon condition. In Appendix C we verify that all composition operations are well-defined on equivalence classes. In Appendix D we give a proof for the presentation, given in Section 6.9, of the horizontal composition of two 2-cell diagrams with pull-back squares for left-hand 2-cells and where the left 2-cell diagram is invertible. Throughout the appendices, we assume that $\mathcal{B}$ is a bicategory and $\mathfrak{W}$ is a class of arrows satisfying conditions [WB1]-[WB5].

Consider the 2-cells $\beta$ and $\gamma$ in Proposition 2.9. They give rise to a generalized 2-cell in $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$,


We show that this is the unique cell with this property: if $\beta^{\prime}$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ also satisfy the conditions of Proposition 2.9, then the 2-cell diagram defined by $\beta^{\prime}$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ is equivalent to this one.
A. 1 Proposition. For $v: C \rightarrow X$ and $w: A \rightarrow B$ both in $\mathfrak{W}$ and $f: C \rightarrow B$ any arrow in $\mathcal{B}$, and any two squares,

with $v w_{1}, v w_{2} \in \mathfrak{W}$, there is a unique 2-cell in $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$

such that the composites $(f \beta) \cdot\left(\alpha_{1} s_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\alpha_{2} s_{2}\right) \cdot(w \gamma)$ are equal.
Proof. Existence is a consequence of Proposition 2.9, so we need only prove uniqueness. Let

be another 2-cell diagram with the property that the composites $\left(f \beta^{\prime}\right) \cdot\left(\alpha_{1} t_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\alpha_{2} t_{2}\right)$. $\left(w \gamma^{\prime}\right)$ are equal. Let

be a square as in condition [WB3] and let $\tilde{v}$ with

be a lifting as in $[\mathbf{W B} 4]$ for $\delta$ with respect to $v w_{1}$. We use this cell in the following pasting,

and then use condition [WB4] to obtain an arrow $\bar{v}$ and a cell

which form a lifting for this pasting with respect to $v w_{2}$. We would like to use the diagram

to show that the two 2-cell diagrams are equivalent. However, we still need to make a couple of small adjustments.

By construction we have that the following pastings are equal:


By Lemma 2.7 there is an arrow $v^{*}$ in $\mathfrak{W J}$ such that


To obtain the corresponding result with $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}$ instead of $\beta$, $\beta^{\prime}$, we need to compose with the arrow $w$ so that the hypothesis of [WB4] is satisfied. We will also compose the pasting diagrams we are interested in with the cells $\alpha_{2}$ and $\beta^{\prime-1}$. This leads to the following calculation,

where the last equality follows from (32). Since $\beta^{\prime}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ are invertible 2 -cells, we conclude
that


By Lemma 2.7 there is an arrow $\widetilde{w} \in \mathfrak{W}$ such that


Finally, let $r$ be an arrow such that the composition $v w_{1} s_{1} \bar{t}_{1} \tilde{v} \bar{v} v^{*} \tilde{w} r \in \mathfrak{W}$. Then the cells

satisfy the equations to establish the fact that (30) and (31) are equivalent 2-cell diagrams, as claimed.
A. 2 Notation. We will say that the 2-cell

above connects the squares $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$.
A. 3 Lemma. Let $v: C \rightarrow X$ and $w: A \rightarrow B$ both be in $\mathfrak{W}$ and $f: C \rightarrow B$ any arrow in $\mathcal{B}$, and let

be invertible 2-cells with $v \bar{w}_{i} \in \mathfrak{W}$ for $i=1,2,3$. For each pair $i, j$, let $\left(v \beta_{i j}, \gamma_{i j}\right)$ be the canonical 2-cell connecting the squares $\alpha_{i}$ and $\alpha_{j}$ as given in Proposition A.1,


Then $\left(v \beta_{i i}, \gamma_{i i}\right)$ is the identity 2-cell on the span $\left(v \bar{w}_{i}, f\right)$ and these two cells are closed under vertical composition: $\left(v \beta_{j k}, \gamma_{j k}\right) \cdot\left(v \beta_{i j}, \gamma_{i j}\right)=\left(v \beta_{i k}, \gamma_{i k}\right)$.
Proof. Straight forward calculation.
A. 4 Proposition. For any path of composable spans:

there is an associativity 2-cell

$$
\alpha_{\left(w_{3}, f_{3}\right),\left(w_{2}, f_{2}\right),\left(w_{1}, f_{1}\right)}:\left(w_{3}, f_{3}\right) \circ\left(\left(w_{2}, f_{2}\right) \circ\left(w_{1}, f_{1}\right)\right) \Rightarrow\left(\left(w_{3}, f_{3}\right) \circ\left(w_{2}, f_{2}\right)\right) \circ\left(w_{1}, f_{1}\right)
$$

between the composites as constructed in Section 3.
Proof. If we first compose the left-hand pair and use the choices as described in the construction of $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$, we obtain $\left(w_{3}, f_{3}\right) \circ\left(\left(w_{2}, f_{2}\right) \circ\left(w_{1}, f_{1}\right)\right)$ as the following span,


Note that $w_{1} \bar{w}_{2} \widetilde{w}_{3} \in \mathfrak{W}$. If we first compose the right-hand pair we get $\left(\left(w_{3}, f_{3}\right) \circ\left(w_{2}, f_{2}\right)\right) \circ$ $\left(w_{1}, f_{1}\right)$ as the span,

where $w_{1} \widetilde{w}_{2} \in \mathfrak{W}$ and $w_{2} \bar{w}_{3} \in \mathfrak{W}$. The associativity 2 -cell will be a vertical composite of two 2-cells going through the intermediate:

where $\alpha_{3}$ is chosen as in $[\mathbf{C} 4]$ with $w_{1} \bar{w}_{2} \overline{\bar{w}}_{3} \in \mathfrak{W}$; also $w_{2} \bar{w}_{3} \in \mathfrak{W}$ by the choice of $\alpha_{2}$ as in [C4]. We construct the associativity 2-cell as a vertical composition of two 2-cells: (34) $\Rightarrow(36)$ and $(36) \Rightarrow(35)$. (Note that by Lemma A. 3 the resulting associativity 2-cell does not depend on the choice of the square $\alpha_{3}$.)
$(34) \Rightarrow(36)$ : the diagrams in (34) and (36) only differ in the following chosen squares:


By Proposition A. 1 there is a unique 2-cell in $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$ connecting these two squares. Let

be a diagram representing this 2 -cell. Composing it with $f_{3}$ gives,

$(36) \Rightarrow(35)$ : the diagrams in (36) and (35) only differ by the following two squares:


By Proposition A. 1 there is a unique 2-cell in $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right)$ connecting these two squares. Let

be a diagram representing this 2-cell. Composing with $f_{3} \bar{f}_{2}$ gives,


The associativity 2-cell for the composable path given in (33) is the vertical composition of (37) and (38). To calculate this composition (as described in Section 3), we use the choices of $[\mathbf{C 5}]$ and $[\mathbf{C 6}]$ of Notation 3.2 to obtain a square

with $\varphi$ invertible and $w_{1} \bar{w}_{2} \overline{\bar{w}}_{3} s_{2} \bar{t}_{1} \in \mathfrak{W}$. Then the associativity 2-cell $\alpha_{\left(w_{3}, f_{3}\right),\left(w_{2}, f_{2}\right),\left(w_{1}, f_{1}\right)}$ is represented by

A. 5 Proposition. Vertical composition of 2-cells is strictly associative.

Proof. Consider three vertically composable 2-cell diagrams,


Our proof that the two ways of composing these cells vertically are equivalent will mimick the construction of the associativity isomorphism in the proof of the previous proposition. The constructed cells will in this case become the cells that witness the equivalence. However, since we are only interested in the equivalence rather that the cells witnessing it, we will do this in two steps without composing the cells obtained in the two steps.

The two possible vertical compositions correspond to choices of squares $\delta_{i}$ and $\varepsilon_{i}$ with $i=1,2$ as in

with $u_{2} s_{2} \bar{t}_{1} \widetilde{s}_{3} \in \mathfrak{W}$ and $u_{2} s_{2} \bar{s}_{3} \widetilde{t}_{1} \in \mathfrak{W}$. We will also consider the following diagram:

where $\delta_{3}$ is an invertible 2-cell such that $u_{1} s_{1} \bar{s}_{2} \hat{s}_{3}$ is in $\mathfrak{W}$. Note that none of these are pasting diagrams yet, but they can be made into pasting diagrams by adding the cells $\alpha_{i}$ or the cells $\beta_{i}$ as a bottom row to the diagrams. With the $\alpha_{i}$ cells we obtain the left-hand 2-cells of our composite 2-cell diagrams and with the $\beta_{i}$ cells we obtain the right-hand 2 -cells of our composite diagrams. As we want to argue about both at the same time, we will give the argument for variable $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ and $\gamma_{3}$. We begin by comparing the diagrams


These two diagrams only differ in the rectangle with $\varepsilon_{1}$ versus the composition of $\delta_{3}$ and $\delta_{2}$. As both $u_{1} s_{1} \bar{s}_{2} \widetilde{s}_{3}$ and $u_{1} s_{1} \bar{s}_{2} \hat{s}_{3}$ are in $\mathfrak{W}$, we can apply Proposition 2.9 to these two rectangles and obtain arrows and 2-cells as in the following diagram,

with the property that


By substituting the $\alpha_{i}$ for the $\gamma_{i}$ and by subtituting the $\beta_{i}$ for the $\gamma_{i}$ we see that if the vertical composition had been constructed with the cells $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}$ and $\delta_{3}$ it would have been equivalent to the composition obtained by composing the first two 2-cells first. By a similar argument we see that the new composition is also equivalent to the composition obtained by composing the last two diagrams first. So we conclude that the two compositions considered are equivalent and hence vertical composition is strictly associative.

## Appendix B Associativity Part II: Coherence

We will only sketch the proof for the associativity pentagon. The other coherence diagrams are straight forward. We will view the diagram (36) as a kind of common subdivision of (34) and (35), and break up the coherence into transitions given by Proposition A.1, and transitions with two layers of cells. There are two versions of this two layer case. They seem dual to each other, but their proofs are not, as the arrows in $\mathfrak{W J}$ play very different roles. The two cases are covered in Propositions B. 1 and B. 2 below.

## B. 1 Proposition. Suppose we have two diagrams in $\mathcal{B}$,


with $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ invertible and all of $w_{1}, w_{1} \bar{w}_{2}, w_{1} \bar{w}_{2} \bar{w}_{3}, w_{1} \widetilde{w}_{2}$, and $w_{1} \widetilde{w}_{2} \widetilde{w}_{3}$ in $\mathfrak{W J}$. Furthermore, suppose that we have two 2-cell diagrams

for $i=1,2$,
that both connect $\alpha_{1}$ and $\beta_{1}$ in the sense of Notation A.2. And, suppose that there are 2-cells $\sigma_{i}, \tau_{i}$ and $\theta_{i}$ for $i=1,2$ as in

such that $w_{1} \bar{w}_{2} \bar{w}_{3} \bar{s}_{i} \in \mathfrak{W}$ and

for $i=1,2$. Then the 2-cell diagrams,

and

are equivalent.
Proof. By Proposition A. 1 we know that

and

are equivalent 2-cell diagrams as they both connect $\alpha_{1}$ and $\beta_{1}$. So there are 2-cells

such that $w_{1} \bar{w}_{2} s_{1} r_{1} \in \mathfrak{W}$ and


Now consider the cospan $\xrightarrow{v_{3, i}} \underset{\leftarrow}{r_{i}}$. Since both $w_{1} \bar{w}_{2} s_{i} v_{3, i}$ and $w_{1} \bar{w}_{2} s_{i} r_{i}$ are in $\mathfrak{W}$ we can use conditions [WB3], [WB4] and [WB2] to obtain a square with an invertible 2-cell,

with $w_{1} \bar{w}_{2} s_{i} r_{i} \bar{v}_{3, i} \in \mathfrak{W}$. We apply the same conditions then to $w_{1} \bar{w}_{2} s_{1} r_{1} v_{3,1}^{\prime}$ and $w_{1} \bar{w}_{2} s_{2} r_{2} v_{3,2}^{\prime}$ to obtain a square with an invertible 2-cell,

such that $w_{1} \bar{w}_{2} s_{1} r_{1} v_{3,1}^{\prime} u_{1} \in \mathfrak{W}$. Now write $\rho_{1}:=\rho_{1}^{\prime} u_{1}, \bar{r}_{1}:=r_{1}^{\prime} u_{1}, \bar{v}_{3}:=v_{3,1}^{\prime} u_{1}$, and $\bar{r}_{2}:=r_{2}^{\prime} u_{2}$. Finally, write $\rho_{2}$ for the pasting of


Then we obtain the following diagram,


Now consider the following two pasting diagrams,


Use condition [WB4] to lift the first pasting with respect to $w_{1} \bar{w}_{2} \bar{w}_{3}$ to obtain $\varphi^{\prime}: \bar{s}_{1} \bar{r}_{1} u \Rightarrow$ $\bar{s}_{2} \bar{r}_{2} u$; similarly, apply condition [WB4] to the pasting of the second diagram composed with $u$ and lift with respect to $w_{1} \widetilde{w}_{2} \widetilde{w}_{3}$ to obtain $\widetilde{\psi}: \bar{t}_{1} \bar{r}_{1} u u^{\prime} \Rightarrow \bar{t}_{2} \bar{r}_{2} u u^{\prime}$. Now write $\widetilde{r}_{1}=\bar{r}_{1} u u^{\prime}, \widetilde{r}_{2}=\bar{r}_{2} u u^{\prime}$, and $\widetilde{\varphi}=\varphi^{\prime} u^{\prime}$. Then the reader may check that the 2-cells

witness to the 2-cell diagrams in (42) being equivalent.
The following proposition is the dual to the previous one; however, the proof is quite different, due to the special role played by arrows in $\mathfrak{W}$.
B. 2 Proposition. Suppose we have two diagrams in $\mathcal{B}$,

with all 2-cells invertible and all of $w_{3}, w_{2} \bar{w}_{3}, w_{2} \widetilde{w}_{3}, w_{1} \bar{w}_{2}$, and $w_{1} \widetilde{w}_{2}$ in $\mathfrak{W}$. Suppose further that we have two 2-cell diagrams


$$
\text { for } i=1,2 \text {, }
$$

that both connect $\alpha_{2}$ and $\beta_{2}$. Suppose that there are 2-cells $\sigma_{i}, \tau_{i}$ and $\zeta_{i}$ for $i=1,2$ as in,

such that $w_{1} \bar{w}_{2} \bar{s}_{i} \in \mathfrak{W}$ for $i=1,2$, and

for $i=1,2$. Then the 2-cell diagrams,

are equivalent.
Proof. By Proposition A. 1 we know that

and

are equivalent 2-cell diagrams as they both connect $\alpha_{2}$ and $\beta_{2}$. So there are 2-cells

such that $w_{2} \bar{w}_{3} s_{1} r_{1} \in \mathfrak{W}$ and


Since the composites $w_{1} \bar{w}_{2} \bar{s}_{i} \in \mathfrak{W}$ for $i=1,2$, we can use conditions [WB3], [WB4] and [WB2] to obtain an invertible 2-cell $\varphi^{\prime}$ as in

with $w_{1} \bar{w}_{2} \bar{s}_{1} r_{1}^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{W}$. We want to define a corresponding cell $\psi^{\prime}$. So consider the diagram,


Since $w_{1} \widetilde{w}_{2} \in \mathfrak{W}$, we apply conditions [WB4] and [WB2] to lift the pasting of this diagram with respect to $w_{1} \widetilde{w}_{2}$ to obtain $\psi^{\prime}: \bar{t}_{1} r_{1}^{\prime} w^{\prime} \Rightarrow \bar{t}_{2} r_{2}^{\prime} w^{\prime}$. Now note that $\widetilde{w}_{2} \psi^{\prime}$ and the composite of

are both liftings of the pasting of (48) with respect to $w_{1}$. So by condition [WB4] there is an arrow $w^{\prime \prime}$ such that $\psi^{\prime} w^{\prime \prime}$ is equal to the composition of this last pasting with $w^{\prime \prime}$. We will need this in our calculations, so we write $\bar{r}_{i}=r_{i}^{\prime} w^{\prime} w^{\prime \prime}, \widetilde{\varphi}=\varphi^{\prime} w^{\prime} w^{\prime \prime}$, and $\widetilde{\psi}=\psi^{\prime} w^{\prime \prime}$. This gives us the following diagram


These cells satisfy the required equation with the $\zeta_{i}$ by construction:


We will next see that after precomposing with an appropriate arrow they will also satisfy the equation for the composites of the right-hand sides of (45). Since the cells $\varphi$ and $\psi$ satisfy the equation with the $\delta_{i}$ as stated in (47), we will focus on the cylinder with the diagram (46) as bottom and (49) as top. The sides of this cylinder are given by


Before we can discuss the commutativity of this cylinder, we need to build cells to fill in the following frame,


Since $w_{1} \bar{w}_{2} s_{1} r_{1} \in \mathfrak{W}$, we can use conditions [WB3], [WB4] and [WB2] to construct an invertible 2-cell $\rho_{1}$ as in

where $w_{1} \bar{w}_{2} \bar{s}_{1} \bar{r}_{1} u \in \mathfrak{W}$. Use this to construct a left-hand square in the frame. To obtain a cell to fill the remaining right-hand square, we consider the following pasting diagram,


Now lift with respect to $w_{2} \widetilde{w}_{3} t_{2}$ to obtain $\rho_{2}: g_{1,2} \bar{r}_{2} u \widetilde{t} \Rightarrow r_{2} h_{1} \widetilde{t}$. So the middle frame gets filled as follows:


Furthermore, we have adjusted the top of the cylinder to become


We have defined $\rho_{2}$ in such a way that if the half of the cylinder that contains the $\psi, \widetilde{\psi}, \tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ gets composed with $w_{2} \widetilde{w}_{3}$ it commutes. Condition [WB4] now gives that there is an arrow $x$ such that if we precompose the top of the cylinder and the middle frame both with $x$, this half of the cylinder commutes. So now the top and the middle frame are respectively,

and


To investigate the commutativity of the other half of the cylinder, we will show that


We begin by rewriting the left-hand side. By (47) this pasting is equal to the pasting of


We use (47) to rewrite the right two 2-cells in this diagram to get


Now note that we have constructed $\widetilde{\varphi}$ and $\widetilde{\psi}$ such that

so we make this substitution in the diagram above to obtain,


We use (47) again; this time to rewrite the bottom right-hand corner of the diagram:

and by the definition of $\rho_{2}$, this is equal to


This completes our proof of equation (50). Since $\varepsilon_{2}$ is invertible we can compose both sides of (50) by $w_{2} \varepsilon_{2}^{-1} r_{2} h_{1} \widetilde{t x}$ and it follows that


It follows from condition [WB4] that there is an arrow $y$ such that


Hence, it follows from the arguments above that the cells

witness to the equivalence of the 2-cell diagrams in (45).
B. 3 Remark. Analogous to the situation in Proposition A.1, we say that the 2-cell diagrams in (42) (respectively in (45)) connect the 2-cell configurations in (41) (respectively (43)). Propositions B. 1 and B. 2 only state uniqueness results, but it is not hard to prove existence as well. Since we will only need uniqueness in the proof of associativity coherence, we will not include the proofs of existence.
B. 4 Proposition. For any composable path of four spans,

the associativity 2-cells defined in Proposition A.4 make the associativity coherence pentagon commute.
Proof. The following diagram shows the associativity coherence pentagon.


We have divided the pentagon into regions corresponding to various subdivisions, and we will show that each region commutes by one of the three results in Propositions A.1, B. 1 and B.2. We sketch the argument for each region, leaving the details for the reader.

For region (1) both composites provide a whiskering of a 2-cell that connects the squares


Since there is only one such 2-cell by Proposition A.1, this region commutes.
For region (2) the two compositions connect the diagrams

as in Proposition B.1.
Region (3) is the dual of region (2) and follows from Proposition B.2.
For region (4) commutativity is obtained from Proposition B. 1 applied to

where we view the pasting of $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{4}$ as a single cell.
Region (5) is the dual of region (4) and commutativity can be obtained by applying Proposition B. 2 to

and

where we view the pasting of $\alpha_{5}$ and $\alpha_{3}$ as a single cell and the pasting of $\overline{\bar{\alpha}}_{6}$ and $\beta_{2}$ as a single cell.

Region (6) could be done with an application of either Proposition B. 1 or Proposition B.2. If we use Proposition B.1, we focus on the diagrams,


Here we consider the pasting of $\alpha_{4}$ and $\alpha_{1}$ as a single cell, the pasting of $\widetilde{\alpha}_{6}$ and $\beta_{3}$ as a single cell, and the pasting of $\alpha_{6}, \alpha_{5}$ and $\alpha_{3}$ as a single cell.

For region (7) the two ways of composing provide to 2 -cells that connect the rectangles,

and there is only one such cell by Proposition A.1, so this region commutes.
Region (8) is the dual of region (7) whose two compositions give the 2 -cell connecting the rectangles,


## Appendix C Well-Definedness of Composition

In this appendix we show that vertical composition and horizontal whiskering are welldefined on equivalence classes of 2-cell diagrams. We start by observing that the equivalence relation on 2-cell diagrams is generated by the following non-symmetric relation:

if there are invertible 2 -cells $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ such that

and

where $u_{1} t \in \mathcal{W}$ (equivalently, $u_{1}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{W}$ ). So it is sufficient to check well-definedness with respect to this relation. The main tool we will use for this is Proposition 2.9. We will repeatedly create squares that can be compared using this proposition and the cells produced that way will show that the 2-cell diagrams resulting from composing or whiskering equivalent 2-cell diagrams are again equivalent.
C. 1 Proposition. Vertical composition of 2-cell diagrams is well-defined on equivalence classes.

Proof. Consider two 2-cell diagrams

and

as in Section 3 and two 2-cell diagrams

and

with 2-cells $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}$ and $\gamma_{4}$ such that

and


Vertical composition of the two 2-cell diagrams in (51) is given by

and vertical composition of the two 2-cell diagrams in (52) is given by:

for suitable arrows $x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}$ such that $u_{1} v_{1} x_{1}$ and $u_{1} v_{1} y_{1}$ are in $\mathfrak{W}$ and suitable invertible 2-cells $\delta_{1}$ and $\delta_{2}$. By equations (53)-(56) the 2-cell diagram (58) can be rewritten as:


We can now apply Proposition 2.9 to


This gives us invertible 2 -cells $\varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$ as in the following diagram,

where $u_{2} v_{3} x_{2} r_{1} \in \mathfrak{W}$ and such that


Now the reader may check that the following diagram can be used to show that the 2-cells diagrams (57) and (58) are equivalent:

C. 2 Proposition. Left whiskering of a 2-cell diagram and an arrow in the bicategory of fractions is well-defined on equivalence classes of 2-cell diagrams.

Proof. We will again consider a generator of the equivalence relation:


Whiskering these 2-cell diagrams with $<^{v} \xrightarrow{g}$ gives us the following 2-cell diagrams:

where $\tilde{\beta}_{1}$ is the lifting with respect to $v$ of

and $\tilde{\beta}_{2}$ is the lifting with respect to $v$ of:


To show that 2-cell diagrams in (60) are equivalent, we begin by applying Proposition 2.9 to the following two diagrams:


This gives us arrows $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ and cells $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$ as in

with the property that


Now we apply Proposition 2.9 to the following two diagrams:

this gives us arrows $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ and cells $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ as in the following diagram

with the property that


The cells we have constructed so far allow us to perform the following calculation of pasting diagrams for any cell $\delta: d_{1} s_{1} \Rightarrow d_{2} s_{2}$ :

by (61)

by (62)

Applying this result with $\beta$ instead of $\delta$ implies that


So by Lemma 2.7 and WB2 we get an arrow $q$ such that $u_{2} s_{2} r \hat{v}_{2} \hat{x}_{2} \hat{v} y_{1} z_{1} q \in \mathfrak{W}$ and


Applying the calculation above with $\alpha$ instead of $\delta$ gives us the remaining result to conclude that the arrows and cells in

witness to the fact that the two cell diagrams in (60) are equivalent. We conclude that left-whiskering is well-defined on equivalence classes of 2-cell diagrams.
C. 3 Proposition. Right whiskering of a 2-cell diagram and an arrow in the bicategory of fractions is well-defined on equivalence classes of 2-cell diagrams.
Proof. We will sketch the proof of this result as the details get rather involved and don't necessarily illuminate the idea behind the proof. Any interested reader is welcome to contact the authors for further details.

Consider the following whiskering diagrams:


We want to show that the 2-cell diagrams that result after whiskering are equivalent.

These two diagrams are

and

respectively.
We will produce the cells that witness that these diagrams are equivalent. To do this,
consider 2-cell diagrams comparing the following four squares:



By composing these 2-cell diagrams vertically, we obtain two 2-cell diagrams comparing the top left and bottom right square. By Proposition A. 1 these 2-cell diagrams are equivalent. This will provide us two additional cells which paste with cells from the 2-cell diagrams to provide us the cells that witness the equivalence of (64) and (65).

We start with the 2 -cell diagram comparing the two squares in the top row. However, we will ignore the cells $\gamma_{1}$ and $\alpha^{-1}$. So by applying Proposition 2.9, we obtain arrows $c, \hat{c}$ and cells $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$ as in

such that


The 2-cell diagram to compare the two squares on the right-hand side of (66) can be built from cells we have already. The two arrows in the middle can be taken as identity arrows, and we will omit them to avoid adding unitor cells. So the reader may verify that the following 2-cell diagram compares the two squares on the right:


Composing (67) with (69) gives us:


Similar to the situation for the right two squares, the 2-cell diagram comparing the two squares on the left of (66) can also be constructed from cells we have constructed already. Again collapsing all identity arrows, the following 2-cell diagram is what is needed to compare the left two squares:


To compare the bottom two squares in (66), we apply Proposition 2.9 to


This gives us cells as in

such that


Composing (71) with (72) gives us:


As we noted at the beginning, the 2-cell diagrams (70) and (74) are equivalent, so there are arrows and 2-cells as in

to witness this equivalence; i.e., such that

and


It can be checked by a long but straightforward calculation using all the equations set up
in this proof that the following cells witness the equivalence of (64) and (65):


## Appendix D Horizontal Composition of 2-Cell Diagrams

In this appendix we provide a proof for the following result, described in Section 6.9:
D. 1 Proposition. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a bicategory and let $\mathfrak{W}$ be a class of arrows in $\mathcal{B}$ that is pullback-closed, satisfies the fractions axioms and is full and co-full. If the cell $\beta$ in the following diagram of composable 2-cell diagrams is invertible,

then the horizontal composition of these 2-cells in $\mathcal{B}\left[\mathfrak{W}^{-1}\right]$ can be represented by the 2-cell diagram

as described in Section 6.9.
Proof. We construct the horizontal composition of the 2-cell diagrams of (77) using whiskering and vertical composition:

$$
\left(\left[\rho_{v_{1}, v_{2}}, \gamma\right]\left(f_{2}, u_{2}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\left(v_{1}, g_{1}\right)\left[\rho_{u_{1}, u_{2}}, \beta\right]\right)
$$

We start by considering the whiskering $\left(v_{1}, g_{1}\right)\left[\rho_{u_{1}, u_{2}}, \beta\right]$. To construct this, we need the chosen square:


This lets us construct the composition of the spans of arrows as in the following diagram (which is not a pasting diagram):


The left-hand 2-cell for the 2-cell diagram representing the whiskering $\left(v_{1}, g_{1}\right)\left[\rho_{u_{1}, u_{2}}, \beta\right]$ is the pseudo-pullback square

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
P_{u_{1} \bar{v}_{1}, u_{2} v_{1}^{*}} \xrightarrow{\pi_{D}^{\prime}} & D \\
\pi_{D^{*}}^{\prime} \mid \downarrow \downarrow_{u_{1} \bar{v}_{1}, u_{2} v_{1}^{*}} & \downarrow_{1} \bar{u}_{1} \\
D^{*} \xrightarrow[u_{2} v_{1}^{*}]{ } & A
\end{array}
$$

Let $w_{u_{1}, u_{2}}^{*}: P_{u_{1} \bar{v}_{1}, u_{2} v_{1}^{*}} \rightarrow P_{u_{1}, u_{2}}$ be the unique arrow such that $\rho_{u_{1}, u_{2}} w_{u_{1}, u_{2}}^{*}=\rho_{u_{1} \bar{v}_{1}, u_{2} v_{1}^{*}}$. Then the right-hand 2-cell in the diagram representing the whiskering of $\left[\rho_{u_{1}, u_{2}}, \beta\right]$ with $\left(v_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ can be obtained by considering diagram below and then taking a lifting with respect to $v_{1}$ for the right-hand pasting diagram (using fullness of $\mathfrak{W}$ ):


We write $\tilde{\beta}: \bar{f}_{1} \pi_{D}^{\prime} \Rightarrow f_{2}^{*} \pi_{D^{*}}^{\prime}$ for the lifted cell. We obtain then the following 2-cell diagram in the bicategory of fractions:


Now we consider the other half of the composition, the whiskering $\left[\rho_{v_{1}, v_{2}}, \gamma\right]\left(u_{2}, f_{2}\right)$. The domain and codomain spans of arrows for the whiskering are constructed in the following diagram (not a pasting diagram):


To find a 2-cell diagram representing this whiskering, we start with the pseudo pullback,


Using fullness of $\mathfrak{W}$, let
be the lifting of this diagram with respect to $u_{2}$, and let $x_{v_{1}, v_{2}}: P_{u_{2} v_{1}^{*}, u_{2} \bar{v}_{2}} \rightarrow P_{v_{1}, v_{2}}$ be the unique arrow such that the following equality of pasting diagrams holds:


The whiskering $\left[\rho_{v_{1}, v_{2}}, \gamma\right]\left(u_{2}, f_{2}\right)$ can now be represented by the diagram


We now want to construct the vertical composition of the whiskerings $\left[\rho_{v_{1}, v_{2}}, \gamma\right]\left(f_{2}, u_{2}\right)$ and $\left(v_{1}, g_{1}\right)\left[\rho_{u_{1}, u_{2}}, \beta\right]$ as presented in (79) and (80). For this we need the following pseudo
pullback (or any square that commutes up to an invertible 2-cell):


Furthermore, let $r: R \rightarrow P_{u_{1} \bar{v}_{1}, u_{2} \bar{v}_{2}}$ be the unique arrow such that the following equality of pasting diagrams holds,


Now let

be a lifting with respect to $r$ of the pasting of the following diagram,


We need to show that the 2-cell diagram (78) that we constructed in Section 6.9,

is equivalent to the following diagram, whose right side is the lift of (81) with respect to $r$ :


To do this, we precompose $\widetilde{\beta \gamma}$ by $r$, which allows us to expand $\widetilde{\beta \gamma}$, replacing it with (81). Let diagram (I) be the following sub-diagram of the result:


So diagram (81) is obtained from diagram (I) by postcomposing it with $g_{1}$ and then with $\gamma$. We now take diagram (I) and postcompose with $v_{1}$.


Since $\tilde{\beta}$ was originally defined as a lift with respect to $v_{1}$, this allows us to expand $\tilde{\beta}$ :


We now postcompose by $\rho_{v_{1}, v_{2}}$.


By the definition of $x_{v_{1}, v_{2}}$ this is equal to


We can now cancel $\varepsilon_{1,2}^{-1}$ and $\varepsilon_{1,2}$ :


We again decide to focus on just a part of this diagram - we call this part (II).


We will now show that if we post-compose diagram (II) with $u_{2}$, we get an identity 2 cell. To show this, we post-compose diagram (II) with $u_{2}$ and then pre-compose with the
invertible 2-cell $\rho_{u_{1}, u_{2}}$ :


The first equality above follows from the universal property of the arrow $w_{u_{1}, u_{2}}^{*}$, and the second equality follows from the definition of the arrow $r$. The definition of $w_{u_{1}, u_{2}}$ now implies that this pasting is equal to


Now composing with an appropriate whiskering of $\rho_{u_{1}, u_{2}}^{-1}$ gives the promised identity 2 cell. We conclude that there is an arrow $\tilde{u}_{2}: R^{\prime} \rightarrow R$ in $\mathfrak{W}$ such that diagram (II) pre-composed with $\tilde{u}_{2}$ is an identity 2 -cell as claimed. When we substitute this into (83),
we get the following pasting diagram:


We can rewrite this as


If we assume that $\beta$ is invertible, this pasting is equal to the following by definition of $w_{v_{1}, v_{2}}$ given in Section 6.9:


When we post-compose this with $\rho_{v_{1}, v_{2}}^{-1}$ we find that when the pasting of diagram (I) is pre-composed with $\tilde{u}_{2}$ and post-composed with $v_{1}$, the result is equal to the identity

2 -cell on $v_{1} \pi_{B^{\prime}} w_{v_{1}, v_{2}} r \tilde{u}_{2}$. So there is an arrow $\tilde{v}_{1}: R^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow R$ in $\mathfrak{W}$ such that pasting (I) pre-composed by $r \tilde{u}_{2} \tilde{v}_{1}$ is the identity 2 -cell on $\pi_{B^{\prime}} w_{v_{1}, v_{2}} r \tilde{u}_{2} \tilde{v}_{1}$. We finally post-compose with $\gamma$ to find that $\widetilde{\gamma \beta}$ is precomposed with $r \tilde{u}_{2} \tilde{v}_{1}$ is equal to


We conclude that diagram (29) given in Section 6.9 and the diagram constructed from the vertical composition of whiskerings are equivalent as claimed.
D. 2 REmark. If $\beta$ is not invertible, the 2-cell diagram (82) within the proof above gives a representation of the horizontal composition. Unfortunately, without further assumptions there is no obvious way to simplify this representation.
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