Spin-Orbit Coupling Induced Degeneracy in the Anisotropic Unconventional Superconductor UTe$_2$
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The orthorhombic uranium dichalcogenide UTe$_2$ displays superconductivity below 1.7 K, with the anomalous feature of retaining 50% of normal state (ungapped) carriers, according to heat capacity data from two groups. Incoherent transport that crosses over from above 50 K toward a low temperature, Kondo lattice Fermi liquid regime indicates strong magnetic fluctuations and the need to include correlation effects in theoretical modeling. We report density functional theory plus Hubbard U (DFT+U) results for UTe$_2$ to provide a platform for modeling its unusual behavior, focusing on ferromagnetic (FM, time reversal breaking) long range correlations along the $\hat{a}$ axis as established by magnetization measurements and confirmed by our calculations. States near the Fermi level are dominated by the $j = \frac{1}{2}$ configuration, with the $j = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ sectors being effectively degenerate and half-filled. Unlike the small-gap insulating nonmagnetic electronic spectrum, the FM Fermi surfaces are large (strongly metallic) and display low dimensional features, reminiscent of the FM superconductor UGe$_2$.

I. INTRODUCTION

Crystal symmetries have played a crucial role in classification of superconducting gaps, with the well studied options being nodeless, point node, or line node gaps. These classes have different types of low energy excitations, as observed in spectroscopic, thermodynamic, and transport properties. Symmetries also play a central role in the topological classification of normal crystalline materials, leading to topological versus “trivial” insulators, Weyl semimetals, and multi-Weyl semimetals, as well as some more esoteric classes. A conjunction of these classes was discovered by Agterberg, Brydon, and Timm (ABT)\cite{1}, who established the possibility of a superconducting critical temperature $T_c$ sufficiently free of second phases. One example is the Fe(S,Se) alloy system,\cite{2,3} and other possible examples have been mentioned by ABT.\cite{1} The basic need at this time is to obtain a realistic band structure including the requisite aspects that will form the platform for inclusion of additional (viz. dynamic) effects. We focus on the newly synthesized actinide chalcogenide UTe$_2$.

UTe$_2$, which crystallizes in an orthorhombic $Immm$ structure\cite{4} (space group #71) shown in Fig. 1, has been suggested by Ran and collaborators\cite{5} to provide a new phase of superconducting matter below $T_c = 1.7$K in which half of the electrons become superconducting and half remain normal (thus with Fermi surfaces), based on heat capacity data. The implication is that, at $T_c$, some additional symmetry is broken beyond the usual broken gauge symmetry. While no magnetic ordering is detected, it was proposed that half of the fermionic excitations (spin up, for want of a better characterization) become superconducting while the spin down fermions remain in the normal conducting state. Confirmation of the basic properties has been provided by Aoki, Ishida, and Floquet.\cite{7}

Several features of UTe$_2$ have been revealed. The susceptibility is highly anisotropic, being much higher (as temperature is lowered) along the $\hat{a}$ axis. This anisotropy indicates that ferromagnetic ordering is more highly favored along this axis, though ordering does not actually occur in zero magnetic field. This anisotropy implies in turn a strong crystal field anisotropy of the U atom, from which any magnetic moment must arise. UTe$_2$ remains metallic with a large (enhanced) Sommerfeld coefficient $\gamma \approx 120$ mJ/K$^2$, indicative of a Kondo lattice fermionic ground state. Without any electronic structure
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study for comparison, the degree of enhancement remains an open question. Aoki et al. have reported a 10% entropy imbalance at Tc between the observed state and the extrapolated normal state, which may indicate some more complex behavior below Tc.

While U metallic 5f electrons become highly conducting at low T, the magnetic susceptibility at higher temperature (above 150K) is characteristic of a local Curie-Weiss moment, reported variously as somewhat anisotropic with values in the 3.3-3.6\(\mu_B/\text{U}\) range [4] or also as 2.8\(\mu_B/\text{U}\) [5]. This local-itinerant dichotomy is itself not so unusual, as elemental Fe itself and several heavy fermion materials behave similarly. What is different about U is that spin-orbit coupling is very large, so pure spin differentiation gives way to spin-orbit coupled quantum designations with the orbital contribution being larger than that of the spin.

We find, as expected, that U 5f states dominate the region around the Fermi level, described in Sec. III. Inclusion of correlation effects appropriate for a more localized description versus a fully itinerant description, by including a repulsive Hubbard U interaction and a Hund’s J parameter, do not change the primary features but do lead to an effective degeneracy that may be relevant. The states at and below EF are dominated by \(j = \frac{5}{2}\) character (spin opposite to the orbital moment). Within this multiplet, \(m_j = -\frac{5}{2}\) and \(-\frac{3}{2}\) states are fully occupied. The \(m_j = \pm \frac{1}{2}\) states provide the primary component of Fermi level states, and they are effectively degenerate and half-filled. These projections are with respect to the \(a\) axis, chosen as the majority spin direction. Furthermore, decomposition into spin states reveals that states around EF are essentially fully spin-polarized. These characteristics are suggestive of an incipient broken symmetry that might account for the Bogoliubov Fermi surface, and in Sec. IV some analysis is provided. A brief summary is provided in Sec. V.

II. METHODS

The electronic structure has been investigated with the LSDA+U method, reviewed and analyzed in Ref. [8]. Fully anisotropic repulsive orbital interactions \(U_{m,m'}\) are included between all U orbitals \(m\), with Hund’s coupling \(J_{m,m'}\) between parallel spin electrons, both treated in a fully rotationally invariant manner [9]. In the case of strong spin-orbit coupling (SOC) appropriate for U, to treat the strong orbital character realistically the spherical average interaction

\[
\Delta E_{SS} = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{U} - J)\left(\text{Tr}[\hat{n}] - \text{Tr}[\hat{n}\hat{n}]\right)
\]

is considered as discussed in Ref. [10]. Here \(\hat{n}\) is the orbital occupation matrix of the open shell and \(\bar{U}, J\) are spherically averaged interactions. The remaining spin and orbitally dependent LSDA+U terms include the SOC induced anisotropic contributions to the on-site Coulomb interactions – the orbital polarization (OP) – and the spin-flip terms due to spin off-diagonal matrix elements of the on-site occupation matrix \(\hat{n}_{j,j'}\).

We use the relativistic version of the full-potential linearized augmented plane wave (FP-LAPW) method including SOC, with the rotationally invariant form of DFT+U implemented as described in Ref. [9] [11]. An additional non-spherical double-counting correction is used, described in Refs. [11] [12]. Following a conventional approach, we make use of reduced atomic Hartree-Fock values [13] of the Slater integrals \(F_2 = 6.20\) eV, \(F_4 = 4.03\) eV, and \(F_6 = 2.94\) eV. The resulting values are Hund’s \(J = 0.51\) eV, and we select a Hubbard U \((=F_0)\) equal to the value of J. With the choice of the Coulomb repulsion U equal to the Hund’s exchange J, all spherically symmetric terms in the rotationally invariant \(U, J\) correction are set to zero, as they are treated in the LSDA

![FIG. 1: Total and projected densities of states/eV (per unit cell) for nonmagnetic UTe2 from the LSDA+U(OP) functional, with projections for U 5f (red) and Te p (black). The 1\textit{mmmm} UTe2 crystal structure is shown in the inset: blue spheres are U, pink spheres are Te.](image-url)
The moment quantization axis and it will be used below the experimental susceptibility is highly anisotropic at the Fermi level electronic structure substantially. Pairing \[16\] though there is no evidence of such a new viewpoint. The semimetallic character of nonmagnetic the band structure is more involved than the textbook gap occurring between a heavy and a light band, although \(E\) in Fig. 2. Simple non-magnetic, uncorrelated UTe states (DOS), together with the U atom \(f\)-projected DOS and Te \(p\)-projected DOS, are shown in Fig. [2] The \(\frac{3}{2}\) and \(\frac{7}{2}\) peak centers are separated by \(\sim 1\) eV. The \(\xi\) term in the Kohn-Sham equation has a coefficient \(\xi_{5f}=220\) meV, giving a \(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{7}{2}\) splitting of 0.77 eV, confirming that this separation is from SOC, with minor crystal field contributions. The calculated \(5f\) occupation within the U sphere \(n_f=2.8\), which supports the viewpoint of an underlying \(f^3\) configuration. Additional evidence for this will appear later. A filled Te \(p\) shell, i.e. Te\(^2\)-, would require \(U^{4+}\)\(^f_2\), so the Te \(p\) shell is not filled and, in spite of the small U \(5f\) bandwidth, U-Te hybridization cannot be discounted.

The narrow \(5f\) electron states shown in Fig. [1] that straddle the Fermi energy \(E_F\) in Fig. 1 have \(j=\frac{5}{2}\) character; the \(j=\frac{7}{2}\) manifold is centered 1.5 eV above \(E_F\). Careful determination of the bands and DOS reveals a gap of 13 meV, apparently an “accidental” hybridization gap rather than one between characteristic bands (bonding-antibonding, specific \(m_j\) characters, etc.) Due to a flatness of the \(f\) bands there is a strong peak in the DOS (up to 35 states/eV) just 15 meV below \(E_F\) which would correspond to \(\gamma\approx 41\) mJ K\(^{-2}\) mol\(^{-1}\). Even that value is much smaller than the experimental value of \(\gamma=120\) mJ K\(^{-2}\) mol\(^{-1}\) [5], indicating a substantial dynamical enhancement which, considering the Kondo-like behavior observed in the resistivity, is likely due to magnetic fluctuations.

An enlargement of the band structure near \(E_F\) is shown in Fig. [2]. Simple non-magnetic, uncorrelated UTe\(_2\) is calculated to be a semimetal, similar to that found by Aoki \textit{et al.} [6] using LDA without correlation corrections. The 13 meV bandgap just above \(E_F\) reflects a hybridization gap occurring between a heavy and a light band, although the band structure is more involved than the textbook viewpoint. The semimetallic character of nonmagnetic UTe\(_2\) might suggest an instability toward electron-hole pairing [10] though there is no evidence of such a new phase. Also, we find in the next section that OP changes the Fermi level electronic structure substantially.

The \(|m_l,m_s>\) and \(|m_f=m_l+m_s>\) decompositions of \(N(E_F)\) are provided in Table 1 of Appendix B. Since the experimental susceptibility is highly anisotropic at low T, being much higher along the \(\hat{a}\) axis, we chose it as the moment quantization axis and it will be used below in the results for ferromagnetic alignment. The major contribution to the FS comes from \(m_l=0, m_s=\frac{-1}{2}\) and \(m_l=1, m_s=\frac{5}{2}\) in equal amounts, providing the orbital and spin composition of the active orbitals. Given the strong SOC of U, the angular momentum coupled representation is more fundamental. The decompositions \(|j=\frac{5}{2}, m_j=\pm \frac{1}{2}>\) plus some non-negligible amount of \(|\frac{5}{2}, \pm \frac{5}{2}>\) states are dominant. In Appendix C information on the composition of the bands near \(E_F\) is provided. The “fat-band” structure is shown in Fig. [3] emphasizing the \(|m_j,m_s>\) and \(|m_j=m_l+m_s>\) character of the \(j=\frac{5}{2}\) manifold, for negative \(m_j\).

B. Ferromagnetic LSDA+U(OP)

No magnetic order has been detected down to 0.4K. However, based on the observed susceptibility and strong magnetocrystalline anisotropy (MCA) from both theory and experiment, slow long-range FM correlations with alignment along the \(\hat{a}\)-axis are expected, i.e. locally the electronic structure is FM. To model this low T phase we have performed FM calculations with spin moments (and in this collinear calculation, the orbital moments as well) aligned along the \(\hat{a}\) axis. The FM state is 185 meV/f.u. lower in the energy than the non-magnetic state. The \(f\)-shell ordered moments, oriented along the \(\hat{a}\)-axis, are calculated to be

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{M} \parallel \hat{a}: & \quad M_S=1.92\mu_B, M_L=3.44\mu_B, M_J=-1.52\mu_B, \\
\hat{M} \parallel \hat{b}: & \quad M_S=1.83\mu_B, M_L=3.71\mu_B, M_J=-1.86\mu_B, \\
\hat{M} \parallel \hat{c}: & \quad M_S=1.91\mu_B, M_L=3.97\mu_B, M_J=-2.06\mu_B.
\end{align*}
\]

An analogous calculation for FM UGe\(_2\) gave \(M_S=1.32\mu_B, M_L=2.92\mu_B, M_J=1.58\mu_B\), which was in good agreement with experimental data [17].
the ordered state.

The Curie-Weiss moment, an average over fluctuations in all dimensions, is $2.8 \mu_B$ to $3.3 \mu_B$ for UTe$_2$. It is common, when moments are not strongly localized, that the ordered moment is reduced due to mixing with the conduction states. Lack of ordering in UTe$_2$ precludes comparison, but substantial itinerant character of the moment is apparent. Calculating the magnetocrystalline anisotropy, we find that for moments oriented along the $\hat{b}$ and $\hat{c}$ axes, the energy is higher by 37 meV/f.u. and 96 meV/f.u. respectively. Thus, there is a strong uniaxial magnetic anisotropy, with $\hat{a}$ being the easy axis.

The effect of allowing FM moment development and alignment is displayed in Fig. 3. The strong spin- and orbital-polarization coupled with strong SOC results in washing out of the small hybridization gap calculated for the nonmagnetic system. The value of $N(E_F)$ is 16 states/eV-cell corresponding to a band value of $\gamma_0 = 19$ mJ/K$^2$, leaving a factor of five enhancement due to dynamic processes to account for the observed Sommerfeld coefficient.

FIG. 3: Comparison of the density of states for ferromagnetic alignment (metallic) with that of the gapped nonmagnetic state, on a fine energy scale. The 13 meV gap is thoroughly washed out when magnetic moments are allowed to emerge and align.

The density of states for FM ordered UTe$_2$, projected onto spin directions, is displayed in Fig. 4A. The exchange splitting is seen to be around 1.5 eV, with negligible population of minority spin $5f$ orbitals – the $f$ shell of U is fully spin-polarized, however SOC mixes the spin moment amongst the $m_j$ states. The U $j_z$-projected DOS for both $j = \frac{5}{2}$ and $j = \frac{7}{2}$ subspaces is pictured in Fig. 4B. The fully occupied states arise from $j = \frac{5}{2}$ states with $j_z = -\frac{5}{2}$ and $-\frac{3}{2}$. The $j_z = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ states are half-filled, a point we return to below; higher $j_z$ states are unfilled. The U atom configuration can thus be characterized as an $f^2$ local moment, with $j_z = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ orbitals that are itinerant and whose spins compensate.

The Fermi surface for FM order is displayed in Fig. 5. It has 4 sheets, with the most obvious characteristic being a strong nesting feature for FS-3 near $(0, \frac{\pi}{b}, 0)$. Such nearly parallel sheets, one-dimensional in character, suggest instabilities toward order that would double the cell along the $\hat{b}$ axis. The $|m_j, m_s >$ decompositions of $N(E_F)$ are given in Table II of Appendix B. The corresponding $|m_l, m_s >$ decompositions of $N(E_F)$ are provided in Appendix B in Table III. From Table II, it can be observed that FS-2 has strong $j_z = \frac{1}{2}$ character while FS-4 has strong $j_z = -\frac{1}{2}$ character, with some $j_z = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ character spread over the other sheets.

FIG. 4: Total (A) and $f$-projected (B) densities of states for ferromagnetic UTe$_2$ from LSDA+U(OP) functional, with the moment along $\hat{a}$.

(A) The $j_z$-decomposed DOS for both $j = \frac{5}{2}$ and $j = \frac{7}{2}$, plotted upward in red, and $j = \frac{5}{2}$, plotted downward. (B) The $j_z$ projected density of states, with projections stated in the panels. Occupied states arise almost entirely from $j = \frac{5}{2}$ states with negative $j_z$.
The degeneracy of the \( m_j = \pm \frac{1}{2} \) orbitals and their half filling suggests considering linear combinations of the degenerate orbitals, which are themselves linear combinations of 5f orbitals and spin projections. The spin-orbit coupled combinations of \( m_j = \pm \frac{1}{2} \) states are \[ \phi_{\pm, \frac{1}{2}} = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}} Y_{3,0} |\uparrow\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{4}{7}} Y_{3,\pm 1} |\downarrow\rangle \]

in terms of spherical harmonics \( Y_{\ell,m} \) and spin projections. Each state contains \( Y_{3,0} \) but with opposite spin projections. Rotating these degenerate orbitals in functional space so the common orbital \( Y_{3,0} \) has equal spin-up and -down amplitudes leads to \[ \Phi_{\pm} = \sqrt{\frac{4}{7}} \phi_{\pm, \frac{1}{2}} \pm \beta \sqrt{\frac{3}{7}} \phi_{\pm, -\frac{1}{2}} \]

where \( \beta = 1 \) or \( \beta = i \). This linear combination leads to the orbital function \( Y_{3,0} \) with vanishing orbital moment and a specific spin projection: \( < \hat{s}^z > = (0, 1, 0) \), which has zero projection along the orbital z-axis, which due to the large magnetic anisotropy is the crystalline \( \hat{a} \)-axis. The other part has entwined \( (Y_{3,\pm 1}) \) orbitals with opposite spin projections. A second intriguing observation is that one part has a fraction \( \frac{25}{49} \approx 51\% \) of the weight, while the second contains \( 49\% \); these fractions are experimentally indistinguishable from the “one half” of carriers that become paired at \( T_c \) in UTe\(_2\). These observations suggest possible symmetry breaking that links the magnetic anisotropy with the orbital occupation, a possible line for further consideration.

B. The coherent-carrier itinerant picture

The Fermi liquid behavior, evidenced by the strong decrease in the resistivity by a factor of up to 35 below 50K, reflects Kondo screening of the 5f moments. While the large susceptibility, arising from field alignment of magnetic fluctuations, indicates dynamic moments, they no longer scatter carriers, primarily just contributing a mass enhancement which we can estimate is of the order of a factor of five.

Aoki, Ishida, and Floquet have observed in their review\[27\] that only uranium compounds have been confirmed as FM superconductors: orthorhombic UXGe, \( X=\text{Ge, Rh, Co} \). The latter two are isovalent. We obtain an \( f^5 \) configuration in UTe\(_2\), just as has been con-
cluded experimentally for UCoGe,\cite{7} so the $f^3$ configuration may carry importance.

For weak ferromagnets and incipient ferromagnets, DFT methods are known to overestimate the tendency toward magnetic order and the size of magnetic moments, usually ascribed to neglect of magnetic fluctuation effects in the functionals, and possibly to the necessity to include dynamic effects explicitly. Our work provides the groundwork for modeling the metallic Fermi liquid phase of UTe$_2$ that provides the platform for an exotic superconducting state. In UPt$_3$ for example, the six-sheeted Fermi surface is given very realistically by LDA methods.\cite{14,23} In several cases, however, Fermi surfaces are not predicted well by first principles methods.

Our work provides important guidelines: the heavy fermion Fermi liquid state of UTe$_2$ is built on an $f^3$ U configuration in which $m_j = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ states in the $j = \frac{3}{2}$ subspace provides the primary orbital content of the Fermi surface states $|k,n,\tau>$. Here $\tau$ indicates the pseudospin component that bears some characteristics of the more common spin degree of freedom that is commonly used to categorize exotic pairing states. The specific spin-orbital decompositions discussed in the previous subsection may deserve further consideration.

V. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

UTe$_2$ presents the usual challenges of a Kondo lattice superconductor, with the complicating features of strong magnetic anisotropy (strong SOC) and (especially) the coexistence of normal carriers and superconducting pairs below $T_c$. At low $T$ magnetic fluctuations slow down, especially those with large moments as observed in UTe$_2$. Considering the strong evidence, both experimental and theoretical, of an easy $\hat{a}$ axis and strong magnetocrystalline anisotropy, a outstanding question is how UTe$_2$ manages to avoid magnetic order. Kondo screening of the moments is the primary rationalization.

Comparison and contrast of UTe$_2$ and UPd$_2$Al$_3$\cite{24} are striking. Both have comparable specific heat $\gamma$’s indicating Kondo lattice character arising from spin fluctuations, and both become superconducting at 1.7-1.8K. Both can be characterized as a local moment from a localized $f^2$ pair or orbitals, with roughly one more $5f$ electron being itinerant. However, UPd$_2$Al$_3$ orders antiferromagnetically at six times higher than $T_c$, compared to UTe$_2$ which (according to muon spin rotation data\cite{26}) does not order magnetically down to 25 mK. And of course, only half the carriers in UTe$_2$ become superconducting. Evidently the phases in these intermetallic uranium compounds are sensitive to several relative energy scales.

We have explored the possibility that at low $T$ (somewhat above the superconducting $T_c$) there is medium range FM orientation of the U moments, from $j = \frac{5}{2}$ states (quantization axis is the $\hat{a}$ axis) which have strong localized character (moments around 2-3$\mu_B$) but strong enough hopping to enable conducting behavior with correlation enhancements. In this case the U sites are locally ferromagnetic, involving essentially pure spin (majority) moments. Magnetic (exchange) coupling proceeds through the spin moments, so the single spin character of the calculated moments become relevant. In the limit of negligible SOC and one spin channel being frozen out, triplet pairing reduces to single-spin superconducting pairing\cite{27,28} suggested as a possibility in half-metals.

As mentioned in the Introduction, Agterberg and collaborators have pointed out the possibility of symmetry restricted “Bogoliubov Fermi surfaces:” areas of Fermi surfaces that remain ungapped in the superconducting state and give, among other effects, a non-zero Sommerfeld coefficient above the superconducting ground state. With no obvious symmetry(s) beyond the space group in the calculated Fermi surface and global time-reversal symmetry, there is no apparent reason why the normal fraction should be (within uncertainty) half of the number of carriers in the normal state. Can this “50%” fraction be accidental? It hardly seems likely.

We have presented a scenario for this division: the Clebsch-Gordon coupling enforced by strong SOC suggests a coupling that favors the choice of spatially uniform, orbital-moment free, spin-mixed spin orbitals as the building blocks for the Bloch orbitals that will eventually pair. The linear combination that suggests the importance of these orbitals contains 49% of the Fermi level density of states peaking at $E_F$, suggests the tendency toward symmetry-breaking states.

The simplest scenario for the apparent Bogoliubov Fermi surface carriers observed in superconducting UTe$_2$ is the simple separation (spin-up versus -down) used by Ran it al., adapted to this compound. In terms of pairing of the $j_z = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ orbitals, triplet pairing involves the space of $J = 0, \pm 1$ pairs

$$\Delta(k) = d_0 \Delta_0(k) + d_1 \Delta_1(k) + d_{-1} \Delta_{-1}(k)$$

in the usual notation.\cite{29} Strong on-site ferromagnetic alignment raises the first terms with its antialigned moments to high energy. Then the vanishing of (say) $\Delta_{-1}$ results in a superconducting phase with $J = 1$ pairs gapped and the remaining 50% of carriers residing on a Bogoliubov Fermi surface. The microscopic source of such symmetry breaking, if it occurs, remains for further studies.
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Appendix A: The exchange-correlation functional

The electron-electron interaction energy $E^{ee}$ in the DFT+U total-energy functional, with the spin-orbit coupling (SOC) included [24], has the form

$$E^{ee} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3 \gamma_4} n_{\gamma_1 \gamma_2} (V_{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3 \gamma_4}^{ee} - V_{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3 \gamma_4}^{ee}) n_{\gamma_3 \gamma_4} (A1)$$

which contains the 14x14 on-site occupation matrix $n_{\gamma_1 \gamma_2} \equiv n_{m_1 \gamma_1, m_2 \gamma_2}$ with generally non-zero orbital and spin off-diagonal matrix elements. The $V^{ee}$ is an effective on-site Coulomb interaction, expressed in terms of Slater integrals (see Eq.(3) in Ref. [9]) which are linked to intra-atomic repulsion $U_{m,m'}$ and exchange $J_{m,m'}$ quantities mentioned in Sec. II. The spherically-symmetric double-counting energy $E^{dc}$ is subtracted from $E^{ee}$ to correct on the electron-electron interaction already included in DFT.

The DFT+U energy correction $\Delta E^{ee} = E^{ee} - E^{dc}$ can be divided into a sum of spherically symmetric and anisotropic terms. In the case of the "atomic" or "fully localized" (FLL) limit of $E^{dc}$, and without SOC, the spherically-symmetric part is given by [10],

$$\Delta E^{ee} = \frac{(U - J)}{2} \left( \text{Tr}[\hat{n}] - \text{Tr}[\hat{n}\hat{n}] \right)$$  (A2)

The choice $U = J$ in Eq. [A1] means that the spherically symmetric part of $\Delta E^{ee}$ given by Eq. [A2] becomes equal to zero. The remaining non-spherically symmetric part of $\Delta E^{ee}$ can be regarded as the DFT+U analog of the proposed "orbital polarization correction" functionals (OPC).[14 15]

Due to the full potential character, care should be taken to exclude the so-called "non-spherical double counting" of the f-state nonspherical contributions to the DFT and DFT+U parts of the Kohn-Sham potential. When the atomic sphere matrix elements of the DFT+U Hamiltonian are calculated, those contributions from the lattice harmonics $K_{\gamma}$ of the nonspherical part of the DFT potential $V^{NSH}_{DFT}(r) = \sum_{\nu} V_{\nu}(r) K_{\nu}^{s}(r)$ are removed, which are proportional to $\langle l m_1 | K_{\gamma}^{s} | l m_2 \rangle$ for $l = 3$, the f-states orbital quantum number.

Appendix B: Decomposition of the U 5f DOS at $E_F$

This table provides the stated decomposition of the Fermi level density of states.

| TABLE I: The \( |m_i, m_s > \) and \( |j, m_j > \) decompositions of the U atom \( f \)-projected DOS at \( E_F \) (in states/eV unit cell) for the unpolarized system. The magnetic quantization is along the easy \( \hat{a} \) axis. |
| m_i | -3 | -2 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| spin↑ | 0.16 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 |
| spin↓ | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.16 | 0.10 | 0.04 | 0.16 |
| m_j | -7/2 | -5/2 | -3/2 | -1/2 | 1/2 | 3/2 | 5/2 | 7/2 |
| FS1 | 0 | 0.02 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| FS2 | 0 | 0.08 | 0.21 | 0.71 | 3.13 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| FS3 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.23 | 0.63 | 0.36 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| FS4 | 0 | 0.04 | 0.27 | 1.30 | 0.48 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| Sum | 0 | 0.19 | 0.88 | 2.79 | 4.44 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.04 |

TABLE II: Decomposition of the four Fermi surface contributions to $N_j(E_F)$ from $|m_j = m_i + m_s >$ projections, for FM aligned UTe$_2$. Magnetic quantization is along the \( \hat{a} \) axis. The dominance of the $j_z = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ is evident.

| FS1 | 0 | 0.02 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| FS2 | 0 | 0.08 | 0.21 | 0.71 | 3.13 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| FS3 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.23 | 0.63 | 0.36 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| FS4 | 0 | 0.04 | 0.27 | 1.30 | 0.48 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| Sum | 0 | 0.19 | 0.88 | 2.79 | 4.44 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.04 |

TABLE III: The \( |m_i, m_s > \) and \( |j, m_j > \) decompositions of the f-projected DOS at \( E_F \) (in states/eV unit cell) for the unpolarized system. The magnetic quantization is along the easy \( \hat{a} \) axis. The down spin components are small; as noted in the text, the system is near full spin polarization.

| FS1 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| FS2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| FS3 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.60 | 1.12 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| FS4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.23 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| FS5 | 0.05 | 0.20 | 0.52 | 0.30 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| FS6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.01 |

Appendix C: 5f orbital contribution near $E_F$

This array of figures provides the relative amounts of the stated spin-orbital characters of states near the Fermi level. The bands on the zone boundary X-U-R-S are flatter. More dispersion occurs along Γ-X and S-Y.
FIG. 6: The 5f fat-band structure of non-magnetic UTe$_2$ from LDA+U(OP) calculation. The circle size indicates the amount of $j = \frac{5}{2}, m_j$ character in the bands, as indicated. The two contributions to the $j_z = -\frac{5}{2}$ character are quite different; the differences in the other two cases is not so pronounced. Note that the full energy range is only 300 meV.


