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Phonon Casimir effect describes the interaction between impurities mediated by their host’s phonons. This coupling persists even at zero-temperature due to vacuum fluctuations of the phonon field. It has been shown that in one dimension, the interaction between finite-mass impurities decays as a quasi-power law of their separation. The power depends on the mass of the impurities and the distance between them, approaching the inverse-cube dependence for large separations. Here, the analysis is extended to the finite-temperature case. It is demonstrated that increasing the number of phonons by raising the temperature uniformly throughout the system actually weakens the attraction between impurities. At the same time, non-uniform heating can be used to augment the phonon-mediated interaction.

I. INTRODUCTION

Phonons in solid state systems are typically discussed either in the context of the material heat capacity or as a scattering mechanism for charge carriers. In the latter case, they are generally seen as a nuisance since scattering suppresses electronic transport. At the same time, it is precisely this electron-phonon coupling that leads to the formation of Cooper pairs and, by extension, superconductivity.\textsuperscript{1}

The phonons that bind Cooper pairs arise due to the lattice distortion caused by moving electrons. However, particle propagation is not a requirement for phonon-mediated interaction. Introducing phonon scatterers (impurities or vacancies) into a crystal changes the energy of the system in a way that depends on the scatterer properties as well as their relative positions. The difference between this modified energy and that of the pristine system can be identified as the interaction energy.

Because of the Heisenberg uncertainty principle, even at absolute zero, a phonon system contains vacuum fluctuations. When these virtual phonons scatter off impurities, they produce an attractive force.\textsuperscript{2,3} Since this coupling is rooted in the zero-point energy of the host’s phonon modes, it has been dubbed the “phonon Casimir effect” (PCE) to highlight its similarity to the original electromagnetic Casimir effect.\textsuperscript{4}

One should note that bulk-mediated coupling between impurities is not uncommon in condensed matter systems. What makes PCE different is that it has been shown to always be attractive, unlike the electron-mediated interaction which can change sign.\textsuperscript{5–9} Another key difference between the two is the importance of temperature. Varying the temperature in the electron-mediated case is not expected to have a dramatic effect because the number of electrons in a metal is determined by the chemical potential. The number of phonons, on the other hand, strongly depends on the temperature of the system making the interaction much more temperature-sensitive.

Earlier work on the subject\textsuperscript{2,3} dealt with the zero-temperature case in one dimension. The aim of this study is to explore PCE at finite temperatures using the path integral formalism. The model for a one-dimensional system with an arbitrary number of impurities is introduced in Sec. II. Section III focuses on the two-impurity case. Following this, Sec. IV provides a brief discussion of a non-uniform temperature scenario for two infinitely heavy impurities. Finally, the summary and conclusions can be found in Sec. V.

II. MODEL

The one-dimensional phonon system consists of a periodic harmonic chain of atoms with mass $m$ connected by springs with the force constant $K$. Introducing adsorbates to some of the atoms gives the following Hamiltonian:

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{j} \left[ \frac{\hat{p}_{j}^{2}}{2m} + \frac{K}{2} (\hat{u}_{j} - \hat{u}_{j-1})^{2} \right] + \sum_{l} \frac{\hat{p}_{l}^{2}}{2} \left( \frac{1}{M_l} - \frac{1}{m} \right).$$

(1)

Here, the index $j$ runs over all the atoms in the chain, $l$ corresponds to the atoms with impurities, and $M_l$ is the combined mass of the chain atom and its adsorbate.

To keep the problem as simple as possible, all internal dynamics of the host-atom-impurity subsystem are ignored by approximating it as a single composite mass. For the same reason, only oscillations in the direction of the chain are considered, leading to a single phonon branch.

Taking the Fourier transform of Eq. (1) and performing the usual harmonic oscillator substitution\textsuperscript{10} with $\hbar \to 1$ yields the second-quantized Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{\mathbf{q}q' \mathbf{q}'} \Omega_{\mathbf{q}} \sqrt{\Omega_{q'} \sqrt{\Omega_{q''}}} \left( b_{\mathbf{q}'}^{\dagger} b_{\mathbf{q}'} - b_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} - q' \right) e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \Omega_{q''} \left( b_{\mathbf{q}'}^{\dagger} b_{\mathbf{q}} + \frac{1}{2} \right),$$

(2)

where $N$ is the number of atoms in the chain, $\alpha_{l} = 1 - m/M_{l}$, $\Omega_{\mathbf{q}}^{2} = 4K/m \sin^{2}(qa/2)$, and $a$ is the lattice constant.
Expressing the Hamiltonian using the creation and annihilation operators makes it quite straightforward to write down the action as long as the operators are normal ordered. To establish the correct ordering in Eq. (2), one needs to expand the operator product in the first term and commute $b_q$ with $b_{q'}$. Performing the commutation gives rise to a scalar quantity $−\sum_q \alpha_q \Omega_q$, a correction to the zero-point energy of the impurity-free chain. This correction does not depend on the adatom positions and, therefore, plays no role in their interaction. For this reason, it will be temporarily neglected along with the constant $\sum_q \Omega_q/2$ part of the second term.

Keeping only the terms with operators from Eq. (2) gives the imaginary-time action

$$S = -\frac{1}{4N} \sum_{qq'\omega_n} \left( \hat{\phi}_{q,-\omega_n} \phi_{q,\omega_n} \right) Y_{q,t} Y_{q',t}^\dagger \left( \hat{\phi}_{q',-\omega_n} \phi_{q',\omega_n} \right),$$

$$+ \sum_{qq'n} \hat{\phi}_{q,\omega_n} (-i\omega_n + \Omega_q) \phi_{q',\omega_n}, \quad (3)$$

where $Y_{q,t} = (1 - 1) \sqrt{\alpha_q} \sqrt{\sum_q e^{-i\omega_q t}}/2N$. This can be rewritten in a more symmetric fashion as

$$S = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{qq'\omega_n} \left( \hat{\phi}_{q,-\omega_n} \phi_{q,\omega_n} \right) \hat{G}^{-1}_{qq',\omega_n} \left( \hat{\phi}_{q',-\omega_n} \phi_{q',\omega_n} \right), \quad (4)$$

$$\hat{G}_{qq',\omega_n} = \hat{G}^{-1}_{qq',\omega_n} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} -i\omega_n - \Omega_q & 0 \\ 0 & i\omega_n - \Omega_q \end{array} \right). \quad (6)$$

The matrix $\hat{G}_{qq',\omega_n}$ is the two-frequency Green’s function for a pristine chain, while $\hat{G}_{qq',\omega_n}$ is the same for a chain with impurities. The factorized coupling term in Eq. (5) makes the inversion quite simple:

$$\hat{G}_{\omega_n} = \hat{G}_{\omega_n}^{-1} - \frac{1}{2N} \hat{G}_{\omega_n} \gamma \left( 1 + \frac{\gamma \hat{G}_{\omega_n} \gamma}{2N} \right)^{-1} \gamma \hat{G}_{\omega_n}, \quad (7)$$

where $\gamma = \left( Y_1 \ Y_2 \ \cdots \right)$, $Y_j$ is the column vector of $Y_{q,j}$, and $\hat{G}_{\omega_n}$ is a block-diagonal matrix with entries given by the inverse of Eq. (6).

Exponentiating $-S$ and integrating over all fields yields the partition function $\mathcal{Z}$. While the integral itself is not problematic due to the bilinear nature of $S$, one should take note of the structure of the action. Typically, for non-interacting systems, $S$ has the form of $\Phi \mathbf{M} \Phi$, where $\Phi$ (\(\hat{\Phi}\)) is a vector of $\phi$ (\(\hat{\phi}\)) fields. This is because the Hamiltonian contains only creation-annihilation products. In this case, however, Eq. (2) also includes $b^\dagger b_i$ and $b b$ terms so that the action takes the $\left(\Phi \ \hat{\Phi}\right) \mathbf{M} \left(\Phi \ \hat{\Phi}\right)$ form. By performing a coordinate transformation, the integration fields can be changed from complex to twice as many real ones. Integrating over these new fields gives $\mathcal{Z} = \prod_{\omega_n} \det \left[ -\beta \hat{G}^{-1}_{\omega_n} \right]^{-1/2}$. The power 1/2 in the result is the consequence of the integration being performed over real fields. Naturally, the coordinate transformation also changes the matrix $\mathbf{M}$. However, the determinant is invariant under unitary transformations, hence one can use the determinant of the original matrix.

The free energy of the system can be obtained from the partition function using $F = -T \ln \mathcal{Z}$. The fact that the logarithm of the determinant equals trace of the logarithm allows one to perform a series of simplifications to get

$$F = \frac{1}{2} \sum_q \Omega_q + \frac{T}{2} \sum_{\omega_n} \ln \left( -\beta \hat{G}^{-1}_{\omega_n} \right),$$

$$-\frac{1}{4N} \left( \sum_l \alpha_l \right) \sum_q \Omega_q + \frac{T}{2} \sum_{\omega_n} \ln \Lambda_{\omega_n}. \quad (8)$$

Note the reintroduction of the zero-point energy terms. The first row corresponds to the free energy of a pristine chain, composed of the vacuum energy and finite-$T$ excitations. The second row is the free energy due to the adsorbates. Explicitly,

$$\Lambda_{\omega_n} = 1 + \left( P_{11} (i\omega_n) \ P_{12} (i\omega_n) \ \cdots \ P_{21} (i\omega_n) \ P_{22} (i\omega_n) \ \cdots \right), \quad (9)$$

where

$$P_{jk} (i\omega_n) = Y_j \hat{G}_{\omega_n} Y_k = -\frac{\sqrt{\alpha_j \alpha_k}}{N} \sum_q e^{i(r_k - r_j)q} \frac{m_\omega^2}{\omega_n^2 + m_\omega_n^2} \left[ \delta_{k,j} - \frac{m_\omega^2}{4K + m_\omega_n^2} \right] \times \left( 1 + \frac{m_\omega^2}{2K} \right)^{-1} D_{jk}, \quad (10)$$

and $D_{jk} = |r_j - r_k|/a$ is number of lattice constants separating the impurities $j$ and $k$. From this, it is possible to write the adsorbate free energy $F_A = F_A^0 + F_I$ with

$$F_A^0 = -\frac{1}{4N} \sum_l \alpha_l \sum_{\omega_n} \Omega_q + \frac{T}{2} \sum_{\omega_n} \ln \left[ 1 + P_{ll} (i\omega_n) \right], \quad (11)$$

$$F_I = \frac{T}{2} \sum_{\omega_n} \ln \left[ \left( 1 + P_{11} (i\omega_n) \ \cdots \right)^{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_n} \right], \quad (12)$$

where the matrix multiplying $\Lambda_{\omega_n}$ is diagonal with $1 + P_{ll} (i\omega_n)$ as the elements. The quantity $F_I^0$ is the contribution to the free energy due to the impurities without the impurity-impurity interaction effects. The interaction portion of the energy is captured by the $F_I$ term.
To calculate any of the impurity energy terms, it is necessary to perform the frequency summation of the general form $\Sigma = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\omega_n} f (i\omega_n)$. Keeping in mind that the function $P_{jk}(z)$ has a branch cut on the real axis for $|z| < 2\sqrt{K/m} = \Omega^0$, this frequency summation becomes

$$\Sigma = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\Omega^0}^{\Omega^0} n_B (z) \text{Im} \left[ f (z + i0) \right] dz$$

$$= \frac{\Omega^0}{2\pi} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} d\theta \cos \theta \text{Im} \left[ f (\Omega^0 \sin \theta + i0) \right] e^{-\frac{D}{\Omega^0} \sin \theta - 1}, \quad (13)$$

where $n_B (z)$ is the Bose-Einstein distribution function. The motivation behind the $z \to \Omega^0 \sin \theta$ change of variables has to do with $P_{jk}(z + i0)$ in the integrand. Upon the substitution, it takes a substantially simplified form

$$P_{jk} (\theta) = -\sqrt{\alpha_j \alpha_k} \left( \delta_{k,j} + ie^{2i\theta D} / \tan \theta \right), \quad (14)$$

where $\theta$ plays the role of a scaled momentum.

### III. TWO-IMPURITY INTERACTION

For two identical impurities, the interaction energy in Eq. (12) reduces to a compact formula

$$F_I = \frac{\Omega^0}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} d\theta \cos \theta e^{-\frac{D}{\Omega^0} \sin \theta - 1}$$

$$\times \text{Im} \ln \left[ 1 - \left( 1 + i \left( \alpha^{-1} - 1 \right) \cot \theta \right)^2 \right]$$

$$= \frac{\Omega^0}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} d\theta \left[ n_B (\Omega^0 \sin \theta) + \frac{1}{2} \right] \cos \theta$$

$$\times \text{Im} \ln \left[ 1 - \left( 1 + i \left( \alpha^{-1} - 1 \right) \cot \theta \right)^2 \right], \quad (15)$$

where $D$ is the impurity separation. The purpose of rewriting the integral to only include positive momenta is to split the zero-temperature portion of $F_I$ (given by the $1/2$ term) from the finite-$T$ part (Bose-Einstein term). This makes it easy to see that exciting a phonon of energy $\Omega^0 \sin \theta$ introduces the energy correction $\Omega^0 \cos \theta \times \text{Im} \left[ \ldots \right] / \pi$ to the system.

The zero-temperature $F_I$, calculated using Eq. (15) for several values of $\alpha$, is shown in the top panel of Fig. 1. The interaction energy exhibits a power-law-like dependence on $D$ with the exponent evolving from $-1$ to $-3$ as the separation between the impurities increases. This transition is faster for lighter impurities, in agreement with Ref. 3.

At finite temperatures, the dependence of $F_I$ on $D$ changes dramatically (middle panel of Fig. 1). It is immediately obvious that the curves are no longer restricted to the region between the two dashed power-law lines as the interaction strength drops precipitously with $D$. In addition, the magnitude of the interaction becomes weaker at higher $T$ with the reduction being greater for larger $\alpha$’s (bottom of Fig. 1).

While temperature is expected to play a major role in this phonon-mediated process, it may come as a surprise that increasing the number of phonons in the system actually weakens the interaction. This occurs because the sign of the energy correction in Eq. (15) is $\theta$-dependent. Increasing the temperature of the system excites more lower-energy low-$\theta$ modes than high-$\theta$ ones. The weakening of the interaction, therefore, means that there is positive correction to the energy due to the low-$\theta$ modes.

To explore the mechanism behind this energy increase,
it is useful to start with the $D = 1$ configuration and temporarily ignore the rest of the chain. In this case, the two impurities of mass $M$ form a dimer connected by a spring with the force constant $K$. This dimer has a single vibration mode with frequency $\sqrt{2K/M} = \Omega_0 \sqrt{(1 - \alpha)/2}$. When the dimer is reinserted into the chain, this mode interacts with the chain phonons by “speeding up” the slower modes and “slowing down” the faster ones. The energy of phonons with the same frequency as the dimer remains unchanged, as shown in the top panel of Fig. 2. One should keep in mind that the discussion here has to do with the effects of the impurity interaction; the presence of the individual impurities in the system does change all the phonon energies as described by Eq. (11).

The speeding up of the “slow” phonons by the dimer mode is what causes the positive energy correction at finite $T$. Figure 2 also demonstrates that the combination of a lower dimer frequency and reduced broadening for heavier impurities makes the higher-$\alpha$ systems more sensitive to temperature, in agreement with the bottom panel of Fig. 1.

For $D > 1$, the picture is qualitatively similar: the modes of the impurity-bounded segment speed up slower phonons and slow down faster ones, see the middle panel of Fig. 2. The important feature here is that the lowest mode of the segment is shifted to lower energies, taking the first positive peak along and making large-$D$ configurations more temperature-sensitive as was observed in the middle panel of Fig. 1. This increased sensitivity for larger separations can be seen more clearly in the bottom panel of Fig. 2.

IV. NON-UNIFORM TEMPERATURE

Given the discussion so far, it might appear that finite temperature always weakens the phonon-mediated attraction. This is not the case, however, if the system is heated non-uniformly.

Consider a periodic chain of length $N$ with two $\alpha = 1$ impurities splitting this chain into segments of $L$ and $N - L$ atoms. Since the impurities are infinitely heavy, the modes in each of the segments have energies $\Omega_0 \sin \left( \frac{n\pi}{2(l+1)} \right)$, where $l$ is segment length and $0 < n < l$. The zero-point energy of this system is equal to one half of all the mode energies:

$$ E_0 = \frac{\Omega_0}{4} \left[ -2 + \cot \left( \frac{\pi}{4+4L} \right) + \cot \left( \frac{\pi}{4+4N-4L} \right) \right] $$

$$ \approx \frac{\Omega_0}{4} \left[ \frac{4(N+2)}{\pi} - 2 - \frac{\pi}{12(L+1)} \right], \quad (16) $$

where the simplification holds for $N \to \infty$ and $L \gg 1$. Neglecting the two constant terms, one can see that the energy of the system follows $L^{-1}$, as expected.

Next, for $l \gg 1$, the finite-$T$ contribution to the segment energy is

$$ E_T = T \sum_{n=1}^{l} \frac{\Omega_0^2 \sin \left( \frac{n\pi}{2(l+1)} \right)}{\exp \left[ \frac{\Omega_0^2 \sin \left( \frac{n\pi}{2(l+1)} \right)}{T} \right] - 1} $$

$$ \approx (l+1) T \int_0^1 \frac{\Omega_0^2 \sin \left( \frac{n\pi}{2} \right)}{\exp \left[ \frac{\Omega_0^2 \sin \left( \frac{n\pi}{2} \right)}{T} \right] - 1} \, dz \quad (17) $$
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If the temperature of the shorter (longer) segment is $T_1$ ($T_2$), the $L$-dependent portion of the energy is

$$F_L = L \left[ T_1 \times g \left( \frac{\Omega^0}{T_1} \right) - T_2 \times g \left( \frac{\Omega^0}{T_2} \right) \right] , \quad (18)$$

where $g \left( \frac{\Omega^0}{T} \right)$ is the integral in Eq. (17). Note that this expression is valid only for $T_1 \neq T_2$ because it implies that at $T_1 = T_2$ finite temperature has no impact on $F_L$. This discrepancy is the consequence of the summation being replaced by an integral in Eq. (17). The missing term, which is the subject of the previous section, decays with $L$ and is subleading at large impurity separations for $T_1 \neq T_2$.

The reason behind the attraction is the difference in the energy density between the two segments. One can visualize the process as “boiling away” the chain atoms from the high-energy segment by bringing the impurities together and reducing the size of the high-energy region. The attractive force between the impurities is given by the bracketed term in Eq. (18) divided by the interatomic spacing of the chain. For $T \gg \Omega^0$, $T \times g (\Omega^0/T) \approx T$. In the case of $T \ll \Omega^0$, the attraction is quadratic in temperature with $T \times g (\Omega^0/T) \approx \pi T^2/(3\Omega^0)$. For realistic temperatures and lattice spacing, this sets the force to the order of piconewtons.

A similar discussion holds for $\alpha \neq 1$ configurations. The main difference there is that the moving impurities allow the energy exchange between the segments without the transfer of atoms. If the long segment is sufficiently big to act as a heat sink, it is necessary to continuously supply energy to the short one to prevent thermal equilibration.

V. SUMMARY

As expected, PCE has been shown to exhibit a strong temperature dependence. Contrary to what might seem intuitive, however, increased temperature leads to a substantial weakening of the impurity interaction. At the same time, it does not mean that lowering the temperature as much as possible is necessarily ideal if one’s goal is to cause the impurities to self-assemble.

When the impurities move under the influence of their mutual attraction, they do not “glide” along the chain. Instead, this locomotion is a series of desorption-readsorption events. Hence, the rate at which the impurities approach each other depends not only on how much this lowers the energy of the system, but also on the rate at which the impurity can desorb from its original site. Thus, while elevated $T$ can reduce the attraction, it can assist with the impurity desorption.

In addition, it has been demonstrated that increasing the local phonon density through non-uniform heating of the system can be used to augment the impurity-impurity interaction. Since targeted heating is difficult on such small scales, it is possible to instead photoexcite optical phonons using the scanning near-field optical microscope (SNOM). In particular, hBN nanoribbons with transversely-oriented extended impurities could be a suitable platform for an experimental realization of this effect. The theoretical study of the role that optical phonons play in PCE is the subject of a subsequent work.

The numerical calculations were performed using Julia programming language. The code is available at https://github.com/rodin-physics/1D-phonon-casimir. The author acknowledges the National Research Foundation, Prime Minister Office, Singapore, under its Medium Sized Centre Programme and the support by Yale-NUS College (through grant number R-607-265-380-121).
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