Integrable model of a $p$-wave bosonic superfluid
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We present an exactly-solvable $p$-wave pairing model for two bosonic species. The model is solvable in any dimension and it shows striking similarities with the $p + ip$ Richardson-Gaudin fermionic model. As the fermionic model, our bosonic counterpart displays a third order quantum phase transition separating a fragmented singlet pair condensate from a pair Bose superfluid. At criticality the exact eigenstate is a pair condensate analogous to the fermionic Moore-Read state.

Introduction— Integrable Richardson-Gaudin (RG) models [1,2] based on the $su(2)$ fermion pair algebra have attracted a lot of attention in recent years. Starting with studies of the metal to superconductor transition in ultrasmall grains [3], where the original Richardson’s exact solution of the BCS model [4] was rediscovered, to their generalization to a broad range of phenomena in interacting quantum many-body systems [5–6]. The rational or XXX family of integrable RG models has been extensively studied, and includes the constant pairing Hamiltonian (BCS model) [7–9], the central spin model [10], generalized Tavis-Cummings models [11], and more recently, open quantum systems [12]. The hyperbolic or XXZ family is much less investigated. The notable exception is a number conserving version of the Kitaev wire which hosts topologically trivial and non-Abelian quantum Hall fluid with filling fraction 5/2 having the Moore-Read (MR) Pfaffian, proposed for the lowest Landau level subspace [19].

Contrary to the fermionic case, $su(1,1)$ bosonic RG models are unexplored territory. Richardson introduced the bosonic constant pairing Hamiltonian [20], later generalized to study condensate fragmentation for repulsive pairing interactions [21], and the transition from spherical to $\gamma$-unstable nuclei in the nuclear interacting boson model [22, 23]. The hyperbolic $su(1,1)$ RG, proposed in [2], has only been employed to demonstrate the integrability of the celebrated Lipkin-Meshkov-Glick model in the Schwinger boson representation [0,24,25]. In this Letter we derive an integrable two bosonic species $p$-wave pairing Hamiltonian, and study its quantum phase diagram. We are motivated by the recent experimental observation of broad $p$-wave resonances in ultracold $^{85}$Rb and $^{87}$Rb atomic mixtures [26,27] that could lead to stable thermodynamics phases dominated by $p$-wave attractive interactions. Mean-field studies based on a two-channel model predict three phases [28]: a) an atomic Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) for large negative detuning, b) a molecular BEC for large positive detuning, and c) an atomic-molecular BEC for intermediate detuning. Quantum fluctuations may stabilize the atomic-molecular phase for certain densities giving rise to the formation of polar superfluid droplets [29]. Our exactly-solvable attractive one-channel $p$-wave model displays two phases (see Fig. 1), a gapless fragmented BEC of singlet pairs, where each of the species condenses into the lowest finite momentum (grey area), and a gapped pair Bose superfluid (white area).

Hyperbolic $su(1,1)$ RG integrals of motion— The hyperbolic $su(1,1)$ model for two bosonic species $a$ and $b$ in momentum $k$ space is based on the interspecies pair

![Quantum phase diagram of the $p$-wave bosonic Hamiltonian, Eq. (6). Gray area indicates the singlet pair fragmented BEC phase, separated from the pair Bose superfluid phase (PBS) by a critical line $g_c$. In the superfluid phase the Volovik line, depicted by the lower dashed line, delineates the phase region where the minimum of the quasi-particle energy is at $k_{\text{min}} = 0$, while to the right of the upper dashed line it is at $k_{\text{min}} = \pi$. Between these two lines $0 < k_{\text{min}} < \pi$. All pairons diverge to infinity at $g_{\infty}$. Symbols indicate the couplings used below at density $\rho = 0.2$. Horizontal dashed line is $\rho = 0.299433$. The inset shows the behavior of the pairing gap $\Delta$ versus coupling strength $g$ at density $\rho = 0.2$.]

Fig. 1: Quantum phase diagram of the $p$-wave bosonic Hamiltonian, Eq. (6). Gray area indicates the singlet pair fragmented BEC phase, separated from the pair Bose superfluid phase (PBS) by a critical line $g_c$. In the superfluid phase the Volovik line, depicted by the lower dashed line, delineates the phase region where the minimum of the quasi-particle energy is at $k_{\text{min}} = 0$, while to the right of the upper dashed line it is at $k_{\text{min}} = \pi$. Between these two lines $0 < k_{\text{min}} < \pi$. All pairons diverge to infinity at $g_{\infty}$. Symbols indicate the couplings used below at density $\rho = 0.2$. Horizontal dashed line is $\rho = 0.299433$. The inset shows the behavior of the pairing gap $\Delta$ versus coupling strength $g$ at density $\rho = 0.2$. 


operators

\[ K_{k,Q}^+ = b^\dagger_{k+Q} a^\dagger_{k} - a^\dagger_{k+Q} b^\dagger_{-k}, \quad K_{k,Q}^- = (K_{k,Q}^+)^\dagger, \]

\[ K_{k,Q}^0 = \frac{\hat{N}_{Q,k}}{2} + 1, \quad \hat{N}_{Q,k} = n^b_{k+Q} + n^b_{-k} + n^a_{k+Q} + n^a_{-k}, \]

where \( n^b_k = a^\dagger_k a_k \) and \( n^a_k = a^\dagger_k a_k \), satisfying the su(1,1) algebra \([K_{k,Q}^+, K_{k',Q'}^+] = 2\delta_{k,k'} K_{k,Q}^+\) and \([K_{k,Q}^-, K_{k',Q'}^-] = \pm \delta_{k,k'} K_{k,Q}^-\). The operator \( K_{k,Q}^0 \) that creates a two-species pair with center-of-mass momentum \( Q \) is antisymmetric under the exchange of species. If we interpret both species as the two components of a pseudo-spin 1/2, the pair operator \( K_{k,Q}^+ \) creates a singlet state.

The pseudo-spin 1/2 bosons define an independent and commuting su(2) spin algebra generated by \( S^z_{k,Q} = (n^b_{k+Q} + n^a_{k+Q} - n^a_{-k})/2, S^x_{k,Q} = b^\dagger_{k+Q} a_{Q+k} + b_{Q-k} a^\dagger_{-k}, S^y_{k,Q} = (S^x_{k,Q})^\dagger \). Although we will focus on the \( Q = 0 \) case, these commuting algebras can be exploited to describe Larkin-Ovchinnikov-Fulde-Ferrell-type phases \[9\].

In terms of the su(1,1) generators \[1\], the hyperbolic integrals of motion for \( Q = 0 \) are \[2,9\]:

\[ R_k = K_k^2 - 2\lambda \sum_{k' \neq k > 0} \left[ \frac{\eta_{kk'}^2}{\eta_k^2 - \eta_{kk'}^2} (K_k^+ K_{k'}^- + K_k^- K_{k'}^+) \right. \]

\[ \left. - \frac{\eta_k^2 + \eta_{kk'}^2}{\eta_k^2 - \eta_{kk'}^2} K_k^+ K_{k'}^+ \right], \]

where \( \eta_k \) are arbitrary functions of \( k \). The sum in \( k > 0 \) means that the component of \( k \) in one of the dimensions, for example \( k_x \), should be positive.

For a fixed number of bosons \( N = 2M + \nu \), where \( M \) is the number of singlet boson pairs and \( \nu \) the total number of unpaired bosons, the eigenvalues of the integrals of motion are

\[ r_k = d_k \left[ 1 + 2\lambda \sum_{k' \neq k > 0} \frac{d_{k'}^2}{\eta_{kk'}^2 - \eta_k^2} - 2\lambda \sum_{\alpha = 1}^M \frac{e_\alpha + \eta_{\alpha k}^2}{e_\alpha - \eta_{kk'}^2} \right], \]

where \( d_k = \nu_k/2 + 1, \nu_k \) is the seniority quantum number (number of unpaired bosons) of level \( k \), and \( \nu = \sum_k \nu_k \). The spectral parameters \( e_\alpha \), so-called pairons, are roots of the Richardson equations \((\alpha = 1, \ldots, M)\):

\[ \sum_{k > 0} \frac{d_k}{\eta_{kk}^2 - e_\alpha} + \sum_{\beta = 1, \beta \neq \alpha}^M \frac{1}{e_\beta - e_\alpha} + \frac{Q}{e_\alpha} = 0, \]

with

\[ Q = -\frac{1}{4\lambda} + \frac{M - 1 + \sum_{k > 0} d_k}{2}. \]

Each independent solution of the Richardson equations \[3\] defines a common eigenstate of the integrals of motion \[2\]:

\[ |\Phi_{M,\nu}\rangle = \prod_{\alpha = 1}^M \left( \sum_{k > 0} \frac{\eta_k}{\eta_{kk}^2 + e_\alpha} K_{k,\alpha}^+ \right) |\nu\rangle, \]

where the state |\nu\rangle, with \( \nu \) unpaired bosons, satisfies \( K_k^- |\nu\rangle = 0 \) for all \( k \), and \( K_k^+ |\nu\rangle = d_k |\nu\rangle \).

By combining the integrals of motion \( R_k \) with the Hellmann-Feynman theorem \[14\], the occupation probabilities can be obtained from the expectation value

\[ \langle \Phi_{M,\nu} | K_k^+ | \Phi_{M,\nu} \rangle = d_k \left( 1 - 2\lambda \sum_{\alpha = 1}^M \frac{2\eta_{k\alpha}^2}{(\eta_k^2 - e_\alpha)} \frac{\partial e_\alpha}{\partial \lambda} \right), \]

where the pairon derivatives can be obtained from the derivatives of Eq. \[7\] leading to a linear set of equations. For ease of presentation we consider next a one-dimensional version of the p-wave model. It is straightforward to extend our model to higher dimensions as has been done in the fermionic case \[13,14\].

The p-wave Bose Hamiltonian— The p-wave pairannig Bose Hamiltonian we want to study is given by

\[ H = \sum_{k > 0} \eta_{k}^2 \hat{N}_k - G \sum_{k, k' > 0} \eta_{k} \eta_{k'} K_k^- K_{k'}^+, \]

where \( \eta_k = \sin(k/2) \) and \( \eta_k^2 = (1 - \cos(k)/2) \). Assuming antiperiodic boundary conditions the allowed \( k \) values are \( k = \pm \pi/2L, \pm 3\pi/2L, \ldots, \pm (2\pi L - \pi)/2L \), with \( 2L \) the size of the chain and \( L \) the number of su(1,1) copies. This model Hamiltonian can be derived from the hyperbolic su(1,1) RG integrals of motion \[2\], by using the linear combination

\[ H = 2\pi \sum_{k > 0} \eta_{k}^2 R_k - 2\pi - G \sum_{k > 0} d_k (1 - d_k) \eta_{k}^2, \]

where \( x = [1 + 2\lambda(M + L + (\nu/2) - 1)]^{-1}, Z = \sum_{k > 0} \eta_{k}^2, \) and \( G = 4\lambda/(1 + 2\lambda(M - 1 + \sum_{k > 0} d_k)) \).

Our p-wave Hamiltonian \[8\] has an explicit U(1) symmetry, conservation of the total number of bosons, and a pseudospin invariance that basically preserves the imbalance \( S^z = \sum_k S_k^z \), that is, the difference between the number of bosonic species. Here we will focus on a balanced mixture of atoms characterized by \( S^z = 0 \). Eigenvalues of \[8\] can be determined from the integrals of motion, using the same linear combination, which, after using Eq. \[3\], gives

\[ E = \sum_{k > 0} \eta_{k}^2 \nu_k + 2 \sum_{\alpha = 1}^M \eta_{k}^2 \eta_{\alpha k} \eta_{\alpha k} \sum_{k > 0} e_\alpha. \]

Let us analyze next the way the ground state evolves as a function of coupling strength \( G \geq 0 \) (Fig. \[2\]). Each independent solution of the Richardson equations \[3\] provides a set of \( M \) pairons that define both the energy eigenvalue \[7\] and the corresponding eigenstate \[8\]. The ground state (with \( \nu = 0 \)) for weak coupling \( G \) has the pairons distributed in the real interval between zero and the minimum \( \eta_{k}^2 / 2L = \sin^2(\pi/4L) \). At \( G_n = 2/(2L + 2M - n - 1) \), \( n \) pairons collapse to zero. In between collapses, the \( n \) pairons form an arc in the
with $k_{\min} = \pi/2L$ for the antiperiodic chain. Note that in this phase, the exact ground state has a mixture of complex pairons close to zero and real pairons in the interval $[0, \eta_{\pi}/2L]$. For large $L$ the pairons will cluster around zero and the exact ground state \[4\] will tend to the BMR state \[8\] which is representative of the whole phase. The BMR state is controlled by $k_{\min}$, and therefore it converges to the singlet pair condensate in the large $L$ limit. Interestingly, in the thermodynamic limit the states \[10\] and \[9\], as well condensates with other spin quantum numbers $S$, become degenerate. A weak repulsive interaction may destabilize those degenerate spin states against the singlet pair condensate \[32\].

For $G > G_c$ the pairons distribute along an arc that expands in the complex plane as $G$ increases (Fig. 2). At the absolute value of all pairons diverges to infinity. At this point the exact ground state can be expressed as a different pair condensate (with no fermionic equivalent)

$$|\Phi_M^{G}\rangle_{G_{\infty}} = \left(\sum_k \eta_k K^+_k\right)^M |0\rangle. \quad (11)$$

In Fig. 1, at density $\rho = 0.2$, we show five distinct symbols covering all distinct areas of the phase diagram, at couplings $g = 0.5, 1.2, 1.8, 5.0, 6.8$, with $g = GL$. Figure 2 displays pairons of a finite-sized system with $M = 10$ and $L = 50$, for these same five values. As discussed above, the first point with $G < G_c$ has 10 pairons distributed in the real positive axis below $\eta_{\pi}/2L$ (see the right inset). After the pairons collapse to zero at $G_c$, they form an arc in the complex plane that expands for increasing values of $G$. This is the case for the remaining four couplings that lay in between $G_c$ and $G_{\infty}$, two of them can be seen in the left inset while the other two in the central figure.

FIG. 2: Pairons, $e_{\alpha}$, for a finite system with $M = 10$ pairs and $L = 50$ levels ($\rho = M/L = 0.2$). Cases displayed correspond to the five symbols indicated in Fig. 1. Coupling strengths $G$ are indicated by numbers close to the respective symbols. Horizontal lines depict $\eta_k^2$ levels. See [30] for an animation of the pairons evolution as a function of $G$ for $0 < G < G_{\infty}$.

complex plane around zero. The whole set of $M$ pairons collapses to zero at the critical point

$$G_c = \frac{2}{2L + M - 1},$$

where the exact (non-normalized) ground state becomes a condensate of singlet pairs

$$|\Phi_M^{BMR}\rangle = \left(\sum_{k>0} \frac{1}{\eta_k} K^+_k\right)^M |0\rangle, \quad (8)$$

which is algebraically analogous to the MR state of the $p + ip$ fermionic model \[13\] \[14\], and, therefore, we will call it Bose Moore-Read (BMR) state.

Naively, in an extended system, one would expect that the ground state of the BEC phase, $0 \leq G \leq G_c$, corresponds to a zero-momentum condensate for each species

$$|\Phi\rangle = a_0^{\dagger M} b_0^{\dagger M} |0\rangle \quad (9)$$

since, as we will see, the quasi-particle gap $\Delta$ vanishes. This state has maximum spin $S = M$. For mesoscopic systems, it has been shown that the correct ground state at weak coupling is a fragmented singlet pair BEC \[31\] \[32\], which in momentum space becomes

$$|\Phi\rangle = (K^+_{k_{\min}})^M |0\rangle = \left(b_{k_{\min}}^\dagger a_{k_{\min}}^\dagger - a_{k_{\min}}^\dagger b_{k_{\min}}^\dagger\right)^M |0\rangle, \quad (10)$$

FIG. 3: Energy density $E$ as a function of $g$. Continuous lines are the thermodynamic limit solution while the dashed line is the exact $E$ for $M = 10$ and $L = 50$. Second and third-order derivatives of $E$ are displayed in the insets.
**Thermodynamic limit**—The thermodynamic limit is obtained in the limit of $N, L \to \infty$ with constant density $\rho = N/(2L)$ and rescaled interaction strength $g = GL$. In this limit, the Richardson equations \[8, 14\] transform into the boson gap and number equations \[8, 14\]

\[
\frac{\pi}{g} = \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\eta_k^2}{E_k} \, dk, \quad \rho = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} v_k^2 \, dk, \tag{12}
\]

with quasi-boson energies $E_k$ and occupation probabilities $v_k^2$

\[
E_k = \sqrt{(\eta_k^2 - \mu)^2 - 4\eta_k^2 \Delta^2}, \quad v_k^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\eta_k^2 - \mu}{E_k} - 1 \right), \tag{13}
\]

where $\mu$ is the chemical potential and $\Delta$ the gap. The ground state energy density $\mathcal{E} \equiv E/L$ for a given density $\rho$ in the thermodynamic limit is given by

\[
\mathcal{E} = -\frac{4\Delta^2}{g} - 1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\eta_k^2(\eta_k^2 - \mu)}{E_k} \, dk. \tag{14}
\]

The critical coupling of the exact solution in the finite-size case, becomes $g_c = \lim_{L, N \to \infty} [G, L] = 2/(2 + \rho)$ in the thermodynamic limit. The gap $\Delta$ is zero at weak pairing up to the critical value $g_c$. The inset of Fig. 4 shows the behavior of the gap for $\rho = 0.2$. It increases monotonically for $g > g_c$. In the same thermodynamic limit, the coupling where all pairons diverge becomes $g_{\infty} = \lim_{L, N \to \infty} [G, L] = 2/\rho$ (Fig. 1).

We are interested in establishing the nature of the non-analyticities of $\mathcal{E}$ at the critical point. It turns out that $\mathcal{E} = 0$, for $0 < g < g_c$ and is non-analytic at $g = g_c$ with a third order phase transition to a pair superfluid phase \[14, 13\]. Close to $g - g_c \approx 0^+$, it behaves as

\[
\mathcal{E} \approx -\frac{\pi^2}{3} \left( \frac{\hat{g}}{g} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\tilde{g}}{g} - 4 \frac{g - 1}{ge^{2(2g - 1)}} e^{2(g_e - 1)} \right), \tag{15}
\]

where $\hat{g} = (g - g_c)/g_c$. Interestingly, the behavior of $\mathcal{E}$ close to $g_c$ depends on $\rho$ only through its critical value $g_c$. The first and second-order derivatives at the critical point are zero, while the third-order derivative is $\partial^3 \mathcal{E}/\partial g \to 0^+ = -2\pi^2/g_c^6$, signaling a discontinuity of third order. This is illustrated in Fig. 3 for $\rho = 0.2$ where, moreover, $\mathcal{E}$ is compared with the exact energy density for $M = 10$ and $L = 50$.

**Nature of excitations**—In Fig. 4 we show the quasi-boson energies for the five values of $g$ indicated in Fig. 1. The quasi-boson energies change from $E_k = \sin^2(k/2)$ in the gapless pair condensate phase ($g = 0.5$), to a complex dispersion in the pair Bose superfluid phase. For $\mu + 2\Delta^2 \leq 0$, $E_k$ is a monotonous increasing function with minimum at $k_{\text{min}} = 0$ and energy $E_{k_{\text{min}}} = |\mu|$ ($g = 1.8$). The previous condition is fulfilled in the superfluid phase only for small densities $\rho < 0.299433$ in a finite coupling interval. The region is indicated by the area with diagonal lines in Fig. 1. The boundary of this region, the

![FIG. 4: Quasi-particle energies for $\rho = 0.2$ and the same couplings as those indicated in Fig. 1. For $g = 1.1$, the inset zooms in the low-$k$ region, showing that $k_{\text{min}} \neq 0$. Lower inset displays the occupation probabilities.](image-url)
tum phase diagram in the attractive sector. Only the case of a balanced mixture and zero center-of-mass momentum $Q$ has been studied in depth. Imbalanced binary mixtures ($\nu \neq 0$) and finite $Q$ pairs are contained within our exactly solvable model. Motivated by a theoretical prediction [35], recent experiments unveiled a new type of ultradilute quantum liquid in ultracold bosonic systems. Apparently, there is no unique mechanism leading to such a liquid state since it has been observed in single-species dipolar systems [36] and Bose (potassium) mixtures [37, 38]. Can one obtain a quantum liquid phase in $p$-wave Bose systems? This question has been recently addressed in [29], and answered in the affirmative for a particular model. Our PBS represent a (fixed-point) number-conserving candidate for such quantum liquid phase. The pairing interaction in (6) may thus provide a new effective mechanism for its emergence. Although the superfluid gap protects that state from expansion in finite geometries, further studies in trapped potentials are required to identify a possible self-bound quantum liquid droplet. On the experimental side, it is crucial to have a precise understanding of the spectrum of excitations to compare to theoretical predictions.
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