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Abstract

We reconsider the procedure of calculation of fermion-boson vertices and numerical solution of Bethe-Salpeter equations, used in non-local extensions of dynamical mean-field theory. Because of the frequency dependence of vertices, finite frequency box for matrix inversions is typically used, which often requires some treatment of asymptotic behavior of vertices. Recently [Phys. Rev. B 97, 235140 (2018)] it was proposed to split the considered frequency box into smaller and larger one; in the smaller frequency box the numerically exact vertices are used, while beyond this box asymptotics of vertices are applied. Yet, this method requires numerical treatment of vertex asymptotics (including corresponding matrix manipulations) in the larger frequency box and/or knowing fermion-boson vertices, which may be not convenient for numerical calculations. In the present paper we derive the formulae which treat analytically contribution of vertices beyond chosen frequency box, such that only numerical operations with vertices in the chosen small frequency box are required. The method is tested on the Hubbard model and can be used in a broad range of applications of non-local extensions of dynamical mean-field theory.
I. INTRODUCTION

Strongly-correlated systems show fascinating physical properties, like coexistence of magnetic and charge correlations[1], high-temperature superconductivity[1–3], Hund metal behavior[4], etc. Local correlations, which appear due to the (non-)local interactions in strongly-correlated systems are well described by the (extended) dynamical mean-field theory ((E)DMFT) [5–8]. At the same time, this theory is not sufficient to describe the non-local correlations, which play crucial role in many phenomena in strongly-correlated systems, in particular in quantum and classical phase transitions, superconductivity, etc. The non-local extensions of dynamical mean field theory, in particular dynamic cluster approximation and cellular mean-field theory (see for a review Ref. [9]) meet difficulties when treat low temperatures and large cluster sizes. Recent progress in diagrammatic extensions of (E)DMFT [10], namely ladder [11–16] and parquet [17] dynamic vertex approximation (DGA), dual fermion (DF) approach [18–21], dual boson (DB) approach [22–26], TRILEX [27], DMF²RG approach [28, 29] and (E)DMFT+2PI-fRG method [30] allowed to treat non-local correlations on a non-perturbative basis.

Key ingredient of many of these methods is the relation between given two-particle irreducible vertices (which are often assumed to be local) and the two-particle reducible vertices, expressed by the corresponding Bethe-Salpeter equations, as well as calculation of the fermion-boson vertices. Due to using finite frequency box, the corresponding treatment is, however, often approximate, and to get reasonable results large frequency box is required, which makes numerical calculation of vertices within this frequency box difficult. Recently it was proposed [31] to split the frequency box into “small” one where the numerically exact vertices are used, and larger one, where vertex asymptotics are used. The proposed approach requires however numerical treatment of vertices in the large frequency box (although with their asymptotic values) and/or knowing fermion-boson vertices, which make it not very convenient for applications. In the present paper we propose a way of analytical treatment of vertex asymptotics, such that only numerical calculations within small frequency box are required.

The plan of the paper is the following. In Sect. II we introduce the model. In Sect. III we consider procedure of calculation of fermion-boson vertices and susceptibilities using the interaction vertex obtained in a given frequency box. In Sect. IV we discuss the solution of
the Bethe-Salpeter equation. In Sect. V we present a numerical example of application of the obtained formulae to the standard Hubbard model. In Sect. VI we present Conclusions.

II. THE MODEL AND ASYMPOTICS OF VERTICES

We consider an extended Hubbard model described by an action

\[ S = - \sum_{k,\sigma} \bar{c}_k^+ G_0^{-1} c_{k\sigma} + U \sum_q n_{q\uparrow} n_{q\downarrow} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_q V_q^c n_Q n_{Q^c}, \]

where \( G_0 \) and \( V_q^c \) are some (arbitrary) single-particle Green function and the two-particle vertex, \( c_{k\sigma}^+, c_{k\sigma} \) are Grassmann variables, \( \sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow \), \( n_q = \sum_{\sigma} n_{q\sigma} = \sum_{\sigma} c_{k\sigma}^+ c_{k+q,\sigma} \), and we use the momentum-frequency variables \( k = (k, i\nu_n), q = (q, i\omega_n) \), where \( i\nu_n \) and \( i\omega_n \) are fermionic- and bosonic Matsubara frequencies. The action (1) can describe both, the (E)DMFT solution of the Hubbard model (in which case \( G_0 \) and \( V_q^c \) are only frequency dependent), as well as more general case of the non-local theory, for which \( G_0 \) and/or \( V_q^c \) acquire some momentum dependence.

Let us denote the full two-particle vertex in charge- and spin channels (which we consider below for definiteness), corresponding to the action (1) by \( F^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q} \), where \( \nu, \nu' \) are the incoming- and outgoing fermionic Matsubara frequencies, and \( q \) is the momentum-frequency transfer. We assume for simplicity that the vertex depends only on one of the momenta (i.e. the momentum transfer \( q \)), as it happens in the ladder versions of DΓA \( [11–14, 16] \), DF \( [20, 21] \), DB \( [24, 25] \), and (E)DMFT+2PI-fRG \( [30] \) approaches; more general case can be treat in a similar way. The vertex \( F^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q} \) is related to the two-particle irreducible vertex \( \Phi^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q} \) by the Bethe-Salpeter equation

\[ F^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q} = \left[ \left( \Phi^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q} \right)^{-1} - \delta_{\nu\nu'} \chi^0_{\nu q} \right]^{-1}, \]

where \( \chi^0_{\nu q} = -\sum_k G_k G_{k+q} \) where \( G_{k} = G_0^{-1} - \Sigma_k \) is the full Green function and \( \Sigma_k \) is the electronic self-energy (for DMFT and ladder DΓA the latter depends on the fermionic frequency \( \nu \) only). The vertex \( \Phi^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q} \) has at \( \nu \to \infty \) or \( \nu' \to \infty \) the asymptotic form \( [31] \)

\[ \Phi^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q} \to U_{\nu q}^{c(s)} + \Phi^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q}, \]

where \( U_{\nu q}^c = -(U + 2V_q^c), U_{\nu q}^s = U, \)

\[ \Phi^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q} \to U_{\nu q}^{c(s)} + \Phi^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q}, \]

\[ \Phi^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q} = -U^2 \left[ \chi_c(\nu - \nu') - \chi_s(\nu - \nu') \right]/2 - U^2 \chi_{pp}(\nu + \nu' + \omega) + v^c(\nu - \nu'), \]

\[ \Phi^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q} = -U^2 \left[ \chi_c(\nu - \nu') + 3\chi_s(\nu - \nu') \right]/2 + U^2 \chi_{pp}(\nu + \nu' + \omega) + v^c(\nu - \nu'), \]
\( \chi_{c,s,pp}(\omega) \) are the contributions of charge-, spin-, and particle-particle bubbles in the transverse channel (these contributions are assumed to be local in the considering ladder approximation), \( v^c(\omega) \) is the local component of \( V_q^c \). Note that \( \Phi_{\nu'\nu}\nu' \) can be calculated for arbitrary large \( \nu, \nu' \) since \( \chi_{c,s,pp}(\omega) \) and \( v^c(\omega) \) decay fast with \( |\omega| \) (outside the bosonic frequency box they can be therefore approximated by zero).

The corresponding asymptotics of the reducible vertices \( F^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q} \) at large frequency \( \nu \) or \( \nu' \) fulfill

\[
\begin{align*}
F^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q} \simeq \begin{cases}
U_q^{c(s)} \Gamma_{\nu'q}^{c(s)} + \sum_{\nu''} \Phi_{\nu''\nu'q}^{c(s)} F^{c(s)}_{\nu''\nu'q}, & \nu \to \infty, \\
U_q^{c(s)} \Gamma_{\nu q}^{c(s)} + \sum_{\nu'} F^{c(s)}_{\nu q} \chi_{0\nu'q}^{0} \Phi_{\nu'\nu q}^{c(s)}, & \nu' \to \infty,
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

where the three-leg (fermion-boson) vertex \( \Gamma_{\nu q}^{c(s)} \) is defined by

\[
\Gamma_{\nu q}^{c(s)} = 1 + \sum_{\nu'} F^{c(s)}_{\nu'q} \chi_{0\nu'q},
\]

here and below we assume factor of temperature \( T \) for every frequency summation. Note that for completeness we account for the last terms in the right-hand sides of Eqs. (5), which were omit in Ref. [31]. We note also that our definition of vertices \( F^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q} \) and \( \Phi_{\nu'\nu q}^{c(s)} \) has opposite sign in comparison to that used in Ref. [31], and the vertex \( \Gamma_{\nu q}^{c(s)} \) corresponds to the vertices \( 1 \pm \lambda_{\nu q}^{c(s)} \) of that paper.

### III. THREE-LEG VERTICES AND SUSCEPTIBILITIES

Our first task is to obtain the closed expression for \( \Gamma_{\nu q}^{c(s)} \) containing only summation within a given frequency box \( \nu' \in B \). For that we split a summation in Eq. (6) into \( \nu' \in B \) and \( \nu' \notin B \) and use the asymptotic form of Eq. (5). Since \( \Phi_{\nu'\nu q}^{c(s)} \) decays fast for large \( ||\nu''| - |\nu'|| \) (see Eqs. (4)), we can assume that \( |\nu''| \) in Eqs. (5) is also sufficiently large and approximate \( F^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q} \simeq U_q^{c(s)} \Gamma_{\nu q}^{c(s)} \) in the right-hand side of Eq. (5). We note that it will be shown in the following that the terms containing \( \Phi_{\nu'\nu q}^{c(s)} \) produce only (irrelevantly) small corrections to the results. Substituting this into Eq. (6) we obtain

\[
\Gamma_{\nu q}^{c(s)} = 1 + \sum_{\nu' \in B} F^{c(s)}_{\nu'q} \chi_{0\nu'q} + U_q^{c(s)} \Gamma_{\nu q}^{c(s)} X_q + Y_{\nu q},
\]

\[
(7)
\]
where \( X_q = \sum_{\nu' \in \mathcal{B}} \chi_{\nu' q}^0 + \sum_{\nu' \in \mathcal{B}, \nu''} \chi_{\nu' q}^0 \Phi_{\nu' \nu' \omega} \chi_{\nu'' q}^0 \), \( Y_{\nu q} = \sum_{\nu' \in \mathcal{B}} \Phi_{\nu \nu' \omega} \chi_{\nu' q}^0 \). From this equation we find
\[
\Gamma^{c(s)}_{\nu q} = \frac{1 + \sum_{\nu' \in \mathcal{B}} \mathcal{F}^{c(s)}_{\nu' \nu' q} \chi_{\nu' q}^0 + Y_{\nu q}}{1 - U_q^{c(s)} X_q}.
\] (8)

The expression (8) gives a possibility to calculate \( \Gamma^{c(s)}_{\nu q} \) using summations within the selected frequency box only. The quantity \( Y_{\nu q} \) and the second term in \( X_q \) are expected to give only very small contribution (which is directly verified in Sect. V) because of fast decay of \( \Phi_{\nu \nu' \omega} \) with \( ||\nu|| - ||\nu'|| || \), such that only few Matsubara frequencies near the boundary of the frequency box \( \mathcal{B} \) give a contribution, which is small due to smallness of \( \chi_{\nu q}^0 \) for large \( ||\nu|| \). Using the obtained fermion-boson vertex, we can similarly find the non-local susceptibilities (which in general should not be confused with the local susceptibilities \( \chi^{c(s)}(\omega) \) entering Eq. (4)) by splitting again the summation inside and outside the frequency box:
\[
\chi^{c(s)}_{\nu q} = \sum_{\nu} \Gamma^{c(s)}_{\nu q} \chi_{\nu q}^0 = \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{B}} \Gamma^{c(s)}_{\nu q} \chi_{\nu q}^0 + \sum_{\nu \notin \mathcal{B}} \Gamma^{c(s)}_{\nu q} \chi_{\nu q}^0.
\] (9)

From Eqs. (5) and (8) we find
\[
\Gamma^{c(s)}_{\nu \notin \mathcal{B}, q} \simeq \left\{ 1 + \sum_{\nu'} \Phi_{\nu \nu' \omega} \chi_{\nu' q}^0 \right\} \left[ 1 + U_q^{c(s)} \sum_{\nu' \in \mathcal{B}} \Gamma^{c(s)}_{\nu' q} \chi_{\nu' q}^0 \right].
\] (10)

This yields the result
\[
\chi^{c(s)}_{\nu q} = \frac{\sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{B}} \Gamma^{c(s)}_{\nu q} \chi_{\nu q}^0 + X_q}{1 - U_q^{c(s)} X_q},
\] (11)

which again uses the summation only in a given frequency box. From Eq. (11) we find
\[
1 + U_q^{c(s)} \chi^{c(s)}_{\nu q} = \frac{1 + U_q^{c(s)} \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{B}} \Gamma^{c(s)}_{\nu q} \chi_{\nu q}^0}{1 - U_q^{c(s)} X_q}.
\] (12)

Using this formula we can express Eq. (11) in a more compact form,
\[
\Gamma^{c(s)}_{\nu \notin \mathcal{B}, q} \simeq \left\{ 1 + \sum_{\nu'} \Phi_{\nu \nu' \omega} \chi_{\nu' q}^0 \right\} \left[ 1 + U_q^{c(s)} \chi^{c(s)}_{\nu q} \right].
\] (13)

Let us also consider the “reduced” fermion-boson vertex
\[
\gamma^{c(s)}_{\nu q} = \frac{\Gamma^{c(s)}_{\nu q}}{1 + U_q^{c(s)} \chi_{\nu q}^c}.
\] (14)
which contains the sum of contributions from 2PI vertices with excluded $U_q^{c(s)}$ interaction. This vertex is often used in DΓA [13], TRILEX [27], some versions of the DB approach [26], (E)DMFT+2PI-fRG method [30], etc. For this vertex we obtain

$$
\gamma_{\nu q}^{c(s)} = \frac{1 + \sum_{\nu' \in B} \mathcal{F}_{\nu' q}^{c(s)} \lambda_{\nu' q}^0 + Y_{\nu q}}{1 + U_q^{c(s)} \sum_{\nu \in B} \Gamma_{\nu q}^{c(s)} \lambda_{\nu q}^0} = \frac{1 + \sum_{\nu' \in B} \mathcal{F}_{\nu' q}^{c(s)} \lambda_{\nu' q}^0 + Y_{\nu q}}{1 + \bar{U}_q^{c(s)} \sum_{\nu \in B} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{\nu' \in B} \mathcal{F}_{\nu' q}^{c(s)} \lambda_{\nu' q}^0 + Y_{\nu q} \right\} \lambda_{\nu q}^0},
$$

(15)

where \( \bar{U}_q^{c(s)} = U_q^{c(s)}/(1 - U_q^{c(s)} X_q) \). According to the Eq. (13),

$$
\gamma_{\nu \epsilon B, q}^{c(s)} \simeq 1 + \sum_{\nu'} \Phi_{\nu' q}^{c(s)} \lambda_{\nu' q}^0 \nu' \rightarrow \infty \ 1.
$$

(16)

For the irreducible susceptibility $\phi_{q}^{c(s)}$, which is related to the non-local susceptibility $\chi_{q}^{c(s)}$ by

$$
\chi_{q}^{c(s)} = \frac{\phi_{q}^{c(s)}}{1 - U_q^{c(s)} \phi_{q}^{c(s)}}
$$

we find

$$
\phi_{q}^{c(s)} = \frac{\chi_{q}^{c(s)} \chi_{q}^{c(s)}}{1 + U_q^{c(s)} \chi_{q}^{c(s)}}
$$

$$
= \frac{\sum_{\nu \in B} \Gamma_{\nu q}^{c(s)} \lambda_{\nu q}^0 + X_q}{1 + U_q^{c(s)} \sum_{\nu \in B} \Gamma_{\nu q}^{c(s)} \lambda_{\nu q}^0}.
$$

(18)

It can be verified by direct algebraic transformations that the obtained quantities fulfill the natural result for the irreducible susceptibility

$$
\sum_{\nu} \gamma_{\nu q}^{c(s)} \lambda_{\nu q}^0 = \sum_{\nu \in B} \gamma_{\nu q}^{c(s)} \lambda_{\nu q}^0 + X_q = \phi_{q}^{c(s)}.
$$

(19)

For the following it is convenient to represent the vertex $\mathcal{F}_{\nu' q}^{c(s)}$ via Bethe-Salpeter equation, similar to [2],

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\nu' q}^{c(s)} = \left\{ \Phi_{\nu' q}^{c(s), box} \right\}^{-1} - \delta_{\nu' q} \lambda_{\nu q}^0
$$

(20)

but with the inversion performed for $\nu, \nu' \in B$ only (which provides the difference between $\Phi_{\nu' q}^{c(s), box}$ and $\Phi_{\nu' q}^{c(s)}$, see Sect. IV). Neglecting $Y_{\nu q}$ and performing algebraic manipulations,
similar to those described in Appendix C of Ref. [30], the result (15) can be represented in a simpler form
\[
\gamma^{c(s)}_{\nu q} = \sum_{\nu' \in B} \left[ 1 - \left( \Phi^{c(s),\text{box}}_{\nu\nu'q} - U^{c(s)}_q \right) \chi^0_{\nu'q} \right]^{-1},
\]
where again the inversion is performed for \( \nu, \nu' \in B \). This result allows us to obtain fermion-boson vertices \( \gamma^{c(s)}_{\nu q} \) by performing summation over frequencies within the chosen frequency box. The size of the frequency box should be such that the asymptotic (5) is reached close to the boundary of the frequency box.

**IV. BETHE-SALPETER EQUATION**

Now we consider the solution of the Bethe-Salpeter equation (22) which we write in the form
\[
F^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q} = \Phi^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q} + \sum_{\nu''} \Phi^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu''q} \chi^0_{\nu''q} F^{c(s)}_{\nu''\nu'q}.
\]
Splitting again the summation to the one restricted to the frequency box and outside the box and using the asymptotic forms (3) and (5), we find
\[
F^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q} = \Phi^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q} + \sum_{\nu'' \in B} \Phi^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu''q} \chi^0_{\nu''q} F^{c(s)}_{\nu''\nu'q} + \sum_{\nu'' \notin B} \left[ U^{c(s)}_q + \Phi^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu''q} \right] \chi^0_{\nu''q} \left[ U^{c(s)}_q \Gamma^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q} + \Phi^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q} + \Phi^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu''q} \chi^0_{\nu''q} F^{c(s)}_{\nu''\nu'q} \right].
\]
From this equation we can express \( \Phi^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q} \):
\[
\Phi^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q} = \sum_{\nu'' \in B} \left\{ F^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu''q} - \sum_{\nu''' \notin B} \left[ U^{c(s)}_q + \Phi^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'''q} \right] \chi^0_{\nu'''q} \times \left[ U^{c(s)}_q \Gamma^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q} + \Phi^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q} \left( \delta^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q} + \chi^0_{\nu\nu'''q} F^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'''q} \right) \right] \right\} \left[ \delta^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q} + \chi^0_{\nu''q} F^{c(s)}_{\nu''\nu'q} \right]^{-1} - \sum_{\nu'' \notin B} \left[ U^{c(s)}_q + \Phi^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu''q} \right] \chi^0_{\nu''q} \left\{ \tilde{U}^{c(s)}_q \left[ 1 + Y_{\nu'q} \right] + \Phi^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q} \right\},
\]
where we have used the result for the fermion-boson vertex (8) and neglected higher order in \( \chi^0_{\nu''q} \) terms. Finally, using again the Bethe-Salpeter equation (20), we obtain
\[
\Phi^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q} = \Phi^{c(s),\text{box}}_{\nu\nu'q} - U^{c(s)}_q \tilde{U}^{c(s)}_q \sum_{\nu'' \notin B} \chi^0_{\nu''q} - \tilde{U}^{c(s)}_q \left( Y_{\nu'q} + Y_{\nu''q} \right) - \sum_{\nu'' \notin B} \Phi^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu''q} \chi^0_{\nu''q} \Phi^{c(s)}_{\nu''\nu'q}. \]
The result (25) can be also derived from “Method 2” of Ref. [31] which uses $F$’s asymptotics (Eq. (19) of that paper) by applying Eqs. (3), (5), and (8) above. The relation (25) allows one to find the “physical” 2PI vertex from given vertex $F^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q}$ which is known inside the frequency box ($\nu, \nu' \in B$) by exploiting the equation (20) for the vertex $\Phi^{c(s),box}_{\nu\nu'q}$. On the other hand, knowing the vertex $\Phi^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q}$ and proceeding the reverse way one can find the corresponding vertex $F^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q}$. In the ladder approximation the vertex $\Phi^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q}$ is assumed to be local and the same for the local and non-local problems. This allows one to find the relation between the respective vertices $\Phi^{c(s),box}_{\nu\nu'\omega}$ and $\Phi^{c(s),box}_{\nu\nu'q}$ of the local and non-local problem, which are different because of the difference of $\chi_{0}^{\nu'q}$. Neglecting $Y_{\nu q}$ and the second term in $X_{q}$ the result (25) can be reduced to simpler form

$$\Phi^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q} = \Phi^{c(s),box}_{\nu\nu'q} - \tilde{U}_{q}^{c(s)} + U_{q}^{c(s)}, \quad (26)$$

which implies that the physical 2PI vertex and the 2PI vertex obtained in the frequency box via Eq. (20) differ by a $q$-dependent shift only. This also provides explanation of the result (21): since $\Phi^{c(s),box}_{\nu\nu'q} - \tilde{U}_{q}^{c(s)} = \Phi^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q} - U_{q}^{c(s)}$, the obtained vertex $\gamma_{\nu q}$ in terms of the physical vertex $\Phi^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q}$ has a rather standard form (cf. Ref. [12]), which is due to vanishing of the difference $\Phi^{c(s)}_{\nu\nu'q} - U_{q}^{c(s)}$ in the limit $\nu \to \infty$ or $\nu' \to \infty$ up to the neglected terms $\Phi_{\nu\nu'q}$, not contributing substantially to the considered fermion-boson vertex, yielding absence of other corrections (apart from the above mentioned shift and $\Phi$-related terms) from the finite frequency box for this vertex.

V. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

As an example of the application of the developed approach we calculate the spin vertex $\gamma^{s}_{\nu,0}$ in DMFT approach for the two-dimensional Hubbard model with the dispersion $\epsilon_{k} = -2t(\cos k_{x} + \cos k_{y}) + 4t' \cos k_{x} \cos k_{y}$. We choose the parameters $t' = 0.15t$ and $U = 10t$, which were suggested previously to describe physical properties of high-$T_c$ compound La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$. For numerical implementation of DMFT we use hybridization expansion continous-time QMC method within iQIST package of Refs. [32, 33], choosing for the frequency box $N_f = 120$ fermionic Matsubara frequencies.

In the left part of Fig. 1 we show the result of the calculation of fermion-boson vertex for not too low temperature $T = 0.2t$ and $n = 1$. In this case the chosen frequency box is
FIG. 1: The fermion-boson vertex $\gamma_{\nu,0}$ in DMFT for two-dimensional Hubbard model ($t' = 0.15t$, $U = 10t$) for $T = 0.2t$, $n = 1$ (left) and $T = 0.08t$, $n = 0.96$ (right). Dashed lines correspond to the calculation, performed only within the chosen frequency box, while solid lines show the result according to the Eq. (15).

sufficiently large (the maximal fermionic frequency $\nu_{\text{max}} \sim 75t$) and the results calculated with and without account of finite frequency box effects (we put $X_q = Y_{\nu q} = \Phi_{\nu\nu'q} = 0$ in the latter case) are close to each other, with slightly better agreement of the result calculated with account of finite frequency box with the required asymptotic value. With decreasing temperature to $T = 0.08t$ the maximal fermionic frequency $\nu_{\text{max}} \sim 30t$ and we observe stronger difference of the fermion-boson vertex calculated with and without account of finite frequency box effect (right part of Fig. 1 in this case we also change filling to $n = 0.96$). The vertex, evaluated with account of finite frequency box effects approaches correct limiting value (equal to one). In both cases we find that the terms related to the $\Phi_{\nu\nu'q}$ (i.e. second term in $X_q$ and $Y_{\nu q}$) provide very small contribution. We have also verified that the obtained vertices $\gamma_{\nu q}$ yield the irreducible local susceptibility $\phi_\omega$, obtained by the Eq. (19), which agrees with that obtained directly from CT-QMC solver. Although at $\omega = 0$ the susceptibilities, obtained in the finite frequency box (i.e. at $X_q = Y_{\nu q} = \Phi_{\nu\nu'q}$) yield also approximately correct result, obtaining susceptibilities at finite (not small) frequency $\omega$ requires taking into account corrections for the finite size of the frequency box.
In conclusion, we have derived explicit formulae for the full (Eq. 8) and reduced (Eq. 11) fermion-boson vertices; full (Eq. 11) and irreducible (Eq. 18) susceptibilities, and the 2PI vertex (25), which contain summation only in a given frequency box. These formulae account for the contribution of the frequencies outside the frequency box via the terms, containing $X_q$, $Y_{vq}$ and $\Phi_{vq'}$. When the contribution of the additional asymptotic of 2PI vertex $\Phi_{vq'}$ is neglected (which also implies neglect of $Y_{vq}$ and second term in $X_q$),

VI. CONCLUSION

In Fig. 2 we show the frequency dependence of 2PI vertex $\Phi_{vq'}$ at fixed frequency $\nu' = \nu_1 = \pi T$ (left part) and two equal frequencies $\nu = \nu'$ (right part). For $\nu' = \nu_1$ one can see that the obtained correction improves the high-frequency behavior, which is close to $U$ in that case (the contribution $\Phi_{vq'}$ is small). At the same time, for $\nu = \nu'$ the obtained correction due to finite frequency box effect is sufficiently small, and both vertices, with and without account of finite frequency box effect approach the expected asymptotic value.

FIG. 2: The 2PI vertex $\Phi_{v_1v_0}$ (left, $\nu_1 = \pi T$) and $\Phi_{v_0v}$ (right) in DMFT for two-dimensional Hubbard model ($t' = 0.15t$, $U = 10t$) for $T = 0.08t$ $n = 0.96$. Short dashed line corresponds to the calculation, performed only within the chosen frequency box, while solid lines show the result according to the Eq. (25). The long dashed line in the right plot shows limiting value $U + \Phi_{v_0v}$, expected according to the Eq. (13).
simplified expressions (21) and (26) are applicable. We have verified numerically applicability of the obtained results on the two-dimensional Hubbard model with next-nearest hopping and strong Coulomb repulsion.

The obtained results can be used in a broad range of applications of diagrammatic extensions of dynamical mean field theory.
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