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Robust automation of analysis procedures capable of handling diverse data sets is critical for high data throughput experiments at the Linac Coherent Light Source (LCLS). One challenge encountered in this process is determining the number of clusters required for the execution of conventional clustering algorithms. It is demonstrated here that bi-cross validation of the inverted and regularized Laplacian, used in the spectral clustering algorithm, yields a robust minimum at the predicted number of clusters and kernel hyper parameters. These results indicate that the process of estimating the number of clusters should not be divorced from the process of estimating other hyper parameters. Applying this method to LCLS x-ray scattering data demonstrates the ability to identify clusters of dropped shots without manually setting boundaries on detector fluence and provides a path towards identifying rare events.
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I. INTRODUCTION

X-Ray Free Electron Lasers (X-FELs) are remarkable instruments capable of producing highly coherent x-ray pulses less than 20 fs in duration. Since their inception, X-FELs have made contributions to a diverse range of disciplines spanning from condensed matter and atomic molecular optics to structural biology and femto-second chemistry. Compared to 3rd generation light sources, X-FELs require high throughput data systems for writing to disk on a per-pulse basis. Originally developed in order to filter out low fluence shots in post processing, shot-by-shot recording has since shifted the data collection paradigm and provided researchers with the means to compensate x-ray/laser timing jitter, out run x-ray damage accumulation in protein crystallography experiments, and offers the potential to extract new physics by identifying rare events.

Data accumulated over the course of an LCLS user experiment regularly exceed 20 TB and analyzing such data requires approximately 2.5 years of analysis before results are published. Efforts to expedite the analysis have motivated the development of a high performance computing infrastructure, user friendly abstraction layers, and novel algorithms. One promising avenue for streamlining data analysis is the exploitation of clustering algorithms. Such algorithms are currently used to cluster diffraction images of protein conformations collected in diffract and destroy experiments, but also have the potential to identify rare events. One impediment to this approach is the problem of estimating the hyper parameters and number of clusters required for executing clustering algorithms. Early work estimating the number of clusters used a combination of gap methods, distortion methods, stability approaches, and non parametric methods. These approaches are generally considered to be heuristic with well understood limitations and require assumptions about the cluster distribution. More recent work has made exciting progress in both implementing and laying the theoretical foundation for abstracting Bi-Cross Validation (BCV) away from its matrix formulation to estimate the number of clusters for use with the k-means algorithm. This approach, however, requires pre-conditioning rotations to discriminate when multiple clusters are spaced along a single feature dimension. In that work, it was predicted that applying BCV to the Laplacian matrix after the eigen-vector transformation would provide a convex surface for estimating the number of clusters.

Here, it is shown that spectral clustering hyper parameters and cluster number can be estimated by performing BCV on the inverted Laplacian matrix and finding the local minima of the resultant BCV loss function. In spectral clustering, data are embedded into a higher dimensional graph representation called the Laplacian matrix. The multiplicity of the Laplacian’s smallest eigen-values are equal to the number of clusters. Conversely, the number of clusters is equal to the number of dominant eigen-vectors of the inverse Laplacian. BCV is a powerful least squares method for estimating the number of dominant singular vectors needed to reconstruct the matrix without over fitting the data to the noise. The main result is captured in equation which connects the spectral clustering framework to the BCV framework. The approach proposed here implements BCV for spectral clustering without abstracting BCV away from its matrix formulation. The range where this techniques succeeds and fails is explored using simulated data sets. Applying this technique to experimental LCLS x-ray scattering data separates low fluence from high fluence x-ray pulses, and provides a path towards identifying clusters of rare events.
II. THEORY

Consider a set of x-ray scattering data stored within a matrix $X$, with elements $X_{i,j}$ where $i$ and $j$ are the rows and columns indices respectively. All entries contained within a row have been measured at the same instant, and all entries within a single column measure the same quantity. For the case of LCLS data, potential column labels are incident x-ray pulse energy, scattered pulse energy, photon energy, x-ray/laser jitter correction, or laser delay stage position. The process of clustering, in this context, means assigning labels, such as “signal of interest”, “low fluence shots”, “outliers”, or “rare events” to each of the rows.

In the spectral clustering approach, clusters are identified by applying k-means clustering on the $k$ smallest eigen-vectors, $v$, of the Laplacian matrix, $L$, where $k$ is the number of clusters. That is,

$$L = D - W$$

(1)

where $D$ is the degree matrix. The weighting $W$ matrix chosen here is calculated using the radial basis function (RBF) kernel\(^{(22)}\) such that

$$W_{i,j} = W_{j,i} = \exp \left[ -\Gamma \frac{1}{m} (X_{i,m} - X_{j,m})^2 \right]$$

where $i$ and $j$ are the row and column indices of $W$, and $\Gamma$ is a hyper parameter that is inversely proportional to root of the expected distance between points within a cluster. In practice, the Laplacian is normalized by

$$L_n = D^{-1/2}LD^{-1/2} = I - D^{-1/2}WD^{-1/2}$$

(3)

where $L_n$ is the normalized Laplacian. Using these definitions, the spectral clustering method proceeds by solving the generalized eigen-vector problem

$$L_n v = \lambda D v,$$

(4)

implementing k-means on the diagonalized feature space, and propagating the resultant labels from k-means back to $X$.

The procedure for estimating the number of clusters and $\Gamma$ by performing BCV on the inverted $L^{-1}$ proceeds as follows. The Laplacian is, by construction, a singular matrix that cannot be inverted. This drawback is circumvented by adding a regularization term, $R$. That is

$$L_r = L_n + \xi R$$

(5)

where $\xi$ is a scalar regularization parameter. In this work, $\xi$ is empirically determined to be of the order 1e-9 to 1e-14. The matrix $R$ is

$$R = H - H^T L_n H$$

(6)

where $H$ is a Haar distributed random matrix\(^{(22)}\). Adding $\xi R$ to $L_n$, as opposed to adding $\xi H$ directly, guarantees the resultant matrix $L_r$ can be inverted. The BCV loss function for $L_{r^{-1}}$ is calculated as described in\(^{(19)}\) by breaking $L_{r^{-1}}$ into quadrants.

$$L_{r^{-1}} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & E \end{bmatrix}$$

(7)

The bottom right quadrant has been labeled in this work $E$, deviating from the notation in previous literature\(^{(19)}\) so as not to be confused with the degree matrix $D$. For this work, $A$ was designated as the hold out and 2x2 BCV was configured such that the sub matrices $A,B,C,D$ have the same number of rows and columns. This sub-matrix partitioning is close to the optimal 52% holdout size for square matrices\(^{(23)}\). The BCV loss function is

$$BCV(k, \Gamma) = \sum_{i,j} (\hat{A} - B(\hat{E}^{(k)})^+ C)_{i,j}^2$$

(8)

where $(\hat{E}^{(k)})^+$ is the Penrose pseudo inverse of $(\hat{E}^{(k)})$

$$\hat{E}^{(k)} = (\hat{E}^{(k)}(\hat{E}^{(k)})^{-1}(\hat{E}^{(k)})^T)^T$$

(9)
III. NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

The performance of this approach was benchmarked for a range of hyper parameters using the scikit-learn version 0.19.1, numpy version 1.14.2, and scipy version 0.19.1 packages. The source code used to generate the simulations can be found here, and an executable step by step walk through can be cloned from this repository.

In Figure 1 (a) a set of 5 simulated clusters projected from 7 dimension feature space onto 2 dimensions is shown. Panel (b) shows 7 simulated clusters generated in a 2D feature space. The BCV loss function minimum was found by iterating over increasing values of cluster number, $k$, and length scales, $\Gamma$ and calculating the BCV at each point. The BCV score’s dependence on $k$ for the clusters in panel (a) and (b) are shown in panels (c) and (d) respectively. The different color lines shown in (c) and (d) correspond to increasing values of the regularization parameter. The BCV score in (c) has a minimum at $k=5$ correctly identifying the number of clusters. This estimate is robust for changing regularization values except for large regularization, where the score minimum no longer occurs at the expected number of clusters and moves to arbitrarily large $k$. The inter-cluster distance for points in Fig. 1 panel (b) is decreased with respect to panel (a) by increasing the number of clusters from 5 to 7 and reducing the feature space dimension from 7 to 2. The BCV score for the points in panel (b) are shown in panel (d). For a fixed value of $\Gamma$, the cluster number estimation procedure is not robust since the score minimum does not reliably estimate the number clusters for all values of $\xi$.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL DATA

In Figure 4 the effectiveness of this approach in identifying low fluence x-ray shots from an x-ray scattering experiment is shown. The feature space is 12 dimensions with column labels corresponding to intensity of x-rays scattered off the sample, incident intensity downstream the monochromator, 4 different incident intensity diagnostics from upstream the monochromator, laser delay stage position, laser power, arrival time monitor mean and FWHM, photon energy, and the photon energy product with the incident intensity downstream the monochromator. The energy-intensity product linearizes...
the chromatic non-linearity observed when the photon energy is tuned to the steep part of absorption edge.[30] An additional cluster density vector estimated from the diagonal of the Laplacian calculated from 7000 samples for a $\Gamma = 1e^{-2}$ was appended to this feature space. This circumvents the heterogeneous density problem encountered in spectral clustering by including the density as part of the feature space. Clustering was performed on a total of 750 rows from this feature space. Eleven clusters are identified with populations of the dominant first three clusters containing on average 573, 62, 43 data points, with the rest of the data points be spread over the remaining clusters. This approach separates out the dominant cluster (blue histogram) which corresponds to signal of interest from the dropped shots with no fluence (orange bars). It is stressed that the last figure presented here is analyzed on less than 1 % of the entire data and does not represent the expected number of clusters if the full data set were to be used.

V. DISCUSSION

There are several advantages for using the matrix formulation[23] of BCV with data embedded into a higher dimensional space, as opposed to the abstracted BCV form in the non embedded feature space[18]. One advantage is that the pre-conditioning rotations needed for making clusters that lie along one dimension separable are no longer required. Another advantage is that since the matrix BCV formulation does not require a classification step, hyper parameter estimation for the classification procedures is not required.

The ability to simultaneously estimate both the $\Gamma$ parameter and number of clusters is not serendipitous. Intuitively, it is readily understood that asking “how many clusters are present in some region” can not be separated from the question of “what length scales do those same clusters appear on?” This line of thinking agrees with the limiting cases of very small and very large $\Gamma$ values, where the number of estimated clusters will be equal to either one or the number of points respectively.

The spectral clustering algorithm used here employed the RBF kernel to convert the adjacency matrix $L^2$ into a weighting matrix. This particular RBF kernel has a single scalar parameter, $\Gamma$. The approach demonstrated here to optimize $\Gamma$ could be used to generalize $\Gamma$ from a scalar to a covariance matrix (or more complicated kernel) with each matrix element being optimized using the BCV approach. Scanning such a hyper parameter space would require $O(n^3)$ time, where $n$ is the number of hyper parameters. A more efficient minimum finding approach exploiting gradient descent methods would be required to reduce the time complexity. Initializing gradient descent methods at different hyper parameter values would provide a path towards avoiding the trivial solution describing a single cluster at large length scales.

Looking forward, there are several pre-requisites that would need to be met for this approach to be widely adopted. A rigorous mathematical proof demonstrating that the BCV loss function minimum correctly estimates the hyper parameters would have to be shown. Ideally, this proof would provide insight on how to estimate the regularization parameter. It is anticipated that such a proof would exploit the regularized Laplacian’s condition number and use eigen-vector decomposition of the inverted Laplacian as opposed to SVD decomposition. Another challenge is that the size of the Laplacian matrix scales with number of points squared; thus typical LCLS data sets which have on the order of 3E5 data points would require finding the SVD of a 3E5 x 3E5 matrix which is on the order of a terabytes and exceeds typical host machine RAM. Sparse matrix methods would be required to avoid memory overflow.

VI. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, a direct matrix implementation of BCV for estimating both the number of clusters and kernel hyper parameters required for spectral clustering has been demonstrated. This was accomplished by applying the matrix formulation for BCV directly to the inverted Laplacian matrix used in spectral clustering. The resulting BCV loss function has robust minima that occur at different cluster numbers depending upon the length scales determined by RBF kernel parameter. The results here provide a path towards generalized hyper parameter optimization for spectral clustering algorithms.
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