The assembly of freely moving rigid fibers measures the flow velocity gradient tensor
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The motion of an assembly of rigid fibers is investigated for different classes of closed streamline flows, steady or time dependent, two dimensional or three dimensional. In our study, the dynamics of the fiber assembly is fully-coupled to the flow field by means of a state-of-the-art immersed boundary method. We show that, for sufficiently small Stokes times of the assembly, the whole flow gradient tensor can be accurately reconstructed by simply tracking the fiber assembly and measuring suitable fiber velocity differences evaluated at the fibers ends. Our results strongly suggest the possibility of using rigid fibers (or assembly of them) to perform flow measures either in laboratory or in field. Experiments are mandatory to inquire this latter possibility including the one of exploiting our new findings to give birth to a ‘Fiber Image Velocimetry’ as a new technique to measure multi-point flow properties.
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1. Introduction

Fiber-like objects interacting with fluid flows are typically encountered in many environmental and industrial processes, such as pollutant and pollen dispersion, microfluidic devices and paper production. Compared with point-like particles, the dynamics of fiber-like objects is more complex due to the additional degrees of freedom related to the object orientation and structural elasticity. Active research is thus being devoted to improve the understanding of such fluid-structure interactions, both in laminar and turbulent flow conditions (du Roure \textit{et al.} 2019; Voth \& Soldati 2017).

In the former, flapping instabilities of flexible filaments immersed in two-dimensional, low-Reynolds flows were identified (Shelley \& Zhang 2011) and similar mechanisms were investigated to be exploited for passive locomotion and flow control purposes (Bagheri \textit{et al.} 2012; Lācis \textit{et al.} 2014; Lācis \textit{et al.} 2017). In the latter, dilute suspensions of both rigid and deformable fibers were investigated in different flow configurations, including the case of wall-bounded channel flow (Marchioli \textit{et al.} 2010; Do-Quang \textit{et al.} 2014; Marchioli \textit{et al.} 2016), as well as that of homogeneous isotropic
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More specifically, some recent contributions have considered this kind of objects as the key ingredient for a novel way of flow measurement. In particular, the possibility of using flexible fibers to quantify two-point statistics has been highlighted in the case of homogeneous isotropic turbulence by means of fully-resolved direct numerical simulation (Rosti et al. 2018; Rosti et al. 2019). In this situation, the existence of different fiber’s flapping states was identified, in some of which the fiber behaves as a proxy of turbulent eddies with size comparable to the fiber length. Two-point statistical quantities, such as the velocity structure functions, were thus acquired simply by tracking the fiber end points in time. Along a similar line of reasoning, recent experimental studies focused on how to measure fluid velocity gradients using particles made by connections of slender deformable arms, both in the case of two-dimensional shear flow and three-dimensional turbulence (Hejazi et al. 2019).

Motivated by these evidence, the goal of this work is to investigate similar possibilities for the case of rigid fibers in laminar flow. Rigid fibers are indeed easier to fabric than elastic ones and are good candidates for novel experimental, non-invasive techniques able to access small-scale, multi-point properties of fluid flows. The idea is to replace single particles, typically used in particle image or tracking velocimetry (PIV/PTV) to measure single-point fluid properties (Adrian 1991; Hover et al. 2005; Schanz et al. 2016), by single fibers (or assemblies of them) in order to access two-point (or multi-point) properties.

To this aim, we will focus on cellular flows, which are also a conceptual representation of the smallest scales of turbulent flows. The motion of flexible fibers in cellular flow has been extensively studied both experimentally and numerically by Young & Shelley (2007); Wandersman et al. (2010); Quennouz et al. (2015) and recently reviewed by du Roure et al. (2019), revealing the existence of flow-induced buckling instabilities that are responsible of their complex dynamics, including the possibility of a diffusive behaviour for neutrally-buoyant fibers due to such deformation.

We will consider here spatially-periodic solutions of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, i.e. the so-called ABC and BC flows (Dombre et al. 1986; Biferale et al. 1995). For example, the latter is easily expressed by the stream function

\[ \Psi(x, y) = \sin(y) - \sin(x) \]

whose graphical representation is shown in figure 1a. The choice of this setting will enable us to perform a direct and reliable comparison between the measured fiber’s velocity and the underlying, unperturbed fluid flow velocity. Although the fiber generally travels at different velocity compared to the flow, we will show that it can nevertheless be able to measure velocity differences in the fluid flow. This is done by properly projecting the velocity difference between the fiber end-points, provided that the rotational Stokes number is sufficiently low for the fiber inertia to be negligible.

In this framework, a new way for measuring the fluid velocity gradient tensor will be proposed, and tested exploiting the assembly of different fibers (three fibers for the two-dimensional incompressible case and eight fibers for the corresponding three-dimensional case). In turbulent flows, this is of key importance and let us to access consequently the vorticity and strain tensors, as well as to measure the energy dissipation rate and other small-scale quantities.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows: §2 presents the numerical methods used in the work (complemented by Appendix A), §3 exposes the results, and finally §4 draws some conclusions and perspectives.
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2. Methods

We consider an inertial, elastic fiber of length $c$ and diameter $d \ll c$, characterized by the linear density $\rho_1$ and the bending stiffness $\gamma$. Throughout this work, we choose an adequate value of $\gamma \gg 1$ in order to have an essentially rigid behavior. Nevertheless, the fiber is modelled as a flexible object and its dynamics is governed by the Euler-Bernoulli’s beam equation

$$\rho_1 \ddot{X} = \partial_s(T \partial_s(X)) - \gamma \partial_s^4(X) - F. \quad (2.1)$$

We take advantage in this way of the IBM method already used and validated in Rosti et al. (2018a, 2019). In Eq. (2.1) $T$ is the tension necessary to enforce the inextensibility condition

$$\partial_s(X) \cdot \partial_s(X) = 1. \quad (2.2)$$

In the equations above, $X = X(s, t)$ is the position of a material point belonging to the fiber, as a function of the curvilinear coordinate $s$ and time $t$, as shown in figure 1b, while $F$ is the forcing exerted by the fluid-structure coupling. The fiber is freely moving in the flow, hence the corresponding boundary conditions at its ends are:

$$\partial_{ss}X|_{s=0,c} = \partial_{sss}X|_{s=0,c} = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

$$T|_{s=0,c} = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Such one-dimensional structure is discretized along $s$ into segments with spatial resolution $\Delta s = c/(N_L - 1)$, $N_L$ being the number of Lagrangian points. To model the fluid-structure coupling, we will consider two different strategies: (i) a fully-resolved approach where the feedback is taken into account (which will therefore be denoted as active) and (ii) an intrinsically passive model based on slender body theory. Both strategies are introduced in the following of this section.

2.1. Active model

In the first case the coupling is two-way and the dynamics is resolved using an Immersed-Boundary (IB) technique, inspired by the method proposed by Huang et al. (2007) for anchored filaments in laminar flow. The method was also exploited for dispersed fibers in turbulent flow (Rosti et al. 2018a, 2019; Banaei 2019). In the present case, we solve numerically the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations for the fluid flow:

$$\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u = -\nabla p/\rho_0 + \nu \nabla^2 u + f, \quad (2.5)$$
\[ \partial \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \quad (2.6) \]

where \( \mathbf{u} \) is the fluid velocity, \( p \) the pressure, \( \rho_0 \) the density, and \( \nu \) the kinematic viscosity. The volumetric forcing \( \mathbf{f} \) is made by the sum of two contributions: The first is used for generating the desired flow field \([\text{Dombre et al.} 1986]\), while the second is characteristic of the IB method, mimicking the presence of the fiber by means of no-slip enforcement at the Lagrangian points. Further details concerning the active model are provided in Appendix [A]. When using this approach, the fiber is discretized by \( N_L = 11 \) points, so that the Lagrangian spacing \( \Delta s \) is almost equal to that of the Eulerian grid \( \Delta x \). Doubling both resolutions, the variation of results was found to be negligible. As for the timestep we use \( \Delta t = 5 \times 10^{-5} \), after assessing the convergence for this parameter as well.

### 2.2. Passive model

In the second approach, a one-way coupling is assumed, i.e. the fiber is forced by the flow but not vice versa. The problem thus essentially consists in solving only Eq. (2.1), expressing the forcing as

\[ \mathbf{F} = \frac{\rho_1}{\tau_s} (\dot{X} - \mathbf{u}(X(s,t), t)), \quad (2.7) \]

where \( \tau_s \) is the Stokes time. The latter is a measure of the fiber inertia and also quantifies the strength of the coupling. In this approach, \( \mathbf{u} \) is assigned and does not get modified by the presence of the fiber. Note that our model is similar to that of [Young & Shelley 2007; Quennouz et al. 2015], relying on local slender body theory, and further simplified assuming an isotropic form for \( \mathbf{F} \). Using this model, we assess the convergence for the discretization of the fiber with \( N_L = 31 \) Lagrangian points, and the convergence for the time step \( \Delta t = 10^{-6} \).

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Two-dimensional BC flow

To start our analysis, we consider the steady and two-dimensional cellular flow already mentioned in the introduction, often named as the Beltrami-Childress (BC) flow. From Eq. (1.1) it follows that the velocity field can be expressed as:

\[
\begin{align*}
    u &= \cos y \\
    v &= \cos x
\end{align*}
\]

(3.1)

Such a relatively simple flow configuration will first be used for assessing the importance of fiber inertia by evaluating the rotational Stokes number, and then to present the actual method for measuring two-point velocity differences.

##### 3.1.1. Rotational Stokes number

As a preliminary step, we characterize the effect of fiber inertia by estimating the rotational Stokes number, which is the most suitable quantity to consider when dealing with cellular flows such as those considered in this work. This step has been done for both cases of passive and active fibers. To this end, we initialize the fiber at the center of one cell in the BC flow (figure [1k]). Starting at rest, the fiber will start to rotate around its center of mass for a certain time \( T \) without translating, before its center of mass deviates from the initial position. As shown in figure [2], we measure the time it takes for the fiber to adapt to the known flow velocity (this time turned out to be smaller than \( T \)), focusing on the velocity magnitude of one fiber’s end and using the exponential fit

\[
V(t) = V_0 (1 - e^{-t/\tau_s})
\]

(3.2)
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\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0.05 & 0.10 & 0.15 & 0.20 & 0.25 \\
0.05 & 0 & 0.05 & 0.10 & 0.15 & 0.20 \\
0.10 & 0.05 & 0 & 0.05 & 0.10 & 0.15 \\
0.15 & 0.10 & 0.05 & 0 & 0.05 & 0.10 \\
0.20 & 0.15 & 0.10 & 0.05 & 0 & 0.05 \\
0.25 & 0.20 & 0.15 & 0.10 & 0.05 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Figure 2. Fit (black dashed line) of the velocity magnitude of one fiber’s end (red circles) using Eq. (3.2), for a fiber having \( \rho_1 = 0.1 \).

Figure 3. Rotational Stokes number as a function of fiber’s linear density. Red circles: active fibers; blue squares: passive fibers; dashed line: theoretical prediction by Eq. (3.3). With \( a \approx 3.7 \Delta x/c \) (\( \Delta x \) being the grid spacing).

to determine the Stokes time \( \tau_s \).

In Eq. (3.2), \( V(t) \) is the magnitude of the instantaneous fiber end velocity, while \( V_0 \) is the velocity to which \( V(t) \) converges. It coincides with the fluid velocity evaluated at the fiber end. From the best fit we obtain the Stokes time and thus the Stokes number defined as \( St = \tau_s/\tau_f \), where \( \tau_f = c/U \) is the characteristic hydrodynamic timescale (we choose \( U = 1 \) as the flow velocity magnitude).

The evaluation of \( St \) has first been performed for different values of \( \rho_1 \) for the fully-coupled fiber. Results are shown in figure 3 where the linear relationship between \( \rho_1 \) and \( St \) is evident, thus suggesting that the fiber behaves passively. Indeed, for the passive model the expression for the Stokes number behaves linearly with \( \rho_1 \) (Quennouz et al. 2015):

\[
St = -\frac{U \rho_1 \ln(a^2 c)}{8\pi \mu c},
\]

(3.3)

where \( \mu \) is the dynamic viscosity of the fluid and \( a = d/c \) is the fiber aspect ratio, and \( e \) is the Napier’s constant. For the fully-coupled fiber, the aspect ratio \( a \) is not
explicitly assigned. It is surely of the order of magnitude of the support of the regularized δ function \cite{Huang et al. 2007} used to spread the information between the Eulerian and the Lagrangian grids. Its exact value is however unknown a priori. Our idea is to define \(a\) in Eq. (3.3) in a way to have the same behavior of \(St\) vs \(\rho_1\) for the active and the passive case. In doing that, the best fit of \(St\) vs \(\rho_1\) in the active case gives \(St = \alpha \rho_1\) with \(\alpha = 0.04\), from which, exploiting (3.3), one immediately obtains \(a \approx 0.37\). This value is compatible with the support length of \(3 \Delta x\) grid points associated to the regularized δ function used in Roma et al. \cite{1999}. For the passive fiber simulations we also evaluated the Stokes time following the same direct measurements as done for the fully-coupled case and we verified that the resulting values of \(\tau_s\), and thus of \(St\), are consistent with (3.3). The results are shown in figure 3.

3.1.2. Velocity normal-directional gradient

We are now ready to investigate the capability of a rigid fiber to act as a proxy of a laminar, cellular flow in terms of a few fiber properties such as its position and the velocity of the fiber end points. Figure 4 reports the velocity magnitude of one fiber end in time, compared with the velocity magnitude of the unperturbed flow (i.e., in the absence of the fiber) evaluated at the same point. It is evident that the two quantities differ appreciably. This result indicates that a fiber cannot be used to measure single-point flow quantities as done, e.g., in PIV techniques using tracer particles \cite{Adrian 1991}.

Let us pass to consider the velocity difference between the fiber end points (figure 3), i.e. \(\delta \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}_B - \mathbf{V}_A\), and denote by \(\delta \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_B - \mathbf{u}_A\) the corresponding flow velocity difference. Comparing directly these two quantities (evidence of that will be shown later), however, would still yield the same mismatch previously found for the velocity of one end. Such a mismatch is due not only to the fiber inertia, but also to the fiber inextensibility constraint. Indeed, if we consider the projection of the velocity difference introduced above along the direction parallel to the end-to-end distance, \(\hat{\mathbf{r}}\), for a rigid and inextensible object, such quantity is always zero, although the same quantity for the underlying flow is clearly not. Our idea is then to project \(\delta \mathbf{V}\) on a plane normal to \(\hat{\mathbf{r}}\) by simply arguing that along that direction the effect of the inextensibility constraint should be washed out. In plain words, in terms of the normal unit vector \(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_\perp\) we define the projections:
Figure 5. Transverse velocity differences of fiber $\delta V_\perp$ (solid line) and unperturbed BC flow $\delta u_\perp$ (dashed line) for different Stokes number, both for the active (left panels) and passive (right panels) model.
The projected quantities (3.4) and (3.5) are compared in figure 5 where we report the results of our analysis in the BC flow configuration while varying $St$, for both active and passive models. For relatively low $St$ (i.e., the first two rows of the figure), we now notice a remarkable agreement, i.e. the fiber is able to accurately measure the (unperturbed) flow transverse velocity increments in terms of its transverse velocity increments. For increasing $St$, i.e. the fiber inertia, the agreement gets worse, as expected. Overall, we observe a close resemblance between results from the active (left panels) and passive (right panels) models, especially for the lowest $St$. This suggests that here the effective coupling between the flow and the fiber could be actually neglected, in relation to the measurement of transverse velocity differences. This is consistent with the strategy we followed to determine the effective value of $a$ via Eq. (3.2). Accordingly, when extending our analysis to three-dimensional and unsteady flows (Sec. 3.2) we will exclusively employ the passive solver on the strength of such evidence.

Nevertheless, figure 5 tells us that the role of feedback cannot be entirely neglected. For sufficiently large Stokes numbers ($St \approx 0.1$), the curves on the left panels and those on the right ones are different, signaling that the motion of the fiber center of mass is affected by the feedback of the fiber to the flow. The effect of the latter is indeed crucial when the fiber center of mass moves close to the flow separatrix, potentially causing totally different center of mass trajectories compared to the passive case.

Some further comments are worth considering. First, the projection along the normal direction to the fiber is crucial for the fiber to be a proxy of the flow velocity differences: if we project the velocity differences along a generic direction, the agreement shown before is no longer present, as shown in figure 6. We also highlight that there is not a unique normal direction, instead we have an infinite number of vectors belonging to the plane normal to the fiber orientation. Here, we use $\hat{r}_\perp = (\hat{r}_2, -\hat{r}_1, 0)$ even if the results we obtained do not change for a different choice of $\hat{r}_\perp$.

If the fiber length is sufficiently small compared to the variation in space of the flow, the velocity difference between the free ends can be compared with the flow gradient evaluated at the fiber’s center of mass. For the latter, the same projection along $\hat{r}_\perp$ has
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Figure 7. Superposition of fiber positions at different instants within the periodic two-dimensional BC flow (the color-map denoting the stream function). (a) $St = 0.1$ and (b) $St = 2$. The dashed line represents the trajectory of fiber’s center of mass and the black circle indicates its starting position.

to be applied as before. However, due to the tensorial structure of the gradient $\partial_j u_i$, this translates to considering a double projection, first along the tangential and then along the normal direction to the fiber:

$$D = \partial_j u_i \hat{r}_j \hat{r}_i^\perp.$$  \hfill (3.6)

In figure 5, where $c/L = (2\pi)^{-1}$, the curve representing $D$ is not reported but would be essentially superimposed to that of the fluid velocity difference.

Finally, another aspect to underline is that the fiber is driven by the flow and, depending on its characteristic parameters, it will sample preferential zones of the flow. In case of the BC flow, we observed that a fiber with $c \leq 1$ and $St \leq 1$ has the tendency to move along the flow separatrices and follow them (figure 7a). A fiber with $St > 1$, on the other hand, has a more diffusive behavior, as shown in figure 7b.

3.2. Extension to three-dimensional and unsteady flows

As a further step, we test the capability of the fiber to measure the transverse velocity differences in three-dimensional steady or unsteady cellular flows. In light of our findings for the steady BC flow (Sec. 3.1), we present only results obtained with the passive model, although checks using the active model have been performed and yield the same overall scenario as in the steady, two-dimensional case.

First, we consider the so-called Arnold-Beltrami-Childress (ABC) flow, which is known to be a time-independent, three-dimensional solution of Euler’s equations [Dombre et al 1986]:

$$u = \sin z + \cos y$$
$$v = \sin x + \cos z$$
$$w = \sin y + \cos x$$  \hfill (3.7)

In the ABC flow, the phase space shows Lagrangian chaos along with regular regions having roughly the shape of a tube parallel to one of the three axes (principal vor-
Figure 8. Transverse velocity differences of fiber $\delta V_{\perp}$ (solid line) and unperturbed ABC flow $\delta u_{\perp}$ (dashed line) for different Stokes numbers using the passive model.
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\[ St = 0.01 \]

\[ St = 0.1 \]

\[ St = 1 \]

\[ St = 10 \]

**Figure 9.** Transverse velocity differences of fiber \( \delta V_\perp \) (solid line) and underlying unperturbed flow \( \delta u_\perp \) (dashed line) for different Stokes numbers in the case of the unsteady and two-dimensional flow expressed by (3.8) using the passive model.
Figure 10. (a) Initial condition of fibers assembly; (b) transverse velocity differences of each fiber $\delta V_\perp$ (solid line) and underlying unperturbed flow $\delta u_\perp$ (dashed line) in the case of BC flow.

Next, we present the results for the unsteady, i.e. time-periodic, and two-dimensional flow:

$$
\begin{align*}
\epsilon_1 &= \epsilon_2 = 0.2L \\
\omega_1 &= 2\pi \\
\omega_2 &= 1
\end{align*}
$$

where $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = 0.2L$ are the amplitudes while $\omega_1 = 2\pi$ and $\omega_2 = 1$ are the frequencies of the oscillation along $x$ and $y$, respectively. This choice corresponds to a situation where the Lagrangian trajectories of fluid particles are chaotic (Cartwright et al. 2010; Castiglione et al. 1998). The projected velocity differences are shown in figure 9 where the same conclusions drawn for steady configurations are confirmed: the agreement between the fiber-based measurement and the direct evaluation using the flow expression (3.8) increases by decreasing the rotational Stokes number.
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### 3.3. Evaluation of the velocity gradient tensor

Having characterized the behavior of single fibers, we can move further, focusing on how to access the full velocity gradient tensor $\partial_{j}u_i$ and not only its normal-directional projection. This will be achieved by assembling several fibers in a proper way and exploiting the following idea: for each fiber, Eq. (3.6) holds, where the velocity gradient becomes the unknown variable if we use $\delta V_\perp$ (that is measured by tracking the fiber trajectory) in place of $D$. Considering an assembly made by $N_f$ fibers, we thus have a system of $N_f$ equations, from which the gradient can be obtained.

Let us therefore estimate the number of fibers that are needed in the two-dimensional case: here $\partial_{j}u_i$ is made by $2 \times 2$ elements; however, the number of independent quantities is reduced of one by exploiting incompressibility. Hence, the assembly has to be made by $N_f = 3$ fibers. The three fibers will be connected at their centroids (numerically, it is convenient to realize these connections using springs with sufficiently high stiffness so that the distance between centroids results negligible). However, we shall let each fiber to behave as in the single case, its dynamics not being substantially altered by the link with the others. To this end, it is crucial to avoid any rotational restraint, so that fibers are able to rotate freely with respect to each other.

The outlined concept is tested in the steady BC flow already used in Sec. 3.1. As a first step, we look at the resulting time histories of the projected velocity difference for each fiber composing the assembly (figure 10), recovering the same evidence found in the case of single fibers. This provides a clue that also in this configuration it is possible to capture the features of the fluid flow. Indeed, we proceed to combine the information from all fibers, finally obtaining the velocity gradient tensor as shown in figure 11, where the time series of each element of $\partial_{j}u_i$ is reported, both for the fiber Lagrangian tracking and for the corresponding analytical value of the unperturbed flow. The comparison between the two quantities yields good agreement, with differences that are ascribed to numerical resolution and the finite inertia of fibers.
The reported results are for the active model but closely similar evidence is obtained using the passive model. In figure 12 we show the result for assembly of passive fibers in the static BC flow, highlighting essentially the same behavior obtained in the active case. Finally, we complement the analysis by employing an assembly of passive fibers in the time-periodic, two dimensional flow introduced in Sec. 3.2 (Eq. 3.8). Results are shown in figure 13 from which we can confirm the same conclusion as outlined before.

4. Conclusions and perspectives

This study focused on the capability of measuring the whole structure of the velocity gradient in steady, unsteady regular and chaotic cellular flows by means of Lagrangian
The assembly of freely moving rigid fibers measures the flow gradient tensor tracking of assembly of rigid fibers. Two different kinds of fiber models have been considered: a fully-coupled fiber described in terms of an immersed-boundary method and a passive fiber described by the slender body theory. We first characterized the role of fiber inertia by defining a rotational Stokes number, which is evaluated as a function of other parameters such as the fiber linear density. Hence, considering the velocity difference between the fiber end-points and the same difference concerning the underlying fluid velocity, both projected along the normal direction to the fiber, the fiber turns out to be a proxy of such two-point quantity. For sufficiently small fibers, this two-point quantity reduces to the transverse component of the flow velocity derivative along the fiber direction. Furthermore, the comparison between results obtained for the active model and the passive model suggests that the coupling between the flow and the fiber could be neglected, at least for small $St$.

This capability of rigid fibers has potential application in experimental measurement techniques allowing to access small-scale, multi-point properties of fluid flows, offering an alternative to other methods that have been proposed which rely on complex elaborations using PIV/PTV [Hoyer et al., 2005; Krug et al., 2014; Lawson & Dawson, 2014]. Future work will thus be devoted to the practical implementation of the outlined concept in a laboratory environment. The idea can be extended to measurements of three-dimensional and/or turbulent flows, along with considering assemblies of fibers that would be able to describe the full structure of the velocity gradient.
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Appendix A. Immersed boundary method

This appendix presents the numerical procedure regarding the active approach introduced in Sec. 2.1. The Navier-Stokes equations (2.5) and (2.6) are solved for a cubic domain of side $L = 2\pi$ with periodic boundary conditions in all directions, which is discretized into a Cartesian grid using 64 points per side (negligible variation of results was observed when doubling the resolution). The solution is obtained by using a finite difference, fractional step method on a staggered grid with fully explicit space discretization and third-order Runge-Kutta scheme for advancement in time. Finally, the resulting Poisson equation enforcing incompressibility is solved using Fast Fourier Transform.

As for the fiber-flow interaction, we employ the IB approach of Huang et al. (2007) and later modified by Banaei (2019). The Lagrangian forcing is first evaluated at each fiber point, in order to enforce the no-slip condition $\dot{X} = U(X(s,t),t)$, as

$$F(s,t) = \beta (\dot{X} - U),$$  \hfill (A 1)

where $\beta = -1/\Delta t$ and

$$U(X(s,t),t) = \int u(x,t)\delta(x - X(s,t))\,dx$$  \hfill (A 2)

is the interpolated fluid velocity at the Lagrangian point. A spreading is thus performed
over the surrounding Eulerian points, yielding the volumetric forcing acting on the flow

$$f(x, t) = \int F(s, t) \delta(x - X(s, t)) \, ds. \tag{A.3}$$

Both the interpolation and spreading feature the Dirac operator, which in discretization terms is transposed into the use of regularized \(\delta\); in our case, we employ the function proposed by Roma et al. (1999).

The described procedure has been implemented and extensively validated in both laminar and turbulent flow conditions; for related information, the reader is referred to Rosti & Brandt (2017); Rosti et al. (2018b); Shahmardi et al. (2019); Rosti et al. (2019); Banaei (2019).
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