

# Fine-grained Information Status Classification Using Discourse Context-Aware Self-Attention

Yufang Hou

IBM Research Ireland, Dublin, Ireland

yhou@ie.ibm.com

## Abstract

Previous work on bridging anaphora recognition (Hou et al., 2013a) casts the problem as a subtask of learning fine-grained information status (IS). However, these systems heavily depend on many hand-crafted linguistic features. In this paper, we propose a discourse context-aware self-attention neural network model for fine-grained IS classification. On the ISNotes corpus (Markert et al., 2012), our model with the contextually-encoded word representations (BERT) (Devlin et al., 2018) achieves new state-of-the-art performances on fine-grained IS classification, obtaining a 4.1% absolute overall accuracy improvement compared to Hou et al. (2013a). More importantly, we also show an improvement of 3.9% F1 for bridging anaphora recognition without using any complex hand-crafted semantic features designed for capturing the bridging phenomenon.

## 1 Introduction

*Information Structure* (Halliday, 1967; Prince, 1981, 1992; Gundel et al., 1993; Lambrecht, 1994; Birner and Ward, 1998; Kruijff-Korbayová and Steedman, 2003) studies structural and semantic properties of a sentence according to its relation to the discourse context. Information structure affects how discourse entities are referred to in a text, which is known as *Information Status* (Halliday, 1967; Prince, 1981; Nissim et al., 2004). Specifically, information status (IS henceforth) reflects the accessibility of a discourse entity based on the evolving discourse context and the speaker’s assumption about the hearer’s knowledge and beliefs. For instance, according to Markert et al. (2012), *old* mentions<sup>1</sup> refer to entities that have been referred to previously; *mediated* men-

tions have not been mentioned before but are accessible to the hearer by reference to another *old* mention or to prior world knowledge; and *new* mentions refer to entities that are introduced to the discourse for the first time and are not known to the hearer before.

In this paper, we follow the IS scheme proposed by Markert et al. (2012) and focus on learning fine-grained IS on written texts. A mention’s semantic and syntactic properties can signal its information status. For instance, indefinite NPs tend to be *new* and pronouns are likely to be *old*. Moreover, referential patterns of how a mention is referred to in a sentence also affect this mention’s IS. In Example 1, “Friends” is a bridging anaphor even if we do not know the antecedent (i.e., *she*); while the information status for “Friends” in Example 2 is *mediated/worldKnowledge*. Section 3.1 analyzes the characteristics of each IS category and the relations between IS and discourse context.

- (1) *She* made money, but spent more. **Friends** pitched in.
- (2) Friends are part of the glue that holds life and faith together.

In this work, we propose a discourse context-aware self-attention neural network model for fine-grained IS classification. We find that the sentence containing the target mention as well as the lexical overlap information between the target mention and the preceding mentions are the most important discourse context when assigning IS for a mention. With self-attention, our model can capture important signals within a mention and the interactions between the mention and its context. On the ISNotes corpus (Markert et al., 2012), our model with the contextually-encoded word representations (BERT) (Devlin et al., 2018) achieves new state-of-the-art performances on fine-grained

<sup>1</sup>A mention is a noun phrase which refers to a discourse entity and carries information status.

	Description	Example	Factors affecting IS		
			Mention Properties	Local Context	Previous Context
old	coreferent with an already introduced entity	<i>he, the president</i>	✓	✓	✓
m/worldKnow.	generally known to the hearer	<i>Francis, the pope</i>	✓	✓	
m/syntactic	syntactically linked to other <i>old</i> or <i>mediated</i> mentions	<i>their father a war in Africa</i>	✓		
m/aggregate	coordinated NPs where at least one element is <i>old</i> or <i>mediated</i>	<i>U.S. and Canada he and his son</i>	✓		
m/function	refer to a value of a previously explicitly mentioned rise/fall function	(the price went down) <i>6 cents</i>	✓	✓	
m/comparative	usually contain a premodifier to indicate that this entity is compared to another entity	<i>another law further attacks</i>	✓	✓	✓
m/bridging	associative anaphors which link to previously introduced related entities/events	<i>the price the reason</i>	✓	✓	✓
new	introduced into the discourse for the first time and not known to the hearer before	<i>a reader politics</i>	✓	✓	

Table 1: Information status categories and their main affecting factors. “Local context” means the sentence  $s$  which contains the target mention, “Previous context” indicates all sentences from the discourse which occur before  $s$ .

IS classification, obtaining a 4.1% absolute overall accuracy improvement compared to Hou et al. (2013a). More importantly, we also show an improvement of 3.9% F1 for bridging anaphora recognition without using any sophisticated hand-crafted semantic features.

## 2 Related Work

**IS classification and bridging anaphora recognition.** Bridging resolution (Hou et al., 2014, 2018) contains two sub tasks: identifying bridging anaphors (Markert et al., 2012; Hou et al., 2013a; Hou, 2016) and finding the correct antecedent among candidates (Hou et al., 2013b; Hou, 2018a,b). Previous work handle bridging anaphora recognition as part of IS classification problem. Markert et al. (2012) applied joint inference for IS classification on the ISNotes corpus but reported very low results on bridging recognition. Built on this work, Hou et al. (2013a) designed many linguistic features to capture bridging and integrated them into a cascading collective classification algorithm. Differently, Hou (2016) used an attention-based LSTM model based on GloVe vectors and a small set of features for IS classification. The author reported similar results as Hou et al. (2013a) regarding the overall IS classification accuracy but the result on bridging anaphora recognition is much worse than Hou et al. (2013a).

Rahman and Ng (2012) incorporated carefully designed rules into an SVM<sup>multiclass</sup> algorithm for IS classification on the Switchboard dialogue corpus (Nissim et al., 2004). Cahill and Riester (2012) trained a CRF model with syntactic and

surface features for fine-grained IS classification on the German DIRNDL radio news corpus (Riester et al., 2010)<sup>2</sup>.

Different from the above mentioned work, we do not use any complicated hand-crafted features and our model improves the previous state-of-the-art results on both overall IS classification accuracy and bridging recognition by a large margin on the ISNotes corpus.

**Self-attention.** Recently, multi-head self-attention encoder (Ashish et al., 2017) has been shown to perform well in various NLP tasks, including semantic role labelling (Strubell et al., 2018), question answering and natural language inference (Devlin et al., 2018). In our model, we create a “pseudo sentence” for each mention and apply the transformer encoder for our task. The self-attention mechanism allows our model to attend to both the context and the mention itself for clues which are helpful for predicting the mention’s IS.

**Fine-tuning with contextual word embeddings.** Recent work (Peters et al., 2018; Howard and Ruder, 2018; Devlin et al., 2018) have shown that a range of downstream NLP tasks benefit from fine-tuning task-specific parameters with pre-trained contextual word representations. Our work belongs to this category and we fine-tune our model based on BERT<sub>BASE</sub> representations (Devlin et al., 2018).

<sup>2</sup>Bridging antecedent information was not annotated in Switchboard and DIRNDL. Also IS annotation in Switchboard includes non-anaphoric cases.

### 3 Approach

#### 3.1 Information Status and Discourse Context

The IS scheme proposed by Markert et al. (2012) adopts three major IS categories (*old*, *new* and *mediated*) from Nissim et al. (2004) and distinguishes six subcategories for *mediated*. Table 1 lists the definitions for these IS categories and summarizes the main affecting factors for each IS class.

As described in Section 1, a mention’s internal syntactic and semantic properties can signal its IS. For instance, a mention containing a possessive pronoun modifier is likely to be *mediated/syntactic* (e.g., *their father*); and a *mediated/comparative* mention often contains a pre-modifier indicating that this entity is compared to another preceding entity (e.g., *further attacks*).

In addition, for some IS classes, the “local context” (the sentence  $s$  which contains the target mention) and “previous context” (sentences from the discourse which precede  $s$ ) play an important role when assigning IS to a mention. Example 1 and Example 2 in Section 1 demonstrate the role of the local context for IS. And sometimes we need to look at the previous context when deciding IS for a mention. In Example 3, without looking at the previous context, we tend to think the IS for “Poland” in the second sentence is *mediated/WorldKnowledge*. Here the correct IS for “Poland” is *old* because it is mentioned before in the previous context.

(3) [Previous context:] In **Poland**, only 4% of all investment goes toward making things farmers want; in the West, it is closer to 20%.

[Local context:] A private farmer in **Poland** is free to buy and sell land.

#### 3.2 IS Classification with Discourse Context-Aware Self-Attention

To account for the different factors described in the previous section when predicting IS for a mention, we create a novel “pseudo sentence” for each mention and apply the multi-head self-attention encoder (Ashish et al., 2017) for this sentence.

Figure 1 depicts the high-level structure of our model. The pseudo sentence consists of five parts: previous overlap\_info, local context, the delimiter token “[delimiter]”, the content of the target mention, and the IS prediction token “[IS]”. The previous overlap\_info part contains two tokens, which

indicate whether the target mention has the same string/head with a mention from the preceding sentences. And the local context is the sentence containing the target mention.

The final prediction is made based on the hidden state of the prediction token “[IS]”. In principle, the structure of the pseudo sentence and the mechanism of multi-head self-attention help the model to learn the important cues from both the mention and its discourse context when predicting IS.

#### 3.3 Model Parameters

Our context-aware self-attention model has 12 transformer blocks, 768 hidden units, and 12 self-attention heads. We first initialize our model using BERT<sub>BASE</sub>, then fine-tune the model for 3 epochs with the learning rate of  $5e - 5$ . During training and testing, the max token size of the pseudo sentence is set as 128.

### 4 Experiments

#### 4.1 Experimental Setup

We perform experiments on the ISNotes corpus (Markert et al., 2012), which contains 10,980 mentions annotated for information status in 50 news texts. Table 2 shows the IS distribution in ISNotes.

Mentions	10,980	
old	3237	29.5%
mediated	3,708	33.8%
	syntactic	1,592 14.5%
	world knowledge	924 8.4%
	bridging	663 6.0%
	comparative	253 2.3%
	aggregate	211 1.9%
	func	65 0.6%
new	4,035	36.7%

Table 2: IS distribution in ISNotes.

Following Hou et al. (2013a), all experiments are performed via 10-fold cross-validation on documents. We report overall accuracy as well as precision, recall and F-measure per IS class. In the following, we describe the baselines as well as our model with different settings.

**collective (baseline1).** Hou et al. (2013a) applied collective classification to account for the linguistic relations among IS categories. They explored a wide range of features (34 in total), including a large number of lexico-semantic features (for recognizing bridging) as well as a couple of surface features and syntactic features.




Figure 1: Fine-grained IS classification with discourse context-aware self-attention.

	<i>collective</i> Hou et al.(2013)			<i>cascade collective</i> Hou et al.(2013)			<i>self-attention wo context</i>			<i>self-attention with context I</i>			<i>self-attention with context II</i>		
	R	P	F	R	P	F	R	P	F	R	P	F	R	P	F
old	84.4	86.0	85.2	82.2	87.2	84.7	79.3	82.4	80.8	80.1	85.8	82.9	86.8	90.9	<b>88.8</b>
m/worldKnow.	67.4	77.3	72.0	67.2	77.2	71.9	57.8	62.2	59.9	60.8	64.6	62.7	74.6	79.6	<b>77.0</b>
m/syntactic	82.2	81.9	82.0	81.6	82.5	82.0	83.7	81.7	82.7	83.2	82.6	82.9	83.6	83.0	<b>83.3</b>
m/aggregate	64.5	79.5	71.2	63.5	77.9	70.0	77.7	75.2	76.5	76.3	79.7	78.0	76.8	80.6	<b>78.6</b>
m/function	67.7	72.1	<b>69.8</b>	67.7	72.1	<b>69.8</b>	42.2	56.3	48.2	54.7	61.4	57.9	50.0	74.4	59.8
m/comparative	81.8	82.1	82.0	86.6	78.2	82.2	89.3	88.6	89.0	90.1	86.4	88.2	91.7	89.2	<b>90.4</b>
m/bridging	19.3	39.0	25.8	44.9	39.8	42.2	37.6	48.6	42.4	43.6	51.6	<b>47.3</b>	42.5	50.4	46.1
new	86.5	76.1	81.0	83.0	78.1	80.5	86.1	80.0	82.9	88.3	81.0	84.5	88.5	82.0	<b>85.1</b>
acc	78.9			78.6			78.1			79.8			<b>83.0</b>		

Table 3: Results of the discourse context-aware self-attention model compared to the baselines. Bolded scores indicate the best performance for each IS class. The improvements of *self-attention with context I* and *self-attention with context II* over the baselines are statistically significant at  $p < 0.01$  using randomization test.

***cascaded collective (baseline2)***. This is the cascading minority preference system for bridging anaphora recognition from Hou et al. (2013a).

***self-attention wo context***. We apply our model (see Section 3) on the pseudo sentences containing only the target mentions and the prediction token “[IS]”.

***self-attention with context I***. Based on *self-attention wo context*, we add the local context in the pseudo sentences.

***self-attention with context II***. Based on *self-attention with context I*, we add the previous overlap\_info part in the pseudo sentences.

## 4.2 Results and Discussion

Table 3 shows the results of our models compared to the baselines. Surprisingly, our model considering only the content of mentions (*self-attention wo context*) achieves competitive results as the baseline *cascade collective* which explores many hand-crafted linguistic features. Also *self-attention wo context* outperforms the two baselines on several IS categories (*m/syntactic*, *m/aggregate*, *m/comparative*, *m/bridging* and *new*). In Section

3.1, we analyze that *m/syntactic* and *m/aggregate* are often signaled by mentions’ internal syntactic structures, and that the semantics of certain premodifiers is a strong signal for *m/comparative*. The improvements on these categories show that our model can capture the semantic/syntactic properties of a mention when predicting its IS.

The continuous improvements on *self-attention with context I* and *self-attention with context II* show the impact of the local context and the previous context on IS prediction, respectively. It seems that the local context has more impact on *m/bridging* and *new*, whereas the previous context has more impact on *old* and *m/worldKnowledge*.

For *self-attention with context I*, we also tried to add the previous  $k$  sentences ( $k = 1$  and  $k = 2$ ) into the current local context to see whether the broader local context can help us to capture bridging better. However, we found that the overall results on both settings are similar as the current one.

Overall, we achieve the new state-of-the-art results on bridging anaphora recognition with the local context model (*self-attention with context I*). And our full model (*self-attention with context II*) achieves an overall accuracy of 83% on IS classification, obtaining a 4.1% and 4.4% absolute im-

improvements over the two baselines (*collective* and *cascade collective*), respectively. Our full model beats the two strong baselines on most IS categories except *m/function*. This is because there are only 65 *m/function* mentions in ISNotes. With such a small amount of training data, it is hard for our model to learn patterns for this category.

## 5 Conclusions

We develop a discourse context-aware self-attention model for IS classification. Our model does not contain any complex hand-crafted semantic features and achieves the new state-of-the-art results for IS classification and bridging anaphora recognition on ISNotes.

## References

- Vaswani Ashish, Shazeer Noam, Parmar Niki, Uszkoreit Jakob, Jones Llion, Gomez Aidan N., Kaiser Lukasz, and Polosukhin Illia. 2017. Attention is all you need. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 30 (NIPS 2017)*, pages 1–11.
- Betty J. Birner and Gregory Ward. 1998. *Information Status and Noncanonical Word Order in English*. John Benjamins, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- Aoife Cahill and Arndt Riester. 2012. Automatically acquiring fine-grained information status distinctions in German. In *Proceedings of the SIGdial 2012 Conference: The 13th Annual Meeting of the Special Interest Group on Discourse and Dialogue*, Seoul, Korea, 5–6 July 2012, pages 232–236.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2018. BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805*.
- Jeanette K. Gundel, Nancy Hedberg, and Ron Zacharski. 1993. Cognitive status and the form of referring expressions in discourse. *Language*, 69:274–307.
- M. A. K. Halliday. 1967. Notes on transitivity and theme in English, Part 2. *Journal of Linguistics*, 3:199–244.
- Yufang Hou. 2016. Incremental fine-grained information status classification using attention-based LSTMs. In *Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, Osaka, Japan, 11–16 December 2016, pages 1880–1890.
- Yufang Hou. 2018a. A deterministic algorithm for bridging anaphora resolution. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, Brussels, Belgium, 31 October– 4 November 2018.
- Yufang Hou. 2018b. Enhanced word representations for bridging anaphora resolution. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, New Orleans, Louisiana, 1–6 June 2018, pages 1–7.
- Yufang Hou, Katja Markert, and Michael Strube. 2013a. Cascading collective classification for bridging anaphora recognition using a rich linguistic feature set. In *Proceedings of the 2013 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, Seattle, Wash., 18–21 October 2013, pages 814–820.
- Yufang Hou, Katja Markert, and Michael Strube. 2013b. Global inference for bridging anaphora resolution. In *Proceedings of the 2013 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, Atlanta, Georgia, 9–14 June 2013, pages 907–917.
- Yufang Hou, Katja Markert, and Michael Strube. 2014. A rule-based system for unrestricted bridging resolution: Recognizing bridging anaphora and finding links to antecedents. In *Proceedings of the 2014 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, Doha, Qatar, 25–29 October 2014, pages 2082–2093.
- Yufang Hou, Katja Markert, and Michael Strube. 2018. Unrestricted bridging resolution. *Computational Linguistics*, 44(2):237–284.
- Jeremy Howard and Sebastian Ruder. 2018. Universal language model fine-tuning for text classification. In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, Melbourne, Australia, 15–20 July 2018, pages 328–339.
- Ivana Kruijff-Korbayová and Mark Steedman. 2003. Discourse and information structure. *Journal of Logic, Language and Information. Special Issue on Discourse and Information Structure*, 12(3):149–259.
- Knud Lambrecht. 1994. *Information Structure and Sentence Form*. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press.
- Katja Markert, Yufang Hou, and Michael Strube. 2012. Collective classification for fine-grained information status. In *Proceedings of the 50th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, Jeju Island, Korea, 8–14 July 2012, pages 795–804.
- Malvina Nissim, Shipara Dingare, Jean Carletta, and Mark Steedman. 2004. An annotation scheme for information status in dialogue. In *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation*, Lisbon, Portugal, 26–28 May 2004, pages 1023–1026.

- Matthew Peters, Mark Neumann, Mohit Iyyer, Matt Gardner, Christopher Clark, Kenton Lee, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2018. Deep contextualized word representations. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, New Orleans, Louisiana, 1–6 June 2018, pages 2227–2237.
- Ellen F. Prince. 1981. Towards a taxonomy of given-new information. In P. Cole, editor, *Radical Pragmatics*, pages 223–255. Academic Press, New York, N. Y.
- Ellen F. Prince. 1992. The ZPG letter: Subjects, definiteness, and information-status. In W.C. Mann and S.A. Thompson, editors, *Discourse Description. Diverse Linguistic Analyses of a Fund-Raising Text*, pages 295–325. John Benjamins, Amsterdam.
- Altaf Rahman and Vincent Ng. 2012. Learning the fine-grained information status of discourse entities. In *Proceedings of the 13th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, Avignon, France, 23–27 April 2012, pages 798–807.
- Arndt Riester, David Lorenz, and Nina Seemann. 2010. A recursive annotation scheme for referential information status. In *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation*, La Valetta, Malta, 17–23 May 2010, pages 717–722.
- Emma Strubell, Patrick Verga, Daniel Andor, David Weiss, and Andrew McCallum. 2018. Linguistically-informed self-attention for semantic role labeling. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, Brussels, Belgium, 31 October– 4 November 2018, pages 5027–5038.