Detecting non-unitary multiorbital superconductivity with Dirac points at finite energies
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Determining the symmetry of the order parameter of unconventional superconductors remains a recurrent topic and non-trivial task in the field of strongly correlated electron systems. Here we show that the behavior of Dirac points away from the Fermi energy is a potential tool to unveil the orbital structure of a superconducting state. In particular, we show that gap openings in such Dirac crossings are a signature of non-unitary multiorbital superconducting order. Consequently, also spectral features at higher energy can help us to identify broken symmetries of superconducting phases and the orbital structure of non-unitary states. Our results show how angle-resolved photo-emission spectroscopy measurements can be used to detect non-unitary multiorbital superconductivity.

Superconductors belong to the most intriguing states of matter, through their rich phenomenology, the range of underlying physical mechanisms, their different solid-state realizations and ultimately, their potential technological applications. Nowadays we label superconductors as conventional or unconventional depending on the symmetry properties of their Cooper pairing states. Conventional includes all superconductors realizing Cooper pairs of highest symmetry, whose wave function is essentially structureless and spin-singlet. In contrast, the term unconventional encompasses all other cases, in particular, those with superconducting order parameters which violate additional symmetries such as lattice, time-reversal or orbital symmetries, or form topologically non-trivial phases \cite{1, 2}. Strongly correlated electron systems provide a especially fertile ground for the unconventional superconductivity as they offer a large variety of low-energy fluctuations to favoring different Cooper pairing channels \cite{3, 4}.

While the major concepts for unconventional superconductivity are based on single-band descriptions, multiorbital features increase complexity. For many of the recently found superconductors a multiorbital approach seems mandatory to understand the intricate relation of the superconducting phase with the orbital degrees of freedom and to yield sensible mechanisms for pairing \cite{5, 6}. Very recent experiments on Fe-chalcogenides\cite{7} may show some intriguing aspect of orbital selectivity of the superconducting phase\cite{7}. In angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) a conspicuous gap opening of Dirac points rather far away from the Fermi energy has been observed and interpreted in terms of spontaneous time-reversal symmetry breaking \cite{8}. Motivated by this finding, we would like to address this feature of the remote Dirac points from a general viewpoint and show that this peculiarity may give interesting insights into the multiorbital nature of the superconducting phase. In particular, we will demonstrate that this signature indicates the realization of non-unitarity of Cooper pairing states in orbital space due to specific forms of orbital selective pairing. The basic phenomenology is depicted in Fig.1 showing in panel (a) the normal state spectrum with a Dirac point remote from the Fermi level. After extending the electronic states by holes to the Nambu space, we obtain the standard spectrum of a superconductor with a gap around the Fermi energy (Fig.1b,c). A unitary pairing state leaves the Dirac points untouched (Fig.1b), while for non-unitary phases these Dirac points disappear by a gap opening (Fig.1c).
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In the enlarged panel Fig. 1(d) we indicate that the size of the gap is controlled by $\Upsilon$, a measure for non-unitarity, as we define below.

For the theoretical approach to this problem, we consider a generic two-orbital system with a Bloch Hamiltonian hosting a Dirac crossing away from the Fermi level (Fig. 1(a)). We focus on the region near the Dirac points using a $k \cdot p$ description with the spinor basis $\Psi_{k} = (c^\dagger_{\alpha,k}, c^\dagger_{\beta,-k})$, where $c^\dagger_{\alpha,k}$ and $c^\dagger_{\beta,k}$ denote electronic creation operators of Bloch states with momentum $k$ and the index $\alpha, \beta$ label the two orbitals, including also the spin degrees of freedom. Then the effective Hamiltonian is written as $H^DP_{0}(k) = \psi_k^\dagger H^DP_{0}(k)\psi_k$, where $H^DP_{0}(k)$ is a $2 \times 2$ matrix of the form

$$H^DP_{0}(k) = -\Lambda \psi_0 + \tau_x k_x + \tau_y k_y.$$ (1)

The absence of the Pauli matrix $\tau_z$ in Eq. 1 guarantees the existence of a Dirac crossing at the energy $\pm \Lambda$.

We now turn to the superconducting state. For simplicity, we consider here pairing of electrons between time-reversal Kramers partners, which includes conventional superconducting states. Note that other channels such as spin triplet pairing states could be treated in an analogous way. Thus, we extend the Hamiltonian to $H_{BdG}(k) = H^DP_{0}(k) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} c^\dagger_{\alpha,k} c^\dagger_{\beta,-k} \Delta_{ij}(k) + h.c.$, where $\bar{a}, \bar{\beta}$ label the Kramers time-reversal partners of $\alpha, \beta$ in orbital and spin space. We write the $2 \times 2$ gap matrix as

$$\Delta(k) = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\alpha\alpha}(k) & \Delta_{\alpha\beta}(k) \\ \Delta_{\beta\alpha}(k) & \Delta_{\beta\beta}(k) \end{pmatrix}$$ (2)

with $\Delta_{\alpha\alpha}(k) = \langle c_{\alpha,k} c_{\alpha,-k} \rangle$, $\Delta_{\alpha\beta}(k) = \langle c_{\alpha,k} c_{\beta,-k} \rangle$, $\Delta_{\beta\alpha}(k) = \langle c_{\beta,k} c_{\alpha,-k} \rangle$, $\Delta_{\beta\beta}(k) = \langle c_{\beta,k} c_{\beta,-k} \rangle$ for systems where $s_z$ is a good quantum number, the indices are $\alpha \equiv (a_0, \uparrow)$ and $\bar{\alpha} \equiv (a_0, \downarrow)$ with $a_0$ the orbital label, such that $\Delta(k) \equiv \Delta(k)$ describes opposite spin pairing. For concreteness, we continue now with this orbital spin labeling, although more general Kramers pairs yield the same behavior. With the Nambu spinor $\Psi_{k} = (c^\dagger_{\alpha_0,\uparrow,k}, c^\dagger_{\beta_0,\uparrow,k}, c^\dagger_{\alpha_0,\downarrow,-k}, c^\dagger_{\beta_0,\downarrow,-k})$, the Bogoliubov-de-Gennes (BdG) Hamiltonian $H_{BdG}$ takes the form

$$H_{BdG}(k) = \frac{1}{2} \Psi_{k}^\dagger H_{BdG}(k)\Psi_{k} + h.c.$$, where $H_{BdG}(k)$ is the following $4 \times 4$ matrix

$$H_{BdG}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} H^DP_{0}(k) & \Delta(k) \\ \Delta(k) & -H^DP_{0}(-k) \end{pmatrix}$$ (3)

Using this Hamiltonian, we now derive the corrections induced by the Cooper pairing at the Dirac point of Eq. 1. A Schrieffer-Wolff transformation equivalent to second order perturbation theory leads to the effective Hamiltonian around the Dirac point $k = K$ of the form

$$H^{DP} = H_{0}^{DP} + \frac{\Delta \Delta^\dagger}{2\Lambda}$$ (4)

with $\Delta \equiv \Delta(K)$, valid in the limit $|\Lambda| \gg \max(|\Delta|)$.

The correction to the Dirac Hamiltonian in Eq. 4 can be decomposed into Pauli matrices $\tau_i$ as $\Delta \Delta^\dagger = \gamma_0 I + \gamma_x \tau_x + \gamma_y \tau_y + \gamma_z \tau_z$ with $\gamma_0, \gamma_x, \gamma_y, \gamma_z$ real numbers, where $\gamma_x = \gamma_y = \gamma_z = 0$ defines a unitary pairing state. Generally, this yields an effective Hamiltonian around the original Dirac point,

$$H^{DP} = -\Lambda \tau_0 + \bar{\rho}_x \tau_x + \bar{\rho}_y \tau_y + \bar{\rho}_z \tau_z$$ (5)

with $\bar{\Lambda} = \Lambda - \gamma_0$, $\bar{\rho}_x = \rho_x + \gamma_x$ and $\bar{\rho}_y = \rho_y + \gamma_y$. This highlights that a non-unitary superconducting term modifies the Dirac crossing away from the chemical potential. As a result, the existence of non-unitary pairing can be
generically observed by analyzing the behavior of Dirac point in the superconducting state. For non-vanishing $\gamma_x \neq 0$ or $\gamma_y \neq 0$, the onset of superconductivity will shift the Dirac point in reciprocal space. But more importantly, for $\gamma_x \neq 0$ in Eq. 3 a gap opens at the Dirac point. Generally, the condition to open a gap at the Dirac point can be written in terms of the non-unitarity parameter $\Upsilon$ of the gap matrix as $\Upsilon = \sum_{\nu=\alpha,\beta} |\Delta_{\alpha,\nu} \Delta_{\alpha,\nu}^* - \Delta_{\beta,\nu} \Delta_{\beta,\nu}^*|$, which in matrix form reads

$$\Upsilon = \text{Tr}[\tau_z \Delta \Delta^\dagger] \neq 0$$

yielding $\gamma_z = \Upsilon / 4\Lambda$ in Eq. 5.

We examine now a few examples based on this criterion. We start with the unitary states. First the intra-orbital state given by $\Delta(k) = \Delta_0 f(k) (1 0) = \Delta_0 f(k)(\tau_0 + \tau_z)/2$, which corresponds to pairing restricted to one orbital only and leads to $\Upsilon = 0$. Such a state breaks orbital symmetry, and it is expected to appear close to a quantum critical point to an orbital ordered instability. An exemplary non-unitary state for inter-orbital pairing is $\Delta = \Delta_0 f(k) (0 1) = \Delta_0 f(k)(\tau_x + i\tau_y)/2$, which shows spontaneous time-reversal symmetry breaking in orbital space and realizes an antiferromagnetic superconducting state breaking spin rotational symmetry. This state is expected to appear close to a quantum phase transition to an antiferromagnetic instability.

We now illustrate this analysis on a specific tight-binding model for the honeycomb lattice. The role of orbitals is now played by the two sublattices. The Hamiltonian has the form

$$\mathcal{H}_{TB} = t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle, s} c_\uparrow^\dagger_{i,s} c_{j,s} + \Lambda_1 \sum_{i,s} c_\uparrow^\dagger_{i,s} c_{i,s}$$

$$+ \Lambda_2 \frac{i}{3\sqrt{3}} \sum_{\langle\langle ij\rangle\rangle, s, s'} \nu_{ij} \sigma_z^{s s'}^{'} \tau_z^{ij} \tau_z^{ij} c_\uparrow^\dagger_{i,s} c_{j,s'} + \sum_i (\Delta_1^\dagger c_{i,\uparrow} c_{i,\downarrow} + h.c.)$$

with $c_\uparrow^\dagger_{i,s}, c_{i,s}$ are the creation/annihilation operators of electrons on the site $i$ with spin $s$, $\langle \rangle$ denotes the summation over nearest neighbors, $\langle\langle ij\rangle\rangle$ over next-nearest neighbors, $\sigma^z$ is the corresponding spin Pauli matrix and $\nu_{ij} = \pm 1$ for clockwise/anticlockwise hopping within each hexagonal plaquette, whereby an additional sign difference enters through the orbital Pauli matrix $\tau_z$, giving the opposite sign for the two sublattices. Note that the $\Lambda_2$ term describes the non-centrosymmetric spin-orbit coupling for next-nearest neighbor hopping, which induces a momentum-dependent spin-splitting in the band structure with shifted Dirac cones [10, 11]. The $\Lambda_1$ term introduces merely the chemical potential which is finite and negative for the electron-doped system. Finally, $\Delta_1^\dagger$ controls the amplitude of the onsite pairing state, yielding an intra-orbital pairing.

The Hamiltonian Eq. 7 has two simple limiting cases. First, for $\Lambda_1 \neq 0$ and $\Lambda_2 = 0$, the band structure shows two Dirac points below the Fermi level, realizing a doped honeycomb lattice (Fig. 2(a)). Second, with $\Lambda_1 = 0$ and $\Lambda_2 \neq 0$ the system is half-filled, but the Dirac cones appear close to a quantum critical point to an orbital ordered instability.
are spin split due to the non-centrosymmetric spin-orbit coupling, and there are again Dirac points away from the Fermi energy (Fig. 2(b)). In the generic case, \( \Lambda_1 \neq 0 \) and \( \Lambda_2 \neq 0 \), Dirac crossings will appear at energies \( \Lambda = \Lambda_1 \pm \Lambda_2 \).

Now we introduce the non-unitary pairing state \( \Delta = \Delta_0 (\tau_0 + \tau_z)/2 \), which corresponds to onsite pairing on one of the two sublattices only. For both limiting cases, the Dirac point remote from the Fermi energy develops a gap as seen in Fig. 2(c,d). Moreover, we compute the magnitude of this gap as function of \( \Lambda = \Lambda_1 \) and \( \Delta_0 \), and compare this with our result for \( \Upsilon/2\Delta \) of Eq. 6, demonstrating very good agreement as long as the condition \( |\Lambda| \gg |\Delta_0| \) is satisfied (Fig. 2(c,e)). Note that this pairing state is a so-called pair density wave state and corresponds to a spontaneous sublattice symmetry breaking. It would be accompanied by a charge density difference on the two sublattices and is stabilized in systems where superconductivity appears close to quantum phase transition to a charge density instability.

We now discuss the electron spectra function which is experimentally accessible, for instance, by ARPES. This can be computed through,

\[
A(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im}[\text{Tr}[P_e(\omega - H(\mathbf{k}) + i0^+)^{-1}]] \tag{8}
\]

with \( H(\mathbf{k}) \) as the corresponding BdG Hamiltonian in the Nambu representation and \( P_e \) the projection operator on the electron sector. The quantity \( A(\omega, \mathbf{k}) \) would be the observable in an ARPES experiment in the superconducting state, as shown in Fig. 3. We consider again the two limiting cases for the Dirac cones shifted below the Fermi energy, panel (a,c,e) for electron doping and (b,d,f) for spin-orbit coupling. The spectrum for the normal state spectrum is shown in Fig. 3(a,b), and for superconducting states in Fig. 3(c,d,e,f), both for a unitary (Fig. 3(c,d)) and a non-unitary (Fig. 3(e,f)) superconducting state. The unitary state is given by \( \Delta' = \Delta_0 \tau_0 \) and the non-unitary one by \( \Delta = \Delta_0 (\tau_0 + \tau_z)/2 \). As anticipated from our discussion above, again a gap opens at the Dirac points below from the Fermi level only if the pairing state is non-unitary. In all cases the superconducting gap at the Fermi energy is fully open.

Gapped Dirac points are a known source of topological excitations. In the following, we show how these gaps give rise to in-gap excitations at domain walls between superconducting domains, which otherwise lack any spectroscopic signature at the Fermi energy. For this purpose, we now take an interface between two degenerate non-unitary superconducting phases \( A \) and \( B \), namely \( \Delta_A = \Delta_0 (\tau_0 + \tau_z)/2 \) and \( \Delta_B = \Delta_0 (\tau_0 - \tau_z)/2 \). We now consider a system with translational invariance along the domain wall, direction \( \parallel \), with \( \Delta_A \) on the left and \( \Delta_B \) on the right (see Fig. 4(a)). Here the spectral function at the superconducting domain wall can be obtained by the Green’s function \( G(\omega, k_{\parallel}) = [\omega - H_{DW}(k_{\parallel}) - \Sigma_A(\omega, k_{\parallel}) - \Sigma_B(\omega, k_{\parallel})]^{-1} \), where \( k_{\parallel} \) is the momentum parallel to the domain wall, and \( H_{DW} \) denotes the local Hamiltonian at the interface, where, for simplicity, we take a sharp transition between the two superconducting gaps. The self-energies \( \Sigma_{A,B}(\omega, k_{\parallel}) \) are induced by the semi-infinite superconducting regions. Then the spectral function at the interface can be computed by \( A(\omega, k_{\parallel}) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im}[\text{Tr}[P_e G(\omega, k_{\parallel})]] \), which gives access to the local excitations.

Away from the domain wall, the Dirac point shows a gap in the \( k \)-resolved spectral function (Fig. 4(b,d)). At the domain wall, a chiral state appears inside the gap, corresponding to a chiral Andreev bound state (Fig. 4(c,e)). The origin of such in-gap excitations can be easily rationalized by defining the Chern number associated to the effective Dirac Hamiltonian Eq. 5

\[
C = \frac{1}{2} \text{sign}(\Upsilon) \text{sign}(\Lambda) \tag{9}
\]

Taking \( \Delta_0 > 0 \) for \( \Delta_A \) and \( \Delta_B \), we obtain \( C_A = +1/2 \) and \( C_B = -1/2 \), which from the index theorem implies...
that a single interface state will appear inside the Dirac gap (Fig. 4(e)). Interestingly, such interface states in the Dirac gap do not create an Andreev bound states within the actual superconducting gap at the Fermi level. This shows that such domain walls are invisible in the actual low-energy spectroscopic gap of the superconductor, but can be observed by targeting Dirac point openings away from the chemical potential. Note that there are no states inside the Dirac opening in a boundary with vacuum, such that only the gap opening at the Dirac points would be visible in ARPES at a surface.

To summarize, we have shown that a gap opening at a Dirac point away from the Fermi level is a hallmark of a multiorbital non-unitary state. In particular, our results show that information on the orbital symmetry of the superconducting state could be directly extracted from an ARPES experiment, providing a simple spectroscopic technique to detect unconventional non-unitary superconductivity of this kind. On the one hand, our methodology can be readily applied to iron chalcogenides, where signatures of orbital-selective states have been observed, and that are known to host remote Dirac crossings in the band structure. On the other hand, our results may potentially provide an alternative route to characterize the superconducting state of twisted bilayer graphene, as it hosts Dirac points 10 meV below the Fermi level. Ultimately, our results highlight that ARPES measurements can help to identify the origin of superconductivity in Dirac compounds. Moreover, it may also reveal the presence of an incipient order, such as a charge density wave in our example above, which might be involved in the pairing mechanism due to strong fluctuations.
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