Inelastic scattering of photon pairs in qubit arrays with subradiant states
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We develop a rigorous theoretical approach for analyzing inelastic scattering of photon pairs in arrays of two-level qubits embedded in a waveguide. Our analysis reveals strong enhancement of the scattering when the energy of incoming photons resonates with the double-excited subradiant states. We identify the role of different double-excited states in the scattering such as superradiant, subradiant, and twilight states, being a product of single-excitation bright and subradiant states. Importantly, the N-excitation subradiant states can be engineered only if the number of qubits exceeds $2N$. Both the subradiant and twilight states can generate long-lived photon-photon correlations, paving the way to a storage and processing of quantum information.

Introduction. Nonlinear manipulation of light via its interaction with matter plays an essential role in optics and its applications [1–3], including optical communications [4] and sensing [5]. The light-matter interaction can be strongly modified by collective coherent superradiance or subradiance, where the spontaneous emission speeds up or slows down [6–9]. Both superradiance and subradiance have been realized in various systems [10–23], and they provide novel opportunities to explore the interplay between collective excitations in materials and nonlinear effects in scattering of light [7, 8]. Compared to superradiance, subradiance enables longer time for light-matter interaction, and giant nonlinear response [24–26]. To the best of our knowledge, the enhancement of light-matter interaction by subradiant modes has been explored mostly in classical optics.

It is appealing and challenging to exploit the quantum nonlinearities at a few-photon level [1, 2, 7, 8]. One of the simplest nonlinear quantum processes is the inelastic scattering of photon pairs. It exists in waveguides coupled to a single qubit or qubit arrays, and senses two-photon bound states [27–30]. The scattering is greatly enhanced when an incoming or outgoing individual photon excites a single-particle subradiant state [30–32]. Recently, the concept of multi-excitation subradiant states has been put forward [31, 33, 34]. The most subradiant mode has a fermionic character and a decay rate with cubic suppression in the number of qubits [33, 34]. However, the role of collective many-body mechanisms in the enhancement of quantum nonlinear processes remains unclear.

In this Letter, we reveal that many-body subradiant states can enhance the incoherent scattering of photon pairs in arrays of two-level qubits supporting long-lived photon-photon correlations. Specifically, we demonstrate sharp scattering resonances when the energy of the two-particle subradiant state matches the total energy of photon pairs [27, 28, 35–39]. Importantly, the $N$-particle collective subradiant states appear only in periodic arrays with at least $2N$ qubits, i.e., the two-particle state requires at least four qubits, etc. In the case of four qubits, it is possible to realize a triple-resonant condition, when both incident photons and a photon pair are tuned to single- and double-excited subradiant states. To study the scattering, we develop an efficient matrix formulation for the rigorous Green's function technique valid for an arbitrary arrangement of qubits. This allows us to analytically identify the role of different double-excited states in the scattering and classify them by the coupling strength. In addition to the double-excited superradiant and subradiant states, we introduce a new concept of twilight state, which is a product of single-excited bright and subradiant states. Our results demonstrate that the coupling of light to quantum matter is far from being fully understood even for the classical Dicke model, and thus this opens a new avenue for manipulating quantum

![FIG. 1. Schematic illustration of the photon pairs propagating along a waveguide with a qubit array and interacting with double-excited subradiant states.](attachment:image.png)
interactions, correlations, and entanglement.

Model. We consider the system shown schematically in Fig. 1. It consists of $N$ periodically spaced qubits, coupled to $M$ photons in the one-dimensional waveguide, and it is characterized by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_k \hbar \omega_k a_k^\dagger a_k + \sum_j \hbar \omega_0 b_j^\dagger b_j + \frac{\hbar \chi}{2} \sum_j b_j^\dagger b_j b_j^\dagger + \frac{\hbar g}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{j,k} (b_j^\dagger a_k e^{i k z_j} + b_j a_k^\dagger e^{-i k z_j}). \quad (1)$$

Here, $a_k$ are the annihilation operators for the waveguide photons with the wave vectors $k$, frequencies $\omega_k = c|k|$ and the velocity $c$, $g$ is the interaction constant, $L$ is the normalization length, and $b_j$ are the (bosonic) annihilation operators for the qubit excitations with the frequency $\omega_0$, located at the point $z_j$. In Eq. (1), we consider the general case of anharmonic many-level qubits, the two-level case can be obtained in the limit of large anharmonicity ($\chi \to \infty$) where the multiple occupation is suppressed [40, 41]. The photonic degrees of freedom can be traced out in Eq. (1), yielding an effective model for describing the excitations in the qubits [33, 42],

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i,j} H_{i,j}^{(1)}(\omega_0)b_i^\dagger b_j + \frac{\hbar \chi}{2} \sum_j b_j^\dagger b_j b_j^\dagger b_j^\dagger , \quad (2)$$

where

$$H_{i,j}^{(1)}(\omega) = \hbar \omega_0 \delta_{ij} - i \hbar \Gamma_0 e^{i \omega / c |z_i - z_j|}, \quad i,j = 1 \ldots N. \quad (3)$$

Hamiltonian (3) is non-Hermitian, and it takes into account the radiative losses characterized by the radiative decay rate for a single qubit in a waveguide, $\Gamma_0 = g^2 / c$. The interaction between the qubits is long-ranged since it is mediated by the photons propagating in the waveguide. We assume that the spacing between the qubits is small enough so that the non-Markovian Hamiltonian (3) with the phases $(\omega / c)|z_i - z_j|$ can be replaced by $H_{i,j}^{(1)}(\omega_0)$ [44]. From now on, we neglect the non-Markovian effects [40].

Double-excited states. Before proceeding to the study of the scattering of photon pairs, we first analyze double-excited states of the qubit array, $|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{j_1,j_2} \Psi_{j_1,j_2} b_{j_1}^\dagger b_{j_2}^\dagger |0\rangle$. We can obtain the eigenstates and eigenvalues by substituting the state into the Schrödinger equation $\mathcal{H}(\Psi) = 2 \varepsilon |\Psi\rangle$ and solving the corresponding eigenvalue problem. We are interested only in the symmetric boson solutions satisfying $\Psi_{i_1,i_2} = \Psi_{i_2,i_1}$. Due to the qubit-photon interaction, the double-excited state is unstable, and it will decay into a single-excited state and a freely propagating photon. The dipole moment of the radiative transition from the double-excited state $|\Psi\rangle$ to a single-excited state $b_j^\dagger |0\rangle$ is given by

$$d_j = \sum_{j'} e^{i \omega_0 |z_j - z_{j'}|} |\Psi_{j,j'}\rangle. \quad (4)$$

According to the Fermi’s golden rule, the total decay rate is given by the sum of the individual decay rates to all single-excited states, and it reads

$$\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_0 D_v^2, \quad (5)$$

where $D_v = \sqrt{\sum_j |d_j|^2}$ is the Euclidean magnitude of the dipole moments of the individual transitions. Such a first-order decay rate determines the imaginary part of the eigenvalues, $\text{Im} \varepsilon = - \Gamma_1$.

The eigenstates are usually classified as either super-radiant ($D_v^2 \sim N$), bright ($D_v^2 \sim 1$), or subradiant ($D_v^2 \ll 1$), depending on a ratio of their first-order decay rate to that of the individual qubit. However, for double-excited states, this classification is incomplete since it characterizes emission of the first photon only, and it does not provide information about the subsequent emission of the second photon. Here, we define the sum of the dipole moments of the individual transitions as a net dipole moment,

$$d_{\text{net}} = \sum_j d_j, \quad (6)$$

Table I. Double-excited states distinguished by the squared magnitude of the vector dipole moment and the net-dipole moment, $D_v^2$ and $D_{\text{net}}^2$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Superradiant</th>
<th>Twilight</th>
<th>Subradiant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$D_v^2$</td>
<td>$\sim N$</td>
<td>$\sim 1$</td>
<td>$\ll 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{\text{net}}^2$</td>
<td>$\sim N^2$</td>
<td>$\ll 1$</td>
<td>$\ll 1$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Similar quantities are widely used in chemistry to determine whether a molecule is polar or not [45]. The squared magnitude of the net dipole moment, $D_n^2 = |d_{n\text{net}}|^2$, characterizes the rate of the full decay process from two excitations to two photons. We identify the states with vanishing net dipole moments as products of a bright state and a subradiant state, and we term them as \textit{twilight states}. The dipole moments of individual radiative transitions for twilight states are finite but out of phase, so that $D_n^2 \ll 1$. This means that the twilight state will quickly decay into a single outgoing photon and a single-excited state. However, the latter excitation appears subradiant and the second photon is emitted after a long time $\propto 1/\varphi^2$, providing long-lived photon-photon correlations $g^{(2)}(t)$ [42]. Thus, depending on the magnitude of $D_n$ and $D_n$, the double-excited eigenstates can be classified as superradiant, twilight and subradiant, see Table I. As demonstrated by our calculations, the short-period array of $N > 2$ two-level qubits has one superradiant state, $N(N - 3)/2$ subradiant states, and $(N - 1)$ twilight states with total energies around $2\omega_0$. Figure 2 shows the dependence of the first-order decay rate for superradiant states (red diamonds), twilight states (green stars) and subradiant states (black dots) on the number of qubits for equally-spaced array. For the superradiant state, $\Gamma_1$ is proportional to $(N - 1)\Gamma_0$. For the long-lived double-excited subradiant states, $\Gamma_1$ becomes smaller by two orders of magnitude as the number of qubits increases from $N = 3$ to $N = 4$, and for $N \geq 4$ satisfies the scaling relation $\Gamma_1 \sim \Gamma_0\varphi^2/N^3$, where $\varphi = (\omega_0/c)|z_2 - z_1|$.

In order to understand the threshold of $N = 4$ qubits for the two-excitation subradiant states, we consider the radiative decay for the double-excited states in the limiting case where all the qubits are located in the same point, $z_j \equiv 0$. The wavefunction of the subradiant state should satisfy three conditions: (i) $d_{j1} = \sum_{j2} \Psi_{j1,j2} = 0$ for all $j_1$, (ii) the symmetry condition $\Psi_{j1,j2} = \Psi_{j2,j1}$, and (iii) zero diagonal elements $\Psi_{jj,j} = 0$ since we look for the states where neither of the qubits is occupied twice. It is straightforward to check that these conditions can not be simultaneously met for the arrays with $N = 2$ and $N = 3$ qubits. However, there exist two subradiant states for $N = 4$ qubits, explicitly given by

\[
\Psi_1 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Psi_2 = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{12} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

where the rows and columns represent the coordinate of the first and second excitation respectively. The first state is just a direct product of the two single-excited subradiant states, $1/(b_1^1 - b_2^1)(b_3^1 - b_4^1)|0\rangle$, while the second state has a more intricate structure. When the spacing between the qubits becomes nonzero, $0 < \varphi \ll 1$, these subradiant states become slightly bright:

\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_1 &= \omega_0 - \varphi \Gamma_0 - \frac{i\varphi^2}{2} \Gamma_0, \\
\varepsilon_2 &= \omega_0 - \frac{7\varphi}{3} \Gamma_0 - \frac{157\varphi^2}{54} \Gamma_0,
\end{align*}
\]

where the first-order decay rates are proportional to $\varphi^2 \ll 1$. As such, the subradiant states become optically active and can be probed in the light scattering spectra. More details on the superradiant, twilight and subradiant states can be found in Supplemental Material [42].

\textit{Incoherent scattering of photon pairs.} Next, we discuss how the photon-photon interactions are affected by the double-excited states. To this end we consider the incoherent scattering process, where the two incident photons with the energies $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ are scattered inelastically and converted into a pair of photons with the energies $\omega'_1$ and $\omega'_2$, so that $\omega_1 + \omega_2 = \omega'_1 + \omega'_2 = 2\varepsilon$. Generally, calculation of the scattering is significantly more challenging than that of the double-excited excitations. The reason is that, instead of the reduced problem Eq. (2) describing only the qubit excitations, one needs to consider the full two-particle Hilbert space. Here, we use the rigorous Green function approach, based on the Hamiltonian Eq. (1) with general qubit anharmonicity $\chi$. While our methodology is conceptually similar to that of Ref. [40], it has the advantage of a compact matrix formulation valid for any arbitrary spatial arrangement of the qubits. Thus, contrary to other Green-function-based techniques [46, 47], we are able to obtain a closed-form answer with a transparent analytical structure. Namely, the $S$-matrix describing the forward incoherent scattering reads

\[
S(\omega'_1, \omega'_2; \omega_1, \omega_2) = 2\pi i M \delta(\omega_1 + \omega_2 - \omega'_1 - \omega'_2),
\]

\[
M = -2i\Gamma_0^2 \left( \frac{c}{\hbar} \right)^2 \sum_{i,j} s_i^+ (\omega'_i) s_j^- (\omega'_j) Q_{ij} s_j^+ (\omega_1) s_j^- (\omega_2)
\]

where matrix $Q = -i\chi (1 - i\chi \Sigma)^{-1}$,

\[
\Sigma_{ij}(\varepsilon) = \int G_{ij}(\omega) G_{ij}(2\varepsilon - \omega) \frac{d\omega}{2\pi},
\]

and $G(\omega) = [\omega - H(1)]^{-1}$ is the Green function for the single-particle excitations. The structure factors are given by $s_i^\pm = \sum_j G_{ij} e^{\pm i\omega_2 j/c}$ where the “+” (”−”) sign corresponds to the incident (forward-scattered) photons. Equation (8) remains valid in the limit of two-level qubits, $\chi \to \infty$, when the $Q \to \Sigma^{-1}$ [42]. The result becomes even more transparent when the Green function is taken in the Markovian approximation as $G(\omega) = [\omega - H(\omega_0)]^{-1}$. In this case, the integration over frequency in the two-photon kernel Eq. (9) can be carried out analytically,

\[
Q_{ij} = i\chi \left[ \frac{2\varepsilon - H(2)}{H(2) + U - 2\varepsilon} \right]_{i,j,j},
\]
see the Supplemental Material for details [42]. Here, the effective two-particle Hamiltonian is given by a sum of individual photon Hamiltonians, $H^{(2)} = H^{(1)} \otimes I + I \otimes H^{(1)}$ or explicitly,

$$H^{(2)}_{i_1j_1i_2j_2} = \delta_{i_2,j_2} H_{i_1j_1} + \delta_{i_1,j_1} H_{i_2j_2},$$

(11)

and interaction term $U_{i_1j_1i_2j_2} = \delta_{i_2,j_2} \delta_{i_1,j_1} \chi$. Clearly, the denominator of the matrix $Q$ has resonances at the energies $2\varepsilon$ corresponding to the eigenstates of the matrix $H^{(2)} + \mathcal{H}$ which represents Hamiltonian (2) in the two-excitation subspace. In the spectral vicinity of the resonance, $\varepsilon \approx \Re \varepsilon_\nu$, Eq. (S40) can be simplified to

$$Q_{ij}(\varepsilon) \approx \frac{2\Gamma_0^2 d_i^* d_j^*}{\Re \varepsilon_\nu - i\Gamma_0 \sum_{j'} |d_{j'}|^2 - \varepsilon},$$

(12)

where we assume $\chi \to \infty$. The analytical structure of the two-photon kernel $Q$ is now quite clear. The dipole moments of radiative transitions $d_j$ determine both the resonance linewidth in the denominator [which matches the first-order decay rate, see Eq. (5)] and the effective oscillator strength of the two-photon resonance in the numerator of Eq. (12). This results in the condition $-2\Re \varepsilon \overline{\Tr Q} = |\Tr Q|^2$ that generalizes the optical theorem to the case of two-photon nonlinear regime.

The calculated incoherent scattering spectra are summarized in Fig. 3. We present the total forward scattering rate,

$$I(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \frac{1}{2} \int |M(\omega'_1, \omega_1 + \omega_2 - \omega'_1; \omega_1, \omega_2)|^2 \frac{d\omega'_1}{2\pi},$$

(13)

integrated over the frequencies of the scattered photons. As such, the scattering map of Fig. 3(c) shows both the resonances when either $\omega_1$ or $\omega_2$ are tuned to the single-excited subradiant eigenstates (horizontal and vertical dashed lines), and the two-photon resonances, when the total energy $\omega_1 + \omega_2$ is in resonance with the double-excited subradiant state (diagonal solid lines). To make it clear, we show the scattering as a function of $\omega_1$ by fixing $\omega_2 - \omega_1 = 6\varphi \Gamma_0$ and $\omega_2 - \omega_1 = 0$, see Fig. 3(a) and 3(b), respectively. Two resonant peaks marked by blue arrows are the positions of $\varepsilon_1$ and $\varepsilon_2$, the energies of double-excited subradiant states. The outgoing photon pairs can also have strong spatial correlations depending on the nature of the resonant states [42]. In the considered case of 4 qubits, there is a point in Fig. 3(c) where the vertical, horizontal and diagonal lines cross over. This is called triple-resonant condition, and it occurs when one of the single-excited subradiant states has energy with the same real part $\omega_0 - \varphi \Gamma_0$ as that for the double-excited subradiant state (7). Thus, when both incident photons have the same energies, $\omega_1 = \omega_2 = \omega_0 - \varphi \Gamma_0$, a triple-resonant condition is realized and it further enhances the scattering efficiency, see the peak localized at $\varepsilon_1$ in Fig. 3(b).

**Multi-excited states.** The subradiant states are quite general, and they are not limited to double excitations.

![FIG. 3. Incoherent forward scattering intensity for an array of four qubits depending on the frequencies of the two incident photons. Scattering intensity as a function of $\omega_1$ for (a) $\omega_2 - \omega_1 = 6\varphi \Gamma_0$ and (b) $\omega_2 - \omega_1 = 0$. Thin-dashed vertical lines indicate the positions of single-excited eigenmodes. The arrows denote the positions of the double-excited subradiant modes with the eigenvalues $\varepsilon_1$ and $\varepsilon_2$. (c) False color map of the scattering vs. $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$. Dashed and solid lines indicate the one- and two-photon resonances, respectively. Parameters are $\chi = 10^4 \Gamma_0$, $\varphi \equiv \omega_0 |z_2 - z_1|/c = 0.1$.](image)

We expect even richer physics for the excitations with a higher number of photons, $M > 2$, which can already be accessed experimentally [48]. As the excitation number increases, a threshold of the qubit number for subradiant states will also changes. To reveal how the subradiant state depends on the excitation number $M$ and qubit number $N$, we find the eigenstate with the energy around $M\omega_0$ that has the minimal first-order decay rate for different $M$ and $N$ [42]. The threshold, determined by decrease of $\Gamma_1$ down to $\sim \varphi^2$, occurs for $N = 2M$. The notion of metastable twilight states can also be extended in the general $M$-body case: $M'$ particles in bright (or even superradiant) states is a product with $M - M'$ subradiant states. How these multi-excited states affect the incoherent $M$-photon scattering is beyond the scope of this letter.

**Conclusion.** We believe that our results open a new research direction for harnessing light-matter interactions in quantum photonics. In particular, the subradiant
states boost the incoherent scattering while the twilight states perpetuate the photon-photon correlations [42]. Custom-tailored long-lived entangled photons could be employed for storage and processing of quantum information.
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[42] See Supplemental Material for details of (S1) Effective model for the excitations; (S2) Decay process; (S3) Subradiant states for \( N = 4 \) qubits; (S4) Two-photon scattering; (S5) Threshold of qubit number for subradiant states; (S6) Subradiant and twilight states in photon-photon correlations, which includes Refs. [41] and [43].


S1. EFFECTIVE MODEL FOR THE EXCITATIONS

In this section, we derive the effective Hamiltonian describing the motion of excitations in the qubits. The tunneling of excitation between different qubits are mediated by the emission and absorption of a photon. The hopping amplitude of excitation with energy \( \omega \) from \( j \)-th to \( i \)-th qubit is given by

\[
H_{i,j}^{(1)}(\omega) = \omega_0 \delta_{i,j} + g^2 \sum_{l,l'} \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{e^{i(z_l - z_{l'})}}{\omega - \omega_k + i} \langle 0 | b_i a_k b_l b_{l'}^\dagger | 0 \rangle \omega - \omega_k + 0^+ \]  

(S1)

Here, we have used the Cauchy integral formula. We define \( \Gamma_0 = g^2/c \) as the radiative decay rate. Then, the total effective Hamiltonian is given as

\[
\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i,j} H_{i,j}^{(1)}(\omega_0) b_i^\dagger b_j + \chi \frac{1}{2} \sum_j b_j^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_j, \quad \text{(S2)}
\]

When being limited to the subspace with only two excitations, we can construct the effective two-photon Hamiltonian

\[
H^{(2)} + \mathcal{U} \quad \text{(S3)}
\]

where

\[
H^{(2)} = H^{(1)} \otimes I + I \otimes H^{(1)}, \quad \text{(S4)}
\]

is the sum of individual Hamiltonians for first and second photon, where \( \otimes \) denotes the direct product. Explicitly,

\[
H_{i_1,i_2;j_1,j_2}^{(2)} = \delta_{i_2,j_2} H_{i_1,j_1}^{(1)} + \delta_{i_1,j_1} H_{i_2,j_2}^{(1)}, \quad i_1,i_2,j_1,j_2 = 1 \ldots N. \quad \text{(S5)}
\]

The Hamiltonian \( \mathcal{U} \) describes the interaction term part,

\[
\mathcal{U}_{i_1,i_2;j_1,j_2} = \delta_{i_1,j_2} \delta_{j_1,i_2} \delta_{i_1,j_1}, \quad \text{(S6)}
\]

The linear eigenvalue problem to obtain the two-particle excitations then reads

\[
(H^{(2)} + \mathcal{U}) \Psi = 2\varepsilon \Psi. \quad \text{(S7)}
\]

We do need not all \( N^2 \) solutions of Eq. (S7) but only the solutions symmetric with respect to the permutation of 1-st and 2-nd photons, i.e. only the bosonic states.
S2. DECAY PROCESS

The cascade decay process can be simply decomposed as two processes: (i) two-excitation eigenstate $|\nu\rangle$ decays into one photon and one excitation state with decay rate $\Gamma_{21}^\nu$, and (ii) the one excitation eigenstate $|\mu\rangle$ decays into another photon with decay rate $\Gamma_{10}^\mu$. Because there are $N$ one-excitation state $|\mu\rangle$, the first decay process has $N$ decay channels. We assume that the probability for the decay channel $|\nu\rangle \rightarrow |\mu\rangle$ is $D_{\nu,\mu}$. Before understanding the whole cascade process, we first show how to calculate the decay rate $\Gamma_{21}^\nu$, $\Gamma_{10}^\mu$ and probability $D_{\nu,\mu}$.

A. Decay rate

We assume the double-excited eigenstate as $|\nu\rangle = \sum_{j,m} \psi_{j,m} |j, m\rangle$. The decay rate of eigenstate $|\nu\rangle$ can be directly calculated by using the Fermi Golden rule:

$$\Gamma_{21}^\nu = \pi \sum_{k,j} \delta(\omega_k + \omega_0 - 2 \text{Re} \varepsilon_\nu) |\langle 0 | a_k b_j H |\nu\rangle|^2$$

$$= g^2 \sum_{k,j} \delta(\omega_k + \omega_0 - 2 \text{Re} \varepsilon_\nu) \left| \sum_m e^{ikz_m} \Psi_{jm} \right|^2 = \Gamma_0 \sum_j |d_j|^2,$$

where

$$d_j = \sum_{j'} e^{i\omega_0 z_j'/c} \Psi_{jj'}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (S9)

Using the identity

$$\pi \delta(\omega_k + \omega_0 - 2 \text{Re} \varepsilon_\nu) = \text{Im} \frac{1}{\omega_k + \omega_0 - 2 \text{Re} \varepsilon_\nu - i0},$$

we can also rewrite radiative decay rate as

$$\Gamma_{21}^\nu = \Gamma_0 \text{Re} \sum_{j,m,m'} \Psi_{jm} \Psi_{jm'}^* e^{i\omega_0 |z_m - z_{m'}|/c}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (S11)

The same result could be obtained by just using the fact that $\Gamma_{21}^\nu$ is equal to $-\text{Im} \varepsilon_\nu$ and $\varepsilon_\nu$ is the eigenvalue of the problem Eq. (S7).

Similarly, the decay rate of the single-excited eigenstate $|\mu\rangle = \sum_j \psi_j |j\rangle$, is given by

$$\Gamma_{10}^\mu = \Gamma_0 \text{Re} \sum_{j,j'} \psi_j \psi_{j'}^* e^{i\omega_0 |z_j - z_{j'}|/c} = -\text{Im} \varepsilon_\mu,$$ \hspace{1cm} (S12)

where $\varepsilon_\mu$ is the eigenvalue of the Hamiltonian $H^{(1)}$.

At last, we show the probability for the decay from $|\nu\rangle$ to $|\mu\rangle$. After emission of one photon, the double-excited state $|\nu\rangle$ is transferred to $|\nu'\rangle = \sum_j \Psi_{jm} e^{i\omega_0 z_m/c} |j\rangle$. The probability $D_{\nu,\mu}$ is just related to the overlap between state $|\nu'\rangle$ and $|\mu\rangle$, that is,

$$D_{\nu,\mu} = \frac{|\langle \nu' | \mu \rangle|^2}{\sum_j |d_j|^2} = \frac{\Gamma_0}{\Gamma_{21}^\nu} |\langle \nu' | \mu \rangle|^2,$$ \hspace{1cm} (S13)

where $\sum_j |d_j|^2$ is a normalization factor.

B. Cascade decay as a function of time

We define the probability of existence of two-excitation state $|\nu\rangle$ and one-excitation state $|\mu\rangle$ at time $t$ as $P_{2}^\nu(t)$ and $P_{1}^\mu(t)$, respectively. The relation between $P_{2}^\nu(t)$ and $P_{1}^\mu(t)$ satisfies the general kinetic equation [1],

$$\frac{dP_{2}^\nu(t)}{dt} = -2\Gamma_{21}^\nu P_{2}^\nu(t),$$ \hspace{1cm} (S14)

$$\frac{dP_{1}^\mu(t)}{dt} = -2\Gamma_{10}^\mu P_{1}^\mu(t) + 2\Gamma_{21}^\nu D_{\nu,\mu} P_{2}^\nu(t).$$ \hspace{1cm} (S15)
with initial condition $P^\nu_2(0) = 1$ and $P^\mu_1(0) = 0$. Because the decay rate characterizes the decay of wave function, an additional factor 2 should be here for the decay of probability. Solving Eq. (S14), one can obtain

$$P^\nu_2(t) = e^{-2\Gamma \nu_{21} t}. \quad (S16)$$

Substituting Eq. (S16) into Eq. (S15), one can obtain

$$\frac{dP^\mu_1(t)}{dt} = -2\Gamma \nu_{10} P^\nu_2(t) + 2\Gamma \nu_{21} D_{\nu,\mu} e^{-2\Gamma \nu_{21} t}. \quad (S17)$$

We assume $P^\mu_1(t) = C^\mu(t)e^{-2\Gamma \nu_{10} t}$, where $C^\mu(t)$ satisfies

$$\frac{dC^\mu(t)}{dt} = 2\Gamma \nu_{21} D_{\nu,\mu} e^{-2(\Gamma \nu_{21} - \Gamma \nu_{10}) t}. \quad (S18)$$

with boundary condition $C^\mu(0) = 0$. It is clear that $C^\mu(t)$ is given as

$$C^\mu(t) = \frac{\Gamma \nu_{21} D_{\nu,\mu}}{\Gamma \nu_{10} - \Gamma \nu_{21}} (e^{-2\Gamma \nu_{21} t} - e^{-2\Gamma \nu_{10} t} - 1). \quad (S19)$$

$P^\mu_1(t)$ is finally given as

$$P^\mu_1(t) = \frac{\Gamma \nu_{21} D_{\nu,\mu}}{\Gamma \nu_{10} - \Gamma \nu_{21}} (e^{-2\Gamma \nu_{21} t} - e^{-2\Gamma \nu_{10} t}). \quad (S20)$$

The total decay rate into photons at time $t$ is given as

$$\Gamma_{tot}(t) = 2\Gamma \nu_{21} P^\nu_2(t) + \sum_\mu 2\Gamma \nu_{10} P^\mu_1(t). \quad (S21)$$

The total number of emitted photons at time $t$, $n(t)$, can be obtained by numerically solving the following equation

$$\frac{dn(t)}{dt} = \Gamma_{tot}(t). \quad (S22)$$

Fig. S4 shows the number of emitted photons for different kinds of initial states. The parameters are chosen as $N = 4$, $\varphi = 0.1$ and $\chi = 10^4\Gamma_0$. The decay behaviours of double-excited subradiant, twilight and superradiant states are quite different. For the superradiant state, both of the two photons are most quickly and simultaneously emitted. For the subradiant states, both of the two photons are emitted only after much longer time. For twilight states, the first photon is quickly emitted, and the second photon is emitted after longer time. The different decay behaviours give a clear classification of the double-excited states.
S. SUBRADIANT STATES FOR $N = 4$ QUBITS

In this section we obtain explicit expressions for the subradiant double-excited states in an array of four two-level qubits with the subwavelength spacing, $\varphi = \omega_0 d / c \ll 1$. Since we assume two-level qubits, $\chi \to \infty$, the double occupation is impossible. Hence, we look for the eigenstates in the following basis,

$$\begin{align*}
|\psi_1\rangle &= b_1^\dagger b_1^\dagger |0\rangle, \quad |\psi_2\rangle = b_1^\dagger b_3^\dagger |0\rangle, \\
|\psi_3\rangle &= b_1^\dagger b_2^\dagger |0\rangle, \quad |\psi_4\rangle = b_3^\dagger b_4^\dagger |0\rangle, \\
|\psi_5\rangle &= b_2^\dagger b_4^\dagger |0\rangle, \quad |\psi_6\rangle = b_3^\dagger b_4^\dagger |0\rangle.
\end{align*}$$

The two-particle Schrödinger equation Eq. (S7) can be expanded in such basis. This is equivalent to solution of the linear eigenproblem $\Gamma H |\psi\rangle = (\varepsilon - \omega_0) |\psi\rangle$ with the Hamiltonian

$$H = -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix}
2i & ie^{i\varphi} & ie^{i2\varphi} & ie^{i3\varphi} & 0 \\
ie^{i\varphi} & 2i & ie^{i\varphi} & ie^{i2\varphi} & ie^{i3\varphi} \\
ie^{i2\varphi} & ie^{i\varphi} & 2i & 0 & ie^{i2\varphi} \\
ie^{i3\varphi} & ie^{i2\varphi} & ie^{i\varphi} & 2i & ie^{i\varphi} \\
0 & ie^{i3\varphi} & ie^{i2\varphi} & ie^{i\varphi} & 2i
\end{pmatrix}. $$

(S24)

In the subwavelength case, $\varphi \ll 1$, we can make a Taylor expansion of $\varphi$ around 0 up to second order and separate the Hamiltonian as $H = H_0 + \varphi H_1 + \varphi^2 H_2$, where

$$H_0 = -\frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 2
\end{pmatrix}, \quad H_1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\
2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
3 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad H_2 \equiv \frac{i}{4} \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 4 & 9 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 9 \\
4 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 14 \\
9 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 11 \\
14 & 9 & 4 & 4 & 10
\end{pmatrix}.$$  

(S25)

We treat $H_1$ and $H_2$ as perturbations to $H_0$. For $H_0$, the eigenstates ($|\Psi_1\rangle, |\Psi_2\rangle, |\Psi_3\rangle, |\Psi_4\rangle, |\Psi_5\rangle, |\Psi_6\rangle$) and the eigenvalues ($E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4, E_5, E_6$) are respectively given as

$$\begin{align*}
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \\
-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\
-\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{pmatrix}
\end{align*} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

(S26)

Here, $|\Psi_1\rangle$ and $|\Psi_2\rangle$ are completely dark states, forming a subspace $D$. It is instructive to show them as a matrix where indices label coordinates of 1st and 2nd photon.

$$|\Psi_1\rangle = \sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}, \quad |\Psi_2\rangle = \sqrt{6} \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -2 & 1 & 1 \\
-2 & 1 & 1 & -2
\end{pmatrix}.$$

(S27)

The states $|\Psi_3\rangle, |\Psi_4\rangle$ and $|\Psi_5\rangle$ are the twilight states, see the discussion in the main text. In particular, $|\Psi_3\rangle = 1/2(-b_1^\dagger + b_3^\dagger) \otimes (b_2^\dagger + b_4^\dagger)|0\rangle$ and $|\Psi_4\rangle = 1/2(b_1^\dagger + b_3^\dagger) \otimes (-b_2^\dagger + b_4^\dagger)|0\rangle$ are products of single-excited subradiant and bright state. $|\Psi_5\rangle$ is entangled state which cannot be decomposed into product form. The state $|\Psi_6\rangle$ is a double-excited superradiant state. The twilight and superradiant states form a complementary subspace $C$. We respectively define the projector operators upon the subspace $D$ and $C$ as,

$$P = |\Psi_1\rangle \langle \Psi_1| + |\Psi_2\rangle \langle \Psi_2|,
S = \sum_{j \neq 1,2} \frac{1}{E_j - E_1} |\Psi_j\rangle \langle \Psi_j|.$$

(S28)
Applying the degenerate perturbation theory up to $\varphi^2$, the effective Hamiltonian for the subspace $\mathcal{D}$ is given as

$$H_{\text{eff}} = \varphi P H_1 P + \varphi^2 (P H_2 P + P H_1 S H_1 P).$$  \hfill \text{(S29)}$$

Since the coupling between twilight states and dark states are negligible, $S$ is simply given as $|\Psi_6\rangle\langle\Psi_6|/(3i)$. The eigenvalues of the dark states are approximately given as

$$E_{d,1} = -\varphi - \frac{i\varphi^2}{2},$$

$$E_{d,2} = -\frac{7\varphi}{3} - \frac{157i\varphi^2}{54}. \hfill \text{(S30)}$$

Thus, the corresponding $\varepsilon_1$ and $\varepsilon_2$ are respectively given as

$$\varepsilon_1 = \omega_0 - \varphi \Gamma_0 - \frac{i\varphi^2}{2} \Gamma_0,$$  \hfill \text{(S31)}

$$\varepsilon_2 = \omega_0 - \frac{7\varphi}{3} \Gamma_0 - \frac{157i\varphi^2}{54} \Gamma_0.$$  \hfill \text{(S30)}

It is easy to calculate the single-photon dark eigenfrequencies for $N = 4$, $\varphi \ll 1$, they are,

$$\omega_{d,1} = \omega_0 - \varphi \Gamma_0 - \frac{i\varphi^2}{4} \Gamma_0, \quad \omega_{d,2} \approx \omega_0 - 0.59\varphi \Gamma_0 - 0.025i\varphi^2 \Gamma_0, \quad \omega_{d,3} \approx \omega_0 - 3.4\varphi \Gamma_0 - 5.0i\varphi^2 \Gamma_0.$$  \hfill \text{(S32)}

Hence, there can be a double resonance for

$$\varepsilon = \text{Re} \varepsilon_{d,1} = \text{Re} \omega_{d,1} = \omega_0 - \varphi \Gamma_0$$ \hfill \text{(S33)}

### S4. TWO-PHOTON SCATTERING

**A. General matrix theory**

The diagrams corresponding to two-photon scattering are shown in Fig. S5. The corresponding amplitude reads [2]

\begin{align*}
S(\omega_1', \omega_2'; \omega_1, \omega_2) &= 2g^4 \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} s_{i}^{-}(\omega_1') s_{i}^{-}(\omega_2') \left[ -i\chi \delta_{ij} + (-i\chi) \Sigma_{ij} (-i\chi) + \ldots \right] s_{j}^{+}(\omega_1) s_{j}^{+}(\omega_2) 2\pi \delta(\omega_1 + \omega_2 - \omega_1' - \omega_2') \\
&= 2\pi i M \delta(\omega_1 + \omega_2 - \omega_1' - \omega_2'), \quad M = -2\Gamma_0^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} s_{i}^{-}(\omega_1') s_{i}^{-}(\omega_2') Q_{ij} s_{j}^{+}(\omega_1) s_{j}^{+}(\omega_2) \left( \frac{c}{L} \right)^2
\end{align*}

\hfill \text{(S34)}

where factors $s_{i}^{\pm}(\omega)$ describe the external lines of the diagrams,

$$s_{i}^{\pm}(\omega) = \sum_{j} G_{ij} e^{\pm i(\omega/c)z_{j}},$$ \hfill \text{(S35)}

$G_{ij}$ is the Green function for single qubit excitation defined by

$$(\omega - \omega_0)G_{ij}(\omega) + i\Gamma_0 \sum_{m} g^{i(\omega/c)z_{i}-z_{m}} G_{mj}(\omega) = \delta_{ij},$$ \hfill \text{(S36)}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{diagram.png}
\caption{The series corresponding to the calculation of two-photon scattering. Thick lines indicate the qubit Green function Eq. (S36), and the wavy lines are incoming and outgoing photons.}
\end{figure}
the matrix $Q$ is given by $Q = -i\chi / (1 - i\chi \Sigma)$, and the matrix $\Sigma$ has the elements
\begin{equation}
\Sigma_{ij}(\varepsilon) = \int \frac{G_{ij}(\omega)G_{ij}(2\varepsilon - \omega)}{2\pi} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi},
\end{equation}
with $\varepsilon = (\omega_1 + \omega_2)/2$. Eq. (S34) is equivalent to Eq. (7) in the main text.

B. Analytical expansion in the Markovian approximation

We will now restrict ourselves to the Markovian approximation, when the frequency dependence of the phase factors in Eqs. (S35) and (S36) can be neglected and they are evaluated at the resonant frequency $\omega_0$. This is valid in the considered subwavelength regime when $(\omega/c)|z_i - z_j| \ll 1$. It is then possible to simplify (S34) and to demonstrate, that the resonances in scattering for the total photon energy $\omega_1 + \omega_2 = \omega'_1 + \omega'_2$ correspond to the two-particle eigenstates of the Hamiltonian (S3).

We start with noting that
\begin{equation}
\int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} G_{ij}(\omega)G_{kl}(2\varepsilon - \omega) = \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{H - \omega} \right]_{ij} \left[ \frac{1}{H + \omega - 2\varepsilon} \right]_{kl} = \left[ \frac{1}{H^{(1)} \otimes I + I \otimes H^{(1)} - 2\varepsilon} \right]_{ik,jl}.
\end{equation}

Hence,
\begin{equation}
\Sigma_{ij} = \left[ \frac{i}{H \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes H - 2\varepsilon} \right]_{ii,jj} \equiv \left[ \frac{i}{H^{(2)} + U - 2\varepsilon} \right]_{ii,jj},
\end{equation}
and
\begin{equation}
Q_{ij} = -\left[ \frac{i\chi}{1 - i\chi \Sigma} \right]_{ij} = i\chi \left[ \frac{2\varepsilon - H}{H + U - 2\varepsilon} \right]_{ii,jj}.
\end{equation}

Eqs. (S7), (S39) and (S40) allow one to calculate two-photon eigenmodes and the scattering spectra using the matrix methods in the Markovian approximation. We now consider a specific double-excited eigenstate $\Psi$ satisfying
\begin{equation}
(H + U - 2\varepsilon)\Psi = 0
\end{equation}
and expand the $Q$ matrix near $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_\nu$. Our aim is to take the two-level qubit limit $\chi \rightarrow \infty$ analytically. We obtain from Eq. (S40)
\begin{equation}
Q_{ij} \approx -\frac{4i\Gamma_0}{\varepsilon_\nu + 2\varepsilon} \frac{d_i d_j^*}{2\varepsilon_\nu - 2\varepsilon},
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\Psi_{ii} \propto 1/\chi \text{ can be obtained from the wavefunction }\Psi^{(0)}\text{ calculated in the limit }\chi \rightarrow \infty \text{ by means of the perturbation theory. Namely,}
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\Psi_{ii} = \frac{2i\Gamma_0}{\chi} \sum_{jj'} \Psi_{ij'}^{(0)} e^{i\delta_0 |z_i - z_{jj'}|},
\end{equation}
where we used the condition $\Psi_{ij'} = \Psi_{j'i}$. Hence, we find
\begin{equation}
Q_{ij} \approx -\frac{4i\Gamma_0}{\varepsilon_\nu + 2\varepsilon} d_i d_j^* , \quad d_i = \sum_{jj'} \Psi_{ij'}^{(0)} e^{i\delta_0 |z_i - z_{jj'}|},
\end{equation}
in agreement with Eq. (11) in the main text.

We recall that due to radiative decay rate of the two-photon state, its energy has a finite imaginary part
\begin{equation}
-\text{Im } \varepsilon_\nu = \Gamma_\nu^2 = \Gamma_0 \sum_j |d_j|^2 ,
\end{equation}
see Eq. (S8). Then, we see a connection, the numerator of $Q$ is proportional to the imaginary part of the denominator. Hence, in the vicinity of the given resonance $\varepsilon_\nu$ the following identity holds:
\begin{equation}
-2\Gamma_0 \text{Re Tr } Q = |\text{Tr } Q|^2.
\end{equation}
C. Two photon wave-function

![Image of graphs](image)

**FIG. S6.** The spatial correlation function of outgoing photon pairs with different input energies: (a) $\omega_{1,2} = \varepsilon_1$, (b) $\omega_{1,2} = \varepsilon_2$, (c) $\omega_{1,2} = \varepsilon_1 \mp 3\varphi \Gamma_0$ and (d) $\omega_{1,2} = \varepsilon_2 \mp 3\varphi \Gamma_0$. The other parameters are chosen as $N = 4$, $\varphi = 0.1$ and $\chi = 10^4 \Gamma_0$. Here, all the sub-figures are in arbitrary unit.

To reveal the spatial correlation between the two outgoing photons, we make a Fourier transformation of the forward scattering,

$$S(x, y) = \int S(\omega_{k_1}, \omega_{k_2}, \omega_{k_3}, \omega_{k_4}) e^{ik_1'x} e^{ik_2'y} dk_1' dk_2', (x > 0, y > 0)$$  \hspace{1cm} (S47)

where $x$ and $y$ are the positions of two forward outgoing photons. $|S(x, y)|^2$ indicates the correlation of detecting one photon at $x$ and the other photon at $y$ in the incoherent scattering process. Fig. S6 shows the correlation function $|S(x, y)|^2$ of the outgoing photons when the total energies of incoming photon pairs match the first and second double-excited subradiant states. The parameters are chosen as $N = 4$, $\varphi = 0.1$, $\chi = 10^4 \Gamma_0$. (a) $\omega_{1,2} = \varepsilon_1$, (b) $\omega_{1,2} = \varepsilon_2$, (c) $\omega_{1,2} = \varepsilon_1 \mp 3\varphi \Gamma_0$ and (d) $\omega_{1,2} = \varepsilon_2 \mp 3\varphi \Gamma_0$, respectively. These parameters are corresponding to the four resonant peaks of the double-excited subradiant states in Fig. 3(a) and (b) of main text. When the double-excited subradiant states are excited, the forward outgoing photons show strong spatial correlations.

**S5. THRESHOLD OF QUBIT NUMBER FOR SUBRADIANT STATES**

In the main text, we show that the double-excited subradiant state appears in the arrays with at least 4 qubits. Generally, one may ask how many qubits support the appearance of $M$ excitation subradiant states. To reveal the threshold of the existence of subradiant state, we find the eigenstate with the energy around $M\omega_0$ that has the minimal first-order decay rate. The decay rate of such state as excitation number $M$ and qubit number $N$ change is shown in Fig. S7. The calculation is based on the diagonalization of effective Hamiltonian, Eq. (2) of the main text, with the parameters $\varphi = 0.1$ and $\chi = 10^4 \Gamma_0$. The white region is unphysical for two-level qubits, since $M$ excitations cannot occupy the $N < M$ qubits. The threshold of subradiant state, determined as the moment when the decay rate drops down to $\Gamma_1 \sim \varphi^2$, is denoted by the blue solid line. Thus, we can deduce that the threshold satisfies $N = 2M$, in other words, the $M$-excited subradiant states can be engineered only if the number of qubits exceeds $2M$. 
FIG. S7. The first-order decay rate as function of the excitation number and qubit number. Calculation has been performed for the parameters $\varphi = 0.1$ and $\chi = 10^4 \Gamma_0$.

S6. SUBRADIANT AND TWILIGHT STATES IN PHOTON-PHOTON CORRELATIONS

In this section we demonstrate how the superradiant, subradiant and twilight states are manifested in the time-dependent photon-photon correlations. The wavefunction, describing the backscattering of the pair of photons, incident at the frequencies $\omega_1 \neq \omega_2$, is given by

$$\psi_2 = r_1^\dagger a_\omega a_{-\omega} |0\rangle + \frac{i}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} M(\omega, \omega, \omega_1, \omega_2) a_\omega a_{-\omega} |0\rangle.$$  \hspace{1cm} (S48)

Here, the first term describes the coherent independent scattering of the photons, the second term accounts for the photon-photon correlations, and $r_1, r_2 = -i \Gamma_0 \sum_{i,j=1}^N G_{ij}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon) e^{i \omega_0/c (z_i + z_j)}$ are the reflection coefficients for individual photons. We are interested in the time-dependent photon-photon correlations, that are given by

$$c(t, \varepsilon) \equiv \langle \psi_2 | a(0) a(t) a_\omega a_{-\omega} | \psi_2 \rangle = r_1 r_2 \cos \left(\frac{\omega_1 - \omega_2}{2} \right) + \frac{i}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{-i \omega t} M(\varepsilon, \varepsilon, -\omega, \omega_1, \omega_2).$$  \hspace{1cm} (S49)

We consider the situation when the total energy of the photon pair $2\varepsilon$ is varied around $2\omega_0$, while the individual photon energies $\omega_1, \omega_2$ are strongly detuned from $\omega_0$. This allows us to resonantly selectively excite only the two-photon states. Due to the strong detuning between $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ the first term in Eq. (S49) will rapidly oscillate in time. Hence, we assume that the time-dependent correlations are smoothed and defined in the following way:

$$\tilde{g}^{(2)}(t, \varepsilon) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U c(t, \varepsilon)}{U c(t, \varepsilon)}, \quad \tilde{U} f(t) = f \left( t \rightarrow \frac{4\pi}{\omega_1 - \omega_2} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (S50)

The normalization has been explicitly chosen to satisfy the condition $\tilde{g}^{(2)}(t \rightarrow \infty) = 1$. Since $\tilde{U} \cos \left( \frac{\omega_1 - \omega_2}{2} t \right) = 1$, in the regime when $|\omega_1 - \omega_2| \gg \Gamma_0$ we obtain

$$\tilde{g}^{(2)}(t, \varepsilon) = \left| r_1 r_2 + i \int \frac{d\omega}{4\pi} e^{-i \omega t} M(\omega, \omega, -\omega, \omega_1, \omega_2) \right|^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (S51)

We note, that $\tilde{g}^{(2)}(t = 0, \varepsilon) = 0$ for $N = 1$ due to the photon blockade effect [41]. The scattering amplitude $M(\omega, \omega, -\omega, \omega_1, \omega_2)$, defined in Eq. (S34), depends on the energies of the scattered photons only via the single-particle Green functions, $M \propto s_i(\varepsilon + \omega)s_i(\varepsilon - \omega)Q_{ij}(\varepsilon)$. As such, the lifetime of the correlations is determined only
FIG. S8. (a) Color map of photon-photon correlations Eq. (S51) depending on time $t$ and average energy of two incident photons $\varepsilon$. (b) Spectra of photon-photon correlations for $t = 0$ and $t = 20\Gamma_0$. (c) Time dependence of photon-photon correlations for $\varepsilon = \omega_0$ and $\varepsilon = 0.5\Gamma_0$. Calculation has been performed for $(\omega_1 - \omega_2)/2 \equiv \delta = 20\Gamma_0$, $N = 4$, $\varphi = \omega_0 d/c = 0.1$, and $\chi = 10^4\Gamma_0$.

by the single-photon resonances. However, the excitation efficiency of the different single-photon resonances still does depend on the photon pair energy $2\varepsilon$. This is the main ingredient of our proposal for observation of different two-photon states: when the pair energy $2\varepsilon$ is tuned to the double-excited subradiant or twilight state, the excitation efficiency of single-excited subradiant state increases, which results in long-lived photon-photon correlations.

In order to test this approach, we have plotted in Fig. S8 the dependence of the $\tilde{g}^{(2)}(t, \varepsilon)$ correlations on time $t$ and photon pair energy $2\varepsilon$. The calculation demonstrates, that the spectra of the photon-photon correlations strongly depend on the delay time. Namely, at $t = 0$ the spectrum $\tilde{g}^{(2)}(\varepsilon)$ is dominated by a broad feature with the half-width at half-maximum $\approx 3\Gamma_0$, corresponding to the excitation of the double-excited superradiant state (black curve in Fig. S8b). However, the superradiant mode has short lifetime, and this broad feature is already vanished at $t \approx \Gamma_0$. At larger time, $t = 20\Gamma_0$, the spectrum $\tilde{g}^{(2)}(\varepsilon)$ becomes more narrow (red curve in Fig. S8b). The narrow features with the width $\sim \varphi^2\Gamma_0$ correspond to the excitation of the two-particle subradiant states. The wider features, with the width $\sim \Gamma_0$, are due to the twilight resonances. Thus, the twilight states can be used for excitation of long-lived photon-photon correlations. They show the same long lifetime as the subradiant states, $\sim 1/(\varphi^2\Gamma_0)$ but are relatively easier to excite due to their broader spectral linewidth $\sim \Gamma_0$.
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