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Magnets with noncentrosymmetric lattice structures can host a three-dimensional noncoplanar spin texture called the magnetic hedgehog lattice (HL) with a periodic array of magnetic monopoles and anti-monopoles. Despite recent discovery of two types of short-period HLs in MnSi\textsubscript{1-x}Ge\textsubscript{x}, their microscopic origin remains elusive. Here, we study the stability of such magnetic HLs for an effective spin model with long-range interactions arising from the itinerant nature of electrons. By variational calculations and simulated annealing, we find that the HLs are stabilized in the ground state at zero magnetic field by the synergetic effect of the antisymmetric exchange interactions generated by the spin-orbit coupling and the multiple-spin interactions generated by the spin-charge coupling. We also clarify the full phase diagram in the magnetic field, which includes multiple phase transitions with changes in the number of monopoles and anti-monopoles.

Chirality, often termed as handedness, is a key concept in a broad field of science, ranging from particle physics to biology. In condensed matter physics, chiral magnetic textures, which break both inversion and mirror symmetries in addition to time-reversal symmetry, have recently attracted considerable attention for potential applications to next-generation electronic devices. These are a variety of the chiral magnetic textures, such as skyrmion lattices \textsuperscript{1} and chiral soliton lattices \textsuperscript{2}. Noncollinear and noncoplanar spin arrangements in these textures generate emergent electromagnetic fields through the Berry phase mechanism, which induce unconventional transport, optical, and magnetoelectric properties \textsuperscript{3–5}.

Recently, a three-dimensional chiral magnetic texture, which is called the hedgehog lattice (HL), was discovered in the B20-type compound MnGe \textsuperscript{6,7}. The magnetic structure is characterized by cubic three wave vectors, and hence, it is referred as the triple-Q hedgehog lattice (3Q-HL) [Fig. 1(a)]. The 3Q-HL has a periodic array of hyperbolic hedgehog and anti-hedgehog spin textures, which generates an emergent magnetic field with a periodic array of radial hedgehogs and anti-hedgehogs regarded as magnetic monopoles and anti-monopoles, as shown in Fig. 1(c) \textsuperscript{8–10}. The peculiar magnetic field was discussed as a source of the enormous topological Hall effect \textsuperscript{11} and thermoelectric effect \textsuperscript{12}. In addition, in MnSi\textsubscript{1-x}Ge\textsubscript{x}, the 3Q-HL changes into a different HL characterized by tetrahedral four wave vectors, dubbed the quadruple-Q hedgehog lattice (4Q-HL) [Fig. 1(b)] \textsuperscript{14,15}. Remarkably, the magnetic periods of these 3Q- and 4Q-HLs are very short ~ 2–3 nm, in contrast to most of the skyrmion lattices.

Such magnetic HLs have been theoretically studied prior to the experimental discovery, e.g., by the Ginzburg-Landau theory \textsuperscript{16}, variational calculations \textsuperscript{17}, and Monte Carlo (MC) simulations \textsuperscript{18}. The variational study for a classical spin model showed that the 3Q-HL is not stabilized, whereas the 4Q-HL is obtained in an applied magnetic field \textsuperscript{17}. The 4Q-HL in a field was also confirmed by MC simulations \textsuperscript{18}. The previous studies, however, do not explain the 3Q- and 4Q-HLs at zero field observed in MnSi\textsubscript{1-x}Ge\textsubscript{x}. Furthermore, to account for the very short periods of the HLs, one requires a large Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya (DM) interaction \textsuperscript{19–20} comparable to the ferromagnetic exchange interaction, whose microscopic origin is obscure.

In this Letter, we study the stability of 3Q- and 4Q-HLs from a different theoretical point of view. Considering the fact that MnSi\textsubscript{1-x}Ge\textsubscript{x} is metallic, we study an effective model taking into account the itinerant nature of electrons, instead of the localized spin models in the previous studies. By variational calculations and simulated annealing, we show that the model realizes both 3Q- and 4Q-HLs at zero field, through the cooperation between the DM-type asymmetric exchange interactions arising from the spin-orbit coupling and the multiple-spin interactions from the spin-charge coupling. We also study the effect of an applied magnetic field on these HLs. We find that the 3Q- and 4Q-HLs change into a single-Q (1Q) conical state before entering the ferromagnetic (FFM) state, while they exhibit multiple phase transitions within the 3Q and 4Q phases, including changes in the number of monopoles and anti-monopoles.

In the present study, we consider an effective spin model including contributions from both spin-orbit and spin-charge couplings in itinerant magnets. The Hamiltonian is given by

\begin{equation}
\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\eta} \left[ -JS_{Q_{\eta}} \cdot S_{-Q_{\eta}} - iD_{\eta} \cdot S_{Q_{\eta}} \times S_{-Q_{\eta}} + \frac{K}{N}(S_{Q_{\eta}} \cdot S_{-Q_{\eta}})^2 \right] - \sum_{l} \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{l},
\end{equation}

The first term denotes the Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida (RKKY) interaction, which is derived by the second-order perturbation with respect to the spin-charge coupling \textsuperscript{21}. The second term represents the DM-type interaction arising from the spin-orbit coupling \textsuperscript{22}. The third term is the biquadratic interaction with positive coupling constant \(K > 0\), which is most relevant among the higher-order perturbations with respect...
FIG. 1. Spin textures of (a) 3Q and (b) 4Q hedgehog lattices obtained by simulated annealing for the model in Eq. 1. The enlarged pictures display the magnetic unit cell with the spin configurations on every two [001] layers for clarity. The magenta (cyan) balls represent the (anti-)monopoles at the (anti-)hedgehog cores, which locate at the interstitial positions of the cubic lattice sites. In (a), there are four monopoles and four anti-monopoles in the magnetic unit cell, which comprise spirals running in the [100], [010], and [001] directions. Meanwhile, there are eight monopoles and eight anti-monopoles in (b), forming a body-centered-cubic lattice as shown by the green guides [15]. The right panels show the ordering vectors for the (a) 3Q and (b) 4Q cases. (c) Correspondences between the spin textures and the effective magnetic fields. The cube represents the eight lattice sites surrounding a monopole and an anti-monopole.

to the spin-charge coupling [25,27]. The important point in this model is that all the interactions are defined in the momentum space; the sum is taken for a set of particular wave vectors $Q_\eta$, and $S_\eta = \frac{1}{N} \sum_l S_{rl} e^{iQ_\eta \cdot r_l}$, where $S_{rl}$ represents the spin at site $l$, $r_l$ is the position vector of the site $l$, and $N$ is the number of spins. Thus, in contrast to the previous localized spin models, the interactions are long-ranged in real space, reflecting the fact that they originate from the Fermi surface instability in itinerant electrons; in the limit of weak spin-charge coupling, $Q_\eta$ are set by the peaks of the bare susceptibility of itinerant electrons. The last term in Eq. 1 describes the Zeeman coupling to an external magnetic field $h$. In the following calculations, we set the energy scale as $J = 1$ and treat the spins as classical vectors with the length $|S_{rl}| = 1$ for simplicity.

Corresponding to the 3Q- and 4Q-HLs, we assume two sets of $Q_\eta$. One is a set of the cubic wave vectors as $Q_1 = (Q, 0, 0)$, $Q_2 = (0, Q, 0)$, and $Q_3 = (0, 0, Q)$, which are orthogonal to each other [Fig. 1(a)], and the other is a set of the tetrahedral wave vectors as $Q_1 = (Q, -Q, -Q)$, $Q_2 = (-Q, Q, -Q)$, $Q_3 = (-Q, -Q, Q)$, and $Q_4 = (Q, Q, Q)$ [Fig. 1(b)]. Considering the short-period HLs observed in experiments, we set $Q = \pi/4$; we confirm that the following results remain qualitatively the same for such short periods. We consider a simple cubic lattice for simplicity, with $N = 16^3$ under periodic boundary conditions, which is sufficiently large for the present purpose. We assume $D_\eta \parallel Q_\eta$, noting that proper-screw type spin textures preferred by $D_\eta \parallel Q_\eta$ have an advantage for stabilizing the HLs as their superpositions.

We investigate the ground state of the model in Eq. 1 by variational calculations and simulated annealing. At zero field, we mainly use the variational calculations. We consider the following nonchiral and chiral spin textures as the variational states. The nonchiral states are given by $S_{rl} \propto \sum_{n=1}^{n} \tilde{a}_{\eta} \cos Q_{\eta l}$, where $Q_{\eta l} = Q_{\eta} \cdot r_l + \varphi_{\eta}$, $\tilde{a}_{\eta}$ is the unit vector parallel to $Q_{\eta}$, and $\varphi_{\eta}$ represents the phase shift; $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$ for the 3Q case and $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$ for the 4Q case. Note that the nonchiral states have no energy gain from the DM-type interaction. On the other hand, the chiral states are described as the equal superpositions of helices, $S_{rl} \propto \sum_{n=1}^{n} \tilde{b}_{\eta} \sin Q_{\eta l} + \tilde{c}_{\eta} \cos Q_{\eta l}$, where $\tilde{b}_{\eta}$, and $\tilde{c}_{\eta}$ are the unit vectors orthogonal to $\tilde{a}_{\eta}$ and each other ($\tilde{a}_{\eta}, \tilde{b}_{\eta}$, and $\tilde{c}_{\eta}$ form a right-handed system). Note that the $n = 3 \ (n = 4)$ state for 3Q (4Q) corresponds to the 3Q(4Q)-HL. In addition, we include another variational state called the double-Q chiral stripe (2Q-CS) following the previous study [28], $S_{rl} \propto \sqrt{1 - u^2} \tilde{b}_{1} \sin Q_{1 l} + \sqrt{1 - u^2} \tilde{c}_{1} \cos Q_{1 l} + u \tilde{a}_{1} \sin(Q_{2 l})$, where $u = v \sin(Q_{2 l})$. In the variational calculations, we compare the energy for all the variational states by varying $\varphi_{\eta}$ and $v$ to find the lowest-energy ground state.

Meanwhile, we use simulated annealing not only to confirm the variational results at zero field but also to study the ground state in an applied magnetic field where it is difficult to infer the variational states. In simulated annealing, we gradually reduce the temperature from $T = 1$ to $T \simeq 10^{-5}$ with a condition $T_n = 10^{-0.1 n}$, where $T_n$ is the temperature in the $n$th step. During the annealing, we spend a total of $10^5 - 10^6$ MC sweeps by using the standard Metropolis algorithm. After annealing at a particular value of the field strength $h = |h|$, we increase or decrease $h$ successively by $\Delta h = 0.01$. At every shift by $\Delta h$, we heat the system up to $T \simeq 10^{-3}$ and cool down again to $T \simeq 10^{-5}$ by annealing. Carefully comparing the energy by starting from various values of
FIG. 2. Phase diagrams of the model in Eq. (1) at zero field for the (a) 3Q and (b) 4Q cases. 3Q(4Q)-HL, 3Q(4Q)-NC, 2Q-VC, 2Q-CS, and 1Q-H represent the chiral 3Q (4Q) hedgehog lattice, the nonchiral 3Q (4Q), the chiral 2Q vortex crystal, the 2Q chiral stripe, and the 1Q helical states, respectively.

$h$, we map out the magnetic phase diagram.

In order to identify the magnetic phases by simulated annealing, we calculate the magnetization per site along the field direction, $m = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i S_i \cdot \mathbf{h}$, where $\mathbf{h}$ is the unit vector in the field direction, and the uniform spin scalar chirality per site, $\chi_{\text{sc}} = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{\beta\gamma} \epsilon^{x\beta\gamma} \delta \cdot \mathbf{S}_{r_i}$, 

$$\left( \mathbf{S}_{r_i} + \delta_{\alpha} \mathbf{x}_{\alpha} \times \mathbf{S}_{r_i + \delta_{\beta} \mathbf{x}_{\beta}} \right),$$

where $\delta_{\alpha} = \pm 1$, $\mathbf{x}_{\alpha}$ is the unit translation vector in the $\alpha = x, y, z$ direction, and $\epsilon^{x\beta\gamma}$ is the Levi-Civita symbol. We also compute the number of monopoles and anti-monopoles in the magnetic unit cell, $N_m$, following Ref. [17]. In addition, we introduce the magnetic moment with wave vector $q$, $m_q = \sqrt{S(q)/N}$, where $S(q)$ is the spin structure factor defined by $S(q) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,t} \mathbf{S}_{r_i} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{r_i+q} e^{i q \cdot (r_i-r_i')}$. note $m = m_q=0$

First, we show the results of the variational calculations at zero field. Figures 2(a) and 2(b) display the magnetic phase diagrams for the 3Q and 4Q cases, respectively, while varying $D = |D_q|$ and $K$ in Eq. (1). When $K = 0$, a nonzero $D$ stabilizes the chiral 1Q helical state, which spreads out in the small $K$ region for $D > 0$ in both 3Q and 4Q cases. On the other hand, when introducing $K$ with $D = 0$, the 2Q-CS is stabilized in both cases, but replaced by the nonchiral 3Q and 4Q states in the larger $K$ region. Similar sequence of the phase transitions was found in two dimensions [27,28]. When $D$ and $K$ are both relevant, however, we find the 3Q- and 4Q-HLs in the wide parameter range, in addition to a chiral 2Q state in the 3Q case, which is a Néel-type vortex crystal [22]. We confirm the stability of these chiral phases also by simulated annealing; examples of the obtained spin configurations for the 3Q- and 4Q-HLs are presented in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), respectively.

Thus, our results indicate that the 3Q- and 4Q-HLs are stabilized by cooperation between the RKKY interaction, the DM-type interaction, and the biquadratic interaction. In other words, both spin-orbit and spin-charge couplings play a crucial role in the stabilization of the 3Q- and 4Q-HLs. Our microscopic calculations on the discrete lattice show that the stable positions of all the monopoles and anti-monopoles locate not at the lattice sites but at the interstitial positions. In this case, the surrounding eight spins comprise a hyperbolic (anti-)hedgehog generating the effective (anti-)monopole field, as shown in Fig. 3(c).

Given the stable Hls at zero field, next, we discuss how they are modified by a magnetic field. Figure 3 shows the results for the 3Q-HL at $D = 0.3$ and $K = 0.7$ in the magnetic field along the [001] direction, $\mathbf{h} = (0, 0, h)$, obtained by simulated annealing. As plotted in Fig. 3(a), the magnetization $m$ shows kinks at $h \approx 1.04$ and 2.6 and small jumps at $h \approx 0.28, 0.7,$ and 0.78. This indicates the existence of at least six different phases. The magnetic moments $m_{Q_0}$ plotted in Fig. 3(b) show that the four low-field phases below $h \approx 1.04$ are 3Q states with nonzero three $m_{Q_0}$, the phase for $1.04 \lesssim h \lesssim 2.6$ is a 1Q conical state with only $m_{Q_0} \neq 0$, and that for $h \gtrsim 2.6$ is a FFM state. The four 3Q states have nonzero spin scalar chirality $\chi_{\text{sc}}$, as shown in Fig. 3(a), which gives rise to the topological Hall effect [29]. We label the four 3Q states as 3Q-IV [30]. As shown in Fig. 3(b), the number of monopoles and anti-monopoles, $N_m$, is unchanged in 3Q-
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I–III, but it vanishes in the 3Q-IV state. This indicates that the 3Q-I–III states are the HLs, but the 3Q-IV state has a noncoplanar spin texture without monopoles and anti-monopoles. The phase transitions among the four 3Q states are all discontinuous. We note that the increase of \( \chi_{\text{sc}} \) in 3Q-I and II and the decrease in 3Q-III are related with the change in the lengths of magnetic fluxes connecting the monopoles and anti-monopoles, called skyrmion strings.

Figure 4 shows the effect of the [111] field \( h \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (h, h, h) \) on the 4Q-HL at \( D = 0.3 \) and \( K = 0.6 \). As shown in Fig. 4(a), the magnetization \( m \) shows jumps at \( h \approx 0.78, 0.85, \) and 1.1, and a kink at \( h \approx 2.6 \). This indicates the existence of at least five different phases. Figure 4(b) shows that the three low-field phases below \( h \approx 1.1 \) are 4Q states, all of which have nonzero spin scalar chirality \( \chi_{\text{sc}} \), as shown in Fig. 4(a). We label the three 4Q states as 4Q-I–III. The phase transitions are all discontinuous, except between the 1Q conical and the FFM states at \( h \approx 2.6 \). While \( N_m \) in Fig. 4(a) takes the same value in 4Q-I and II, it is reduced to half in 4Q-III. This is related to the enhancement of \( \chi_{\text{sc}} \) in 4Q-III: When \( N_m \) is halved, the length of the skyrmion strings are almost doubled, which enhances \( \chi_{\text{sc}} \).

Let us discuss our results and their implications to experiments. Our finding of the stable HLs with short periods even at zero field indicates the importance of itinerant nature of the system, which is taken into account in our model by the long-range interactions related with the Fermi surface. This implies a potential scenario for the phase transition between the 3Q- and 4Q-HLs in MnSi_{1−x}Ge_{x}: The chemical substitution may modulate the Fermi surfaces, leading to switch the relevant wave vectors between 3Q and 4Q. This could be tested experimentally, e.g., by the angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy and the de Haas-van Alphen effect measuring the Fermi surfaces, as well as theoretically by first-principles calculations for the electronic structure. Such comparative studies will also be helpful to provide the realistic values of the model parameters in Eq. (4).

On the other hand, in the magnetic field, our results suggest a nonzero topological Hall effect in the 3Q and 4Q phases with nonzero spin scalar chirality \( \chi_{\text{sc}} \). Experimentally, interesting behaviors were observed in a wide range of field and temperature, including the sign change of the topological Hall resistivity [14]. For detailed comparison between theory and experiment, it will be important to take into account the realistic electronic band structures in the magnetic field. In particular, it is worth studying how the modulations of the Fermi surfaces and corresponding \( Q_n \) modify the phase diagrams in the magnetic field. Moreover, thermal fluctuations might also play an important role; in general, they tend to stabilize multiple-Q states over 1Q states, which may lead to a nonzero topological Hall signal in a wider field region for \( T > 0 \) than \( T = 0 \).

In conclusion, we have investigated the stability of the magnetic HLs in the effective spin model with long-range interactions reflecting the Fermi surface effect. We found that both 3Q- and 4Q-HLs with very short periods are stabilized even at zero magnetic field by the synergy between the DM-type interactions from the spin-orbit coupling and the multiple-spin interactions from the spin-charge coupling. Recently, the three-dimensional chiral spin texture in MnGe was discussed by assuming an effective interaction between the spin scalar chiralities [31]. It is worth noting that although such an interaction may arise in the sixth-order perturbation in terms of the spin-charge coupling, our results explain the stable HLs by the positive biquadratic interaction appearing in the lower fourth-order perturbation. We also clarified that both 3Q and 4Q cases show multiple phase transitions in the magnetic field, where the number of monopoles and anti-monopoles changes and the topological Hall effect is predicted. Our findings provide a promising route to generate magnetic monopoles and anti-monopoles by controlling the electronic band structures.
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[15] While four monopoles and four anti-monopoles were shown in the previous study [14], we notice that there are four more each.