Topological Control of Extreme Waves
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From optics to hydrodynamics, shock and rogue waves are widespread. Although they appear as distinct phenomena, new theories state that transitions between extreme waves are allowed. However, these have never been experimentally observed because of the lack of control strategies.

We introduce a new concept of nonlinear wave topological control, based on the one-to-one correspondence between the number of wave packet oscillating phases and the genus of toroidal surfaces associated with the nonlinear Schrödinger equation solutions by the Riemann theta function. We prove it experimentally by reporting the first observation of supervised transitions between extreme waves with different genera, like the continuous transition from dispersive shock to rogue waves. Specifically, we use a parametric time-dependent nonlinearity to shape the asymptotic wave genus. We consider the box problem in a focusing Kerr-like photorefractive medium and tailor time-dependent propagation coefficients, as nonlinearity and dispersion, to explore each region in the state-diagram and include all the dynamic phases in the nonlinear wave propagation.

Our result is the first example of the topological control of integrable nonlinear waves. This new technique casts light on dispersive shock waves and rogue wave generation, and can be extended to other nonlinear phenomena, from classical to quantum ones. The outcome is not only important for fundamental studies and control of extreme nonlinear waves, but can be also applied to spatial beam shaping for microscopy, medicine and spectroscopy, and to the broadband coherent light generation.

In 1967 Gardner, Greene, Kruskal, and Miura developed a mathematical method - the inverse scattering transform (IST) [1] - disclosing the inner features of extreme nonlinear waves in hydrodynamics, plasma physics, nonlinear optics and many other physical systems [2–4]. According to IST, one also predicts the periodical regeneration of the initial status, as in the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam-Tsingou recurrence [5, 6].

The nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLSE) [7] is a cornerstone of IST for detailing dispersive phenomena, as dispersive shock waves (DSWs) [8–10], rogue waves (RWs) [11–14] and shape invariant solitons [15]. DSWs regularize catastrophic discontinuities by means of rapidly oscillating undular bores [16–20]. RWs are giant disturbances appearing and disappearing abruptly in a nearly constant background [21–28]. Solitons are particle-like dispersion-free wave packets that can form complex interacting assemblies, ranging from crystals to gases [15, 29].

DSWs, RWs, and soliton gases (SGs) are related phenomena, and all appear in paradigmatic nonlinear evolutions, as the box problem for the focusing NLSE [30–34]. However, the latter equation is solvable by IST only when the number of degrees of freedom in the IST description is limited. Since this number grows as the inverse of the dispersion, when one considers the small-dispersion NLSE (SDNLSE), IST becomes unfeasible. In this extreme regime, the problem can be tackled by the so-called finite-gap theory [31]. It turns out that extreme waves are described in terms of one single mathematical entity, the Riemann theta function, and classified by a topological index, the genus $g$ (see Fig. 1). In nonlinear wave theory, $g$ represents the number of oscillating phases, and evolves during light propagation: “single phase” DSWs have $g = 1$, RWs have $g = 2$ and SGs have $g >> 2$. This creates a fascinating connection between extreme waves and topology. Indeed, the same genus $g$ allows a topological classification of surfaces, to distinguish, for examples, a torus and sphere (Fig. 1).

The question lies open if this elegant mathematical classification of extreme waves can inspire new applications. Can it modify the basic paradigm by which the asymptotic evolution of a wave is encoded in its initial shape, opening the way to controlling extreme waves, from lasers to earthquakes?

Here, inspired by the topological classification, we propose and demonstrate the use of topological indices to control the generation of extreme waves with varying...
We consider the SDNLSE box problem where, according to recent theoretical results [31], light experiences various dynamic phases during propagation, distinguished by diverse genera. In particular, for high values of a nonlinearly-scaled propagation distance \( \zeta \), one has \( g \sim \zeta \). By continuously varying \( \zeta \), we can change \( g \) and explore all the possible dynamic phases (see Fig. 1 where \( \zeta \) is given in terms of the observation time \( t \), detailed below). We experimentally test this approach in photorefractive materials, giving evidence of an unprecedented control of nonlinear waves, which allows the first observation of the transition from focusing DSWs to RWs.

We consider the SDNLSE

\[
\begin{align*}
t &\partial_t \psi + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \partial_x^2 \psi + |\psi|^2 \psi = 0, \\
\psi(\xi,0) &= \begin{cases} 
q \text{ for } |\xi| < l \\
0 \text{ elsewhere },
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \psi = \psi(\xi, \zeta) \) is the normalized complex field envelope, \( \zeta \) is the propagation coordinate, \( \xi \) is the transverse coordinate and \( \epsilon > 0 \) is the dispersion parameter. We take a rectangular barrier as initial condition

\[
\psi(\xi,0) = \begin{cases} 
q \text{ for } |\xi| < l \\
0 \text{ elsewhere },
\end{cases}
\]

that is, a box of finite height \( q > 0 \), length \( 2l > 0 \) and genus \( g = 0 \). In our work, we fix \( q = l = 1 \). Eq. (1) with (2) is known as the SDNLSE box problem, or the dam break problem, which exhibits some of the most interesting dynamic phases in nonlinear wave propagation [31][35]. The initial evolution presents the formation of two wave trains counterpropagating that regularize the box discontinuities. These are single-phase DSWs (\( g = 1 \)), able to generate undular bores. Their two wavefronts superimpose in the central part of the box (see Fig. 1b) - occurring at \( \zeta = \zeta_0 := \sqrt{\frac{2q}{\sqrt{q - 2q}}}, \) and generate a breather lattice of genus \( g = 2 \), a two-phase quasi-periodic wave resembling an ensemble of Akhmediev breathers (ABs) [33][25]. Since both \( \xi - \) and \( \zeta - \) period increase with \( \zeta \), the oscillations at \( \xi \approx 0 \) become locally approximated by Peregrine solitons (PSs) [13][30]. At long propagation distance \( \zeta >> \zeta_0 \), the wave train becomes multi-phase and generates a SG with \( g \sim \zeta \).

In figure 1a, we report the wave dynamics in physical units, as we make specific reference to our experimental realization of the SDNLSE box problem for spatial optical propagation in photorefractive media (PR). In these materials, the optical nonlinearity is due to the time-dependent accumulation of free carriers that induces a time-varying low-frequency electric field. Through the electro-optical effect, the charge accumulation results into a time-varying nonlinearity. The corresponding time-profile can be controlled by an external applied voltage and the intensity level [37][39]. These features enable to experimentally implement our topological control technique. In PR, Eq. (1) describes an optical beam with complex amplitude \( A(z,x,t) \) and intensity \( I = |A|^2 \) through the transformation (see Methods)

\[
\begin{align*}
\zeta &= \frac{z}{z_{eff}}, \quad \xi = \frac{2x}{W_0}, \quad \psi = \frac{\sqrt{4}}{\sqrt{\sqrt{I_0}}},
\end{align*}
\]

with \( W_0 \) the initial beam waist along \( x \)-direction, \( z_p = \frac{\pi n_0 W_0^2}{\delta_n} \) the diffraction length, \( n = n_0 + \frac{2 \pi n_0 f(t)}{\delta_n} \) the refractive index, \( \delta_n > 0 \) the nonlinear coefficient, \( I_S \) the saturation intensity, \( I_0 \) the initial intensity. For PR

\[
\epsilon = \frac{\lambda}{\pi W_0} \sqrt{\frac{I_S}{2n_0 \delta_n I_0 f(t)}},
\]

namely, the dispersion is modulated by the time-dependent crystal response function \( f(t) = 1 - \exp(-t/\tau) \), with the saturation time \( \tau \) fixed by the input power and the applied voltage.

For a given propagation distance \( L \) (the length of the photorefractive crystal), the genus of the final state is determined by the detection time \( t \), which determines \( \epsilon,\zeta = \frac{L}{\xi_{eff}}, \) and \( g \), correspondingly. The genus time-dependence is sketched in Fig. 1a. The output wave profile depends on its genus content, which varies with \( t \).

Following the theoretical approach in [31], the two separatrices equations divide the evolution diagram in Fig. 1a in three different areas: the flat box plateau with genus \( g = 0 \), the lateral counterpropagating DSWs with genus \( g = 1 \), and the RWs after the DSW-collapse point (corresponding to the separatrices intersection) with genus \( g = 2 \). The two separatrices (dashed lines in Fig. 1a) have equations

\[
x = x_0 \pm \frac{W_0}{2t_0}(t - t_0) = x_0 \pm v(t - t_0),
\]

with \( (t_0, x_0) \) the DSW-collapse point, with \( t_0 \approx \frac{\tau L n_0 W_0^2}{\delta_n I_0^2} \) and \( x_0 \) given by the central position of the box. It turns out that the shock velocity is

\[
v = \frac{W_0}{2t_0} = \frac{32\delta_n L^2}{I_0 n_0 W_0^2} I_S^{1/2} P,
\]

proportional to the input power, as experimentally demonstrated below (Fig. 3b, other parameters are detailed below).

Eqs. (5) express the genus time-dependence for its first three values \( g = 0, 1, 2 \). It allows designing the wave-shape, before the experiment, by associating a specific combination of the topological indices, and to predict the detection time corresponding to the target topology. In other words, by properly choosing the experimental conditions, we can manage to predict the occurrence of a given extreme wave by using the expected genus \( g \). According to Eq. (4), we use time \( t \) and initial waist \( W_0 \) to vary \( \epsilon \). The accessible states are outlined in the phase diagram in Fig. 1a, in terms of \( \epsilon \) and \( W_0 \). Choosing \( W_0 = 100 \mu m \) as in Fig. 1a, by varying \( t \) one switches from DSWs to RWs, and then to SGs.

The case \( W_0 = 140 \mu m \) is illustrated in Fig. 2 by numerical simulations (the corresponding experimental results are in Fig. 3). The two focusing DSWs and the SG are visible at the beginning and at the end of temporal evolution, respectively (see phase-diagram in Fig. 1b). As soon
as an initial super-Gaussian wave (Fig. 2b, see Methods) starts to propagate, two DSWs appear on the beam borders (Fig. 2c) and propagate towards the beam central part (Fig. 2d). When the DSWs superimpose, ABs are generated (Fig. 2c). From the analytical SDNLSE solutions for the focusing dam break problem [31], we see that ABs have $\xi$-period increasing with $\zeta$. Moreover, one finds that $\partial_\zeta \zeta > 0$, therefore the period in the $x$-direction must increase with time, and central peaks appear upon evolution. These peaks are well approximated by PSs, for large $t$, as confirmed by Figs. 2f,g.

Figure 3 shows the experimental observation of the controlled dynamics simulated in Fig. 2. Figure 3a sketches the experimental setup, detailed in Methods. A quasi-one-dimensional box-shaped beam propagates in a photorefractive crystal, and the optical intensity distribution is detected at different times. The observation for $W_0 = 140 \mu m$ is reported in Fig. 3. We observe an initial DSW phase that undergoes into a train of large amplitude waves. In this regime, we identify breather-like structure (ABs, inset in Fig. 3b) that evolves into a SG at large propagation time. The DSW phase is investigated varying the input power. We find a linear increasing behavior of the shock velocity when increasing the power (Fig. 3b), as predicted by Eq. 6.

Figure 4 illustrates the numerically determined dynamics at smaller values of the beam waist ($W_0 = 40 \mu m$), a regime in which the generation of single PSs is evident. The intensity profile is reported in Fig. 4a. As shown in Fig. 4, one needs to carefully choose $W_0$ for observing a specific transition. In particular, we are interested in the PS/SG transition, which has never been observed before. For $W_0 = 40 \mu m$, the super-Gaussian wave (Fig. 4b) generates a PS (Fig. 4c), formed in a few seconds (Fig. 4d). The following dynamics shows the SG generation (Figs. 4e-g): the PS is alternately destroyed and reformed, and ABs occur on the beam lateral sides.

Figure 5 reports the experimental results for the cases $W_0 = 50 \mu m$ (Fig. 5a) and $W_0 = 30 \mu m$ (Figs. 5b,c). Fig. 5a presents the observation of the controlled transition from focusing DSWs to Peregrine-like solitons. For a smaller initial waist (Fig. 5b), a localized wave, well described by the PS (Figs. 5c-e), forms and recurs without a visible wave breaking. This dynamics is in close agreement with simulations in Figs. 5f-g, where the PS is repeatedly destroyed and generated.

In conclusion, the topological classification by the genus of the Riemann theta function opens a new route to experimentally control the generation of extreme waves. We demonstrated the topological control for the focusing box problem in optical propagation in photorefractive media. By using the time-dependent photorefractive nonlinearity, we were able to change the final state of the wave evolution in a predetermined way and explore all the possible dynamic phases. This enables the first observation of the transitions from shock to rogue waves. This also demonstrates that different extreme wave phenomena are deeply linked and that proper tuning of their topological content in the nonlinear evolution enables transformations from one state to another.

These results are general, and not limited to the photorefractive media. Further developments in the use of topological concepts in nonlinear physics can allow innovative applications for engineering strongly nonlinear phenomena, as in spatial beam shaping for microscopy, medicine and spectroscopy, and coherent supercontinuum light sources for telecommunication.

I. METHODS

A. Photorefractive Media

Starting from Maxwell’s equations in a medium with a third-order-nonlinear polarization, in paraxial and slowly varying envelope approximations, one can derive the propagation equation of the complex optical field envelope $A(x, y, z)$:

$$i\partial_z A + \frac{1}{2k} \nabla^2 A + \frac{k}{n_0} \delta n(I) A = 0,$$

with $z$ the longitudinal coordinate, $x, y$ the transverse coordinates and $n = n_0 + \delta n(I)$ the refractive index, weakly depending on the intensity $I = |A|^2$ ($\delta n(I) << n_0$).

Eq. 7 is the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLSE) and rules laser beam propagation in centrosymmetric Kerr media. For PR, the refractive index perturbation depends also parametrically on time, i.e., $\delta n = \delta n(I, t)$. In fact, the amplitude of the nonlinear self-interaction increases, on average, with the exposure time up to a saturation value, on a slow timescale, typically seconds for peak intensities of a few $kW/cm^2$.

In our centrosymmetric photorefractive crystal, at first approximation $\delta n = \frac{-\delta n_0}{1 + 2I} f(t)$, with $f(t)$ the response function. $\delta n_0$ includes the electro-optic effect coefficient $\beta$. For weak intensities $I << I_S$, we obtain a Kerr-like regime with $\delta n = 2\delta n_0 \frac{f(t)}{I}$, apart from a constant term. We consider the case $\partial_n A \sim 0$ (strong beam anisotropy), thus we look for solutions of the $(1 + 1)$-dimensional NLSE for the envelope $A \sim A(x, z)$:

$$i\partial_z A + \frac{1}{2k} \partial^2_x A + 2\rho(t)|A|^2 A = 0,$$

with $\rho(t) = \frac{2\pi\delta n_0}{\lambda I_S} f(t)$ and the field envelope initial profile

$$A(x, 0) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{I_0} & \text{for } |x| \leq \frac{1}{2}W_0 \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}. $$

One obtains Eq. 8 from Eq. 1 through the transformation [3]. We stress that, in this case, the dispersion parameter depends on time, as follows from Eq. 4.
B. Numerical Simulations

We solve numerically Eq. (1) by a one-parameter-depending beam propagation method (BPM) with a symmetrized split-step in the code core [40]. We use a high-order super-Gaussian initial condition

$$\psi(\xi, \zeta = 0) = q \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\xi}{D} \right)^{24} \right\}. \quad (10)$$

For each temporal value, Eq. (1) solutions have different dispersion parameter $\epsilon$ and final value of $\zeta$, because from Eqs. (3) it reads $\zeta_{fin} = \frac{4L}{(\xi)kW \sigma}$, where $L$ is the crystal length. In Figs. 2, 4, we show the numerical results. The propagation in time considers $\psi(\xi, \zeta_{fin})$, which corresponds to detections at end of the crystal.

C. Experimental Set-up

A $y$-polarized optical beam at wavelength $\lambda = 532\, \text{nm}$ from a continuous $80 - mW$ NdYAG laser source is focused by a cylindrical lens down to a quasi-one-dimensional beam with waist $U_0 = 15\, \mu m$ along the $y$-direction. The initial box shape is obtained by a mask of tunable width, placed in proximity of the input face of the photorefractive crystal. A sketch of the optical system is shown in Fig. 2. The beam is launched into an optical quality specimen of $2.1^{(x)} \times 1.9^{(y)} \times 2.5^{(z)} \, \text{mm}$.

II. DATA AVAILABILITY

All data are available in this submission.
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In the present case, evolution is studied at a fixed value of $z$ (the crystal output) by varying the exposure time $t$. In fact, the average index change grows and saturates according to a time dependence well defined by the saturation time $\tau \sim 100s$ once the input beam intensity, applied voltage, and temperature have been fixed.
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FIG. 1. Topological classification of extreme waves. a Final states of the wave for a fixed initial waist $W_0 = 100\mu m$ showing the generation of focusing dispersive shock waves ($g = 1$), rogue waves ($g = 2$) and a soliton gas ($g > 2$) after different time intervals in a photorefractive material (see text). b Phase diagram reporting the final states in terms of the parameter $\epsilon$ and the initial beam waist. Transitions occur by fixing waist and varying $\epsilon$ or, equivalently, the observation time $t$. Different surfaces displayed in proximity of the various wave profiles, corresponding to the different regions in the phase diagram, outline the link between the topological classification of extreme waves in terms of the genus $g$ and the topological classification of toroidal Riemann surfaces (for a sphere, $g = 0$, for a torus, $g = 1$, etc.).
FIG. 2. Controlling the extreme wave genus. **a** Numerical simulation of the control of the final state after a propagation distance \( L = 2.5 \text{mm} \) for an initial beam waist \( W_0 = 140 \mu \text{m} \) \( (I_0 = \frac{P}{\pi W_0^2} = 0.38 \text{W/m}^2) \). Axis \( x \) represents the beam transverse direction, axis \( t \) the time of output detection.  

**b** Initial beam intensity: a super-Gaussian wave centered at \( x = 150 \mu \text{m} \) of height \( I_0 \) and width \( W_0 \).

**c-d** Focusing dispersive shock waves occurrence: (c) represents the beam intensity at \( t = 5 \text{s} \), when the wave breaking has just occurred, so two lateral intense wave trains regularize the box discontinuity and start to travel towards the beam central part; (d) the beam intensity at \( t = 11 \text{s} \), which exhibits the two counterpropagating DSWs reaching the center \( x = 150 \mu \text{m} \).

**e-g** Akhmediev breathers and Peregrine solitons generation: beam intensity at (e) \( t = 49 \text{s} \), (f) \( t = 98 \text{s} \), and (g) \( t = 120 \text{s} \), after the two dispersive shock waves superposition and the formation of Akhmediev breathers with period increasing with \( t \). Since a Peregrine soliton is an Akhmediev breather with an infinite period, increasing \( t \) is tantamount to generating central intensity peaks, locally described by Peregrine solitons.
FIG. 3. **Experimental demonstration of the extreme wave genus control.**

**a** Experimental setup. A CW laser is made a quasi-one-dimensional wave by a cylindrical lens (CL), then a tunable mask shapes it as a box. Light propagates in a pumped photorefractive KLTN crystal, it is collected by a microscope objective and the optical intensity is detected by a CCD camera. The inset shows an example of the detected input intensity distribution (scale bar is 50µm).

**b** Normalized shock velocity, measured through the width of the oscillation tail at fixed time, versus input power. The blue squares are the experimental data, while the dashed pink line is the linear fit.

**c** Experimental observation of optical intensity $I/I_0$ for an initial beam waist $W_0 = 140\mu m$. Axis $x$ represents the beam profile, transverse to propagation, collected by the CCD camera, while axis $t$ is time of CCD camera detection. Output presents a first dispersive-shock-wave phase, a transition to a phase presenting Akhmediev breather structures and, at long times, a generation of a soliton gas. The inset is an exemplary wave intensity profile detected at $t = 63s$ (dotted blue line), along with the theoretical Akhmediev breather profile.
FIG. 4. Simulation of the topological control for a small waist. a Numerical simulation of the control of the final state after a propagation distance $L = 2.5\, \text{mm}$ for an initial beam waist $W_0 = 40 \, \mu\text{m}$ ($I_0 = \frac{P_0}{W_0^2} = 1.33 \text{W/m}^2$). Axis $t$ expresses time of detection, while $x$ is the beam transverse coordinate. b Initial beam intensity: a super-Gaussian wave centered at $x = 150\, \mu\text{m}$. c-d Peregrine soliton generation: (c) represents the beam intensity at $t = 5\, s$, before the formation of the Peregrine soliton, (d) the beam intensity at $t = 15\, s$, which exhibits the Peregrine soliton profile. e-g Soliton gas generation: beam intensity at (e) $t = 27\, s$, (f) $t = 42\, s$, and (g) $t = 51\, s$, where the Peregrine soliton is alternately destroyed and reformed, and Akhmediev breathers occur on the beam lateral sides.
FIG. 5. **Experimental topological control for a small waist.** a-b Experimental observation of optical intensity $I/I_0$ for initial beam waists (a) $W_0 = 50\mu m$ and (b) $W_0 = 30\mu m$. Axis $t$ is time of output detection, whereas $x$ represents the direction transverse to propagation. In (a) the transition from focusing dispersive shock waves to Peregrine-soliton-like structures is shown, while in (b) we cannot appreciate the wave breaking and only observe Peregrine soliton formation and recurrence. c-e Intensity outlines corresponding to numbered dashed line in (b), where the Peregrine soliton is alternately destroyed and reformed. The dotted blue lines represent the experimental waveforms, while the pink continuous line is a fitting function according to the analytical Peregrine soliton profile.