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Abstract. Let $G$ be a simple algebraic group of adjoint type over $\mathbb{C}$, whose root system is of type $F_4$. Let $T$ be a maximal torus of $G$ and $B$ be a Borel subgroup of $G$ containing $T$. Let $w$ be an element of Weyl group $W$ and $X(w)$ be the Schubert variety in the flag variety $G/B$ corresponding to $w$. Let $Z(w, \underline{i})$ be the Bott-Samelson-Demazure-Hansen variety (the desingularization of $X(w)$) corresponding to a reduced expression $\underline{i}$ of $w$.

In this article, we study the cohomology modules of the tangent bundle on $Z(w_0, \underline{i})$, where $w_0$ is the longest element of the Weyl group $W$. We describe all the reduced expressions of $w_0$ in terms of a Coxeter element such that $Z(w_0, \underline{i})$ is rigid (see Theorem 7.1). Further, if $G$ is of type $G_2$, there is no reduced expression $\underline{i}$ of $w_0$ for which $Z(w_0, \underline{i})$ is rigid (see Theorem 8.2).

1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a simple algebraic group of adjoint type over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers. We fix a maximal torus $T$ of $G$ and let $W = N_G(T)/T$ denote the Weyl group of $G$ with respect to $T$. We denote by $R$ the set of roots of $G$ with respect to $T$ and by $R^+ \subset R$ a set of positive roots. Let $B^+$ be the Borel subgroup of $G$ containing $T$ with respect to $R^+$. Let $w_0$ denote the longest element of the Weyl group $W$. Let $B$ be the Borel subgroup of $G$ opposite to $B^+$ determined by $T$, i.e. $B = n_{w_0}B^+n_{w_0}^{-1}$, where $n_{w_0}$ is a representative of $w_0$ in $N_G(T)$. Note that the roots of $B$ is the set $R^- := -R^+$ of negative roots. We use the notation $\beta < 0$ for $\beta \in R^-$. Let $S = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$ denote the set of all simple roots in $R^+$, where $n$ is the rank of $G$. For simplicity of notation, the simple reflection $s_\alpha$, corresponding to a simple root $\alpha_i$ is denoted by $s_i$. For $w \in W$, let $X(w) := \overline{BwB/B}$ denote the Schubert variety in $G/B$ corresponding to $w$. Given a reduced expression $w = s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_r}$ of $w$, with the corresponding tuple $\underline{i} := (i_1, \ldots, i_r)$, we denote by $Z(w, \underline{i})$ the desingularization of the Schubert variety $X(w)$, which is now known as Bott-Samelson-Demazure-Hansen variety. This was first introduced by Bott and Samelson in a differential geometric and topological context (see [2]). Demazure in [6] and Hansen in [8] independently adapted the construction in algebro-geometric situation, which explains the reason for the name. For the sake of simplicity, we will denote any Bott-Samelson-Demazure-Hansen variety by a BSDH-variety.

The construction of the BSDH-variety $Z(w, \underline{i})$ depends on the choice of the reduced expression $\underline{i}$ of $w$. In [5], the automorphism groups of these varieties were studied. There, the following vanishing results of the tangent bundle $T_{Z(w, \underline{i})}$ on $Z(w, \underline{i})$ were proved (see [5, Section 3]):
(1) \( H^j(Z(w, \hat{i}), T_{Z(w, \hat{j})}) = 0 \) for all \( j \geq 2 \).

(2) If \( G \) is simply laced, then \( H^j(Z(w, \hat{i}), T_{Z(w, \hat{j})}) = 0 \) for all \( j \geq 1 \).

As a consequence, it follows that the BSDH-varieties are rigid for simply laced groups and their deformations are unobstructed in general (see [5, Section 3]). The above vanishing result is independent of the choice of the reduced expression \( \hat{i} \) of \( w \). While computing the first cohomology module \( H^1(Z(w, \hat{i}), T_{Z(w, \hat{j})}) \) for non simply laced group, we observed that this cohomology module very much depend on the choice of a reduced expression \( \hat{i} \) of \( w \).

It is a natural question to ask that for which reduced expressions \( \hat{i} \) of \( w \), the cohomology module \( H^1(Z(w, \hat{i}), T_{Z(w, \hat{j})}) \) does vanish? In [4] a partial answer is given to this question for \( w = w_0 \) when \( G = \tilde{P}Sp(2n, \mathbb{C}) \). In [16] a partial answer is given to this question for \( w = w_0 \) when \( G = PSp(2n+1, \mathbb{C}) \). In this article, we give partial answers to this question for \( w = w_0 \) when \( G \) is of type \( F_4, G_2 \).

Recall that a Coxeter element is an element of the Weyl group having a reduced expression of the form \( s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_n} \) such that \( i_j \neq i_l \) whenever \( j \neq l \) (see [12, p.56, Section 4.4]). Note that for any Coxeter element \( c \in W \), the Weyl group corresponding to the root system of type \( F_4 \) (respectively, \( G_2 \)) there is a decreasing sequence of integers \( 4 \geq a_1 > a_2 > \ldots > a_k = 1 \) (respectively, \( 2 \geq a_1 > \ldots > a_k = 1 \)) such that \( c = \prod_{j=1}^{k} [a_j, a_{j-1} - 1] \), where \( a_0 := 5 \) (respectively, \( a_0 := 3 \)), \( [i, j] := s_is_{i+1}\cdots s_j \) for \( i \leq j \).

In this paper we prove the following theorems.

**Theorem 1.1.** Assume that \( G \) is of type \( F_4 \). Then, \( H^j(Z(w_0, \hat{i}), T_{Z(w_0, \hat{j})}) = 0 \) for all \( j \geq 1 \) if and only if \( a_1 \neq 3 \) or \( a_2 \neq 2 \).

**Theorem 1.2.** Assume that \( G \) is of type \( G_2 \). Then, \( H^1(Z(w_0, \hat{i}_r), T_{Z(w_0, \hat{j}_r)}) \neq 0 \) for \( r = 1, 2 \).

By the above results, we conclude that if \( G \) is of type \( F_4 \) (respectively, \( G_2 \)) and \( \hat{i} = (\hat{i}_1, \hat{i}_2, \hat{i}_3, \hat{i}_4, \hat{i}_5, \hat{i}_6) \) (respectively, \( \hat{i} = (\hat{i}_1, \hat{i}_2) \)) is a reduced expression of \( w_0 \) as above, then the BSDH-variety \( Z(w_0, \hat{i}) \) is rigid (respectively, non rigid).

The organization of the paper is as follows: In Section 2, we recall some preliminaries on BSDH-varieties. We deal with \( G \) which is of type \( F_4 \), in the later sections 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7. In Section 3, we prove \( H^j(w, \alpha_j) = 0 \) for \( j = 1, 2 \) and \( w \in W \). In Section 4 (respectively, Section 5) we compute the weight spaces of \( H^0 \) (respectively, \( H^1 \)) of the relative tangent bundle of BSDH-varieties associated to some elements of the Weyl group. In Section 6, we prove surjectivity results of some maps from cohomology module of tangent bundle on BSDH varieties to cohomology module of relative tangent bundle on BSDH variety. In Section 7, we prove Theorem [1.1] using the results from the previous sections. In Section 8, we prove Theorem [1.2].

2. **Preliminaries**

In this section, we set up some notation and preliminaries. We refer to [3], [9], [10], [14] for preliminaries in algebraic groups and Lie algebras.

Let \( G \) be a simple algebraic group of adjoint type over \( \mathbb{C} \) and \( T \) be a maximal torus of \( G \). Let \( W = N_G(T)/T \) denote the Weyl group of \( G \) with respect to \( T \) and we denote the set
of roots of $G$ with respect to $T$ by $R$. Let $B^+$ be a Borel subgroup of $G$ containing $T$. Let $B$ be the Borel subgroup of $G$ opposite to $B^+$ determined by $T$. That is, $B = n_0B^+n_0^{-1}$, where $n_0$ is a representative in $N_G(T)$ of the longest element $w_0$ of $W$. Let $R^+ \subset B$ be the set of positive roots of $G$ with respect to the Borel subgroup $B^+$. Note that the set of roots of $B$ is equal to the set $R^- := -R^+$ of negative roots.

Let $S = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$ denote the set of simple roots in $R^+$. For $\beta \in R^+$, we also use the notation $\beta > 0$. The simple reflection in $W$ corresponding to $\alpha_i$ is denoted by $s_i$.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of $G$. Let $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of $T$ and $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of $B$. Let $X(T)$ denote the group of all characters of $T$. We have $X(T) \otimes \mathbb{R} = \text{Hom}_\mathbb{R}(\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, the dual of the real form of $\mathfrak{h}$. The positive definite $W$-invariant form on $\text{Hom}_\mathbb{R}(\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ induced by the Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}$ is denoted by $\langle \ , \ \rangle$. We use the notation $\langle \ , \ \rangle$ to denote $\langle \mu, \alpha \rangle = \frac{2\langle \mu, \alpha \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle}$, for every $\mu \in X(T) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \in R$. We denote by $X(T)^+$ the set of dominant characters of $T$ with respect to $B^+$. Let $\rho$ denote the half sum of all positive roots of $G$ with respect to $T$ and $B^+$. For any simple root $\alpha$, we denote the fundamental weight corresponding to $\alpha$ by $\omega_\alpha$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, $h(\alpha_i) \in \mathfrak{h}$ be the fundamental coweight corresponding to $\alpha_i$. That is ; $\alpha_i(h(\alpha_j)) = \delta_{ij}$, where $\delta_{ij}$ is Kronecker delta.

For a simple root $\alpha \in S$, we denote by $n_\alpha$ a representative of $s_\alpha$ in $N_G(T)$, and $P_\alpha$ the minimal parabolic subgroup of $G$ containing $B$ and $n_\alpha$. We recall that the BSDH-variety corresponds to a reduced expression $\tilde{\iota}$ of $w = s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_r}$ defined by

$$Z(w, \tilde{\iota}) = \frac{P_{\alpha_{i_1}} \times P_{\alpha_{i_2}} \times \cdots \times P_{\alpha_{i_r}}}{B \times B \times \cdots \times B}$$

where the action of $B \times B \times \cdots \times B$ on $P_{\alpha_{i_1}} \times P_{\alpha_{i_2}} \times \cdots \times P_{\alpha_{i_r}}$ is given by $$(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r)(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_r) = (p_1 \cdot b_1, b_1^{-1} \cdot p_2 \cdot b_2, \ldots, b_{r-1}^{-1} \cdot p_r \cdot b_r), \quad p_j \in P_{\alpha_j}, \ b_j \in B \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq r, \ \text{and } \tilde{\iota} = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_r) \ (\text{see } \cite{3} \text{ Definition 1, p.73, } \cite{3} \text{ Definition 2.2.1, p.64}).$$

We note that for each reduced expression $\tilde{\iota}$ of $w$, $Z(w, \tilde{\iota})$ is a smooth projective variety. We denote by $\phi_w$, the natural birational surjective morphism from $Z(w, \tilde{\iota})$ to $X(w)$.

Let $f_r : Z(w, \tilde{\iota}) \rightarrow Z(ws_{i_r}, \tilde{\iota}')$ denote the map induced by the projection $P_{\alpha_{i_1}} \times P_{\alpha_{i_2}} \times \cdots \times P_{\alpha_{i_r}} \rightarrow P_{\alpha_{i_1}} \times P_{\alpha_{i_2}} \times \cdots \times P_{\alpha_{i_r-1}}$, where $\tilde{\iota}' = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{r-1})$. Then we observe that $f_r$ is a $P_{\alpha_r}/B \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$-fibration.

For a $B$-module $V$, let $\mathcal{L}(w, V)$ denote the restriction of the associated homogeneous vector bundle on $G/B$ to $X(w)$. By abuse of notation, we denote the pull back of $\mathcal{L}(w, V)$ via $\phi_w$ to $Z(w, \tilde{\iota})$ also by $\mathcal{L}(w, V)$, when there is no confusion. Since for any $B$-module $V$ the vector bundle $\mathcal{L}(w, V)$ on $Z(w, \tilde{\iota})$ is the pull back of the homogeneous vector bundle from $X(w)$, we conclude that the cohomology modules

$$H^j(Z(w, \tilde{\iota}), \mathcal{L}(w, V)) \simeq H^j(X(w), \mathcal{L}(w, V))$$

for all $j \geq 0$ (see $\cite{3}$ Theorem 3.3.4(b)), are independent of choice of reduced expression $\tilde{\iota}$. Hence we denote $H^j(Z(w, \tilde{\iota}), \mathcal{L}(w, V))$ by $H^j(w, V)$. In particular, if $\lambda$ is character of $B$, then we denote the cohomology modules $H^j(Z(w, \tilde{\iota}), \mathcal{L}_\lambda)$ by $H^j(w, \lambda)$.

We recall the following short exact sequence of $B$-modules from $\cite{3}$, we call it $SES$.

If $l(w) = l(s, w) + 1$, $\gamma \in S$, then we have
(1) \( H^0(w, V) \simeq H^0(s_\gamma, H^0(s_\gamma w, V)) \).

(2) \( 0 \to H^1(s_\gamma, H^0(s_\gamma w, V)) \to H^1(w, V) \to H^0(s_\gamma, H^1(s_\gamma w, V)) \to 0 \).

Let \( \alpha \) be a simple root and \( \lambda \in X(T) \) be such that \( \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \geq 0 \). Let \( C_\lambda \) denote one dimensional \( B \)-module associated to \( \lambda \). Here, we recall the following result due to Demazure [7, p.271] on short exact sequence of \( B \)-modules:

Lemma 2.1. Let \( \alpha \) be a simple root and \( \lambda \in X(T) \) be such that \( \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \geq 0 \). Let \( ev : H^0(s_\alpha, \lambda) \to C_\lambda \) be the evaluation map. Then we have

1. If \( \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle = 0 \), then \( H^0(s_\alpha, \lambda) \simeq C_\lambda \).
2. If \( \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \geq 1 \), then \( C_{s_\alpha(\lambda)} \hookrightarrow H^0(s_\alpha, \lambda) \), and there is a short exact sequence of \( B \)-modules:

\[
0 \to H^0(s_\alpha, \lambda - \alpha) \to H^0(s_\alpha, \lambda)/C_{s_\alpha(\lambda)} \to C_\lambda \to 0.
\]

Further more, \( H^0(s_\alpha, \lambda - \alpha) = 0 \) when \( \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle = 1 \).

3. Let \( n = \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \). As a \( B \)-module, \( H^0(s_\alpha, \lambda) \) has a composition series

\[
0 \subseteq V_n \subseteq V_{n-1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq V_0 = H^0(s_\alpha, \lambda)
\]

such that \( V_i/V_{i+1} \simeq C_{\lambda-ia} \) for \( i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1 \) and \( V_n = C_{s_\alpha(\lambda)} \).

We define the dot action by \( w \cdot \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho \), where \( \rho \) is the half sum of positive roots. As a consequence of exact sequences of Lemma 2.1 we can prove the following.

Let \( w \in W \), \( \alpha \) be a simple root, and set \( v = ws_\alpha \).

Lemma 2.2. If \( l(w) = l(v) + 1 \), then we have

1. If \( \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \geq 0 \), then \( H^j(w, \lambda) = H^j(v, H^0(s_\alpha, \lambda)) \) for all \( j \geq 0 \).
2. If \( \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \geq 0 \), then \( H^j(w, \lambda) = H^{j+1}(w, s_\alpha \cdot \lambda) \) for all \( j \geq 0 \).
3. If \( \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \leq -2 \), then \( H^{j+1}(w, \lambda) = H^j(w, s_\alpha \cdot \lambda) \) for all \( j \geq 0 \).
4. If \( \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle = -1 \), then \( H^j(w, \lambda) \) vanishes for every \( j \geq 0 \).

The following consequence of Lemma 2.2 will be used to compute the cohomology modules in this paper. Now onwards we will denote the Levi subgroup of \( P_\alpha (\alpha \in S) \) containing \( T \) by \( L_\alpha \) and the subgroup \( L_\alpha \cap B \) by \( B_\alpha \). Let \( \pi : \tilde{G} \to G \) be the universal cover. Let \( \tilde{L}_\alpha \) (respectively, \( \tilde{B}_\alpha \)) be the inverse image of \( L_\alpha \) (respectively, \( B_\alpha \)).

Lemma 2.3. Let \( V \) be an irreducible \( L_\alpha \)-module. Let \( \lambda \) be a character of \( B_\alpha \). Then we have

1. As \( L_\alpha \)-modules, \( H^j(L_\alpha/B_\alpha, V \otimes C_\lambda) \simeq V \otimes H^j(L_\alpha/B_\alpha, C_\lambda) \).
2. If \( \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \geq 0 \), then \( H^0(L_\alpha/B_\alpha, V \otimes C_\lambda) \) is isomorphic as an \( L_\alpha \)-module to the tensor product of \( V \) and \( H^0(L_\alpha/B_\alpha, C_\lambda) \). Further, we have \( H^j(L_\alpha/B_\alpha, V \otimes C_\lambda) = 0 \) for every \( j \geq 1 \).
3. If \( \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \leq -2 \), then \( H^0(L_\alpha/B_\alpha, V \otimes C_\lambda) = 0 \), and \( H^j(L_\alpha/B_\alpha, V \otimes C_\lambda) \) is isomorphic to the tensor product of \( V \) and \( H^0(L_\alpha/B_\alpha, C_{s_\alpha \lambda}) \).
4. If \( \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle = -1 \), then \( H^j(L_\alpha/B_\alpha, V \otimes C_\lambda) = 0 \) for every \( j \geq 0 \).
Proof. Proof (1): By [14] Proposition 4.8, p.53, [I] and [14] Proposition 5.12, p.77, [I], for all \( j \geq 0 \), we have the following isomorphism of \( \tilde{L}_\alpha \)-modules:

\[
H^j(L_\alpha/B_\alpha, V \otimes \mathbb{C}_\lambda) \simeq V \otimes H^j(L_\alpha/B_\alpha, \mathbb{C}_\lambda).
\]

Proof of (2), (3) and (4) follows from Lemma 2.2 by taking \( w = s_\alpha \) and the fact that \( L_\alpha/B_\alpha \simeq P_\alpha/B \).

Recall the structure of indecomposable \( B_\alpha \)-modules and \( \tilde{B}_\alpha \)-modules (see [11] Corollary 9.1, p.130).

**Lemma 2.4.** (1) Any finite dimensional indecomposable \( \tilde{B}_\alpha \)-module \( V \) is isomorphic to \( V' \otimes \mathbb{C}_\lambda \) for some irreducible representation \( V' \) of \( \tilde{L}_\alpha \) and for some character \( \lambda \) of \( B_\alpha \).

(2) Any finite dimensional indecomposable \( B_\alpha \)-module \( V \) is isomorphic to \( V' \otimes \mathbb{C}_\lambda \) for some irreducible representation \( V' \) of \( \tilde{L}_\alpha \) and for some character \( \lambda \) of \( \tilde{B}_\alpha \).

3. Reduced Expressions

Now onwards we will assume that \( G \) is of type \( F_4 \). Note that longest element \( w_0 \) of the Weyl group \( W \) of \( G \) is equal to \(-identity\). We recall the following Proposition from [17] Proposition 1.3, p.858. We use the notation as in [17].

**Proposition 3.1.** Let \( c \in W \) be a Coxeter element, let \( \omega_i \) be the fundamental weight corresponding to the simple root \( \alpha_i \). Then there exists a least positive integer \( h(i, c) \) such that \( e^{h(i, c)}(\omega_i) = w_0(\omega_i) \).

Now we can deduce the following:

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \( c \in W \) be a Coxeter element. Then, we have

(1) \( w_0 = e^0 \).

(2) For any sequence \( i = (i^1, i^2, \cdots, i^6) \) of reduced expressions of \( c \); the sequence \( \tilde{i} = (\tilde{i}^1, \tilde{i}^2, \cdots, \tilde{i}^6) \) is a reduced expression of \( w_0 \).

Proof. Proof of (1): Let \( \eta : S \to S \) be the involution of \( S \) defined by \( i \to i^* \), where \( i^* \) is given by \( \omega_i^* = -w_0(\omega_i) \). Since \( G \) is of type \( F_4 \), \( w_0 = -identity \), and hence \( \omega_i^* = \omega_i \) for every \( i \). Therefore, we have \( i = i^* \) for every \( i \). Let \( h \) be the Coxeter number. By [17] Proposition 1.7], we have \( h(i, c) + h(i^*, c) = h \). Since \( h = 2|R^+|/4 \) (see [11] Proposition 3.18]) and \( i = i^* \), we have \( h(i, c) = h/2 = 6 \), as \( |R^+| = 24 \). By Proposition 3.1, we have \( e^h(\omega_i) = -\omega_i \) for all \( 1 \leq i \leq 4 \). Since \( \{\omega_i : 1 \leq i \leq 4 \} \) forms an \( \mathbb{R} \)-basis of \( X(T) \otimes \mathbb{R} \), it follows that \( e^0 = -identity \). Hence, we have \( w_0 = e^0 \). The assertion (2) follows from the fact that \( l(c) = 4 \) and \( l(w_0) = |R^+| = 24 \). (see [9] p.66, Table 1). \( \square \)

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \( v \in W \) and \( \alpha \in S \). Then \( H^1(s_j, H^0(v, \alpha)) = 0 \) for \( j = 1, 2 \).

Proof. If \( H^1(s_j, H^0(v, \alpha)) \neq 0 \), then there exists an indecomposable \( \tilde{L}_{\alpha_j} \)-summand \( V \) of \( H^0(v, \alpha) \) such that \( H^1(s_j, V) \neq 0 \). By Lemma 2.4, we have \( V \simeq V' \otimes \mathbb{C}_\lambda \) for some character
λ of $\tilde{B}_{\alpha_j}$ and for some irreducible $\tilde{L}_{\alpha_j}$-module $V$. Since $H^1(s_j, V)_\mu \neq 0$, from Lemma 2.3(3) we have $\langle \lambda, \alpha_j \rangle \leq -2$. If $\alpha$ is a short root, then $H^1(w, \alpha) = 0$ for all $w \in W$ (see Corollary 5.6, p.778). Hence we may assume that $\alpha$ is a long root. Then there exists $w \in W$ such that $w(\alpha) = \alpha_0$. Thus $H^0(v, \alpha) \subseteq H^0(vw, \alpha_0)$. Again, since $\alpha_0$ is highest long root, $H^0(w_0, \alpha_0) = g \rightarrow H^0(\mu', \alpha_0)$ is surjective. Let $\mu'$ be the lowest weight of $V$. Then by the above argument $\mu'$ is a root. Therefore we have $\mu' = \mu_1 + \lambda$, where $\mu_1$ is the lowest weight of $V'$. Hence, we have $\langle \mu', \alpha_j \rangle \leq -2$. Since $\alpha_j$ is a long root and $\mu'$ is a root, we have $\langle \mu', \alpha_j \rangle = -1, 0, 1$. This is a contradiction. Thus we have $H^1(s_j, H^0(v, \alpha))_\mu = 0$. \qed

4. COHOMOLOGY MODULES $H^0(w, \alpha_i)$

Let $w_r = (s_1s_2s_3s_4)s_1s_2$ for $1 \leq r \leq 5$. In this section we compute various cohomology modules $H^0(w, \alpha_i)$ for some elements $w \in W$ and $i = 2, 3$.

Lemma 4.1.

(1) $H^0(w_3, \alpha_2) = 0$.
(2) $H^0(w_r, \alpha_2) = 0$ for $r = 4, 5$.

Proof. We have $w_3 = [1, 4]^312$. By using SES we have

$$H^0(s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}h(\alpha_2) \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-\alpha_2} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}.$$ 

Since $\langle \alpha_2, \alpha_4 \rangle = 0$, by using SES we have

$$H^0(s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = H^0(s_1s_2, \alpha_2).$$

Since $\langle -\alpha_2, \alpha_3 \rangle = 2$ and $\langle -(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2), \alpha_3 \rangle = 2$, then by using SES we have

$$H^0(s_3s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}h(\alpha_2) \oplus (\mathbb{C}_{-\alpha_2} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)}) \oplus (\mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+3\alpha_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)}).$$

Since $\mathbb{C}h(\alpha_2) \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-\alpha_2}$ is indecomposable two dimensional $\tilde{B}_{\alpha_2}$-module, by Lemma 2.4 we have $\mathbb{C}h(\alpha_2) \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-\alpha_2} = V \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_2}$, where $V$ is the standard two dimensional irreducible $\tilde{L}_{\alpha_2}$-module.

Thus by Lemma 2.3(4), we have $H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_2}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_2}, \mathbb{C}h(\alpha_2) \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-\alpha_2}) = 0$.

Since $\langle -(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3), \alpha_2 \rangle = -1$, $\langle -(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2), \alpha_2 \rangle = -1$, by Lemma 2.2(4) we have $H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_2}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_2}, \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)}) = 0$ and $H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_2}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_2}, \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}) = 0$.

Since $\langle -(\alpha_2+2\alpha_3), \alpha_2 \rangle = 0$, $\langle -(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+3\alpha_3), \alpha_2 \rangle = 0$, by Lemma 2.3(2) we have $H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_2}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_2}, \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)}) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)}$

and

$$H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_2}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_2}, \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+3\alpha_3)}) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+3\alpha_3)}.$$ 

Since $\langle -(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3), \alpha_2 \rangle = 1$, we have

$$H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_2}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_2}, \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)}) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)}.$$ 

Thus we have

$$H^0(s_2s_3s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+3\alpha_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)}.$$ (4.1.2)
RIGIDITY OF BOTT-SAMELSON-DEMAZURE-HANSEN VARIETY FOR $F_4$ AND $G_2$

Since $\langle -(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3), \alpha_1 \rangle = -1$, $\langle -(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3), \alpha_1 \rangle = -1$, by using Lemma 2.3(4) we have
\[ H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_1}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_1}, \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3)}) = 0, \]
and
\[ H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_2}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_1}, \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)}) = 0. \]

Since $\langle -(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3), \alpha_1 \rangle = 0$, by using Lemma 2.3(2) we have
\[ H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_1}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_1}, \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)}) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)}. \]

Since $\langle -(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3), \alpha_1 \rangle = 1$, by using Lemma 2.3(2) we have
\[ H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_1}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_1}, \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)}) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)}. \]

Therefore we have
\[ H^0(w_1, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)}. \]

By using SES we have
\[ H^0(w_3, \alpha_2) = H^0([1, 4]^2, H^0(w_1, \alpha_2)). \]

Note that the computations of the module $H^0([1, 4]^2, H^0(w_1, \alpha_2))$ is independent of the choice of a reduced expression of $[1, 4]^2$. We consider the reduced expression $s_2s_1s_2s_3s_2s_3s_4s_3$, of $[1, 4]^2$ to compute $H^0([1, 4]^2, H^0(w_1, \alpha_2))$.

Since $\langle -(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3), \alpha_3 \rangle = -2$, $\langle -(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3), \alpha_3 \rangle = -2$, by using Lemma 2.3(3) we have
\[ H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_3}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_3}, \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)}) = 0 \]
and
\[ H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_3}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_3}, \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)}) = 0. \]

Since $\langle -(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3), \alpha_3 \rangle = 0$, by using Lemma 2.3(2) we have
\[ H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_3}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_3}, \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)}) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)}. \]

Thus from the above discussion we have
\[ H^0(s_3w_1, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)}. \]

Since $\langle -(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3), \alpha_4 \rangle = 2$, by using SES and Lemma 2.3(2) we have
\[ H^0(s_4s_3w_1, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}. \]

Since $\langle -(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3), \alpha_3 \rangle = 0$, $\langle -(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4), \alpha_3 \rangle = 1$, $\langle -(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4), \alpha_3 \rangle = 2$, by using Lemma 2.3(2) we have
\[ H^0(s_3s_4s_3w_1, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+3\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+3\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+3\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+4\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}. \]
Since \((-\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3, \alpha_2) = -1, \langle -\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4, \alpha_2 \rangle = -1, \langle -\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4, \alpha_2 \rangle = -1, \langle -\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + \alpha_4, \alpha_2 \rangle = 0, \langle -\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4, \alpha_2 \rangle = 0, \langle -\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4, \alpha_2 \rangle = 1, \rangle\) by using Lemma 2.3(2), Lemma 2.3(4) we have
\[
H^0(s_2s_3s_4^3w_1, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)}.
\]

Since \(\mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)}\) is two dimensional indecomposable \(\tilde{B}_{\alpha_3}\)-module, thus by Lemma 2.3(4) we have
\[
\mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} = V \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_3}, \text{ where } V \text{ is the standard two dimensional irreducible } \tilde{L}_{\alpha_3}\text{-module}.
\]

Thus by Lemma 2.3(4) we have
\[
H^0(L_{\alpha_3}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_3}, \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)}) = 0.
\]

Thus by using SES and Lemma 2.3(2) we have \(H^0(s_3s_3s_4s_3w_1, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)}\).

Again by using SES and Lemma 2.3(2) we have \(H^0(w_3, \alpha_2) = H^0([1, 4]^2, H^0(w_1, \alpha_2)) = 0.\)

\[\text{Proof of (2) follows from (1).}\]

Recall that \(\omega_4 = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4.\) Now onwards we replace \(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4\) by \(\omega_4.\)

**Lemma 4.2.**

1. \(H^0(w_2s_3, \alpha_3) = \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_4 + \alpha_4}.\)
2. \(H^0(w_3s_3, \alpha_3) = \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_4}.\)

**Proof.** Proof of (1): Using SES we have \(H^0(s_3, \alpha_3) = \mathbb{C}_{-\alpha_3} \oplus Ch(\alpha_3) \oplus \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_3}.\) Since \(\langle \alpha_3, \alpha_2 \rangle = -1, \) by using SES and Lemma 2.3 we have
\[
H^0(s_2s_3, \alpha_3) = Ch(\alpha_3) \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-\alpha_3} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_3 + \alpha_2)}.
\]

Further, since \(\langle \alpha_3, \alpha_1 \rangle = 0 \) and \(\langle -\alpha_3 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 \rangle = 1, \) by using SES and Lemma 2.3 we have
\[
H^0(s_1s_2s_3, \alpha_3) = Ch(\alpha_3) \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-\alpha_3} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_3 + \alpha_2)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_3 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)}.
\]

Note that the computations of the module \(H^0([1, 4]^2, H^0(s_1s_2s_3, \alpha_3))\) is independent of the choice of a reduced expression of \([1, 4]^2.\) We consider the reduced expression \(s_1s_2s_1s_3s_2s_3s_4s_3\) of \([1, 4]^2\) to compute \(H^0([1, 4]^2, H^0(s_1s_2s_3, \alpha_3)).\)

Since \(Ch(\alpha_3) \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-\alpha_3}\) is two dimensional \(B_{\alpha_3}\)-module, by Lemma 2.4(1) we have
\[
Ch(\alpha_3) \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-\alpha_3} = V \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_3}
\]

where \(V\) is the standard two dimensional irreducible \(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_3}\text{-module}.

Thus by using Lemma 2.3(4) we have
\[
H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_3}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_3}, Ch(\alpha_3) \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-\alpha_3}) = 0.
\]
Since $\langle - (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3), \alpha_3 \rangle = 0$, $\langle - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3), \alpha_3 \rangle = 0$, by Lemma 2.3(2) we have
\[
H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_3}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_3}, \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)}) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)}
\]
and
\[
H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_3}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_3}, \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)}) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)}.
\]
Thus from the above discussion we have
\[
H^0(s_3 s_1 s_2 s_3, \alpha_3) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)}.
\]
Since $\langle - (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3), \alpha_4 \rangle = 1$, $\langle - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3), \alpha_4 \rangle = 1$, by using Lemma 2.3(2) we have
\[
H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_4}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_4}, \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)}) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)}
\]
and
\[
H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_4}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_4}, \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)}) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)}.
\]
Thus from the above discussion we have
\[
H^0(s_4 s_3 s_1 s_2 s_3, \alpha_3) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)}.
\]
Since $\langle - (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3), \alpha_3 \rangle = 0$, and $\langle - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3), \alpha_3 \rangle = 0$, by using Lemma 2.3(2) we have
\[
H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_3}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_3}, \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)}) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)}
\]
and
\[
H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_3}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_3}, \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)}) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)}.
\]
Thus from the above discussion we have
\[
H^0(s_3 s_4 s_3 s_1 s_2 s_3, \alpha_3) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)}.
\]
Since $\langle - (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3), \alpha_2 \rangle = -1$, $\langle - (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4), \alpha_2 \rangle = -1$, by using Lemma 2.3(4) we have
\[
H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_2}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_2}, \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)}) = 0
\]
and
\[
H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_2}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_2}, \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)}) = 0.
\]
Since $\langle - (\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4), \alpha_2 \rangle = 0$, $\langle - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3), \alpha_2 \rangle = 0$, $\langle - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4), \alpha_2 \rangle = 0$, and $\langle - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4), \alpha_2 \rangle = 1$, by using Lemma 2.3(2) we have
2.3(2), Lemma 2.3(4) we have
\[ \langle - \rangle \]
Since
\[ \langle - \rangle \]
Therefore we have
\[ C_{-(\omega_1 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)} \]
Since \( C_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)} \) is the standard two dimensional irreducible \( L_{\alpha_3} \)-module, \( \langle - \rangle \)
Thus we have
\[ H^0(s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 s_5 s_1 s_2 s_3, \alpha_3) = C_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)} \]
Since \( \langle - \rangle \)
\[ H^0(s_2 s_3 s_4 s_5 s_1 s_2 s_3, \alpha_3) = C_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)} \]
By using similar arguments as above and using Lemma 2.3(2), Lemma 2.3(4) we have
\[ H^0(s_3 s_2 s_3 s_4 s_5 s_1 s_2 s_3, \alpha_3) = C_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)} \]
Since \( \langle - \rangle \)
\[ H^0(s_2 s_1 s_3 s_2 s_3 s_4 s_5 s_1 s_2 s_3, \alpha_3) = C_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)} \]
Since \( \langle - \rangle \)
Thus we have
\[ H^0(w_2 s_3, \alpha_3) = C_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)} = C_{-\omega_4 + \alpha_4} \]
Proof of (2): By the proof of (1) we have
\[ H^0(w_2 s_3, \alpha_3) = C_{-\omega_4 + \alpha_4} \]
Since \( \langle - \rangle \)
Therefore we have
\[ H^0(s_4 w_2 s_3, \alpha_3) = C_{-\omega_4 + \alpha_4} \oplus C_{-\omega_4} \]
Since \( \langle - \rangle \)
\[ H^0(s_4 w_2 s_3, \alpha_3) = C_{-\omega_4 + \alpha_4} \oplus C_{-\omega_4} \]
Since \( \langle - \rangle \)
Thus from above discussion, we have
\[ H^0(s_3 s_4 w_2 s_3, \alpha_3) = C_{-\omega_4} \]
Since \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \) are orthogonal to \( \omega_4 \), by Lemma 2.3(2) we have
Corollary 4.3.

(1) \( H^0(s_4 w_1 s_3, \alpha_3) = \mathbb{C}_{-a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + 2a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)} \).

(2) \( H^0(s_4 w_2 s_3, \alpha_3) = \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_4} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_4 + a_4} \).

(3) \( H^0(s_4 w r s_3, \alpha_3) = 0 \) for \( r = 3, 4, 5 \).

Proof. Proof of (1): we have

\[
H^0(s_1 s_2 s_3, \alpha_3) = \mathbb{C} Ch(\alpha_3) \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-a_3} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_2 + a_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + a_2 + a_3)}.
\]

Since \( \langle -\alpha_3, \alpha_4 \rangle = 1 \), \( \langle -(a_2 + a_3), \alpha_4 \rangle = 1 \), and \( \langle -(\alpha_1 + a_2 + a_3), \alpha_4 \rangle = 1 \), by using SES and Lemma 2.3(2) we have

\[
H^0(s_4 s_1 s_2 s_3, \alpha_3) = \mathbb{C} Ch(\alpha_3) \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-a_3} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_2 + a_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_2 + a_3 + a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + a_2 + a_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + 2a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)}.
\]

Since \( Ch(\alpha_3) \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-a_3} \) is two dimensional \( \tilde{B}_{\alpha_3} \)-module, by Lemma 2.3(1) we have

\[
Ch(\alpha_3) \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-a_3} = V \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_3}
\]

where \( V \) is the standard two dimensional \( \tilde{L}_{\alpha_3} \)-module.

Thus by using Lemma 2.3(4) we have

\[
H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_3}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_3}, Ch(\alpha_3) \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-a_3}) = 0.
\]

Since \( \langle -(a_3 + a_4), a_3 \rangle = -1 \), by Lemma 2.3(4) we have

\[
H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_3}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_3}, \mathbb{C}_{-(a_3 + a_4)}) = 0.
\]

Since \( \langle -(a_2 + a_3), a_3 \rangle = 0 \), \( \langle -(\alpha_1 + a_2 + a_3), a_3 \rangle = 0 \), by Lemma 2.3(2) we have

\[
H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_3}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_3}, \mathbb{C}_{-(a_2 + a_3)}) = \mathbb{C}_{-(a_2 + a_3)}
\]

and

\[
H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_3}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_3}, \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + a_2 + a_3)}) = \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + a_2 + a_3)}.
\]

Since \( \langle -(a_2 + a_3 + a_4), a_3 \rangle = 1 \), \( \langle -(\alpha_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4), a_3 \rangle = 1 \), by Lemma 2.3(2) we have

\[
H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_3}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_3}, \mathbb{C}_{-(a_2 + a_3 + a_4)}) = \mathbb{C}_{-(a_2 + a_3 + a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)}
\]

and

\[
H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_3}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_3}, \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)}) = \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)}.
\]

Thus combining the above discussion we have

\[
H^0(s_3 s_4 s_1 s_2 s_3, \alpha_3) = \mathbb{C}_{-(a_2 + a_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + a_2 + a_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_2 + a_3 + a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + a_2 + 3a_3 + a_4)}.
\]

Since \( \langle -(a_2 + a_3), a_2 \rangle = -1 \), \( \langle -(a_2 + a_3 + a_4), a_2 \rangle = -1 \), by Lemma 2.3(4) we have...
\[ H^0(\tilde{L}_{a_2}/\tilde{B}_{a_2}, \mathbb{C}_{-(a_2+a_3)}) = 0 \]

and

\[ H^0(\tilde{L}_{a_2}/\tilde{B}_{a_2}, \mathbb{C}_{-(a_2+a_3+a_4)}) = 0. \]

Since \(\langle -(a_1+a_2+a_3), a_2 \rangle = 0, \langle -(a_2+2a_3+a_4), a_2 \rangle = 0, \text{ and } \langle -(a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4), a_2 \rangle = 0, \) by Lemma [2.3](4) we have

\[ H^0(\tilde{L}_{a_2}/\tilde{B}_{a_2}, \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1+a_2+a_3)}) = \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1+a_2+a_3)} \]

\[ H^0(\tilde{L}_{a_2}/\tilde{B}_{a_2}, \mathbb{C}_{-(a_2+2a_3+a_4)}) = \mathbb{C}_{-(a_2+2a_3+a_4)} \]

and

\[ H^0(\tilde{L}_{a_2}/\tilde{B}_{a_2}, \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4)}) = \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4)}. \]

Since \(\langle -\alpha, a_2 \rangle = 1, \) by Lemma [2.3](2) we have

\[ H^0(\tilde{L}_{a_2}/\tilde{B}_{a_2}, \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1+a_2+2a_3+a_4)}) = \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1+a_2+2a_3+a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1+a_2+2a_3+a_4)}. \]

Thus combining the above discussion we have

\[ H^0(s_{2s_3}s_4s_1s_2s_3, \alpha_3) = \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1+a_2+a_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_2+2a_3+a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1+a_2+2a_3+a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1+a_2+2a_3+a_4)}. \]

Since \(\langle -(a_1+a_2+a_3), a_1 \rangle = -1 \) and \(\langle -(a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4), a_1 \rangle = -1, \) by Lemma [2.3](4) we have

\[ H^0(\tilde{L}_{a_1}/\tilde{B}_{a_1}, \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1+a_2+a_3)}) = 0 \]

and

\[ H^0(\tilde{L}_{a_1}/\tilde{B}_{a_1}, \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4)}) = 0. \]

Since \(\mathbb{C}_{-(a_1+a_2+2a_3+a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_2+2a_3+a_4)} \) is the standard two dimensional irreducible \( \tilde{L}_{a_1} \)-module, by using Lemma [2.3](2) we have

\[ H^0(\tilde{L}_{a_1}/\tilde{B}_{a_1}, \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1+a_2+2a_3+a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_2+2a_3+a_4)}) = \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1+a_2+2a_3+a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_2+2a_3+a_4)}. \]

Since \(\langle -(a_1+2a_2+2a_3+a_4), a_1 \rangle = 0, \) by Lemma [2.3](2) we have

\[ H^0(\tilde{L}_{a_1}/\tilde{B}_{a_1}, \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1+2a_2+2a_3+a_4)}) = \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1+2a_2+2a_3+a_4)}. \]

Thus combining the above discussion we have

\[ H^0(w_{1}s_3, \alpha_3) = \mathbb{C}_{-(a_2+2a_3+a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1+a_2+2a_3+a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1+a_2+2a_3+a_4)}. \]

Since \(\langle -(a_2+2a_3+a_4), a_1 \rangle = 0, \langle -(a_1+a_2+2a_3+a_4), a_1 \rangle = 0, \text{ and } \langle -(a_1+2a_2+2a_3+a_4), a_1 \rangle = 0, \) by Lemma [2.3](2) we have

\[ H^0(\tilde{L}_{a_1}/\tilde{B}_{a_1}, \mathbb{C}_{-(a_2+2a_3+a_4)}) = \mathbb{C}_{-(a_2+2a_3+a_4)} \]

\[ H^0(\tilde{L}_{a_1}/\tilde{B}_{a_1}, \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1+a_2+2a_3+a_4)}) = \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1+a_2+2a_3+a_4)} \]

and
Therefore we have
\[ H^0(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\alpha_1}/\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\alpha_1}, \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}. \]

Proof of (2): By Lemma 1.2(1) we have
\[ H^0(w_2s_3, \alpha_3) = \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_4+a_4}. \]

Since \((-\omega_4 + \alpha_4, \alpha_4) = 1\), by using SES and Lemma 2.3(2) we have
\[ H^0(s_4w_2s_3, \alpha_3) = \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_4+a_4} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_4}. \]

Proof of (3): By the Lemma 4.2(3) we have
\[ H^0(w_3s_3, \alpha_3) = \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_4}. \]

Since \((-\omega_4, \alpha_4) = -1\), by Lemma 2.3(4) we have
\[ H^0(s_4w_3s_3, \alpha_3) = 0. \]

By using SES repeatedly we have
\[ H^0(s_4w_rs_3, \alpha_3) = 0 \text{ for } r = 4, 5. \]

**Corollary 4.4.**

1. \[ H^0(s_3s_4s_1s_2s_3, \alpha_3) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2+a_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+a_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+a_2+2\alpha_3+a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+a_2+2\alpha_3+a_4)}. \]
2. \[ H^0(s_3s_4w_1s_3, \alpha_3) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_4+a_4}. \]
3. \[ H^0(s_3s_4w_2s_3, \alpha_3) = \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_4}. \]

**Proof.** Proof of (1): Proof follows from (4.3.1).

Proof of (2): Proof follows from the Corollary 4.3(1).

Proof of (3): Proof follows from the Corollary 4.3(2).

**Corollary 4.5.**

1. \[ H^0(s_3s_4s_1s_2s_3, \alpha_3) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+a_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+a_2+2\alpha_3+a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+a_4)}. \]
2. \[ H^0(s_3s_4w_1s_3, \alpha_3) = \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_4+a_4}. \]
3. \[ H^0(s_3s_4w_2s_3, \alpha_3) = \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_4}. \]

**Proof.** Proof of (1): Proof follows from Corollary 4.4(1).

Proof of (2): Proof follows from Corollary 4.4(2).

Proof of (3): Proof follows from Corollary 4.4(3).

**Corollary 4.6.**

1. \[ H^0(s_4s_3s_1s_2s_3, \alpha_3) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2+a_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+a_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+a_2+2\alpha_3+a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+a_4)}. \]
(2) \( H^0(s_4s_3s_4w_1s_3, \alpha_3) = C_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-\omega_4+\alpha_4} \oplus C_{-\omega_4}. \)

Proof. Proof of (1): By the Corollary 4.4(1) we have

\[
H^0(s_3s_4s_1s_2s_3, \alpha_3) = C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}.
\]

Since \( C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \) is the standard two dimensional irreducible \( \hat{L}_{\alpha_4} \)-module, by Lemma 2.3(2) we have

\[
H^0(\hat{L}_{\alpha_4}/\hat{B}_{\alpha_4}, C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}) = C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}.
\]

Also, since \( C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \) is the standard two dimensional irreducible \( \hat{L}_{\alpha_4} \)-module, by Lemma 2.3(2) we have

\[
H^0(\hat{L}_{\alpha_4}/\hat{B}_{\alpha_4}, C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}) = C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}.
\]

Since \( -(\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4), \alpha_4 = 0 \) and \( -(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4), \alpha_4 = 0 \), by Lemma 2.3(2) we have

\[
H^0(\hat{L}_{\alpha_4}/\hat{B}_{\alpha_4}, C_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}) = C_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}
\]

and

\[
H^0(\hat{L}_{\alpha_4}/\hat{B}_{\alpha_4}, C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}) = C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}.
\]

Thus combining the above discussion we have

\[
H^0(s_4s_3s_4s_1s_2s_3, \alpha_3) = C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}.
\]

Proof of (2): By Corollary 4.4(2) we have

\[
H^0(s_3s_4w_1s_3, \alpha_3) = C_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+3\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}.
\]

Since \( -(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4), \alpha_4 = 0 \), by Lemma 2.3(2) we have

\[
H^0(\hat{L}_{\alpha_4}/\hat{B}_{\alpha_4}, C_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}) = C_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}.
\]

Further, since \( -(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+3\alpha_3+\alpha_4), \alpha_4 = 1 \), by Lemma 2.3(2) we have

\[
H^0(\hat{L}_{\alpha_4}/\hat{B}_{\alpha_4}, C_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+3\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}) = C_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+3\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+3\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}.
\]

Thus combining the above discussion we have

\[
H^0(s_4s_3s_4w_1s_3, \alpha_3) = C_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-\omega_4+\alpha_4} \oplus C_{-\omega_4},
\]

since \( \omega_4 = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 \).

\[\square\]

Corollary 4.7.

(1) \( H^0(s_4s_2s_3s_4s_1s_2s_3, \alpha_3) = C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \).  

(2) \( H^0(s_4s_2s_3s_4w_1s_3, \alpha_3) = C_{-\omega_4+\alpha_4} \oplus C_{-\omega_4}. \)
Proof. Proof of (1): By Corollary 4.5(1) we have
\[ H^0(s_2 s_3 s_4 s_1 s_2 s_3, \alpha_3) = C_{-(a_1 + a_2 + a_3)} \oplus C_{-(a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)} \oplus C_{-(a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)} \oplus C_{-(a_1 + 2a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)}. \]
Since \( C_{-(a_1 + a_2 + a_3)} \oplus C_{-(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4)} \) is the standard two dimensional irreducible \( \hat{L}_{a_4} \)-module, by Lemma 2.3(2) we have
\[ H^0(\hat{L}_{a_4}/\hat{B}_{a_4}, C_{-(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4)}) = C_{-(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4)}. \]
Moreover, since \( \langle -(a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4), a_4 \rangle = 0, \langle -(a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4), a_4 \rangle = 0 \) and \( \langle -(a_1 + 2a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4), a_4 \rangle = 0 \), by Lemma 2.3(2) we have
\[ H^0(\hat{L}_{a_4}/\hat{B}_{a_4}, C_{-(a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)}) = C_{-(a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)} \]
and
\[ H^0(\hat{L}_{a_4}/\hat{B}_{a_4}, C_{-(a_1 + 2a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)}) = C_{-(a_1 + 2a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)}. \]
Thus combining the above discussion we have
\[ H^0(s_4 s_3 s_2 s_3 s_4 s_1 s_2 s_3, \alpha_3) = C_{-(a_1 + a_2 + a_3)} \oplus C_{-(a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)} \oplus C_{-(a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)} \oplus C_{-(a_1 + 2a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)}. \]
Proof of (2): By Corollary 4.5(2) we have
\[ H^0(s_2 s_3 s_4 w_1 s_3, \alpha_3) = C_{-\omega_4 + a_4}. \]
Since \( \langle -\omega_4 + a_4, a_4 \rangle = 1 \), by Lemma 2.3(2) we have
\[ H^0(\hat{L}_{a_4}/\hat{B}_{a_4}, C_{-\omega_4 + a_4}) = C_{-\omega_4 + a_4} \oplus C_{-\omega_4}. \]
Thus we have
\[ H^0(s_4 s_2 s_3 s_4 w_1 s_3, \alpha_3) = C_{-\omega_4 + a_4} \oplus C_{-\omega_4}. \]

Corollary 4.8.
(1) \( H^0(s_3 s_2 s_3 s_4 s_1 s_2 s_3, \alpha_3) = C_{-(a_1 + a_2 + a_3)} \oplus C_{-(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4)} \oplus C_{-(a_1 + 2a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)} \oplus C_{-\omega_1 + a_4}. \)
(2) \( H^0(s_3 s_4 s_2 s_3 s_4 w_1 s_3, \alpha_3) = C_{-\omega_4}. \)

Proof. Proof of (1): By Corollary 4.7(1) we have
\[ H^0(s_4 s_3 s_2 s_3 s_4 s_1 s_2 s_3, \alpha_3) = C_{-(a_1 + a_2 + a_3)} \oplus C_{-(a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)} \oplus C_{-(a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)} \oplus C_{-(a_1 + 2a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)}. \]
Since \( \langle -(a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4), \alpha_3 \rangle = -1 \), by Lemma 2.3(4) we have
\[ H^0(\hat{L}_{a_3}/\hat{B}_{a_3}, C_{-(a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)}) = 0. \]
Since \( \langle -(a_1 + a_2 + a_3), \alpha_3 \rangle = 0 \), by Lemma 2.3(2) we have
\[
H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_3}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_3}, C_{-(a_1+a_2+a_3)}) = C_{-(a_1+a_2+a_3)}.
\]

Since \( C_{-(a_1+a_2+a_3)} \oplus C_{-(a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4)}\) is the standard two dimensional irreducible \(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_3}\)-module, by Lemma 2.3(2) we have

\[
H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_3}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_3}, C_{-(a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4)}) = C_{-(a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4)} + C_{-(a_1+a_2+2a_3+a_4)}.
\]

Since \(-\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4\), \(\alpha_3\) = 1, by Lemma 2.3(2) we have

\[
H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_3}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_3}, C_{-(a_1+2a_2+2a_3+a_4)}) = C_{-(a_1+2a_2+2a_3+a_4)} + C_{-(a_1+2a_2+3a_3+a_4)}.
\]

Thus combining the above discussion we have

\[
H^0(s_3s_2s_3s_4s_1s_2s_3, \alpha_3) = C_{-\omega_4+a_4} + C_{-\omega_4}.
\]

Proof of (2): By Corollary 4.7(2) we have

\[
H^0(s_3s_2s_3s_4s_1s_2s_3, \alpha_3) = C_{-\omega_4+a_4} + C_{-\omega_4}.
\]

Since \(-\omega_4 + \alpha_4, \alpha_3\) = \(-1\), by Lemma 2.3(4) we have

\[
H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_3}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_3}, C_{-\omega_4+a_4}) = 0.
\]

Further, since \(-\omega_4, \alpha_3\) = \(0\), by Lemma 2.3(2) we have

\[
H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_3}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_3}, C_{-\omega_4}) = C_{-\omega_4}.
\]

Thus from the above discussion we have

\[
H^0(s_3s_2s_3s_4w_1s_3, \alpha_3) = C_{-\omega_4}.
\]

Corollary 4.9.

(1) \( H^0(s_3s_2s_3s_4w_1s_3, \alpha_3) = C_{-(a_2+a_3)} + C_{-(a_2+a_3+a_4)} + C_{-(a_2+2a_3+a_4)} \).

(2) \( H^0(s_3s_2s_3s_4s_1s_2s_3, \alpha_3) = C_{-(a_1+a_2+a_3)} + C_{-(a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4)} + C_{-(a_1+a_2+2a_3+a_4)} + C_{-(a_1+a_2+2a_3+a_4)} + C_{-\omega_4+a_4} + C_{-\omega_4} \).

Proof. Proof of (1): It is easy to see that

\[
H^0(s_3, \alpha_3) = C_{-\alpha_3} \oplus Ch(\alpha_3) \oplus C_{\alpha_3}.
\]

Since \(-\alpha_3, \alpha_2\) = \(1\), by using Lemma 2.3(2), Lemma 2.3(4) we have

\[
H^0(s_2s_3, \alpha_3) = Ch(\alpha_3) \oplus C_{-\alpha_3} \oplus C_{-(a_2+a_3)}.
\]

Since \(-\alpha_3, \alpha_4\) = \(1\), by using Lemma 2.3(2) we have

\[
H^0(s_4s_2s_3, \alpha_3) = Ch(\alpha_3) \oplus C_{-\alpha_3} \oplus C_{-(a_1+a_4)} \oplus C_{-\alpha_3} \oplus C_{-(a_2+a_3+a_4)}.
\]

Since \(Ch(\alpha_3) \oplus C_{-\alpha_3}\) is the two dimensionl indecomposable \(\tilde{B}_{\alpha_3}\)-module, by Lemma 2.4(1) we have

\[
Ch(\alpha_3) \oplus C_{-\alpha_3} = V \otimes C_{-\omega_3}.
\]
where $V$ is the standard two dimensional irreducible $\tilde{L}_{\alpha_3}$-module. Thus by Lemma 2.3(4) we have

$$H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_3}/\tilde{B}_3, Ch(\alpha_3) \oplus C_{-\alpha_3}) = 0.$$ 

Also, since $\langle -(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4), \alpha_3 \rangle = -1$, by Lemma 2.3(4) we have

$$H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_3}/\tilde{B}_3, C_{-(\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}) = 0.$$ 

Since $\langle -(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3), \alpha_3 \rangle = 0$, by Lemma 2.3(2) we have

$$H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_3}/\tilde{B}_3, C_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)} = C_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)}.$$ 

Since $\langle -(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4), \alpha_3 \rangle = 1$, by Lemma 2.3(2) we have

$$H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_3}/\tilde{B}_3, C_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}) = C_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}.$$ 

Thus combining the above discussion we have

$$H^0(s_3s_4s_2s_3, \alpha_3) = C_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}.$$ 

Since $C_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}$ is the standard two dimensional irreducible $\tilde{L}_{\alpha_4}$-module, by Lemma 2.3(2) we have

$$H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_4}/\tilde{B}_4, C_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}) = C_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}.$$ 

Further, since $\langle -(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4), \alpha_4 \rangle = 0$, by Lemma 2.3(2) we have

$$H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_4}/\tilde{B}_4, C_{-(\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}) = C_{-(\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}.$$ 

Therefore we have

$$H^0(s_4s_3s_4s_2s_3, \alpha_3) = C_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}.$$ 

Proof of (2): By Corollary 4.8(1) we have

$$H^0(s_4s_3s_4s_3s_4, \alpha_3) = C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+3\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}.$$ 

Since $C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+3\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+3\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}$ are the two dimensional irreducible $\tilde{L}_{\alpha_2}$-modules and $\langle -(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3), \alpha_3 \rangle = 0$, by Lemma 2.3(2) we have

$$H^0(s_3s_4s_3s_4s_1s_2s_3, \alpha_3) = C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+3\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}.$$ 

Since $C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}$ is the standard two dimensional irreducible $\tilde{L}_{\alpha_4}$-module and $\langle -(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4), \alpha_4 \rangle = 0$, by Lemma 2.3(2) we have

$$H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_4}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_4}, C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}) = C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}.$$ 

Moreover, since $\langle -(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4), \alpha_4 \rangle = 0$ and $\langle -(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4), \alpha_4 \rangle = 0$, by Lemma 2.3(2) we have

$$H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_4}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_4}, C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}) = C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}.$$
and

\[ H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_4}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_4}, \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}. \]

Since \( - (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + \alpha_4), \alpha_4 \rangle = 1 \), by Lemma 2.3 we have

\[ H^0(\tilde{L}_{\alpha_4}/\tilde{B}_{\alpha_4}, \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+3\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+3\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+3\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}. \]

Therefore combining the above discussion we have

\[ H^0(s_4s_3s_4s_3s_4s_1s_2s_3, \alpha_3) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_4+\alpha_4} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_4} \]

since \( \omega_4 = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4. \]

5. Computations of Relative Tangent Bundles \( H^1(w, \alpha_2) \)

In this section we compute cohomology modules \( H^1(w, \alpha_2) \) corresponding to some special Weyl group elements.

**Lemma 5.1.**

(1) \( H^1(w_r, \alpha_2) = 0 \) for \( r = 1, 2, 5. \)

(2) \( H^1(w_3, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_4+\alpha_4}. \)

(3) \( H^1(w_4, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_4}. \)

**Proof.** It is easy to see \( H^1(s_2, \alpha_2) = 0. \)

Note that we have

\[ H^0(s_2, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}h(\alpha_2) \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-\alpha_2} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_2}. \]

Since \( - (\alpha_2, \alpha_1) = 1 \), by using Lemma 2.3(2), Lemma 2.3(4) we have

\[ H^1(s_1, H^0(s_2, \alpha_2)) = 0. \]

Since \( H^1(s_2, \alpha_2) = 0 \), by using Lemma 2.3(1) we have

\[ H^0(s_1, H^1(s_2, \alpha_2)) = 0. \]

Thus by using SES and the above discussion we have

\[ H^1(s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = 0. \]

By using SES and Lemma 2.3(2) we have

\[ H^0(s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}h(\alpha_2) \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-\alpha_2} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}. \]

Since \( (\alpha_2, \alpha_4) = 0 \), by using Lemma 2.3(2) we have

\[ H^1(s_4, H^0(s_1s_2, \alpha_2)) = 0 \]

and

\[ H^0(s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}h(\alpha_2) \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-\alpha_2} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}. \quad (5.1.1) \]

Again, since \( H^1(s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = 0 \), by using Lemma 2.3(1) we have
Thus by using SES and the above discussion we have

$$H^1(s_4 s_1 s_2, \alpha_2) = 0.$$  \hfill (5.1.2)

Since $\langle -\alpha_2, \alpha_3 \rangle = 2$, $\langle -(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2), \alpha_3 \rangle = 2$, by using (5.1.1), and Lemma 2.3(2) we have

$$H^1(s_3, H^0(s_4 s_1 s_2, \alpha_2)) = 0.$$  

Further, by (5.1.2) we have

$$H^0(s_3, H^1(s_4 s_1 s_2, \alpha_2)) = 0.$$  

Thus by using SES we have

$$H^1(s_3 s_4 s_1 s_2, \alpha_2) = 0.$$  \hfill (5.1.3)

Therefore we have

$$H^0(s_2, H^1(s_3 s_4 s_1 s_2, \alpha_2)) = 0.$$  

By using Lemma 3.3 we have

$$H^1(s_2, H^0(s_3 s_4 s_1 s_2, \alpha_2)) = 0.$$  

Thus by SES we have

$$H^1(s_2 s_3 s_4 s_1 s_2, \alpha_2) = 0.$$  \hfill (5.1.4)

Therefore we have

$$H^0(s_1, H^1(s_2 s_3 s_4 s_1 s_2, \alpha_2)) = 0.$$  

By Lemma 3.3 we have

$$H^1(s_1, H^0(s_2 s_3 s_4 s_1 s_2, \alpha_2)) = 0.$$  

Therefore by using SES we have

$$H^1(w_1, \alpha_2) = 0.$$  

Since $H^1(w_1, \alpha_2) = 0$, we have

$$H^0(s_4, H^1(w_1, \alpha_2)) = 0.$$  

Recall that by (4.1.3) we have

$$H^0(w_1, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)}.$$  

Since $\langle -(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3), \alpha_4 \rangle = 2$, $\langle -(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3), \alpha_4 \rangle = 2$, $\langle -(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3), \alpha_4 \rangle = 2$, by using Lemma 2.3(2) we have

$$H^1(s_4, H^0(w_1, \alpha_2)) = 0.$$  

Thus by using SES and the above discussion we have

$$H^1(s_4 w_1, \alpha_2) = 0.$$  \hfill (5.1.5)
and
\[ H^0(s_4 w_1, \alpha_2) = (\mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + 2a_2 + 2a_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + 2a_2 + a_3 + a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + 2a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)}) \oplus (\mathbb{C}_{-(a_2 + 2a_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)}). \]

Since \( H^1(s_4 w_1, \alpha_2) = 0 \), we have
\[ H^0(s_3, H^1(s_4 w_1, \alpha_2)) = 0. \]

Since \(- (a_2 + 2a_3 + 2a_4), \alpha_3 = 0, \langle -(a_1 + 2a_2 + 2a_3), \alpha_3 = 0, \langle -(a_1 + 2a_2 + a_3 + a_4), \alpha_3 = 0, \langle -(a_1 + 2a_2 + 2a_3 + 2a_4), \alpha_3 = 1, \langle -(a_1 + 2a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4), \alpha_3 = 2, \langle -(a_2 + 2a_3), \alpha_3 = -2, \langle -(a_1 + 2a_3 + a_4), \alpha_3 = -1, \langle -(a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4), \alpha_3 = -1, \]
by using Lemma \[2.3\] we have
\[ H^1(s_3, H^0(s_4 w_1, \alpha_2)) = \mathbb{C}_{-(a_2 + a_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + a_2 + a_3)}. \]

Thus by using SES and the above discussion we have
\[ H^1(s_3 s_4 w_1, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{-(a_2 + a_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + a_2 + a_3)}, \tag{5.1.6} \]
and
\[ H^0(s_3 s_4 w_1, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{-(a_2 + 2a_3 + 2a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + 2a_2 + 2a_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + 2a_2 + a_3 + a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + 2a_2 + 2a_3 + 2a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + 2a_2 + 3a_3 + 2a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + 2a_2 + 2a_3 + 3a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + 2a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + 2a_2 + 3a_3 + a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + 2a_2 + a_3 + a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 + 2a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + 2a_2 + a_3 + a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + 2a_2 + 3a_3 + a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + 2a_2 + 3a_3 + 2a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + a_2 + 3a_3 + 2a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + a_2 + 3a_3 + 3a_4)}. \tag{5.1.7} \]

Since \( H^1(s_3 s_4 w_1, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{-(a_2 + a_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + a_2 + a_3)}, \) by using Lemma \[2.3\] we have
\[ H^0(s_2, H^1(s_3 s_4 w_1, \alpha_2)) = \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + a_2 + a_3)}. \]

By Lemma \[3.3\] we have
\[ H^1(s_2, H^0(s_3 s_4 w_1, \alpha_2)) = 0. \]

Thus using SES and above discussion we have
\[ H^1(s_2 s_3 s_4 w_1, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + a_2 + a_3)}. \tag{5.1.8} \]

Since \( \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 + 2a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 + 2a_4)} \) is the standard two dimensional irreducible \( \tilde{L}_{a_2} \)-module and \(- \langle (a_2 + 2a_3 + 2a_4), \alpha_2 \rangle = 0, \langle (a_1 + 2a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4), \alpha_2 \rangle = 0, \langle (a_1 + 2a_2 + 2a_3 + 2a_4), \alpha_2 \rangle = 0, \langle (a_1 + 2a_2 + 2a_3 + 3a_4), \alpha_2 \rangle = 1, \langle (a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3), \alpha_2 \rangle = -1, \langle (a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4), \alpha_2 \rangle = -1, \]
by using SES and Lemma \[2.3\] we have
\[ H^0(s_2 s_3 s_4 w_1, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{-(a_2 + 2a_3 + 2a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + 2a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + 2a_2 + 3a_3 + 2a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + 2a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 + 2a_4)}\]
\[ \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + 2a_2 + 3a_3 + a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + a_2 + 3a_3 + a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 + 2a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + a_2 + 3a_3 + a_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4)}. \tag{5.1.9} \]

Since \(- \langle a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 \rangle, \alpha_1 \rangle = -1, \) by using Lemma \[2.3\](4) we have
\[ H^0(s_1, H^1(s_2 s_3 s_4 w_1, \alpha_2)) = 0. \]

Further, by Lemma \[3.3\] we have
\[ H^1(s_1, H^0(s_2 s_3 s_4 w_1, \alpha_2)) = 0. \]
Thus using SES we have

\[ H^1(w_2, \alpha_2) = 0. \]

Since \( \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \) is the standard two dimensional irreducible \( \tilde{L}_{\alpha_1} \)-module and \( \langle - (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4), \alpha_1 \rangle = 0, \langle - (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4), \alpha_1 \rangle = 0, \langle (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4), \alpha_1 \rangle = 0, \rangle (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4), \alpha_1 \rangle = 0, \langle (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4), \alpha_1 \rangle = 1, \rangle by using SES and Lemma 2.3 we have

\[ H^0(w_2, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)}. \]

Since \( H^1(w_2, \alpha_2) = 0 \), we have

\[ H^0(s_4, H^1(w_2, \alpha_2)) = 0. \]

Since \( \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \) is the standard two dimensional irreducible \( \tilde{L}_{\alpha_1} \)-module and \( \langle (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4), \alpha_1 \rangle = 0, \langle (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4), \alpha_1 \rangle = 0, \langle (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4), \alpha_1 \rangle = 0, \rangle (\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4), \alpha_1 \rangle = -2, \langle (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4), \alpha_1 \rangle = -2, \rangle (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4), \alpha_1 \rangle = -1, \rangle by using SES and Lemma 2.3 we have

\[ H^1(s_1, H^0(w_2, \alpha_2)) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)}. \]

Thus from the above discussion we have

\[ H^1(s_4 w_2, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \] (5.1.10)

and

\[ H^0(s_4 w_2, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)}. \]

Since \( \langle (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4), \alpha_3 \rangle = 1, \rangle (\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4), \alpha_3 \rangle = -1, \rangle (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4), \alpha_3 \rangle = -1, \rangle by using Lemma 2.3 we have

\[ H^0(s_3, H^1(s_4 w_2, \alpha_2)) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)}. \]

Since \( \langle (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4), \alpha_3 \rangle = 0, \rangle (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4), \alpha_3 \rangle = 0, \rangle (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4), \alpha_3 \rangle = -1, \rangle \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} = V \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_3} \) (where \( V \) is the standard two dimensional irreducible \( \tilde{L}_{\alpha_1} \)-module), by Lemma 2.3 we have

\[ H^1(s_3, H^0(s_4 w_2, \alpha_2)) = 0. \]

and

\[ H^0(s_3 s_4 w_2, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)}. \] (5.1.11)

Therefore we have

\[ H^1(s_3 s_4 w_2, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)}. \] (5.1.12)

Since \( \langle (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4), \alpha_2 \rangle = 0, \rangle (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4), \alpha_2 \rangle = -1, \rangle by using Lemma 2.3 we have
By Lemma 2.3 we have

\[ H^0(s_2, H^1(s_3 s_4 w_2, \alpha_2)) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)}. \]

Thus from the above discussion we have

\[ H^1(s_2, H^0(s_3 s_4 w_2, \alpha_2)) = 0. \]

Since \( \langle -2\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4, \alpha_2 \rangle = 0 \) and \( \langle -(\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4), \alpha_2 \rangle = -1 \), by using SES we have

\[ H^1(s_2 s_3 s_4 w_2, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{-(2\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)}. \]

By Lemma 2.3 we have

\[ H^1(s_1, H^0(s_2 s_3 s_4 w_2, \alpha_2)) = 0. \]

This proves (2).

By Lemma 2.3 we have

\[ H^0(w_3, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_4 + \alpha_4}. \]

Since \( \omega_4 = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 \). This proves (2).

Since we have \( H^0(w_3, \alpha_2) = 0 \) (see Lemma 4.1), by using SES we have

\[ H^1(s_4 w_3, \alpha_2) = H^0(s_4, H^1(w_3, \alpha_2)). \]

Since \( \langle -\omega_4 + \alpha_4, \alpha_4 \rangle = 1 \), by using Lemma 2.3 we have

\[ H^1(s_4 w_3, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_4 + \alpha_4} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_4}. \]

Since we have \( H^0(w_3, \alpha_2) = 0 \) (see Lemma 4.1), by using SES we have

\[ H^1(s_3 s_4 w_3, \alpha_2) = H^0(s_3, H^1(s_4 w_3, \alpha_2)). \]

Since \( \langle -\omega_4, \alpha_3 \rangle = 0 \) and \( \langle -\omega_4 + \alpha_4, \alpha_3 \rangle = -1 \), by using Lemma 2.3, Lemma 2.3 we have

\[ H^1(s_3 s_4 w_3, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_4}. \]

Since we have \( H^0(w_3, \alpha_2) = 0 \) (see Lemma 4.1) and \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \) are orthogonal to \( \omega_4 \), by Lemma 2.3 we have

\[ H^1(w_3, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_4}. \]

This gives the proof of (3).

Since we have \( H^0(w_3, \alpha_2) = 0 \) (see Lemma 4.1) and \( \langle -\omega_4, \alpha_4 \rangle = -1 \), by using Lemma 2.3 we have

\[ H^1(s_4 w_4, \alpha_2) = 0. \]
Since by Lemma 4.1 we have $H^0(w_3, \alpha_2) = 0$, and $H^1(s_4w_4, \alpha_2) = 0$, by using SES repeatedly we have

$$H^1(w_5, \alpha_2) = 0.$$ 

This completes the proof of (1). \hfill \Box

**Corollary 5.2.**

(1) $H^1(s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = 0$.

(2) $H^1(s_4w_r, \alpha_2) = 0$ for $r = 1, 4, 5$.

(3) $H^1(s_4w_2, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}$.

(4) $H^1(s_4w_3, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_4} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_4+\alpha_4}$.

**Proof.** Proof of (1) follows from (5.1.2).

Proof of (2) for $r = 1$, proof follows from (5.1.5). For $r = 4, 5$ proof follows by using SES, Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 4.1.

Proof of (3) follows from (5.1.10).

Proof of (4) follows from (5.1.15). \hfill \Box

**Corollary 5.3.**

(1) $H^1(s_3s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = 0$.

(2) $H^1(s_3s_1w_1, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3)}$.

(3) $H^1(s_3s_1w_2, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_4+\alpha_4}$.

(4) $H^1(s_3s_1w_3, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_4}$.

(5) $H^1(s_3s_1w_r, \alpha_2) = 0$ for $r = 4, 5$.

**Proof.** Proof of (1) follows from (5.1.3).

Proof of (2) follows from (5.1.6).

Proof of (3) follows from (5.1.12).

Proof of (4) follows from (5.1.16).

Proof of (5): By Lemma 4.1 we have $H^0(w_r, \alpha_2) = 0$ for $r = 4, 5$. Therefore $H^0(s_4w_r, \alpha_2) = 0$ for $r = 4, 5$. Hence we have $H^1(s_3, H^0(s_4w_r, \alpha_2)) = 0$ for $r = 4, 5$. On the other hand, by Corollary 5.2(2) we have $H^1(s_4w_r, \alpha_2) = 0$ for $r = 4, 5$. Therefore $H^0(s_3, H^1(s_4w_r, \alpha_2)) = 0$ for $r = 4, 5$. Thus by SES we have $H^1(s_3s_1w_r, \alpha_2) = 0$ for $r = 4, 5$. \hfill \Box

**Corollary 5.4.**

(1) $H^1(s_2s_3s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = 0$.

(2) $H^1(s_2s_3s_4w_1, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3)}$.

(3) $H^1(s_2s_3s_4w_2, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_4+\alpha_4}$.

(4) $H^1(s_2s_3s_4w_3, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_4}$.

(5) $H^1(s_2s_3s_4w_r, \alpha_2) = 0$.

**Proof.** Proof of (1) follows from (5.1.4).

Proof of (2) follows from (5.1.8).

Proof of (3) follows from (5.1.13).
Proof of (4): By Lemma 4.1 we have $H^0(w_3, \alpha_2) = 0$. Therefore $H^0(s_3s_4w_3, \alpha_2) = 0$. Hence we have $H^1(s_2, H^0(s_3s_4w_3, \alpha_2)) = 0$. On the other hand, by Corollary 5.3(4) we have $H^1(s_3s_4w_3, \alpha_2) = C_{-\omega_4}$. Since $\omega_4$ is orthogonal to $\alpha_2$, by Lemma 2.3(2) we have $H^0(s_3s_4w_3, \alpha_2) = C_{-\omega_4}$. Thus by SES we have $H^1(s_2s_3s_4w_3, \alpha_2) = C_{-\omega_4}$.

Proof of (5): By Lemma 4.1(2) we have $H^0(w_1, \alpha_2) = 0$.

Therefore $H^0(s_3s_4w_4, \alpha_2) = 0$. Hence we have $H^1(s_2, H^0(s_3s_4w_4, \alpha_2)) = 0$. On the other hand, by Corollary 5.3(5) we have $H^1(s_3s_4w_4, \alpha_2) = 0$. Therefore $H^0(s_2, H^1(s_3s_4w_4, \alpha_2)) = 0$. Thus by SES we have $H^1(s_2s_3s_4w_4, \alpha_2) = 0$. □

**Corollary 5.5.**

1. $H^1(s_4s_3s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = 0$.
2. $H^1(s_4s_3s_4w_1, \alpha_2) = C_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)} + C_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} + C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} + C_{-(\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} + C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}$.
3. $H^1(s_4s_3s_4w_2, \alpha_2) = C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} + C_{-\omega_4+\alpha_4} + C_{-\omega_4}$.
4. $H^1(s_4s_3s_4w_r, \alpha_2) = 0$ for $r = 3, 4$.

**Proof.** Proof of (1): By (4.1.1) if $H^0(s_3s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2) \neq 0$, then we have $\langle \mu, \alpha_4 \rangle \geq 0$. Thus using Lemma 2.3(3) we have

$$H^1(s_4, H^0(s_3s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2)) = 0.$$ 

On the other hand, by using Corollary 5.3(1) we have

$$H^0(s_4, H^1(s_3s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2)) = 0.$$ 

Hence we have $H^1(s_4s_3s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = 0$.

Proof of (2): By (5.1.7) the $B_{\alpha_4}$-indecomposable summands $V$ of $H^0(s_3s_4w_1, \alpha_2)$ for which $H^1(s_4, V) \neq 0$ are $C_{-(\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}$ and $C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}$. Thus using Lemma 2.3(3) we have

$$H^1(s_4, H^0(s_3s_4w_1, \alpha_2)) = C_{-(\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} + C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}.$$ 

On the other hand, by using Corollary 5.3(2) and Lemma 2.3(2) we have

$$H^0(s_4, H^1(s_3s_4w_1, \alpha_2)) = C_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)} + C_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} + C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3)} + C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}.$$ 

Hence we have

$$H^1(s_4s_3s_4w_1, \alpha_2) = C_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)} + C_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} + C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3)} + C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} + C_{-(\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} + C_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}.$$ 

Proof of (3): By (5.1.11) we have if $H^0(s_3s_4w_2, \alpha_2) \neq 0$, then $\langle \mu, \alpha_4 \rangle = 0$. Thus using Lemma 2.3(3) we have

$$H^1(s_4, H^0(s_3s_4w_2, \alpha_2)) = 0.$$ 

On the other hand, by using Corollary 5.3(3) and Lemma 2.3(2) we have

$$H^0(s_4, H^1(s_3s_4w_2, \alpha_2)) = C_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+3\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} + C_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+3\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} + C_{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+3\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}.$$ 

Hence we have
\[ H^1(s_4s_3s_4w_2, \alpha_2) = C_{-(a_1+3a_2+4)} + C_{-\omega_4+a_4} + C_{-\omega_4}. \]

Proof of (4): By Lemma 3.3 we have \( H^0(w_r, \alpha_2) = 0 \) for \( r = 3, 4. \)

Therefore \( H^0(s_3s_4w_r, \alpha_2) = 0 \) for \( r = 3, 4. \) Hence we have \( H^1(s_4, H^0(s_3s_4w_r, \alpha_2)) = 0 \) for \( r = 3, 4. \)

On the other hand, by Corollary 5.3(4), Corollary 5.3(5), we have \( H^0(s_4, H^1(s_3s_4w_r, \alpha_2)) = 0 \) for \( r = 3, 4. \) Thus by using SES we have \( H^1(s_4s_3s_4w_r, \alpha_2) = 0 \) for \( r = 3, 4. \)

Corollary 5.6.
(1) \( H^1(s_4s_2s_3s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = 0. \)
(2) \( H^1(s_4s_2s_3s_4s_1w_1, \alpha_2) = C_{-(a_1+a_2+a_3)} \oplus C_{-(a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4)} \oplus C_{-(a_1+a_2+2a_3+a_4)} \oplus C_{-(a_1+2a_2+2a_3+a_4)} \).
(3) \( H^1(s_4s_2s_3s_4w_2, \alpha_2) = C_{-\omega_4+a_4} \oplus C_{-\omega_4}. \)
(4) \( H^1(s_4s_2s_3s_4w_r, \alpha_2) = 0 \) for \( r = 3, 4. \)

Proof. Proof of (1): By Lemma 3.3 we have

\[ H^1(s_2, H^0(s_4s_3s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2)) = 0. \]

On the other hand, by using Corollary 5.3(1) we have

\[ H^0(s_2, H^1(s_4s_3s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2)) = 0. \]

Hence we have \( H^1(s_4s_2s_3s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = H^1(s_2s_3s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = 0. \)

Proof of (2): By Corollary 5.3(2) we have

\[ H^0(s_2, H^1(s_4s_3s_4w_1, \alpha_2)) = C_{-(a_1+a_2+a_3)} \oplus C_{-(a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4)} \oplus C_{-(a_1+a_2+2a_3+a_4)} \oplus C_{-(a_1+2a_2+2a_3+a_4)}. \]

Now the proof of (2) follows from Lemma 3.3 and SES.

Proof of (3): By Corollary 5.3(3), using SES, and Lemma 2.3 we have

\[ H^0(s_2, H^1(s_4s_3s_4w_2, \alpha_2)) = C_{-\omega_4+a_4} \oplus C_{-\omega_4}. \]

Now the proof of (3) follows from Lemma 3.3 and SES.

Proof of (4): By Lemma 3.3 we have \( H^1(s_2, H^0(s_4s_3s_4w_r, \alpha_2)) = 0 \) for \( r = 3, 4. \) On the other hand, by Corollary 5.3(5) we have \( H^0(s_2, H^1(s_4s_3s_4w_r, \alpha_2)) = 0 \) for \( r = 3, 4. \) Thus by using SES we have \( H^1(s_4s_3s_4w_r, \alpha_2) = 0 \) for \( r = 3, 4. \)

Lemma 5.7.
(1) \( H^1(s_4s_3s_2s_3s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = C_{-(a_2+a_3)}. \)
(2) \( H^1(s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4w_1, \alpha_2) = C_{-(a_1+a_2+a_3)} \oplus C_{-(a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4)} \oplus C_{-(a_1+a_2+2a_3+a_4)} \oplus C_{-(a_1+2a_2+2a_3+a_4)} \oplus C_{-\omega_4+a_4}. \)
(3) \( H^1(s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4w_2, \alpha_2) = C_{-\omega_4}. \)
(4) \( H^1(s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4w_r, \alpha_2) = 0 \) for \( r = 3, 4. \)

Proof. Proof of (1): Recall from (4.1.2) that

\[ H^0(s_2s_3s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = C_{-(a_2+3a_3)} \oplus C_{-(a_1+a_2+a_3)} \oplus C_{-(a_1+a_2+2a_3)} \oplus C_{-(a_1+2a_2+2a_3)}. \]
Since \((-\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3, \alpha_4) = 2, \langle-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3), \alpha_4\rangle = 1, \langle-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3), \alpha_4\rangle = 2, \) by using SES and Lemma 2.3(2) we have
\[
H^0(s_4s_2s_3s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = C_{-\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4}.
\]
Since \(C_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4}\) is the indecomposable \(\tilde{B}_{\alpha_3}\)-module, by using Lemma 2.4(1) we have \(C_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} = V \otimes C_{-\alpha_3}\) (where \(V\) is the standard two dimensional irreducible \(L_{\alpha_3}\)-module), and \(\langle-(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3), \alpha_3\rangle = -2, \) by using SES and Lemma 2.3(3) we have
\[
H^1(s_3, H^0(s_4s_2s_3s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2)) = C_{-\alpha_2 + \alpha_3}.
\]
By using SES and Corollary 5.6(1) we have
\[
H^0(s_3, H^1(s_4s_2s_3s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2)) = 0.
\]
Thus we have
\[
H^1(s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = C_{-\alpha_2 + \alpha_3}.
\]
Proof of (2): Recall from (5.1.9) that
\[
H^0(s_2s_3s_4w_1, \alpha_2) = C_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4}.
\]
By Corollary 5.6(2) we have
\[
H^1(s_4s_2s_3s_4w_1, \alpha_2) = C_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4}.
\]
Since \(C_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)}\) is indecomposable \(\tilde{B}_{\alpha_3}\)-module, by Lemma 2.3(1) we have
\[
C_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} = V \otimes C_{-\alpha_3},
\]
where \(V\) is the standard two dimensional irreducible \(L_{\alpha_3}\)-module.
Further, since \(\langle-(\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4), \alpha_3\rangle = 0, \langle-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + \alpha_4), \alpha_3\rangle = -1, \) by using Lemma 2.3 we have
\[
H^1(s_3, H^0(s_4s_2s_3s_4w_1, \alpha_2)) = 0.
\]
Thus we have

\[ H^0(s_3, H^1(s_4s_2s_3s_4w_1, \alpha_2)) = C_{-(a_1+a_2+a_3)} \oplus C_{-(a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4)} \oplus C_{-(a_1+2a_2+3a_3+a_4)} \oplus C_{-(a_1+a_2+2a_3+a_4)} + C_{-(a_1+a_2+2a_3+3a_4)} + C_{-(a_1+2a_2+3a_3+a_4)} \]

Thus we have

\[ H^1(s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4w_1, \alpha_2) = C_{-(a_1+a_2+a_3)} \oplus C_{-(a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4)} \oplus C_{-(a_1+a_2+3a_3+a_4)} \oplus C_{-(a_1+a_2+2a_3+a_4)} + C_{-(a_1+a_2+2a_3+3a_4)} + C_{-(a_1+2a_2+3a_3+a_4)} \]

Proof of (3): Recall from (5.1.14) that

\[ H^0(s_2s_3s_4w_2, \alpha_2) = C_{-(a_1+3a_2+4a_3+2a_4)} = C_{-\omega_1} \]

Since \( \alpha_4 \) is orthogonal to \( \omega_1 \), by using Lemma 2.3(2) we have

\[ H^0(s_4s_2s_3s_4w_2, \alpha_2) = C_{-(a_1+3a_2+4a_3+2a_4)} = C_{-\omega_1} \]

Since \( \alpha_3 \) is orthogonal to \( \omega_1 \), by using Lemma 2.3(2) we have

\[ H^1(s_3, H^0(s_4s_2s_3s_4w_2, \alpha_2)) = 0. \]

On the other hand, by Corollary 5.6(3) we have

\[ H^1(s_4s_2s_3s_4w_2, \alpha_2) = C_{-\omega_4 + \alpha_4} \oplus C_{-\omega_4}. \]

Since \( \langle -\omega_4, \alpha_3 \rangle = 0 \) and \( \langle -\omega_4 + \alpha_4, \alpha_3 \rangle = -1 \), by using Lemma 2.3 we have

\[ H^0(s_3, H^1(s_4s_2s_3s_4w_2, \alpha_2)) = C_{-\omega_4}. \]

Thus we have

\[ H^1(s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4w_2, \alpha_2) = C_{-\omega_4}. \]

Proof of (4): By Lemma 4.1 we have \( H^0(w_r, \alpha_2) = 0 \) for \( r = 3, 4 \). Therefore we have

\[ H^0(s_4s_2s_3s_4w_r, \alpha_2) = 0 \] for \( r = 3, 4 \). Hence we have \( H^1(s_3, H^0(s_4s_2s_3s_4w_r, \alpha_2)) = 0 \) for \( r = 3, 4 \). On the other hand, Corollary 5.6(4) we have \( H^0(s_3, H^1(s_4s_2s_3s_4w_r, \alpha_2)) = 0 \) for \( r = 3, 4 \). Thus by using SES we have \( H^1(s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4w_r, \alpha_2) = 0 \) for \( r = 3, 4 \).

**Lemma 5.8.**

1. \( H^1(s_4s_3s_4s_2, \alpha_2) = 0. \)
2. \( H^1(s_4s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = C_{-(a_2+a_3)} \oplus C_{-(a_2+a_1+a_4)} \oplus C_{-(a_2+2a_3+a_4)}. \)
3. \( H^1(s_4s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4w_1, \alpha_2) = C_{-(a_1+a_2+a_3)} \oplus C_{-(a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4)} \oplus C_{-(a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4)} \oplus C_{-(a_1+a_2+2a_3+a_4)} + C_{-(a_1+2a_2+3a_3+a_4)} \oplus C_{-\omega_4 + \alpha_4} \oplus C_{-\omega_4}. \)
4. \( H^1(s_4s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4w_r, \alpha_2) = 0 \) for \( r = 2, 3 \).

**Proof.** Proof of (1): By using SES it is easy to see that

\[ H^0(s_3s_4s_2, \alpha_2) = C\alpha(\alpha_2) \oplus C_{-\alpha_2} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)} \]

and
Since $H^0(s_3s_4s_2, \alpha_2) \neq 0$ implies $\langle \mu, \alpha_4 \rangle \geq 0$, by using Lemma 2.3(2) we have

$$H^1(s_4, H^0(s_3s_4s_2, \alpha_2)) = 0.$$ 

Since $\langle \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \rangle = -1$, by using Lemma 2.3(4) we have $H^0(s_4, H^1(s_3s_4s_2, \alpha_2)) = 0$. Therefore by using SES we have $H^1(s_3s_4s_2, \alpha_2) = 0$.

Proof of (2): By the Corollary 5.7.1 we have

$$H^1(s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = C_{-(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)}.$$ 

Since $\langle \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \rangle = 1$, by using Lemma 2.3(2) we have

$$H^0(s_4, H^1(s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2)) = C_{-(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)} + C_{-(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)}.$$ 

Recall from (5.7.1) that

$$H^0(s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = C_{-(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + \alpha_3)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + 2\alpha_3)}.$$ 

Since $C_{-(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)}$ is the standard two dimensional irreducible $\tilde{L}_{\alpha_3}$-module, $\langle -(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4), \alpha_3 \rangle = 0$, $\langle -(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4), \alpha_3 \rangle = 0$, $\langle -(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 3\alpha_4), \alpha_3 \rangle = 1$, $\langle -(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + \alpha_3), \alpha_3 \rangle = 2$, $\langle -(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3), \alpha_3 \rangle = 0$, $\langle -(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4), \alpha_3 \rangle = -1$, $\langle -(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4), \alpha_3 \rangle = 0$, and $C_{-(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)} \otimes C_{-(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)} = V \otimes C_{-\omega_3}$ (where $V$ is the standard two dimensional irreducible $\tilde{L}_{\alpha_3}$), by using SES and Lemma 2.3 we have

$$H^0(s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = C_{-(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + \alpha_3)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + 2\alpha_3)}.$$ 

The $\tilde{B}_{\alpha_3}$-indecomposable summands $V$ of $H^0(s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2)$ for which $H^1(s_4, V) \neq 0$ is $C_{-(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)}$. Thus by using SES and Lemma 2.3(3) we have

$$H^1(s_4, H^0(s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2)) = C_{-(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)}.$$ 

Therefore by using SES we have

$$H^1(s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = C_{-(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)}.$$ 

Proof of (3): Recall that from Corollary 5.7.2 we have

$$H^1(s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4, \alpha_2) = C_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)}.$$ 

Since $C_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)}$ is the standard two dimensional irreducible $\tilde{L}_{\alpha_4}$-module, $\langle -(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4), \alpha_4 \rangle = 0$, $\langle -(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4), \alpha_4 \rangle = 0$, and $\langle -(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + \alpha_4), \alpha_4 \rangle = 1$, by using SES and Lemma 2.3 we have
Lemma 5.9. \[ H^0(s_4, H^1(s_3 s_4 s_2 s_3 s_4 w_1, \alpha_2)) = C_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)}. \]

On the other hand, from (5.7.2) we have
\[ H^0(s_4 s_2 s_3 s_4 w_1, \alpha_2) = C_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)}. \]

Since \( C_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} \oplus C_{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)} = V \otimes C_{-\omega_3} \), where \( V \) is the standard two dimensional irreducible \( L_{\omega_3} \)-module, \((-\langle \alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4, \alpha_3 \rangle, 0 \rangle = 0 \), \((-\langle \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 \rangle, 0 \rangle = 0 \).

By using SES we have the required result.

We note that
\[ H^0(s_4, H^0(s_3 s_4 s_2 s_3 s_4 w_1, \alpha_2)) = 0. \]

Therefore by SES we have
\[ H^1(s_4, H^0(s_3 s_4 s_2 s_3 s_4 w_1, \alpha_2)) = 0. \]

Proof of (4): For \( r = 2 \), we recall that from (5.1.14) that \( H^0(s_2 s_3 s_4 w_2, \alpha_2) = C_{-\omega_1} \). Since \( \alpha_4, \alpha_3 \) are orthogonal to \( \omega_1 \), by using SES we have \( H^0(s_2 s_3 s_4 s_3 w_2, \alpha_2) = C_{-\omega_1} \). Further, using the orthogonality of \( \alpha_4 \) and \( \omega_1 \) we have \( H^1(s_4, H^0(s_2 s_3 s_4 s_3 w_2, \alpha_2)) = 0 \). On the other hand, by Corollary 5.7.3 we have \( H^0(s_4, H^1(s_3 s_4 s_2 s_3 s_4 w_2, \alpha_2)) = 0 \). Thus we have \( H^0(s_4 s_3 s_4 s_2 s_3 s_4 w_2, \alpha_2) = 0 \). For \( r = 3 \), by Lemma 4.1 we have \( H^0(w_3, \alpha_2) = 0 \). Therefore \( H^0(s_3 s_4 s_2 s_3 s_4 w_3, \alpha_2) = 0 \). Hence we have \( H^1(s_4, H^0(s_3 s_4 s_2 s_3 s_4 w_3, \alpha_2)) = 0 \). On the other hand, by Corollary 5.7.4 we have \( H^0(s_4, H^1(s_3 s_4 s_2 s_3 s_4 w_3, \alpha_2)) = 0 \). Thus by using SES we have \( H^1(s_4 s_3 s_4 s_2 s_3 s_4 w_r, \alpha_2) = 0 \). \( \Box \)

We denote \( v_r = [1, 4]^r \) for \( 1 \leq r \leq 6 \) and \( \tau_r = [1, 4]^r 1 \) for \( 1 \leq r \leq 5 \).

Lemma 5.9. We have
(1) \( H^i(\tau_r, \alpha_1) = 0 \) for all \( i \geq 0, 1 \leq r \leq 5 \).
(2) \( H^i(v_r, \alpha_4) = 0 \) for all \( i \geq 0, 2 \leq r \leq 6 \).

\[ H^i(\tau_r, \alpha_1) = 0 \] for all \( i \geq 2, r \geq 1 \).

Note that \( H^i(s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 s_1, \alpha_1) = H^i(s_1 s_2 s_1, \alpha_1) = H^i(s_2 s_1 s_2, \alpha_1) = 0 \) for \( i = 0, 1 \) (see Lemma 2.3 (4)). Now by using SES repeatedly we have the required result.

Proof of (2): By [15] Corollary 6.4, p.780 we have
\[ H^i(v_r, \alpha_4) = 0 \] for all \( i \geq 2, r \geq 1 \).

We note that
\[ H^i(s_4 s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4, \alpha_4) = H^i(s_1 s_2 s_4 s_3 s_4, \alpha_4) = H^i(s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 s_3, \alpha_4) = 0 \] (5.9.1)
for \( i = 0,1 \) (see Lemma 2.3(4)).

Since \( 2 \leq r \leq 6 \), we have \( v_r = us_4s_1s_2s_3s_4 \) for some \( u \in W \) such that \( l(v_r) = l(u) + 5 \). Thus by using SES repeatedly we have the required result. \( \square \)

**Corollary 5.10.** We have the following:

1. \( \theta^i(s_4r, \alpha_1) = 0 \) for \( i \geq 0, 1 \leq r \leq 5 \).

2. \( \theta^i(s_4v_r, \alpha_4) = 0 \) for \( i \geq 0, 1 \leq r \leq 5 \).

3. \( \theta^i(s_3s_4r, \alpha_1) = 0 \) for \( i \geq 0, 1 \leq r \leq 5 \).

4. \( \theta^i(s_3s_4v_r, \alpha_4) = 0 \) for \( i \geq 0, 1 \leq r \leq 5 \).

5. \( \theta^i(s_2s_3s_4r, \alpha_1) = 0 \) for \( i \geq 0, 1 \leq r \leq 5 \).

6. \( \theta^i(s_2s_3s_4v_r, \alpha_4) = 0 \) for \( i \geq 0, 1 \leq r \leq 5 \).

**Proof.** Proofs of (1): By using SES and Lemma 5.9(1) we have \( \theta^i(s_4r, \alpha_1) = 0 \) for all \( 1 \leq r \leq 5, i \geq 0 \).

By (5.9,1) we have \( \theta^i(s_4v_1, \alpha_4) = 0 \) for \( i \geq 0 \). On the other hand by using SES and Lemma 5.9(2) we have \( \theta^i(s_4v_r, \alpha_4) = 0 \) for \( i \geq 0, 2 \leq r \leq 5 \). Thus combining we have \( \theta^i(s_4v_r, \alpha_4) = 0 \) for \( i \geq 0, 1 \leq r \leq 5 \).

Proofs of (2), (3), (4), (5), and (6) follow by using SES and (1). \( \square \)

### 6. Surjectivity of Some Maps

Let \( w \in W \) and let \( w = s_{i_1}s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_r} \) be a reduced expression for \( w \) and let \( \bar{i} = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_r) \). Let \( \tau = s_{i_1}s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_{r-1}} \) and \( \bar{i}' = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{r-1}) \).

Recall the following long exact sequence of \( B \)-modules from \([5]\) (see \([5]\) Proposition 3.1, p.673):

\[
0 \to \theta^0(w, \alpha_{i_r}) \to \theta^0(Z(w, \bar{i}), T_{(\bar{i}, \bar{i}')} \to \theta^0(Z(\tau, \bar{i}'), T_{(\tau, \bar{i}')} \to \cdots
\]

By \([15]\) Corollary 6.4, p.780, we have \( \theta^j(w, \alpha_{i_r}) = 0 \) for every \( j \geq 2 \). Thus we have the following exact sequence of \( B \)-modules:

\[
0 \to \theta^0(w, \alpha_{i_r}) \to \theta^0(Z(w, \bar{i}), T_{(\bar{i}, \bar{i}')} \to \theta^0(Z(\tau, \bar{i}'), T_{(\tau, \bar{i}')} \to \cdots
\]

Now onwards we call this exact sequence by LES.
Let $w_0 = s_{j_1}s_{j_2} \cdots s_{j_N}$ be a reduced expression of $w_0$. Let $w = s_{j_1}s_{j_2} \cdots s_{j_r}$, $j = (j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_r)$, and $\underline{j} = (j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_N)$.

**Lemma 6.1.** The natural homomorphism

$$f : H^0(Z(w_0, \underline{j}), T_{(w_0, \underline{j})}) \to H^0(Z(w, \underline{j}), T_{(w, \underline{j})})$$

of $B$-modules is injective if and only if $w^{-1}(\alpha_0) < 0$.

**Proof.** Suppose $w^{-1}(\alpha_0) < 0$. By [5, Lemma 6.2, p.667], we have $H^0(Z(w, \underline{j}), T_{(w, \underline{j})}) - \alpha_0 \neq 0$. By [5, Theorem 7.1], $H^0(Z(w_0, \underline{j}), T_{(w_0, \underline{j})})$ is a parabolic subalgebra of $g$ and hence there is a unique $B$-stable line in $H^0(Z(w_0, \underline{j}), T_{(w_0, \underline{j})})$, namely $g_{-\alpha_0}$. Therefore we conclude that the natural homomorphism

$$H^0(Z(w_0, \underline{j}), T_{(w_0, \underline{j})}) \to H^0(Z(w, \underline{j}), T_{(w, \underline{j})})$$

is injective.

Conversely, suppose the natural homomorphism

$$H^0(Z(w_0, \underline{j}), T_{(w_0, \underline{j})}) \to H^0(Z(w, \underline{j}), T_{(w, \underline{j})})$$

is injective. Then by [5, Lemma 6.2, p.667], we have $w^{-1}(\alpha_0) < 0$. \qed

**Lemma 6.2.** The natural homomorphism

$$f : H^1(Z(w_0, \underline{j}), T_{(w_0, \underline{j})}) \to H^1(Z(w, \underline{j}), T_{(w, \underline{j})})$$

of $B$-modules is surjective.

**Proof.** (see [4, Lemma 7.1, p.459]). \qed

For $1 \leq r \leq 5$ let $\underline{j}_r$ be the reduced expression of $\tau_r = [1, 4]^r s_1$, $\underline{j}_r = (j_r, 2)$ be the reduced expression of $w_r = [1, 4]^r s_1 s_2$, and $\underline{j}_r = (i_r, 3)$ be the reduced expression of $w_r s_3 = [1, 4]^r s_1 s_2 s_3$.

**Lemma 6.3.**

1. We have $\dim H^0(Z(\tau_1, \underline{j}_1), T_{(\tau_1, \underline{j}_1)}) - \omega_4 = 2$. Further, the natural map

$$H^0(Z(\tau_1, \underline{j}_1), T_{(\tau_1, \underline{j}_1)}) \to H^1(w_4, \alpha_2)$$

is surjective.

2. We have $\dim H^0(Z(\tau_3, \underline{j}_3), T_{(\tau_3, \underline{j}_3)}) - \omega_4 + \alpha_4 = 2$. Further, the natural map

$$H^0(Z(\tau_3, \underline{j}_3), T_{(\tau_3, \underline{j}_3)}) \to H^1(w_3, \alpha_2)$$

is surjective.

**Proof.** Proof of (1): Since $w^{-1}_4(\alpha_0) < 0$, by Lemma 6.1 we conclude that the natural homomorphism

$$H^0(Z(w_0, \underline{j}), T_{(w_0, \underline{j})}) \to H^0(Z(w, \underline{j}), T_{(w, \underline{j})})$$

is injective.

Since $\alpha_3$ is a short simple root, by [15, Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have $H^1(w_r s_3, \alpha_3) = 0$ for $r = 4, 5$. On the other hand, by Lemma 5.1 we have $H^1(w_5, \alpha_2) = 0$, and by Lemma 5.9 $H^1(w_r, \alpha_4) = 0$, and $H^1(\tau_r, \alpha_1) = 0$ for $r = 4, 5$.

Thus from above observations and using LES the natural map
\[ H^0(Z(w_0, \mathfrak{l}), T_{(w_0, \mathfrak{l})}) \rightarrow H^0(Z(w_4, \mathfrak{l}), T_{(w_4, \mathfrak{l})}) \]  

is surjective, hence an isomorphism.

By [5, Theorem 7.1] \( H^0(Z(w_0, \mathfrak{l}), T_{(w_0, \mathfrak{l})}) \) is parabolic subalgebra of \( g \). Hence for any \( \mu \in X(T) \setminus \{0\} \), we have

\[ \dim H^0(Z(w_0, \mathfrak{l}), T_{(w_0, \mathfrak{l})}) \leq 1. \]

By using LES repeatedly and using Lemma 5.9, we have

\[ H^0(Z(\tau_4, j_4), T_{(\tau_4, j_4)}) = H^0(Z(w_3 s_3, l_3), T_{(l_3, s_3)}). \]  

(6.3.2)

By using LES and [13, Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have an exact sequence

\[ 0 \rightarrow H^0(w_3 s_3, \alpha_3) \rightarrow H^0(Z(w_3 s_3, l_3), T_{(w_3 s_3, l_3)}) \rightarrow H^0(Z(w_3, l_3), T_{(w_3, l_3)}) \rightarrow 0 \]  

(6.3.3)

of \( B \)-modules.

Since \( w_3^{-1}(\alpha_0) < 0 \), by using Lemma 6.1, we conclude that the natural homomorphism

\[ H^0(Z(w_0, \mathfrak{l}), T_{(w_0, \mathfrak{l})}) \rightarrow H^0(Z(\mathfrak{w}_3, \mathfrak{i}_3), T_{(\mathfrak{w}_3, \mathfrak{i}_3)}) \]  

(6.3.4)

is injective.

Thus by (6.3.4) we have \( \dim H^0(Z(\mathfrak{w}_3, \mathfrak{i}_3), T_{(\mathfrak{w}_3, \mathfrak{i}_3)}) - \omega_4 \geq 1 \). Hence by Lemma 4.2(2), (6.3.3) we have

\[ \dim H^0(Z(w_3 s_3, l_3), T_{(w_3 s_3, l_3)}) - \omega_4 \geq 2. \]  

(6.3.5)

By (6.3.1) we have \( \dim H^0(Z(w_4, l_4), T_{(w_4, l_4)}) - \omega_4 \leq 1 \). Therefore by using LES we see that \( \dim H^0(Z(\tau_4, j_4), T_{(\tau_4, j_4)}) - \omega_4 \leq 2 \).

Thus by (6.3.2), (6.3.5) we have \( \dim H^0(Z(\tau_4, j_4), T_{(\tau_4, j_4)}) - \omega_4 \geq 2 \). Therefore by LES the natural map \( H^1(Z(\tau_4, j_4), T_{(\tau_4, j_4)}) - \omega_4 \rightarrow H^1(w_4, \alpha_2) - \omega_4 \) is surjective. Hence by Lemma 5.1(3) the natural map \( H^1(Z(\tau_4, j_4), T_{(\tau_4, j_4)}) \rightarrow H^1(w_4, \alpha_2) \) is surjective.

Proof of (2): By using LES repeatedly and using Lemma 5.9, we have

\[ H^0(Z(\tau_3, j_3), T_{(\tau_3, j_3)}) = H^0(Z(w_2 s_3, l_2), T_{(w_2 s_3, l_2)}). \]  

(6.3.6)

By using LES and [13, Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have an exact sequence

\[ 0 \rightarrow H^0(w_2 s_3, \alpha_3) \rightarrow H^0(Z(w_2 s_3, l_2), T_{(w_2 s_3, l_2)}) \rightarrow H^0(Z(w_2, l_2), T_{(w_2, l_2)}) \rightarrow 0 \]  

(6.3.7)

of \( B \)-modules.

Since \( w_3^{-1}(\alpha_0) < 0 \), by using Lemma 6.1, we conclude that the natural homomorphism

\[ H^0(Z(w_0, \mathfrak{l}), T_{(w_0, \mathfrak{l})}) \rightarrow H^0(Z(w_2, l_2), T_{(w_2, l_2)}) \]  

(6.3.8)

is injective.

Thus by (6.3.8) we have \( \dim H^0(Z(w_2, l_2), T_{(w_2, l_2)}) - \omega_4 + \alpha_4 \geq 1 \). Hence by Lemma 4.2(1), (6.3.7) we have

\[ \dim H^0(Z(w_2 s_3, l_2), T_{(w_2 s_3, l_2)}) - \omega_4 + \alpha_4 \geq 2. \]  

(6.3.9)
By Lemma [5.1] we have $H^1(w_4, \alpha_2, \omega_3 + \alpha_4) = 0$. Since $\alpha_3$ is a short simple root, by [15, Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have $H^1(w_3 s_3, \alpha_3) = 0$. On the other hand, by Lemma [5.9] we have $H^1(w_4, \alpha_4) = 0$ and $H^1(\tau_4, \alpha_1) = 0$. Thus by using LES and from above discussion we have the natural map

$$H^0(Z(w_4, i_4), T_{(w_4, i_4)}) \to H^0(Z(w_3, i_3), T_{(w_3, i_3)}) - \omega_4 + \alpha_4$$

is surjective.

Thus by using (6.3.1) and above surjectivity we have $\dim H^0(Z(w_3, i_3), T_{(w_3, i_3)}) - \omega_4 + \alpha_4 \leq 1$. Therefore by using LES we see that $\dim H^0(Z(\tau_3, i_3), T_{(\tau_3, i_3)})_\mu \leq 2$. Thus by (6.3.6), (6.3.8) we have $\dim H^0(Z(\tau_3, i_3), T_{(\tau_3, i_3)})_\mu = 2$. Therefore by LES the natural map

$$H^0(Z(\tau_3, i_3), T_{(\tau_3, i_3)}) - \omega_4 + \alpha_4 \to H^1(w_3, \alpha_2 - \omega_4 + \alpha_4)$$

is surjective. Hence by Lemma [5.12] the natural map $H^0(Z(\tau_3, i_3), T_{(\tau_3, i_3)}) \to H^1(w_3, \alpha_2)$ is surjective.

**Lemma 6.4.**

(1) Let $\mu = -\omega_4, -\omega_4 + \alpha_4$. Then we have $\dim H^0(Z(s_4 \tau_3, (4, j_3)), T_{(s_4 \tau_3, (4, j_3))})_\mu = 2$. Further, the natural map

$$H^0(Z(s_4 \tau_3, (4, j_3)), T_{(s_4 \tau_3, (4, j_3))}) \to H^1(s_4 w_3, \alpha_2)$$

is surjective.

(2) Let $\mu = -(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4) - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4), -(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)$. Then we have $\dim H^0(Z(s_4 \tau_2, (4, j_2)), T_{(s_4 \tau_2, (4, j_2))})_\mu = 2$. Further, the natural map

$$H^0(Z(s_4 \tau_2, (4, j_2)), T_{(s_4 \tau_2, (4, j_2))}) \to H^1(s_4 w_2, \alpha_2)$$

is surjective.

**Proof.** Since $(s_4 w_3)^{-1}(\alpha_0) < 0$, by Lemma [6.1] we conclude that the natural homomorphism

$$H^0(Z(w_0, (4, l_3)), T_{(w_0, (4, l_3))}) \to H^0(Z(s_4 w_3, (4, i_3)), T_{(s_4 w_3, (4, i_3))})$$

is injective.

Since $\alpha_3$ is a short simple root, by [15, Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have $H^1(s_4 w_r s_3, \alpha_3) = 0$ for $r = 3, 4, 5$. On the other hand, by Corollary [5.2] we have $H^1(s_4 w_r, \alpha_2) = 0$ for $r = 4, 5$, and by Corollary [5.10] we have $H^1(s_4 w_r, \alpha_4) = 0$ and $H^1(s_4 \tau_r, \alpha_1) = 0$ for $r = 4, 5$.

Thus from above observations and using LES the natural map

$$H^0(Z(w_0, (4, l_3)), T_{(w_0, (4, l_3))}) \to H^0(Z(s_4 w_3, (4, i_3)), T_{(s_4 w_3, (4, i_3))})$$

is surjective, hence an isomorphism.

Proof of (1): By using LES repeatedly and using Corollary [5.10](1) we have

$$H^0(Z(s_4 \tau_3, (4, j_3)), T_{(s_4 \tau_3, (4, j_3))}) = H^0(Z(s_4 w_2 s_3, (4, l_2)), T_{(s_4 w_2 s_3, (4, l_2))}).$$

(6.4.2)

By using LES and [15, Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0(s_4 w_2 s_3, \alpha_3) \to H^0(Z(s_4 w_2 s_3, (4, l_2)), T_{(s_4 w_2 s_3, (4, l_2))}) \to H^0(Z(s_4 w_2, (4, l_2)), T_{(s_4 w_2, (4, l_2))}) \to 0$$

(6.4.3)

of $B$-modules. On the other hand, since $(s_4 w_2)^{-1}(\alpha_0) < 0$, by using Lemma [6.1] we conclude that the natural homomorphism

$$H^0(Z(w_0, (4, l_3)), T_{(w_0, (4, l_3))}) \to H^0(Z(s_4 w_2, (4, i_3)), T_{(s_4 w_2, (4, i_3))})$$

(6.4.4)
is injective.

Let \( \mu = -\omega_4 - \omega_4 + \alpha_4 \). Thus by (6.4.4), we have \( \dim H^0(\mathbb{Z}(s_4 w_2, (4, i_2)), T(s_4 w_2, (4, i_2))) \mu \geq 1 \). Hence by (6.4.2), by Corollary 5.10(1) we have

\[
\dim H^0(\mathbb{Z}(s_4 w_2 s_3, (4, i_2)), T(s_4 w_2 s_3, (4, i_2))) \mu \geq 2. \tag{6.4.5}
\]

By (6.4.1), \( \dim H^0(\mathbb{Z}(s_4 w_3, (4, i_3)), T(s_4 w_3, (4, i_3))) \mu \leq 1 \).

By using LES we have \( \dim H^0(\mathbb{Z}(s_4 \tau_3, (4, j_3)), T(s_4 \tau_3, (4, j_3))) \mu \leq 2 \). Thus by (6.4.2), (6.4.5) we have \( \dim H^0(\mathbb{Z}(s_4 \tau_3, (4, j_3)), T(s_4 \tau_3, (4, j_3))) \mu = 2 \). Therefore by LES the natural map

\[
H^0(\mathbb{Z}(s_4 \tau_3, (4, j_3)), T(s_4 \tau_3, (4, j_3))) \mu \rightarrow H^1(s_4 w_3, \alpha_2) \mu \text{ is surjective. Hence by Corollary 5.2(4)}
\]

the natural map \( H^0(\mathbb{Z}(s_4 \tau_3, (4, j_3)), T(s_4 \tau_3, (4, j_3))) \rightarrow H^1(s_4 w_3, \alpha_2) \) is surjective.

Proof of (2): By using LES repeatedly and using Corollary 5.10(1) we have

\[
H^0(\mathbb{Z}(s_4 \tau_2, (4, j_2)), T(s_4 \tau_2, (4, j_2))) = H^0(\mathbb{Z}(s_4 w_1 s_3, (4, i_1)), T(s_4 w_1 s_3, (4, i_1))). \tag{6.4.6}
\]

By using LES and [15], Corollary 5.6, p.778 we have an exact sequence

\[
0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{Z}(s_4 w_1 s_3, \alpha_3)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{Z}(s_4 w_1 s_3, (4, i_1)), T(s_4 w_1 s_3, (4, i_1))) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{Z}(s_4 w_1, (4, i_1)), T(s_4 w_1, (4, i_1))) \rightarrow 0, \tag{6.4.7}
\]

of \( B \)-modules.

Let \( \mu = -2 \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 \), \( -\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2 \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 \), \( -\alpha_1 + 2 \alpha_2 + 2 \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 \). Since \( H^1(s_4 w_2, \alpha_2) \mu \neq 0 \), by Corollary 5.2 (5.1.5) the same weight appears in \( H^0(s_4 w_1, \alpha_2) \), i.e.

\[
H^0(s_4 w_1, \alpha_2) \mu \neq 0 \). This implies \( H^0(\mathbb{Z}(s_4 w_1, (4, i_1)), T(s_4 w_1, (4, i_1))) \mu \neq 0. \]

Thus by (6.4.7), Corollary 5.10(1) we have

\[
\dim H^0(\mathbb{Z}(s_4 w_1 s_3, (4, i_1)), T(s_4 w_1 s_3, (4, i_1))) \mu \geq 2. \tag{6.4.8}
\]

Since \( H^1(s_4 w_2, \alpha_2) \mu \neq 0 \), by Corollary 5.2 we have \( H^1(s_4 w_3, \alpha_2) \mu = 0 \). Since \( \alpha_3 \) is a short simple root, by [15], Corollary 5.6, p.778 we have \( H^1(s_4 w_3 s_3, \alpha_3) = 0 \). On the other hand, by using Corollary 5.10(1) we have \( H^1(s_4 w_3 s_3, \alpha_3) = 0 \) and \( H^1(s_4 \tau_3, \alpha_1) = 0 \). Thus by using LES and from above discussion we have the natural map

\[
H^0(\mathbb{Z}(s_4 w_3, (4, j_2)), T(s_4 w_3, (4, j_2))) \mu \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{Z}(s_4 w_2, (4, i_2)), T(s_4 w_2, (4, i_2))) \mu \text{ is surjective.}
\]

By (6.4.1) and above surjectivity we have \( \dim H^0(\mathbb{Z}(s_4 w_2, (4, i_2)), T(s_4 w_2, (4, i_2))) \mu \leq 1 \).

By using LES we see that \( \dim H^0(\mathbb{Z}(s_4 \tau_3, (4, j_2)), T(s_4 \tau_3, (4, j_2))) \mu \leq 2 \). Thus by (6.4.5), (6.4.8) we have \( \dim H^0(\mathbb{Z}(s_4 \tau_3, (4, j_2)), T(s_4 \tau_3, (4, j_2))) \mu = 2 \). Therefore by LES the natural map

\[
H^0(\mathbb{Z}(s_4 \tau_3, (4, j_2)), T(s_4 \tau_3, (4, j_2))) \mu \rightarrow H^1(s_4 w_2, \alpha_2) \mu \text{ is surjective. Hence by Corollary 5.2(3)}
\]

the natural map \( H^0(\mathbb{Z}(s_4 \tau_3, (4, j_2)), T(s_4 \tau_3, (4, j_2))) \rightarrow H^1(s_4 w_2, \alpha_2) \) is surjective. \( \square \)

Lemma 6.5.

(1) We have \( \dim H^0(\mathbb{Z}(s_3 s_4 \tau_3, (3, 4, j_3)), T(s_3 s_4 \tau_3, (3, 4, j_3))) \omega_4 = 2 \). Further, the natural map

\[
H^0(\mathbb{Z}(s_3 s_4 \tau_3, (3, 4, j_3)), T(s_3 s_4 \tau_3, (3, 4, j_3))) \rightarrow H^1(s_3 s_4 w_3, \alpha_2) \text{ is surjective.}
\]

(2) Let \( \mu = -\alpha_1 + 2 \alpha_2 + 3 \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 \), \( -\alpha_1 + 2 \alpha_2 + 3 \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 \). Then we have \( \dim H^0(\mathbb{Z}(s_3 s_4 \tau_2, (3, 4, j_2)), T(s_3 s_4 \tau_2, (3, 4, j_2))) \mu = 2 \). Further, the natural map
Let \( \mu = - (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3), - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) \). Then we have

\[
\dim H^0(\mu) = 2. \quad \text{Further, the natural map}
\]

\[
H^0(\mu) \rightarrow H^1(\mu)
\]

(3) Let \( \mu = - (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4), - (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4) \). Then we have

\[
\dim H^0(\mu) = 2. \quad \text{Further, the natural map}
\]

\[
H^0(\mu) \rightarrow H^1(\mu)
\]

Proof. Proofs of Lemma 6.6(1), Lemma 6.6(2), Lemma 6.6(3) are similar to that of Lemma 6.4 with using [15, Corollary 5.6, p.778], Corollary 5.3 and Corollary 5.10(2) appropriately. \(\square\)

Lemma 6.7.

(1) Let \( \mu = - (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4), - (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4) \). Then we have

\[
\dim H^0(\mu) = 2. \quad \text{Further, the natural map}
\]

\[
H^0(\mu) \rightarrow H^1(\mu)
\]

(2) Let \( \mu = - (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4), - (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4) \). Then we have

\[
\dim H^0(\mu) = 2. \quad \text{Further, the natural map}
\]

\[
H^0(\mu) \rightarrow H^1(\mu)
\]

Proof. Proofs of Lemma 6.7(1), Lemma 6.7(2), are similar to that of Lemma 6.4 with using [15, Corollary 5.6, p.778], Corollary 5.5 and Corollary 5.10(4) appropriately. \(\square\)

Lemma 6.8.

(1) Let \( \mu = - (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4), - (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4) \). Then we have

\[
\dim H^0(\mu) = 2. \quad \text{Further, the natural map}
\]

\[
H^0(\mu) \rightarrow H^1(\mu)
\]

(2) Let \( \mu = - (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4), - (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4) \). Then we have

\[
\dim H^0(\mu) = 2. \quad \text{Further, the natural map}
\]
Let Lemma 6.9.

**Proof.** Proofs of Lemma [6.8(1)], Lemma [6.8(2)], are similar to that of Lemma [6.4] with using [15] Corollary [5.61, p.778], Corollary [5.6] and Corollary [5.10(5)] appropriately. \(\square\)

**Lemma 6.9.** Let \(j^\prime = (4, 3, 4, 2, 3, 4, j_1)\) and \(j^\prime = (4, 3, 4, 2, 3, 4, 1)\).

1. Let \(\Lambda = \{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3), -(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4), -(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4), -(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4), -(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + \alpha_4), -(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)\}\). Then we have \(\dim H^0(\mathcal{Z}(s_4s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4 \tau_1, j^\prime), T_{(s_4s_3s_2s_3s_4 \tau_1, j^\prime)}) = 2\) for all \(\mu \in \Lambda\). Further, the natural map \(H^0(\mathcal{Z}(s_4s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4 \tau_1, j^\prime), T_{(s_4s_3s_2s_3s_4 \tau_1, j^\prime)}) \to H^1(s_4s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4w_1, \alpha_2)\) is surjective.

2. Let \(\Pi = \{-(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3), -(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4), -(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)\}\). Then we have \(\dim H^0(\mathcal{Z}(s_4s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4 \tau_1, j^\prime), T_{(s_4s_3s_2s_3s_4 \tau_1, j^\prime)}) = 2\) for all \(\mu \in \Pi\). Further, the natural map \(H^0(\mathcal{Z}(s_4s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4 \tau_1, j^\prime), T_{(s_4s_3s_2s_3s_4 \tau_1, j^\prime)}) \to H^1(s_4s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2)\) is surjective.

**Proof.** Let \(u_i = s_4s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4w_3s_3s_1\) and \(u = s_4s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4s_1\).

Note that \(w_0 = s_4s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4w_3s_3s_1s_2\). Let \(j^\prime\) be this reduced expression of \(w_0\).

By Lemma [5.11(2)] and Corollary [5.2(2)] we have \(H^i(s_4w_1, \alpha_2) = 0\) for \(i \geq 0\). Since \(s_4\) commutes with \(s_1, s_2\), we have \(H^i(s_4w_4, \alpha_2) = H^i(s_4w_3s_3s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = H^i(s_4w_3s_3s_1s_2, \alpha_2)\) for \(i \geq 0\). Thus we have \(H^i(s_4w_3s_3s_1s_2, \alpha_2)\) for \(i \geq 0\).

Therefore by using SES we have \(H^i(s_4s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4w_3s_3s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = 0\) for \(i \geq 0\). Since \(s_3\) commutes with \(s_1\) we have \(H^i(s_4s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4w_3s_3s_1s_2, \alpha_1) = H^i(s_4s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4w_3s_3s_1, \alpha_1)\) for \(i \geq 0\).

Thus we have \(H^i(s_4s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4w_3s_3s_1, \alpha_1) = 0\) for \(i \geq 0\). By Lemma [5.8(4)] we have \(H^i(s_4s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4w_3s_3s_1, \alpha_1) = 0\) for \(i \geq 0\). By Corollary 5.6, p.778 we have \(H^i(s_4s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4w_3s_3s_1, \alpha_3) = 0\) for \(r = 1, 2, 3\). On the other hand, by using Corollary 5.10(6) we have \(H^i(s_4s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4w_3s_3s_1, \alpha_4) = 0\), and \(H^i(s_4s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4w_3s_3s_1, \alpha_1) = 0\) for \(r = 2, 3\).

Thus by using LES and the above discussion we have the natural map \(H^0(\mathcal{Z}(w_0, j^\prime), T_{(w_0, j^\prime)}) \to H^0(\mathcal{Z}(s_4s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4w_1, (j^\prime, 2)), T_{(s_4s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4w_1, (j^\prime, 2))}\). \(\text{(6.9.1)}\)

is surjective.

**Proof of (1):** By using LES repeatedly and Corollary [5.10(6)] we have \(H^0(\mathcal{Z}(u_1, j^\prime), T_{(u_1, j^\prime)}) = H^0(\mathcal{Z}(u_2s_3, (j^\prime, 2)), T_{(u_2s_3, (j^\prime, 2))})\). \(\text{(6.9.2)}\)

By using LES and Corollary 5.6, p.778 we have an exact sequence \(0 \to H^0(u_2s_3, \alpha_3) \to H^0(\mathcal{Z}(u_2s_3, T_{(u_2s_3, (j^\prime, 2))}) \to H^0(\mathcal{Z}(u_2, T_{(u_2, j^\prime))}) \to 0\). \(\text{(6.9.3)}\)

of \(B\)-modules.

Let \(\Lambda_1 = \{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4), -(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + \alpha_4), -(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)\}\).

Let \(\Lambda_2 = \{-(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3), -(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4), -(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 4)\}\).

By (5.8.1) we have
Thus by using SES we see that $H^0(us_2, \alpha_2)_\mu \neq 0$ for all $\mu \in \Lambda_1$.

By using LES and Corollary 5.10(6) we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0(us_2, \alpha_2)_\mu \to H^0(Z(us_2, (j', 2)), T_{(us_2, (j', 2)')})_\mu \to H^0(Z(u, j'), T_{(u, j')})_\mu \to 0$$

for all $\mu \in \Lambda$.

Note that $H^0(u, \alpha_1) = H^0(s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1, \alpha_1)$. Now it is easy to see that $H^0(s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1, \alpha_1)_\mu \neq 0$ for $\mu \in \Lambda_2$. Therefore we have $H^0(Z(u, j'), T_{(u, j')})_\mu \neq 0$, for all $\mu \in \Lambda_2$. Thus combining above discussion we have $H^0(Z(us_2, (j', 2)), T_{(us_2, (j', 2)')})_\mu \neq 0$ for all $\mu \in \Lambda$.

Therefore by using (6.9.3), (6.9.4) and Corollary 4.9(2) we have

$$\dim H^0(Z(us_2 s_3, (j', 2, 3)), T_{(us_2 s_3, (j', 2, 3)')})_\mu \geq 2$$

for all $\mu \in \Lambda$.

By (6.9.1) we have $H^0(Z(s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1 w_1, (j', 2)), T_{(s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1 w_1, (j', 2)')})_\mu \leq 1$ for all $\mu \in \Lambda$.

Therefore by using LES, Lemma 5.18(3) we have $\dim H^0(Z(u_1, j'_1), T_{(u_1, j'_1)})_\mu \leq 2$ for all $\mu \in \Lambda$.

Thus by (6.9.2), (6.9.5) we have $\dim H^0(Z(u_1, j'_1), T_{(u_1, j'_1)})_\mu = 2$ for all $\mu \in \Lambda$.

By using LES we have

$$H^0(Z(u_1, j'_1), T_{(u_1, j'_1)})_\mu \to H^1(s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1 w_1, \alpha_2)_\mu$$

is surjective for all $\mu \in \Lambda$. Hence by Lemma 5.18(3) the natural map $H^0(Z(u_1, j'_1), T_{(u_1, j'_1)}) \to H^1(s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1 w_1, \alpha_2)$ is surjective.

Proof of (2): It is easy to see that $H^1(u, \alpha_1) = H^1(s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1, \alpha_1) = 0$ and $H^0(u, \alpha_1) = H^0(s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1, \alpha_1)_\mu = 0$ for all $\mu \in \Pi$.

Further, we have $H^i(s_4 s_3 s_2 s_3 s_4, \alpha_4) = H^i(s_4 s_3 s_2 s_3 s_4, \alpha_4)$ for all $i \geq 0$ (see Lemma 2.3(4)).

From above discussion and using LES repeatedly we have

$$H^0(Z(u, j'), T_{(u, j')})_\mu = H^0(Z(s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1, (4, 3, 2)), T_{(s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1, (4, 3, 2)')})_\mu$$

for all $\mu \in \Pi$.

By using LES and Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0(s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1, \alpha_3)_\mu \to H^0(Z(s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1), T_{(s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1, (4, 3, 2)')})_\mu \to H^0(Z(s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1), T_{(s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1, (4, 3, 2)')})_\mu \to 0.$$

It is easy to see that $H^0(s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1, \alpha_2)_\mu \neq 0$ for all $\mu \in \Pi$. Therefore we have

$$H^0(Z(s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1), T_{(s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1, (4, 3, 2)')})_\mu \neq 0$$

for all $\mu \in \Pi$.

Thus from (6.9.7) and Corollary 4.9(1) we have

$$\dim H^0(Z(s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1), T_{(s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1, (4, 3, 2)')})_\mu \geq 2$$

for $\mu \in \Pi$.

Since $\alpha_3$ is a short simple root, by Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have $H^1(us_2 s_3, \alpha_3) = 0$.

By using Corollary 5.10(6) we have

$$H^1(s_4 s_3 s_2 s_3 s_4, \alpha_1) = 0 \text{ and } H^1(s_4 s_3 s_2 s_3 s_4 v_1, \alpha_4) = 0.$$
By Lemma 5.8, we have $H^1(s_4s_3s_4s_2s_3w_1, \alpha_2)_\mu = 0$ for all $\mu \in \Pi$. Thus combining above discussion we have the natural map

$$H^0(Z(s_4s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4w_1, (j', 2)), T_{(s_4s_3s_4s_2s_3s_4w_1, (j', 2))}) \rightarrow H^0(Z(u, (j', 2)), T_{(u, (j', 2))})$$

is surjective for all $\mu \in \Pi$.

Now, using (6.9.1) and above surjectivity we have $H^0(Z(u, (j', 2)), T_{(u, (j', 2))}) \leq 1$ for all $\mu \in \Pi$. Further, by Lemma 5.8(2) $\dim H^1(u, \alpha_2)_\mu = 1$ for all $\mu \in \Pi$.

Therefore by using LES

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(u, \alpha_2) \rightarrow H^0(Z(u, (j', 2)), T_{(u, (j', 2))}) \rightarrow H^0(Z(u, (j', 2)), T_{(u, (j', 2))}) \rightarrow H^1(u, \alpha_2) \rightarrow H^1(Z(u, (j', 2)), T_{(u, (j', 2))}) \rightarrow 0$$

we have $H^0(Z(u, (j', 2)), T_{(u, (j', 2))}) \leq 2$ for all $\mu \in \Pi$.

Therefore by (6.9.6), (6.9.8) we have $\dim H^0(Z(s_4s_3s_4s_2s_3), T_{(s_4s_3s_4s_2s_3, (4, 3, 4, 2, 3))}) = 2$ for all $\mu \in \Pi$.

Therefore $H^0(Z(u, (j', 2), T_{(u, (j', 2))}) \leq 1$ is surjective for all $\mu \in \Pi$.

Hence by Lemma 5.8(2) the natural map $H^0(Z(u, (j', 2)), T_{(u, (j', 2))}) \rightarrow H^1(u, \alpha_2)$ is surjective.

\[\square\]

7. MAIN THEOREM

In this section we prove the main theorem. Let $c$ be a Coxeter element of $W$. Then there exists a decreasing sequence $4 \geq a_1 > a_2 > \cdots > a_k = 1$ of positive integers such that $c = [a_1, 4][a_2, a_1 - 1] \cdots [a_k, a_k - 1]$, where for $i \leq j$ denotes $[i, j] = s_is_{i+1} \cdots s_j$.

**Theorem 7.1.** $H^j(Z(w_0, \hat{1}), T_{(w_0, \hat{1})}) = 0$ for all $j \geq 1$ if and only if $a_1 \neq 3$ or $a_2 \neq 2$.

**Proof.** From [5, Proposition 3.1, p. 673], we have $H^j(Z(w_0, \hat{1}), T_{(w_0, \hat{1})}) = 0$ if and only if $c$ is of the form $[a_1, 4][a_2, a_1 - 1] \cdots [a_k, a_k - 1]$ with $a_1 \neq 3$ or $a_2 \neq 2$.

Proof of ( $\Rightarrow$ ): If $a_1 = 3$, and $a_2 = 2$, then $c = s_3s_4s_2s_1$. Let $u = s_3s_4s_2$. Then $c = us_1$. Let $\hat{j} = (3, 4, 2)$ be the sequence corresponding to $u$. Then using LES, we have:

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(u, \alpha_2) \rightarrow H^0(Z(u, (j', 2)), T_{(u, (j', 2))}) \rightarrow H^0(Z(s_3s_4, (3, 4)), T_{(s_3s_4, (3, 4))}) \rightarrow$$

$$H^1(u, \alpha_2) \rightarrow H^1(Z(u, (j', 2)), T_{(u, (j', 2))}) \rightarrow H^1(Z(s_3s_4, (3, 4)), T_{(s_3s_4, (3, 4))}) \rightarrow 0.$$

We see that $H^1(u, \alpha_2) = 0$, $H^0(s_3, \alpha_3)_{a_2 + \alpha_3} = 0$, and $H^0(s_3s_4, \alpha_4)_{a_2 + \alpha_3} = 0$.

Therefore by LES we have $H^0(Z(s_3s_4, (3, 4)), T_{(s_3s_4, (3, 4))})_{a_2 + \alpha_3} = 0$. Hence $f$ is non zero homomorphism. Hence $H^1(Z(u, (j', 2)), T_{(u, (j', 2))}) \neq 0$. By Lemma 6.2, the natural homomorphism

$$H^1(Z(u, (j', 2)), T_{(u, (j', 2))}) \rightarrow H^1(Z(u, (j', 2)), T_{(u, (j', 2))})$$

is surjective.

Hence we have $H^1(Z(w_0, \hat{1}), T_{(w_0, \hat{1})}) \neq 0$. 

\[\square\]
By using LES, Lemma 5.1, Lemma 5.9, and [15, Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have

\[ H^1(Z(w_0, \mathbf{i}), T_{(w_0, \mathbf{i})}) = H^1(Z(w_5, \mathbf{i}_5), T_{(w_5, \mathbf{i}_5)}) \]

By using LES, Lemma 5.1, Lemma 5.9, and [15, Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have

\[ H^1(Z(w_3, \mathbf{i}_3), T_{(w_3, \mathbf{i}_3)}) = H^1(Z(w_4, \mathbf{i}_4), T_{(w_4, \mathbf{i}_4)}) \]

By using LES, Lemma 5.9 and [15, Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have

\[ H^1(Z(w_2, \mathbf{i}_2), T_{(w_2, \mathbf{i}_2)}) = H^1(Z(w_3, \mathbf{i}_3), T_{(w_3, \mathbf{i}_3)}) \]

Case 1: \( c = s_1s_2s_3s_4 \). Then in this case we have \( w_0 = v_6 = [1, 4]^6 \). By using LES and [15, Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have

\[ H^1(Z(w_0, \mathbf{i}), T_{(w_0, \mathbf{i})}) = H^1(Z(w_5, \mathbf{i}_5), T_{(w_5, \mathbf{i}_5)}) \]

By using LES and Lemma 6.3(1) we have

\[ H^1(Z(w_4, \mathbf{i}_4), T_{(w_4, \mathbf{i}_4)}) = H^1(Z(\tau_4, \mathbf{j}_4), T_{(\tau_4, \mathbf{j}_4)}) \]

By using LES, Lemma 5.9 and [15, Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have

\[ H^1(Z(\tau_3, \mathbf{j}_3), T_{(\tau_3, \mathbf{j}_3)}) = H^1(Z(w_2, \mathbf{i}_2), T_{(w_2, \mathbf{i}_2)}) \]

By using LES, Lemma 5.9 and [15, Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have

\[ H^1(Z(\tau_3, \mathbf{j}_3), T_{(\tau_3, \mathbf{j}_3)}) = H^1(Z(w_2, \mathbf{i}_2), T_{(w_2, \mathbf{i}_2)}) \]

Case 2: \( c = s_4s_1s_2s_3 \). Then in this case we have \( w_0 = s_4w_5s_3 \).

By using LES and [15, Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have

\[ H^1(Z(w_0, \mathbf{i}), T_{(w_0, \mathbf{i})}) = H^1(Z(s_4s_5, (4, \mathbf{i}_5)_5), T_{(s_4s_5, (4, \mathbf{i}_5)_5)}) \]

We see that \( H^1(s_1, \mathbf{i}1) = 0 \), \( H^1(s_1s_2, \mathbf{i}2) = 0 \). Thus by using LES we have

\[ H^1(Z(s_1s_2, (1, 2)), T_{(s_1s_2, (1, 2))}) = 0 \]

Thus combining all we have \( H^1(Z(w_0, \mathbf{i}), T_{(w_0, \mathbf{i})}) = 0 \).
By using LES, Corollary 5.10(2), and [15] Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have
\[ H^1(Z(s_4T_3, (4, j_2)), T_{(s_4w_2, (4, j_2))}) = H^1(Z(s_4w_2, (4, j_2)), T_{(s_4w_2, (4, j_2))}) \]

By using LES, Lemma 6.4(2) we have
\[ H^1(Z(s_4w_2, (4, j_2)), T_{(s_4w_2, (4, j_2))}) = H^1(Z(s_4T_2, (4, j_2)), T_{(s_4T_2, (4, j_2))}) \]

By using LES, Corollary 5.10(1), and [15] Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have
\[ H^1(Z(s_4T_2, (4, j_2)), T_{(s_4T_2, (4, j_2))}) = H^1(Z(s_4w_1, (4, j_1)), T_{(s_4w_1, (4, j_1))}) \]

By using LES, Corollary 5.10(1), and [15] Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have
\[ H^1(Z(s_4w_1, (4, j_1)), T_{(s_4w_1, (4, j_1))}) = H^1(Z(s_4s_1s_2, (4, 1, 2)), T_{(s_4s_1s_2, (4, 1, 2))}) \]

We see that \[ H^1(s_4s_1, \alpha_1) = 0, H^1(s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = 0. \] Thus by using LES we have
\[ H^1(Z(s_4s_1s_2, (4, 1, 2)), T_{(s_4s_1s_2, (4, 1, 2))}) = 0. \]

**Case 3:** \( c = s_3s_4s_1s_2 \). Then we have \( w_0 = s_3s_4w_5 \).

By using LES, Corollary 5.3, Corollary 5.10(2), and [15] Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have
\[ H^1(Z(s_3s_4w_5, (3, 4, j_3)), T_{(s_3s_4w_5, (3, 4, j_3))}) = H^1(Z(s_3s_4w_4, (3, 4, j_4)), T_{(s_3s_4w_4, (3, 4, j_4))}) \]

By using LES, Corollary 5.3, Corollary 5.10(2), and [15] Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have
\[ H^1(Z(s_3s_4w_4, (3, 4, j_4)), T_{(s_3s_4w_4, (3, 4, j_4))}) = H^1(Z(s_3s_4w_3, (3, 4, j_3)), T_{(s_3s_4w_3, (3, 4, j_3))}) \]

By using LES and Lemma 6.5(1) we have
\[ H^1(Z(s_3s_4w_3, (3, 4, j_3)), T_{(s_3s_4w_3, (3, 4, j_3))}) = H^1(Z(s_3s_4T_3, (3, 4, j_3)), T_{(s_3s_4T_3, (3, 4, j_3))}) \]

By using LES, Corollary 5.10(2), and [15] Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have
\[ H^1(Z(s_3s_4T_3, (3, 4, j_3)), T_{(s_3s_4T_3, (3, 4, j_3))}) = H^1(Z(s_3s_4w_2, (3, 4, j_2)), T_{(s_3s_4w_2, (3, 4, j_2))}) \]

By using LES, Lemma 6.5(2) we have
\[ H^1(Z(s_3s_4w_2, (3, 4, j_2)), T_{(s_3s_4w_2, (3, 4, j_2))}) = H^1(Z(s_3s_4T_2, (3, 4, j_2)), T_{(s_3s_4T_2, (3, 4, j_2))}) \]

By using LES, Corollary 5.10(2) and [15] Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have
\[ H^1(Z(s_3s_4T_2, (3, 4, j_2)), T_{(s_3s_4T_2, (3, 4, j_2))}) = H^1(Z(s_3s_4w_1, (3, 4, j_1)), T_{(s_3s_4w_1, (3, 4, j_1))}) \]

By using LES, Lemma 6.5(3) we have
\[ H^1(Z(s_3s_4w_1, (3, 4, j_1)), T_{(s_3s_4w_1, (3, 4, j_1))}) = H^1(Z(s_3s_4T_1, (3, 4, j_1)), T_{(s_3s_4T_1, (3, 4, j_1))}) \]

By using LES, Corollary 5.10(2), and [15] Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have
\[ H^1(Z(s_3s_4T_1, (3, 4, j_1)), T_{(s_3s_4T_1, (3, 4, j_1))}) = H^1(Z(s_3s_4s_1s_2, (3, 4, 1, 2)), T_{(s_3s_4s_1s_2, (3, 4, 1, 2))}) \]
We see that $H^1(s_3s_4, \alpha_4) = 0$ (see [15, Corollary 5.6, p.778]), $H^1(s_3s_4s_1, \alpha_1) = 0$, $H^1(s_3s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = 0$. Thus by using LES we have

$$H^1(\mathbb{Z}(s_3s_4s_1s_2,(3,4,1,2)), T_{(s_3s_4s_1s_2,(3,4,1,2))}) = 0.$$ 

Thus combining all we have $H^1(\mathbb{Z}(w_0, (3,4,i_3)), T_{(w_0,(3,4,i_3))}) = 0$.

**Case 4:** $c = s_2s_3s_4s_1$. Then $w_0 = s_2s_3s_4t_3$. Let $t_1 = s_2s_3s_4$.

By using LES, Corollary [5.10(3)] and [15 Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have

$$H^1(\mathbb{Z}(w_0, (2,3,4,i_3)), T_{(w_0,(2,3,4,i_3))}) = H^1(\mathbb{Z}(t_1w_4, (2,3,4,i_3)), T_{(t_1w_4,(2,3,4,i_3))}).$$

By using LES, Corollary [5.4], Corollary [5.10(3)], and [15 Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have

$$H^1(\mathbb{Z}(t_1w_4, (2,3,4,i_3)), T_{(t_1w_4,(2,3,4,i_3))}) = H^1(\mathbb{Z}(t_1w_3, (2,3,4,i_3)), T_{(t_1w_3,(2,3,4,i_3))}).$$

By using LES and Lemma [6.6(1)] we have

$$H^1(\mathbb{Z}(t_1w_3, (2,3,4,i_3)), T_{(t_1w_3,(2,3,4,i_3))}) = H^1(\mathbb{Z}(t_1w_3, (2,3,4,j_3)), T_{(t_1w_3,(2,3,4,j_3))}).$$

By using LES, Corollary [5.10(3)], and [15 Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have

$$H^1(\mathbb{Z}(t_1w_3, (2,3,4,j_3)), T_{(t_1w_3,(2,3,4,j_3))}) = H^1(\mathbb{Z}(t_1w_2, (2,3,4,j_3)), T_{(t_1w_2,(2,3,4,j_3))}).$$

By using LES, Lemma [6.6(2)] we have

$$H^1(\mathbb{Z}(t_1w_2, (2,3,4,j_3)), T_{(t_1w_2,(2,3,4,j_3))}) = H^1(\mathbb{Z}(t_1w_2, (2,3,4,i_2)), T_{(t_1w_2,(2,3,4,i_2))}).$$

By using LES, Corollary [5.10(3)], and [15 Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have

$$H^1(\mathbb{Z}(t_1w_2, (2,3,4,i_2)), T_{(t_1w_2,(2,3,4,i_2))}) = H^1(\mathbb{Z}(t_1w_1, (2,3,4,i_2)), T_{(t_1w_1,(2,3,4,i_2))}).$$

By using LES, Lemma [6.6(3)] we have

$$H^1(\mathbb{Z}(t_1w_1, (2,3,4,i_2)), T_{(t_1w_1,(2,3,4,i_2))}) = H^1(\mathbb{Z}(t_1w_1, (2,3,4,j_2)), T_{(t_1w_1,(2,3,4,j_2))}).$$

By using LES, Corollary [5.10(3)], and [15 Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have

$$H^1(\mathbb{Z}(t_1w_1, (2,3,4,j_2)), T_{(t_1w_1,(2,3,4,j_2))}) = H^1(\mathbb{Z}(t_1w_1, (2,3,4,j_1)), T_{(t_1w_1,(2,3,4,j_1))}).$$

By using LES, Corollary [5.10(3)], and [15 Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have

$$H^1(\mathbb{Z}(t_1w_1, (2,3,4,j_1)), T_{(t_1w_1,(2,3,4,j_1))}) = H^1(\mathbb{Z}(t_1w_1, (2,3,4,i_1)), T_{(t_1w_1,(2,3,4,i_1))}).$$

By using LES, Lemma [6.6(3)] we have

$$H^1(\mathbb{Z}(t_1w_1, (2,3,4,i_1)), T_{(t_1w_1,(2,3,4,i_1))}) = H^1(\mathbb{Z}(t_1w_1, (2,3,4,j_1)), T_{(t_1w_1,(2,3,4,j_1))}).$$

By using LES, Corollary [5.10(3)], and [15 Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have

$$H^1(\mathbb{Z}(t_1w_1, (2,3,4,j_1)), T_{(t_1w_1,(2,3,4,j_1))}) = H^1(\mathbb{Z}(t_1w_1, (2,3,4,i_1)), T_{(t_1w_1,(2,3,4,i_1))}).$$

It is easy to see that $H^1(t_1s_1, \alpha_1) = H^1(s_2s_1, \alpha_1) = 0$. We see that $H^1(s_2s_3, \alpha_3) = 0$, $H^1(t_1, \alpha_4) = 0$ by [15 Corollary 5.6, p.778]. $H^1(t_1s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = 0$ by Corollary [5.4].

Thus by using LES we have

$$H^1(\mathbb{Z}(t_1s_1s_2, (2,3,4,1,2)), T_{(t_1s_1s_2,(2,3,4,1,2))}) = 0.$$ 

Thus combining all we have $H^1(\mathbb{Z}(w_0, (2,3,4,j_3)), T_{(w_0,(2,3,4,j_3))}) = 0$.

**Case 5:** $c = s_4s_3s_1s_2$. In this case we have $w_0 = s_4s_3s_4w_4s_1s_2$. Let $t_2 = s_4s_3s_4$. Since $s_3$ commutes with $s_1$, we have $H^i(t_2w_4s_3s_1s_1, \alpha_1) = H^i(t_2w_3s_3s_4s_2s_1s_2, \alpha_1) = 0$ for $i \geq 0$ (see Lemma [2.3(4)]).

Thus by using LES, Corollary [5.10(4)] and [15 Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have

$$H^1(\mathbb{Z}(w_0, (4,3,4,i_4,3,1,2)), T_{(w_0,(4,3,4,i_4,3,1,2))}) = H^1(\mathbb{Z}(t_2w_4, (4,3,4,i_4)), T_{(t_2w_4,(4,3,4,i_4))}).$$
By using LES, Corollary 5.5, Corollary 5.10(4), and [15, Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have
\[ H^1(Z(t_2w_4, (4, 3, 4, i_3)), T_{(t_2w_4,(4,3,4,i_3))}) = H^1(Z(t_2w_4, (4, 3, 4, i_3)), T_{(t_2w_4,(4,3,4,i_3))}) \]
By using LES, Corollary 5.5, Corollary 5.10(4), and [15, Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have
\[ H^1(Z(t_2w_3, (4, 3, 4, i_3)), T_{(t_2w_3,(4,3,4,i_3))}) = H^1(Z(t_2w_2, (4, 3, 4, i_2)), T_{(t_2w_2,(4,3,4,i_2))}) \]
By using LES and Lemma 6.7(1) we have
\[ H^1(Z(t_2w_2, (4, 3, 4, i_2)), T_{(t_2w_2,(4,3,4,i_2))}) = H^1(Z(t_2w_2, (4, 3, 4, i_2)), T_{(t_2w_2,(4,3,4,i_2))}) \]
By using LES, Corollary 5.10(4), and [15, Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have
\[ H^1(Z(t_2w_2, (4, 3, 4, i_2)), T_{(t_2w_2,(4,3,4,i_2))}) = H^1(Z(t_2w_1, (4, 3, 4, i_1)), T_{(t_2w_1,(4,3,4,i_1))}) \]
By using LES, Corollary 5.10(4) and [15, Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have
\[ H^1(Z(t_2w_1, (4, 3, 4, i_1)), T_{(t_2w_1,(4,3,4,i_1))}) = H^1(Z(t_2\tau_1, (4, 3, 4, i_1)), T_{(t_2\tau_1,(4,3,4,i_1))}) \]
By using LES, Corollary 5.10(4) and [15, Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have
\[ H^1(Z(t_2\tau_1, (4, 3, 4, i_1)), T_{(t_2\tau_1,(4,3,4,i_1))}) = H^1(Z(t_2\tau_1, (4, 3, 4, i_1)), T_{(t_2\tau_1,(4,3,4,i_1))}) \]
Thus combining all we have \( H^1(Z(t_2s_1s_2, (4, 3, 4, 1, 2)), T_{(t_2s_1s_2,(4,3,4,1,2))}) = 0 \).

**Case 6:** \( c = s_4s_2s_3s_1 \). In this case we have \( w_0 = s_4s_2s_3s_4w_3s_1 \). Let \( t_3 = s_4s_2s_3s_4 \).
By using LES and [15, Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have
\[ H^1(Z(w_0, (4, 2, 3, 4, i_3)), T_{(w_0,(4,2,3,4,i_3))}) = H^1(Z(t_3w_4, (4, 2, 3, 4, i_3)), T_{(t_3w_4,(4,2,3,4,i_3))}) \]
By using LES, Corollary 5.6, Corollary 5.10(5), and [15, Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have
\[ H^1(Z(t_3w_4, (4, 2, 3, 4, i_3)), T_{(t_3w_4,(4,2,3,4,i_3))}) = H^1(Z(t_3w_3, (4, 2, 3, 4, i_3)), T_{(t_3w_3,(4,2,3,4,i_3))}) \]
By using LES, Corollary 5.6, Corollary 5.10(5), and [15, Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have
\[ H^1(Z(t_3w_3, (4, 2, 3, 4, i_3)), T_{(t_3w_3,(4,2,3,4,i_3))}) = H^1(Z(t_3w_2, (4, 2, 3, 4, i_2)), T_{(t_3w_2,(4,2,3,4,i_2))}) \]
By using LES and Lemma 6.8(1) we have
\[ H^1(Z(t_3w_2, (4, 2, 3, 4, i_2)), T_{(t_3w_2,(4,2,3,4,i_2))}) = H^1(Z(t_3\tau_2, (4, 2, 3, 4, i_2)), T_{(t_3\tau_2,(4,2,3,4,i_2))}) \]
By using Corollary 5.10(5) and [15, Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have
\[ H^1(Z(t_3\tau_2, (4, 2, 3, 4, i_2)), T_{(t_3\tau_2,(4,2,3,4,i_2))}) = H^1(Z(t_3\tau_1, (4, 2, 3, 4, i_1)), T_{(t_3\tau_1,(4,2,3,4,i_1))}) \]
By using LES, Lemma 6.8(2) we have
\[ H^1(Z(t_3\tau_1, (4, 2, 3, 4, i_1)), T_{(t_3\tau_1,(4,2,3,4,i_1))}) = H^1(Z(t_3\tau_1, (4, 2, 3, 4, i_1)), T_{(t_3\tau_1,(4,2,3,4,i_1))}) \]
By using LES, Corollary 5.10(5), and [15] Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have

\[ H^1(Z(t_3\tau_1, (4, 2, 3, 4, j_4, 1)), T_{(t_3\tau_1,(4,2,3,4,1,2))}) = H^1(Z(t_3s_1s_2, (4, 2, 3, 4, 1, 2)), T_{(t_3s_1s_2,(4,2,3,4,1,2))}). \]

We see that \( H^1(s_4s_2, \alpha_2) = 0 \), \( H^1(t_3s_1, \alpha_1) = 0 \). Further, by using [15] Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have \( H^1(s_4s_2s_3, \alpha_3) = 0 \), \( H^1(t_3, \alpha_4) = 0 \). By Corollary 5.6 we have \( H^1(s_4s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = 0 \).

Therefore by using LES we have \( H^1(Z(t_3s_1s_2, (4, 2, 3, 4, 1, 2)), T_{(t_3s_1s_2,(4,2,3,4,1,2))}) = 0 \).

Thus combining all we have \( H^1(Z(w_0, (4, 2, 3, 4, i_r, 1, 2, 3)), T_{(w_0,(4,2,3,4,i_r,3),1)}) = 0 \).

**Case 7:** \( c = s_4s_3s_2s_1 \). In this case we have \( w_0 = s_4s_3s_2s_3s_4w_3s_3s_1s_2s_1 \). Let \( t_4 = s_4s_3s_2s_3s_4s_2s_1 \). Let \( t_4 = (4, 3, 2, 4, 1, 3) \). Recall that \( L = (i_r, 3) \). Let \( j'_r = (4, 3, 4, 2, 3, 4, j_r) \) be the reduced expressions of \( t_4w_r \) for \( r = 1, 2, 3 \). Let \( j''_r = (4, 3, 4, 2, 3, 4, j_r) \) be the reduced expressions of \( t_4\tau_r \) for \( r = 1, 2, 3 \). Let \( j'_r = (4, 3, 4, 2, 3, 4, 1) \) be the reduced expression of \( t_4s_1 \).

By Lemma 5.11(2) and Corollary 5.2(2) we have \( H^1(s_4w_4, \alpha_2) = 0 \) for \( i \geq 0 \). Since \( s_4 \) commutes with \( s_1, s_2 \), we have \( H^1(s_4w_4, \alpha_4) = H^1(s_4w_3s_3s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = H^1(s_4w_3s_3s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = 0 \) for \( i \geq 0 \). Thus we have \( H^1(s_4w_3s_3s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = 0 \). Therefore by using SES we have \( H^1(t_4w_3s_3s_1s_2, \alpha_2) = 0 \) for \( i \geq 0 \). Since \( s_3 \) commutes with \( s_1 \) we have \( H^1(t_4w_3s_3s_1s_2, \alpha_1) = H^1(t_4w_3s_3s_1s_2, \alpha_1) = 0 \) for \( i \geq 0 \) (see Lemma 2.3(4)). Thus we have \( H^1(t_4w_3s_3s_1s_2, \alpha_1) = 0 \) for \( i \geq 0 \). Thus by using LES, above discussion, and [15] Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have

\[ H^1(Z(w_0, j'_r), T_{(w_0,j'_r)}) = H^1(Z(t_4w_3, j''_r), T_{(t_4w_3,j''_r)}). \]

By using LES, Lemma 5.8(4), Corollary 5.10(6), and [15] Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have

\[ H^1(Z(t_4w_3, j''_r), T_{(t_4w_3,j''_r)}) = H^1(Z(t_4w_2, j''_r), T_{(t_4w_2,j''_r)}). \]

By using LES, Lemma 5.8(4), Corollary 5.10(6), and [15] Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have

\[ H^1(Z(t_4w_2, j''_r), T_{(t_4w_2,j''_r)}) = H^1(Z(t_4w_1, j''_r), T_{(t_4w_1,j''_r)}). \]

By using LES and Lemma 6.9(1) we have

\[ H^1(Z(t_4w_1, j''_r), T_{(t_4w_1,j''_r)}) = H^1(Z(t_4\tau_1, j'_r), T_{(t_4\tau_1,j'_r)}). \]

By using LES, Corollary 5.10(6), and [15] Corollary 5.6, p.778] we have

\[ H^1(Z(t_4\tau_1, j'_r), T_{(t_4\tau_1,j'_r)}) = H^1(Z(t_4s_1s_2, (j'_r, 2)), T_{(t_4s_1s_2,(j'_r,2))}). \]

By using LES and Lemma 6.9(2) we have

\[ H^1(Z(t_4s_1s_2, (j'_r, 2)), T_{(t_4s_1s_2,(j'_r,2))}) = H^1(Z(t_4s_1, j'_r), T_{(t_4s_1,j'_r)}). \]

By [15] Corollary 5.6, p.778] we see that \( H^1(s_4s_3, \alpha_3) = 0 \), \( H^1(s_4s_3s_4, \alpha_4) = 0 \), \( H^1(s_4s_3s_4s_3, \alpha_3) = 0 \), and \( H^1(t_4, \alpha_4) = 0 \). By Lemma 5.8(1) we have \( H^1(s_4s_3s_4s_2, \alpha_2) = 0 \).
Since \( s_3, s_4 \) commute with \( s_1 \), we have \( H^1(t_is_1, \alpha_1) = H^1(s_is_3s_2s_1, \alpha_1) \). It is easy to see by using LES that \( H^1(s_is_3s_2s_1, \alpha_1) = 0 \). Thus we have \( H^1(t_is_1, \alpha_1) = 0 \). Therefore by using LES we have \( H^1(Z(t_is_1, \alpha_1), T(t_is_1, \alpha_1)) = 0 \). Thus combining all we have \( H^1(Z(w_0, \alpha_1), T(w_0, \alpha_1)) = 0 \). \( \square \)

**Corollary 7.2.** Let \( c \) be a Coxeter element such that \( c \) is of the form \([a_1, 4][a_2, a_1 − 1] \cdots [a_k, a_k−1 − 1] \) with \( a_1 \neq 3 \) or \( a_2 \neq 2 \) and \( a_k = 1 \). Let \( (w_0, \alpha_1) \) be a reduced expression of \( w_0 \) in terms of \( c \) as in Theorem 7.1. Then, \( Z(w_0, \alpha_1) \) has no deformations.

**Proof.** By Theorem 7.1 and by [5 Proposition 3.1, p.673], we have \( H^i(Z(w_0, \alpha_1), T(w_0, \alpha_1)) = 0 \) for all \( i > 0 \). Hence, by [13 Proposition 6.2.10, p.272], we see that \( Z(w_0, \alpha_1) \) has no deformations. \( \square \)

### 8. Non-Rigidity for \( G_2 \)

Now onwards we will assume that \( G \) is of type \( G_2 \). Note that the longest element \( w_0 \) of the Weyl group \( W \) of \( G \) is equal to \( −\text{identity} \). We recall the following proposition from [17 Proposition 1.3, p.858]. We use Proposition 3.1 and the notation as in [17] to deduce the following:

**Lemma 8.1.** Let \( c \in W \) be a Coxeter element. Then, we have

1. \( w_0 = c^3 \).
2. For any sequence \( \underline{\omega} = (\underline{1}, \underline{2}, \underline{3}) \) of reduced expressions of \( c \); the sequence \( \underline{i} = (\underline{1}, \underline{2}, \underline{3}) \) is a reduced expression of \( w_0 \).

**Proof.** Proof of (1): Let \( \eta : S \to S \) be the involution of \( S \) defined by \( i \to i^* \), where \( i^* \) is given by \( \omega_i^* = −w_0(\omega_i) \). Since \( G \) is of type \( G_2 \), \( w_0 = −\text{identity} \). Therefore, we have \( i = i^* \) for every \( i \). Let \( h \) be the Coxeter number. By [17 Proposition 1.7], we have \( h(i, c) + h(i^*, c) = h \). Since \( h = 2|R^+|/2 \) (see [11 Proposition 3.18]) and \( i = i^* \), we have \( h(i, c) = h/2 = 3 \), as \( |R^+| = 6 \). By Proposition 3.1 we have \( c^6(\omega_i) = −\omega_i \) for all \( i = 1, 2 \). Since \( \{\omega_i : i = 1, 2\} \) forms an \( \mathbb{R}\)-basis of \( X(T) \otimes \mathbb{R} \), it follows that \( c^3 = −\text{identity} \). Hence, we have \( w_0 = c^3 \). The assertion (2) follows from the fact that \( l(c) = 2 \) and \( l(w_0) = |R^+| = 6 \). (see [9 p.66, Table 1]). \( \square \)

Let \( c \) be a coxeter element of \( W \). Then \( c = s_1s_2 \) or \( c = s_2s_1 \). Then from Lemma 8.1 we have \( w_0 = s_1s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2 \). or \( w_0 = s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2s_1 \) according as \( c = s_1s_2 \) or \( c = s_2s_1 \).

Let \( i_1, \) (respectively, \( i_2, \)) be the the reduced expression of \( w_0 = s_1s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2 \) (respectively, \( w_0 = s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2s_1 \)). Then we have

**Theorem 8.2.** \( H^1(Z(w_0, i_1), T(w_0, i_1)) \neq 0 \) for \( r = 1, 2 \).

**Proof.** Let \( c = s_1s_2 \). Let \( \underline{i} = (1, 2) \) be the sequence corresponding to \( c \). Then using LES, we have:

\[
0 \to H^0(c, \alpha_2) \to H^0(Z(c, \underline{i}), T(c, \underline{i})) \to H^0(s_1, \alpha_1) \to H^1(c, \alpha_2) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^1(Z(c, \underline{i}), T(c, \underline{i})) \to 0.
\]
By using LES, we see that \( H^1(s_1 s_2, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_2 + \alpha_1} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_1} \). Now \( H^0(s_1, \alpha_1)_{\alpha_2 + \alpha_1} = 0 \). Hence \( g \) is a non zero homomorphism. Hence \( H^1(Z(c, j), T_{(c,j)}) \neq 0 \). By Lemma 6.2 the natural homomorphism

\[
H^1(Z(w_0, i_1)) \rightarrow H^1(Z(c, j), T_{(c,j)})
\]

is surjective.

Hence we have \( H^1(Z(w_0, i_1), T_{(w_0, i_1)}) \neq 0 \).

Let \( c = s_2 s_1, u = s_2 s_1 s_2 \). Let \( j = (2, 1, 2) \) be the sequence corresponding to \( u \). Then using LES, we have:

\[
0 \rightarrow H^0(u, \alpha_2) \rightarrow H^0(Z(u, j), T_{(u,j)}) \rightarrow H^0(Z(s_2 s_1, (2, 1)), T_{(s_2 s_1, (2, 1))}) \rightarrow \\
H^1(u, \alpha_2) \xrightarrow{h} H^1(Z(u, j), T_{(u,j)}) \rightarrow H^1(Z(s_2 s_1, (2, 1)), T_{(s_2 s_1, (2, 1))}) \rightarrow 0.
\]

We see that \( H^1(u, \alpha_2) = \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_1} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_2 + \alpha_1} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_1}, H^0(s_1, \alpha_1)_{\alpha_2 + \alpha_1} = 0 \), and \( H^0(s_2 s_1, \alpha_1)_{\alpha_2 + \alpha_1} = 0 \).

Therefore by LES we have \( H^0(Z(s_2 s_1, (2, 1)), T_{(s_2 s_1, (2, 1))})_{\alpha_2 + \alpha_1} = 0 \). Hence \( h \) is a non zero homomorphism. Hence \( H^1(Z(u, j), T_{(u,j)}) \neq 0 \). By Lemma 6.2 the natural homomorphism

\[
H^1(Z(w_0, i_2)) \rightarrow H^1(Z(u, j), T_{(u,j)})
\]

is surjective.

Hence we have \( H^1(Z(w_0, i_2), T_{(w_0, i_2)}) \neq 0 \). □
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