The interplay of electron-photon and cavity-environment coupling on the electron transport through a quantum dot system
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We theoretically investigate the characteristics of the electron transport through a two-dimensional a quantum dot system in the xy-plane coupled to a photon cavity and a photon reservoir, the environment. The electron-photon coupling, \( g_y \), and the cavity-reservoir coupling, \( \kappa \), are tuned to study the system in the weak, \( g_y < \kappa \), and the strong coupling regime, \( g_y > \kappa \). An enhancement of current is observed with increasing \( g_y \) and \( \kappa \) in the weak coupling regime for both x- and y-polarization of the photon field. This is a direct consequence of the Purcell effect. The current enhancement is due to the contribution of the photon replica states to the electron transport in which intraband transitions play an important role. The properties of the electron transport are drastically changed in the strong coupling regime with an x-polarized photon field in which the current is suppressed with increasing \( g_y \), but it is still increasing with \( \kappa \). This behavior of the current is related to the population of purely electronic states and depopulation of photon replica states.

I. INTRODUCTION

The spontaneous emission of a quantum dots (QD) coupled to photon sources has been exploited to study the optical properties of QD materials [1, 2]. Controlling the spontaneous emission is a nontrivial task and is considered to be at the heart of quantum optics [3] and is essential for diverse applications such as single-photon sources for quantum information [4–6], optical energy harvesting [7], and light-emitting diodes [8]. The enhancement of a quantum system’s spontaneous emission rate by its environment is called the Purcell effect [9] and has been studied by many researchers in different systems [10, 11]. A strong Purcell enhancement is observed for quantum dots coupled to periodic metal-dielectric multi-layers that can benefit various nanophotonic applications [12]. The emission intensity of spin-dependent exciton states can be enhanced at a resonance due to the Purcell effect [13]. In addition, the enhancement of the Purcell effect has been achieved by controlling the effective coupling with the micro-cavity [14, 15].

The cavity quantum electrodynamics (QED) was proposed by Weisskopf and Wigner to control the spontaneous emission rate of a single photon source [16, 17]. In cavity QED, the spontaneous emission rate is characterized by the mode density of the quantized photon field which is known as the Purcell effect in the context of cavity QED. Recently, a QD system was used to enhance the spontaneous emission by a single photon nanocavity coupled to the environment [18], where the coherency of the single photon source should be maintained [19, 20].

High Purcell factor indicating a significant enhancement of an emission rate needs a cavity confining light of small dimensions or storing light for a long time [21]. This requires a high Q factor or a cavity with a nanoscale volume. Generally, parameters should be carefully considered for obtaining a high Purcell factor, such as the electron-photon coupling strength, \( g_y \), [22], and the coupling strength of the cavity-photon field to the environment, \( \kappa \) [23, 24]. A system is said to be in the weak coupling regime if the electron-photon coupling strength is smaller than or equal to the coupling strength of the photon cavity to the environment, \( g_y \leq \kappa \), while in the strong coupling regime the condition should be \( g_y > \kappa \) [25]. In the weak coupling regime, the efficiency of a single photon generation can be significantly enlarged, forming high-quality single photon generation by photon blockade possible with current state-of-the-art samples [26]. Furthermore, the cavity-dot system can be made in a single step using a far-field optical lithography process monitoring a nonlinear optical transition of the QD system in which the observation of strong Purcell effect is evidenced [27]. In contrast to the weak coupling regime, the Rabi oscillations in a QD exposed to a quantized photon field in the strong coupling regime lead to current peaks in connection with different types of photoluminescence [28–32]. In addition, photon-assisted tunneling has been observed in a two-level system with Rabi-effect [33] and also studied in a many level QD [34]. Therefore, the strong coupling regime has been proposed for industrial technology [35–37].

The study of current transport in a QD-cavity is still lacking information [38, 39] where the signs of Purcell effect appear. In this work, we consider a QD system coupled to a cavity and the photon reservoir in both the weak and the strong coupling regimes where the photon field is fully quantized [40, 41]. We compare the transport prop-
properties between these two regimes, where this comparison has not been demonstrated in our previous publications [42–46]. We assume a two-dimensional electron system consisting of a QD embedded in a short quantum wire and coupled to two electron reservoirs [47]. The transport properties of the QD system in the steady-state is investigated under the influence of a quantized photon field in a cavity using a Markovian quantum master equation [48].

We introduce the model system in Sec. II. Results are discussed for the model in Sec. III. Finally, we have our conclusion in Sec. IV.

II. THEORETICAL FORMALISM

We consider a multi-level QD embedded in the center of a quantum wire in x-y plane where the wire-dot system is hard-wall and parabolic confined in the x- and y-direction, respectively [45]. The diameter of the QD is $d \simeq 66.5$ nm and the length of the quantum wire is $L_x = 150$ nm. The QD system is attached to two electron reservoirs, leads, from both ends in the $x$-direction. The total system, the QD and the leads, are microstructured in a GaAs heterostructure, and exposed to a perpendicularly polarized [51–53]

$$H = \sum_i (E_i + eV_g) d_i^\dagger d_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij \sigma} \langle V_{\text{Coul}} \rangle d_i^\dagger d_j d_s d_r$$

where the energy of a single-electron (SE) state and the gate voltage are presented as $E_i$, and $V_g$, respectively. The Coulomb matrix elements in the SE state basis are

$$\langle \psi \rangle = \sum_{ij} \langle ij | V_{\text{Coul}} | rs \rangle$$

with $\psi(r)$ being the SE wavefunctions, the Coulomb interaction potential $V(r-r')$, and $d_i^\dagger(d_i)$ being the electron creation (annihilation) operator of the QD system [54]. To treat the Coulomb interacting many-electron (ME) Hamiltonian in the QD system, an exact numerical diagonalization method is utilized [55].

In the second line of Eq. (1): $H_Z = g \mu_B B \sigma_z/2$ indicates the Zeeman Hamiltonian where $g$ refers to the effective Landé g-factor, $\mu_B$ is the Bohr magneton, $B$ displays the weak external magnetic field, and $\sigma_z$ is a Pauli matrix. $H_I = \hbar \omega, \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}$, introduces the photon field in the cavity where $\hbar \omega$ indicates the photon energy and $\hat{a}^\dagger$ and $\hat{a}$ are the photon creation and annihilation operators, respectively.

The interaction between the electrons in the QD system and the photons in the cavity can be defined by the paramagnetic (last term of the second line of Eq. (1)) and the diamagnetic Hamiltonian (third line of Eq. (1)) with $g_{ij}$ the dimensionless electron-photon coupling matrix, and $\hat{N}$, the photon number operator [45]. The electron-photon coupling strength can be represented by $g_r$ and $\Omega_{\omega}$ is the electron effective confinement frequency.

To investigate the evolution of the electrons in the QD system in the steady state regime, we use a Markovian master equation where the projection formalism is used based on the density operator [56, 57]. We are interested in the state of the central system, QD system after the coupling to the leads, the reduced density operator of the central system can be written as

$$\hat{\rho}_S = \text{Tr}_{L,R}[\hat{\rho}(t)],$$

where $\hat{\rho}(t)$ is the density operator of the total system. Here, the trace over the Fock space of the left (L) and the right (R) leads is taken into account [53, 58–60].

The current from the left lead into the QD-system, $I_L^t$, and the current from it into the right lead, $I_R^t$, can be introduced as

$$I_{L,R}^t = \text{Tr}_S(\Lambda_{L,R}[\hat{\rho}_S; t]Q),$$

where $Q = -e \sum_i d_i^\dagger d_i$ is the charge operator of the wire-QD system with $d_i^\dagger(d_i)$ the electron creation (annihilation) operator of the wire-QD system, respectively. The operators $\Lambda_{L,R}$ represent the “dissipation” processes caused by both leads [43, 48].

III. RESULTS

We present the characteristics of the electron transport through the QD system in this section. We assume the system to be in a weak external perpendicular magnetic field, $B = 0.1$ T, to lift the spin degeneracy, but at the same time we want to avoid the effects of a strong Lorentz force on electron transport. The chemical potential of the left and the right leads are $\mu_L = 1.25$ and $\mu_R = 1.15$ meV, respectively, and the temperature of the leads is fixed at $T_{L, R} = 0.5$ K. The gate voltage is $eV_g = 0.615$ eV that shifts the energy states of the QD system with respect to the chemical potential of the leads. Furthermore, the photon energy is assumed to be $\hbar \omega_{\gamma} = 1.31$ meV. Under this condition the system is said to be in the off-resonant regime with respect to the lowest energy electron states because the photon energy
is smaller than their energy spacing. The mean photon number in the photon reservoir is assumed to be \( n_R = 1 \).

### A. Weak coupling regime, \( g_\gamma \leq \kappa \)

In this section, we consider the electron-photon coupling strength, \( g_\gamma \), to be smaller than or comparable to the selected range of the cavity-environment coupling strength, \( \kappa \). The energy spectrum of the QD-cavity system as a function of \( \kappa \) is shown in Fig. 1. The cavity-environment coupling parameter, \( \kappa \), is included in the Markovian master equation that is used to investigate the electron motion through the QD system here [43]. The energy spectrum of the closed system displayed in Fig. 1 is independent of \( \kappa \). The green and the blue horizontal lines are the chemical potential of the left and the right leads, respectively. 0 indicates the one-electron ground-state, \( 1^\text{st} \) labels the one-electron first-excited state, and \( 1\gamma 0 \) and \( 2\gamma 0 \) are the first and the second photon replicas of the one-electron ground-state, respectively. We should point out that each mentioned state includes both Zeeman spin components, spin-down and spin-up states, which are separated by the small Zeeman energy due to the presence of small external magnetic field.

Since the photon energy is smaller than the spacing between the lowest energy states of the QD system, \( \hbar \omega_\gamma < E_j - E_i \), the one photon replica of the ground state, \( 1\gamma 0 \), is located between 0 and \( 1^\text{st} \), the system is in an off-resonant regime. Furthermore, the gate voltage, \( eV_g = 0.615 \text{ meV} \), moves up the \( 1\gamma 0 \) into the bias window, \( eV_{\text{bias}} = \mu_L - \mu_R \). As expected, the \( 1\gamma 0 \) stays in the bias window when the cavity-environment coupling, \( \kappa \), is varied, because changing \( \kappa \) does not influence the physical characteristics of the closed QD system. We should remember that the energy spectrum for the \( y \)-polarized photon field is almost the same as for the \( x \)-polarization for the low coupling regime, \( g_\gamma \leq \kappa \), (not shown).

The transport properties of the QD system are presented in Fig. 2 in which the steady-state current as a function of the cavity-environment coupling is plotted for an \( x \)- (a) and \( y \)-polarized (b) photon field. The current increases with \( g_\gamma \) in the selected range of the cavity-environment coupling strength. It can be clearly seen that the current in the \( x \)-polarized photon field is ten times larger than for the \( y \)-polarization for \( g_\gamma = 0.05 \) and 0.1 meV. This is due to the fact that the states of the QD system are more polarizable in the \( x \)-direction and the photon replica states are actively contributing to the current transport compared to the \( y \)-polarization which will be shown later. But for the very low coupling when \( g_\gamma = 0.001 \text{ meV} \), the current is almost the same for
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**FIG. 1.** The many-Body energy spectrum of the closed QD system coupled to the photon field, where 0ES (blue squares) are zero-electron states, 1ES (red circles) are one-electron state, and 2ES are two-electron states (golden triangles). The photon energy is \( \hbar \omega_\gamma = 1.31 \text{ meV} \), \( g_\gamma = 0.05 \text{ meV} \), \( n_R = 1 \), and the photon field is polarized in the \( x \)-direction. 0 represents the one-electron ground-state, \( 1^\text{st} \) is the one-electron first-excited state, and \( 1\gamma 0 \) and \( 2\gamma 0 \) refer to the first and second photon replicas of the one-electron ground-state, respectively. The chemical potential of the left lead is \( \mu_L = 1.25 \text{ meV} \) (green line) and the right lead is \( \mu_R = 1.15 \text{ meV} \) (blue line). The magnetic field is \( B = 0.1 \text{ T} \), \( eV_\delta = 0.651 \text{ meV} \), \( T_{L,R} = 0.5 \text{ K} \), and \( \hbar \Omega_0 = 2.0 \text{ meV} \).
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**FIG. 2.** Current versus the cavity-environment coupling, \( \kappa \), for \( g_\gamma = 0.001 \) (purple squares), 0.05 (green triangles), and 0.1 meV (blue circles) in the \( x \)- (a) and \( y \)-polarized of the photon field. The photon energy is \( \hbar \omega_\gamma = 1.31 \text{ meV} \), and \( n_R = 1 \). The chemical potential of the left lead is \( \mu_L = 1.25 \text{ meV} \) and the right lead is \( \mu_R = 1.15 \text{ meV} \). The magnetic field is \( B = 0.1 \text{ T} \), \( eV_\delta = 0.651 \text{ meV} \), \( T_{L,R} = 0.5 \text{ K} \), and \( \hbar \Omega_0 = 2.0 \text{ meV} \).
both photon polarizations since the photon field, and in turn the photon replica states, do not play an important role in the current transport for such a low \( g_\gamma \).

The most interesting point here is that the current is increased with the cavity-environment coupling which is a direct consequence of the Purcell effect. To better understand it the partial occupation (a,b) and the partial current (c,d) of the ten lowest one-electron states are plotted for \( g_\gamma = 0.001 \) (top panel) and \( 0.1 \) meV (lower panel) in Fig. 3 where the minus and the plus signs indicate the Zeeman spin-down and spin-up states, respectively. Let’s first take a look the low coupling strength of the electron-photon, \( g_\gamma = 0.001 \) meV (Fig. 3 top panel). Increasing \( \kappa \), the occupation of all selected states is decreased as is shown in Fig. 3(a). It demonstrates that the lifetimes of the state in the bias window and the corresponding photon replica states get shorter and in turn less charge resides in these states. The corresponding current transport presented in Fig. 3(c) demonstrates that only the first-excited state located just above the bias window, 1st (blue circles), is active in the current transport. It can be verified that the photon replica states do not participate to the current transport at the low electron-photon coupling strength, \( g_\gamma = 0.001 \) meV, even though 1\( \gamma 0 \) is located in the bias window. The reason for the current enhancement through the 1st state is the de-population of the photon replicas by the relatively large \( \kappa \).

Increasing the electron-photon coupling strength to \( g_\gamma = 0.1 \) meV as shown in Fig. 3(b) and (d), the occupation of the first- (blue circles) and the second-excited (red circles) states is slightly increased with \( \kappa \) while the occupation of the ground-state and its photon replicas decreases as is demonstrated in Fig. 3(b). This indicates that the lifetimes of the 1st and the 2nd get relatively longer than the lifetimes of the photon replica of the ground-state in the bias window.

The states with short lifetime, and the ground-state, become active in the current transport at higher electron-photon coupling strength, \( g_\gamma = 0.1 \) meV, as it is shown in Fig. 3(d). The reason for the activation the photon replica states is related to the intraband transition between these states. The current is going from the left lead to the right lead via the one-photon replica state located in the bias window while an inversion of the current transport is seen via the 1st and the 2nd which have a relatively longer lifetime than the photon replicas and are located outside the bias window. This can be understood as the following: The charge is more accumulated in the 1st and the 2nd and they are closer to the Fermi energy of the left lead leading to a current being transferred from these two states to the left lead appearing as a negative current. The participation of the photon replica states in the current transport at the higher electron-photon coupling strength \( g_\gamma = 0.1 \) meV enhances the total current compared to the case of the low electron-photon coupling strength \( g_\gamma = 0.001 \) meV. Therefore, the total current is enhanced with \( g_\gamma \) as is shown in Fig. 2.

### B. Strong coupling regime, \( g_\gamma > \kappa \)

In this section, we consider the case when the electron-photon coupling strength is stronger than the selected range of the cavity-environment coupling strength, \( g_\gamma > \kappa \), i.e. the strong coupling regime.

Figure 4 displays the MB-energy spectrum of the QD system as a function of \( \kappa \) for \( x \)- (a) and \( y \)-polarization (b) of the photon field when \( g_\gamma = 0.2 \) meV which is higher than the selected range of cavity-environment coupling strength, \( g_\gamma > \kappa \). In general, tuning the electron-photon coupling strength in the case of the \( x \)-polarization shifts the energy of the states and could lead to resonant states [61]. Comparing to the low electron-photon coupling strength, \( g_\gamma = 0.001 \) meV shown in Fig. 1, the 1\( \gamma 0 \) moves out of the bias window and the 1st is shifted up for the \( x \)-polarization (Fig. 4(a)) while these changes in the \( y \)-polarization are not observed (Fig. 4(b)). If we further tune \( g_\gamma \) to higher values, one notes a resonance between 1st and 2\( \gamma 0 \) in the \( x \)-direction (not shown). The resonant states will have major roles in the electron transport in the system. As we mentioned before, tuning \( \kappa \) does not
affect the energy states of the closed QD system.

Figure 5 displays the current versus $\kappa$ in the $x$- (a) and $y$-polarization (b) for different values of the electron-photon coupling strength $g_\gamma = 0.15$ (golden diamonds), 0.2 (blue star), 0.25 (black cross), and 0.3 meV (red), which are all greater than the selected range of $\kappa$. The characteristics of the current in the system is drastically changed for the $x$-polarization in the strong coupling regime. The current is suppressed with increasing $g_\gamma$ for the $x$-polarization (Fig. 5(a)) throughout all values of $\kappa$ while it is enhanced in the $y$-polarization (Fig. 5(b)). It indicates that the photon field with $x$-polarization is dominant at high or strong electron-photon coupling strength and the cavity-environment coupling effect is diminished. In addition, the current increases with $\kappa$ in both directions of the photon polarization which displays a manifestation of the Purcell effect in the system, even when $g_\gamma > \kappa$. We should keep in mind that the current was enhanced with $g_\gamma$ for both directions of the photon polarization at the low coupling regime shown in Fig. 2.

The current suppression is related to a shift of the energy of the states that occurs at the strong coupling regime in the $x$-polarized photon field presented in Fig. 4(a). The energy shift affects the characteristics of the electron transport through the individual states of the QD system. To see these effects we present the partial occupation (a) and the partial current (b) for the $x$-polarization in Fig. 6.

We start with the $x$-polarized photon field with $g_\gamma = 0.3$ meV (Fig. 6(a)), in which the occupation of the pure electronic states, $1^{st}$ (blue) and $2^{nd}$ (red), is much enhanced throughout the values of $\kappa$ comparing to the case of low coupling regime when $g_\gamma = 0.1$ meV shown in Fig. 3(b). It supports the view that the lifetime of the pure electronic states, $1^{st}$ and $2^{nd}$, is much longer in the strong coupling regime increasing the accumulation of charge in these two states. In addition, the shift of $1\gamma 0$ outside of the bias window leads to an inactivation of the second photon replica state, $2\gamma 0$ to the current transport as is shown in Fig. 6(b). Therefore, the total current of the system is suppressed with increasing $g_\gamma$ for the $x$-polarization. But for the $y$-polarized photon field, there is no shift of the energy of the states and thus the photon replica states regularly participate to the current transport leading to the current enhancement.

**IV. CONCLUSION**

The interplay between the electron-photon coupling and the cavity-environment coupling strengths on the electron transport through a quantum dot embedded in
FIG. 6. Partial occupation (a,b) and the partial current (c,d) of the ten lowest one-electron states versus \( \kappa \) are plotted for \( x \)-polarization (top panel) and \( y \)-polarization (lower panel) when \( g_1 = 0.3 \) meV. The minus (-) and plus (+) signs indicate the Zeeman spin-down and up, respectively. Herein, \( \gamma_0 \) displays the one-electron ground-state (purple circles) \( \gamma_0^+ \) refers to the one-photon replica of the \( 0 \) (green circles), \( \gamma_1^+ \) demonstrates the one-electron first-excited state (blue circles), \( \gamma_2^+ \) is the two-photon replica of \( 0 \) (golden circles), and \( \gamma_{11}^0 \) is the one-electron second-excited state (red circles). The photon energy is \( h\omega_\gamma = 1.31 \) meV, and \( n_R = 1 \). The chemical potential of the left lead is \( \mu_L = 1.25 \) meV and the right lead is \( \mu_R = 1.15 \) meV. The magnetic field is \( B = 0.1 \) T, \( eV_b = 0.651 \) meV, \( T_{L,R} = 0.5 \) K, and \( \Omega_0 = 2 \) meV.

a quantum wire and coupled to two electron reservoirs was investigated. The influence of the photon polarization in the cavity on the transport properties has also been studied. The full electron-electron and the electron-photon interactions are taken into account using an exact diagonalization technique in truncated Fock many-body spaces. We have shown that the current is enhanced with the cavity-environment coupling strength for both directions of the linear photon polarization that is perpendicular or parallel to the electron transport motion in the quantum system. This is a manifestation of the Purcell effect in the system. In addition, the current is not linearly increased with the electron-photon coupling which is due to the contributing ratio of the photon-electron dressed states and the photon replica states to the electron transport. The contributing ratio of these states can be controlled by the electron-photon coupling strength.
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