Note on "Experimental Measurement of Quantum Metric Tensor and Related Topological Phase Transition with a Superconducting Qubit"

Yan-Qing Zhu,[1] Dan-Wei Zhang,[2] Xinsheng Tan,[1] Hai-Feng Yu,[1] Hui Yan,[2] Yang Yu,[1] and Shi-Liang Zhu[1,2]

[1]*National Laboratory of Solid State Microstructures, School of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China*

[2]*Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Quantum Engineering and Quantum Materials, GPETR Center for Quantum Precision Measurement and SPTE, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510006, China*

In the paper [X. Tan *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 210401 (2019)], we have studied the Euler characteristic number χ for a two-band model; However, the χ calculated there is not an integer when the parameter $|h| > 1$ and then may not be considered as a suitable topological number for the system. In this note, we find that the Bloch vectors of the ground state for $|h| > 1$ do not cover the whole Bloch sphere and thus the Euler characteristic number should be effectively associated with the manifold of a disk, rather than a sphere. After taking into account the boundary contribution, we derive the correct Euler characteristic number χ. Unfortunately, the Euler characteristic number χ does not change when crossing the critical points $|h| = 1$ and thus can not be used to characterize the topological phase transition of the present model.

arXiv:1908.06462v1 [quant-ph] 18 Aug 2019

One of the Hamiltonians studied in our paper [1] and in Ref. [2] is given by

$$H_2(k_x, k_y) = \frac{\Omega}{2}\begin{pmatrix} -h - \cos k_x & \alpha \sin k_x e^{-ik_y} \\ \alpha \sin k_x e^{ik_y} & h + \cos k_x \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where the parameters $\boldsymbol{k} = (k_x, k_y)$ with $\{k_x, k_y\} \in [0, 2\pi]$ in Ref. [1] and with $k_x \in [0, 2\pi]$ and $k_y \in [0, \pi]$ in Ref. [2]. The Hamiltonian (1) can be rewritten as

$$H_2(\boldsymbol{k}) = d_x\sigma_x + d_y\sigma_y + d_z\sigma_z, \quad (2)$$

where the Bloch vectors $\mathbf{d} = (d_x, d_y, d_z)$ are given by

$$d_x = (\alpha\Omega/2)\sin k_x \cos k_y, \quad (3)$$
$$d_y = (\alpha\Omega/2)\sin k_x \sin k_y, \quad (4)$$
$$d_z = -\Omega(h + \cos k_x)/2. \quad (5)$$

The energy spectrum are obtained as $E_\pm = \pm d$ with $d = \sqrt{d_x^2 + d_y^2 + d_z^2}$ and the corresponding eigenvectors are given by

$$|u_\pm\rangle = \frac{\Omega}{2}\begin{pmatrix} d_x - id_y \\ \pm d - d_z \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

We first introduce the method addressed in Ref. [2] to calculate the Euler characteristic number χ of a two-level (two-band) model with the two-dimensional coordinates (μ, ν), it is given by

$$\chi = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{\mathcal{M}} R\sqrt{\det g}\; d\mu d\nu, \quad (7)$$

where R is the Ricci scalar curvature and g denotes the 2×2 metric tensor. In a two-level gapped system, it is generally true that $\sqrt{\det g} = |\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}|/2$, where the Berry curvature $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = \hat{\mathbf{d}} \cdot (\partial_\mu \hat{\mathbf{d}} \times \partial_\nu \hat{\mathbf{d}})/2$ with $\hat{\mathbf{d}} = \mathbf{d}/d$. Associated with the Berry curvature, we have the first Chern number defined as

$$C = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} d\mu d\nu. \quad (8)$$

As for the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1), the quantum metric for both the ground and excited states is obtained as

$$g = \frac{1}{4}\begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2(1 + h\cos k_x)^2/f^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^2 \sin^2 k_x/f \end{pmatrix}, \quad (9)$$

where $f = (h + \cos k_x)^2 + \alpha^2 \sin^2 k_x$. One can find that the quantum metric tensor g is independent on k_y and the square root of its determination

$$\sqrt{\det g} = \alpha^2|(1 + h\cos k_x)\sin k_x|/(4f^{3/2}). \quad (10)$$

Please note that there is a typo in Eq. (7) in Ref. [1], that is, the denominator $2f^{3/2}$ there should be $4f^{3/2}$ as corrected in Eq. (10). The Berry curvature of the ground state is given by

$$\mathcal{F} = \alpha^2(1 + h\cos k_x)\sin k_x/(2f^{3/2}). \quad (11)$$

In Ref. [1], we parameterize the system with the spherical coordinate (θ,ϕ) with the notations $k_x \to \theta$, $k_y \to \phi$, and the Euler characteristic number χ is calculated by substituting Eq. (9) and the Ricci scalar curvature $R = 8$ (the Gaussian curvature $K = 4$) of the quantum state manifold into Eq. (7). While the Chern number C can be obtained by substituting Eq. (11) into Eq. (8). The results are plotted in Fig. 1 (Fig. 4(b) in Ref. [1]). It shows that the C is always zero; while the $\chi = 4$ when $|h| < 1$, but it just gradually becomes zero when $|h|$ approaches infinite. Similar results of χ are also demonstrated in Ref. [2].




FIG. 1: The Chern number C and "Euler characteristic number" χ calculated in Refs. [1, 2] as a function of the parameter h.

We here present a physics picture to illustrate the results plotted in Fig. 1. For simplicity, we take $\alpha = 1$ (and $\Omega/2$ as the energy unit) as an example to illustrate the physical meanings of the results. Under these conditions, the Bloch vectors $\mathbf{d}$ for a fixed h form a unit sphere with the center of it at $(0, 0, h)$, and for concreteness, we denote the sphere formed by $\mathbf{d}$ as S_d. We may assume that there is a monopole at the origin $\mathbf{d} = (0, 0, 0)$, as shown in Fig. 2. We can see that the sphere S_d contains the coordinate origin $\mathbf{d} = 0$ when $|h| < 1$, while it does not contain the origin point when $|h| > 1$.

The Chern number C is determined by the sum of the net Berry flux flowed past the surface of the sphere S_d; In contrast, the "Euler characteristic number" χ defined in Eq.(7) is determined by the sum of the *absolute* Berry flux flowed past the surface of the sphere S_d.

As for the Chern number C, it should be 1 for the case in Fig. 2(a) and 0 in Fig. 2(b). However, as for the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1), the Bloch vectors $\mathbf{d}$ run over the sphere S_d twice (the Bloch sphere is defined as a unit sphere with the center of it at the origin, but in general, it is not the sphere S_d formed by the Bloch vectors $\mathbf{d}$), and the topological charge of the monopole at the origin is opposite [that is, there exists a pair of monopole and antimonopole at the origin for $k_x \in (\pi, 2\pi)$ and $k_y \in (0, 2\pi)$)]. So the Chern number C of the system is always zero for all h, which is consistent with the result in Fig. 1.

As for the "Euler characteristic number" χ calculated in Refs. [1, 2], it is actually determined by the solid angle of the surface formed by the unit $\hat{\mathbf{d}}$. If we parameterize and normalize the Bloch vectors with the spherical coordinate $(\tilde{\theta}, \phi)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{d}_x &= d_x/d = \sin\tilde{\theta}\cos\phi, \\ \hat{d}_y &= d_y/d = \sin\tilde{\theta}\sin\phi, \\ \hat{d}_z &= d_z/d = \cos\tilde{\theta}. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

The "Euler characteristic number" we obtain now is given




FIG. 2: Schematic diagram of the Bloch vectors $\mathbf{d}$ in the region (a) $|h| < 1$ (here $h = 0$) and (b) $|h| > 1$, respectively. It shows the topological difference between the two distinct phases when the sphere S_d moves from the degeneracy in the z direction by distance h. The Berry flux vectors are schematically presented by arrows. The Chern number C is determined by the sum of the net flux flowed past the surface of the sphere S_d; In contrast, the "Euler characteristic number" χ calculated in Refs. [1, 2] is determined by the sum of the *absolute* flux flowed past the surface of the sphere S_d.

by [1, 2]

$$\begin{aligned} \chi &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathcal{M}} K dA = \frac{2}{\pi}\int \sqrt{\det g}\, d\tilde{\theta}d\phi \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi}\int |\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\theta}\phi}| d\tilde{\theta}d\phi = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int d\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where $d\Omega = |\hat{\mathbf{d}} \cdot \partial_{\tilde{\theta}}\hat{\mathbf{d}} \times \partial_{\phi}\hat{\mathbf{d}}| d\tilde{\theta}d\phi = \sin\tilde{\theta} d\tilde{\theta}d\phi$. Figure 2 also shows the solid angle of these two different situations. Figure 2(a) shows the situation for $|h| < 1$, the solid angle Ω is $2\times 4\pi$, thus we obtain $\chi = 4$; when $|h|$ becomes larger and larger in the parameter region $|h| > 1$, the sphere S_d is getting farther away from the origin along the z axis and thus the solid angle formed by $\hat{\mathbf{d}}$ is $2 \times 4\pi(1 - \cos\tilde{\theta}_0)$ and becomes smaller and smaller until zero, as illustrated in Fig. 2(b). Therefore, χ continuously tends from 4 at $|h| = 1$ to zero at the infinity $|h| \to \infty$.

However, the above results imply that the calculation of the "Euler characteristic number" for the two-level system must be not correct for $|h| > 1$ since it is not an integer. In the following, we will show that when $|h| > 1$, the above calculation misses the boundary contribution since the Bloch vectors of the ground state do not cover the whole Bloch sphere (that is, the unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{d}}$ only contains part of the Bloch sphere). In this case, the Euler characteristic number should be associated with the manifold of a disk, rather than a sphere. After taking into account the boundary contribution, we can derive the correct Euler characteristic number χ.

In mathematics, the Fubini-Study metric is a Kähler metric on projective Hilbert space $P\mathcal{H}$, namely, on a complex projective space CP^n, which is a space of complex lines through the origin of a $(n + 1)$-dimensional complex vector space. In quantum mechanical systems, the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ defined above measures the distance of "rays" in projective Hilbert space $P\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}/U(1)$.




FIG. 3: Schematic diagram of the surface formed by the unit $\hat{\mathbf{d}}$. (a) the unit $\hat{\mathbf{d}}$ formed a unit Bloch sphere for $|h|<1$. (b) For $|h|>1$, the unit $\hat{\mathbf{d}}$ just formed a part of unit Bloch sphere S with boundary c , where $r=\sin\tilde{\theta}_0$ is the radius of c. In our model, the $\hat{\mathbf{d}}$ runs over (a) the unit Bloch sphere twice and (b) a part of unit Bloch sphere S four times, thus we always obtain $\chi=4$.

Recall that a ray as a point on manifold $\{|\tilde{\psi}\rangle\}$ is an equivalence class $[|\psi\rangle]$ (denoted by $|\tilde{\psi}\rangle$) of states $|\psi\rangle$ ($|\psi\rangle\neq 0$) in Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, which differ only in phase [3, 4]. For the $(n+1)$-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}\setminus\{0\}=S^{2n+1}$, the manifold $\{|\tilde{\psi}\rangle\}$ is the projective Hilbert space $P\mathcal{H}=S^{2n+1}/U(1)=CP^n$. Therefore, the topological number for the manifold of quantum states actually is the Euler characteristic number of CP^n. For a two-dimensional Hilbert space, its projective Hilbert space $P\mathcal{H}=S^3/S^1\backsimeq S^2$ is a Bloch sphere. So its Euler characteristic number $\chi=2$ and its restriction to the real tangent bundle should yield an expression of the ordinary "round metric" of radius $\rho=1/2$ (and $K=1/\rho^2=4$) on S^2.

In our two-level system, the Euler characteristic number should be 4 for $|h|<1$ since the unit Bloch vectors $\hat{\mathbf{d}}$ run over the Bloch sphere twice (since $\{k_x,k_y\}\in[0,2\pi]$) and it is independent of h. However, the "Euler characteristic number" obtained above in the region $|h|>1$ is not an integer and thus is not a correct one. The reason is the following: the effective integral surface consisting of the eigenvectors $\{|u_-\rangle\}$ (more precisely, the states $\{|\tilde{u}_-\rangle\}$ in the projective Hilbert space) does not cover the entire Bloch sphere when $|h|>1$. As shown in Fig. 3(a) when $|h|<1$, $\hat{\mathbf{d}}$ runs over the unit Bloch sphere twice, and thus $\chi=4$. When $|h|>1$, $\hat{\mathbf{d}}$ runs over just a part of the unit Bloch sphere four times [Fig. 3(b)]. Note that the manifold now has a boundary. Under this condition, Eq. (7) for calculating the Euler characteristic number is not correct since it misses the contribution of the boundary.

As for a manifold with a boundary, the Gauss-Bonnet formula can be generalized as

$$\chi=\chi_{\text{bulk}}+\chi_{\text{boundary}}=\frac{1}{2\pi}\left(\int_{\mathcal{M}}KdA+\int_{\partial\mathcal{M}}k_g dl\right), \tag{14}$$

where χ_{bulk} and χ_{boundary} are the bulk and boundary contributions to the Euler characteristic number of the manifold $\mathcal{M}$ [5]. Here k_g is the geodesic curvature of the boundary ∂M, and dl is the line element along the boundary of $\mathcal{M}$. The metric is written in its fundamental form as

$$ds^2=g_{11}d\lambda_1+2g_{12}d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2+g_{22}d\lambda_2 d\lambda_2. \tag{15}$$

These invariants are given by [6]

$$\begin{aligned} k_g&=-\Gamma^1_{22}\frac{\sqrt{\det g}}{g_{22}^{3/2}},\\ dl&=\sqrt{g_{22}}d\lambda_2, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where k_g and dl are given for a curve of constant λ_1, The Christoffel symbols Γ^k_{ij} are defined as

$$\Gamma^k_{ij}=\frac{1}{2}g^{km}(\partial_j g_{im}+\partial_i g_{jm}-\partial_m g_{ij}), \tag{17}$$

where g^{ij} is the inverse of the metric tensor g_{ij}. The metric tensor in the spherical coordinate with $(\tilde{\theta},\phi)\leftrightarrow(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$ takes the form [1],

$$g=\begin{pmatrix} g_{\tilde{\theta}\tilde{\theta}} & g_{\tilde{\theta}\phi}\\ g_{\phi\tilde{\theta}} & g_{\phi\phi}\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix} 1/4 & 0\\ 0 & \sin^2\tilde{\theta}/4\end{pmatrix}. \tag{18}$$

Then one can obtain that $\sqrt{\det g}=\sin\tilde{\theta}/4$, $\Gamma^1_{22}=-\frac{1}{2}g^{11}\partial_1 g_{22}=-\sin\tilde{\theta}\cos\tilde{\theta}$, and thus we have $k_g=2\cos\tilde{\theta}_0/\sin\tilde{\theta}_0$, $dl=\sin\tilde{\theta}_0 d\phi/2$ with constant $\tilde{\theta}_0$ on the boundary $\partial\mathcal{M}$. Note that k_g calculated from the quantum metric $g_{\tilde{\theta}\phi}$ is the exact geodesic curvature of a circle with radius $r=\sin\tilde{\theta}_0/2$ on a sphere of radius $\rho=1/2$, where $k_g=\sqrt{\rho^2-r^2}/\rho r$ in the geometrical representation. For convenience, we consider the base manifold S formed by $\hat{\mathbf{d}}$ (i.e., $K=1$ and $\rho=1$) with a circle boundary c. Thus, the Euler characteristic number in the region $|h|>1$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\chi&=4\times\frac{1}{2\pi}\Big(\int_S\sin\tilde{\theta}d\tilde{\theta}d\phi+\int_c\cos\tilde{\theta}_0 d\phi\Big)\\ &=4\times\Big(\int_0^{\tilde{\theta}_0}\sin\tilde{\theta}d\tilde{\theta}+\cos\tilde{\theta}_0\Big)=4.\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

This result can be intuitively understood as the manifold of quantum states $\{|\tilde{u}_-\rangle\}$ in this case is equivalent to four disks due to $\hat{\mathbf{d}}$ runs over the surface S four times, as depicted in Fig. 3(b). The two-dimensional compact surface S with the circle boundary c is topologically equivalent to a disk and thus has the Euler characteristic number $\chi(S)=1$, one can easily check this result for S by using Eq. (14).

In summary, the Euler characteristic number for our two-level quantum system should be always 4 after we consider the contribution of boundary for the manifold of quantum states (formed by $\hat{\mathbf{d}}$) in CP^1 (unit Bloch sphere) in the region $|h|>1$. Unfortunately, the Euler characteristic number χ does not change when crossing the critical points $|h|=1$ and thus can not be used to characterize the topological phase transition of the present model.

We will submit an Erratum to PRL based on this note.

Acknowledgements: We thank Yu-Quan Ma, Giandomenico Palumbo, and Nathan Goldman for helpful discussions.

[1] X. Tan, D.-W. Zhang, Z. Yang, J. Chu, Y.-Q. Zhu, D. Li, X. Yang, S. Song, Z. Han, Z. Li, Y. Dong, H.-F. Yu, H. Yan, S.-L. Zhu, and Y. Yu, Experimental Measurement of Quantum Metric Tensor and Related Topological Phase Transition with a Superconducting Qubit, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 210401 (2019).

[2] Y.-Q. Ma, S.-J. Gu, S. Chen, H. Fan, and W.-M. Liu, The Euler number of Bloch states manifold and the quantum phases in gapped fermionic systems, Europhys. Lett. **103**, 10008 (2013).

[3] J. P. Provost and G. Vallee, Riemannian structure on manifolds of quantum states, Commun. Math. Phys. **76**, 289 (1980).

[4] A. N. Grigorenko, Geometry of projective Hilbert space, Phys. Rev. A **46**, 7292 (1992).

[5] M. Kolodrubetz, V. Gritsev, and A. Polkovnikov, Classifying and measuring geometry of a quantum ground state manifold, Phys. Rev. B **88**, 064304 (2013).

[6] E. Kreyszig, *Differential Geometry* (University of Toronto Press, Toronto, 1959).