One of the Hamiltonians studied in our paper [1] and in Ref. [2] is given by
\[ H_2(k_x, k_y) = \frac{\Omega}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -h - \cos k_x & \alpha \sin k_x e^{-ik_y} - h + \cos k_x \\ \alpha \sin k_x e^{ik_y} & h - \cos k_x \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1} \]
where the parameters \( k = (k_x, k_y) \) with \( \{k_x, k_y\} \in [0, 2\pi] \) in Ref. [1] and with \( k_x \in [0, 2\pi] \) and \( k_y \in [0, \pi] \) in Ref. [2]. The Hamiltonian \( H_2(k) \) can be rewritten as
\[ H_2(k) = d_x \sigma_x + d_y \sigma_y + d_z \sigma_z, \tag{2} \]
where the Bloch vectors \( d = (d_x, d_y, d_z) \) are given by
\[ d_x = (\alpha \Omega/2) \sin k_x \cos k_y, \tag{3} \]
\[ d_y = (\alpha \Omega/2) \sin k_x \sin k_y, \tag{4} \]
\[ d_z = -\Omega (h + \cos k_x)/2. \tag{5} \]
The energy spectrum are obtained as \( E_{\pm} = \pm d \) with
\[ d = \sqrt{d_x^2 + d_y^2 + d_z^2} \]
and the corresponding eigenvectors are given by
\[ |u_{\pm}\rangle = \frac{\Omega}{2} \begin{pmatrix} d_x - id_y \\ \pm d - d_z \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6} \]

We first introduce the method addressed in Ref. [2] to calculate the Euler characteristic number \( \chi \) of a two-level (two-band) model with the two-dimensional coordinates \((\mu, \nu)\), it is given by
\[ \chi = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int M R \sqrt{\det g} \, d\mu d\nu, \tag{7} \]
where \( R \) is the Ricci scalar curvature and \( g \) denotes the \( 2 \times 2 \) metric tensor. In a two-level gapped system, it is generally true that \( \sqrt{\det g} = |F_{\mu\nu}|/2 \), where the Berry curvature \( F_{\mu\nu} = \hat{d} \cdot (\partial_{\mu} \hat{d} \times \partial_{\nu} \hat{d})/2 \) with \( \hat{d} = d/d. \) Associated with the Berry curvature, we have the first Chern number defined as
\[ C = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int M F_{\mu\nu} d\mu d\nu. \tag{8} \]

As for the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1), the quantum metric for both the ground and excited states is obtained as
\[ g = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2 (1 + h \cos k_x)^2/f^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^2 \sin^2 k_x/f^2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{9} \]
where \( f = (h + \cos k_x)^2 + \alpha^2 \sin^2 k_x \). One can find that the quantum metric tensor \( g \) is independent on \( k_y \) and the square root of its determination
\[ \sqrt{\det g} = \alpha^2 |(1 + h \cos k_x) \sin k_x|/(4f^{3/2}). \tag{10} \]

Please note that there is a typo in Eq. (7) in Ref. [1], that is, the denominator \( 2f^{3/2} \) there should be \( 4f^{3/2} \) as corrected in Eq. (10). The Berry curvature of the ground state is given by
\[ \mathcal{F} = \alpha^2 (1 + h \cos k_x) \sin k_x/(2f^{3/2}). \tag{11} \]

In Ref. [1], we parameterize the system with the spherical coordinate \((\theta, \phi)\) with the notations \( k_x \rightarrow \theta, k_y \rightarrow \phi \), and the Euler characteristic number \( \chi \) is calculated by substituting Eq. (9) and the Ricci scalar curvature \( R = 8 \) (the Gaussian curvature \( K = 4 \)) of the quantum state manifold into Eq. (7). While the Chern number \( C \) can be obtained by substituting Eq. (11) into Eq. (8). The results are plotted in Fig. 1 (Fig. 4(b) in Ref. [1]). It shows that the \( C \) is always zero; while the \( \chi = 4 \) when \( |h| < 1 \), but it just gradually becomes zero when \( |h| \) approaches infinite. Similar results of \( \chi \) are also demonstrated in Ref. [2].
We here present a physics picture to illustrate the results plotted in Fig. 1. For simplicity, we take \( \alpha = 1 \) (and \( \Omega/2 \) as the energy unit) as an example to illustrate the physical meanings of the results. Under these conditions, the Bloch vectors \( \mathbf{d} \) for a fixed \( \hbar \) form a unit sphere with the center of it at \((0, 0, \hbar)\), and for concreteness, we denote the sphere formed by \( \mathbf{d} \) as \( \mathcal{S}_d \). We may assume that there is a monopole at the origin \( \mathbf{d} = (0, 0, 0) \), as shown in Fig. 2. We can see that the sphere \( \mathcal{S}_d \) contains the coordinate origin \( \mathbf{d} = 0 \) when \( |\hbar| < 1 \), while it does not contain the origin point when \( |\hbar| > 1 \).

The Chern number \( C \) is determined by the sum of the net Berry flux flowed past the surface of the sphere \( \mathcal{S}_d \); In contrast, the “Euler characteristic number” \( \chi \) defined in Eq. (7) is determined by the sum of the absolute Berry flux flowed past the surface of the sphere \( \mathcal{S}_d \).

As for the Chern number \( C \), it should be 1 for the case in Fig. 2(a) and 0 in Fig. 2(b). However, as for the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1), the Bloch vectors \( \mathbf{d} \) run over the sphere \( \mathcal{S}_d \) twice (the Bloch sphere is defined as a unit sphere with the center of it at the origin, but in general, it is not the sphere \( \mathcal{S}_d \) formed by the Bloch vectors \( \mathbf{d} \)), and the topological charge of the monopole at the origin is opposite (that is, there exists a pair of monopole and antimonopole at the origin for \( k_x \in (\pi, 2\pi) \) and \( k_y \in (0, 2\pi) \)). So the Chern number \( C \) of the system is always zero for all \( \hbar \), which is consistent with the result in Fig. 1.

As for the “Euler characteristic number” \( \chi \) calculated in Refs. [1, 2], it is actually determined by the solid angle of the surface formed by the unit \( \mathbf{d} \). If we parameterize and normalize the Bloch vectors with the spherical coordinate \((\theta, \phi)\) as

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{d}_x &= d_x / d = \sin \theta \cos \phi, \\
\hat{d}_y &= d_y / d = \sin \theta \sin \phi, \\
\hat{d}_z &= d_z / d = \cos \theta.
\end{align*}
\]

The “Euler characteristic number” we obtain now is given by [1, 2]

\[
\chi = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}} K dA = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\det G}} \sin \theta d\theta d\phi = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\Omega,
\]

where \( d\Omega = |\mathbf{d} \cdot \partial_\theta \mathbf{d} \times \partial_\phi \mathbf{d}| d\theta d\phi = \sin \theta d\theta d\phi. \) Figure 2 also shows the solid angle of these two different situations. Figure 2(a) shows the situation for \(|\hbar| < 1\), the solid angle \( \chi \) is \( 2\pi \), thus we obtain \( \chi = 1 \); when \(|\hbar| \) becomes larger and larger in the parameter region \(|\hbar| > 1\), the sphere \( \mathcal{S}_d \) is getting farther away from the origin along the \( z \) axis and thus the solid angle formed by \( \mathbf{d} \) is \( 2\pi (1 - \cos \theta_0) \) and becomes smaller and smaller until zero, as illustrated in Fig. 2(b). Therefore, \( \chi \) continuously tends from 4 at \(|\hbar| = 1\) to zero at the infinity \(|\hbar| \to \infty\).

However, the above results imply that the calculation of the “Euler characteristic number” for the two-level system must be not correct for \(|\hbar| > 1\) since it is not an integer. In the following, we will show that when \(|\hbar| \) becomes larger, the above calculation misses the boundary contribution since the Bloch vectors of the ground state do not cover the whole Bloch sphere (that is, the unit vector \( \mathbf{d} \) only contains part of the Bloch sphere). In this case, the Euler characteristic number should be associated with the manifold of a disk, rather than a sphere. After taking into account the boundary contribution, we can derive the correct Euler characteristic number \( \chi \).

In mathematics, the Fubini-Study metric is a Kähler metric on projective Hilbert space \( \mathcal{PH} \), namely, on a complex projective space \( \mathbb{C}P^n \), which is a space of complex lines through the origin of a \( (n + 1) \)-dimensional complex vector space. In quantum mechanical systems, the metric \( g_{\mu\nu} \) defined above measures the distance of “rays” in projective Hilbert space \( \mathcal{PH} = \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}/U(1) \).
Recall that a ray as a point on manifold \( \{|\psi\}\) is an equivalence class \([|\psi|]\) (denoted by \(|\psi\rangle\) of states \(|\psi\rangle\) \((|\psi\rangle \neq 0\) in Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H}\), which differ only in phase \([3, 4]\). For the \((n + 1)\)-dimensional Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\} = S^{2n+1}\), the manifold \(\{|\psi\}\) is the projective Hilbert space \(P\mathcal{H} = S^{2n+1}/U(1) = CP^n\). Therefore, the topological number for the manifold of quantum states actually is the Euler characteristic number of \(CP^n\). For a two-dimensional Hilbert space, its projective Hilbert space \(P\mathcal{H} = S^3/S^1 \cong S^2\) is a Bloch sphere. So its Euler characteristic number \(\chi = 2\) and its restriction to the real tangent bundle should yield an expression of the ordinary “round metric” of radius \(\rho = 1/2\) (and \(K = 1/\rho^2 = 4\)) on \(S^2\).

In our two-level system, the Euler characteristic number should be \(4\) for \(|h| < 1\) since the unit Bloch vectors \(\mathbf{d}\) run over the Bloch sphere twice (since \(\{x, y, z\} \in [0, 2\pi]\) ) and it is independent of \(h\). However, the “Euler characteristic number” obtained above in the region \(|h| > 1\) is not an integer and thus is not a correct one. The reason is the following: the effective integral surface consisting of the eigenvectors \(\{|u\}_{-}\rangle\) (more precisely, the states \(\{|\tilde{u}\}_{-}\rangle\) in the projective Hilbert space) does not cover the entire Bloch sphere when \(|h| > 1\). As shown in Fig. 3(a) when \(|h| < 1\), \(\mathbf{d}\) runs over the unit Bloch sphere twice, and thus \(\chi = 4\). When \(|h| > 1\), \(\mathbf{d}\) runs over just a part of the unit Bloch sphere four times [Fig. 3(b)]. Note that the manifold now has a boundary. Under this condition, Eq. \([7]\) for calculating the Euler characteristic number is not correct since it misses the contribution of the boundary.

As for a manifold with a boundary, the Gauss-Bonnet formula can be generalized as

\[
\chi = \chi_{\text{bulk}} + \chi_{\text{boundary}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \int_{\mathcal{M}} K dA + \int_{\partial \mathcal{M}} k_g dl \right),
\]

where \(\chi_{\text{bulk}}\) and \(\chi_{\text{boundary}}\) are the bulk and boundary contributions to the Euler characteristic number of the manifold \(\mathcal{M}\) \([3]\). Here \(k_g\) is the geodesic curvature of the boundary \(\partial \mathcal{M}\), and \(dl\) is the line element along the boundary of \(\mathcal{M}\). The metric is written in its fundamental form as

\[
ds^2 = g_{11} d\lambda_1 + 2g_{12} d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2 + g_{22} d\lambda_2 d\lambda_2.
\]

These invariants are given by \([6]\)

\[
k_g = -\Gamma^1_{22} \sqrt{\det g} g^{22},
\]

\[
dl = \sqrt{g_{22}} dl_2,
\]

where \(k_g\) and \(dl\) are given for a curve of constant \(\lambda_1\). The Christoffel symbols \(\Gamma^k_{ij}\) are defined as

\[
\Gamma^k_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} g^{km} (\partial_j g_{im} + \partial_i g_{jm} - \partial_m g_{ij}),
\]

where \(g^{ij}\) is the inverse of the metric tensor \(g_{ij}\). The metric tensor in the spherical coordinate with \((\tilde{\theta}, \phi) \leftrightarrow (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)\) takes the form \([1]\).

\[
g = \begin{pmatrix}
g_{\tilde{\theta}\tilde{\theta}} & g_{\tilde{\theta}\phi} \\
g_{\phi\tilde{\theta}} & g_{\phi\phi}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
1/4 & 0 \\
0 & 4 \sin^2 \tilde{\theta}/4.
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Then one can obtain that \(\sqrt{\det g} = \sin \tilde{\theta}/4\), \(\Gamma^1_{22} = -\frac{1}{2} g^{11} \partial_i g_{22} = -\sin \tilde{\theta} \cos \tilde{\theta}\), and thus we have \(k_g = 2 \cos \tilde{\theta}/\sin \tilde{\theta}, dl = \sin \tilde{\theta}_0 d\phi/2\) with constant \(\tilde{\theta}_0\) on the boundary \(\partial \mathcal{M}\). Note that \(k_g\) calculated from the quantum metric \(g_{\tilde{\theta}\tilde{\theta}}\) is the exact geodesic curvature of a circle with radius \(r = \sin \tilde{\theta}_0/2\) on a sphere of radius \(\rho = 1/2\), where \(k_g = \sqrt{\rho^2 - r^2}/\rho r\) in the geometrical representation. For convenience, we consider the base manifold \(S\) formed by \(\mathbf{d}\) (i.e., \(K = 1\) and \(\rho = 1\)) with a circle boundary \(c\). Thus, the Euler characteristic number in the region \(|h| > 1\) is given by

\[
\chi = 4 \times \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \int_S \sin \tilde{\theta} d\tilde{\theta} d\phi + \int_c \cos \tilde{\theta}_0 d\phi \right) = 4 \times \left( \int_0^{\tilde{\theta}_0} \sin \tilde{\theta} d\tilde{\theta} + \cos \tilde{\theta}_0 \right) = 4.
\]

This result can be intuitively understood as the manifold of quantum states \(\{|\tilde{u}\}_{-}\rangle\) in this case is equivalent to four disks due to \(\mathbf{d}\) runs over the surface \(S\) four times, as depicted in Fig. 3(b). The two-dimensional compact surface \(S\) with the circle boundary \(c\) is topologically equivalent to a disk and thus has the Euler characteristic number \(\chi(S) = 1\), one can easily check this result for \(S\) by using Eq. \([14]\).

In summary, the Euler characteristic number for our two-level quantum system should be \(4\) after we consider the contribution of boundary for the manifold of quantum states (formed by \(\mathbf{d}\)) in \(CP^1\) (unit Bloch sphere) in the region \(|h| > 1\). Unfortunately, the Euler characteristic number \(\chi\) does not change when crossing the critical points \(|h| = 1\) and thus cannot be used to characterize the topological phase transition of the present model.

FIG. 3: Schematic diagram of the surface formed by the unit \(\mathbf{d}\). (a) the unit \(\mathbf{d}\) formed a unit Bloch sphere for \(|h| < 1\). (b) For \(|h| > 1\), the unit \(\mathbf{d}\) just formed a part of unit Bloch sphere \(S\) with boundary \(c\), where \(r = \sin \tilde{\theta}_0\) is the radius of \(c\). In our model, the \(\mathbf{d}\) runs over (a) the unit Bloch sphere twice and (b) a part of unit Bloch sphere \(S\) four times, thus we always obtain \(\chi = 4\).
We will submit an Erratum to PRL based on this note.
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