Bound on efficiency of heat engine from uncertainty relation viewpoint
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Quantum thermodynamics explores thermodynamic quantities like temperature, entropy, heat, etc. for the microscopic system. It can even analyze the above parameters for a single particle model. The study of quantum thermodynamics comprises of the analysis of quantum thermal machines in the microscopic regime [6–75] and also in the thermalization mechanism [14].

All of the various methods specified so far do not exploit quantum effects in thermodynamics, i.e., there exists some classical analog in these methods.

I. INTRODUCTION

Thermodynamics is a prominent theory in evaluating the performance of the engines. It stands as a pillar of theoretical physics and even contributes to our understanding of modern theories, e.g., black hole entropy and temperature [1], gravity [2, 3]. Though it is successful in the classical regime, the application of thermodynamics needs to be reinvestigated in a quantum system, as the energy is discrete instead of continuous. So, we can expect new thermodynamic effects to come up in the quantum world. However, while dealing with thermodynamic laws in a quantum regime, a striking similarity between the quantum–thermodynamic system and the macroscopic system (which are described by classical thermodynamics) can be found. For example, in the case of thermal baths, the Carnot efficiency of the engines is equally relevant for the quantum system (even with a single particle) [4]. This raises a question: can all the thermodynamic effects of heat engines of small quantum systems be analyzed and predicted by the known classical thermodynamics? Various works have been performed on the analysis of generic thermodynamic effects and dynamical behavior, which are purely quantum in their nature, with no classical counterpart involved [5].

Quantum thermodynamics explores thermodynamic quantities like temperature, entropy, heat, etc. for the microscopic system. It can even analyze the above parameters for a single particle model. The study of quantum thermodynamics comprises of the analysis of quantum thermal machines in the microscopic regime [6–75] and also in the thermalization mechanism [14].

All of the various methods specified so far do not exploit quantum effects in thermodynamics, i.e., there exists some classical analog in these methods.

A framework for quantum heat engine realization and its experimental setup has been proposed [15–19]. Heat engines can be discrete or continuous in nature. Two-stroke and four-stroke engines belong to the discrete group whereas turbines belong to the continuous engine. The Szilard engine was a seminal work [20] to solve the violation of the 2nd law of thermodynamics by Maxwell’s demon. The quantum version of this engine was proposed by Kim et al. [21]. This is an example of the quantum version of a four-stroke engine. During the insertion and deletion of the barrier in the quantum Szilard engine, a certain amount of work and heat exchange occurs in the system that does not occur in the classical system. Different models and methods to explain the working principle of the Szilard engine have been explored in various works [22–26]. Various models and working mediums for the analysis of thermal machines has been recently explored in various works [27–38].

In this work, we study the engines from a more fundamental concept of quantum mechanics and try to connect the efficiency of engines with the fundamental uncertainty relation of two incompatible operators. We consider the one-dimensional potential well as the working substance for the quantum heat engine. Here, we consider a specific model for our analysis, though it is applicable globally to all the engines. We develop an effective method to analyze the useful work using the uncertainty relation of the position and the momentum of a particle in a box without performing any measurement, but by applying two reservoirs of different temperatures. The thorough analysis performed in this work is done in the nonrelativistic limit. A parallel analysis in the relativistic limit is analyzed in our work [39]. During the evolution of quantum information, the essence and importance of uncertainty relation in technology got enriched. It has various applications in quantum tech-
tology like quantum cryptography [40–42], entanglement detection [43–46], and even in quantum metrology [47] and quantum speed limit [48–51]. In recent times, the work [52–54] authenticated the uncertainty relation experimentally. The thermal uncertainty relation that we will be applying here is a special case of the general quantum uncertainty relations. The uncertainty relation of two incompatible observables is given by

$$\Delta a \Delta b \sim \frac{\hbar}{2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $a$ and $b$ are any two canonical variable pairs. No better lower bound was known to us until it was explored in the work [55]. They have not only given a better lower bound than the previously known Pati–Maccone uncertainty relation (PMUR), but also developed an upper bound than the previously known Pati–Maccone uncertainty relations. The uncertainty relation of the new principle, we will similarly develop the bound of efficiency and work of the heat engine in terms of uncertainty relation.

We have organized the paper as follows. In Section II, we develop the thermal uncertainty relation for a one-dimensional potential well of length $2L$. In Section III, we will similarly develop the bound of efficiency and work of the heat engine in terms of uncertainty relation.

II. THERMAL UNCERTAINTY RELATION

In the first phase of our analysis, we evaluate the thermal uncertainty relation (which is one of the special cases of the general uncertainty relation) for a particle in one-dimensional potential well. To do so, let us first revisit our textbook problem of the one-dimensional potential well. The one-dimensional potential well is a well-known problem in quantum mechanics [56–57]. Here, we consider a particle of mass $m$ inside a one-dimensional potential box of length $2L$. The wave function of this system for the $n$-th level is

$$|\psi_n\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{1}{L}} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{2L}\right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

So, when the wave function of the model under study is known, we can calculate the eigenvalue of the system. Eigenvalues of the 1-D potential well are

$$E_n = \frac{n^2\pi^2\hbar^2}{2m(2L)^2};$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\hbar$ is Planck’s constant.

With the wave function of the model in hand, we are all set to derive the uncertainty relation of the position and the momentum for this system. The uncertainty relation for our model is described as [56–57]

$$\Delta x \Delta p = \frac{\hbar}{2} \left(\frac{(n\pi)^2}{3} - 2\right) \geq \frac{\hbar}{2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $\Delta x^2 = \langle x^2 \rangle - \langle x \rangle^2$ and $\Delta p^2 = \langle p^2 \rangle - \langle p \rangle^2$ and we have $\langle p \rangle = 0$ for all eigenstates. The expectation values of $\langle x \rangle$, $\langle x^2 \rangle$ and $\langle p^2 \rangle$ can be easily evaluated by considering the defined wave function of the considered system.

We formulate the uncertainty relation of the system at a certain temperature $T$ from a thermodynamics viewpoint. The formulation of the thermal uncertainty relation is performed by the analysis of the partition function of the system. The partition function $Z$, for the 1-D potential well is expressed as

$$Z = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\beta E_n} \approx \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\beta\alpha}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $\beta = \frac{1}{k_B T}$, $k_B$ is Boltzmann’s constant and $\alpha = \frac{\pi^2\hbar^2}{2m(2L)^2}$. The expression of $Z$ converges to the form mentioned, as the product of $\beta$ and $\alpha$ is a small quantity. We use the Gaussian integral as the approximation considering that the error in the integration is negligible as the product of $\beta$ and $\alpha$ is a small quantity. The mean energy for this system evolves to $\langle E \rangle = -\partial \ln Z / \partial \beta = \frac{1}{2\beta}$. The average of the quantum number for the system under study can be conveyed as

$$\bar{n} = \frac{\sum_n n e^{-\beta E_n} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\alpha\beta}}.}{\sum_n e^{-\beta E_n}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Having the mathematical form of the partition function in hand, we have all the resources to develop the thermal uncertainty relation. Now, we focus on the development of the dispersion relation of the position and the momentum operator at a certain temperature. The dispersion in position can be expressed as

$$\langle (\Delta X)^2 \rangle_T = \langle (\Delta P)^2 \rangle_T = \langle X^2 \rangle_T - \langle X \rangle_T^2$$

$$= \frac{L^2}{3} - \frac{2L^2}{\pi^2} \times e^{-\alpha\beta} - \sqrt{\pi\alpha\beta} \times erf\left(\sqrt{\alpha\beta}\right)$$

$$= \frac{L^2}{3} - \frac{4L^2\sqrt{\alpha\beta}}{\pi\beta^2} \times (e^{-\alpha\beta} - \sqrt{\pi\alpha\beta}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

erf is the complementary error function, which appears while solving $\langle x^2 \rangle$. The dispersion relation of the momentum operator can be analyzed similarly. It is expressed as

$$\langle (\Delta P)^2 \rangle_T = \langle (\Delta X)^2 \rangle_T - \langle X \rangle_T^2$$

$$= \frac{\pi^2\hbar^2\bar{n}^2}{8L^2};$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)
So, the thermal uncertainty relation for the system at temperature $T$ can be evaluated from Equations (7) and (8) as

$$(\Delta X)_T(\Delta P)_T = \frac{\hbar \pi^{3/2}}{2\sqrt{2}} \left[ \frac{1}{3} - \frac{4}{\hbar \pi^3} \left( e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar T}} - \frac{1}{\hbar} \right) \right]^2 \geq \frac{\hbar}{2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

The product uncertainty relation loses its importance when the system under consideration is an eigenstate of the observable under study. The sum of uncertainty was introduced to capture uncertainty in the observables when the system happens to be an eigenstate of the observables. The sum of uncertainty for this system at a particular temperature $T$ is expressed as

$$(\Delta X)_T + (\Delta P)_T = L \left[ \frac{1}{3} - \frac{4\sqrt{\alpha \beta}}{\pi^{3/2}} \left( e^{-\alpha \beta} - \sqrt{\pi \alpha \beta} \right) \right]^{1/2} + \frac{\hbar \pi^{3/2}}{2\sqrt{2}L}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

The parameters that are considered for the analysis are expressed in table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{n}$</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length ($L$)</td>
<td>0-5 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot bath ($T_1$)</td>
<td>320 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold bath ($T_2$)</td>
<td>80 K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Figure 1, the variation of the uncertainty relation (Equation (10)) with respect to different temperatures is shown. The thermal uncertainty relation that we have developed (Equation (10)) for the considered system encounters a negligible amount of variation when the length of the potential well is small, whereas the difference is large for higher values of $L$ (length is considered in nanometers).

The computation of the reverse uncertainty relation of two observables results to the upper bound of uncertainty relation. To develop the upper bound, we have to utilize the definition of the Dunkl–Williams inequality [61]. The mathematical form of this inequality is

$$\Delta A + \Delta B \leq \frac{\sqrt{2\Delta(A - B)}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{\text{Cov}(A, B)}{\Delta A \Delta B}}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

Squaring both sides of the Equation (13), we get the upper bound of the sum of variance for two variables as

$$\Delta A^2 + \Delta B^2 \leq \frac{2\Delta(A - B)^2}{1 - \frac{\text{Cov}(A, B)}{\Delta A \Delta B}} - 2\Delta A \Delta B.$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)
where Cov$(A, B)$ is defined as $Cov(A, B) = \frac{1}{N}\langle\{A, B\}\rangle - \langle A\rangle\langle B\rangle$, and $\Delta(A - B)^2 = \langle(A - B)^2\rangle - \langle A - B\rangle^2$. $\Delta(A - B)^2$ is the variance of the difference of the two incompatible observables.

For our one-dimensional potential well system, which we have considered as a working substance, we calculate the upper bound of the sum of variance for the position and momentum operator. So, we have to replace $A = X$ and $B = P$ in Equation (14) and it results to

$$\Delta X^2 + \Delta P^2 \leq \frac{2\Delta(X - P)^2}{1 - \frac{\text{Cov}(X, P)}{\Delta X \Delta P}} - 2\Delta X \Delta P$$

$$\leq \frac{L^2}{3} - \frac{2L^2}{(n\pi)^2} + \frac{\pi^2\hbar^2n^2}{4L^2}. \quad (15)$$

In the above equation, i.e., Equation (15), the upper bound of the system from the standard method is developed using the definition described in Equation (14). Now, we develop the sum of variance of the same incompatible observables from the thermodynamic standpoint. The expression for the sum of variance of the system at a particular temperature evolves as

$$\Delta X^2 + \Delta P^2 \leq \frac{4L^2}{3} - \frac{8L^2\sqrt{\alpha\beta}}{\pi^{5/2}} \times (e^{-\alpha\beta} - \sqrt{\pi\alpha\beta})$$

$$+ \frac{\hbar^2\pi^2n^2}{4L^2}. \quad (16)$$

The bounds of sum uncertainty relation (from the thermodynamic perspective developed using Equation (12) for the lower bound and Equation (16) for the upper bound for the considered system) with a particular temperature for different levels are shown in Figure 3. The upper part of the plot is for $n = 1$ and the lower part is for $n = 2$. From Figure 3, we can infer that the effect of the bounds of uncertainty relation are prominent for higher values of the length of the potential well. The bounds are less prominent for lower values of $L$.
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**FIG. 3.** The bound of uncertainty relation (Equation (12) and (16)) for a particular temperature for different values of $n$ from a thermodynamic standpoint.

### IV. CONNECTION OF THERMODYNAMIC QUANTITIES WITH UNCERTAINTY

In the next phase of our analysis, we want to establish a bridge between the thermodynamic quantities with the uncertainty relation. We consider the sum of variance to overcome the flaw that will appear if we consider the product form of uncertainty and if the system is an eigenstate of the observables. We will first demonstrate a connection of partition function with our uncertainty relation. The mathematical form of this is given by

$$Z = \frac{\pi^n}{2} = \frac{L^{\sqrt{\pi}}}{\hbar^{5/2}} (\Delta X_T + \Delta P_T + C_T), \quad (17)$$

where $C_T = \frac{\nu}{\pi^{5/2}\sqrt{\alpha\beta}}[\alpha\beta - \sqrt{\pi}(\alpha\beta)^{3/2} - 1]$ is a constant for a specific temperature, which is derived by expanding Equation (10) and neglecting the higher order terms as the products of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are small.

Since we are able to bridge a relationship between the uncertainty relation and the partition function, we are set to explain all the thermodynamic variables in terms of uncertainty relations. We develop the Helmholtz free energy $F$, from the uncertainty viewpoint which takes the form of

$$F = -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln Z$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln \left[ \frac{L^{\sqrt{\pi}}}{\hbar^{5/2}} (\Delta X_T + \Delta P_T + C_T) \right]. \quad (18)$$

Entropy is expressed in terms of Helmholtz free energy. So, we uncover the relationship between the variance of position and momentum with entropy. The mathematical form for entropy from the uncertainty viewpoint is

$$S = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial T}$$

$$= k_B \ln \left[ \frac{L^{\sqrt{\pi}}}{\hbar^{5/2}} (\Delta X_T + \Delta P_T + C_T) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{\hbar\sqrt{\pi}k_B(\nu + \gamma)}{\sqrt{2L}\beta(\Delta X_T + \Delta P_T + C_T)}, \quad (19)$$

where $\nu = \frac{L^{\sqrt{\pi}}}{\sqrt{\pi}^{5/2}} (e^{-\alpha\beta} - \sqrt{\pi\alpha\beta}) - \frac{2L^{2}\sqrt{\pi}}{\pi^{5/2}} (\alpha e^{-\alpha\beta} - 1/2\sqrt{\pi\alpha})$ and $\gamma$ is expressed as

$$\gamma = \frac{L^{\sqrt{\pi}}}{\sqrt{\pi}^{5/2}} (\alpha\beta - \sqrt{\pi}(\alpha\beta)^{3/2} - 1) + \frac{2L^{2}\sqrt{\pi}}{\pi^{5/2}^{\sqrt{\alpha\beta}}} (\alpha - \sqrt{\pi\alpha\beta})^{3/2}.$$
between the uncertainty relation with entanglement. So, we can measure the entanglement property of the system from the uncertainty relation if we are able to model our system with any well-known quantum systems.

Knowing the Helmholtz free energy \( F \), for a given system, all the relevant thermodynamic quantities can be computed from it. Here, the correlation of \( F \) with the uncertainty in the measure of the position and momentum is established. Hence, this replaces the explicit requirement of computing the internal energy of the system for deriving the thermodynamic quantities. In addition, it also raises the question of whether phase transition (Landau theory and its multimode coupling) can be analyzed from an uncertainty perspective.

The internal energies for the system are calculated from the partition function. The internal energy \( U_A \) and \( U_B \) is defined as

\[
U_i = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_i} \ln Z_i,
\]

where \( i = A, B \) and \( \beta_1 = \frac{1}{k_B T_1} \). This results to

\[
U_A = U_B = \frac{1}{2\beta_1}.
\]

The internal energy \( U_A \) is analogous to the internal energy \( U_B \).

The heat exchanged in this isothermal process can be expressed as

\[
Q_{AB} = U_B - U_A + k_B T_1 \ln Z_B - k_B T_1 \ln Z_A.
\]

Then, the system is connected to a heat bath at a lower temperature \( T_2 \). The partition function for this lower temperature, where the energy remains the same, is defined as

\[
Z_C = \sum_n e^{-\beta_2 E_n}.
\]

The heat exchanged for this stage of the cycle is the difference of the average energies of the initial and the final states, i.e.,

\[
Q_{CB} = U_C - U_B,
\]

where \( U_C = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_2} \ln Z_C \) and \( \beta_2 = \frac{1}{k_B T_2} \). While the system is connected to the heat bath at temperature \( T_2 \), we remove the wall isothermally, which we call stage 3. The energy is now of the form \( E_n = \frac{n^2 \pi^2 \hbar^2}{2m(2L)^2} \). The corresponding partition function is given by

\[
Z_D = \sum_n e^{-\beta_2 E_n}.
\]

We can calculate the internal energy \( U_D \) similarly as \( U_C \). The heat exchanged during this process is given by

\[
Q_{CD} = U_D - U_C + k_B T_2 \ln Z_D - k_B T_2 \ln Z_C.
\]

In the fourth stage of the cycle, the system is connected back to the heat bath at temperature \( T_1 \). The corresponding energy exchange for this stage can be expressed as

\[
Q_{DA} = U_A - U_D.
\]
where $D$ a little when uncertainty is low. As shown in Figure 6, constant when the uncertainty is high, whereas it dips between the efficiency of the heat engine is near of the position and the momentum operator. The up -sion of the position and the momentum operator. Here, the expres -ing analyzed for the thermal uncertainty relation of the potential well.  

In Equation (30), the upper and lower bound of the efficiency are evaluated in terms of the bound that is being analyzed for the thermal uncertainty relation of the position and the momentum operator. Here, the expression of $D$ and $E$ (for the working model considered for the analysis of the heat engine) gives the required uncertain -tainty relation for the illustration of the bound of the efficiency.

In this paper, we are able to bridge a connection between the efficiency of the heat engine with the variance of the position and the momentum operator. The upper bound of the efficiency for the heat engine is near -constant when the uncertainty is high, whereas it dips a little when uncertainty is low. As shown in Figure 6, the lower bound of the efficiency is high when the uncertainty in measurement is less and dips gradually with the increase in uncertainty. Thus, with an increase in uncertainty, we can visualize that the lower bound of the efficiency decreases. From Figure 3, one can infer that the lower and the upper bound of the efficiency are near about the same when the uncertainty in the position and the momentum operator is quite small. The decrease in the efficiency with the uncertainty is due to the fact that the conversion ratio of the thermal machine decrease with the increase in the thermal energy of the system, which has a relation with the uncertainty of the working medium. The asymptotic behavior for the higher values of the uncertainty is due to the fact that the conversion rate gets saturated. Computing the error margins would help us understand this behavior better, which we intend to do in a future paper.

With the increase in uncertainty, the conversion ratio of the heat engine decreases as the thermal energy of the system is directly proportional to the uncertainty of the system. In the case of the upper bound of the efficiency, which is depicted in terms of the uncertainty relation defined in Equation 22, the decrease in the efficiency is more prominent due to the presence of the exponential component, which causes exponential growth in the thermal energy of the engine and the dissipated heat over the work output.

The Carnot efficiency for the low temperature limit is expressed as $\left(1 - \frac{T_2}{T_1}\right)$, where $T_2$ and $T_1$ are the temperature of the cold and hot bath, respectively. The upper bound of the efficiency from an uncertainty viewpoint is consistent with the bound given by Carnot cycle. So, we can infer that the position and the momentum of the particle has a direct link with the thermodynamic variables. The work [68] suggests that the efficiency of engines that are powered by nonthermal baths can be higher than the usual convention. This can be testified from an uncertainty viewpoint.

In the quantum regime, after measurement, the system collapses to one of its eigenstates. So, to describe and analyze the efficiency of the engine for different conditions, we must have multiple copies of the system, whereas if we know the uncertainty relation of the model considered for analysis, we can describe and analyze different conditions without any measurement being done on the system. So, multiple copies of the system are not required for our analysis. This also reduces the measurement cost for analysis of the system.

VI. DISCUSSION

The quantum heat engine has a predominant role in better understanding of the quantum engines, information, and quantum thermodynamics. This work develops a relationship between the thermodynamic variables with the position and momentum of the particle in the system. We give the analytic formulation of the work.
and efficiency of the engine in terms of the thermal uncertainty relation. Though we have considered a specific model for our analysis, this analysis can cater to a spectrum of global effects, i.e., it can be used to explain the efficiency of the various engines with different quantum models as the working substance. Based on these formulations, the physical properties of the heat engine and the thermodynamic variables that we have encountered are as follows:

(a) Every quantum thermodynamic variable has a direct connection with the uncertainty relation. Helmholtz free energy shows the dependence of the internal energy of the thermodynamic system with the uncertainty relation of the incompatible observables. The detailed analysis of entropy with the uncertainty relation shows that entropy increases when the uncertainty of any one of the observables increases for a definite temperature. The rate of increase is different for different temperatures (Figure 4).

(b) The total work and the efficiency depends on the position and momentum of the particle. The change in the uncertainty of the position and the momentum has a direct impact on the efficiency rate and the work of the engine. The lower bound of the efficiency of the engine drops gradually when the uncertainty of the observable increases (Figure 0). The upper bound of the efficiency (Figure 0) shows a small variation for higher uncertainty relation, which conveys that the conversion rate of work input to output is near-constant for higher uncertainty.

(c) The uncertainty relation, which is the fundamental principle of quantum mechanics, is able to predict the efficiency and the total work of the engine without performing any measurement. So, the measurement cost for the system gets reduced if we are able to model the system under study with a quantum model for which we can develop the uncertainty relation.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

The bridge of the uncertainty relation with thermodynamic variables raises a question of whether we can analyze the phase transition (Landau theory) from an uncertainty perspective.

Most of the known methods for the measurement of entanglement converge to the analysis of entropy [69]. If we can model the system that is being analyzed with a quantum model, we can construct the entanglement from the uncertainty relation of the system. This would be a standard method to measure the entanglement property of the system, which might be a solution to the open problem of entanglement measure.

The 1-D problem in the nonrelativistic case is a standard problem. However, in the relativistic case it is not a standard problem. The study of the heat engine with a relativistic particle can be analyzed. The mapping of the entropy with uncertainty to explain the entanglement property for the relativistic system [70] is an open area to explore. Even the holographic interpretation of entanglement entropy of anti-de Sitter (ADS)/conformal field theory (CFT) [71] can be mapped with uncertainty relation.

This work can be extended to the development of quantum engines in deformed space structures [72–74] through the correlation of the generalized uncertainty relation and thermodynamic variables. In the paper [75], the authors mentioned the noncommutativity of kinetic and potential energy of the quantum harmonic heat engine. Therefore, the possibility of a connection between the deformed space structures [60] and the heat engines can be further explored in the future. One can even study the anharmonic oscillator models through the uncertainty standpoint.

The study of other thermodynamic cycles and procuring the bound for different thermodynamic parameters is a wide open area to explore. As entropy can be mapped with the uncertainty relation, this leaves us with questions for future study as to whether all thermodynamic analyses can be mapped with the uncertainty of the observables.
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