Faber polynomial coefficient estimation of subclass of bi-subordinate univalent functions
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1. Introduction

Let $A$ denote the class of analytic functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k,$$

normalized by the conditions $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$ defined in the open unit disk

$$U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}.$$

Let $S$ be the subclass of $A$ consisting of all functions of the form (1.1) which are univalent in $U$. Let $\varphi$ be an analytic univalent function in $U$ with positive real part and $\varphi(U)$ be symmetric with respect to the real axis, starlike with respect to $\varphi(0) = 1$ and $\varphi'(0) > 0$. Ma and Minda [19] gave a unified presentation of various subclasses of starlike and convex functions by introducing the classes $S'(\varphi)$ and $K(\varphi)$ of functions $f \in S$ satisfying $(zf'(z)/f(z)) < \varphi(z)$ and $1 + (zf''(z)/f'(z)) < \varphi(z)$ respectively, which includes several well-known classes as special case. For example, when $\varphi(z) = (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$ with a condition $(1 \leq B < A \leq 1)$, the class $S'(\varphi)$ reduces to the class $S'(A, B)$ introduced by Janowski [15]. For $0 \leq \beta < 1$, the classes $S'((1 + (1 - 2\beta)z)/(1 - z))$ and
The Koebe one quarter theorem, \( \mathcal{K}(\beta) = \mathcal{K}((1 + (1 - 2\beta)z)/(1 - z)) \) are starlike and convex functions of order \( \beta \). Further let \( S^* := S^*(0) \) and \( \mathcal{K} := \mathcal{K}(0) \) are the classes of starlike and convex functions respectively. The class of strongly starlike functions \( S_\alpha^* := S^*((1 + z)/(1 - z)^\alpha) \) of order \( \alpha (0 \leq \alpha < 1) \). The Koebe one quarter theorem \([8]\) ensures that the image of \( U \) under every univalent function \( f \in S \) contains a disk of radius \( 1/4 \). Thus every univalent function \( f \) has an inverse \( f^{-1} \) satisfying

\[
f^{-1}(f(z)) = z, \quad (z \in U) \text{ and } f(f^{-1}(w)) = w \quad (|w| < r_0(f), r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}).
\]

A function \( f \in S \) is said to be bi-univalent in \( U \) if both \( f \) and \( f^{-1} \) are univalent in \( U \). Let \( \Sigma \) denote the class of all bi-univalent functions defined in the unit disk \( U \). Since \( f \in \Sigma \) has the Maclaurian series expansion given by \((1.1)\), a simple calculation shows that its inverse \( g = f^{-1} \) has the series expansion

\[
g(w) = f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - \ldots.
\]

Examples of functions in the class \( \Sigma \) are

\[
\left. \frac{z}{1 - z}, \quad - \log (1 - z) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{z}{1 - z}\right). \right.
\]

and so on. However, the familiar Koebe function is not a member of \( \Sigma \).

Other common examples of functions in \( S \) such as

\[
z - \frac{z^2}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{z}{1 - z^2}
\]

are also not members of \( \Sigma \) (see [24]).

Several authors have introduced and investigated subclasses of bi-univalent functions and obtained bounds for the initial coefficients \([4, 28]\). The research into \( \Sigma \) was started by Lewin \([18]\). It focused on problems connected with coefficients. Many papers concerning bi-univalent functions have been published recently. A function \( f \in \Sigma \) is in the class \( S_\alpha^*(\beta) \) of bi-starlike function of order \( \beta (0 \leq \beta < 1) \), or \( \mathcal{K}_\Sigma(\beta) \) of bi-convex function of order \( \beta \) if both \( f \) and \( f^{-1} \) are respectively starlike or convex functions of order \( \beta \). For \( 0 \leq \alpha < 1 \), the function \( f \in \Sigma \) is strongly bi-starlike function of order \( \alpha \) if both the functions \( f \) and \( f^{-1} \) are strongly starlike functions of order \( \alpha \). The class of all such functions is denoted by \( S_\Sigma^*(\alpha) \). These classes were introduced by Brannan and Taha \([4]\). They obtained estimates on the initial coefficients \( a_2 \) and \( a_3 \) for functions in these classes. We owe the revival of these topics to Srivastava et al. \([24]\).

A bounded function classes was firstly introduced and discussed by Singh \([21]\). Singh and Singh \([22]\) introduced a bounded starlike and convex function classes \( S_\Sigma^* \) and \( \mathcal{K}_M \), respectively. The classes \( S_\Sigma^*(\alpha) \) and \( \mathcal{K}_M(\alpha) \) represented a bounded starlike and convex function of order \( \alpha \), respectively. Kulsheshta \([16]\) defined a bounded spirallike functions donated by \( F_{\lambda,M} \). Špaček \([23]\) introduced a \( \lambda \)-spirallike functions given by \( S^\lambda \). Aouf \([3]\) defined \( \lambda \)-spirallike functions of order \( \alpha \) given by \( F_{\lambda,M}(\alpha) \).
Not much is known about the bounds on the general coefficient \( a_n \) for \( n > 3 \). This is because the bi-univalency requirement makes the behavior of the coefficients of the function \( f \) and \( f^{-1} \) unpredictable. The Faber polynomials play an important role in various areas of mathematical sciences, especially in geometric function theory. The recent publications [11] and [13] applying the Faber polynomial expansions to meromorphic bi-univalent functions motivated us to apply this technique to classes of analytic bi-univalent functions. In the literature, there are only a few works determining the general coefficient bounds \( a_n \) for the analytic bi-univalent functions given by (1.1) using Faber polynomial expansions [5,12].

In this present work, we introduce subclass of \( \Sigma \) and obtain an estimation of its function coefficient \( a_n \). Furthermore, we deduce bounds for the first two Maclaurin coefficient for these functions class and giving bounds for the Fekete-Szegő functional \(|a_3 - 2a_2^2|\). Finally, we introduced some special cases for our main results.

2. Construction of the subclass

Throughout this section, let us assume that \( \varphi \in \mathcal{P} \) is an analytic function with positive real part in \( U \) of the form

\[
\varphi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + B_3z^3 + \cdots,
\]

(2.1)

according to Carathéodory’s lemma [8] gives \(|B_n| \leq 2, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\).

Using the Faber polynomial [1,2] expansion of the functions \( f \in \Sigma \) of the form (1.1), the inverse function \( g = f^{-1} \) may be expressed as

\[
g(w) = f^{-1}(w) = w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n w^n
\]

(2.2)

where

\[
A_n = \frac{1}{n} \mathcal{K}_n^{-n}(a_2,a_3,\ldots,a_n)
\]

(2.3)

and for any \( p \in \mathbb{Z} := \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots \} \), the expansion of \( \mathcal{K}_n^p \) is given by

\[
\mathcal{K}_n^p = pa_{n+1} + \frac{p!}{(p-2)!2!} D_n^2 + \frac{p!}{(p-3)!3!} D_n^3 + \cdots + \frac{p!}{(p-n)!n!} D_n^n,
\]

(2.4)

where

\[
D_n^m = D_n^m(a_2,\ldots,a_n) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{m!}{\mu_2!\mu_3!\cdots\mu_n!} a_2^{\mu_2} a_3^{\mu_3} \cdots a_n^{\mu_n}
\]

(2.5)

and the sum is taken over all non-negative integers \( \mu_2, \mu_3, \ldots, \mu_n \) satisfying \( \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \cdots + \mu_n = m \)
\[ \mu_2 + 2\mu_3 + \cdots + (n-1)\mu_n = n \]

It is observed that
\[ D^n_n(a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_n) = a_2^n \]

Thus, from equation (2.4) together with (2.5) we get an expression of \( \mathcal{K}_{n-1}^{-n} \) as
\[
\mathcal{K}_{n-1}^{-n}(a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_n) = \frac{-n!}{(-2n+1)\Gamma(n-1)} a_2^{n-1} + \frac{-n!}{(2(-n+1))\Gamma(n-3)} a_2^{n-3} a_3
\]
\[
+ \frac{-n!}{(-2n+3)\Gamma(n-4)} a_2^{n-4} a_4
\]
\[
+ \frac{-n!}{(2(-n+2))\Gamma(n-5)} a_2^{n-5} (a_5 + (-n+2)a_3^2)
\]
\[
+ \frac{-n!}{(-2n+5)\Gamma(n-6)} a_2^{n-6} (a_6 + (-2n+5)a_3a_4)
\]
\[
+ \sum_{j \geq 7} a_2^{n-j} V_j
\]

where such expressions as \((-n)!\) are to be interpreted by
\[ (-n)! := \Gamma(1-n) = (-n)(-n-1)(-n-2) \cdots \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}) \]

and \( V_j \) \((7 \leq j \leq n) \) is a homogeneous polynomial in the variables \( a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_n \).

In particular, in case of \( n = 2, 3, 4 \) the expression of \( \mathcal{K}_{n-1}^{-n} \) is reduced to
\[ \mathcal{K}_1^{-2} = -2a_2 \]
\[ \mathcal{K}_2^{-3} = 3(2a_2^2 - a_3) \]
\[ \mathcal{K}_3^{-4} = -4(5a_3^3 - 5a_2a_3 + a_4) \]

**Definition 2.1.** Let \( \lambda \geq 1, \tau \in \mathbb{C}^+ = \mathbb{C} - \{0\}, 0 \leq \delta \leq 1 \) and \( f, g \in \Sigma \) given by (1.1) and (2.2) respectively, then \( f \) is said to be in the class \( \mathcal{H}_\Sigma(\lambda, \tau, \delta; \varphi) \) if
\[ 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left( \frac{1}{z} - \lambda \frac{f(z)}{z} + \lambda f'(z) + \delta z f''(z) - 1 \right) < \varphi(z) \quad (2.6) \]
and
\[ 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left( \frac{1}{w} - \lambda \frac{g(w)}{w} + \lambda g'(w) + \delta z g''(w) - 1 \right) < \varphi(w). \quad (2.7) \]

where \( z, w \in U \) and \( \varphi(z) \) is given by (2.1)

If we set \( \varphi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-mz}, m = 1 - \frac{1}{M}(M \geq \frac{1}{2}) \), in definition 2.1 we defined the new subclass of bounded class \( P(M) \).

**Definition 2.2.** A function \( f \) belong to \( \Sigma \), given by (1.1), is said to be in the class \( \mathcal{H}_\Sigma(\lambda, \tau, \delta; M) \) if
\[ \left| 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left( \frac{1}{z} - \lambda \frac{f(z)}{z} + \lambda f'(z) + \delta z f''(z) - 1 \right) - M \right| < M \quad (2.8) \]
and
\[ \left| 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left( \frac{1}{w} - \lambda \frac{g(w)}{w} + \lambda g'(w) + \delta z g''(w) - 1 \right) - M \right| < M \quad (2.9) \]

where \( z, w \in U \).
Remark 1. For special choices of the parameters $\lambda, \tau, \delta$ and the function $\varphi(z)$, the class $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma(\tau, \lambda, \delta; \varphi)$ mentioned in definition 2.1 reduced to the following subclasses:

1. $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma(\tau, 1, \gamma; \varphi) = \Sigma(\tau, \gamma, \varphi)$ which introduced by A.E. Tudor [27] and recently studied by H.M. Srivastava and Deepak Bansel [26].
2. $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma(1, \lambda, 0; \varphi) = \mathcal{R}_\Sigma(\lambda, \varphi)$ which defined and studied by S. Sivaprasad Kumar et al. [17].
3. $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma(1, 1, \beta; \left(\frac{1+\tau}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha}) = \mathcal{H}_\Sigma(\alpha, \beta)$ which introduced by B.A. Frasin [10] and recently studied by H.M. Srivastava et al. [25].
4. $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma(1, 1, 0; \left(\frac{1+\tau}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha}) = \mathcal{H}_\Sigma^\alpha$ which introduced by H.M. Srivastava et al. [24].
5. $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma(1, \lambda, 0; \left(\frac{1+\tau}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha}) = \mathcal{B}_\Sigma(\alpha, \lambda)$ which is introduced by B.A. Frasin and M.K. Aouf [9].
6. $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma(1 - \gamma, 1, \beta; \left(\frac{1+\tau}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha}) = \mathcal{H}_\Sigma(\gamma, \beta)$ which introduced by B.A. Frasin [10].
7. $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma(1 - \alpha, \lambda, \delta; \left(\frac{1+\tau}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha}) = \mathcal{N}_\Sigma(\alpha, \lambda, \delta)$ which introduced by S. Bulut [6].
8. $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma(1 - \beta, 1, 0; \left(\frac{1+\tau}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha}) = \mathcal{H}_\Sigma(\beta)$ which introduced by H.M. Srivastava et al. [24].
9. $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma(1 - \beta, \lambda, 0; \left(\frac{1+\tau}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha}) = \mathcal{B}_\Sigma(\beta, \lambda)$ which introduced by B.A. Frasin and M.A. Aouf [9] and recently studied by J.M. Jahangiri and S.G. Hamidi [14].
10. $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma(\tau, 1, \gamma; \left(\frac{1+\tau}{1+sz}\right)^{\alpha}) = \mathcal{R}_\Sigma^{\alpha, \tau}(A, B)$ which introduced by A.E. Tudor [27].

Lemma 2.3. [20] Let $u(z)$ be analytic function in the unit disc $U$ with $u(0) = 0$ and $|u(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in U$ with the power series expansion

$$u(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n.$$

Then $|c_n| \leq 1$ for all $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$. Furthermore, $|c_n| = 1$ for some $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ if and only if

$$u(z) = e^{i\theta} z^n, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

3. Coefficient bounds of members of $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma(\tau, \lambda, \delta; \varphi)$

Unless otherwise mentioned, let us assume in the reminder of this section that $z \in U$, $\lambda \geq 1$, $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $f$ defined by (1.1) belong to the class $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma(\tau, \lambda, \delta; \varphi)$ and $a_k = 0$ ($2 \leq k \leq n - 1$), then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{B_1|\tau|}{1 + (n-1)(\lambda + n\delta)} \quad (n \geq 4) \quad (3.1)$$
Proof. Applying the same technique used earlier by E. Deniz et al. [7] in proving this theorem. Since \( f \in \mathcal{H}_E(\tau, \lambda, \delta; \varphi) \), then we have

\[
1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left( (1 - \lambda) \frac{f(z)}{z} + \lambda f' (z) + \delta f'' (z) - 1 \right) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1 + (n - 1)(\lambda + n\delta)}{\tau} a_n z^{n-1} \tag{3.2}
\]

and since the inverse map \( g = f^{-1} \) represented by (2.2) also belonging to the same subclass, then

\[
1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left( (1 - \lambda) \frac{g(w)}{w} + \lambda g' (w) + \delta g'' (w) - 1 \right) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1 + (n - 1)(\lambda + n\delta)}{\tau} A_n w^{n-1} \tag{3.3}
\]

Now, Since \( f, g \in \mathcal{H}_E(\tau, \lambda, \delta; \varphi) \), by the definition 2.1, there exist two Schwarz functions \( u(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n \) and \( v(w) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n w^n \) such that

\[
1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left( (1 - \lambda) \frac{f(z)}{z} + \lambda f' (z) + \delta f'' (z) - 1 \right) = \varphi(u(z)), \tag{3.4}
\]

\[
1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left( (1 - \lambda) \frac{g(w)}{w} + \lambda g' (w) + \delta g'' (w) - 1 \right) = \varphi(v(w)), \tag{3.5}
\]

such that

\[
\varphi(u(z)) = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_1 \mathcal{K}_n^1(c_1, ..., c_n; B_1, ..., B_n) z^n \tag{3.6}
\]

\[
\varphi(v(w)) = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_1 \mathcal{K}_n^1(d_1, ..., d_n; B_1, ..., B_n) w^n \tag{3.7}
\]

where in general \( \mathcal{K}_n^p = \mathcal{K}_n^p(\rho_1, ..., \rho_n, B_1, ..., B_n) \) are defined by

\[
\mathcal{K}_n^p = \frac{p!}{(p-n)!n!} \rho_1^n B_n + \frac{p!}{(p-n+1)!(n-2)!} \rho_1^{n-2} \rho_2^n B_{n-1} B_1 + \frac{p!}{(p-n+2)!(n-3)!} \rho_1^{n-3} \rho_3^n B_{n-2} B_1 + \frac{p!}{(p-n+3)!(n-4)!} \rho_1^{n-4} \left( \rho_4^n B_{n-3} B_1 + \frac{p-n+3}{2} \rho_2^n B_{n-2} B_1 \right) + \frac{p!}{(p-n+4)!(n-5)!} \rho_1^{n-5} \left( \rho_5^n B_{n-4} B_1 + (p-n+4) \rho_2 \rho_3^n B_{n-3} B_1 \right) + \sum_{j \geq 6} \rho_1^{n-j} X_j, \tag{3.8}
\]

where \( X_j \) is a homogeneous polynomial of degree \( j \) in the variables \( \rho_1, \rho_2, ..., \rho_n \).

Now, comparing the coefficients in both sides of equations (3.4) and (3.5) after substituting about \( \varphi(u(z)) \) and \( \varphi(v(w)) \) from equations (3.6) and (3.7), we have

\[
1 + \frac{(n - 1)(\lambda + n\delta)}{\tau} a_n = -B_1 \mathcal{K}_n^{-1}(c_1, ..., c_{n-1}; B_1, ..., B_{n-1}) \tag{3.9}
\]
\[\frac{1 + (n - 1)(\lambda + n\delta)}{\tau} \quad A_n = -B_1 K_{n-1}^{-1}(d_1, ... , d_{n-1}; B_1, ..., B_{n-1}) \quad (3.10)\]

Since \(a_k = 0\) \((2 \leq k \leq n - 1)\), then from equation (2.3) it is easy to conclude
\[A_n = -a_n \quad (3.11)\]

Therefore, equations (3.9) and (3.10) reduced to
\[\frac{1 + (n - 1)(\lambda + n\delta)}{\tau} a_n = -B_1 c_{n-1} \quad (3.12)\]
\[-\frac{1 + (n - 1)(\lambda + n\delta)}{\tau} a_n = -B_1 d_{n-1} \quad (3.13)\]

By subtracting equation (3.13) from equation (3.12) obtained
\[a_n = \frac{B_1 \tau (d_{n-1} - c_{n-1})}{2(1 + (n - 1)(\lambda + n\delta))} \quad (3.14)\]

Applying Lemma 2.3 for the coefficients \(c_{n-1}\) and \(d_{n-1}\) in equation (3.14) which reduced to the desired estimation. The proof is completed.

**Remark 2.** By choosing \(\varphi(z) = \frac{1 + z^2}{1 - z^2}\) \((B_1 = m + 1; B_2 = m(m + 1))\) in Theorem 3.1 obtained an estimation of the coefficient \(|a_n|\) with \((n \geq 4)\) for the functions belonging to the subclass \(\mathcal{H}_C(\lambda, \tau, \delta; M)\).

**Corollary 3.2.** If \(f\) defined by (1.1) belonging to the class \(\mathcal{H}_C(\lambda, \tau, \delta; M)\) and \(a_k = 0\) \((2 \leq k \leq n - 1)\), then
\[|a_n| \leq \frac{(m + 1)|\tau|}{1 + (n - 1)(\lambda + n\delta)} \quad (n \geq 4, z \in U)\]

**Remark 3.** The result considered by S. Bulut [6, Theorem 2] deduced directly by putting \(\tau = (1 - \alpha)\) and \(\varphi(z) = \frac{1 + z^2}{1 - z^2}\) \((B_1 = B_2 = 2)\) in Theorem 3.1.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let \(f \in \mathcal{N}_C(\alpha, \lambda, \delta)\) and \(a_k = 0\) \((2 \leq k \leq n - 1)\), then
\[|a_n| \leq \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{1 + (n - 1)(\lambda + n\delta)} \quad (n \geq 4, z \in U)\]

**Remark 4.** The result given by H.M. Srivastava et al. [25, Theorem 1] obtained when putting \(\tau = (1 - \alpha), \lambda = 1\) and \(\varphi(z) = \frac{1 + z^2}{1 - z^2}\) \((B_1 = B_2 = 2)\) in Theorem 3.1.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let us consider \(f \in \mathcal{N}_{C}^{(\alpha, \lambda)}\) and \(a_k = 0\) \((2 \leq k \leq n - 1)\), then
\[|a_n| \leq \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{n(1 + \lambda(n - 1))} \quad (n \geq 4, z \in U)\]

**Remark 5.** The result considered by J.M. Jahangiri and S.G. Hamidi [14, Theorem 1] deduced by putting \(\tau = (1 - \alpha), \delta = 0\) and \(\varphi(z) = \frac{1 + z^2}{1 - z^2}\) \((B_1 = B_2 = 2)\) in Theorem 3.1.
Corollary 3.5. If \( f \in \mathcal{D}(\alpha, \lambda) \) and \( a_k = 0 \) (\( 2 \leq k \leq n - 1 \)), then
\[
|a_n| \leq \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{1 + \lambda(n - 1)} \quad (n \geq 4, z \in U)
\]

Theorem 3.6. Let \( f \in \mathcal{H}_2(\tau, \lambda, \delta; \varphi) \), then
\[
|a_2| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{B_1|\tau|}{1 + \lambda + 2\delta}, \sqrt{\frac{|\tau|(B_1 + |B_2|)}{1 + 2\lambda + 6\delta}} \right\} \quad (3.15)
\]
\[
|a_3| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{B_1^2|\tau|^2}{(1 + \lambda + 2\delta)^2} + \frac{B_1|\tau|}{1 + 2\lambda + 6\delta}, \frac{|\tau|(2B_1 + |B_2|)}{1 + 2\lambda + 6\delta} \right\} \quad (3.16)
\]

and
\[
|a_3 - 2a_2^2| \leq \frac{|\tau|(B_1 + |B_2|)}{1 + 2\lambda + 6\delta} \quad (3.17)
\]

Proof. Let us set \( n = 2, n = 3 \) in the equations (3.9) and (3.10), we deduce
\[
\frac{1 + \lambda + 2\delta}{\tau} a_2 = B_1c_1, \quad (3.18)
\]
\[
- \frac{1 + \lambda + 2\delta}{\tau} a_2 = B_1d_1, \quad (3.19)
\]
\[
\frac{1 + 2\lambda + 6\delta}{\tau} a_3 = B_1c_2 + B_2 c_1^2, \quad (3.20)
\]

and
\[
\frac{1 + 2\lambda + 6\delta}{\tau} (2a_2^2 - a_3) = B_1d_2 + B_2 d_1^2 \quad (3.21)
\]

Now, adding equation (3.20) to (3.21) obtain
\[
a_2^2 = \tau \left( \frac{B_1(c_2 + d_2) + B_2(c_1^2 + d_1^2)}{2(1 + 2\lambda + 6\delta)} \right) \quad (3.22)
\]

By using Lemma 2.3 for \( c_1, c_2, d_1 \) and \( d_2 \) in (3.22) get
\[
|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{|\tau|(B_1 + |B_2|)}{1 + 2\lambda + 6\delta}} \quad (3.23)
\]

Subtracting equation (3.19) from (3.18) with applying lemma 2.3, obtain
\[
|a_2| \leq \frac{B_1|\tau|}{1 + \lambda + 2\delta} \quad (3.24)
\]

Combining the last inequality with inequality (3.23), we obtain the desired estimate on the coefficient \( |a_2| \) which given by (3.15). In order to deduce an estimation of bounds of \( |a_3| \), subtracting equation (3.21) from (3.20) obtain
\[
|a_3| \leq |a_2^2| + \frac{B_1|\tau|}{1 + 2\lambda + 6\delta} \quad (3.25)
\]
Using inequalities (3.23) and (3.24) in inequality (3.25), we conclude the desired estimate of \(|a_3|\) which donated by (3.16).

Finally, using equation (3.21) give

\[
a_3 - 2a_2^2 = -\frac{\tau(B_1d_2 + B_2d_1^2)}{1 + 2\lambda + 6\delta}
\]  

(3.26)

Applying Lemma 2.3 of the coefficients \(d_1\) and \(d_2\), we directly conclude the desired estimation given by (3.17). The proof is completed.

**Remark 6.** The bounds of the coefficient \(|a_2|, |a_3|\) and \(|a_3 - 2a_2^2|\) for the functions belonging to the bounded class \(\mathcal{H}_\pi(\lambda, \tau, \delta; M)\) is obtained by setting \(\varphi(z) = \frac{1 + z}{1 - mz} (B_1 = m+1, B_2 = m(m+1))\) in Theorem 3.6.

**Corollary 3.7.** If \(f \in \mathcal{H}_\pi(\lambda, \tau, \delta, M)\), then

\[
|a_2| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{(1 + m)|\tau|}{1 + \lambda + 2\delta}, \sqrt{\frac{|\tau|(1 + m)(1 + |m|)}{1 + 2\lambda + 6\delta}} \right\}
\]

and

\[
|a_3| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{(1 + m)^2|\tau|^2}{(1 + \lambda + 2\delta)^2} + \frac{(1 + m)|\tau|}{1 + 2\lambda + 6\delta}, \frac{|\tau|(1 + m)(2 + |m|)}{1 + 2\lambda + 6\delta} \right\}
\]

and

\[
|a_3 - 2a_2^2| \leq \frac{|\tau|(1 + m)(1 + |m|)}{1 + 2\lambda + 6\delta}
\]

**Remark 7.** Let us put \(\tau = 1 - \alpha\) and \(\varphi(z) = \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} (B_1 = B_2 = 2)\) in Theorem 3.6 obtain

**Corollary 3.8.** Let \(0 \leq \alpha < 1\) and \(f \in \mathcal{N}_\pi(\alpha, \lambda, \delta)\), then

\[
|a_2| \leq \begin{cases} 
2 \sqrt{\frac{(1-\alpha)}{1+2\lambda+6\delta}}, & 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 - \frac{(1+\lambda+2\delta)^2}{1+2\lambda+6\delta} \\
2(1-\alpha) & 1 - \frac{(1+\lambda+2\delta)^2}{1+2\lambda+6\delta} \leq \alpha < 1
\end{cases}
\]

\[
|a_3| \leq \begin{cases} 
\frac{6(1-\alpha)}{1+2\lambda+6\delta}, & 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 - \frac{(1+\lambda+2\delta)^2}{1+2\lambda+6\delta} \\
\frac{4(1-\alpha)^2}{(1+\lambda+2\delta)^2} + \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{1+2\lambda+6\delta}, & 1 - \frac{(1+\lambda+2\delta)^2}{1+2\lambda+6\delta} \leq \alpha < 1
\end{cases}
\]

and

\[
|a_3 - 2a_2^2| \leq \frac{4(1-\alpha)}{1 + 2\lambda + 6\delta}
\]

**Remark 8.** In case of \(\lambda = \tau = 1, \delta = \beta\) and \(\varphi(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha} (B_1 = 2\alpha, B_2 = 2\alpha^2)\), Theorem 3.6 become
Corollary 3.9. Let us consider $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}_\Sigma(\alpha, \beta)$, then

$$|a_2| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{1 + \beta}, \sqrt{\frac{2\alpha (1 + \alpha)}{3(1 + 2\beta)}} \right\},$$

$$|a_3| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{\alpha^2}{(1 + \beta)^2} + \frac{2\alpha}{3(1 + 2\beta)}, \frac{2\alpha (2 + \alpha)}{3(1 + 2\beta)} \right\}.$$ 

Remark 9. By putting $\lambda = 1, \tau = 1 - \gamma, \delta = \beta$ and $\varphi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ ($B_1 = B_2 = 2$) in Theorem 3.6 obtain

Corollary 3.10. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_\Sigma(\gamma, \beta)$ and $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, then

$$|a_2| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{1 - \gamma}{1 + \beta}, \sqrt{\frac{4(1 - \gamma)}{3(1 + 2\beta)}} \right\},$$

$$|a_3| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{(1 - \gamma)^2}{(1 + \beta)^2} + \frac{2(1 - \gamma)}{3(1 + 2\beta)}, \frac{2(1 - \gamma)}{3(1 + 2\beta)} \right\}.$$ 

Remark 10. In the case of $\lambda = 1, \tau = 1, \delta = 0$ and $\varphi(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha}$ ($B_1 = 2\alpha, B_2 = 2\alpha^2$), Theorem 3.6 reduced to

Corollary 3.11. Let us consider $f \in \mathcal{H}_\Sigma^\alpha$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, then

$$|a_2| \leq \min \left\{ \alpha, \sqrt{\frac{2\alpha (1 + \alpha)}{3}} \right\},$$

$$|a_3| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{\alpha(3\alpha + 2)}{3}, \frac{2\alpha (2 + \alpha)}{3} \right\}.$$ 

Remark 11. In the case when $\lambda = 1, \tau = 1 - \beta, \delta = 0$ and $\varphi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ ($B_1 = B_2 = 2$), Theorem 3.6 becomes

Corollary 3.12. For $0 \leq \beta < 1$ and let $f \in \mathcal{H}_\Sigma(\beta)$, then

$$|a_2| \leq \min \left\{ 1 - \beta, \sqrt{\frac{4(1 - \beta)}{3}} \right\},$$

$$|a_3| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{(1 - \beta)(5 - 3\beta)}{3}, 2(1 - \beta) \right\}.$$
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