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Abstract

We reveal the generic characteristics of wave packet delocalization in two-dimensional nonlinear disordered lattices by performing extensive numerical simulations in two basic disordered models: the Klein-Gordon system and the discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation. We find that in both models (a) the wave packet’s second moment asymptotically evolves as $t^{a_m}$ with $a_m \approx 1/5 (1/3)$ for the weak (strong) chaos dynamical regime, in agreement with previous theoretical predictions [10], (b) chaos persists, but its strength decreases in time $t$ since the finite time maximum Lyapunov exponent $\Lambda$ decays as $\Lambda \propto t^{\alpha_\Lambda}$, with $\alpha_\Lambda \approx -0.37 (-0.46)$ for the weak (strong) chaos case, and (c) the deviation vector distributions show the wandering of localized chaotic seeds in the lattice’s excited part, which induces the wave packet’s thermalization. We also propose a dimension-independent scaling between the wave packet’s spreading and chaoticity, which allows the prediction of the obtained $\alpha_\Lambda$ values.
I. INTRODUCTION.

The normal modes of disordered linear lattices are spatially localized, and consequently any initial compact wave packet stays localized forever. This pioneering theoretical result was obtained by Anderson and is referred to as Anderson localization (AL) [1]. Several experimental manifestations of AL have been reported to date [2]. However, what happens to AL in the presence of nonlinearity is still an open question, which has been discussed in numerous works [3–15]. Two models have been at the center of these studies: the disordered Klein-Gordon (DKG) lattice of coupled anharmonic oscillators and the disordered discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation (DDNLS). For both models, it was found that nonlinearity eventually destroys AL, leading to a slow subdiffusive spreading of wave packets, whose second moment grows in time $t$ as $t^{a_m}$ ($0 < a_m < 1$) [3, 6, 9–11, 13]. In particular, an asymptotic spreading regime called ‘weak chaos’ where $a_m = 1/(1+2d)$ ($d$ being the lattice spatial dimension) was identified [3, 6, 10], while an intermediate spreading regime named ‘strong chaos’, with $a_m = 1/(1+d)$, may also occur [3, 10–12].

The wave packet spreading in nonlinear disordered lattices is a chaotic process induced by the systems’ non-integrability and resonances between normal modes [6, 8, 10]. Such deterministic chaotic processes result to the randomization and thermalization of wave packets [7, 12–14, 15]. The computation of the finite time maximum Lyapunov exponent (MLE) $\Lambda$ for initially localized excitations in one-dimensional (1D) lattices [14, 15] showed that the wave packet’s chaoticity is characterized by a positive but decaying MLE. In addition, the evolution of the deviation vector associated to $\Lambda$ indicated the existence of chaotic hot spots (i.e. regions highly sensitive to perturbations), which randomly wander inside the wave packet ensuring the chaotization of the excited degrees of freedom.

Although the dynamics of 1D disordered nonlinear lattices has been studied extensively, less numerical work has been done for 2D systems. One of the main obstacles in such studies is the very large computational effort required for the long time simulation of these models and especially of the 2D DDNLS system. In [4] the wave packet spreading in the 2D DDNLS model for the (what was later called) weak chaos regime was studied up to $t = 10^6$ (dimensionless) time units, while in [16] a similar model, including also non-diagonal nonlinear terms, was considered. In both cases statistical analyses over a few disorder realizations were performed. In [13] results for longer times (up to $t = 10^8$) with statistics
over 400 realizations were reported, but only for the 2D DKG model, whose numerical integration is faster than the 2D DDNLS system. In that work nonlinear terms with different exponents were also considered. For the typical DKG system with quartic nonlinearities (which we consider in our study) only the weak chaos regime was investigated, probably because the strong chaos case, which is characterized by faster spreadings, would require the, computationally demanding, integration of larger lattices.

Here we focus our attention on the 2D DKG and DDNLS models with quartic nonlinearities. We not only study the characteristics of wave packet spreading for both the weak and strong chaos regimes, but also analyze in depth their chaotic behavior through the computation of their MLE and the associated deviation vector distributions (DVDs), as was done in [14, 15] for their 1D counterparts.

II. MODELS AND COMPUTATIONAL ASPECTS.

The Hamiltonian of the 2D DKG system [13, 17] in canonical coordinates $q_{l,m}$ (positions) and $p_{l,m}$ (momenta) is

$$H_K = \sum_{l,m} \frac{p_{l,m}^2}{2} + \frac{\epsilon_{l,m}}{2} q_{l,m}^2 + \frac{q_{l,m}^4}{4} + \frac{1}{2W} \times \left[ (q_{l,m+1} - q_{l,m})^2 + (q_{l+1,m} - q_{l,m})^2 \right],$$

with $\epsilon_{l,m}$ being uncorrelated parameters uniformly distributed on the interval $[1/2, 3/2]$. The Hamiltonian of the 2D DDNLS model [4, 13, 18] in real canonical coordinates $q_{l,m}$ and $p_{l,m}$ reads

$$H_D = \sum_{l,m} \frac{\hat{\epsilon}_{l,m}}{2} (q_{l,m}^2 + p_{l,m}^2) + \frac{\beta}{8} \left( q_{l,m}^2 + p_{l,m}^2 \right)^2 - (q_{l,m+1} q_{l,m} + q_{l+1,m} q_{l,m} + p_{l,m+1} p_{l,m} + p_{l+1,m} p_{l,m}),$$

where $\hat{\epsilon}_{l,m}$ are random numbers uniformly drawn in the interval $[-W/2, W/2]$ and $\beta \geq 0$ is the nonlinear coefficient. In Eqs. (1) and (2) $l$ and $m$ are integer indices, $W$ represents the disorder strength and fixed boundary conditions are imposed. The equations of motion are generated via $\frac{dq_{l,m}}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{l,m}}$ and $\frac{dp_{l,m}}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{l,m}}$ and conserve the Hamiltonian value (also referred as energy) $H = H_K$ [1] $[H_D$ (2)] for the DKG [DDNLS] model. An additional integral of motion exists for the DDNLS system: the norm $S =$
\sum_{l,m}(q_{l,m}^2 + p_{l,m}^2)/2. We define for the DKG model the normalized energy density distribution \( \xi_{l,m} = h_{l,m}/H_K \) \[13, 17\], where 

\[ h_{l,m} = \left\{ \frac{p_{l,m}^2}{2} + \frac{\xi_{l,m}}{2} q_{l,m}^2 + \frac{\xi_{l,m}}{4} + \frac{1}{4H} \left[ (q_{l,m} - q_{l-1,m})^2 + (q_{l,m} - q_{l,m-1})^2 + (q_{l,m+1} - q_{l,m})^2 + (q_{l+1,m} - q_{l,m})^2 \right] \right\} \]

is the energy of site \((l, m)\), while for the DDNLS system the normalized norm density distribution \( \xi_{l,m} = s_{l,m}/S \) \[13, 18\], with 

\[ s_{l,m} = (q_{l,m}^2 + p_{l,m}^2)/2. \]

In our simulations we follow the evolution of a compact square excitation of side \( L \) in the middle of the lattice, so that all initially excited sites of the DKG (DDNLS) system have the same \( h_{l,m} \) \((s_{l,m})\) value. We also investigate the systems’ chaoticity through the computation the finite time MLE \[19, 20\] \( \Lambda(t) = \frac{1}{t} \ln \left( \frac{\|w(t)\|}{\|w(0)\|} \right) \), where \( w(0) \) and \( w(t) \) represents respectively a deviation vector to the systems’ considered orbit at times \( t = 0 \) and \( t > 0 \). Here \( \|\cdot\| \) represents the usual Euclidian norm. For regular orbits \( \Lambda \) tends to zero as \( \Lambda \propto t^{-1} \) \[19, 20\], otherwise the orbit is considered to be chaotic. The deviation vector \( w(t) \) has as coordinates small perturbations \( \delta q_{l,m}(t), \delta p_{l,m}(t) \), whose time evolution is governed by the so-called variational equations (see e.g. \[20\]). We also compute the DVD \( \xi_{l,m}^D = (\delta q_{l,m}^2 + \delta p_{l,m}^2)/\sum_{l,m}(\delta q_{l,m}^2 + \delta p_{l,m}^2) \) \[14, 15\]. For all mentioned distributions, we calculate the second moment \( m_2^{(D)} = \sum_{l,m} \left\| r_{l,m}^{(D)} - \bar{r}_{l,m}^{(D)} \right\|^2 \xi_{l,m}^{(D)} \), which quantifies the distribution’s extent, and the participation number \( P^{(D)} = 1/\sum_{l,m} (\xi_{l,m}^{(D)})^2 \), which measures the number of highly excited sites, where 

\[ r_{l,m}^{(D)} = (l^{(D)}, m^{(D)})^T \text{ and } \bar{r}_{l,m}^{(D)} = (\bar{l}^{(D)}, \bar{m}^{(D)})^T = (\sum_{l,m} l^{(D)} \xi_{l,m}^{(D)}, \sum_{l,m} m^{(D)} \xi_{l,m}^{(D)})^T \]

is the distribution’s center, with \((^T)\) denoting the matrix transpose and \((^{(D)})\) referring to the DVD.

Symplectic integrators (SIs) are used for the systems’ evolution, along with the tangent map method for the integration of the variational equations \[21\]. In particular, we use the ABA864 SI \[17, 22\] for the DKG model and the s11ABC6 scheme \[18, 23\] for the DDNLS system. Typically, we perform our simulations up to a final time of \( t_f \approx 10^6 - 10^8 \) time units. In order to exclude finite size effects lattice sizes up to \( 450 \times 450 \) were considered. The used integration time steps \( \tau \approx 0.1 - 1.15 \) result to a good conservation of the systems’ integrals of motion as the relative energy (norm) error was always kept below \( 10^{-3} - 10^{-2} \).

We average the values of an observable \( Q \) over 50 disorder realizations (denoting by \( \langle Q \rangle \) the obtained average value) and evaluate the related local variation \( \alpha_Q = d\langle \log_{10} Q \rangle/d\log_{10} t \) through a regression method \[24\] as in \[9, 11, 13, 15\].
III. RESULTS.

Initially, we study the weak chaos regime. For the DKG system we consider the cases $W = 10$, $L = 3$, $h_{l,m} = 0.0085$ (Case $W1_K$), $W = 10$, $L = 1$, $h_{l,m} = 0.05$ (Case $W2_K$) and $W = 11$, $L = 2$, $h_{l,m} = 0.0175$ (Case $W3_K$). For the DDNLS system we set $W = 10$, $L = 2$, $\beta = 0.15$, $s_{l,m} = 1$, $H_D \in [-1.9,0.73]$ (Case $W1_D$), $W = 10$, $L = 1$, $\beta = 0.92$, $s_{l,m} = 1$, $H_D = 0.5$ (Case $W2_D$) and $W = 12$, $L = 1$, $\beta = 1.75$, $s_{l,m} = 1$, $H_D = 0.5$ (Case $W3_D$). We note that for single site excitations ($L = 1$) we keep the value $\hat{\epsilon}_{l,m}$ of the initially excited site constant in all disorder realizations so that all cases have the same $H_D$, while for $L > 1$ $H_D$ depends on the particular realization. Since the DDNLS system admits two integrals of motion, and always $s_{l,m}$ is fixed, we take particular care so that the used $H_D$ values correspond to the Gibbsian region of the energy-norm density space to avoid selftrapping [25]. The evolution of $m_2(t)$ both for the DKG [Fig.1(a)] and the DDNLS model [Fig.1(c)] clearly shows an asymptotic power law increase $m_2 \propto t^{a_m}$ with $a_m \approx 0.2$, in agreement to the theoretically obtained value $a_m = 1/5$ [10]. This value was also retrieved in [13], but only for one case of the DKG model. The chaotic nature of all these weak chaos cases becomes evident from Figs. 1(b) and (d) where the evolution of $\Lambda(t)$ is shown. For both models we find an asymptotic decrease $\Lambda \propto t^{\alpha_A}$, with $\alpha_A \neq -1$, similarly to what has been observed for 1D lattices [14, 15]. In particular, $\alpha_A$ converges around $\alpha_A \approx -0.37$ for all cases.

We also investigate the strong chaos regime, which was not studied before for systems (1) and (2). For the DKG system we consider the cases $W = 9$, $L = 35$, $h_{l,m} = 0.006$ (Case $S1_K$), $W = 10$, $L = 21$, $h_{l,m} = 0.0135$ (Case $S2_K$) and $W = 12.5$, $L = 15$, $h_{l,m} = 0.035$ (Case $S3_K$), while for the DDNLS system we set $W = 10.5$, $L = 21$, $\beta = 0.145$, $s_{l,m} = 1$, $H_D \in [0.6174]$ (Case $S1_D$), $W = 11$, $L = 10$, $\beta = 0.68$, $s_{l,m} = 1$, $H_D \in [-6,3.5]$ (Case $S2_D$) and $W = 14$, $L = 15$, $\beta = 6$, $s_{l,m} = 0.12$, $H_D \in [0,0.75]$ (Case $S3_D$). The time evolution of $m_2(t)$ for cases $S1_K$, $S2_K$ and $S3_K$ [Fig.2(a)] and $S1_D$, $S2_D$ and $S3_D$ [Fig.2(c)] shows again that eventually $m_2 \propto t^{a_m}$ with $a_m \approx 0.33$. These results confirm the validity of the theoretical analysis of [10] where the value $a_m = 1/3$ was predicted. The computation of $\Lambda(t)$ for all these DKG [Fig.2(b)] and DDNLS [Fig.2(d)] strong chaos cases show again a power law decay $\Lambda \propto t^{\alpha_A}$, but now $\alpha_A \approx -0.46$.

Similarly to what was found for 1D systems [14, 15], the results of Figs. 1 and 2 show, for
FIG. 1: Weak chaos. Averaged results of the time evolution of $m_2(t)$ [(a) and (c)] and $\Lambda(t)$ [(b) and (d)] for (a) and (b) the DKG, and (c) and (d) the DDNLS model. The presented cases are $W_{1K}$, $W_{2K}$ and $W_{3K}$ for the DKG, and $W_{1D}$, $W_{2D}$ and $W_{3D}$ the DDNLS model [blue (b), green (g) and red (r), respectively for both models]. Shaded areas indicate one standard deviation. Insets: the associated derivatives $a_m(t)$ [(a) and (c)] and $\alpha_\Lambda(t)$ [(b) and (d)]. The straight dashed lines indicate $a_m = 0.2$ [(a) and (c)] and $\alpha_\Lambda = -0.37$ [(b) and (d)].

both the weak and strong chaos regimes, subdiffusive spreading which remains chaotic up to the largest simulation times. The systems become less chaotic as $\Lambda$ decreases in time, but since this decrease remains always different from the $\Lambda \propto t^{-1}$ law observed for regular motion [$\Lambda \propto t^{-0.37} \gg t^{-1}$ and $\Lambda \propto t^{-0.46} \gg t^{-1}$, for the weak and strong chaos regimes respectively] we do not find, also in the 2D case, any signs of a cross over to regular dynamics as it was speculated in [26].

Following [14, 15] we find that the wave packets’ chaotization is done fast enough to support its spreading since the Lyapunov time $T_L = 1/\Lambda$, which determines a time scale
FIG. 2: Strong chaos. Similar to Fig. 1, the presented cases are $S_1^K$, $S_2^K$ and $S_3^K$ for the DKG, and $S_1^D$, $S_2^D$ and $S_3^D$ the DDNLS model [blue (b), green (g) and red (r), respectively for both models]. The straight dashed lines indicate $a_m = 0.33$ [(a) and (c)] and $\alpha_\Lambda = -0.46$ [(b) and (d)].

for the systems’ chaotization, remains always smaller than the characteristic spreading time $T_D = 1/D$ (with $D$ being the momentary diffusion coefficient defined through $m_2 \sim Dt$). In particular, the ratio of these time scales

$$\frac{T_D}{T_L} \sim t^{1+\alpha_\Lambda-a_m},$$

becomes $T_D/T_L \sim t^{0.43}$ ($t^{0.21}$) for the weak (strong) chaos regime for which $\alpha_\Lambda = -0.37$ and $a_m = 0.2$ ($\alpha_\Lambda = -0.46$ and $a_m = 0.33$). The fact that these ratios are very close to the ones observed for the 1D counterparts of systems [1] and [2], i.e. $T_D/T_L \sim t^{0.42}$ and $\sim t^{0.2}$ for the weak and strong chaos regimes respectively, strongly suggests that nonlinear interactions of the same nature are responsible for the chaotic wave packet spreading in one and two spatial dimensions.
Investigating further the relation between 1D and 2D systems we note that, for both
dynamical regimes, the rate of spreading in 2D models (quantified by the exponent $a_m$ in
$m_2 \propto t^{a_m}$) is smaller than in the 1D case. In addition, 2D systems are less chaotic than their
1D counterparts as their $\Lambda$ decreases faster (i.e. smaller, negative $\alpha_{\Lambda}$ values in $\Lambda \propto t^{\alpha_{\Lambda}}$).
Thus, the dynamics in 1D lattices leads to more extended wave packets and more chaotic
behaviors than in 2D systems. This observation, along with the conclusions drawn from the
analysis of the $T_D/T_L$ ratios, lead to the conjecture that for one and two spatial dimensions
there exists a uniform scaling between the wave packet’s spreading and its degree of chaoticity.
This can be quantified by assuming

$$\frac{\Lambda^1(t)}{m_2^1(t)} = \frac{\Lambda(t)}{m_2(t)},$$

(4)

where the subscript $(1)$ refers to 1D systems. To validate this assumption we use Eq. (4) to
estimate the time evolution of $\Lambda(t)$, for both the weak and strong chaos regimes, based on
previously obtained numerical results for the MLE of the 1D DKG and DDNLS models [14, 15], along with the theoretical predictions of [10] for the evolution of $m_2$. In particular,
Eq. (4) gives

$$\Lambda \propto t^{a_m - a_m^1 + \alpha_{\Lambda}^1},$$

(5)

resulting to $\Lambda \propto t^{-0.38} [t^{-0.47}]$ for the weak [strong] chaos regime where $a_m = 1/5$, $a_m^1 = 1/3$, $\alpha_{\Lambda}^1 = -0.25$ [$a_m = 1/3$, $a_m^1 = 1/2$, $\alpha_{\Lambda}^1 = -0.3$], which is in very good agreement with the
law $\Lambda \propto t^{-0.37} [t^{-0.46}]$ observed in Figs. 1(b) and (d) [Figs. 2(b) and (d)].

The evolution of the DVD associated with the deviation vector $w(t)$ used for the computa-
tion of $\Lambda$ has already been implemented to visualize the chaotic behavior of 1D nonlinear
lattices and to identify the motion of chaotic seeds, i.e. regions which are more sensitive
to perturbations [14, 15, 27]. A representative case is shown in Fig. 3 where we plot the
spatiotemporal evolution of the wave packet $\xi_{l,m}$ [Figs. 3(a), (c), and (e)] and the DVD
[Figs. 3(b), (d), and (f)] for an individual set-up belonging in the $S_{2_K}$ strong chaos case.
Snapshots are taken at times $t \approx 10^{3.7}$ [Figs. 3(a) and (b)], $10^{5.9}$ [Figs. 3(c) and (d)] and
$10^{7.4}$ [Figs. 3(e) and (f)]. We see that the energy density spreads rather symmetrically
around the position of the initial excitation, with the distribution’s center covering a tiny
region around the middle of the lattice [white area at the center of the 2D color maps at
the upper sides of Figs. 3(a), (c), and (e)]. On the other hand, the DVD [Figs. 3(b), (d) and
(f)] remains always well inside the lattice’s excited part, retaining a rather localized charac-
ter and a concentrated, pointy shape, although its extent increases slightly in time. These behaviors lead, for both the DKG and the DDNLS model, to (a) the rather slow increase of the DVD’s second moment in Figs. 4(a) and (d) [Figs. 5(a) and (d)] as $m^D_2 \propto t^{\alpha_D}$ with $\alpha_D \approx 0.12 [0.17]$, and (b) the practical constancy of the DVD’s participation number $P^D$ in Figs. 4(b) and (e) [Figs. 5(b) and (e)] for the weak [strong] chaos regime, with a very slow increase $P^D \propto t^{0.045}$ observed in Figs. 4(b) and (e).

The chaotic seeds exhibit random fluctuations of increasing width, as the growth of the white region indicating the path traveled by the DVD’s center shows in the 2D color maps at the upper sides of Figs. 3(b), (d), and (f). As in the case of 1D lattices [14, 15], these fluctuations are essential in homogenizing chaos inside the wave packet, supporting in this way its thermalization and spreading. In order to quantify the area of the region visited by the DVD’s center we plot in Figs. 4(c) and (f) [Figs. 5(c) and (f)] the evolution of

$$A(t) = R_x(t) \cdot R_y(t),$$

for the weak [strong] chaos regime, where $R_x(t) = \max_{[0,t]} \{ \ell^D(t) \} - \min_{[0,t]} \{ \ell^D(t) \}$ and $R_y(t) = \max_{[0,t]} \{ \ell^D(t) \} - \min_{[0,t]} \{ \ell^D(t) \}$, in analogy to a similar quantity used in 1D studies (Eq. (12) of [15]). In all cases $A \propto t^{\alpha_A}$. In particular, for both models of Eqs. (1) and (2), we get $\alpha_A \approx 0.5$ and $\alpha_A \approx 0.55$ for the weak and strong chaos regime respectively. The difference between these $\alpha_A$ values, along with the larger $A(t)$ values obtained in the strong chaos case [Figs. 5(c) and (f)] with respect to the ones shown in Figs. 4(c) and (f) for the weak chaos regime, clearly indicate the wider and faster motion of chaotic seeds in the case of strong chaos, where also faster wave packet spreading is observed [compare Figs. 1(a) and (b) with Figs. 2(a) and (b)].

IV. CONCLUSIONS.

We conducted a detailed study of the evolution of initially localized wave packets, in both the weak and strong chaos dynamical regimes, of 2D disordered nonlinear lattices by performing extensive, long-time and high-precision numerical simulations in large DKG and DDNLS lattices with quartic nonlinearities, completing in this way some previous, sporadic works on this issue [14, 13]. We showed the subdiffusive spreading of wave packets resulting in the destruction of AL, and verified the validity of previously made theoretical predictions
FIG. 3: 3D density profile and associated 2D color map (upper sides) snapshots of the wave packet $\xi_{l,m}$ [(a), (c) and (e)] and DVD $\xi^D_{l,m}$ [(b), (d) and (f)] for a representative example of the $S^2_K$ case at times $\log_{10} t \approx 3.7$ [(a) and (b)], 5.9 [(c) and (d)] and 7.4 [(e) and (f)]. The white region at the maps’ central part represents the area covered by the distribution’s center. The red curves on the sides are distribution’s projections along the $l$ and $m$ axes. The color bars at the top are in logarithmic scale.
FIG. 4: Weak chaos: DVD characteristics. Averaged results of the time evolution of $m_2^D(t)$ [(a) and (d)], $P^D(t)$ [(b) and (e)], and $A(t)$ [(c) and (f)] for the DKG [(a), (b) and (c)] and the DDNLS [(d), (e) and (f)] model. The curve colors correspond to the cases of Fig. 1. Shaded areas indicate one standard deviation. The dashed lines denote power law increases with exponents $a_m^D = 0.12$ [(a) and (d)], $a_P^D = 0.045$ [(b) and (e)] and $a_A = 0.5$ [(c) and (f)].
FIG. 5: Similar to Fig. 4 but for the strong chaos cases of Fig. 2. The dashed lines correspond to $a^D_m = 0.17$ [(a) and (d)], $a^D_P = 0.0$ [(b) and (e)] and $\alpha_A = 0.55$ [(c) and (f)].

- We also investigated the chaotic properties of the DKG and DDNLS systems through the computation of appropriate observables related to their tangent dynamics. The finite time MLE, $\Lambda$, decays in time as $\Lambda \propto t^{\alpha_\Lambda}$, with $\alpha_\Lambda \approx -0.37$ ($-0.46$) in the weak (strong) chaos regime.
case, denoting the decrease of the systems’ chaoticity as wave packets spread. Despite this slowing down of chaos, our results show that the chaotization of the lattice’s excited part always takes place at time scales shorter than the wave packet’s spreading times, i.e. wave packets first thermalize due to chaos and then spread. Furthermore, no signs of a cross over to regular dynamics is observed, indicating that chaos persists. Conjecturing the similarity of chaotic processes in 1D and 2D systems, along with the existence of a scaling between the wave packet’s spreading and chaoticity, which is independent of the lattice’s dimensionality [Eq. (4)], we were able to accurately predict the numerically obtained $\alpha_\Lambda$ values. It would be interesting to probe the generality of this conjecture also for 3D systems. This is a rather hard computational task, which we intend to address in the future.

The DVDs’ spatiotemporal evolution revealed the mechanisms of chaotic spreading: localized chaotic seeds oscillate randomly inside the excited part of the lattice, homogenizing chaos in the interior of the wave packet, and supporting in this way its thermalization and subdiffusing spreading. The amplitude of these oscillations increase in time allowing the chaotic seeds to visit all regions of the expanding wave packet. This process is generic as it also appeared in 1D systems [14, 15].

The fact that in both the KG and DDNLS models we observed the same evolution laws, with identical numerical exponents for all studied quantities, states the universality of our findings.
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