arXiv:1908.08323v1 [quant-ph] 22 Aug 2019

Nonreciprocal transition between two nondegenerate energy levels

Xun-Wei Xu,[1,*] Yan-Jun Zhao,[2] Hui Wang,[3] Ai-Xi Chen,[4,1,†] and Yu-xi Liu[5,6,‡]

[1] *Department of Applied Physics, East China Jiaotong University, Nanchang, 330013, China*
[2] *Faculty of Information Technology, College of Microelectronics, Beijing University of Technology, Beijing, 100124, China*
[3] *Center for Emergent Matter Science (CEMS), RIKEN, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan*
[4] *Department of Physics, Zhejiang Sci-Tech University, Hangzhou, 310018, China*
[5] *Institute of Microelectronics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China*
[6] *Beijing National Research Center for Information Science and Technology (BNRist), Beijing 100084, China*

(Dated: January 27, 2022)

Stimulated emission and absorption are two fundamental processes of light-matter interaction, and the coefficients of the two processes should be equal in general. However, we will describe a generic method to realize significant difference between the stimulated emission and absorption coefficients of two nondegenerate energy levels, which we refer to as nonreciprocal transition. As a simple implementation, a cyclic three-level atom system, comprising two nondegenerate energy levels and one auxiliary energy level, is employed to show nonreciprocal transition via a combination of synthetic magnetism and reservoir engineering. Moreover, a single-photon nonreciprocal transporter is proposed using two one dimensional semi-infinite coupled-resonator waveguides connected by an atom with nonreciprocal transition effect. Our work opens up a route to design atom-mediated nonreciprocal devices in a wide range of physical systems.

Introduction.— According to Einstein's phenomenological radiation theory [1], the absorption coefficient should be equal to the stimulated emission coefficient between two nondegenerate energy levels. When the spontaneous emission can be neglected, a two-level system undergoes optical Rabi oscillations under the action of a coherent driving electromagnetic field [2]. However, can we make the absorption coefficient different from the stimulated emission coefficient for the transition between two energy levels with different eigenvalues, i.e., nonreciprocal transition between two nondegenerate energy levels? The answer is YES. In this paper, we describe a generic method to realize nonreciprocal transition between two nondegenerate energy levels, and show that the absorption and stimulated emission coefficients can be controlled via a combination of synthetic magnetism and reservoir engineering.

A theoretical research showed that [3] a combination of synthetic magnetism and reservoir engineering can be used to implement nonreciprocal photon transmission and amplification in coupled photonic systems, and this has been confirmed by a recent experiment [4]. Based on a similar mechanism, many different schemes for nonreciprocal photon transport are proposed theoretically [5–8] and implemented experimentally [9–12]. Synthetic magnetism is an effective approach to achieve non-reciprocal transport of uncharged particles, such as photons [13–16] or phonons [17, 18], for the potential applications in simulating quantummany-body phenomena [19–25], and creating devices robust against disorder and backscattering [26–29]. Reservoir engineering [30] has been a significant subject for generating useful quantum behavior by specially designing the couplings between a system of interest and a structured dissipative environment, such as cooling mechanical harmonic oscillators [31], synthesise quantum harmonic oscillator state [32], and generating state-dependent photon blockade [33], stable entanglement between two nanomechanical resonators [34, 35], and squeezed states of nanomechanical resonators [36, 37].

In this paper, we introduce the concept of nonreciprocity to investigate the transitions between different energy levels, and generalize the general strategy for nonreciprocal photon transmission [3] to the atomic systems to achieve nonreciprocal transition between two nondegenerate energy levels. As a simple implementation, a cyclic three-level atom system, comprising two nondegenerate energy levels and one auxiliary energy level, is employed to show nonreciprocal transition via a combination of synthetic magnetism and reservoir engineering.

In application, the atomic systems with nonreciprocal transition allow one to generate nonreciprocal devices. In this paper, a single-photon nonreciprocal transporter is proposed in a system of two one dimensional semi-infinite coupled-resonator waveguides connected by an atom based on the nonreciprocal transition effect. The nonreciprocal transition effect provides a new routine to design atom-mediated nonreciprocal devices in a verity of physical systems.

General method for nonreciprocal transition.— A general model of two nondegenerate energy levels $|a\rangle$ and $|b\rangle$ for nonreciprocal transition is shown in Fig. 1(a). There are two distinct ways through which the two levels are coupled to one another. The first one is they are coupled through a coherent interaction $H_{\rm coh}$, which is described by $H_{\rm coh} = \Omega\,|a\rangle\langle b| + \Omega^*\,|b\rangle\langle a|$ with coupling strength Ω. The simplest implementation of the coherent interaction $H_{\rm coh}$ is driving the two levels with a coherent laser field.

The second way is that they are coupled to the same engineered reservoir. A dissipative interaction $H_{\rm dis}$




FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Schematic diagram for generating nonreciprocal transition: two nondegenerate energy levels $|a\rangle$ and $|b\rangle$ are coupled to one another via a coherent interaction $H_{\rm coh}$, and are also coupled to the same engineered reservoir. (b) Schematic diagram for implementation of nonreciprocal transition in a cyclic three-level atom (characterized by $|a\rangle$, $|b\rangle$, and $|c\rangle$). A laser field ($\Omega_{ab}e^{i\Phi}$) is applied to drive the direct transition between the two levels $|a\rangle$ and $|b\rangle$, and they are also coupled indirectly by the auxiliary level $|c\rangle$ through two laser fields (Ω_{ca} and Ω_{cb}), where the decay of the level $|c\rangle$ is much faster than the other two levels, i.e., $\gamma_c \gg \max\{\gamma_a, \gamma_b\}$, so that the auxiliary level $|c\rangle$ is served as a engineered reservoir.

between the two levels can be obtained by adiabatically eliminating the engineered reservoir. The effective Hamiltonian for the dissipative interaction $H_{\rm dis}$ can be written in a non-Hermitian form as $H_{\rm dis} = -i\gamma(|a\rangle\langle b| + |b\rangle\langle a|)$ with coupling strength γ. This dissipative version of interaction can be implemented by an auxiliary energy level, which is damping much faster than the two levels. The details on the realization will be shown in the next section.

Base on the two distinct ways, the total Hamiltonian for the interaction between the two levels are

$$H_{\rm coh+dis} = (\Omega - i\gamma)|a\rangle\langle b| + (\Omega^* - i\gamma)|b\rangle\langle a|. \quad (1)$$

When $\Omega = -i\gamma$ and $\Omega^* \neq \Omega$, there is only transition $|a\rangle \to |b\rangle$ but $|b\rangle \nrightarrow |a\rangle$. Instead, when $\Omega^* = i\gamma$ and $\Omega^* \neq \Omega$, there is only transition $|b\rangle \to |a\rangle$ but $|a\rangle \nrightarrow |b\rangle$.

Nonreciprocal transition with cyclic three-level transition.— To make the method more concrete, we show how to implement nonreciprocal transition in a cyclic three-level atom, as depicted in Fig. 1(b). We consider a cyclic three-level atom ($|a\rangle$, $|b\rangle$, and $|c\rangle$) driven by three classical coherent fields (at rates Ω_{ij}, frequencies ν_{ij}, phases ϕ_{ij}, with $i,j = a,b,c$) that is described by a Hamiltonian $H = H_0 + H_1$ as [38]

$$H_0 = (\Delta_{ab} - i\gamma_a)|a\rangle\langle a| - i\gamma_b|b\rangle\langle b| + (\Delta_{cb} - i\gamma_c)|c\rangle\langle c|, \quad (2)$$

$$H_1 = \Omega_{ab}e^{i\Phi}|a\rangle\langle b| + \Omega_{cb}|c\rangle\langle b| + \Omega_{ca}|c\rangle\langle a| + \text{H.c.}, \quad (3)$$

where $\Delta_{ij} = \omega_{ij} - \nu_{ij}$ $(i,j = a,b,c)$, ω_{ij} is the frequency difference between levels $|i\rangle$ and $|j\rangle$; γ_i $(i = a,b,c)$ is the decay rates. We assume that $\nu_{ab} = \nu_{cb} - \nu_{ca}$, so the detuning $\Delta_{ab} = \Delta_{cb} - \Delta_{ca}$. The synthetic magnetic flux $\Phi \equiv \phi_{ab} + \phi_{bc} + \phi_{ca}$ is the total phase of the three driving fields around the cyclic three-level atom and independent of the local redefinition of the states $|i\rangle$. We note that the synthetic magnetic flux Φ inducing circulation of population between three energy levels has been observed in a recent experiment [39]. Nevertheless, we consider the decays of the three levels, and the decay of level $|c\rangle$ is much faster than the other two levels, i.e., $\gamma_c \gg \max\{\gamma_a, \gamma_b\}$, so that level $|c\rangle$ is served as an engineered reservoir.

In order to show the nonreciprocal transition between levels $|a\rangle$ and $|b\rangle$ intuitively, we can derive an effective Hamiltonian by eliminating the level $|c\rangle$ (the engineered reservoir) adiabatically (see the Supplemental Material [38]), under the assumption that $\gamma_c \gg \max\{\gamma_a, \gamma_b\}$. Then an effective (non-Hermitian) Hamiltonian only including levels $|a\rangle$ and $|b\rangle$ is given by

$$H_{\rm eff} = (\Delta_a - i\Gamma_a)|a\rangle\langle a| + (\Delta_b - i\Gamma_b)|b\rangle\langle b| + J_{ab}|a\rangle\langle b| + J_{ba}|b\rangle\langle a|, \quad (4)$$

with detuning $\Delta_a \equiv \Delta_{ab} - \Omega_{ca}^2\Delta_{cb}/(\gamma_c^2 + \Delta_{cb}^2)$ and $\Delta_b \equiv -\Omega_{cb}^2\Delta_{cb}/(\gamma_c^2 + \Delta_{cb}^2)$, effective decay rates $\Gamma_a \equiv \gamma_a + \Omega_{ca}^2\gamma_c/(\gamma_c^2 + \Delta_{cb}^2)$ and $\Gamma_b \equiv \gamma_b + \Omega_{cb}^2\gamma_c/(\gamma_c^2 + \Delta_{cb}^2)$, and effective coupling coefficients $J_{ab} \equiv \Omega_{ab}e^{i\Phi} - i\Omega_{ca}\Omega_{cb}(\gamma_c - i\Delta_{cb})/(\gamma_c^2 + \Delta_{cb}^2)$ and $J_{ba} \equiv \Omega_{ab}e^{-i\Phi} - i\Omega_{ca}\Omega_{cb}(\gamma_c - i\Delta_{cb})/(\gamma_c^2 + \Delta_{cb}^2)$, which include the coherent interaction $\Omega_{ab}e^{\pm i\Phi}$ coming from the coherent driving field, and the dissipative interaction $-i\Omega_{ca}\Omega_{cb}(\gamma_c - i\Delta_{cb})/(\gamma_c^2 + \Delta_{cb}^2)$ induced by the axillary level $|c\rangle$. The effective coupling coefficients J_{ab} and J_{ba} are dependent on the synthetic magnetic flux Φ. Under the resonant condition $\Delta_{cb} = 0$, we have $|J_{ab}| < |J_{ba}|$ for $0 < \Phi < \pi$; conversely, we have $|J_{ab}| > |J_{ba}|$ as $-\pi < \Phi < 0$. Thus, the perfect nonreciprocal transition, i.e, $J_{ab} = 0$ and $J_{ba} \neq 0$ (or $J_{ba} = 0$ and $J_{ab} \neq 0$), is obtained when $\Phi = \pi/2$ (or $\Phi = -\pi/2$) with $\Omega_{ab} = \Omega_{ca}\Omega_{cb}/\gamma_c$.

To understand the nonreciprocal transition further, we take a view on the dynamical behavior of the transition probabilities between levels $|a\rangle$ and $|b\rangle$. The time-evolution operator for the Hamiltonian H is given by $U(t) = \exp(-iHt)$, and the probabilities for transitions $|a\rangle \to |b\rangle$ and $|b\rangle \to |a\rangle$ can be defined by $T_{ba}(t) \equiv |\langle a|U(t)|b\rangle|^2$ and $T_{ab}(t) \equiv |\langle b|U(t)|a\rangle|^2$, respectively. They are plotted as functions of time $\Omega_{ab}t$ in Figs. 2(a)-2(c). It is clear that $T_{ab}(t) \ll T_{ba}(t)$ for $\phi = \pi/2$, $T_{ab}(t) \gg T_{ba}(t)$ for $\phi = -\pi/2$, and $T_{ab}(t) = T_{ba}(t)$ for $\phi = 0$. The isolation for the nonreciprocal transition defined by $I(t) \equiv T_{ab}(t)/T_{ba}(t)$ is plotted as a function of time $\Omega_{ab}t$ in Fig. 2(d). One can achieve $I(t) > 10^6$ for $\Phi = -\pi/2$ and $I(t) < 10^{-6}$ for $\Phi = \pi/2$ at time $\Omega_{ab}t = 1$.




FIG. 2. (Color online) The transition probabilities $T_{ab}(t)$ and $T_{ba}(t)$ are plotted as functions of the time $\Omega_{ab}t$ for: (a) $\Phi = \pi/2$; (b) $\Phi = 0$; (c) $\Phi = -\pi/2$. (d) The isolation $I(t)$ is plotted as a function of time $\Omega_{ab}t$ for $\Phi = (\pi/2, 0, -\pi/2)$. The other parameters are $\gamma_a = \gamma_b = \Omega_{ab}/10$, $\gamma_c = 100\Omega_{ab}$, $\Omega_{ca} = \Omega_{bc} = 10\Omega_{ab}$, and $\Delta_{cb} = \Delta_{ca} = \Delta_{ab} = 0$.




FIG. 3. (Color online) (a) The transition probabilities $T_{ab}(t)$ and $T_{ba}(t)$ and (b) The isolation $I(t)$ are plotted as functions of the synthetic magnetic flux Φ at time $\Omega_{ab}t = 1$. The other parameters are $\gamma_a = \gamma_b = \Omega_{ab}/10$, $\gamma_c = 100\Omega_{ab}$, $\Omega_{ca} = \Omega_{bc} = 10\Omega_{ab}$, and $\Delta_{cb} = \Delta_{ca} = \Delta_{ab} = 0$.

Furthermore, the dependence of the transition probabilities $T_{ab}(t)$ and $T_{ba}(t)$ on the synthetic magnetic flux Φ is show in Fig. 3(a). At time $\Omega_{ab}t = 1$, we have $T_{ab}(t) > T_{ba}(t)$ for synthetic magnetic flux $0 < \Phi < \pi$; in the contrast, we have $T_{ab}(t) < T_{ba}(t)$ for synthetic magnetic flux $-\pi < \Phi < 0$. As shown in Fig. 3(b), under the resonant condition $\Delta_{cb} = \Delta_{ca} = \Delta_{ab} = 0$, the optimal isolation $I(t)$ is obtained with synthetic magnetic flux $\Phi = \pm\pi/2$.

The implementation of cyclic three-level transition.— To realize a cyclic three-level atom, one ingredient is breaking the symmetry of the potential of the atom. The cyclic three-level transition has been proposed and observed in chiral molecules [40–46]. In addition, the potential of the atom also can be broken by applying an external magnetic field. We can consider a qubit circuit composed of a superconducting loop with three Josephson junctions [47, 48] that encloses an applied magnetic flux $\Phi_e = f\Phi_0$ ($\Phi_0 \equiv h/2e$ is the superconducting flux quantum, where h is Planck's constant and $f \equiv \Phi_e/\Phi_0$ is the reduced magnetic flux). When the reduced magnetic flux f is a half-integer, the potential of the artificial atom is symmetric, and the interaction Hamiltonian has odd parity. However, when f is not a half-integer, the symmetry of the potential is broken and the interaction Hamiltonian does not have well defined parity. In this case, transitions can occur between any two levels.

Alternatively, cyclic transitions in three-level atom can be realized by a single nitrogen-vacancy (NV) center embedded in a mechanical resonator [39]. Three eigenstates ($|0\rangle$ and $|\pm1\rangle$) of the spin operator along the NV's symmetry axis z (i.e., $S_z|m\rangle = m|m\rangle$) are selected as a three-level atom [49, 50]. The two degenerate levels $|\pm1\rangle$ can be split by applying an external magnetic field along z. We can use microwave magnetic fields to drive the transitions between $|0\rangle$ and $|\pm1\rangle$; the magnetic dipole-forbidden transition $|+1\rangle \leftrightarrow |-1\rangle$ can be driven by a time-varying strain field through the mechanical resonator [51, 52].

Single-photon nonreciprocal transport.— As an important application, we will discuss how to realize a single-photon nonreciprocal transport between two one dimensional (1D) semi-infinite coupled-resonator waveguides (CRWs) by nonreciprocal transition effect. We assume that two 1D semi-infinite CRWs, with creation operators $a_j^\dagger$ and $b_j^\dagger$ and frequency $\omega_{w,a}$ ($\omega_{w,b}$) for the jth cavity modes, are coupled by a ∇-type three-level atom ($|a\rangle$, $|b\rangle$, and $|g\rangle$) with nonreciprocal transition $|a\rangle \leftrightarrow |b\rangle$, as shown in Fig. 4. Here g_a (g_b) is the coupling strength between CRW-a (CRW-b) and the transition $|a\rangle \leftrightarrow |g\rangle$ ($|b\rangle \leftrightarrow |g\rangle$) with frequency ω_{ag} (ω_{bg}). The system can be described by the total Hamiltonian under the rotating wave approximation $H_{\rm tot} = \sum_{l=a,b} H_l + \widetilde{H}_{\rm eff} + H_{\rm int}$. Here, in the rotating reference frame with respect to $H_{\rm rot} = \omega_{ag}\left(\sum_j a_j^\dagger a_j + |a\rangle\langle a|\right) + \omega_{bg}\left(\sum_j b_j^\dagger b_j + |b\rangle\langle b|\right)$, the Hamiltonian H_l for the CRW-l is given by

$$H_l = \Delta_l \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} l_j^\dagger l_j - \xi_l \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty}\left(l_j^\dagger l_{j+1} + \text{H.c.}\right) \qquad (5)$$




FIG. 4. (Color online) Schematic of two 1D semi-infinite CRWs connected by a three-level atom characterized by $|a\rangle$, $|b\rangle$, and $|g\rangle$. CRW-a (CRW-b) couples to the three-level atom through the transition $|a\rangle \leftrightarrow |g\rangle$ ($|b\rangle \leftrightarrow |g\rangle$) with strength g_a (g_b).

with homogeneous intercavity coupling constants ξ_l and cavity-atom detunings $\Delta_l = \omega_{w,l} - \omega_{lg}$ $(l = a, b)$; the effective Hamiltonian $\widetilde{H}_{\rm eff}$ for the ∇-type three-level atom with nonreciprocal transition $|a\rangle \leftrightarrow |b\rangle$ is obtained from Eq. (4) with $\Delta_a = \Delta_b = 0$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{H}_{\rm eff} = {} & J_{ab}\,|a\rangle\langle b| + J_{ba}\,|b\rangle\langle a| \\ & -i\Gamma_a\,|a\rangle\langle a| - i\Gamma_b\,|b\rangle\langle b|\,, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

and the interaction Hamiltonian $H_{\rm int}$ between the 0th cavity modes and the three-level atom is described by

$$\begin{aligned}H_{\rm int} = {} & g_a a_0\,|a\rangle\langle g| + g_b b_0\,|b\rangle\langle g| \\ & + g_a a_0^\dagger\,|g\rangle\langle a| + g_b b_0^\dagger\,|g\rangle\langle b|\,. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The efficiency for nonreciprocity transport can be described by the the scattering flow [53–56] $I_{l'l}$ for a single photon from CRW-l to CRW-l' $(l = a, b)$. The detailed calculations of the scattering flow $I_{l'l}$ can be found in the supplementary material [38]. Nonreciprocal single-photon transport appears when $I_{ba} \neq I_{ab}$, which implies that the scattering flow from CRW-a to CRW-b is not equal to the scattering flow from CRW-b to CRW-a.

First of all, let us find the optimal conditions for perfect single-photon nonreciprocity, i.e., $I_{ab} = 0$ and $I_{ba} = 1$, analytically. For simplicity, we assume that the two semi-infinite CRWs have the same parameters, i.e., $\xi \equiv \xi_a = \xi_b$, $k \equiv k_a = k_b$, $g \equiv g_a = g_b$, and they are coupled to the atom resonantly with $\Delta_a = \Delta_b = 0$ and $\Gamma \equiv \Gamma_a = \Gamma_b$. $I_{ab} = 0$ can be obtained by setting $J_{ab} = 0$. Through a simple derivation [38], the condition for $I_{ba} = 1$ is $|\sin k| = 1$, i.e., $k = \pi/2$ $(0 < k < \pi)$, in the case that $|J_{ba}| = 2\Gamma$ and $g^2 = \Gamma\xi$. This fits the numerical simulation very well, as shown in Fig. 5(a) and 5(b).

Now let us discuss the width of the wave number for single-photon nonreciprocity [38]. We define the width of the wave number Δk for single-photon nonreciprocity




FIG. 5. (Color online) (a) Scattering flows I_{ab} (black solid curve) and I_{ba} (red dashed curve), (b) I_{aa} (black solid curve) and I_{bb} (red dashed curve), are plotted as functions of the wave number k/π for $\xi/\Gamma = 0.1$. (c) Scattering flow I_{ab} is plotted as a function of the wave number k/π for different ξ/Γ. (d) The width of the wave number Δk for single-photon nonreciprocity is plotted as a function of $\log_{10}[\xi/\Gamma]$ given in Eq. (8). The other parameters are $J_{ba} = 2\Gamma$, $J_{ab} = 0$, $\xi = \Gamma$, $\Delta_a = \Delta_b = 0$, $g^2 = \Gamma\xi$, $\phi = \pi/2$.

as the full width at half maximum (FWHM) by setting $I_{ba} = 1/2$ for $k = k_{\rm half} \in [0, \pi/2)$,

$$\Delta k \equiv \pi - 2k_{\rm half}. \tag{8}$$

Under the conditions $|J_{ba}| = 2\Gamma$ and $g^2 = \Gamma\xi$, there is a maximum FWHM for single-photon nonreciprocity at $\xi = \Gamma/2$, and the maximum FWHM $\Delta k_{\rm max} \approx 0.81\pi$ is obtained with $k_{\rm half} = \sin^{-1}(2\sqrt{2} - 1 - 2\sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}})$, in excellent agreement with Fig. 5(c) and 5(d).

Conclusion.— In summary, we have shown theoretically that nonreciprocal transition can be observed between two nondegenerate energy levels. A general method has been presented to realize nonreciprocal transition between two nondegenerate energy levels based on a combination of synthetic magnetism and reservoir engineering. As a simple example, we explicitly show an implementation involving an auxiliary energy level, i.e., a cyclic three-level atom system. A single-photon nonreciprocal transporter has been proposed by the nonreciprocal transition effect. The atom-mediated nonreciprocal devices based on the nonreciprocal transition are suitable for applications in building hybrid quantum networks.

The generic method for realizing nonreciprocal transition can also be applied in quantum acoustodynamical systems [57–59] to design nonreciprocal phonon devices. Furthermore, the nonreciprocal transition can also be implemented in a wide range of physical systems, such as a four-level atom system [60], two qubits in a one-dimension waveguide [61], and even qubit arrays [62].

The nonreciprocal transition can be extended to explore quantum nonreciprocal physics [63–65] and topological phases [66] in a single multi-level atom or qubit array. Besides these, the nonreciprocal transition effect can also be used to avoid the echo formation in quantum memory and quantum measurements.

Acknowledgements.— X.-W.X. was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grant No. 11604096, and the Key Program of Natural Science Foundation of Jiangxi Province, China under Grant No. 20192ACB21002. Y.J.Z. is supported by NSFC under Grants No. 11904013 and No. 11847165. A.X.C. is supported by NSFC under Grant No. 11775190. Y.X.L. is supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program) under Grant No. 2014CB921401, the Tsinghua University Initiative Scientific Research Program, and the Tsinghua National Laboratory for Information Science and Technology (TNList) Cross-discipline Foundation.

* davidxu0816@163.com
† aixichen@zstu.edu.cn
‡ yuxiliu@tsinghua.edu.cn

[1] A. Einstein, On the quantum theory of radiation, Phys. Z. **18**, 121 (1917).
[2] M. O. Scully and M.S. Zubairy, Quantum Optics (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997).
[3] A. Metelmann and A. A. Clerk, Nonreciprocal Photon Transmission and Amplification via Reservoir Engineering, Phys. Rev. X **5**, 021025 (2015).
[4] K. Fang, J. Luo, A. Metelmann, M. H. Matheny, F. Marquardt, A. A. Clerk, and O. Painter, Generalized non-reciprocity in an optomechanical circuit via synthetic magnetism and reservoir engineering, Nature Phys. **13**, 465 (2017).
[5] X. W. Xu and Y. Li, Optical nonreciprocity and optomechanical circulator in three-mode optomechanical systems, Phys. Rev. A **91**, 053854 (2015).
[6] X. W. Xu, Y. Li, A. X. Chen, and Y. X. Liu, Nonreciprocal conversion between microwave and optical photons in electro-optomechanical systems, Phys. Rev. A **93**, 023827 (2016).
[7] A. Metelmann and A. A. Clerk, Non-reciprocal quantum interactions and devices via autonomous feed-forward, Phys. Rev. A **95**, 013837 (2017).
[8] L. Tian and Z. Li, Nonreciprocal quantum-state conversion between microwave and optical photons, Phys. Rev. A **96**, 013808 (2017).
[9] F. Ruesink, M.-A. Miri, A. Alù, and E. Verhagen, Nonreciprocity and magnetic-free isolation based on optomechanical interactions. Nat. Commun. **7**, 13662 (2016).
[10] G. A. Peterson, F. Lecocq, K. Cicak, R. W. Simmonds, J. Aumentado, and J. D. Teufel, Demonstration of efficient nonreciprocity in a microwave optomechanical circuit, Phys. Rev. X **7**, 031001 (2017).
[11] N. R. Bernier, L. D. Tóth, A. Koottandavida, A. Nunnenkamp, A. K. Feofanov, T. J. Kippenberg, Nonreciprocal reconfigurable microwave optomechanical circuit, Nat. Commun. **8**, 604 (2017).
[12] S. Barzanjeh, M. Wulf, M. Peruzzo, M. Kalaee, P. B. Dieterle, O. Painter, and J. M. Fink, Mechanical On-Chip Microwave Circulator, Nature Commun. **8**, 953 (2017).
[13] J. Koch, A. A. Houck, K. L. Hur, and S. M. Girvin, Time-reversal-symmetry breaking in circuit-QED-based photon lattices, Phys. Rev. A **82**, 043811 (2010).
[14] R. O. Umucalılar and I. Carusotto, Artificial gauge field for photons in coupled cavity arrays, Phys. Rev. A **84**, 043804 (2011).
[15] Y. P. Wang, W. Wang, Z. Y. Xue, W. L. Yang, Y. Hu, and Y. Wu, Realizing and characterizing chiral photon flow in a circuit quantum electrodynamics necklace, Sci. Rep. **5**, 8352 (2015).
[16] F. X. Sun, D. Mao, Y. T. Dai, Z. Ficek, Q. Y. He, and Q. H. Gong, Phase control of entanglement and quantum steering in a three-mode optomechanical system, New J. Phys. **19**, 123039 (2017).
[17] S. J. M. Habraken, K. Stannigel, M. D. Lukin, P. Zoller, and P. Rabl, Continuous mode cooling and phonon routers for phononic quantum networks, New J. Phys. **14**, 115004 (2012).
[18] A. Seif, W. DeGottardi, K. Esfarjani, and M. Hafezi, Thermal management and non-reciprocal control of phonon flow via optomechanics, Nat. Commun. **9**, 1207 (2018).
[19] M. Schmidt, S. Kessler, V. Peano, O. Painter, and F. Marquardt, Optomechanical creation of magnetic fields for photons on a lattice, Optica **2**, 635 (2015).
[20] V. Peano, C. Brendel, M. Schmidt, and F. Marquardt, Topological Phases of Sound and Light, Phys. Rev. X **5**, 031011 (2015).
[21] V. Peano, M. Houde, F. Marquardt, and A. A. Clerk, Topological Quantum Fluctuations and Traveling Wave Amplifiers, Phys. Rev. X **6**, 041026 (2016).
[22] V. Peano, M. Houde, C. Brendel, F. Marquardt, and A. A. Clerk, Topological phase transitions and chiral inelastic transport induced by the squeezing of light, Nat. Commun. **7**, 10779 (2016).
[23] M. Minkov and V. Savona, Haldane quantum Hall effect for light in a dynamically modulated array of resonators, Optica **3**, 200 (2016).
[24] C. Brendel, V. Peano, O. Painter, and F. Marquardt, Snowflake phononic topological insulator at the nanoscale, Phys. Rev. B **97**, 020102(R) (2018).
[25] M. C. Rechtsman, J. M. Zeuner, Y. Plotnik, Y. Lumer, D. Podolsky, F. Dreisow, S. Nolte, M. Segev, and A. Szameit, Photonic Floquet topological insulators, Nature (London) **496**, 196 (2013).
[26] M. Hafezi, E. A. Demler, M. D. Lukin, and J. M. Taylor, Robust optical delay lines with topological protection, Nature Phys. **7**, 907 (2011).
[27] K. Fang, Z. Yu, and S. Fan, Realizing effective magnetic field for photons by controlling the phase of dynamic modulation, Nature Photon. **6**, 782 (2012).
[28] L. D. Tzuang, K. Fang, P. Nussenzveig, S. Fan, and M. Lipson, Non-reciprocal phase shift induced by an effective magnetic flux for light, Nature Photon. **8**, 701 (2014).
[29] K. M. Sliwa, M. Hatridge, A. Narla, S. Shankar, L. Frunzio, R. J. Schoelkopf, and M. H. Devoret, Reconfigurable Josephson Circulator/Directional Amplifier, Phys. Rev. X **5**, 041020 (2015).
[30] J. F. Poyatos, J. I. Cirac, and P. Zoller, Quantum Reservoir Engineering with Laser Cooled Trapped Ions, Phys.

Rev. Lett. **77**, 4728 (1996).
[31] X. Xu, T. Purdy, and J. M. Taylor, Cooling a Harmonic Oscillator by Optomechanical Modification of Its Bath, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 223602 (2017).
[32] D. Kienzler, H.-Y. Lo, B. Keitch, L. de Clercq, F. Leupold, F. Lindenfelser, M. Marinelli, V. Negnevitsky, J. P. Home, Quantum harmonic oscillator state synthesis by reservoir engineering, Science **347**, 53 (2015).
[33] A. Miranowicz, J. Bajer, M. Paprzycka, Y. X. Liu, A. M. Zagoskin, and F. Nori, State-dependent photon blockade via quantum-reservoir engineering, Phys. Rev. A **90**, 033831 (2014).
[34] C. J. Yang, J. H. An, W. L. Yang, and Y. Li, Generation of stable entanglement between two cavity mirrors by squeezed-reservoir engineering, Phys. Rev. A **92**, 062311 (2015).
[35] X. B. Yan, Enhanced output entanglement with reservoir engineering, Phys. Rev. A **96**, 053831 (2017).
[36] P. Rabl, A. Shnirman, and P. Zoller, Generation of squeezed states of nanomechanical resonators by reservoir engineering, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 205304 (2004).
[37] M. J. Woolley and A. A. Clerk, Two-mode squeezed states in cavity optomechanics via engineering of a single reservoir, Phys. Rev. A **89**, 063805 (2014).
[38] See Supplementary Material at http://xxx for detailed derivations.
[39] A. Barfuss, J. Kölbl, L. Thiel, J. Teissier, M. Kasperczyk, and P. Maletinsky, Non-reciprocal coherent dynamics of a single spin under closed-contour interaction, arXiv:1802.04824v1 [cond-mat.mes-hall].
[40] P. Král and M. Shapiro, Cyclic Population Transfer in Quantum Systems with Broken Symmetry, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 183002 (2001).
[41] P. Král, I. Thanopulos, M. Shapiro, and D. Cohen, Two-Step Enantio-Selective Optical Switch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 033001 (2003).
[42] Y. Li, C. Bruder, and C. P. Sun, Generalized Stern-Gerlach Effect for Chiral Molecules, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 130403 (2007).
[43] D. Patterson and J. M. Doyle, Sensitive Chiral Analysis via Microwave Three-Wave Mixing, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 023008 (2013).
[44] D. Patterson, M. Schnell, and J. M. Doyle, Enantiomer-specific detection of chiral molecules via microwave spectroscopy, Nature (London) **497**, 475 (2013).
[45] S. Eibenberger, J. Doyle, and D. Patterson, Enantiomer-Specific State Transfer of Chiral Molecules, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 123002 (2017).
[46] C. Ye, Q. Zhang, and Y. Li, Real single-loop cyclic three-level configuration of chiral molecules, Phys. Rev. A **98**, 063401 (2018).
[47] Y. X. Liu, J. Q. You, L. F. Wei, C. P. Sun, and F. Nori, Optical Selection Rules and Phase-Dependent Adiabatic State Control in a Superconducting Quantum Circuit, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 087001 (2005).
[48] J. E. Mooij, T. P. Orlando, L. Levitov, L. Tian, C. H. van der Wal, and S. Lloyd, Josephson persistent-current qubit, Science **285**, 1036 (1999).
[49] J. R. Maze, A. Gali, E. Togan, Y. Chu, A. Trifonov, E. Kaxiras, and M. D. Lukin, Properties of nitrogen-vacancy centers in diamond: the group theoretic approach, New J. Phys. **13**, 025025 (2011).
[50] V. Dobrovitski, G. Fuchs, A. Falk, C. Santori, and D. Awschalom, Quantum Control over Single Spins in Diamond, Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. **4**, 23 (2013).
[51] E. R. MacQuarrie, T. A. Gosavi, N. R. Jungwirth, S. A. Bhave, and G. D. Fuchs, Mechanical Spin Control of Nitrogen-Vacancy Centers in Diamond, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 227602 (2013).
[52] A. Barfuss, J. Teissier, E. Neu, A. Nunnenkamp, and P. Maletinsky, Strong mechanical driving of a single electron spin, Nature Phys. **11**, 820 (2015).
[53] L. Zhou, L. P. Yang, Y. Li, and C. P. Sun, Quantum Routing of Single Photons with a Cyclic Three-Level System, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 103604 (2013).
[54] Z. H. Wang, L. Zhou, Y. Li, and C. P. Sun, Controllable single-photon frequency converter via a one-dimensional waveguide, Phys. Rev. A **89**, 053813 (2014).
[55] X. W. Xu, A. X. Chen, Y. Li, and Yu-xi Liu, Single-photon nonreciprocal transport in one-dimensional coupled-resonator waveguides, Phys. Rev. A **95**, 063808 (2017).
[56] X. W. Xu, A. X. Chen, Y. Li, and Yu-xi Liu, Nonreciprocal single-photon frequency converter via multiple semi-infinite coupled-resonator waveguides, Phys. Rev. A **96**, 053853 (2017).
[57] A. D. O'Connell, M. Hofheinz, M. Ansmann, R. C. Bialczak, M. Lenander, E. Lucero, M. Neeley, D. Sank, H. Wang, M. Weides, J. Wenner, J. M. Martinis, and A. N. Cleland, Quantum ground state and single-phonon control of a mechanical resonator, Nature (London) **464**, 697 (2010).
[58] Y. Chu, P. Kharel, W. H. Renninger, L. D. Burkhart, L. Frunzio, P. T. Rakich, and R. J. Schoelkopf, Quantum acoustics with superconducting qubits, Science **358**, 199 (2017).
[59] R. Manenti, A. F. Kockum, A. Patterson, T. Behrle, J. Rahamim, G. Tancredi, F. Nori, and P. J. Leek, Circuit quantum acoustodynamics with surface acoustic waves, Nat. Commun. **8**, 975 (2017).
[60] F. Ripka, H. Kübler, R. Löw, T. Pfau, A room-temperature single-photon source based on strongly interacting Rydberg atoms, Science **362**, 446 (2018).
[61] A. R. Hamann, C. Müller, M. Jerger, M. Zanner, J. Combes, M. Pletyukhov, M. Weides, T. M. Stace, and A. Fedorov, Nonreciprocity Realized with Quantum Nonlinearity, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 123601 (2018).
[62] M. W. Johnson, M. H. S. Amin, S. Gildert, T. Lanting, F. Hamze, N. Dickson, R. Harris, A. J. Berkley, J. Johansson, P. Bunyk, E. M. Chapple, C. Enderud, J. P. Hilton, K. Karimi, E. Ladizinsky, N. Ladizinsky, T. Oh, I. Perminov, C. Rich, M. C. Thom, E. Tolkacheva, C. J. S. Truncik, S. Uchaikin, J. Wang, B. Wilson, and G. Rose, Quantum annealing with manufactured spins, Nature (London) **473**, 194 (2011).
[63] R. Huang, A. Miranowicz, J. Q. Liao, F. Nori, and H. Jing, Nonreciprocal Photon Blockade, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 153601 (2018).
[64] X. W. Xu, Y. J. Zhao, H. Wang, H. Jing, and A. X. Chen, Nonreciprocal photon blockade via quadratic optomechanical coupling, arXiv:1809.07596 [quant-ph].
[65] B. Li, R. Huang, X. W. Xu, A. Miranowicz, and H. Jing, Nonreciprocal unconventional photon blockade in a spinning optomechanical system, Photonics Res. **7**, 000630 (2019).
[66] M. Z. Hasan and C. L. Kane, Colloquium: Topological insulators, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 3045 (2010).

Supplementary Material for "Nonreciprocal transition between two nondegenerate energy levels"

Xun-Wei Xu[1], Yan-Jun Zhao[2], Hui Wang[3], Ai-Xi Chen[4,1], and Yu-xi Liu[5,6]

[1] *Department of Applied Physics, East China Jiaotong University, Nanchang, 330013, China*
[2] *Faculty of Information Technology, College of Microelectronics, Beijing University of Technology, Beijing 100124, China,*
[3] *Center for Emergent Matter Science (CEMS), RIKEN, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan*
[4] *Department of Physics, Zhejiang Sci-Tech University, Hangzhou, 310018, China*
[5] *Institute of Microelectronics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China*
[6] *Beijing National Research Center for Information Science and Technology (BNRist), Beijing 100084, China*

ADIABATIC ELIMINATION

We consider a cyclic three-level atom ($|a\rangle$, $|b\rangle$, and $|c\rangle$) driven by three classical coherent fields (at rates Ω_{ij}, phases ϕ_{ij}, frequencies ν_{ij}, with $i,j=a,b,c$ and $\nu_{cb}=\nu_{ab}+\nu_{ca}$) that is described by a Hamiltonian given by

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{H} &= (\omega_{ab}-i\gamma_a)\,|a\rangle\langle a| - i\gamma_b\,|b\rangle\langle b| + (\omega_{cb}-i\gamma_c)\,|c\rangle\langle c| \\ &\quad + \left(\Omega_{ab}e^{i\phi_{ab}}e^{-i\nu_{ab}t}\,|a\rangle\langle b| + \Omega_{cb}e^{-i\phi_{cb}}e^{-i\nu_{cb}t}\,|c\rangle\langle b| + \Omega_{ca}e^{i\phi_{ca}}e^{-i\nu_{ca}t}\,|c\rangle\langle a| + \text{H.c.}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{S1}$$

where ω_{ij} is the frequency difference between levels $|i\rangle$ and $|j\rangle$, and the three levels can decay to the other levels with the decay rates γ_i $(i=a,b,c)$.

In the rotating frame respect to the operator

$$W = e^{-i(\nu_{ab}|a\rangle\langle a|+\nu_{cb}|c\rangle\langle c|)t}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}H &= U^\dagger\widetilde{H}U + i\frac{dW^\dagger}{dt}W \\ &= (\Delta_{ab}-i\gamma_a)\,|a\rangle\langle a| - i\gamma_b\,|b\rangle\langle b| + (\Delta_{cb}-i\gamma_c)\,|c\rangle\langle c| \\ &\quad + \Omega_{ab}e^{i\phi_{ab}}\,|a\rangle\langle b| + \Omega_{cb}e^{-i\phi_{cb}}\,|c\rangle\langle b| + \Omega_{ca}e^{i\phi_{ca}}\,|c\rangle\langle a| + \text{H.c.},\end{aligned}$$

with the detuning $\Delta_{ij}\equiv\omega_{ij}-\nu_{ij}$ $(i,j=a,b,c)$. By local redefinition of the eigenstates, i.e., $e^{-i\phi_{cb}}\langle b|\to\langle b|$ and $e^{-i\phi_{ca}}|a\rangle\to|a\rangle$, the Hamiltonian can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}H &= (\Delta_{ab}-i\gamma_a)\,|a\rangle\langle a| - i\gamma_b\,|b\rangle\langle b| + (\Delta_{cb}-i\gamma_c)\,|c\rangle\langle c| \\ &\quad + \left(\Omega_{ab}e^{i\Phi}\,|a\rangle\langle b| + \Omega_{cb}\,|c\rangle\langle b| + \Omega_{ca}\,|c\rangle\langle a| + \text{H.c.}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{S2}$$

with the synthetic magnetic flux

$$\Phi\equiv\phi_{ab}+\phi_{cb}+\phi_{ca}.$$

Now we consider the transitions between the three levels. The state vector for this three levels at time t can be written as

$$|\psi\rangle = A(t)\,|a\rangle + B(t)\,|b\rangle + C(t)\,|c\rangle. \tag{S3}$$

The coefficients $|A(t)|^2$, $|B(t)|^2$, and $|C(t)|^2$ denote occupying probabilities in states $|a\rangle$, $|b\rangle$ and $|c\rangle$, respectively. Then the dynamical behaviors for the coefficients can be obtained by the Schrödinger equation, i.e., $i|\dot{\psi}\rangle = H|\psi\rangle$, given by

$$\dot{A}(t) = (-i\Delta_{ab}-\gamma_a)\,A(t) - i\Omega_{ab}e^{i\Phi}B(t) - i\Omega_{ca}C(t), \tag{S4}$$

$$\dot{B}(t) = -\gamma_b B(t) - i\Omega_{ab}e^{-i\Phi}A(t) - i\Omega_{cb}C(t), \tag{S5}$$

$$\dot{C}(t) = (-i\Delta_{cb}-\gamma_c)\,C(t) - i\Omega_{ca}A(t) - i\Omega_{cb}B(t). \tag{S6}$$

Under the assumption that the decay of the state $|c\rangle$ is much faster than decay of the states $|a\rangle$ and $|b\rangle$, i.e., $\gamma_c \gg \{\gamma_a, \gamma_b\}$, we can adiabatically eliminate the level $|c\rangle$ with $\dot{C}(t) = 0$ as

$$C(t) = \frac{-i\Omega_{ca}}{\gamma_c + i\Delta_{cb}} A(t) - \frac{i\Omega_{cb}}{\gamma_c + i\Delta_{cb}} B(t). \tag{S7}$$

By substituting Eq. (S7) into Eqs. (S4) and (S5), then the dynamical equations of $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ become

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{A}(t) = &- \left[i\left(\Delta_{ab} - \frac{\Omega_{ca}^2 \Delta_{cb}}{\gamma_c^2 + \Delta_{cb}^2} \right) + \left(\gamma_a + \frac{\Omega_{ca}^2 \gamma_c}{\gamma_c^2 + \Delta_{cb}^2} \right) \right] A(t) \\ &- \left[i\Omega_{ab} e^{i\Phi} + \frac{\Omega_{ca}\Omega_{cb}(\gamma_c - i\Delta_{cb})}{\gamma_c^2 + \Delta_{cb}^2} \right] B(t), \end{aligned} \tag{S8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{B}(t) = &- \left[-i\frac{\Omega_{cb}^2 \Delta_{cb}}{\gamma_c^2 + \Delta_{cb}^2} + \left(\gamma_b + \frac{\Omega_{cb}^2 \gamma_c}{\gamma_c^2 + \Delta_{cb}^2} \right) \right] B(t) \\ &- \left[i\Omega_{ab} e^{-i\Phi} + \frac{\Omega_{ca}\Omega_{cb}(\gamma_c - i\Delta_{cb})}{\gamma_c^2 + \Delta_{cb}^2} \right] A(t), \end{aligned} \tag{S9}$$

Physically, the effective Hamiltonian representing the Schrödinger evolution in Eqs. (S8) and (S9) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{eff}} = &(\Delta_a - i\Gamma_a) |a\rangle \langle a| + (\Delta_b - i\Gamma_b) |b\rangle \langle b| \\ &+ J_{ab} |a\rangle \langle b| + J_{ba} |b\rangle \langle a| \end{aligned} \tag{S10}$$

with effective detunings

$$\Delta_a \equiv \Delta_{ab} - \frac{\Omega_{ca}^2 \Delta_{cb}}{\gamma_c^2 + \Delta_{cb}^2},$$

$$\Delta_b \equiv -\frac{\Omega_{cb}^2 \Delta_{cb}}{\gamma_c^2 + \Delta_{cb}^2},$$

effective decay rates

$$\Gamma_a \equiv \left(\gamma_a + \frac{\Omega_{ca}^2 \gamma_c}{\gamma_c^2 + \Delta_{cb}^2} \right),$$

$$\Gamma_b \equiv \left(\gamma_b + \frac{\Omega_{cb}^2 \gamma_c}{\gamma_c^2 + \Delta_{cb}^2} \right),$$

and effective coupling coefficients

$$J_{ab} \equiv \Omega_{ab} e^{i\Phi} - i\frac{\Omega_{ca}\Omega_{cb}(\gamma_c - i\Delta_{cb})}{\gamma_c^2 + \Delta_{cb}^2},$$

$$J_{ba} \equiv \Omega_{ab} e^{-i\Phi} - i\frac{\Omega_{ca}\Omega_{cb}(\gamma_c - i\Delta_{cb})}{\gamma_c^2 + \Delta_{cb}^2}.$$

The effective coupling coefficients J_{ab} and J_{ba} are dependent on the synthetic magnetic flux Φ. Under the resonant condition $\Delta_{cb} = 0$, we have $|J_{ab}| < |J_{ba}|$ for $0 < \Phi < \pi$; conversely, we have $|J_{ab}| > |J_{ba}|$ as $-\pi < \Phi < 0$. The perfect nonreciprocal transition, i.e, $J_{ab} = 0$ and $J_{ba} \neq 0$ (or $J_{ba} = 0$ and $J_{ab} \neq 0$), is obtained when $\Phi = \pi/2$ (or $\Phi = -\pi/2$) with $\Omega_{ab} = \Omega_{ca}\Omega_{cb}/\gamma_c$.

SCATTERING FLOW

To study the nonreciprocal single-photon transport, we discuss the scattering of a single photon in the system with the total Hamiltonian

$$H_{\mathrm{tot}}=\sum_{l=a,b}H_l+\widetilde{H}_{\mathrm{eff}}+H_{\mathrm{int}}. \tag{S11}$$

Here, in the rotating reference frame with respect to

$$H_{\mathrm{rot}}=\omega_{ag}\left(\sum_j a_j^{\dagger}a_j+|a\rangle\langle a|\right)+\omega_{bg}\left(\sum_j b_j^{\dagger}b_j+|b\rangle\langle b|\right),$$

the Hamiltonian H_l for the CRW-l is given by

$$H_l=\Delta_l\sum_{j=0}^{+\infty}l_j^{\dagger}l_j-\xi_l\sum_{j=0}^{+\infty}\left(l_j^{\dagger}l_{j+1}+\mathrm{H.c.}\right)$$

with homogeneous intercavity coupling constants ξ_l and cavity-atom detunings $\Delta_l=\omega_{w,l}-\omega_{lg}$ $(l=a,b)$; the effective Hamiltonian $\widetilde{H}_{\mathrm{eff}}$ for the ∇-type three-level atom with nonreciprocal transition $|a\rangle\leftrightarrow|b\rangle$ with $\Delta_a=\Delta_b=0$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{H}_{\mathrm{eff}}=&J_{ab}|a\rangle\langle b|+J_{ba}|b\rangle\langle a|\\&-i\Gamma_a|a\rangle\langle a|-i\Gamma_b|b\rangle\langle b|,\end{aligned}$$

and the interaction Hamiltonian H_{int} between the 0th cavity modes and the three-level system is described by

$$\begin{aligned}H_{\mathrm{int}}=&g_a a_0|a\rangle\langle g|+g_b b_0|b\rangle\langle g|\\&+g_a a_0^{\dagger}|g\rangle\langle a|+g_b b_0^{\dagger}|g\rangle\langle b|.\end{aligned}$$

As the total number of photons in the system is a conserved quantity (without dissipation), we consider the stationary eigenstate of a single photon in the system as

$$|E\rangle=\sum_{j=0}^{+\infty}\left[u_a(j)\,a_j^{\dagger}+u_b(j)\,b_j^{\dagger}\right]|g,0\rangle+A|a,0\rangle+B|b,0\rangle, \tag{S12}$$

where $|0\rangle$ indicates the vacuum state of the 1D semi-infinite CRWs, $u_l(j)$ denotes the probability amplitude in the state with a single photon in the jth cavity of the CRW-l, and A (B) denotes the probability amplitude in the atom state $|a\rangle$ $(|b\rangle)$. The dispersion relation of the semi-infinite CRW-l in the rotating reference frame is given by [S1]

$$E=\Delta_l-2\xi_l\cos k_l,\quad 0<k_l<\pi,$$

where E_l is the energy and k_l is the wave number of the single photon in the CRW-l. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\xi_l>0$. Substituting the stationary eigenstate $|E\rangle$ in Eq. (S12) and the total Hamiltonian H_{tot} into the eigenequation $H_{\mathrm{tot}}|E\rangle=E|E\rangle$, we can obtain the coupled equations for the probability amplitudes as

$$\Delta_a u_a(0)-\xi_a u_a(1)+g_a A=E u_a(0) \tag{S13}$$

$$\Delta_b u_b(0)-\xi_b u_b(1)+g_b B=E u_b(0) \tag{S14}$$

$$-i\Gamma_a A+g_a u_a(0)+J_{ab}B=EA \tag{S15}$$

$$-i\Gamma_b B+g_b u_b(0)+J_{ba}A=EB \tag{S16}$$

$$\Delta_l u_l(j)-\xi_l\left[u_l(j+1)+u_l(j-1)\right]=E u_l(j) \tag{S17}$$

with $j>0$ and $l=a,b$.

If a single photon with energy E is incident from the infinity side of CRW-l, the ∇-type three-level atom will result in photon scattering between different CRWs or photon absorbtion by the dissipative of the atom. The general expressions of the probability amplitudes in the CRWs ($j\geq 0$) are given by

$$u_l\left(j\right)=e^{-ik_l j}+s_{ll}e^{ik_l j}, \tag{S18}$$

$$u_{l'}\left(j\right)=s_{l'l}e^{ik_{l'} j}, \tag{S19}$$

where $s_{l'l}$ denotes the single-photon scattering amplitude from CRW-l to CRW-l' ($l,l'=a,b$). Substituting Eqs. (S18) and (S19) into Eqs. (S13)-(S17), then we obtain the scattering matrix as

$$S=\begin{pmatrix} s_{aa} & s_{ab} \\ s_{ba} & s_{bb} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{S20}$$

where

$$s_{aa}=D^{-1}\left[J'_{ab}J'_{ba}-\left(\xi_a e^{ik_a}+\overline{\Delta}_a\right)\left(\xi_b e^{-ik_b}+\overline{\Delta}_b\right)\right], \tag{S21}$$

$$s_{ba}=i2D^{-1}J'_{ba}\xi_a\sin k_a, \tag{S22}$$

$$s_{ab}=i2D^{-1}J'_{ab}\xi_b\sin k_b, \tag{S23}$$

$$s_{bb}=D^{-1}\left[J'_{ab}J'_{ba}-\left(\xi_a e^{-ik_a}+\overline{\Delta}_a\right)\left(\xi_b e^{ik_b}+\overline{\Delta}_b\right)\right], \tag{S24}$$

$$D=\left(\xi_a e^{-ik_a}+\overline{\Delta}_a\right)\left(\xi_b e^{-ik_b}+\overline{\Delta}_b\right)-J'_{ab}J'_{ba} \tag{S25}$$

with the effective coupling strengths $J'_{ll'}$ and frequency shifts $\overline{\Delta}_l$ induced by the ∇-type three-level atom defined by

$$J'_{ab}\equiv\frac{J_{ab}g_a g_b}{\left(E+i\Gamma_a\right)\left(E+i\Gamma_b\right)-J_{ba}J_{ab}}, \tag{S26}$$

$$J'_{ba}\equiv\frac{J_{ba}g_a g_b}{\left(E+i\Gamma_a\right)\left(E+i\Gamma_b\right)-J_{ba}J_{ab}}, \tag{S27}$$

$$\overline{\Delta}_a\equiv\frac{\left(E+i\Gamma_b\right)g_a^2}{\left(E+i\Gamma_a\right)\left(E+i\Gamma_b\right)-J_{ba}J_{ab}}, \tag{S28}$$

$$\overline{\Delta}_b\equiv\frac{\left(E+i\Gamma_a\right)g_b^2}{\left(E+i\Gamma_a\right)\left(E+i\Gamma_b\right)-J_{ba}J_{ab}}. \tag{S29}$$

To quantify the efficiency for nonreciprocity transport, we define the the scattering flow [S2–S4] of a single photon from CRW-l to CRW-l', as

$$I_{l'l}\equiv|s_{l'l}|^2\frac{\xi_{l'}\sin k_{l'}}{\xi_l\sin k_l}, \tag{S30}$$

where $\xi_l\sin k_l$ ($\xi_{l'}\sin k_{l'}$) is the group velocity in the CRW-l (CRW-l').

PERFECT SINGLE-PHOTON NONRECIPROCITY

In this section, we will derive the conditions for perfect nonreciprocal single-photon transport, i.e., $I_{ab} = 0$ and $I_{ba} = 1$, analytically. For simplicity, we assume that the two semi-infinite CRWs have the same parameters, i.e., $\xi \equiv \xi_a = \xi_b$, $k \equiv k_a = k_b$, $g \equiv g_a = g_b$, and they are coupled to the atom resonantly with $\Delta_a = \Delta_b = 0$ and $\Gamma \equiv \Gamma_a = \Gamma_b$. $I_{ab} = 0$ can be obtained by setting $J_{ab} = 0$ or $J'_{ab} = 0$. In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{ba} = |s_{ba}|^2 &= \left| \frac{2iJ'_{ba}\xi_a \sin k_a}{\left(\xi_a e^{-ik_a} + \overline{\Delta}_a\right)\left(\xi_b e^{-ik_b} + \overline{\Delta}_b\right)} \right|^2 \\ &= \left| \frac{2J_{ba}g_a g_b \xi_a \sin k_a}{\left[\xi_a e^{-ik_a}\left(E + i\Gamma_a\right) + g_a^2\right]\left[\xi_b e^{-ik_b}\left(E + i\Gamma_b\right) + g_b^2\right]} \right|^2 \\ &= \left| \frac{2J_{ba}g^2 \xi \sin k}{\left[\xi e^{-ik}\left(-2\xi\cos k + i\Gamma\right) + g^2\right]^2} \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

So the condition for $I_{ba} = 1$ is

$$2\left|J_{ba}\right| g^2 \xi \left|\sin k\right| = \left|\xi e^{-ik}\left(-2\xi\cos k + i\Gamma\right) + g^2\right|^2$$

or

$$\left|\sin k\right| = \frac{\left(\left|J_{ba}\right| - \Gamma\right) g^2 \pm \sqrt{\left(\left|J_{ba}\right| - \Gamma\right)^2 g^4 - 4\left(g^2 - \xi^2\right)\left[4\left(\xi^2 - g^2\right)\xi^2 + \Gamma^2\xi^2 + g^4\right]}}{4\left(g^2 - \xi^2\right)\xi}.$$

As a simple example, the maximum scattering flow $I_{ba} = 1$ can be obtained at the maximum group velocity

$$\left|\sin k\right| = 1$$

with

$$\left|J_{ba}\right| = \frac{\left(g^2 + \Gamma\xi\right)^2}{2g^2\xi}.$$

Furthermore, if

$$g^2 = \Gamma\xi,$$

we have

$$\left|J_{ba}\right| = 2\Gamma.$$

MAXIMUM FULL WIDTH AT HALF MAXIMUM

Now, we will derive the maximum full width at half maximum (FWHM) for perfect nonreciprocal single-photon transport. The half maximum of the scattering flow I_{ba} is given by

$$I_{ba} = |s_{ba}|^2 = \left| \frac{2J_{ba}g^2\xi \sin k_{\text{half}}}{\left[\xi e^{-ik}\left(-2\xi\cos k_{\text{half}} + i\Gamma\right) + g^2\right]^2} \right|^2 = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$2\left|J_{ba}\right| g^2 \xi \left|\sin k_{\text{half}}\right| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left|\xi e^{-ik}\left(-2\xi\cos k_{\text{half}} + i\Gamma\right) + g^2\right|^2,$$

or

$$4\left(g^2 - \xi^2\right)\xi^2 \left|\sin k_{\text{half}}\right|^2 + 2\left(\Gamma - \sqrt{2}\left|J_{ba}\right|\right) g^2\xi \left|\sin k_{\text{half}}\right| + 4\left(\xi^2 - g^2\right)\xi^2 + \Gamma^2\xi^2 + g^4 = 0.$$

Under the conditions that $|J_{ba}| = 2\Gamma$ and $g^2 = \Gamma\xi$, we have

$$2\left(\Gamma-\xi\right)\xi\left|\sin k_{\mathrm{half}}\right|^2+\left(1-2\sqrt{2}\right)\Gamma^2\left|\sin k_{\mathrm{half}}\right|+2\left(\xi-\Gamma\right)\xi+\Gamma^2=0.$$

Define

$$\eta\equiv\frac{\xi}{\Gamma},$$

then we have

$$2\left(1-\eta\right)\eta\left|\sin k_{\mathrm{half}}\right|^2+\left(1-2\sqrt{2}\right)\left|\sin k_{\mathrm{half}}\right|+1-2\left(1-\eta\right)\eta=0,$$

or

$$\left|\sin k_{\mathrm{half}}\right|=\frac{-\left(1-2\sqrt{2}\right)\pm\sqrt{\left(1-2\sqrt{2}\right)^2-8\left(1-\eta\right)\eta\left[1-2\left(1-\eta\right)\eta\right]}}{4\left(1-\eta\right)\eta}.$$

Define

$$\zeta=4\left(1-\eta\right)\eta,$$

then we have

$$\left|\sin k_{\mathrm{half}}\right|=\frac{-\left(1-2\sqrt{2}\right)\pm\sqrt{\left(1-2\sqrt{2}\right)^2-\zeta\left(2-\zeta\right)}}{\zeta}.$$

The condition for maximum width $\Delta k_{\max}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{d\eta}\left|\sin k_{\mathrm{half}}\right|&=\frac{d\left|\sin k_{\mathrm{half}}\right|}{d\zeta}\frac{d\zeta}{d\eta}\\&=\frac{\left(2\sqrt{2}-1\right)^2-\zeta-\left(2\sqrt{2}-1\right)\sqrt{\left(2\sqrt{2}-1\right)^2-2\zeta+\zeta^2}}{\zeta^2\sqrt{\left(2\sqrt{2}-1\right)^2-2\zeta+\zeta^2}}\left[4\left(1-2\eta\right)\right],\\&=0,\end{aligned}$$

which is satisfied with

$$\eta=\frac{1}{2}\Rightarrow\xi=\frac{\Gamma}{2}.$$

That is to say, the maximum width $\Delta k_{\max}$ is obtained at $\xi=\Gamma/2$ with

$$\left|\sin k_{\mathrm{half}}\right|=2\sqrt{2}-1-2\sqrt{2-\sqrt{2}},$$

and the maximum FWHM $\Delta k_{\max}$ is

$$\Delta k_{\max}\equiv\pi-2\sin^{-1}\left[2\sqrt{2}-1-2\sqrt{2-\sqrt{2}}\right]\approx0.81\pi. \tag{S31}$$

* davidxu0816@163.com
† aixichen@zstu.edu.cn
‡ yuxiliu@tsinghua.edu.cn

[S1] L. Zhou, L. P. Yang, Y. Li, and C. P. Sun, Quantum Routing of Single Photons with a Cyclic Three-Level System, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 103604 (2013).
[S2] Z. H. Wang, L. Zhou, Y. Li, and C. P. Sun, Controllable single-photon frequency converter via a one-dimensional waveguide, Phys. Rev. A **89**, 053813 (2014).
[S3] X. W. Xu, A. X. Chen, Y. Li, and Yu-xi Liu, Single-photon nonreciprocal transport in one-dimensional coupled-resonator waveguides, Phys. Rev. A **95**, 063808 (2017).
[S4] X. W. Xu, A. X. Chen, Y. Li, and Yu-xi Liu, Nonreciprocal single-photon frequency converter via multiple semi-infinite coupled-resonator waveguides, Phys. Rev. A **96**, 053853 (2017).