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We present the first experimental demonstration of the occurrence of exceptional points of degeneracy (EPDs) in a single resonator system by introducing a linear time-periodic variation to one of its components, in contrast to parity time (PT)-symmetric systems that require two coupled resonators with precise values of gain to realize an EPD. In the proposed scheme, only the tuning of the modulation frequency is required that is easily achieved in electronic systems. The EPD is a point in a system parameters’ space at which two or more eigenstates coalesce, and this leads to unique properties not occurring at other non-degenerate operating points. We show theoretically and experimentally the existence of a second order EPD in a single resonator. Furthermore, we measure the sensitivity of the proposed system to a small structural perturbation and show that the operation of the system at an EPD dramatically boosts its sensitivity performance to very small perturbations. Also, we show experimentally how this unique sensitivity induced by an EPD can be used to devise new exceptionally-sensitive sensors based on a single resonator by simply applying time modulation.

I. INTRODUCTION

Sensing and data acquisition is an essential part of many medical, industrial, and automotive applications that require sensing of local physical, biological or chemical quantities. For instance, pressure sensors [1], temperature sensors [2], humidity sensors [3], and bio-sensors on the skin or inside the body have gained a lot of interest in the recent years [4–8]. Thus, various low-profile low-cost highly-sensitive electromagnetic (EM) sensing systems are desirable to achieve continuous and precise measurement for the mentioned various applications. The operating nature of the currently used EM resonant sensing systems are mostly based on the change in the equivalent resistance or capacitance of the EM sensor by a small quantity δ (e.g. 1%), resulting in changes of measurable quantities such as the resonance frequency or the quality factor that vary proportionally to δ (that is still in the order of 1%). The scope of this paper is to show theoretically and experimentally, a new strategy for sensing that leads to a major sensitivity enhancement, and since this is based on a physics concept rather than just an optimization method, it forms a new paradigm in sensing technology.

In order to enhance the sensitivity of an EM system we exploit the concept of exceptional point of degeneracy (EPD) at which the observables are no longer linearly proportional to a system perturbation but rather have an $m^{th}$ root dependence with $m$ being the order of the EPD. Such dependence enhances the sensitivity greatly for small perturbations. For instance, exploiting an EPD of order 2 as in this paper, if we change a system capacitance by a small quantity δ (e.g. 1%) then the resonance frequency of a resonator based on an EPD would change by a quantity proportional to $\sqrt{\delta}$ (e.g. 10%), making this fundamental physical aspect very interesting for sensing very small amounts of substances.

An EPD of order two is simply the splitting point (or degenerate point) of two resonance frequencies and it emerges in systems when two or more eigenmodes coalesce into a single degenerate eigenmode, in both their eigenvalues and eigenvectors. The emergence of EPDs is associated with unique properties that promote several potential applications such as enhancing the gain of active systems [9], lowering the oscillation threshold or improving the performance of laser systems [10, 11] or circuit oscillators [12], enhancing sensors’ sensitivity [13–17], etc. EPDs emerge in EM systems using various methods: by introducing gain and loss in the system based on the concept of parity-time (PT-) symmetry [11, 16, 18, 19], or by introducing periodicity (spatial or
temporal periodicity) in waveguides [20–22].

In this paper we focus on the new scheme to obtain EPDs based on time-periodic modulation of a system parameter introduced in [23] and explore possible sensing applications. The paper is organized as follows. First, we summarize the basic concept of EPDs induced in linear time periodic (LTP) systems, then we show experimentally the existence of the LTP-induced EPDs. Finally, we illustrate experimentally how such EPD systems has exceptionally high sensitivity to be used for sensing.

II. THEORETICAL ENERGY TRANSFER FORMULATION FOR AN LTP SYSTEM

We consider a linear time-periodic (LTP) LC resonator as shown in Fig. 1(a) where the time-varying capacitance is shown in the figure subset. This single-resonator circuit is supporting an EPD induced by the time-periodic variation. We consider a piece-wise constant time-varying capacitance \( C(t) \) with period \( T_m \); we have chosen the piece-wise function to make the theoretical analysis easier, yet the presented analysis is valid for any periodic function. A thorough theoretical study of this type of temporally induced EPDs has been presented in [23], here we focus on the energy transfer formulation of these EPDs, and we show the first practical implementation of the EPDs induced in LTP systems.

The state vector \( \Psi(t) \) describing the system in Fig. 1 is two-dimensional (see Ref. [23] for \( N \)-dimensional), i.e.,

\[
\Psi(t) = [\Psi_1(t), \Psi_2(t)]^T = [q(t), i(t)]^T,
\]

where \( D_t \) denotes the transpose operator, \( q(t) \) and \( i(t) \) are the capacitor charge and inductor current, respectively. The temporal evolution of the state vector obeys the 2-dimensional first-order differential equation

\[
\frac{\partial \Psi(t)}{\partial t} = M(t)\Psi(t) \tag{1}
\]

where \( M(t) \) is the 2×2 system matrix. The 2-dimensional state vector \( \Psi(t) \) is derived at any time \( t = nT_m + \chi \) with \( n \) being an integer and \( 0 < \chi < T_m \) as

\[
\Psi(t) = \Phi(\chi, 0) [\Phi(T_m, 0)]^n \Psi(0) \tag{2}
\]

where \( \Phi(t_2, t_1) \) is the 2×2 state transition matrix that translates the state vector from the time instant \( t_1 \) to \( t_2 \). The state transition matrix is employed to represent the time evolution of the state vector, hence we formulate the eigenvalue problem as

\[
(\Phi - \lambda I)\Psi(t) = 0 \tag{3}
\]

The eigenvalues are \( \lambda_p = \exp(\omega_p T_m), \) \( p = 1, 2, \) where \( \omega_p \) are the system resonant frequencies. At the EPD the transition matrix \( \Phi \) is non-diagonalizable with a degenerate eigenvalue \( \lambda_e \) (with corresponding eigenfrequency \( \omega_e \)) because the two eigenvalues and eigenvectors coalesce. Two possibilities may occur because of the nature of the problem (time periodicity, absence of losses, and because there are only two possible eigenmodes): the degenerate eigenvalue is at i) \( \lambda_e = -1 \), corresponding to an eigenfrequency of \( f_e = f_m/2 \) and its Fourier harmonics, or at ii) \( \lambda_e = 1 \), corresponding to \( f_e = 0 \) and its Fourier harmonics. Moreover, at an EPD the state transition matrix \( \Phi \) is similar to a Jordan-Block matrix of second order.

When \( \lambda_e = -1 \) and hence \( f_e = f_m/2 \), i.e., for EPDs at the edge of Brillouin zone (BZ), the state transition matrix \( \Phi \) has a trace of \(-2\) [23], so that we express \( [\Phi(T_m, 0)]^n \) as [24]

\[
[\Phi(T_m, 0)]^n = (-1)^{n+1} [n\Phi(T_m, 0) + (n-1)I]. \tag{4}
\]

where \( I \) is the 2×2 identity matrix. Thus, we reformulate (2) using (4) as

\[
\Psi(t) = (-1)^{n+1} [n\Phi(T_m, 0) + (n-1)I]\Phi(\chi, 0)\Psi(0). \tag{5}
\]

Similarly, for EPDs at the center of the BZ, i.e., when \( \lambda_e = 1 \) and \( f_e = 0 \), the transition matrix \( \Phi \) has a trace equal to 2, and

\[
[\Phi(T_m, 0)]^n = n\Phi(T_m, 0) - (n-1)I, \tag{6}
\]

hence

\[
\Psi(t) = n\Phi(T_m, 0) - (n-1)I\Phi(\chi, 0)\Psi(0). \tag{7}
\]
Because of the multiplication of the time-period step \( n \), we conclude from Eqs. (5) and (7) that when the system is at the second order time-periodic induced EPD, the state vector grows linearly with time. This linear growth is expected and it is one of the unique characteristics associated with EPDs. This algebraic growth is analogous to the spatial growth of the state vector associated with the space-periodic EPDs [21, 22].

**Energy transfer:** The time-periodic LC tank considered in this section is not “isolated”. In such a system, the time-varying capacitor is in continuous interaction with the source of the time variation that is exerting work. This interaction leads to a net energy transfer into or out of the LC tank; at some operating modulation frequencies the system simply loses energy to the time-variation source, while at other operating modulation frequencies the LC tank receives energy from the source of time variation. This behavior is in contrast to the behavior of a time-invariant lossless LC tank where the initial energy in the system is conserved and the net energy gain or loss is zero. The average transferred energy into or out of the time-periodic LC tank can be calculated using the time-domain solution of the two-dimensional first-order differential equation (7). Figure 2 shows the calculated time-average energy transferred into a linear time-invariant (LTI) lossless LC tank (solid blue line) and into an LTP one operating at a second order EPD (black square symbols), where \( n = 0 \) shows the average energy of the systems within the first period. The capacitor in both systems is initially charged with an initial voltage of \( V_c(0^-) = -50 \text{ mV} \). In the LTI system the modulation frequency is adjusted to \( f_m = 62.3 \text{ kHz} \), so that it operates at the EPD denoted by the blue circle in Fig. 1(b). Note that the system is periodic, so that for an eigenfrequency \( f \), there are also all the Fourier harmonics with frequencies \( f + sf_m \), where \( s \) is an integer [23]. It is clear that the total energy in a lossless time-invariant LC resonator is constant over time while the average energy in the time-periodic LC resonator is growing at the EPD. This energy growth is quadratic in time since the state vector (i.e., capacitor charge and inductor current) of a periodically time-variant LC resonator experiencing an EPD grows linearly with time, as shown in (5). Indeed, the solid red curve in Fig. 2 shows a second order polynomial curve fitted to the LTI LC resonator energy where the fitting coefficients are given in the figure caption. One may note from the figure that the average energy of the LTI and LTP systems are not equal at \( n = 0 \) which might seem counter intuitive. In fact, at \( n = 0 \) we show the average energy of the systems within the first time-period which is higher for the LTP system due to the energy transfer within that first period.

### III. EXPERIMENTAL DEMONSTRATION AND SENSOR PERFORMANCE

In this section we verify *experimentally* the key properties inferred from the dispersion diagram in Fig. 1; the time varying LC tank with the time-periodic capacitor is implemented based on the scheme shown in Fig. 3(a). The time variation is carried out using a time-varying pump voltage \( v_p(t) \) and a multiplier. Hence the voltage applied to the capacitor \( C_0 \) is equal to \( v_c(t) = v(t)[1 - v_p(t)/V_0] \), where the term \( V_0 \) is a constant coefficient of the multiplier that is used to normalize its output voltage. Here we are interested in having an LC resonator with a time varying capacitor \( C(t) \) seen by the current \( i_c(t) \) exiting the inductor and by the voltage \( v(t) \) at Node A, hence satisfying the two equations \( q_c(t) = C(t)v(t) \) and \( di_c/dt = -v(t)/L = -q_c(t)/(LC(t)) \). This leads to the definition of the time varying capacitance \( C(t) = C_0 (1 - v_p(t)/V_0) \) and to a LTP LC circuit described by Eq. (1) (see Supplementary Information), which in turns leads to the time domain dynamics exhibiting EPDs as described in [23].

In such a LTP LC circuit, the time variation behavior of the capacitance is dictated by the variation of the pump voltage \( v_p(t) \), therefore to design the time-varying capacitance shown in Fig. 1(a) we apply a two level piece-wise constant pump voltage to the multiplier. The values of \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are adjusted by properly choosing the voltages of the piece-wise constant pump \( v_p(t) \) as discussed in the Supplemental Information. We aim at designing the time-varying capacitor \( C(t) \) with the values of \( C_1 = 5 \text{ nF} \) and \( C_2 = 15 \text{ nF} \). Hence, the parameters of the circuit are set as \( C_0 = 10 \text{ nF} \), the two levels of the piece-wise constant time varying pump voltage as \( v_p/V_0 = \pm 0.5 \), and the period of the pump voltage as \( T_m = 20 \mu s \) with 50% duty cycle. We verify the operation of this scheme using the finite difference time domain (FDTD) simulation implemented in Keysight ADS, where a constant capacitor \( C_0 \) is connected to the voltage multiplier. The time-varying capacitance, calculated as the ratio of the current passing through the capacitor \( C_0 \) to the time-derivative of the voltage at Node A, i.e., \( v_c(t)/[dv/dt] \), is shown in Fig. 3(b). It is worth mentioning that in such a scheme, the high level of the pump signal \( v_p \) controls the value of the capacitance \( C_1 \) and the low level controls the value of the capacitance \( C_2 \).

Figure 3(c) illustrates the assembled circuit where the red dashed rectangle shows the implemented synthetic time-periodic capacitor \( C(t) \). In this fabricated circuit we use a four-quadrant voltage output analog multiplier (AD835), a high stability and precision ceramic capacitor \( C_0 = 10 \text{ nF} \) (NP0 1206), and an inductor \( L_0 = 33 \mu \text{H} \) with low DC resistance \( R_{DC} = 108 \text{ mOhm} \) (MS7348). As shown in Sec. II, we expect the capacitor voltage of the time-periodic LC tank to grow linearly in time when operating at an EPD, however in practice it will saturate to the maximum output voltage of the multiplier. Therefore, to avoid voltage saturation, we have implemented a...
reset mechanism to reset the resonator circuit, where the reset signal is a digital clock with 20% duty cycle (i.e., \( v_{\text{reset}} = 2 \text{ V} \) for 20% of its period and \( v_{\text{reset}} = 0 \text{ V} \) otherwise) that allows the resonator circuit to run for the duration of the low voltage \( v_{\text{reset}} = 0 \text{ V} \). During the reset time, the reset signal is high \( v_{\text{reset}} = 2 \text{ V} \), and the resonator circuit is at pause. At the end of this time the capacitor is charged again with the initial voltage of \( V_C(0^-) = -50 \text{ mV} \) for the start of the next working cycle.

### A. Dispersion diagram and time domain response

We powered the circuit with \( \pm 5 \text{ V} \) DC voltage using a Keysight E3631A DC voltage supply and we used two Keysight 33250A function generators: one to generate a two level piece-wise constant signal with levels of \( \pm 0.525 \text{ V} \), duty cycle of 50% and variable modulation frequency \( f_m \) of the pump voltage. The other function generator provides the reset signal that is also a two level piece-wise constant function with levels of 0 V and 2 V, frequency of 1.1 kHz and duty cycle of 20%. Figure 4(a) presents the dispersion diagram as a function of modulation frequency \( f_m \), which is experimentally varied by adjusting the frequency of the pump signal. The solid curve denotes the theoretical dispersion diagram whereas red square symbols represent the experimental results. The experimental results are obtained by calculating the resonance frequency for different modulation frequencies using Fourier transform of the time domain signal, where we used a Keysight DS07104A digital oscilloscope to capture the time domain output signal. A good agreement is observed between the theoretical and experimental results, however, there is a slight frequency shift between the theoretical and experimental dispersion diagrams which is due to the parasitic reactances and nonidealities in the fabricated circuit. One can observe from the dispersion diagram that the time-periodic LC resonator operates at three different regimes depending on the modulation frequency. Note that in Figure 4(a) we show only solutions in the first Brillouin zone defined here as \( f \in (-f_m/2, f_m/2) \). Indeed since the system is time periodic, every mode is composed of an infinite number of harmonics with frequencies \( f + sf_m \), where \( s \) is an integer. In the following we describe the three possible regimes of operation.

i) **Real resonances**: This is a regime where the system has two purely real oscillating frequencies (though in practice there is a small imaginary part due to the finite quality factor of the components). The magenta circle in Fig. 4(a) illustrates a mode part of this regime, with a real resonance frequencies \( f_i \), and all his harmonics \( f + sf_m \). The system has also the corresponding resonance frequency at \(-f\), and hence also the harmonics \(-f + sf_m \). This means that two resonance modes with real frequencies are allowed, with \( f \) and \(-f\). Fig. 4(c) shows the corresponding time domain signal at \( f_m = 66.5 \text{ kHz} \) corresponds to two almost-real resonance frequencies and their harmonics. As just mentioned, the observed small exponential decay of the response is due to the finite quality factor of the components. In an ideal lossless system frequencies would be purely real.

ii) **Unstable condition**: This is a regime where the system has two complex resonance frequencies, with imaginary parts of opposite signs (the orange circle in Fig.4(a)). According to the time convention \( \text{e}^{i\omega t} \), the state vector of the system corresponding to a complex resonance frequency with an imaginary part of negative sign shows an exponential decay, while the state vector corresponding to the resonance with the positive sign exhibits an exponential growth, hence it shows an unstable system. The exponentially growing behavior would be the dominant one and it is the one seen in the time domain response in Fig. 4(c) that would eventually saturate, if we did not include a reset circuit. In this case the modulation frequency of the pump voltage is set to \( f_m = 71.5 \text{ kHz} \).

iii) **Exceptional points of degeneracy**: An EPD is the point that separates the two previous regimes, where two frequency branches of the dispersion diagram (describing two independent resonance solutions) coalesce. Indeed at the EPD the two resonant modes of the system coa-
In the LTP system considered in this paper, a perturbation parameter is a measure of how strongly a perturbation to that parameter changes the observable quantity of that system. The sensitivity of a system operating at an EPD is boosted due to the degeneracy of the system eigenmodes. In the LTP system considered in this paper, a perturbation δ to a system parameter leads to a perturbed state transition matrix $\Phi$ and thus to perturbed eigenvalues $\lambda_p(\delta)$ with $p = 1, 2$. Therefore, the two degenerate resonance frequencies occurring at the EPD change significantly due to a small perturbation $\delta$, resulting in two distinct resonance frequencies $f_p(\delta)$, with $p = 1, 2$, close to the EPD resonance frequency. The two perturbed eigenvalues near an EPD are represented using a convergent Puiseux series (also called fractional expansion series) where the Puiseux series coefficients are calculated using the explicit recursive formulas given in [25]. A first-order approximation of $\lambda_p(\delta)$ is

$$\lambda_p(\delta) \approx \lambda_e + (-1)^p \alpha_1 \sqrt{\delta},$$

and the complex resonance frequencies can be approximately calculated as

$$f_p(\delta) \approx f_e \pm j \frac{f_m}{2\pi} (-1)^p \alpha_1 \sqrt{\delta},$$

where $\alpha_1 = \sqrt{-\frac{1}{2\pi} \left[ \det(\Phi(\delta)) - \lambda I \right] |_{\delta=0, \lambda=\lambda_e}}$ and the $\pm$ signs correspond to the cases with EPD at the center or edge of the BZ. Equation (9) is only valid for very

Figure 4. (a) Theoretical (solid lines) and experimental (square red symbols) results for the complex dispersion diagram showing the circuit’s resonance frequencies as a function of the modulation frequency $f_m$. (b-e) Time domain experimental results of the capacitor voltage at various modulation frequencies denoted by #1-4 in the dispersion diagram: (b) Exceptional point #1 denoted by the blue circle located at the center of the BZ where the modulation frequency is $f_m = 62.8$ kHz. (c) Real-frequency resonance indicated by #2, denoted by the magenta circle, where the modulation frequency $f_m = 66.5$ kHz. (d) Exceptional point #3, denoted by the green circle, located at the edge of the BZ where the modulation frequency is $f_m = 68.3$ kHz. (e) Point #4, denoted by an orange circle, represents two complex resonance frequencies, with opposite imaginary parts and real part equal to $f_m/2$, where the modulation frequency is set to $f_m = 71.5$ kHz.

B. Sensitivity to the perturbations

Sensitivity of a system’s observable to a specific parameter is a measure of how strongly a perturbation to that parameter changes the observable quantity of that system. The sensitivity of a system operating at an EPD is boosted due to the degeneracy of the system eigenmodes.

The measured time domain behavior of the circuit at this modulation frequency is shown in Fig. 4(d) where we clearly see the linear growth of the capacitor voltage. It grows until it reaches saturation or till the system is reset as described in the previous section. The measured time domain behavior of the circuit at such an EPD is depicted in Fig. 4(b). Note that the oscillation of the time domain signal for an EPD at the center of the BZ is due to the harmonics located at $sf_m$.

One may observe that a standard “critically damped” LTI RLC circuit with two coinciding resonance frequencies is also an exceptional point, however that point is characterized by two resonance frequencies with vanishing real part, hence it is a different condition from what we describe in this paper.
small perturbations $\delta \ll 1$ and it is clear that for such a small perturbation the resonance frequencies $f_p$ change dramatically from the degenerate resonance $f_e$ due to the square root function. In other words the EPD is responsible for the special dependence $\Delta f = f_p(\delta) - f_e \propto \sqrt{\delta}$.

Now, let us assume that the perturbation $\delta$ is applied to the value $C_1$ of the time-varying capacitor, and the perturbed $C_1$ is expressed as $(1 + \delta)C_1$. Considering an unperturbed LTP LC resonator as shown in the subset of Fig. 1(a), the system has a measured EPD resonance at a modulation frequency $f_m = 62.8$ kHz for the parameter values given in section III. The EPD resonance frequency is at $f_e = 0$ Hz, the point #1 (blue circle) in the dispersion diagram shown in Fig. 4(a), and at all its Fourier harmonics. By looking at the spectrum of the measured capacitor voltage we observe that among the various harmonics of such EPD resonance, the frequency of $f_e = 6f_m = 374.2$ kHz has a dominant energy component, hence it is the one discussed in the following. The theoretical and experimental variations in the real part of the two resonance frequencies due to a perturbation $\delta \ll 1$ in the the time-variant LC circuit are shown in Fig. 5. Results for positive-only variations of $\delta$ are shown here, hence the resonances move in the directions where they are purely real (though the presence of small losses would provide a small imaginary part in the resonance frequency).

The solid blue curve, dashed red curve, and green symbols denote the calculated-exact, Puiseux series approximation, and the experimental resonance frequencies, respectively when varying $\delta$. The coefficient $\alpha_1$ in the Puiseux series is calculated to be $\alpha_1 = j2.65$. The three curves are in excellent agreement for small perturbations, showing also the remarkable agreement of the experimental results with the theoretical ones indicating that this is a viable practical solution to make ultrasensitive sensors. The perturbation $\delta$ (the relative change in capacitance $C_1$) is experimentally introduced through changing the positive voltage level of the pump signal $v_p(t)$. In such a design, each 5 mV change in the positive level of the pump voltage will result in 1% change of the $C_1$ capacitor value corresponding to $\delta = 0.01$ (see the Supplementary Information). The experimental results in this figure are extracted using the Fourier transform of the time domain signal, leading to the resonance frequencies composing the signal. The results in Fig. 5 demonstrate theoretically and experimentally that for a small perturbation $\delta$, the real part of the resonance frequency is significantly changed. Therefore these experimental results unequivocally demonstrate the exceptional sensitivity of the proposed system operating at an EPD which can be used to conceive a new class of extremely sensitive sensors.

IV. CONCLUSION

This paper shows the first practical and experimental demonstration of exceptional points of degeneracy (EPDs) directly induced via time modulation of a single resonator’s component. This is in contrast to EPDs realized in PT-symmetric systems that would require two coupled resonators instead of one, and the precise knowledge of gain and losses in the system. Here we have shown that controlling the modulation frequency of a component in a single resonator is a viable way to obtain an EPD since frequency can be varied very precisely in radio frequency circuits. The occurrence of a second-order EPD has been shown theoretically and experimentally by observing the dispersion diagram of the system resonance frequencies, and by observing the linear growth of the capacitor voltage. We have also experimentally demonstrated how such a temporally induced EPD renders a simple LC resonating system exceptionally sensitive to perturbations of the system capacitance. We have reported theoretical and experimental extreme sensitivity of the resonance frequency to a capacitor perturbation. The excellent agreement between measured and theoretical sensitivity results demonstrate that the new scheme proposed in this paper is a viable solution for enhancing sensitivity, paving the way to a new class of ultra sensitive sensors that can be applied to a large variety of problems where the occurrence of small quantity of substances shall be detected.
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We first define the time-varying capacitance based on the multiplier concept in Fig. 3(a) in the main text and show that its definition leads to an LC circuit described by differential equations consistent to the previous theory shown in [1], hence leading to the occurrence of exceptional points of degeneracy (EPDs). Then we discuss the physical implementation of the electronic linear time periodic (LTP) LC resonator with a time varying capacitor and reset signal.

The LTP LC resonator circuit shown in Fig. 3(c) in the main text is composed of two subsystems: i) the sensing device which comprises of a time variant LC resonator operating at the time-induced EPD [1], and ii) a reset mechanism to stop the growing oscillation amplitude and to set the initial voltage of the capacitor at the beginning of each operation regime to avoid saturating the circuit. The schematic and detailed working principle of each subsystem is explained in the following sections.

I. TIME VARYING CAPACITANCE AND FUNDAMENTAL DYNAMIC EQUATIONS

The time varying capacitance is obtained by resorting to the multiplier scheme in Fig. 3(a) in the main text. Without worrying for a moment about the physical implementation and the reset scheme that are explained in the following sections, we shall observe here that the voltage $W(t)$ is given by the product $W(t) = v(t)v_p(t)/V_0$, where the term $V_0$ is a constant coefficient of the multiplier that is used to normalize its output voltage. Since in this paper the signal $v_p(t)$ is a square wave with frequency $f_m$, it experiences jumps (discontinuities), implying that also $W(t)$ is discontinuous. The voltage applied to the capacitor $C_0$ is then given by $v_c(t) = v(t) - W(t) = v(t)[1 - v_p(t)/V_0]$. This capacitor voltage cannot be discontinuous in time, hence $v_c(t)$ is a continuous function and indeed $v(t)$ carries the same discontinuities as $W(t)$.

We define the capacitance of the synthesized time varying capacitor used in this paper as $C(t) = q_c(t)/v(t)$, where $q_c(t)$ is the charge on the capacitor. The same charge is also given by $q_c(t) = C_0 v_c(t)$, leading to the value of the time varying capacitance $C(t) = C_0 v_c(t)/v(t)$. Substituting for $v_c(t)$ in this latter equation leads to $C(t) = C_0(1 - v_p(t)/V_0)$ that is the synthesized piece wise time varying capacitance with period $T_m$.

The two fundamental differential equations describing the current in the LTP LC circuit in Fig. 3(a) in the main text are $dq_c/dt = i_c(t)$ and $di_c/dt = -v(t)/L = -q_c(t)/(LC(t))$, that in matrix form are rewritten as

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} q_c \\ i_c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1/LC(t) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_c \\ i_c \end{pmatrix}.$$  \hfill (1)

This first order differential equation is the same as that considered in Eq. (1) in the main text and in [1] and therefore it leads to the same dynamic current and voltage of the LTP LC circuit studied in [1], including the occurrence of EPDs.

II. DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE TIME-VARYING CAPACITANCE

The scheme of the proposed sensor (i.e., the time varying LC resonator) is based on the design of a synthesized periodic time-varying capacitance $C(t)$ that switches between two values $C_1$ and $C_2$ with a modulation frequency $f_m$ where the parameters $C_1$, $C_2$ and $f_m$ need to be tunable. In order to make such a time-varying capacitor, we use a regular time-invariant capacitor $C_0$ which is connected in parallel with a multiplier $U_1$ (AD835) as shown in Fig. 1(a). In general terms, the multiplier $U_1$ provides the time domain function

$$W(t) = \frac{(X_1 - X_2)(Y_1 - Y_2)}{V_0} + Z,$$  \hfill (2)

that is connected to the lower terminal of the capacitor $C_0$. Here $W$, $X_1$, $X_2$, $Y_1$, and $Y_2$, and $Z$ represent the voltages at the pins denoted with the same symbols of the multiplier $U_1$ and $V_0 = 1.05$ V is the voltage normalization factor dictated by the multiplier and given in the data sheet [2]. In this circuit schematic, the $X_2$ and $Y_2$ pins are both connected to the ground, the pump voltage $v_p(t)$ is applied to the $Y_1$ pin and the $X_1$ pin is connected to Node $A$ as shown in Fig. 1(a). Hence, the output function of the multiplier is simplified as

$$W(t) = \frac{X_1 v_p(t)}{V_0} + Z.$$  \hfill (3)

From the schematic and since the $X_1$ pin input current is zero (it has high input impedance), we express the current flowing into the capacitor $C_0$ as

$$i_c(t) = C_0 \frac{d}{dt}(X_1 - W).$$  \hfill (4)
The printed circuit board (PCB) layout and the actual assembled circuit with resonator (capacitor $C_0$, inductor $L_0$, multiplier chip $U_1$), chip with switches $U_2$, pump voltage $v_p(t)$ and reset $v_{\text{reset}}(t)$ connectors, and PCB traces are shown in Fig. 1(b) and (c). In the following we describe the two operation regimes of the circuit and the principle of the reset mechanism in detail.

III. REGIMES OF OPERATION

The proposed circuit has two distinct phases (regimes) of operation which are differentiated based on the status of the reset signal $v_{\text{reset}}$. Reset signal $v_{\text{reset}}$ is a digital clock coming from an external waveform generator that is used to stop the oscillation of the time-varying LC resonator when it is high level voltage (logic Level 1), and allows the resonator circuit to run when it is at low level voltage (logic Level 0). These two different operation regimes are described in the following.

(i) **LTP-LC operating regime with EPD**: During this regime, the reset signal is a logic low (logic Level 0) so that the time varying LC resonator is allowed to have a free run, i.e., its voltage is varying as in a time varying LC resonator with a given initial voltage condition. During this regime, low level voltage $v_{\text{reset}}$ causes Switch 1 to be "on", hence the pin Z of the multiplier $U_1$ shown in Fig. 1(a), is connected to the ground (see section IV) while Switch 4 is off, therefore, the input $X_1 = v(t)$. In this case, by using Eq. (3), the multiplier output is $W(t) = v(t)v_p(t)/V_0$. Therefore the voltage difference at the two ends of the capacitor $C_0$ is $v_c(t) = v(t) - v(t)v_p(t)/V_0$ and the current flowing into the capacitor is

$$i_c(t) = C_0 \frac{d}{dt} (v(t) - v(t)v_p(t)/V_0), \quad (5)$$

which can be also interpreted as flowing into a synthesized time varying capacitor with applied voltage $v(t)$. Considering a two level piece-wise constant pump voltage $v_p(t)$, where $dv_p(t)/dt = 0$ for $0 < t < 0.5T_m$ and $0.5T_m < t < T_m$, the current flowing into the capacitance is given by

$$i_c(t) = C(t) \frac{dv}{dt} = C_0 (1 - v_p(t)/V_0) \frac{dv}{dt}. \quad (6)$$

It is clear form Eq. (6), that the capacitance seen from Node A towards the ground is time-variant and its shape is dictated by the pump voltage. Considering the pump voltage as

$$v_p(t) = \begin{cases} 0.525 \text{ V} & 0 < t < 0.5T_m \\ -0.525 \text{ V} & 0.5T_m < t < T_m \end{cases}, \quad (7)$$

and recalling that $V_0 = 1.05 \text{ V}$, the time varying capacitance from Eq. (6) is

$$C(t) = \begin{cases} 0.5C_0 & 0 < t < 0.5T_m \\ 1.5C_0 & 0.5T_m < t < T_m \end{cases}. \quad (8)$$

We observe from Eqs. (6)-(8), that a change of 5 mV in the high level of the pump voltage $v_p(t)$ will result in approximately a relative change of 1% in the capacitance value $C_1$, i.e.,

$$\delta \triangleq \frac{C_{1,\text{perturbed}} - C_1}{C_1}$$

$$= \frac{(1 - 0.520/1.05)C_0 - 0.5C_0}{0.5C_0} \approx 1\% \quad (9)$$

(ii) **Reset regime**: During this regime, the reset signal is a logic high (logic Level 1), therefore Switch 4 is "on" and $S_4$ takes the value of $D_4$ that is grounded. As a result Node A is connected to the ground and the operation of the time-varying LC resonator is halted. Moreover, during this regime, the capacitor $C_0$ is charged again with an initial voltage to be ready for the next LTP-LC operating regime. The operation of the reset mechanism circuit is explained in the following section.

IV. RESET AND LTP-LC OPERATING REGIME MECHANISMS

The reset mechanism in this circuit is employed to (i) prevent the saturation of the system due to the growth of the capacitor voltage with time and to (ii) set an initial voltage on the capacitor $C_0$ in order to start a new LTP-LC operating regime. The reset mechanism is implemented using the ADG4613 chip which contains four independent single pole/single throw (SPST) switches [3], denoted by $U_2$ in the Supplementary Fig. 1(a). This figure shows the four switches where IN, D, and S represent the control input, input, and output of the switches, respectively. Two switches (1 and 3) are turned on with logic Level 1 (high level voltage of $v_{\text{reset}}$) on the appropriate control input, implying that during that time $S_1$ and $S_3$ take the value of terminals $D_1 = 0 \text{ V}$ and $D_3$, respectively, and they are open when they are "off". Switch 3 is not used in our physical implementation. The logic is inverted on the other two switches (2 and 4), i.e., the switches turn on with logic Level 0 (low level voltage of $v_{\text{reset}}$) on their control input implying that during that time $S_2$ and $S_4$ take the value imposed at terminals $D_2 = V_p/R_1/(R_1 + R_2)$, and $D_4 = 0 \text{ V}$, respectively.

In the reset mechanism we use only Switches 1, 2, and 4 where the control input of these switches is connected to a digital clock signal $v_{\text{reset}}$ as indicated in Supplementary Fig. 1(a). The reset signal is a digital clock with 20% duty cycle, i.e., $v_{\text{reset}} = 2 \text{ V}$ for 20% of its period (logic Level 1) and $v_{\text{reset}} = 0 \text{ V}$ otherwise (logic Level 0). Therefore, the LTP LC resonator is showing an oscillating output for 80% of the reset signal period. In
Figure 1. (a) Schematic of the time-varying LC resonator circuit based on the capacitor $C_0$, the inductor $L_0$, the multiplier $U_1$ and the reset mechanism circuit $U_2$. (b) PCB layout of the assembled circuit where the top layer traces are in red, the ground plane is in cyan, the bottom traces are in green, and the connecting vias are in orange. In this design Via $J_4$ is a probe point for the capacitor voltage, whereas Vias $J_5$ and $J_6$ are test points connected to the ground plane and are used to connect the ground of the measurement equipment to the ground of the circuit. All the ground nodes are connected to each other using the bottom cyan layer. (c) Fabricated PCB and assembled circuit with blocks highlighted.
Figure 2. The three switches used for establishing the two regimes of operation: the LTP LC resonator regime with EPD and the reset mechanism that at the same time is also charging the capacitor $C_0$ with an initial voltage to be used to start the next LTP LC resonator regime. These switches are Switch 1, 2, and 4 of $U_2$ shown in Fig. 1 and their control inputs $IN_1$, $IN_2$, and $IN_4$ are connected to the reset signal $v_{reset}(t)$. The outputs of Switch 1 and 2, $S_1$ and $S_2$, are both connected to the pin $Z$ of the $U_1$, and $S_2$ is used to provide the initial voltage of the capacitor at the beginning of each operating LTP LC regime. The output $S_4$ of Switch 4 is connected to Node $A$ and is used to set the short the inductor when the reset signal $v_{reset}(t)$ is at high voltage (logic Level 1).

summary, during the 80% of the $v_{reset}(t)$ period the circuit has $S_1 = 0 \text{V}$, while the $S_2$ and $S_4$ are open circuits, implying that $Z = 0 \text{V}$ and $X_1 = v(t)$, whereas during the remaining 20% of the reset signal period, the circuit has $S_2 = V_+ R_1/(R_1 + R_2)$ and $S_4 = 0 \text{V}$ while $S_1$ is left open, implying that $Z = S_2$ and $X_1 = 0 \text{V}$.

A. Halting the oscillation

As described, one of the benefits of the reset mechanism circuit is to stop the time-varying LC resonator operating at an EPD before it reaches saturation. For this purpose, during the reset regime, Switch 4 is used to short Node $A$ to the ground when the reset signal $v_{reset}$ is at logic Level 1. Indeed the reset signal logic Level 1 is connected to the input control $IN_4$ which turns on Switch 4 connecting $S_4$ to the ground. Since Node $A$ is connected to $S_4$, the voltage across the inductor $L_0$ is set to zero preventing the oscillation.

B. Setting the initial voltage condition on the capacitor $C_0$

During each reset regime just described, Node $A$ is grounded therefore the voltage oscillation is halted, and the inductor current decays with a short transient. In order to start a new LTP LC operating regime after each reset regime, it is necessary to provide the capacitor with the initial voltage. This is done by using Switch 2 of the ADG4613 shown in the Supplementary Figure 2 with its control input $IN_2$ connected to $v_{reset}$. Since $S_2$ is connected to the $Z$ pin, during this reset regime time we have $Z = V_+ R_1/(R_1 + R_2)$, and $Z$ represents the summing input of the voltage multiplier as shown in Eq. (2). Furthermore since Node $A$ is grounded, we have $X_1 = 0 \text{V}$, resulting in $W = Z = V_+ R_1/(R_1 + R_2)$, i.e., the capacitor is inversely charged. An initial capacitor voltage $V_C(0^-) = -V_+ R_1/(R_1 + R_2) = -50 \text{mV}$ is produced by the voltage divider using $R_1 = 47 \Omega$ and $R_2 = 4.7 \text{k}\Omega$ and by the positive DC supply $V_+ = 5 \text{V}$ shown in the Supplementary Fig. 1(a).