Nonequilibrium Orbital Transitions via Applied Electrical Current in Calcium Ruthenate
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Simultaneous control of structural and physical properties via applied electrical current poses a key, new research topic and technological significance. Studying the spin-orbit-coupled antiferromagnet Ca2RuO4, and its derivative with 3% Mn doping to alleviate the violent first-order transition at 357 K for more robust measurements, we find that a small applied electrical current couples to the lattice by significantly reducing its orthorhombicity and octahedral rotations, concurrently diminishing the 125 K- antiferromagnetic transition and inducing a new, orbital order below 80 K. Our effort to establish a phase diagram reveals a critical regime near a current density of 0.15 A/cm2 that separates the vanishing antiferromagnetic order and the new orbital order. Further increasing current density (> 1 A/cm2) enhances competitions between relevant interactions in a metastable manner, leading to a peculiar glassy behavior above 80 K. The coupling between the lattice and nonequilibrium driven current is interpreted theoretically in terms of $t_{2g}$ orbital occupancies. The current-controlled lattice is the driving force of the observed novel phenomena. Finally, we note that current-induced diamagnetism is not discerned in pure and slightly doped Ca2RuO4.
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4d/5d-electron based oxides with inherent strong spin-orbit interactions (SOI) and significant electronic correlations create an entirely new hierarchy of energy scales and unique competitions between fundamental interactions, which leaves these materials precariously balanced on the border between metallic and insulating behavior, and/or on the verge of long-range magnetic order. As a result, exotic quantum states arise whenever subtle interactions conspire to generate large susceptibilities to small, external stimuli [1].

The antiferromagnetic (AFM) insulator Ca$_2$RuO$_4$ is a good example [2, 3]. With Ru$^{4+}$(4d) ions, it exhibits a metal-insulator transition at $T_{MI} = 357$ K [4], which marks a concomitant and particularly violent structural transition with a severe rotation and tilting of RuO$_6$, which governs physical properties of Ca$_2$RuO$_4$ [4-6]. This transition removes the $t_{2g}$ orbital degeneracy ($d_{xy}$, $d_{yz}$, $d_{zx}$) and leads to orbital order that, in turn, drives the metal-insulator transition at $T_{MI}$ [7-16]. An abrupt AFM transition occurs only at a considerably lower Neel temperature $T_N = 110$ K [2,3], highlighting its close association with a further distorted crystal structure. Extensive investigations of this system have established that quantum effects are intimately coupled to external stimuli in general and extremely sensitive to lattice perturbations in particular [7, 13-20].

An early study demonstrates that electronic properties of Ca$_2$RuO$_4$ are sensitive to applied electrical current [22]. More recent investigations report current-induced diamagnetism [23] and current-induced non-equilibrium state [24]. Indeed, it has become increasingly clear that electrical current, which joins magnetic field, pressure, electric field, light, etc. as a new stimulus/probe, controls quantum states in an unprecedented fashion. This is certainly manifest in our earlier study that demonstrates simultaneous current-control of structural and physical properties in Sr$_2$IrO$_4$ featuring strong SOI and a canted AFM state [25].
In this work, we investigate structural, magnetic and transport properties as a function of electrical current and temperature in 3% Mn doped Ca₂RuO₄, and, for comparison, in pure Ca₂RuO₄ and 9% Mn doped Ca₂RuO₄. It is emphasized that the dilute Mn doping for Ru preserves essential structural and physical properties of Ca₂RuO₄ but weakens the often pulverizing first-order structural phase transition at 357 K, making the single crystals more robust to sustain thermal cycling needed for thorough measurements [19, 20]. This work reveals that a novel coupling between small applied electrical current and the lattice critically reduces the orthorhombicity and the octahedral rotation that in turn precipitously suppress the AFM state and subsequently induce a new orbital order below 80 K at a current density ≥ 0.15 A/cm² in pure and Mn doped Ca₂RuO₄. Further increasing current density (> 1 A/cm²) causes a metastable effect, thus an exotic glassy behavior featuring unusual sample-history dependence above 80 K. We argue that nonequilibrium electron occupancies of the t₂g orbitals stabilized by applied current drive the critical lattice changes, thus the novel phenomena in this correlated, spin-orbit-coupled system. This study also emphasizes the conspicuous absence of current-induced diamagnetism, which is reported to exist in Ca₂RuO₄ [23].

The single crystals are grown using floating-zone technique [20]. The lattice parameters are measured as functions of electrical current and temperature using both neutron and x-ray diffraction [25]. In order to avoid any spurious data due to background signals, Joule heating etc., a probe equipped with a set of Keithley meters and the Quantum Design MPMS XL magnetometer is specially made for simultaneous measurements of magnetization and electrical resistivity of the sample as a function of electrical current and temperature. It is emphasized that all measurements are painstakingly repeated and verified on several single crystals for a few dozen times to ensure consistence. Detailed experimental techniques are presented in the Supplemental Material [26].
The crystal structure of the central compound of this study, Ca$_2$Ru$_{0.97}$Mn$_{0.03}$O$_4$, which retains the low-temperature orthorhombic symmetry (Pbca, No.61) [20], is found extraordinarily susceptible to applied current for the studied temperature range of 80 - 400 K [26]. The crystal for neutron diffraction with applied current is shown in Fig.1a. Two representative contour plots for the temperature dependence of the c axis at current I = 0 and 10 mA (J = 2 A/cm$^2$) (Fig.1b) illustrate an abrupt change in the c axis at $T_{MI}$ but no evidence for inhomogeneity and the phase mixture of high-temperature S-Pbca and low-temperature L-Pbca at all currents. Here we focus on the structural data at 100 K culled via neutron diffraction as a function of current density, J, applied within the basal plane. As shown in Fig.1c, one major effect is that the applied current progressively, significantly reduces the orthorhombic distortion; for example, at J = 2 A/cm$^2$, the lattice parameter b axis contracts by 1.6% whereas the a axis expands by 0.4%. As a result, the orthorhombicity defined by $(b-a)/c$ decreases from 4.6% at J = 0 A/cm$^2$ to 2.5% at J = 2 A/cm$^2$ and eventually to 1.2% at J = 10.5 A/cm$^2$ (Fig.1d). At the same time, the c axis expands by 1.2% and 2.4% at J = 2 A/cm$^2$ and 10.5 A/cm$^2$, respectively (Fig.1e). Interestingly, this trend of reducing the orthorhombicity and elongating the c axis under current is remarkably similar to the modest-pressure effect on the lattice below 2 GPa [6]. The weakening orthorhombicity is accompanied by relaxation of bond angles. The bond angle Ru-O-Ru, which defines the rotation of RuO$_6$ octahedra, increases by one degree at J = 2 A/cm$^2$ (from 150$^\circ$ to 151$^\circ$) and two degrees at J = 6 A/cm$^2$, resulting in less buckled RuO$_6$ octahedra (Figs.1f). In addition, the bond angle O-Ru-O decreases from 91$^\circ$ to 90.2$^\circ$ at J = 2 A/cm$^2$, close to the ideal 90$^\circ$ (Figs.1f). It is noted that both bond angles become less ideal again at J $\geq$ 6 A/cm$^2$ (which, along with other observations, further rules out effects of Joule heating). In essence, applied current significantly reduces the orthorhombicity, expands the
$c$ axis and relaxes the bond angles, as schematically illustrated in Figs.1g-1i. These critical lattice changes destabilize the AFM state.

Indeed, the magnetization along the $a$ and $b$ axis, $M_a$ and $M_b$, sensitively responds to applied current along the $b$ axis. As demonstrated in Figs.2a and 2b, the Néel temperature $T_N$ decreases systematically and rapidly from 125 K at $J = 0$ A/cm$^2$ to 29 K at $J = 0.15$ A/cm$^2$ in $M_b$ and 40 K at $J = 0.12$ A/cm$^2$ in $M_a$ and eventually vanishes at a critical current density $J_c \sim 0.15$ A/cm$^2$ (the slight difference in $J_c$ for $M_a$ and $M_b$ is insignificant and likely due to estimates of the small cross-sectional area of the sample). The magnetic anisotropy between $M_a$ and $M_b$ with $M_a > M_b$ is evident in Figs.2a and 2b. Importantly, the diminishing AFM state is accompanied by a drastic decrease in the $b$-axis resistivity, $\rho_b$, by up to four orders of magnitude (Fig.2c), consistent with concurrent, progressive changes in orbital populations dictating the transport properties [7-16]. Note that $\rho_b$ and $M_b$ are simultaneously measured.

A new, distinct phase emerges as the AFM state vanishes. In the following, we focus on $M_a$ at higher $J$ as $M_b$ behaves similarly. Immediately following the disappearance of the AFM, a pronounced anomaly marked by $T_0$ precipitates near $J_c$, as shown in Fig.3. $T_0$ rises initially, peaks near $J = 0.28$ A/cm$^2$ before slowly decreasing with increasing $J$ (Fig.3a). The simultaneously measured $\rho_b$ closely tracks $M_a$ with a well-defined anomaly corresponding to $T_0$, signaling a strong correlation between electron hopping and this new state (Fig.3b). The sharp rise in $\rho_b$ at $T_0$ implies a presence of either an AFM or orbital order. However, $\rho_b$ shows a disproportionately large increase at $T_0$, compared to the corresponding enhancement in $M_a$, thus a new orbital order may be more likely. Remarkably, the simultaneous changes in both $M$ and $\rho$ at $T_0$ sharply contrast those of the ambient state in which $T_{MI} >> T_N$ [4]. Overall, $\rho_b$ reduces by over three orders of magnitude below $T_0$ as $J$ increases from 0.14 A/cm$^2$ to 2.8 A/cm$^2$, e.g., at 30 K (Fig.3b inset). It is conceivable this
new orbital order might be a result of current-driven lattice changes near $T_0$. As pointed out above, the lattice responds similarly to applied current and modest pressure ($< 2 \text{ GPa}$), it is therefore encouraging that our recent neutron diffraction study of the title material reveals a distinct lattice change near 80 K at 1.8 GPa [27].

It is conspicuous that current-induced diamagnetism, which is reported to exist in pure Ca$_2$RuO$_4$ [23], is absent in not only Ca$_2$Ru$_{0.97}$Mn$_{0.03}$O$_4$ but also pure Ca$_2$RuO$_4$. For comparison and more clarification, we also conduct the same measurements on pure Ca$_2$RuO$_4$ and 9% Mn doped Ca$_2$RuO$_4$. $M_a$ (and $\rho_b$, not shown) for pure Ca$_2$RuO$_4$ (Fig. 3c) and 9% Mn doped Ca$_2$RuO$_4$ (Fig.5 in SM [26]) exhibits behavior remarkably similar to that seen in Fig.3a for 3% Mn doped Ca$_2$RuO$_4$. These results undoubtedly indicate the current-induced behavior above and below $T_0$ arises from the underlying properties of Ca$_2$RuO$_4$, independent of Mn doping. This is consistent with the fact that low Mn doping retains the underlying properties of Ca$_2$RuO$_4$ [20]. To further rule out any spurious behavior from our experimental setup, we also conduct a parallel, controlled study on materials with magnetization having the same orders of magnitude, such as antiferromagnetic BaIrO$_3$ [28]; the results show no discernible current-induced changes in magnetization (see Fig.3 in SM [26]), confirming the adequacy of the measurements.

With the above confirmation, we now return to the central compound of this study, 3% Mn doped Ca$_2$RuO$_4$. At higher $J$ ($> 1 \text{ A/cm}^2$), the magnetization and the resistivity become peculiarly history-dependent at $T > T_0$. This behavior is observed when the same samples are measured in two different ways, respectively: (1) the samples are measured when $J$ gradually increases from 0 to 2.8 A/cm$^2$; i.e., $M$ and $\rho$ for a smaller $J$ is always measured before $M$ and $\rho$ for a larger $J$. The samples measured in this sequential fashion are denoted as “Trained”. (2) The same samples are then taken out of the measurement system, subsequently reinstalled and measured again; but unlike
the trained samples, they are measured at a larger J, e.g., 1.4 A/cm², at once without any prior measurements at smaller J. The samples measured in such a nonsequential, abrupt manner are denoted as “Untrained”. The data presented in Fig.3 are obtained from the trained samples. After these samples are untrained, the behavior is remarkably different, and Fig.4 illustrates such a difference. $M_a$ at 1.4 A/cm² for the untrained sample shows a much stronger anomaly occurring at 90 K rather than $T_0$ but remains essentially unchanged below $T_0$ (Fig.4a). $\rho_b$ exhibits little difference above 90 K but behaves differently below 90 K (Fig.4b). In particular, $\rho_b$ for the untrained sample gives rise to an abrupt, twofold increase below $T_0$. Such a huge jump in $\rho_b$ below $T_0$ (from $\sim 80 \, \Omega \text{ cm}$ to $\sim 160 \, \Omega \text{ cm}$), sharply contrasting the weaker change in $M_a$, reaffirms the presence of the new orbital order rather than an AFM order. This orbital order leads to a re-entry into a considerably more insulating state. Nevertheless, this history-dependence is both intriguing and mysterious. It is already established that the current-reduced structural distortions (Fig.1) effectively diminish the AFM at $J \geq 0.15$ A/cm² (Fig.2), thus favoring a competing FM state [7].

As a result, further increasing J inevitably enhances the competition between the FM and AFM interactions but in a metastable manner, leading a peculiar glassy state above $T_0$. As temperature decreases, a “rigid”, orbitally ordered state eventually prevails below $T_0$. This may qualitatively explain the strongly sample-history-dependent $M_a$ at $T > T_0$ and the essentially unchanged $M_a$ below $T_0$ (Fig.4a). It is emphasized that such a glassy behavior is insignificant at J <1 A/cm², therefore it is unlikely due to Mn doping; the neutron diffraction data in Fig.1b also rules out any current-induced inhomogeneous effect.

It is clear that small current as a new external stimulus effectively suppresses the AFM state and precipitates the new, intriguing phases below and above $T_0$, as illustrated in Fig.5a. Fundamentally, this is because of the current-driven lattice relaxation. It is well established that a
combination of rotation, tilting and flattening of RuO$_6$ is responsible for the AFM state [7, 11, 12]. Applied electrical current engaging with the $t_{2g}$ orbitals, discussed below, effectively weakens all these crucial structural distortions that enable the AFM state, thus diminishing the AFM (Figs.1,2).

The overall response of the crystal structure, resistivity and magnetism to applied in-plane electrical current can be understood theoretically in a tight-binding picture as follows. A tight-binding picture based on local orbitals is appropriate here since the metallic state is a current-driven instability of an insulating state that is captured by local orbital occupancies. The experimental feature to explain (see Fig.1) is that applied in-plane current suppresses the transition to the insulator and the octahedral tilts and rotations while reducing $a$-$b$ axis asymmetry. We now proceed to construct a theoretical framework that captures this feature through local orbital occupancies and overlaps.

Without applied current, Ru$^{4+}$ ions nominally have 2 holes in the $t_{2g}$ orbitals but x-ray spectroscopy studies [1,8] suggest that a $\frac{1}{2}$-hole is transferred to the oxygen. At high temperatures, in the metallic state, the remaining $\frac{3}{2}$ hole is equally split in a 1:1 ratio between the $d_{xy}$ orbital and the manifold of $d_{xz}$/$d_{yz}$ orbitals (giving an electron occupancy roughly $\sim d_{xy}1.2d_{xz1.6d_{yz1.6}}$). At $T < T_{MI}$, the first-order structural transition at $T_{MI} = 357$ K leads to the lattice distortions and the rotation, tilting and flattening of RuO$_6$, which transfers more holes from $d_{xy}$ to $d_{xz}$/$d_{yz}$, leading to a 1:2 ratio of hole occupancies in $d_{xy}$ vs $d_{xz}$/$d_{yz}$ (giving an electron occupancy roughly $\sim d_{xy1.5d_{xz1.5d_{yz1.5}}}$) [1,8]. The insulating state below $T_{MI}$ thus has each orbital at exactly $\frac{3}{4}$ electron filling (or $\frac{1}{4}$ hole filling). In contrast, the metallic state above $T_{MI}$ has unequal filling, with a nearly filled $d_{xz}$/$d_{yz}$ manifold (fewer holes) and, importantly, a nearly half-filled $d_{xy}$ orbital (more holes) (right sketch in Fig.5c). This analysis suggests that the conductivity in the metallic phase above $T_{MI}$ [12] is primarily enhanced by the $d_{xy}$ orbitals.
Now consider the nonequilibrium electron occupancies stabilized with an applied electric current. Within the \( d_{xy} \) band, the electrons have large hopping amplitude from each Ru ion to each 4 of its neighbors, via the \( p_x \) and \( p_y \) orbitals on the four surrounding oxygens. This is not true for the \( d_{xz} \) or \( d_{yz} \) bands. So half-filling the \( d_{xy} \) band is far more favorable for the conductivity than half filling either the \( d_{xz} \) or \( d_{yz} \) bands or uniformly quarter-filling the entire multi-band manifold.

Driving an in-plane current forces a metallic state to persist which, based on this theoretical picture, should lead to two effects: (1) the applied current minimizes crystalline distortions in the basal plane, so as to maximize interorbital hopping for in-plane conductivity; and (2) applying a current keeps the \( d_{xy} \) band as close to half filling as possible, hence also avoiding the crystal distortions that are known (from the metal-insulator transition at zero applied current) to force \( d_{xy} \) away from half filling. These two effects (Figs.5b and 5c) exactly capture the experimentally observed behavior of the resistivity and crystal structure with applied current.

Clearly, at the heart of the current-driven phenomena are the critical lattice modifications via current-driven nonequilibrium electron populations of the \( t_{2g} \) orbitals, particularly the \( d_{xy} \) orbital. Simultaneous control of structural and physical properties of quantum materials is a long-sought, elusive goal for contemporary science and technology, and this goal is now within reach.
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Captions

Fig.1. The neutron diffraction and current-driven lattice changes in Ca$_2$Ru$_{0.97}$Mn$_{0.03}$O$_4$: (a) The neutron diffraction with applied electrical current: The single crystal Ca$_2$Ru$_{0.93}$Mn$_{0.03}$O$_4$ (left) and the same crystal with the electrical contacts for the neutron diffraction measurements (right). (b) Two representative contour plots for the temperature dependence of the lattice parameter $c$ axis at current $I = 0$ and 10 mA ($J = 2$ A/cm$^2$) applied in the basal plane. The current density $J$ dependence at 100 K of (c) the lattice parameters $a$ and $b$ axis, (d) the orthorhombicity defined by $(b-a)/a$, (e) the $c$ axis and (f) the bond angles Ru-O-Ru (red, left scale) and O-Ru-O (blue, right scale). The schematics illustrating the current-induced lattice changes: (g) the reduced orthorhombicity, (h) the elongated unit cell and (i) the increased bond angles; the displayed values of the bond angles Ru-O-Ru (red) and O-Ru-O (blue) are for $J = 0$ and $J = 2$ A/cm$^2$, respectively.

Fig.2. Current-driven magnetic and transport properties of Ca$_2$Ru$_{0.97}$Mn$_{0.03}$O$_4$: The temperature dependence at various current density $J$ applied along the $b$ axis of (a) the $a$-axis magnetization $M_a$, (b) the $b$-axis magnetization $M_b$ and (c) the $b$-axis resistivity $\rho_b$. The magnetic field is at 1 T. Note that $M_a$ and $M_b$ are plotted in the same scale for comparison.

Fig.3. Current-induced ordered state at $J \geq 0.14$ A/cm$^2$: The temperature dependence at various current density $J$ applied along the $b$ axis of (a) the $a$-axis magnetization $M_a$ and (b) the $b$-axis resistivity $\rho_b$ for Ca$_2$Ru$_{0.97}$Mn$_{0.03}$O$_4$; Inset: $\rho_b$ at 30 K as a function of $J$. The magnetic field is at 1 T. Note that both $M_a$ and $\rho_b$ are simultaneously measured. (c) The $a$-axis magnetization $M_a$ for pure Ca$_2$RuO$_4$ at a few representative $J$ for comparison. Note no diamagnetism reported in Ref. 23 is discerned.

Fig.4. Comparison between “trained” and “untrained” samples at $J = 1.4$ A/cm$^2$ for Ca$_2$Ru$_{0.97}$Mn$_{0.03}$O$_4$: The temperature dependence of (a) the $a$-axis magnetization $M_a$ and (b) the
$b$-axis resistivity $\rho_b$ for the trained (blue) and untrained (red) samples. Note that (1) an abrupt, twofold jump in $\rho_b$ below $T_0$ for the untrained sample, and (2) the trained and untrained samples are the same samples but are measured differently (see text).

Fig.5. (a) The explored T-J phase diagram illustrates a critical regime near 0.15 A/cm$^2$ that separates the vanishing antiferromagnetic order and the new orbital order. The glassy behavior occurs at $J > 1$ A/cm$^2$ and $T > T_0$. The grey square indicates the anomaly seen in the untrained samples; the grey area highlights the difference between the trained and untrained samples. The schematics illustrating at $T > T_0$ (b) the current-driven elongating of octahedra RuO$_6$ and (c) corresponding changes in $t_{2g}$ orbital populations. Note that the non-integer numbers of electrons are because a $\frac{1}{2}$-hole is transferred to the oxygen [1,8] (see text).
Fig. 2

(a) and (b) Show the behavior of $M_a$ and $M_b$ as a function of temperature $T$ for different currents $J$ and magnetic fields $H$. The transitions $T_N$ are indicated in the graphs.

(c) Displays the resistivity $\rho_b$ as a function of temperature $T$ for various currents $J$. The resistivity increases with increasing temperature.

The labels indicate the specific values of $J$ and $H$ used in each experiment, highlighting the influence of these parameters on the observed phenomena.
Fig. 4
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