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Abstract. This paper focuses on different reductions of 2-dimensional (2d-)Toda hierarchy. Symmetric and skew symmetric moment matrices are firstly considered, resulting in the differential relations between symmetric/skew symmetric tau functions and 2d-Toda’s tau functions, respectively. Furthermore, motivated by the Cauchy two-matrix model and Bures ensemble from random matrix theory, we study the rank one shift condition in symmetric case and rank two shift condition in skew symmetric case, from which the C-Toda hierarchy and B-Toda hierarchy are found respectively, together with their special Lax matrices and integrable structures.

1. Introduction

The studies of random matrix theory and classic integrable systems promote the development of both communities. On the one hand, several novel random matrix models have been found in the course of analysing the classical integrable systems. One example is the appearance of Cauchy two-matrix model [29]; this model was proposed when studying the peakon solutions of Degasperis-Procesi equation and its related Hermite-Padé approximation problem. On the other hand, the time-dependent partition functions of matrix models provide us some explanations for integrable hierarchies. It has been realised that the partition function of coupled two-matrix models could be regarded as the tau function of 2d-Toda hierarchy [3], and the partition function of symplectic ensemble and orthogonal ensemble could be regarded as the tau functions of Pfaff lattice and some differential-difference equations [4, 7, 21]. It is also found that the partition function of Bures ensemble could be viewed as the tau function of BKP hierarchy [22, 31] and that of Cauchy two-matrix model is related to the CKP hierarchy [26]. In [14, 26], the approach to find the correspondences is to use the average characteristic polynomials of matrix models. These polynomials act as wave functions and the integrable lattices are found with proper time evolutions. Though effective, only the first few members of these hierarchies could be computed.

For this reason, another method called the moment matrix approach is employed to conquer the difficulty in this paper, to reveal more information about these integrable hierarchies behind Cauchy two-matrix model as well as Bures ensemble.

This approach is based on the moment matrix decomposition and group factorization, developed by Adler and van Moerbeke; an overall review could be found in [8]. A basic point is that the moment matrix can connect with dressing operator, wave functions and tau functions on the one hand, and orthogonal polynomials, Christoffel-Darboux kernel on the other. To the best of our knowledge, the most general case was considered in [3], motivated by the coupled two matrix model, showing that the tau functions of 2d-Toda hierarchy could be generated by this general bi-moment matrix $m_\infty$ with evolutions $\partial_{t_n} m_\infty = \Lambda^n m_\infty$ and $\partial_{s_m} m_\infty = -m_\infty \Lambda^m$. Furthermore, most of the known one-component integrable systems could be obtained by the reductions from the 2d-Toda theory, so as the corresponding tau functions, which are determined by the reductions of moment
matrix. In the literatures, there have been several different reductions and great successes have been achieved by this method. For example,

- As observed in [2], the constraint $\Lambda't_n = m_n \Lambda^\top t$ leads the 2d-Toda hierarchy to the 1d-Toda hierarchy, in which the variables $v_n = t_n + s_n$ keep invariant and the variables $u_n = t_n - s_n$ make contributions only. In fact, this reduction condition indicates that the matrix elements satisfy the relation $m_{k+i,l} = m_{k,l+i}$, amounting to a Hankel matrix. At the same time, it is well known that the 1d-Toda’s tau function could be realised by the time-dependent partition function of unitary invariant Hermitian ensemble [18], which could also be expressed as Hankel determinant;

- Another case considered in [1, 7] is the skew symmetric reduction on the moment matrix so that $m_\infty^T = -m_\infty$. Obviously, the $\tau$-functions defined by the skew symmetric moment matrix could be written as Pfaffians rather than determinants. Applying the commuting flows $\partial_{t_n} m_\infty = \Lambda^n m_\infty + m_\infty \Lambda^\top t$ to the moment matrix leads to the Pfaff lattice via the skew-Borel decomposition and the integrability follows from the Adler-Kostant-Symes theorem [1, 8]. The Dyson’s symplectic and orthogonal ensembles play important roles in this case. Moreover, there is relationship between Dyson’s $\beta$-ensemble when $\beta = 1, 2, 4$, and therefore, one can find the relationship between Toda and Pfaff lattice via the dressing operator and spectral problem, see [4, 5];

- Remarkably, in the course of studies in the unitary invariant ensemble on the unit circle (CUE) and random permutations, the Toeplitz matrix appears, implying the reduction on the moment matrix $\Lambda m_\infty \Lambda^\top = m_\infty$ (or equally $m_{i,j} = m_{i-j}$). It is shown that the Toeplitz-type moment matrix is closely related to the integrable systems if the evolutions $\partial_{t_n} m_i = m_{i+n}$ and $\partial_{s_n} m_i = -m_{i-n}$ are permitted. Examples include the 2 + 1 dimensional generalisation of Ablowitz-Ladik lattice, which is also called as the 2 + 1 dimensional AKNS hierarchy [6, 12].

This paper focuses on two different random matrix models and associated integrable hierarchies. The first one is called the Cauchy two-matrix model defined on the configuration space $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$, as specified by an eigenvalue probability density function [9, 16]

$$
\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i)^2 (y_j - y_i)^2 \prod_{i,j=1}^{n} (x_i + y_j) \prod_{i,j=1}^{n} \omega_1(x_i) \omega_2(y_j)
$$

with some non-negative weight function $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$. This model could be considered as a two-field model, where the interaction of the fields is described by the Cauchy kernel. It has been shown that in the symmetric case $\omega_1 = \omega_2$, the time-dependent partition function of this model could be regarded as the tau function of CKP equation as well as the C-Toda lattice if we introduce an infinite series of time parameters $\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ into weight function $\omega$ and assume that $\partial_{t_i} \omega(x; t) = x^i \omega(x; t)$ [26]. It is also found the quantity of the partition function could be expressed as a determinant with symmetric elements. Therefore, it motivates us to consider what will happen if the initial moment matrix is assumed to be symmetric. One can show the symmetric condition is so strong that the bilinear form of the tau function is broken. However, the moments of Cauchy two-matrix model give us another constraint, called the rank one shift condition, which finally results in the C-Toda hierarchy.
The other model, which is closely related to the Cauchy-two matrix model, is called the Bures ensemble. The significances of this model are on the two folds in physics. One is in quantum information theory—this model is a metric induced ensemble, induced by the Bures measure. The other is in random matrix theory, usually referred as $O(1)$ model, which is a two-matrix model for $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices $M$ and $A$ with distribution

$$dMdA \exp \left(-N\text{Tr}(V(M) + MA^2)\right).$$

The integration over the Gaussian variable $A$ can be performed and thus yield a measure over the eigenvalues $\{x_i, i = 1, \cdots, n\}$ of $M$ on $\mathbb{R}_+^n$, admitting the form

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{(x_j - x_i)^2}{x_j + x_i} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \omega(x_i)$$

with non-negative weight function $\omega(x)$. Moreover, it has been shown the time-dependent partition function of this model could be regarded as the tau function of BKP hierarchy [22] as well as several integrable lattices [14]. The corresponding tau functions could be written as Pfaffian forms, which inspires us to consider the skew symmetric reduction on the moment matrix. Although skew symmetric reduction has already been considered in [1, 5, 7], its connection with large BKP hierarchy proposed by Kac and van de Leur [24] was left as an ongoing problem in [7]. Furthermore, the moments of the Bures ensemble indicates a special rank two shift condition, giving rise to a sub-hierarchy of the Pfaff lattice with features of BKP hierarchy.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give a brief review of the moment matrix decomposition and group factorisation. It demonstrates the former connects with the wave functions (orthogonal polynomials) and dressing operator, and the latter causes to the Lax integrability. Section 3 is devoted to the symmetric reduction. We firstly consider the symmetric moment matrix and impose the time evolutions to satisfy the commuting time flows $\partial_{t_n}m_\infty = \Lambda^n m_\infty + m_\infty \Lambda^T n$. Under these constraints, it is shown the bilinear equation for the wave functions are quite simple, but it is not the case for tau functions—the symmetric reduction breaks down the bilinear form. Fortunately, the Cauchy two-matrix model provides us another important feature—the rank one shift condition, which leads us to a local spectral problem, and further to a C-Toda hierarchy with auxiliary variables. In Section 4, we consider the skew symmetric moment matrix and Pfaffian tau functions. The most general skew symmetric reduction and the corresponding Pfaff lattice case is summarised in 4.1. In 4.2, we would like to demonstrate an equivalent skew symmetric decomposition, resulting in the partial skew orthogonal polynomials and odd-indexed wave functions. With the introduction of odd-indexed Pfaffian tau functions, it is shown the Pfaff lattice is exactly the large BKP hierarchy. However, the tau functions of the Pfaff lattice hierarchy lack the features of $B$-type equation—the Gram-type Pfaffian elements. Therefore, in the following part, we take the rank two shift condition into account and demonstrate how to derive the B-Toda hierarchy from the 2d-Toda theory. Apart from being embedded into 2d-Toda theory, the rank two shift condition implies a particular Fay identity, indicating the recurrence relation for the partial skew orthogonal polynomials, and thus give a novel sub-hierarchy of Pfaff lattice hierarchy.

2. Review of 2d-Toda theory

For self-consistency, it is necessary to give a brief review to 2d-Toda theory since the article is about the reductions of 2d-Toda theory. This section includes the following three parts: moment
matrix decomposition, time evolutions and wave functions and tau functions. Please refer to [3, 7, 32] for more details.

2.1. Moment Matrix Decomposition. Moment matrix is the linking node of different mathematical objects like integrable systems, orthogonal polynomials and random matrix theory. We call a semi-infinite matrix \( m_\infty = (m_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \) a moment matrix if all of its principal minors are nonzero. Attributed to this fact, the moment matrix admits the following LU decomposition: \( m_\infty = S_1^{-1} S_2 \), where\(^1\)

\[
S_1 \in \mathcal{S}_- = \{ \text{lower triangular matrices with diagonals 1} \}, \quad \text{(2.1a)}
\]
\[
S_2 \in \mathcal{S}_+ = \{ \text{upper triangular matrices with nonzero diagonals} \}, \quad \text{(2.1b)}
\]

and the corresponding Lie algebras \( \mathfrak{g}_+ \) and \( \mathfrak{g}_- \). From the basic computation of matrix decomposition [30], one could compute

\[
S_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & & & \\
-\tau_1 \tau_1 & 1 & & \\
\frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1} & -\tau_1 \tau_2 & 1 & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

with \( \tau_n = \det(m_{i,j})_{i,j=0}^{n-1} \) and \( \tau_{ij} \) the determinant for the \( i \)-th principal minor add the next row and delete its \( j \)-th row. For example,

\[
\tau_{10} = m_{10}, \quad \tau_{20} = \det \begin{vmatrix} m_{10} & m_{11} \\ m_{20} & m_{21} \end{vmatrix}, \quad \tau_{21} = \det \begin{vmatrix} m_{00} & m_{01} \\ m_{20} & m_{21} \end{vmatrix}, \quad \cdots.
\]

The diagonal of \( S_2 \) is important and we denote it as

\[
h = \left( \frac{\tau_1}{\tau_0}, \frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1}, \cdots \right), \quad \text{with } \tau_0 = 1.
\]

Furthermore, if we define Lax matrices \( L_1 = S_1 \Lambda S_1^{-1} \) and \( L_2 = S_2 \Lambda^\top S_2^{-1} \), then \( P(x) = S_1 \chi(x) \), \( Q(x) = S_2^{-\top} \chi(y) \) with \( \chi(x) = (1, x, x^2, \cdots) \) are the eigenfunctions of \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) respectively, exhibiting the following spectral problems

\[
L_1 P(x) = x P(x), \quad L_2^\top Q(y) = y Q(y)
\]

and orthogonal relation

\[
\langle P(x), Q^\top(y) \rangle = I,
\]

where \( I \) is the identity matrix and the inner product is defined by \( \langle x^i, y^j \rangle = m_{i,j} \).

\(^1\)Please note we mainly consider the semi-infinite case throughout the paper, given by the algebra \( \mathcal{A}^\infty = \{ (s_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}, s_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C} \} \). Usually we denote the shift operator \( \Lambda = (\delta_{i+1,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \) and \( \Lambda^{-1} = \Lambda^\top = (\delta_{i,j+1})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \). These two operators are connected by \( \Lambda \Lambda^{-1} = I \) and \( \Lambda^{-1} \Lambda = I - E \) with \( E_{i,j} = \delta_{i,0} \delta_{j,0} \). In this case, \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) can be expressed in a formal way as \( S_1 = \Lambda^0 + \Lambda^{-1} a_{-1} + \Lambda^{-2} a_{-2} + \cdots \) and \( S_2 = \Lambda^0 a_0 + \Lambda^1 a_1 + \Lambda^2 a_2 + \cdots \) with \( a_i, i \in \mathbb{Z} \) vectors.
2.2. Time Evolutions. Let’s consider a time-dependent moment matrix $m_\infty(t, s)$, which admits the time evolutions
\[
\frac{\partial m_\infty}{\partial t_n} = \Lambda^n m_\infty, \quad \frac{\partial m_\infty}{\partial s_n} = -m_\infty \Lambda^n,
\]
implying the moment matrix enjoys the unique solution
\[
m_\infty(t, s) = e^{\sum_{i=1}^\infty t_i \Lambda^i} m_\infty(0, 0) e^{-\sum_{i=1}^\infty s_i \Lambda^i}, \tag{2.2}
\]
for initial value $m_\infty(0, 0)$. Obviously, if $m_\infty(t, s)$ is non-degenerate for parameters $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$, then the Gauss-Borel decomposition is valid, and the $\Phi_\pm$ defined in (2.1a) and (2.1b) form Lie subgroups of the general linear group with corresponding Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_\pm$. Therefore, the equations
\[
S_1 \frac{\partial m_\infty}{\partial t_n} S_2^{-1} = S_1 \frac{\partial S_1^{-1}}{\partial t_n} S_2^{-1} S_2^{-1} = -\frac{\partial S_1}{\partial t_n} S_1^{-1} + \frac{\partial S_2}{\partial t_n} S_2^{-1} \in \mathfrak{g}_- \oplus \mathfrak{g}_+,
\]
lead to
\[
\frac{\partial S_1}{\partial t_n} = -(L_1^n)_-, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial S_2}{\partial t_n} = (L_1^n)_+.
\]
Here $-$ (respectively $+$) represents the lower triangular part without diagonal (respectively upper triangular part with diagonal) according to Lie algebra splitting. Similarly, one could obtain the result for time parameter $s$ in the same approach. Therefore, from the definition of Lax matrices, it is easy to obtain the Lax representation
\[
\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial t_n} = [L_1, (L_1^n)_-], \quad \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial s_n} = [L_2, (L_2^n)_+].
\]

2.3. Wave functions and tau functions. Now we’d like to introduce the wave functions and tau functions, to show the Lax representation is equal to the bilinear form of wave functions and tau functions.

Define the wave functions $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$ by
\[
\Phi_1(t, s; z) = e^{\xi(t, z)} S_1 \chi(z), \quad \Phi_2(t, s; z) = e^{\xi(s, z^{-1})} S_2 \chi(z), \tag{2.3}
\]
with the notation $\xi(t, z) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty t_k z^k$ and the dual wave functions
\[
\Phi_1^*(t, s; z) = e^{-\xi(t, z)} S_1^\top \chi(z^{-1}), \quad \Phi_2^*(t, s; z) = e^{-\xi(s, z^{-1})} S_2^\top \chi(z^{-1}), \tag{2.4}
\]
then it is remarkable that these wave functions satisfy the following bilinear equations \cite{2,33}
\[
\oint_{C_\infty} \Phi_{1,n}(t, s; z) \Phi_{1,m}^*(t', s'; z) \frac{dz}{2\pi i z} = \oint_{C_0} \Phi_{2,n}(t, s; z) \Phi_{2,m}^*(t', s'; z) \frac{dz}{2\pi i z}, \tag{2.5}
\]
where $C_\infty$ and $C_0$ stand for the circles surrounding infinity and zero respectively. Furthermore, if one defines tau functions by
\[
\tau_n(t, s) = \det(m_{i,j}(t, s))_{i,j=0}^{n-1}, \tag{2.6}
\]
then one could find the relations between wave functions and tau functions via $(\tilde{\partial}_k = (\partial_{t_1}, \frac{1}{2}\partial_{t_2}, \cdots))$
\[
\Phi_1(t, s; z) = \left( e^{\xi(t, z)} e^{-\xi(\tilde{\partial}_1, z^{-1})} \frac{\tau_n}{\tau_n} z^n \right)_{n \geq 0}, \quad \Phi_1^*(t, s; z) = \left( e^{-\xi(t, z)} e^{\xi(\tilde{\partial}_1, z^{-1})} \frac{\tau_{n+1}}{\tau_n} z^{-n} \right)_{n \geq 0},
\]
\[
\Phi_2(t, s; z) = \left( e^{\xi(s, z^{-1})} e^{-\xi(\tilde{\partial}_1, z)} \frac{\tau_n}{\tau_n} z^n \right)_{n \geq 0}, \quad \Phi_2^*(t, s; z) = \left( e^{-\xi(s, z^{-1})} e^{\xi(\tilde{\partial}_1, z)} \frac{\tau_{n+1}}{\tau_n} z^{-n} \right)_{n \geq 0}.
\]
If we introduce the notation \( [z] = (z, \frac{2z}{3}, \frac{3z}{3}, \cdots) \), then the bilinear identities (2.5) could be written into tau-functions as

\[
\oint_{C_\infty} \tau_n(t - [z^{-1}], s) \tau_{m+1}(t' + [z^{-1}], s') e^{\xi(t-t', z)} z^{n-m-1} dz \\
= \oint_{C_0} \tau_n+1(t, s - [z]) \tau_m(t', s' + [z]) e^{\xi(s-s', z^{-1})} z^{n-m-1} dz. \tag{2.7}
\]

3. Symmetric reduction and C-Toda hierarchy

In this section, we consider the symmetric reduction to the moment matrix, with our aim being to develop a theory of the symmetric tau function theory analogous to that done in [1, 5, 7] for the skew symmetric case. It is remarkable that the symmetric Cholesky decomposition plays an important role in the discussion of matrix decomposition. Therefore, in subsection 3.1, we consider the symmetric initial moment matrix and find there are some relations between the pairs of dressing operators \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \), wave functions \( \Phi \) and \( \Phi^* \) and Lax operators \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \). Furthermore, the symmetric features and the commuting flow \( \partial_{tn} m_\infty = \Lambda^n m_\infty + m_\infty \Lambda^T \) result in the relations between the symmetric tau functions and 2d-Toda’s tau functions (See Proposition 3.2). Unfortunately, the relations between symmetric tau functions and 2d-Toda tau functions are nonlinear, thus breaking down the bilinear structures. The failure of bilinear forms inspires us to consider extra constraint. Motivated by the Cauchy two-matrix model, a rank one shift condition is given. This condition provides an explicit relation between auxiliary functions \( \sigma \) and \( \tau \), helping us to write down the whole C-Toda hierarchy successfully. The subsection 3.3 is devoted to a detailed discussion about why the rank one shift condition works and finally find that the rank one shift condition is equal to a local spectral problem. The Lax integrability of the whole hierarchy is considered at the end of this part.

3.1. The symmetric reduction on 2d-Toda hierarchy. From (2.2), we know the most general moment matrix has the form

\[
m_\infty(t, s) = e^{\sum_{i=1}^\infty t_i \Lambda^i} m_\infty(0, 0) e^{-\sum_{i=1}^\infty s_i \Lambda^T i},
\]

and we’d like to impose two constraints here. The first is to deal with the initial data \( m_\infty(0, 0) \). To assume it is symmetric, one could show the relationship between \( m_\infty(t, s) \) and \( m_\infty(-s, -t) \), and further to show the relations between the corresponding tau functions, Lax operators and wave functions. The second part is to impose the time constraint ‘\( s = -t \)’, from which one could induce commuting time flows \( \partial_{tn} m_\infty = \Lambda^n m_\infty + m_\infty \Lambda^T \) and in this case, tau function depends on one family of time parameters \( t \) only. Some relations between symmetric tau functions and 2d-Toda’s tau functions are obtained. A Cholesky decomposition perspective is given at the end of this subsection.

3.1.1. Symmetric reduction on moment matrix. Consider the symmetric initial moment matrix \( m_\infty(0, 0) \) satisfies \( m_\infty^T(0, 0) = m_\infty(0, 0) \). It is easy to show

\[
m_\infty^T(t, s) = e^{-\sum_{i=1}^\infty s_i \Lambda^i} m_\infty^T(0, 0) e^{\sum_{i=1}^\infty t_i \Lambda^T i} = m_\infty(-s, -t).
\]

Moreover, the definition of tau function \( \tau_n(t, s) = \det(m_{i,j}(t, s))_{i,j=0}^{n-1} \) tells us \( \tau_n(-s, -t) = \tau_n(t, s) \), and it leads to

\[
h(t, s) = \frac{\tau_1(t, s)}{\tau_0(t, s)} \frac{\tau_2(t, s)}{\tau_1(t, s)} \cdots = h(-s, -t).
\]
Furthermore, we can state the following proposition under this symmetric reduction.

**Proposition 3.1.** For the symmetric initial moment matrix, the following equations are satisfied:

1. \( h^{-1}(t, s)S_1(t, s) = S_2^{-T}(-s, -t); \)
2. \( h^{-1}(t, s)\Phi_1(t, s; z) = \Phi_2^*(-s, -t; z^{-1}) \) and \( h^{-1}(t, s)\Phi_2(t, s; z) = \Phi_1^*(-s, -t; z^{-1}); \)
3. \( L_1(t, s) = h(-s, -t)L_2^T(-s, -t)h^{-1}(-s, -t). \)

**Proof.** Starting with \( m_n^T(t, s) = m_\infty(-s, -t) \) and Borel decomposition \( m_\infty(t, s) = S_1^{-1}(t, s)S_2(t, s), \) we know

\[
S_1^{-1}(t, s)S_2(t, s) = S_2^T(-s, -t)S_1^{-T}(-s, -t).
\]

Recall \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) belong to the groups \( \mathfrak{S}_\pm \), therefore, we can rewrite the right hand side as

\[
S_2^T(-s, -t)S_1^{-1}(-s, -t) = S_2^T(-s, -t)h^{-1}(-s, -t)h(-s, -t)S_1^{-T}(-s, -t)
\]

with \( S_2^T(-s, -t)h^{-1}(s, -t) \in \mathfrak{S}_- \) and \( h(-s, -t)S_1^{-T}(-s, -t) \in \mathfrak{S}_+. \) Moreover, from the uniqueness of Borel decomposition, it must satisfy

\[
S_1^{-1}(t, s) = S_2^T(-s, -t)h^{-1}(-s, -t), \quad S_2(t, s) = h(-s, -t)S_1^{-T}(-s, -t),
\]

which gives rise to the first equality.

The second equality is a direct generalisation of the first one if one notices the definition of wave functions (2.3) and their dual (2.4) and compute

\[
h^{-1}(t, s)\Phi_1(t, s; z) = h^{-1}(t, s)e^{\xi(t, z)}S_1(t, s)\chi(z) = e^{\xi(t, z)}S_2^T(-s, -t)\chi(z) = \Phi_2^*(-s, -t; z^{-1}).
\]

\[
h^{-1}(t, s)\Phi_2(t, s; z) = h^{-1}(t, s)e^{\xi(s, z^{-1})}S_2(t, s)\chi(z^{-1}) = e^{\xi(s, z^{-1})}S_1^{-T}\chi(z^{-1}) = \Phi_1^*(-s, -t; z^{-1}).
\]

Finally, a direct computation

\[
L_1(t, s) = S_1(t, s)\Lambda S_1^{-1}(t, s) = h(-s, -t)S_2^{-T}(-s, -t)\Lambda S_2^T(-s, -t)h^{-1}(-s, -t)
\]

\[
= h(-s, -t)L_2^T(-s, -t)h^{-1}(-s, -t)
\]

results in the third equation. \( \square \)

**3.1.2. The ‘s=-t’ constraint.** Assume ‘s = -t’, one can find the moment matrix depends on parameters \( t \) only, satisfying the time evolutions

\[
\partial_t m_{i,j} = m_{i+n,j} + m_{i,j+n}, \quad \text{or} \quad \partial_t m_\infty = \Lambda m_\infty + m_\infty \Lambda^T.
\]

Under this framework, one can define the symmetric tau function \( \tilde{\tau}(t) \) by \( \tilde{\tau}_n(t) := \tau_n(t, -t). \) To find the integrable hierarchy satisfied by \( \{ \tilde{\tau}_n(t) \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) with \( \tilde{\tau}_0(t) = 1 \), we need to find the relations between the \( \tilde{\tau}_n(t) \) and \( \tau_n(t, s)|_{s=-t} \) and the result is shown as the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.2.** Regarding the evolutions of the symmetric tau function \( \tilde{\tau}_n(t) \) and 2d-Toda’s tau function \( \tau_n(t, s)|_{s=-t} \), they are connected with each other by

\[
\tilde{\tau}_m(t)\tilde{\tau}_n(t + [\alpha] - [\beta]) - (\beta - \alpha)^2\tau_{m-1}(t - [\beta])\tilde{\tau}_{m+1}(t + [\alpha]) = \tau_m(t + [\alpha] - [\beta], -t)^2. \quad (3.1)
\]

**Proof.** This proof is basically based on [7, Theorem 2.2]; the slight difference lies in the reduction condition of the initial matrix as well as the tau functions. If we take \( n = m - 1 \) and ‘s = -t’
constraint $s = -t + [\beta]$, $t' = t + [\alpha] - [\beta]$ and $s' = -t - [\alpha]$, then by the use of residue theorem, the right hand side of the bilinear identity (2.7) could be computed as

$$
\left. \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_\infty} \tau_{m-1}(t - [z^{-1}], s)\tau_{m+1}(t' + [z^{-1}], s')e^{\xi(t-t', z)} \frac{dz}{z^2} \right|_{z = \infty} = (\beta - \alpha)\tau_{m-1}(t - [\beta], -t + [\beta])\tau_{m+1}(t + [\alpha], -t - [\alpha]).
$$

It is similar to the left hand side, and one could obtain

$$
\left. \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_0} \tau_{m}(t, s - [z])\tau_{m}(t', s' + [z])e^{\xi(s-s', z^{-1})} \frac{dz}{z^2} \right|_{z = \infty} = \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} (\tau_{m}(t, -t + [\beta] - [\alpha])\tau_{m}(t + [\alpha] - [\beta], -t) - \tau_{m}(t, -t)\tau_{m}(t + [\alpha] - [\beta], -t - [\alpha] + [\beta])).
$$

From the definition $\tilde{\tau}_m(t) = \tau_m(t, -t)$ and $\tau_m(t, s) = \tau_n(-s, -t)$, the above two equalities would result in the equation (3.1).

This proposition provides a way to study the symmetric tau functions from 2d-Toda theory. Taking the square root of both sides, one could obtain\(^2\)

$$
\tau_m(t + [\alpha] - [\beta], -t) = \sqrt{\tilde{\tau}_m(t)}\tilde{\tau}_m(t + [\alpha] - [\beta]) - (\beta - \alpha)^2\tilde{\tau}_{m-1}(t - [\beta])\tilde{\tau}_{m+1}(t + [\alpha]). \tag{3.2}
$$

Unlike Pfaff lattice, the bilinear form (2.7) would break down if we replace the tau functions of 2d-Toda by the symmetric tau functions with relationship (3.2). Nonetheless, this equation implies us some useful information about the $t$-derivatives of symmetric tau functions and 2d-Toda’s tau functions, which helps us to find the integrable hierarchy later.

- If we take the limit $\alpha \to \beta$, then we immediately obtain

$$
\tau_{m}(t, s)|_{s=-t} = \tilde{\tau}_m(t); \tag{3.3}
$$

- If we take the derivative about $\alpha$ on both sides, then we obtain

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha^{k-1}\partial_{\alpha} \tau_{m}(t + [\alpha] - [\beta], -t) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\tau_{m}(t + [\alpha] - [\beta], -t)} \left\{ \tilde{\tau}_m(t) \times \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha^{k-1}\partial_{\alpha} \tilde{\tau}_m(t + [\alpha] - [\beta]) + O((\beta - \alpha)) \right\}.
$$

Taking the limit $\alpha \to \beta$ and comparing the coefficient of $\alpha^{k-1}$, one obtains

$$
\partial_{\alpha} \tau_{m}(t, s)|_{s=-t} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\alpha} \tilde{\tau}_m(t); \tag{3.4}
$$

- Moreover, if we take the derivative about $\alpha$ and $\beta$ on both sides, and take $\alpha \to \beta$, then we get

$$
-2\sum_{k,l=1}^{\infty} \partial_{\alpha} \tau_{m}(t, -t)\partial_{\beta} \tau_{m}(t, -t)\alpha^{k+l-2} - 2\tau_{m}(t, -t) \sum_{k,l=1}^{\infty} \partial_{\alpha} \partial_{\beta} \tau_{m}(t, -t)\alpha^{k+l-2}
$$

$$
= -\tilde{\tau}_m(t) \sum_{k,l=1}^{\infty} \partial_{\alpha} \partial_{\beta} \tilde{\tau}_m(t)\alpha^{k+l-2} + 2 \sum_{k,l=0}^{\infty} p_k(-\partial_{\beta})\tilde{\tau}_{m-1}(t)p_l(\partial_{\alpha})\tilde{\tau}_{m+1}(t)\alpha^{k+l}.
$$

\(^2\)Here we just take the positive sign when taking the square root of both sides. It doesn’t matter if we take the negative sign since it wouldn’t affect the forms of the equations.
The special case \( \alpha^0 \) gives rise to the equation
\[
\partial_{t_i}^2 \tau_m(t, s)|_{s=-t} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{t_i}^2 \tilde{\tau}_m(t) - \frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_m(t)} \left[ \frac{1}{4} \left( \partial_{t_i} \tilde{\tau}_m(t) \right)^2 + \tilde{\tau}_{m-1}(t) \tilde{\tau}_{m+1}(t) \right].
\] (3.5)

Most generally, one can state the following proposition.

**Corollary 3.3.** For arbitrary \( i, j \in \mathbb{N} \), there exists the general relation between the symmetric tau function and 2d-Toda’s tau functions via
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{i} \sum_{l=0}^{j} p_k(\tilde{\partial}_t)p_l(-\tilde{\partial}_t)\tau_m(t, s)|_{s=-t} \times p_{i-k}(\tilde{\partial}_t)p_{j-l}(-\tilde{\partial}_t)\tau_m(t, s)|_{s=-t}
= \tilde{\tau}_m(t)p_i(\tilde{\partial}_t)p_j(-\tilde{\partial}_t)\tilde{\tau}_m(t) - p_{i-2}(-\tilde{\partial}_t)\tilde{\tau}_{m-1}(t)p_j(\tilde{\partial}_t)\tilde{\tau}_{m+1}(t)
+ 2p_{i-1}(\tilde{\partial}_t)\tilde{\tau}_{m-1}(t)p_{j-1}(-\tilde{\partial}_t)\tilde{\tau}_{m+1}(t) - p_i(-\tilde{\partial}_t)\tilde{\tau}_{m-1}(t)p_{j-2}(\tilde{\partial}_t)\tilde{\tau}_{m+1}(t).
\] (3.6)

**Proof.** This equation is from the expansion
\[
\tau_m(t + [\alpha] - [\beta], s)|_{s=-t} = e^{\xi(\tilde{\partial}_t, \alpha) - \xi(\tilde{\partial}_t, \beta)\tau_m(t, s)|_{s=-t}}
= \sum_{k,l=0}^{\infty} \alpha^k \beta^l p_k(\tilde{\partial}_t)p_l(-\tilde{\partial}_t)\tau_m(t, s)|_{s=-t}.
\]

By comparing with the coefficients of \( \alpha^i \beta^j \) on both sides of (3.1), we can arrive at this conclusion. \( \square \)

**Remark 3.4.** It should be pointed out that equations (3.3)-(3.5) could also be obtained from this unified expression (3.6) by picking the label \((i, j)\) as \((0, 0)\), \((1, 0)\) and \((1, 1)\), respectively.

### 3.1.3. Symmetric Moment Matrix and Cholesky Decomposition

At the end of this subsection, we’d like to mention that these symmetric moment matrices could not only be embedded in the 2d-Toda theory, but they admit the unique symmetric decomposition—Cholesky decomposition. This kind of decomposition would lead to an equivalent expression. Let’s consider the symmetric matrix \( m_\infty(t) \) and the decomposition
\[
m_\infty(t) = S^{-1}(t)S^{-\top}(t), \quad S(t) \in \mathcal{G}_-,
\]
where \( \mathcal{G}_- \) is the group composed of the lower triangular matrices with nonzero diagonals. Moreover, the matrix \( S(t) \) defines wave operators
\[
W_1(t) = S(t)e^{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i A_i^i}, \quad W_2(t) = S^{-\top}(t)e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i A_i^i}, \quad (3.7)
\]
wave functions (c.f. (2.3) and (2.4))
\[
\Psi_1(t; z) = W_1(t)\chi(z) = e^{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i z^i} S(t)\chi(z), \quad \Psi_2(t; z) = W_2(t)\chi(z) = e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i z^i} S^{-\top}(t)\chi(z),
\]
and their dual
\[
\Psi_1^*(t; z) = W_1(t)^{-\top} \chi(z^{-1}) = \Psi_2(t; z^{-1}), \quad \Psi_2^*(t; z) = W_2(t)^{-\top} \chi(z^{-1}) = \Psi_1(t; z^{-1})
\]
with asymptotics
\[
\Psi_{1,n}(t; z) = e^{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i z^i} c_n(t)\psi_{1,n}(t; z), \quad \psi_{1,n} = 1 + O(z^{-1}),
\Psi_{2,n}(t; z) = e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i z^i} c_n(t)\psi_{2,n}(t; z), \quad \psi_{2,n} = 1 + O(z),
\]
with
\[
\alpha
\]
where the $c_n$ are the diagonals of matrix $S$. Furthermore, the Lax matrix $L_1 = SAS^{-1}$ and $L_2 = S^{-T} \Lambda^T S^T$ are connected by the simple relation $L_1 = L_2^\top$. From these relations, one can state the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.5.** For the symmetric moment matrix with commuting vector field $\partial_t m_\infty = \Lambda^\alpha m_\infty + m_\infty \Lambda^\top \alpha$, the Cholesky decomposition $m_\infty(t) = S^{-1}(t)S^{-\top}(t)$ gives rise to the wave operators $W_1(t)$ and $W_2(t)$ via (3.7). Moreover, these two operators satisfy the relation

$$W_1(t)W_1^{-1}(t') = W_2(t)W_2(t'), \quad \forall t, t' \in \mathbb{C}^\infty,$$

which implies the bilinear identity for the wave functions

$$\oint_{C_{\infty}} \Psi_{1,n}(t; z)\Psi_{2,m}(t'; z^{-1}) \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} = \oint_{C_0} \Psi_{2,n}(t; z)\Psi_{1,m}(t'; z^{-1}) \frac{dz}{2\pi iz}.$$ 

### 3.2. Rank one shift condition and integrable hierarchy.

In the last subsection, we demonstrate the bilinear identity for the symmetric tau functions fails if we replace the 2d-Toda’s tau function by symmetric tau function. In this subsection, we would like to impose another condition for the moment matrix such that the symmetric tau functions could be put into an integrable hierarchy, which we call the C-Toda hierarchy.

This condition, firstly appeared in [10], is called the rank one shift condition. It means the shift of moment matrix satisfies a rank one decomposition

$$\Lambda m_\infty + m_\infty \Lambda^\top = \alpha \alpha^\top, \quad \alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \cdots)^\top, \quad \alpha(t) \in \mathbb{C}^\infty. \quad (3.8)$$

It should be pointed out that the time evolution of the vector $\alpha$ should satisfy the condition

$$\partial_t \alpha = \Lambda^\alpha \alpha, \quad (3.9)$$

being consistent with that of moment matrix $m_\infty$. Then according to the Borel decomposition $m_\infty = S_1^{-1} S_2$, the rank one condition is equal to

$$AS_1^{-1} S_2 + S_1^{-1} S_2 \Lambda^\top = \alpha \alpha^\top, \quad \text{or equivalently}, \quad S_1 AS_1^{-1} S_2 + S_2 \Lambda^\top S_2^{-1} = S_1 \alpha \alpha^\top S_2^{-1}.$$ 

From the Proposition 3.1 and condition ‘s = −t’, we know $S_2 = hS_1^{-\top}$ and $L_2 = hL_1 h^{-1}$. Therefore, the above equality could then be written in a symmetric form

$$L_1 h + hL_1^\top = (S_1 \alpha)(S_1 \alpha)^\top := \sigma \sigma^\top \quad (3.10)$$

with $\sigma = S_1 \alpha$. In addition, the rank one shift condition (3.8), or its equivalent condition (3.10), implies that there are some relations between symmetric $\tau$-functions and auxiliary functions $\{\sigma_n\}_{n \geq 0}$.

**Proposition 3.6.** If we denote $L_1$ as

$$L_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
    l_{00} & 1 \\
    l_{10} & l_{11} & 1 \\
    l_{20} & l_{21} & l_{22} & 1 \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{pmatrix} := (l_{i,j}),$$

then there exist relations between tau functions and $\sigma$ via

$$2l_{j,j} h_j = \sigma_j \sigma_j, \quad (3.11a)$$

$$h_{j+1} + l_{j+1,j} h_j = \sigma_j \sigma_{j+1}, \quad (3.11b)$$

$$l_{i,j} h_j = \sigma_i \sigma_j, \quad \forall i \geq j + 2. \quad (3.11c)$$
Now we plan to show the equations (3.11a)-(3.11c) are the hierarchy of integrable lattice, whose first example is the C-Toda lattice [26]. By the use of the fact $L_1 = S_1 \Lambda S_1^{-1}$ and denote $S_1 = (s_{i,j})$, $S_1^{-1} = (\tilde{s}_{i,j})$, we have

$$L_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & s_{10} & 1 \\
s_{20} & s_{21} & 1 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 \\
\vdots & \ddots
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
1 & \tilde{s}_{10} & 1 \\
\tilde{s}_{20} & \tilde{s}_{21} & 1 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{pmatrix}$$

which shows

$$l_{i,j} = \tilde{s}_{i+1,j} + s_{i,j-1} + \sum_{k=j}^{i-1} s_{i,k} \tilde{s}_{k+1,j}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.12)

Moreover, since $S_1^{-1}$ is the inverse matrix of $S_1$, and notice $S_1$ and $S_1^{-1}$ are strictly lower triangular matrix with diagonals 1, we have

$$\tilde{s}_{i,j} = -s_{i,j} - \sum_{k=j+1}^{i-1} s_{i,k} \tilde{s}_{k,j},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.13)

In addition, from the 2d-Toda theory, we know

$$s_{i,j} = \frac{p_{i-j}(-\partial t)\tau_i(t,s)}{\tau_i(t,s)}.$$  

Therefore, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.7.** The main diagonal and sub-diagonal give rise to the C-Toda lattice

$$D_t \tilde{\tau}_{n+1} \cdot \tilde{\tau}_n = \tilde{\sigma}_n \tilde{\sigma}_n,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.14a)

$$D_t^2 \tilde{\tau}_{n+1} \cdot \tilde{\tau}_{n+1} = 4\tilde{\sigma}_n \tilde{\sigma}_{n+1},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.14b)

where $\tilde{\sigma}_n = \tilde{\tau}_n \sigma_n$ and $D_t$ is the standard Hirota operator defined by

$$D_t^n f(t) \cdot g(t) = \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n} f(t+x)g(t-x)|_{x=0}.$$

**Proof.** Firstly, we prove the equality (3.14a). From equation (3.12) and (3.13), one can demonstrate the elements of main diagonal can be written as

$$l_{j,j} = s_{j,j-1} + \tilde{s}_{j+1,j} = s_{j,j-1} - s_{j+1,j},$$

and as is indicated,

$$s_{j,j-1} = \frac{p_{1}(-\partial t)\tau_j(t,s)|_{s=-t}}{\tau_j(t,s)|_{s=-t}}.$$  

Furthermore, from the relations (3.3) and (3.4), one can express $s_{j,j-1}$ in terms of symmetric tau function as $s_{j,j-1} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial \tau_j \log \tilde{\tau}_j(t)$. Therefore, the main diagonal (3.11a) leads to

$$\partial \tau_j \log \frac{\tilde{\tau}_{j+1}}{\tilde{\tau}_j} \times \frac{\tilde{\tau}_{j+1}}{\tilde{\tau}_j} = \frac{\partial \sigma_j}{\tilde{\tau}_j^2},$$

which is indeed (3.14a).
Now we turn to equation (3.14b). From the equations

\[ l_{j+1,j} = s_{j+1,j-1} + s_{j+1,j} \hat{s}_{j+1,j} + \hat{s}_{j+2,j} = s_{j+1,j-1} - s_{j+2,j} + s_{j+2,j+1}s_{j+1,j} - s_{j+1,j}^2, \]

\[ s_{j+1,j-1} = \frac{p_2(\hat{\partial}_1 \tau_{j+1}(t,s)|_{s=-t})}{\tau_{j+1}(t,s)|_{s=-t}}, \]

one knows

\[ s_{j+1,j-1} - s_{j+2,j} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_{t_2}log}{\tau_{j+1}(t,s)|_{s=-t}} \frac{\tau_{j+2}(t,s)|_{s=-t}}{\tau_{j+1}(t,s)|_{s=-t}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_1^2 \tau_{j+1}(t,s)|_{s=-t}}{\tau_{j+1}(t,s)|_{s=-t}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_1^2 \tau_{j+2}(t,s)|_{s=-t}}{\tau_{j+2}(t,s)|_{s=-t}}. \]

It seems that \( t_2 \)-flow would involve in this equation. Interestingly, the \( t_2 \)-flow can be cancelled from the 2d-Toda hierarchy since \( \{\tau_n(t,s)|_{s=-t}\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) satisfy the modified KP hierarchy \cite{23}, whose first equation is \((D_{t_1}^2 + D_{t_2})\tau_{j+1}(t,s) \cdot \tau_{j+2}(t,s)|_{s=-t} = 0\). This equation implies

\[ \frac{\partial_{t_2}log}{\tau_{j+1}(t,s)|_{s=-t}} \frac{\tau_{j+2}(t,s)|_{s=-t}}{\tau_{j+1}(t,s)|_{s=-t}} + \frac{\partial_1^2 \tau_{j+1}(t,s)|_{s=-t}}{\tau_{j+1}(t,s)|_{s=-t}} - \frac{\partial_1^2 \tau_{j+2}(t,s)|_{s=-t}}{\tau_{j+2}(t,s)|_{s=-t}}. \]

and therefore

\[ l_{j+1,j} = \tau_{j+1}(t,s)|_{s=-t} \frac{\partial_1^2 \tau_{j+1}(t,s)|_{s=-t}}{\tau_{j+1}(t,s)|_{s=-t}} - \frac{\partial_1 \tau_{j+1}(t,s)|_{s=-t}^2}{\tau_{j+1}(t,s)|_{s=-t}}. \]

(3.15)

By using the derivatives among \( \tau_j(t) \) and \( \tau_j(t,s)|_{s=-t} \) in (3.3)-(3.5), we finally arrive at

\[ l_{j+1,j} = \frac{1}{2} D_{t_1}^2 \frac{\tau_{j+1}(t,s)}{\tau_{j+1}^2} - \frac{\tau_{j+1}(t,s)^2}{\tau_{j+1}^2}. \]

By taking \( l_{j+1,j} \) into equation (3.11b), the second equation of C-Toda lattice can be obtained. \( \Box \)

One should notice: the rank one shift condition is one of the necessary conditions to find these quadralinear equations, and the other is the symmetric reduction on the \( \tau \)-function of 2d-Toda hierarchy. These two aspects, could be regarded as the spectral problem and time evolutions of the C-Toda hierarchy respectively, which are the inherent structures of integrability and we’d like to give an explanation in subsection 3.4.

3.3. rank one shift condition revisited: polynomial case. In fact, the rank one shift condition has a natural explanation in polynomial sense. If we denote the moment matrix \( m_\infty = (m_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \), composed by moments generated by Cauchy kernel as

\[ m_{i,j} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{x^i y^j}{x+y} \omega(x)\omega(y)dx dy, \]

(3.16)

then from the rank one shift condition (3.8), one knows \( \{\alpha_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \) admit the form

\[ \alpha_i = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^i \omega(x)dx. \]

(3.17)

In this case, we always assume the weight function \( \omega(x) \) decays so fast when \( x \to \infty \) that the moments are nonsingular. As a way of illustration, in \cite{11}, \( \omega(x) \) is taken as the Laguerre weight, and the corresponding Cauchy-Laguerre polynomials are considered, with the asymptotic behaviour depicted by Meijer G-function.
At the same time, these moments in (3.16) could be interpreted by the symmetric bilinear inner product \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) mapping \( \mathbb{R}[x] \times \mathbb{R}[y] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \), such that
\[
\langle x^i, y^j \rangle := m_{i,j} = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{x^i y^j}{x+y} \omega(x) \omega(y) dx dy.
\] (3.18)

Under the bilinear inner product, a family of polynomials \( \{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) satisfying the orthogonal relation
\[
\langle P_n(x), P_m(y) \rangle = h_n \delta_{m,n}, \quad h_n = \frac{\det(m_{i,j})_{i,j=0}^n}{\det(m_{i,j})_{i,j=0}^{n+1}}
\]
could be introduced. We call this family of polynomials the symmetric Cauchy bi-orthogonal polynomials since \( m_{i,j} = m_{j,i} \) and a determinant expression of these polynomials could be found in [26].

**Remark 3.8.** In this paper, we mainly consider the symmetric reduction of moment matrix, therefore from the Cholesky decomposition we know the dressing operator can be chosen as the same upon a constant factor. Therefore, the two family of Cauchy bi-orthogonal polynomials in this case is reduced to one family of polynomials. This is a special case of Cauchy bi-orthogonal polynomials motivated by the structure of symmetric tau functions.

To be consistent with the time evolution (3.9), from now on, we assume \( \omega(x) \) is dependent on time parameters \( \{t_k, k = 1, 2, \cdots\} \) with evolutions \( \partial_{t_k} \omega(x; t) = x^k \omega(x; t) \). Furthermore, it should be pointed out that if the time-dependent moments are chosen as
\[
m_{i,j} = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{x^i y^j}{x+y} \omega(x; t) \omega(y; t) dx dy,
\]
then from the definition of tau function, one knows
\[
\tau_n = \det(m_{i,j})_{i,j=0}^{n-1} = \det \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{x^i y^j}{x+y} \omega(x; t) \omega(y; t) dx dy \right)_{i,j=0}^{n-1}.
\]

By using the Andréief formula, one can show
\[
\tau_n = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon(\sigma) \prod_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} x_{\sigma_j}^{j-1} y_{\sigma_j}^{j-1} \frac{1}{x_j + y_j} \omega(x_j; t) \omega(y_j; t) dx_j dy_j
\]
\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^n} \Delta(x) \prod_{j=1}^n y_{\sigma_j}^{j-1} \frac{1}{x_j + y_j} \omega(x_j; t) \omega(y_j; t) dx_j dy_j
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon(\sigma) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^n} \Delta(x) \prod_{j=1}^n y_{\sigma_j}^{j-1} \frac{1}{x_j + y_j} \omega(x_j; t) \omega(y_j; t) dx_j dy_j
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^n} \det \left[ \frac{1}{x_i + y_j} \right]_{i,j=1}^n \Delta(x) \Delta(y) \prod_{i=1}^n \omega(x_i; t) \omega(y_i; t) dx_i dy_i,
\]
which is the time-dependent partition function of Cauchy two-matrix model. Moreover, the Cauchy bi-orthogonal polynomials could be written in terms of dressing operator.

**Proposition 3.9.** We have
\[
P_n(x) = (S_1 \chi(x))_n,
\]
where \( (S_1 \chi(x))_n \) is the \( (n+1) \)-th component of the vector \( S_1 \chi(x) \).
With this expression, one can show the explicit expression for the $\sigma$ as

$$\sigma = S_1 \alpha = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_n(x) \omega(x) dx \right)_{n \geq 0}$$

if equation (3.17) is taken into account. Now that $\sigma$ is a column vector, one can write it as a diagonal matrix acting on a vector composed by 1, denoting as $\sigma = D_\sigma \mathbb{1}$. Therefore, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.10.** The equation (3.10) is equivalent to

$$(\Lambda - Id)D_{\sigma}^{-1}L_1h + (\Lambda - Id)D_{\sigma}^{-1}hL_1^\top = 0.$$  

*Proof.* Since $\mathbb{1}$ is the null vector of $(\Lambda - Id)$, then one can immediately obtain this equation by acting $(\Lambda - Id)D_{\sigma}^{-1}$ on both sides of equation (3.10). \(\square\)

Moreover, if we denote a matrix-value operator

$$A := (\Lambda - Id)D_{\sigma}^{-1}L_1h,$$

then $A \in M_{[-1,2]}$, where $M_{[-1,2]}$ is the set of band matrices with one sub-diagonals and two off-diagonals. It is because $L_1 = S_1 \Lambda S_1^{-1}$ is a lower Hessenberg matrix, we know $(\Lambda - Id)D_{\sigma}^{-1}L_1h$ has two off-diagonals while $(\Lambda - Id)D_{\sigma}^{-1}hL_1^\top$ is still an upper Hessenberg matrix, from which we could conclude $(\Lambda - Id)D_{\sigma}^{-1}L_1h$ belongs to $M_{[-1,2]}$. This fact implies a four term recurrence relation or a $3 \times 3$ spectral problem.

**Corollary 3.11.** The spectral problem $L_1 \Phi = z \Phi$ could be decomposed into

$$z(\Lambda - Id)D_{\sigma}^{-1}\Phi = Ah^{-1}\Phi.$$  

(3.19)

As has been indicated in 2d-Toada theory, the Lax matrix is usually a nonlocal upper Hessenberg matrix and is difficult to analyse, while under the rank one shift condition, this spectral problem could be decomposed into a local $3 \times 3$ spectral problem and some further explanations would be made in the sequent part.

### 3.4. Lax integrability of the C-Toada lattice.

It has been shown that the rank one shift condition gives rise to a local spectral problem and the involvement of time parameters indicates an evolutionary equation. In this part, we want to go further with the Lax representation for the C-Toada hierarchy. Under the constraint ‘$s = -t$’, the moment matrix $m_\infty$ now is dependent on time variables $\{t_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ and it satisfies the time evolution

$$\partial_{t_n}m_\infty = \Lambda^n m_\infty + m_\infty \Lambda^\top.$$  

(3.20)

From the time-dependent Borel decomposition $m_\infty(t) = S_1^{-1}(t)S_2(t)$ and define $L_1(t) = S_1(t)\Lambda S_1^{-1}(t)$, $L_2(t) = S_2(t)\Lambda^\top S_2^{-1}(t)$, we know

$$S_1 \frac{\partial m_\infty}{\partial t_n} S_2^{-1} = L_1^n + L_2^n = - \frac{\partial S_1}{\partial t_n} S_1^{-1} + \frac{\partial S_2}{\partial t_n} S_2^{-1} \in g_- \oplus g_+,$$

where $g_-$ (respectively $g_+$) is the Lie algebra of strictly lower triangular matrices (resp. Lie algebra of upper triangular matrices). Therefore, according to the Lie algebra splitting, one could obtain

$$\frac{\partial S_1}{\partial t_n} = -(L_1^n + L_2^n)_-, \quad \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial t_n} = [-(L_1^n + L_2^n)_-, L_1].$$  

(3.21)
As has been shown in Proposition 3.1, we know \( S^{-1}_1(t) = S^T_2(t)h^{-1}(t) \) if the moment matrix is symmetric and under the constraint \( \{'s = -t' \). This fact leads to the relation \( L_1 = hL^T_2 h^{-1} \), where \( h \) is a diagonal matrix admitting the form \( h = \text{diag}\{h_0, h_1, \cdots\} \) with \( h_n = \frac{\tau_{n+1}}{\tau_n} \).

Therefore, the Lax equation (3.21) could be re-expressed as

\[
\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial t_n} = -\left( L^n_1 + hL^T_1 n h^{-1}\right)_-, L_1, \tag{3.22}
\]
dependent on Lax operator \( L_1 \) and a diagonal matrix \( h \). Now, we try to give an explicit expression for the Lax operator \( L_1 \) in terms of nonlinear variables (or symmetric tau-functions introduced before). With the help of bilinear inner product (3.18), time evolution (3.20) and rank one shift condition (3.10), we could obtain the following four-term recurrence relation and time evolution for the Cauchy bi-orthogonal polynomials [26, Equation 2.4, Equation 3.2]

\[
x(P_{n+1}(x; t) + a_n P_n(x; t)) = P_{n+2}(x; t) + b_n P_{n+1}(x; t) + c_n P_n(x; t) + d_n P_{n-1}(x; t),
\]

\[
\partial_{t_1} P_{n+1}(x; t) + a_n \partial_{t_1} P_n(x; t) = a_n \partial_{t_1} (\log h_n) P_n(x; t) \tag{3.23}
\]

with the coefficients

\[
a_n = -\frac{\sigma_{n+1}}{\sigma_{n} \tau_{n+1}}, \quad b_n = a_n + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{t_1} \log h_{n+1}, \quad c_n = -\frac{h_{n+1}}{h_n} - \frac{1}{2} a_n \partial_{t_1} \log h_n, \quad d_n = -a_n \frac{h_n}{h_{n-1}}.
\]

If we denote \( a = \text{diag} (a_1, a_2, \cdots) \), \( b = \text{diag}(b_0, b_1, \cdots) \), \( c = \text{diag}(c_1, c_2, \cdots) \) and \( d = \text{diag}(d_2, d_3, \cdots) \), then the above recurrence relation and time evolution could be written as

\[
(L^0 + \Lambda^{-1} a)xP(x; t) = (L^1 + \Lambda^0 b + \Lambda^{-1} c + \Lambda^{-1} d) P(x; t), \tag{3.24a}
\]

\[
(L^0 + \Lambda^{-1} a)\partial_{t_1} P(x; t) = (\Lambda^{-1} e) P(x; t) \tag{3.24b}
\]

with \( e = \text{diag}(e_1, e_2, \cdots) \) and \( e_n = 2(b_{n-1} - a_{n-1})a_n \). Here the equation (3.24a) is another equivalent form of Proposition 3.11. From the explicit expressions for the symmetric Cauchy biorthogonal polynomials, we can state the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.12.** The Lax matrix of C-Toda lattice admits the expression

\[
L_1 = (L^0 + \Lambda^{-1} a)^{-1}(L^1 + \Lambda^0 b + \Lambda^{-1} c + \Lambda^{-1} d).
\]

Moreover, there exists

\[
(L^0 + \Lambda^{-1} a)^{-1}(\Lambda^{-1} e) = -(L_1 + hL^T_1 h^{-1})_-. \]

**Proof.** The first equation is obvious since the Cauchy bi-orthogonal polynomials can be viewed as a modification of the wave function and thus the spectral operator \( L_1 \) can be characterised by the four term recurrence relation. The only thing we need to verify is to show the second equality, i.e.

\[
\{(L^0 + \Lambda^{-1} a)^{-1}(L^1 + \Lambda^0 b + \Lambda^{-1} c + \Lambda^{-1} d) + h\Lambda^{-1} h^{-1}\}_{\Lambda<0} = -(L^0 + \Lambda^{-1} a)^{-1}(\Lambda^{-1} e)
\]

after taking the exact value of \( L_1 \). Equally, we plan to show

\[
\left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-\Lambda^{-1} a)^j (L^1 + \Lambda^0 b + \Lambda^{-1} c + \Lambda^{-1} d) + h\Lambda^{-1} h^{-1} \right\}_{\Lambda<0} = -\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-\Lambda^{-1} a)^j (\Lambda^{-1} e).
\]

For the \( \Lambda^{-1} \) term, it is to verify

\[- \Lambda^{-1} e = \Lambda^{-1} a \Lambda^{-1} a \Lambda^1 - \Lambda^{-1} a \Lambda^0 b + \Lambda^{-1} c + \Lambda^{-1} h^{-1}, \]
and it is equal to verify

\[-e_{n-1} = a_{n-1}a_{n-2} - a_{n-1}b_{n-2} + c_{n-1} + h_n h_{n-1}^{-1}\]

By taking the expressions of the coefficients in (3.23), it is easy to find the equation is valid.

For the reminding parts, firstly, notice that for \( j \geq 1 \),

\[-( - \Lambda^{-1} a)^j \Lambda^{-1} e = ( - \Lambda^{-1} a)^j + 2 \Lambda^1 + ( - \Lambda^{-1} a)^j - ( - \Lambda^{-1} a)^j - 1 \Lambda^{-2} d\]

could be expressed as

\[a_{n-1}e_{n-2} = -a_{n-1}a_{n-2}a_{n-3} + a_{n-1}a_{n-2}b_{n-3} - a_{n-1}c_{n-2} + d_{n-1}\]

if we make a cancellation of the highest order term and make a shift of the index. Moreover, this equation could be verified if the values of the coefficients are taken, and thus complete this proof. \(\square\)

Remark 3.13. This hierarchy is interesting in two folds. One is in the classical integrable system theory. As is shown in [15], the first member of the hierarchy could be viewed as the continuum limit of the full discrete CKP equation, and therefore, this hierarchy in some sense could be viewed as a discrete CKP hierarchy. The second is pointed out in [38], in which the Lax matrix of the form

\[L = (\Lambda - a_{l+2})^{-1}(\Lambda^{k+1} + a_1 \Lambda^k + \cdots + a_{l+1} \Lambda^{k-1}), \quad 1 \leq k < l\]

plays an important role when studying the Frobenius manifold isomorphic to the orbit space of the extended affine Weyl group \(\tilde{W}^{(k,k+1)}(A_l)\). One can see when \( k = 1 \) and \( l = 2 \), this Lax matrix implies a four term recurrence relationship of bi-orthogonal polynomials and Cauchy bi-orthogonal polynomials provides a reasonable example in this case.

At the end of this section, we would like to remark that the Cholesky decomposition discussed in Section 3.1.3 could result in an equivalent Lax representation for this hierarchy. As before, consider \( m_\infty = S^{-1} S^{-\top} \) with \( S \) the lower triangular matrix with nonzero diagonals. By defining the Lax matrix \( L = SAS^{-1} \) and from the commuting vector field (3.20), one could obtain

\[-\frac{\partial S}{\partial t_n} S^{-1} - \frac{\partial S^\top}{\partial t_n} S^{-\top} = L^n + L^{\top n}.\]

Note that \( S \) and \( S^\top \) admit the same diagonals, therefore, from the Lie algebra decomposition, one has

\[\frac{\partial S}{\partial t_n} = -[ (L^n)_- + (L^{\top n})_+ + (L^n)_0] S,\]

where \(-\) and \(0\) stand for the strictly lower triangular and diagonal part of the matrix respectively.

4. Skew symmetric reduction and B-Toda hierarchy

In this section, we would like to consider skew symmetric reduction to the moment matrix although some interesting results have been shown in [1, 5, 7]. In Subsection 4.1, we would like to give a review about skew symmetric reduction and restate the basic ideas about the skew Borel decomposition and the concept of skew orthogonal polynomials. The results are important in two aspects. One is that the Pfaff lattice hierarchy could be embedded into 2d-Toda hierarchy and the second is due to the explicit connection between Dyson’s unitary matrix model and the symmetric,
symplectic random ensembles, showing the relationship between orthogonal polynomials and skew orthogonal polynomials, and further to the relations between the wave functions of Pfaff lattice and Toda lattice \cite{4, 5}. Besides, in \cite{24}, the authors have found a large BKP hierarchy and demonstrated later \cite{35} that the Pfaff lattice hierarchy should be a subclass of the large BKP hierarchy with only even indexed tau functions. Recently, in \cite{14} the authors showed if the odd indexed Pfaffian tau functions are introduced, some integrable lattices could be derived with the concept of partial skew orthogonal polynomials. Therefore, in Subsection 4.2, we give some new properties about partial skew orthogonal polynomials, from which an alternative expressions for the odd indexed wave functions for Pfaff lattice hierarchy is provided, thus proving the large BKP hierarchy and the Pfaff lattice hierarchy are exactly the same. However, these tau functions are not the ones of BKP hierarchy in Hirota’s sense since the BKP hierarchy’s tau functions should satisfy the derivative law of Gram-type Pfaffian, which motivates us to consider the rank two shift condition here. In Subsection 4.3, we consider the rank two shift condition for the skew symmetric case in details and embed them into 2d-Toda theory. It is shown the B-Toda lattice is the first nontrivial example in this case which is not listed in the large BKP hierarchy. Therefore, at the end of this section, we put more efforts in the rank two shift condition and give a novel hierarchy under this condition. A recurrence relation for the particular partial skew orthogonal polynomials is given in terms of Fay identity and some discussions about the Lax integrability are made at the end.

4.1. The skew-symmetric reduction on 2d-Toda hierarchy. Consider a skew symmetric moment matrix \( m_{2k} \) of the block form

\[
m_{2k} = \begin{bmatrix} M_1 & -C^T \\ C & M_2 \end{bmatrix},
\]

where \( M_1 \) is a \( 2 \times 2 \) skew symmetric matrix whose off-diagonal is nonzero, \( M_2 \) is a \( (2k-2) \times (2k-2) \) skew symmetric matrix and \( C \) is a \( (2k-2) \times 2 \) matrix. By applying the decomposition

\[
\begin{bmatrix} M_1 & -C^T \\ C & M_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I \\ CM_1^{-1} I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} M_1 & M_2 + CM_1^{-1}C^T \\ I \\ -M_1^{-1}C^T \end{bmatrix},
\]

and iterating the process, one can see the skew symmetric moment matrix with even order can be decomposed into the form \( m_{\infty} = S^{-1}hS^{-\top} \), where

\[
S \in \{ A | A \text{ is a strictly lower triangular matrix in the sense of } 2 \times 2 \text{ block matrix}\},
\]

\[
h = \text{diag}\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & h_0 \\ -h_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & h_1 \\ -h_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \cdots \right\}, \quad h_n = \frac{\tilde{\tau}_{2n+2}}{\tilde{\tau}_{2n}}, \quad \tilde{\tau}_{2n} = \text{Pf}(m_{i,j})_{i,j=0}^{2n-1} \quad (4.1)
\]

and with the notation \( \tau_0 = 1 \).

**Remark 4.1.** In fact, this kind of decomposition has been considered in \cite{1, 7} while they put more emphasis on the standard decomposition \( m_{\infty} = \hat{S}^{-1}J\hat{S}^{-\top} \), where \( J \) is the matrix of the form

\[
J = \text{diag}(J_{2 \times 2}, J_{2 \times 2}, \cdots), \quad J_{2 \times 2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

and \( \hat{S} \) is the lower triangular block matrix with nonzero diagonals. This standard decomposition is called the skew Borel decomposition and corresponds to the Lie algebra splitting \( \mathfrak{gl}_{\infty} = k \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(\infty) \),
where \( k \) is the Lie algebra of lower triangular matrix with diagonals (in the sense of \( 2 \times 2 \) block matrix) and \( \text{sp}(\infty) \) is the Lie algebra of Symplectic group.

There have been two main results already known from this skew symmetric LU decomposition. One is to construct the skew-orthogonal polynomials and corresponding Christoffel-Darboux kernel [1, 17]. Starting from the dressing operator \( S \), one can set a family of polynomials \( \{P_n(x)\}_{n \geq 0} \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
(1) & \quad P(x) = S \chi(x); \\
(2) & \quad \langle P(x), P^\top(y) \rangle = h,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( P(x) \) is the vector of skew orthogonal polynomials \( P(x) = (P_0(x), P_1(x), \cdots)^\top \) and \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) is a skew symmetric inner product defined by \( \langle x^i, y^j \rangle = m_{i,j} \) such that \( m_{i,j} = -m_{j,i} \). It has been shown that the family of skew orthogonal polynomials could be written in explicit Pfaffian expressions [1, 14, 17]

\[
P_{2n}(x) = \frac{1}{\tau_{2n}} \text{Pf}(0, \cdots, 2n, x), \quad P_{2n+1}(x) = \frac{1}{\tau_{2n}} \text{Pf}(0, \cdots, 2n - 1, 2n + 1, x),
\]

where \( \tau_{2n} = \text{Pf}(0, \cdots, 2n - 1), \text{Pf}(i, j) = m_{i,j} \) and \( \text{Pf}(i, x) = x^i \).

Another result is about the evolutions. Consider the moment matrix admits the form

\[
m_{\infty}(t, s) = e^{\sum_{i=1}^n t_i \Lambda_i} m_{\infty}(0, 0) e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n s_i \Lambda_i^\top}
\]

with initial moment matrix \( m_{\infty}^\top(0, 0) = -m_{\infty}(0, 0). \) Under the constraint \( s = -t \), again we have the commuting vector field

\[
\partial_{n^*} m_{\infty} = \Lambda^n m_{\infty} + m_{\infty} \Lambda^\top^n,
\]

and the skew orthogonal polynomials have the relation

\[
(z + \partial_t) (\tau_{2n} P_{2n}(x; t)) = \tau_{2n} P_{2n+1}(x; t).
\]

Moreover, the skew symmetric tau functions \( \{\tau_{2n}(t)\}_{n \geq 0} \) defined in (4.1) have the following connections with 2d-Toda’s tau functions \( \{\tau_n(t, s)\}_{n \geq 0} \) via relations (see [7, Theorem 2.2])

\[
\begin{align*}
\tau_{2n}(t + [\alpha] - [\beta], -t) & = \tau_{2n}(t) \tau_{2n}(t + [\alpha] - [\beta]), \\
\tau_{2n+1}(t + [\alpha] - [\beta], -t) & = (\alpha - \beta) \tau_{2n}(t - [\beta]) \tau_{2n+1}(t + [\alpha])
\end{align*}
\]

by making use of the same manner mentioned in Proposition 3.2. Furthermore, by expanding these tau functions in terms of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) and compare the coefficients, one can show

\[
\begin{align*}
p_k(\partial_t) p_\ell(-\partial_t) \tau_{2n}(t, s) |_{s = -t} & = \tau_{2n}(t) p_k(\partial_t) p_\ell(-\partial_t) \tau_{2n}(t), \\
p_k(\partial_t) p_\ell(-\partial_t) \tau_{2n+1}(t, s) |_{s = -t} & = p_\ell(-\partial_t) \tau_{2n}(t) p_k(-\partial_t) \tau_{2n+1}(t) - p_\ell(-\partial_t) \tau_{2n+1}(t) p_k(\partial_t) \tau_{2n+1}(t).
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore, these relations result in the Pfaff lattice hierarchy [7] (or DKP hierarchy [23])

\[
\begin{align*}
0 & = \oint_{C_{\infty}} \tau_{2n}(t - [z^{-1}]) \tau_{2m+2}(t' + [z^{-1}]) e^{\xi(t-t', z) z^{2n-2m-2}} dz, \\
& \quad + \oint_{C_0} \tau_{2n+2}(t + [z]) \tau_{2m}(t' - [z]) e^{\xi(t'-t, z^{-1}) z^{2n-2m}} dz.
\end{align*}
\]

Interestingly, this bilinear identity could be written in terms of the wave functions

\[
\oint_{C_{\infty}} \Phi_1(t; z) \otimes h^{-1} \Phi_2(t'; z^{-1}) \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} + \oint_{C_0} \Phi_2(t; z) \otimes h^{-1} \Phi_1(t'; z^{-1}) \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} = 0.
\]
where wave functions $\Phi_1$ and $\Phi_2$ are defined by

$$
\Phi_1(t, z) = e^{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i \Lambda^i} S(t) \chi(z), \quad \Phi_2(t, z) = h(t) e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i \Lambda^i} S(t) \chi(z).
$$

It should be mentioned that with the explicit expressions of wave functions [5, Theorem 3.2]

$$
\Phi_{1,2n}(t, z) = e^{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i z^i} 2n \tau_{2n}(t - \left[\frac{1}{z}\right]), \quad (4.9a)
$$

$$
\Phi_{1,2n+1}(t, z) = e^{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i z^i} 2n \tau_{2n}(t - \left[\frac{1}{z}\right]), \quad (4.9b)
$$

$$
\Phi_{2,2n}(t, z) = e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i z^{-i}} 2n+1 h_n \tau_{2n+2}(t + \left[\frac{z}{2}\right]), \quad (4.9c)
$$

$$
\Phi_{2,2n+1}(t, z) = -e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i z^{-i}} 2n+1 h_n \tau_{2n+2}(t + \left[\frac{z}{2}\right]), \quad (4.9d)
$$

the Pfaff lattice (4.7) could be obtained by taking $\Phi_{1,2n}$ and $\Phi_{2,2m}$ into (4.8). The equations regarding $\Phi_{1,2n+1}$ and $\Phi_{2,2m+1}$ are valid if one takes $t_1$-derivative or $t'_1$-derivative on both sides of $\Phi_{1,2n}$ and $\Phi_{2,2m}$, and employs equation (4.5).

Although these tau functions could be regarded as the most general case regarding the skew symmetric reduction, there should be another family of the tau functions about the odd-number index, which has been pointed out in [35], to find out the tau functions of the large BKP hierarchy (or $D_\infty$ hierarchy in Sato school’s frame [23, Section 7]). In fact, there have been many discussions about the odd-indexed Pfaffian tau functions [22, 31, 36], but few makes contributions to the wave functions. In the next parts, we would like to show the odd indexed Pfaffian tau functions would provide an alternative expressions for the odd indexed wave functions, and therefore to show the wave equations (4.8) regarding (4.9b) and (4.9d) would lead to the other cases of large BKP hierarchy.

4.2. partial skew orthogonal polynomials, skew orthogonal polynomials and large BKP hierarchy. Unlike the free charged fermions whose Fock space is generated by a single vacuum state, the Fock space of neutral fermions are generated by two different vacuum state—vac and $\phi_0|\text{vac}\rangle$ [23, 37]. Therefore, the Fermion-Boson correspondence tells us the tau functions of the BKP and DKP hierarchy should be labelled by even and odd numbers respectively, and moreover these two families of tau functions should have the different algebraic structures. Therefore, in [22, 31, 36], the authors have demonstrated the odd-indexed Pfaffian tau functions $\{\hat{\tau}_{2n+1}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for the BKP hierarchy or large BKP hierarchy from different perspectives. It is natural to ask what are the roles they play in the polynomials theory and this is the motivation to propose the concept of partial skew orthogonal polynomials in the paper [14].

Let’s consider a skew symmetric bilinear inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ from $\mathbb{R}[x] \times \mathbb{R}[y] \to \mathbb{R}$, then the partial skew orthogonal polynomials $\{\hat{P}_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are defined under the this inner product by

$$
\hat{P}_{2n}(x) = \frac{1}{\tau_{2n}} \mathrm{Pf} \begin{pmatrix} m_{i,j} & x^i \\ -x^j & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{i,j=0}^{2n}, \quad \hat{\tau}_{2n} = \mathrm{Pf}(m_{i,j})_{i,j=0}^{2n-1}
$$

$$
\hat{P}_{2n+1}(x) = \frac{1}{\tau_{2n+1}} \mathrm{Pf} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_j \\ -\alpha_i & m_{i,j} \end{pmatrix}_{i,j=0}^{2n+1}, \quad \hat{\tau}_{2n+1} = \mathrm{Pf} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_i \\ -\alpha_j & m_{i,j} \end{pmatrix}_{i,j=0}^{2n}, \quad (4.10)
$$
where \( m_{i,j} = \langle x^i, y^j \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^i y^j \omega(x,y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \) with \( \omega(x,y) = -\omega(y,x) \), \( \alpha_i = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^i d\mu(x) \) and the supports of measure \( d\mu(x) \) decay fast at the boundary \( \partial \Gamma \). It is remarkable some specified choices of \( \omega(x,y) \) have been indicated in [31], motivated by combinatorics, quantum Hall effect as well as different random matrix ensembles in cases of Mehta-Pandey interpolating ensemble, matrix models with orthogonal and symplectic symmetries, Bures ensemble, and so on. Later on, for simplicity, we denote \( \text{Pf}(i,j) = m_{i,j}, \text{Pf}(d_0, i) = \alpha_i, \text{Pf}(i, x) = x^i \) and \( \text{Pf}(d_0, x) = 0 \), and thus the notations in (4.10) could be alternatively written as

\[
\hat{P}_{2n}(x) = \frac{1}{\hat{\tau}_{2n}} \text{Pf}(0, \ldots, 2n, x), \quad \hat{P}_{2n+1}(x) = \frac{1}{\hat{\tau}_{2n+1}} \text{Pf}(d_0, 0, \ldots, 2n + 1, x)
\]

with \( \hat{\tau}_{2n} = \text{Pf}(0, \ldots, 2n - 1) \) and \( \hat{\tau}_{2n+1} = \text{Pf}(d_0, 0, \ldots, 2n) \).

Firstly, we’d like to give some properties about the partial skew orthogonal polynomials and their connections with skew orthogonal polynomials.

**Proposition 4.2.** Under the skew symmetric inner product \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \), one has

\[
\langle \hat{P}_{2n}(x), \hat{P}_{2m}(y) \rangle = \langle \hat{P}_{2n+1}(x), \hat{P}_{2m+1}(y) \rangle = 0,
\]

\[
\langle \hat{P}_{2n}(x), \hat{P}_{2m+1}(y) \rangle = \frac{\hat{\tau}_{2n+2}}{\hat{\tau}_{2n}} \delta_{n,m}, \quad \langle \hat{P}_{2n+1}(x), \hat{P}_{2m}(y) \rangle = -\frac{\hat{\tau}_{2n+2}}{\hat{\tau}_{2n+1}} \frac{\hat{\tau}_{2m+1}}{\hat{\tau}_{2m}}, \quad m \leq n.
\]

**Proof.** The proof of this proposition is based on the basic expansion of Pfaffians. Noting that

\[
\langle \hat{P}_{2n}(x), \hat{P}_{2m}(y) \rangle = \frac{1}{\hat{\tau}_{2n} \hat{\tau}_{2m}} \sum_{i=0}^{2n} \sum_{j=0}^{2m} (-1)^{i+j} \langle x^i, y^j \rangle \text{Pf}(0, \ldots, \hat{i}, \ldots, 2n) \text{Pf}(0, \ldots, \hat{j}, \ldots, 2m)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\hat{\tau}_{2n} \hat{\tau}_{2m}} \sum_{i=0}^{2n} (-1)^i \text{Pf}(0, \ldots, \hat{i}, \ldots, 2n) \text{Pf}(0, \ldots, 2m, i)
\]

where \( \hat{i} \) means the missing of the index. The last equation is equal to zero if \( n \leq m \) and according to the skew symmetry, we know \( \langle \hat{P}_{2n}(x), \hat{P}_{2m}(y) \rangle = 0 \) for all \( n, m \in \mathbb{N} \). With the same manner one can prove the remaining formulas and we omit it here. \( \square \)

Therefore, there exists a strictly lower triangular matrix \( \hat{S} \), such that

\[
m_\infty = \hat{S}^{-1} \hat{h} \hat{S}^{-\top}, \quad \hat{h} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \hat{p}_x & 0 & \hat{p}_{x \hat{S}} & \cdots \\ -\hat{p}_x & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \hat{p}_x & \cdots \\ -\hat{p}_{x \hat{S}} & 0 & -\hat{p}_x & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}
\]

(4.11)

and vector of partial skew orthogonal polynomials can be written as \( \hat{P}(x) = \hat{S} \chi(x) \). From the skew Borel decomposition \( m_\infty = S^{-1} h S^{-\top} \), we know \( \hat{h} \) and \( h \) are congruent via the equation \( (S \hat{S}^{-1}) \hat{h} (S \hat{S}^{-1})^\top = h \). Moreover, there exists a relation between skew orthogonal polynomials \( \{ P_n(x) \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) and partial skew orthogonal polynomials \( \{ \hat{P}_n(x) \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \)

\[
P(x) = S \chi(x) = (S \hat{S}^{-1}) \hat{S} \chi(x) = (S \hat{S}^{-1}) \hat{P}(x).
\]

In what follows, we would like to show what \( S \hat{S}^{-1} \) is.
Proposition 4.3. For the skew orthogonal polynomials \( \{P_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) and partial skew orthogonal polynomials \( \{\tilde{P}_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \), there exist
\[
P_{2n}(x) = \tilde{P}_{2n}(x), \quad P_{2n+1}(x) = \tilde{P}_{2n+1}(x) + \alpha_n \tilde{P}_{2n}(x) + \beta_n \tilde{P}_{2n-1}(x)
\]
with proper coefficients \( \alpha_n \) and \( \beta_n \).

Proof. It is obvious that even families of polynomials are the same in these two cases according to the definitions of Pfaffian elements. For the odd-indexed polynomials, we need to use the Pfaffian identity
\[
\text{Pf}(d_0, \ast, 2n, 2n+1, x) \text{Pf}(\ast) = \text{Pf}(d_0, \ast, 2n, \ast, 2n+1, x) \text{Pf}(\ast, 2n, x) - \text{Pf}(d_0, \ast, 2n+1, x) \text{Pf}(\ast, 2n, 2n+1) \]
with \( \{\ast\} = \{0, \cdots, 2n-1\} \). By taking the explicit expressions of these Pfaffians in (4.3) and (4.10), we make this proof completed with
\[
\alpha_n = \frac{\text{Pf}(d_0, 0, \cdots, 2n-1, 2n+1)}{\tilde{\tau}_{2n+1}}, \quad \beta_n = -\frac{\tilde{\tau}_{2n+2} \tilde{\tau}_{2n-1}}{\tilde{\tau}_{2n} \tilde{\tau}_{2n+1}}.
\] (4.12)

Following this proposition, one can show
\[
S\tilde{S}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & \alpha_0 & 1 \\ 
0 & 0 & 1 \\ 
0 & \beta_1 & \alpha_1 & 1 \\ 
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{pmatrix}
\]
and denote this matrix as \( \mathcal{A} \). In addition, if we introduce the Lax operator \( L = S\Lambda S^{-1} \) and operator \( \tilde{L} = \tilde{S}\Lambda\tilde{S}^{-1} \), then we have the following relation between these two operators
\[
L = \mathcal{A}\tilde{L}\mathcal{A}^{-1}.
\] (4.13)

Next, we would introduce the time parameters and assume the commuting vector fields \( \partial_{\alpha_n} m_\infty = \Lambda^n m_\infty + m_\infty \Lambda^n \), which connects the partial skew orthogonal polynomials (wave functions) with tau function.

Proposition 4.4. With the time evolutions \( \partial_{\alpha_n} m_\infty = \Lambda^n m_\infty + m_\infty \Lambda^n \) and \( \partial_{\alpha_n} \alpha = \Lambda^n \alpha \), we have
\[
\tilde{P}_n(x; t) = \frac{\tau_n(t - [x^{-1}])}{\tilde{\tau}_n(t)} x^n.
\] (4.14)

Proof. It is known that
\[
\tilde{P}_n(x; t) = \frac{e^{\xi(\partial_{\alpha_n} x^{-1})} \tilde{\tau}(t)}{\tilde{\tau}_n(t)} x^n = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{p_k(-\tilde{\partial}_{\tilde{\alpha_n}} \tilde{\tau}_n)}{\tilde{\tau}_n} x^{n-k},
\]
where $p_k$ is the Schur function. Moreover, by the use of the time evolutions condition, one knows [19, Equation 3.84]

$$
\partial_{t_j} \text{Pf}(0, \cdots, 2n-1) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \text{Pf}(0, \cdots, k+j, \cdots, 2n-1),
$$

$$
\partial_{t_j} \text{Pf}(d_0, 0, \cdots, 2n) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \text{Pf}(d_0, 0, \cdots, k+j, \cdots, 2n).
$$

Therefore, by expansion the Schur function, one can demonstrate

$$
p_k(-\partial_t)\hat{\tau}_{2n} = (-1)^k \text{Pf}(0, \cdots, 2n-k, \cdots, 2n),$$

$$
p_k(-\partial_t)\hat{\tau}_{2n+1} = (-1)^k \text{Pf}(d_0, 0, \cdots, 2n+1-k, 2n+1)
$$

and $p_k(-\partial_t)\hat{\tau}_n = 0$ if $k > n$. This corresponds to the expansion of the skew orthogonal polynomials in (4.10).

Therefore, we know $\alpha_n$ in (4.12) could be easily expressed as $\alpha_n = \partial_t \log \hat{\tau}_{2n+1}$ and moreover, the equation (4.5) and Proposition 4.3 imply

$$
(x + \partial_{t_1}) \hat{P}_{2n}(x; t) = \hat{P}_{2n+1}(x; t) + \partial_{t_1} \log \frac{\hat{\tau}_{2n+1}}{\hat{\tau}_{2n}} \hat{P}_{2n}(x; t) - \frac{\hat{\tau}_{2n+2} \hat{\tau}_{2n-1}}{\hat{\tau}_{2n+1} \hat{\tau}_{2n}} \hat{P}_{2n-1}(x; t)
$$

with respect to the $t_1$-flow. In fact, for the partial skew orthogonal polynomials $\{\hat{P}_n(x; t)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with odd and even indexes, they obey the recurrence relation [14, Lemma 3.21]

$$
(x + \partial_{t_1}) \hat{P}_n(x; t) = \hat{P}_{n+1}(x) + (\partial_{t_1} \log \frac{\hat{\tau}_{n+1}}{\hat{\tau}_n}) \hat{P}_n(x; t) - \frac{\hat{\tau}_{n+2} \hat{\tau}_{n-1}}{\hat{\tau}_{n+1} \hat{\tau}_n} \hat{P}_{n-1}(x; t). \quad (4.15)
$$

Substituting the expression (4.14) into equation (4.15), one can find

$$
x^2 \left[ \hat{\tau}_{n+1}(t) \hat{\tau}_n(t - [x^{-1}]) - \hat{\tau}_n(t) \hat{\tau}_{n+1}(t - [x^{-1}]) \right] + x \left[ \hat{\tau}_{n+1}(t) \partial_{t_1} \hat{\tau}_n(t - [x^{-1}]) - \partial_{t_1} \hat{\tau}_{n+1}(t) \hat{\tau}_n(t - [x^{-1}]) \right] + \hat{\tau}_{n+2}(t) \hat{\tau}_{n-1}(t - [x^{-1}]) = 0. \quad (4.16)
$$

which is a particular Fay identity of the large BKP hierarchy given by [35, Corollary 6.1]. Again, by expanding the Miwa variables into Schur functions, i.e. $\hat{\tau}_n(t - [x^{-1}]) = \sum_{k \geq 0} p_k(-\partial_t) \hat{\tau}_n z^{-k}$, we finally arrive at

$$
\hat{\tau}_n \cdot p_{k+2}(-\partial_t) \hat{\tau}_{n+1} - \hat{\tau}_{n+1} \cdot p_{k+2}(-\partial_t) \hat{\tau}_n + \partial_{t_1} \hat{\tau}_{n+1} \cdot p_{k+1}(-\partial_t) \hat{\tau}_n - \hat{\tau}_{n+1} \cdot \partial_{t_1} p_{k+1}(-\partial_t) \hat{\tau}_n - \hat{\tau}_{n+2} \cdot p_k(-\partial_t) \hat{\tau}_{n-1} = 0, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}.
$$

The first nontrivial example is the $k = 0$ case

$$
(D_2 + D_1^2) \hat{\tau}_n \cdot \hat{\tau}_{n+1} = 2 \hat{\tau}_{n-1} \hat{\tau}_{n+2},
$$

corresponding to the first nontrivial example of large BKP hierarchy proposed in [24] and an example in [23, Equation 7.7]. Moreover, this particular Fay identity gives us an alternative expressions of the odd-indexed tau functions.
Proposition 4.5. The wave functions $\Phi_{1,2n+1}(t;z)$ and $\Phi_{2,2n+1}(t;z)$ could be expressed in terms of odd-indexed tau functions via

\[
\Phi_{1,2n+1}(t;z) = e^{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i z_i} \\
\times \left( \frac{1}{\tau_{2n+1}} \hat{\tau}_{2n+1}(t - [z^{-1}]) z^{2n+1} + \frac{\partial_{t_i} \hat{\tau}_{2n+1} + \hat{\tau}_{2n+2}}{\tau_{2n} \tau_{2n+1}} \hat{\tau}_{2n}(t - [z^{-1}]) z^{2n} - \frac{\hat{\tau}_{2n+2}}{\tau_{2n} \tau_{2n+1}} \hat{\tau}_{2n-1}(t - [z^{-1}]) z^{2n-1} \right),
\]

\[
\Phi_{2,2n+1}(t;z) = -e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i z_i} \\
\times \left( \frac{\hat{\tau}_{2n+2}}{\tau_{2n} \tau_{2n+1}} \hat{\tau}_{2n+1}(t + [z]) z^{2n} - \frac{\partial_{t_i} \hat{\tau}_{2n+1} + \hat{\tau}_{2n+2}}{\tau_{2n} \tau_{2n+1}} \hat{\tau}_{2n+2}(t + [z]) z^{2n+1} - \frac{1}{\tau_{2n+1}} \hat{\tau}_{2n+3}(t + [z]) z^{2n+2} \right).
\]

Proof. The first equation (4.17a) is obvious if one takes the Fay identity (4.16) into the expression (4.9b). Moreover, if one takes the shift $t - [x^{-1}] \rightarrow t$ and transform $x^{-1} \rightarrow x$, one can get an equivalent Fay identity

\[
x^{-2} [\hat{\tau}_{n+1}(t + [x]) \hat{\tau}_{n}(t) - \hat{\tau}_{n}(t + [x]) \hat{\tau}_{n+1}(t)] \\
+ x^{-1} [\hat{\tau}_{n+1}(t + [x]) \partial_{\xi} \hat{\tau}_{n}(t) - \partial_{\xi} \hat{\tau}_{n+1}(t + [x]) \hat{\tau}_{n}(t)] + \hat{\tau}_{n+2}(t + [x]) \hat{\tau}_{n-1}(t) = 0,
\]

which implies

\[
(x^{-1} - \partial_{\xi}) \hat{\tau}_{n}(t + [x]) = x^{-1} \hat{\tau}_{n-1}(t + [x]) \frac{\hat{\tau}_{n}}{\hat{\tau}_{n-1}} - \partial_{\xi} \log \hat{\tau}_{n-1}(t + [x]) - x \hat{\tau}_{n+1}(t + [x]) \frac{\hat{\tau}_{n-2}}{\hat{\tau}_{n-1}}
\]

up to a shift in ‘$n$’ index. Taking it into equation (4.9d), one can end up with this conclusion. □

Therefore, if one takes the odd-indexed Pfaffian wave functions into (4.8) of the form

\[
\oint_{C_{\infty}} \Phi_{1,2n+1}(t;z) \Phi_{2,2m}(t';z^{-1}) \frac{dz}{2\pi i z} + \oint_{C_{0}} \Phi_{2,2n+1}(t;z) \Phi_{1,2m}(t';z^{-1}) \frac{dz}{2\pi i z} = 0,
\]

one can obtain

\[
\hat{\tau}_{2n}(t) \left( \oint_{C_{\infty}} e^{\xi(t'-t,z)} \hat{\tau}_{2n+1}(t - [z^{-1}]) \hat{\tau}_{2m+2}(t' + [z^{-1}]) z^{2n-2m+1} dz \\
+ \oint_{C_{0}} e^{\xi(t'-t,z^{-1})} \hat{\tau}_{2n+2}(t + [z]) \hat{\tau}_{2m}(t' - [z]) z^{2n-2m+1} dz \right) \\
- \hat{\tau}_{2n+2}(t) \left( \oint_{C_{\infty}} e^{\xi(t'-t,z)} \hat{\tau}_{2n-1}(t - [z^{-1}]) \hat{\tau}_{2m+2}(t' + [z^{-1}]) z^{2n-2m-3} dz \\
+ \oint_{C_{0}} e^{\xi(t'-t,z^{-1})} \hat{\tau}_{2n+1}(t + [z]) \hat{\tau}_{2m}(t' - [z]) z^{2n-2m-1} dz \right) = 0,
\]

and this equation is valid for all $t, t' \in \mathbb{C}$. With $n$ and $m$ being symmetry invariant in this case, this equation results in

\[
\hat{\tau}_{2n}(t) \hat{\tau}_{2m+1}(t') = \oint_{C_{\infty}} e^{\xi(t'-t,z)} \hat{\tau}_{2n-1}(t - [z^{-1}]) \hat{\tau}_{2m+2}(t' + [z^{-1}]) z^{2n-2m-3} \\
+ \oint_{C_{0}} e^{\xi(t'-t,z^{-1})} \hat{\tau}_{2n+1}(t + [z]) \hat{\tau}_{2m}(t' - [z]) z^{2n-2m-1} dz.
\]

(4.18)

Furthermore, from the equation (4.18) and Proposition 4.5, we know the equation

\[
\oint_{C_{\infty}} \Phi_{1,2n+1}(t;z) \Phi_{2,2m+1}(t';z^{-1}) \frac{dz}{2\pi i z} + \oint_{C_{0}} \Phi_{2,2n+1}(t;z) \Phi_{1,2m+1}(t';z^{-1}) \frac{dz}{2\pi i z} = 0
\]
could be expressed in terms of tau functions as
\[
0 = \oint_{C_{\infty}} e^{\xi(t',z')\tilde{\tau}_{2n-1}(t-[z^{-1}])\tilde{\tau}_{2m+1}(t' + [z^{-1}])}z^{2n-2m-2}dz \\
+ \oint_{C_0} e^{\xi(t'-t,z^{-1})\tilde{\tau}_{2n+1}(t+[z])\tilde{\tau}_{2m-1}(t'-[z])}z^{2n-2m}dz.
\]

To conclude, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.6.** The large BKP hierarchy
\[
\frac{1}{2}(1 - (-1)^{n+m})\tilde{\tau}_n(t)\tilde{\tau}_m(t') = \oint_{C_{\infty}} e^{\xi(t'-t,z')\tilde{\tau}_{n-1}(t-[z^{-1}])\tilde{\tau}_{m+1}(t' + [z^{-1}])}z^{n-m-2}dz \\
+ \oint_{C_0} e^{\xi(t'-t,z^{-1})\tilde{\tau}_{n+1}(t+[z])\tilde{\tau}_{m-1}(t'-[z])}z^{n-m}dz
\]  
(4.19)
could be written in terms of wave functions (4.8).

### 4.3. Rank Two Shift Condition and B-Toda Lattice

From the last two subsections, we know the Pfaff lattice hierarchy and the large BKP hierarchy are the same if the odd-indexed tau functions are introduced. However, it should be mentioned that one of the most important features of BKP hierarchy hasn’t been involved in this frame. It has been shown by Hirota’s direct method that the tau functions of BKP hierarchy always admit the form

\[
\tau = \text{Pf}(1, 2, \cdots, 2n), \quad \text{Pf}(i, j) = \int_{-\infty}^{t_1} D_i f_i(t) \cdot f_j(t) dt, \quad (t = t_1)
\]

and \(\{f_i(t), i = 1, \cdots, 2n\}\) should satisfy the linear differential relation \(\partial_t f_i(t) = \partial_{t_1}^n f_i(t)\). If we introduce the label \(d_i\) by \(\text{Pf}(d_i, i) = \partial_{t_1}^n f_i(x)\), then the derivative formula

\[
\partial_{t_1} \text{Pf}(i, j) = \text{Pf}(d_0, d_1, i, j)
\]  
(4.20)
results in the Gram-type Pfaffian elements. It is not difficult to see the Pfaffian tau functions shown in (4.10) are not the tau functions of BKP hierarchy if we merely consider the time evolutions \(\partial_t m_\infty = L^\alpha m_\infty + m_\infty L^\alpha \) and \(\partial_t \alpha = L^\alpha \). In fact, with the commuting vector fields, the derivative formula (4.20) implies the following rank two shift condition

\[
\Lambda m_\infty + m_\infty \Lambda^T = \Lambda \alpha \alpha^T - \alpha \alpha^T \Lambda^T, \quad \alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \cdots)^T, \quad \alpha_i(t) \in C^\infty.
\]  
(4.21)

**Remark 4.7.** It should be mentioned that the rank two shift condition is naturally realised by the Bures ensemble’s moments

\[
m_{i,j} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \frac{x - y}{x + y} x^i y^j d\mu(x) d\mu(y)
\]

by noticing

\[
m_{i+1,j} + m_{i,j+1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} x^{i+1} d\mu(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} y^j d\mu(y) - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} x^i d\mu(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} y^{j+1} d\mu(y).
\]

In what follows, we’d like to show that under the rank two shift condition, one can derive the B-Toda lattice from the 2d-Toda theory. By using the skew-Borel decomposition \(m_\infty = S^{-1} h S^{-T}\) in (4.1) and denoting \(L = SAS^{-1}, \quad \sigma = S\alpha\) and \(\rho = S\Lambda\alpha\), the above rank two shift condition is equal to

\[
L h + h L^T = \rho \sigma^T - \sigma \rho^T,
\]
where the right hand side is again a skew symmetric matrix of rank two. To start with, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.8.** The rank two shift condition is equal to

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho_{2n+1}\sigma_{2n-1} - \rho_{2n-1}\sigma_{2n+1} &= h_{n-1}l_{2n+1,2n-2} - h_n, \quad (4.22a) \\
\rho_{2n-1}\sigma_{2n-2} - \rho_{2n-2}\sigma_{2n-1} &= -h_{n-1}(l_{2n-1,2n-1} + l_{2n-2,2n-2}), \quad (4.22b) \\
\rho_{j-1}\sigma_{2n-1} - \rho_{2n-1}\sigma_{j-1} &= h_{n-1}l_{j-1,2n-2}, \quad j = 2n + 1, 2n + 3, \cdots, \quad (4.22c) \\
\rho_{j-1}\sigma_{2n-2} - \rho_{2n-2}\sigma_{j-1} &= -h_{n-1}l_{j-1,2n-1}, \quad j = 2n + 1, 2n + 2, \cdots, \quad (4.22d)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( l_{i,j} \) is the elements of Lax operator \( L \).

**Proof.** One could easily observe \( h = [-h_0e_2, h_0e_1, -h_1e_1, h_1e_3, \cdots] \), where \( e_i \) is the \( i \)th elementary column vector, which means its \( i \)th element is 1 and the others are 0. Therefore, the \((i, 2j-1)\)-th and \((i, 2j)\)-th elements of \( LH \) could be computed as

\[
Lh(i, 2j-1) = \begin{cases} 
-h_{j-1}l_{i-1,2j-1}, & i \geq 2j, \\
-h_{j-1}, & i = 2j - 1,
\end{cases} \\
Lh(i, 2j) = \begin{cases} 
-h_{j-1}l_{i-1,2j-2}, & i \geq 2j - 1, \\
h_{j-1}, & i = 2j - 2.
\end{cases}
\]

Similarly, if one denotes \( h = [h_0e_2^T, -h_0e_1^T, h_1e_1^T, -h_1e_3^T, \cdots] \), then one could get

\[
hL^T(2i-1, j) = \begin{cases} 
h_{i-1}l_{j-1,2i-1}, & j \geq 2i, \\
h_{i-1}, & j = 2i - 1,
\end{cases} \\
hL^T(2i, j) = \begin{cases} 
h_{i-1}l_{j-1,2i-2}, & j \geq 2i - 1, \\
-h_{i-1}, & j = 2i - 2.
\end{cases}
\]

Making a combination of these results, one can easily arrive at the conclusion. \( \square \)

Furthermore, from the 2d-Toda theory (see equations (3.12) and (3.13)), we know the explicit expressions for \( l_{i,j} \) could be written as

\[
l_{j,j} = s_{j,j-1} + s_{j+1,j} = s_{j,j-1} - s_{j+1,j}, \\
s_{j,j-1} = \frac{p_1(-\tilde{\partial}_l)\tau_j(t,s)|_{s=-l}}{\tau_j(t,s)|_{s=-l}},
\]

and by the use of the relation (4.6) with \( k = 0, l = 0 \) and \( k = 0, l = 1 \), the equation (4.22b) could be rewritten as

\[
D_{\tilde{t}_1}\tilde{\tau}_{2n} \cdot \tilde{\tau}_{2n-2} = \tilde{\tau}_{2n-2}^{2}(\rho_{2n-1}\sigma_{2n-2} - \rho_{2n-2}\sigma_{2n-1}) := \tilde{\rho}_{2n-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{2n-2} - \tilde{\rho}_{2n-2}\tilde{\sigma}_{2n-1}, \quad (4.23)
\]

where \( \tilde{\rho}_{2n-1} = \tilde{\tau}_{2n-2}\rho_{2n-1}, \tilde{\rho}_{2n-2} = \tilde{\tau}_{2n-2}\rho_{2n-2}, \tilde{\sigma}_{2n-1} = \tilde{\tau}_{2n-2}\sigma_{2n-1}, \tilde{\sigma}_{2n-2} = \tilde{\tau}_{2n-2}\sigma_{2n-2} \).

On the other hand, if one takes \( j = 2n + 1 \) in (4.22d) and then this equation equals

\[
\rho_{2n}\sigma_{2n-2} - \rho_{2n-2}\sigma_{2n} = -h_{n-1}l_{2n,2n-1}.
\]

In 2d-Toda theory, it is shown that (c.f. (3.15))

\[
l_{2n,2n-1} = \frac{\tau_{2n}(t,s)|_{s=-l}\partial_{\tilde{t}_1}^2\tau_{2n}(t,s)|_{s=-l} - (\partial_{\tilde{t}_1}^2\tau_{2n}(t,s)|_{s=-l})^2}{(\tau_{2n}(t,s)|_{s=-l})^2}.
\]

Now, taking the skew symmetric reduction on tau-function (4.6) into consideration and choosing \((k, l)\) as \((0, 0), (0, 1)\) and \((1, 1)\) respectively, one can find

\[
l_{2n,2n-1} = \frac{\tilde{\tau}_{2n}\partial_{\tilde{t}_1}^2\tilde{\tau}_{2n} - (\partial_{\tilde{t}_1}\tilde{\tau}_{2n})^2}{\tilde{\tau}_{2n}^2}.
\]

Therefore, equation (4.22d) in this case could be rewritten as

\[
\frac{1}{2}D_{\tilde{t}_1}^2\tilde{\tau}_{2n} \cdot \tilde{\tau}_{2n} = \tilde{\rho}_{2n}\tilde{\sigma}_{2n} - \tilde{\rho}_{2n-2}\tilde{\sigma}_{2n-2}. \quad (4.24)
\]
One should notice that $\hat{\sigma}$ and $\hat{\rho}$ are interrelated.

**Proposition 4.9.** One has

$$\partial_t (\hat{\sigma}) = \hat{\rho},$$

where $\hat{\tau} = \text{diag}\{\hat{\tau}_0 I_{2 \times 2}, \hat{\tau}_2 I_{2 \times 2}, \cdots\}$.

**Proof.** If one substitutes $\sigma = S\alpha$ and $\rho = S\Lambda\alpha$ into equation (4.25), it equals to show $\partial_t (\hat{\tau} S \alpha) = \hat{\tau} S \Lambda \alpha$. By noting that $\alpha$ obeys the derivation rule $\partial_t \alpha = \Lambda^\alpha$, it is equivalent to prove $[\partial_t (\hat{\tau} S)]\alpha = 0$. Observing that $S$ is the dressing operator of skew orthogonal polynomials, we can rewrite this equation as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \partial_t (\hat{\tau} P(x; t)) d\mu(x; t) = 0,$$

where $P(x; t)$ is the vector of skew orthogonal polynomials related to the skew-Borel decomposition $m_\infty = S^{-1} h S^{-\top}$. Since the moment matrix satisfies the rank two shift condition, one can get

$$\partial_t (\hat{\tau}_n P_{2n}(x; t)) = Pf(d_0, d_1, 0, \cdots, 2n, x),$$

$$\partial_t (\hat{\tau}_n P_{2n+1}(x; t)) = Pf(d_0, d_1, 0, \cdots, 2n - 1, 2n + 1, x),$$

and the integration over $x$ contributes to another label $d_0$. From the definition of Pfaffian, one knows these results are equal to zero, which completes the proof. \hfill $\square$

**Corollary 4.10.** $\alpha$ and $\Lambda\alpha$ are the null vectors of $\partial_t (\hat{\tau} S)$. Equivalently, $\sigma$ and $\rho$ are the null vectors of $\partial_t (\hat{\tau} S) S^{-1}$.

**Proof.** In the last proposition, we have proved that $\alpha$ is the null vector of $\partial_t (\hat{\tau} S)$. Furthermore, if we consider the integration of $x\partial_t (\hat{\tau} P(x; t))$ over $x$, the label $d_1$ would appear in expressions (4.26) by taking the place of label $x$, which is also equal to zero. This property demonstrates that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} x\partial_t (\hat{\tau} P(x; t)) d\mu(x; t) = 0,$$

and one can get $\partial_t (\hat{\tau} S)\Lambda\alpha = 0$. \hfill $\square$

Therefore, the B-Toda lattice (4.23) and (4.24) could be written as

$$D_t \hat{\tau}_{2n} \cdot \hat{\tau}_{2n-2} = D_t \hat{\sigma}_{2n-1} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{2n-2} \quad \text{(4.27a)}$$

$$D_t^2 \hat{\tau}_{2n} \cdot \hat{\tau}_{2n} = 2D_t \hat{\sigma}_{2n-2} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{2n} \quad \text{(4.27b)}$$

Also, the odd-indexed $\hat{\sigma}_{2n+1}$ is related to $\hat{\sigma}_{2n}$. Since $P(x) = S\chi(x)$, one could write $\sigma$ as

$$\sigma = S\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} P(x; t) d\mu(x; t),$$

and

$$\hat{\sigma}_{2n} = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \hat{\tau}_{2n} P_{2n}(x; t) d\mu(x; t), \quad \hat{\sigma}_{2n+1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \hat{\tau}_{2n} P_{2n+1}(x; t) d\mu(x; t).$$

From the definition, one can find

$$\hat{\sigma}_{2n} = Pf(d_0, 0, \cdots, 2n) := \hat{\tau}_{2n+1}, \quad \hat{\sigma}_{2n+1} = Pf(d_0, 0, \cdots, 2n - 1, 2n + 1)$$
if we take the explicit expressions of skew orthogonal polynomials \( \{ P_n(x) \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) in (4.3). From the relationship in Proposition 4.4, we know \( \tilde{\sigma}_{2n+1} = \partial_t \tilde{\tau}_{2n+1} \). Therefore, the first member of B-Toda hierarchy could be written as

\[
D_t^2 \tilde{\tau}_{2n} \cdot \tilde{\tau}_{2n} = 2D_t \tilde{\tau}_{2n-1} \cdot \tilde{\tau}_{2n+1},
\]

\[
2D_t^2 \tilde{\tau}_{2n} \cdot \tilde{\tau}_{2n+2} = D_t^2 \tilde{\tau}_{2n+1} \cdot \tilde{\tau}_{2n+1}.
\]

if one takes \( \tilde{\sigma} \) into (4.27a) and (4.27b). Interestingly, these two equations could be expressed in a unified way as \( D_t^2 \tilde{\tau} \cdot \tilde{\tau} = 2D_t \tilde{\tau}_{n-1} \cdot \tilde{\tau}_{n+1} \), which is called as the B-Toda lattice in some known literatures [20]. This fact demonstrates that \( \{ \tilde{\tau}_{2n+1} \}_{n \geq 0} \) (or \( \{ \sigma_n, \rho_n \}_{n \geq 0} \)) in this case are no longer auxiliary variables but another family of tau functions of BKP hierarchy [22, 28], generated by \( \phi_0|\text{vac} \) which we mentioned at the beginning of this section.

As mentioned, the rank two shift condition is also motivated by the moments of Bures ensemble, that is, if we consider a skew symmetric bilinear inner product \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{R}[x] \times \mathbb{R}[y] \to \mathbb{R} \) such that

\[
\langle x^i, y^j \rangle := m_{i,j} = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{x - y}{x + y} x^i y^j \omega(x) \omega(y) dx dy.
\]

In this case, the partition function of Bures ensemble is defined as the Pfaffian of the moment matrix and therefore

\[
\tilde{\tau}_{2n} = \text{Pf}(m_{i,j})_{i,j=0}^{2n-1} = (-1)^n \int_{\xi^{2n}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n} \frac{(x_j - x_i)^2}{x_j + x_i} \prod_{i=1}^{2n} \omega(x_i) dx_i
\]

by making applications of the de Bruijn formula (See [14, Equation A.5]) and Schur’s Pfaffian identity (See [14, Equation A.8]) and \( \xi^{2n} \) is the subspace of \( \mathbb{R}_+^{2n} \) defined by \( \xi^{2n} = \{ (x_1, \cdots, x_{2n}) | 0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_{2n} \} \). It is also noted that the odd-indexed tau functions could be also written as the partition functions of Bures ensemble for the odd-number particles [14, 16]

\[
\tilde{\tau}_{2n+1} = \text{Pf} \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & \alpha_{i,j} \\ -\alpha_{i,j} & m_{i,j} \end{array} \right)_{i,j=0}^{2n} = (-1)^n \int_{\xi^{2n+1}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n+1} \frac{(x_j - x_i)^2}{x_j + x_i} \prod_{i=1}^{2n+1} \omega(x_i) dx_i,
\]

where \( \xi^{2n+1} \) is the configuration space of \( \mathbb{R}_+^{2n+1} \) with the ordered set \( \xi^{2n+1} = \{ (x_1, \cdots, x_{2n+1}) | 0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_{2n+1} \} \).

4.4. B-Toda hierarchy. In last subsection, we embed the B-Toda hierarchy into 2d-Toda theory and obtain the equations from the rank two shift condition. It is shown that these equations (4.28a) and (4.28b) are not included in the large BKP hierarchy, thus motivating us to consider what kind of sub-hierarchy they should belong to. To this end, firstly we restate the derivatives of partial skew orthogonal polynomials under the rank two shift condition [14, Corollary 3.16].

**Lemma 4.11.** Under the rank two shift condition, there exists the following derivative formula for the partial skew orthogonal polynomials

\[
\partial_t \tilde{\tau}_{n+1} (\tilde{\tau}_{n-1} P_{n-1}(x; t)) = \partial_t \tilde{\tau}_{n+1} \cdot \tilde{\tau}_{n-1} P_{n-1}(x; t) - \tilde{\tau}_n \cdot \partial_t \tilde{\tau}_n \tilde{P}_n(x; t) + \partial_t \tilde{\tau}_n \cdot \tilde{\tau}_n \tilde{P}_n(x; t).
\]
In particular, if we take (4.14) into the above equation, it leads to a novel particular Fay identity
\[ \hat{\tau}_{n+1} \cdot \partial_t \hat{\tau}_{n-1}(t - [x^{-1}]) + x\hat{\tau}_n \cdot \partial_t \hat{\tau}_n(t - [x^{-1}]) = \partial_t \hat{\tau}_{n+1} \cdot \hat{\tau}_{n-1}(t - [x^{-1}]) + x\partial_t \hat{\tau}_n \cdot \hat{\tau}_n(t - [x^{-1}]). \] (4.31)

By the use of \( \hat{\tau}_n(t - [x^{-1}]) = \sum_{k \geq 0} p_k(-\hat{\partial}_t)\hat{\tau}_n x^{-k} \), then
\[ \hat{\tau}_{n+1} \cdot \partial_t p_k(-\hat{\partial}_t)\hat{\tau}_{n-1} - \partial_t \hat{\tau}_{n+1} \cdot p_k(-\hat{\partial}_t)\hat{\tau}_{n-1} + \hat{\tau}_n \cdot \partial_t p_{k+1}(-\hat{\partial}_t)\hat{\tau}_n - \partial_t \hat{\tau}_n \cdot p_{k+1}(-\hat{\partial}_t)\hat{\tau}_n = 0 \]
is valid for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). The first nontrivial example is given by \( k = 0 \) and it can be explicitly expressed as
\[ D_t^2 \hat{\tau}_n \cdot \hat{\tau}_n = 2D_t \hat{\tau}_{n-1} \cdot \hat{\tau}_{n+1}, \]
which is the B-Toda lattice in (4.28a) and (4.28b). Moreover, we’d like to obtain the general bilinear expressions for this reducional hierarchy. It requires us to obtain more relationship with the wave functions analysed before.

From the particular Fay identity (4.31), one can find
\[ \frac{\partial_t \hat{\tau}_n(t - [x^{-1}])}{\hat{\tau}_{n+1}} x^n = \partial_t \log \frac{\hat{\tau}_n(t - [x^{-1}])}{\hat{\tau}_{n+1}} x^n + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{n-i} \partial_t \log \frac{\hat{\tau}_{i+1} \hat{\tau}_{i-1}(t - [x^{-1}])}{\hat{\tau}_i} x^{i-1}. \] (4.32)

With the shift \( t - [x^{-1}] \rightarrow t \) and transform \( x^{-1} \rightarrow x \), the Fay identity (4.31) is changed into
\[ x \hat{\tau}_{n+1}(t + [x]) \partial_t \hat{\tau}_{n-1} + \hat{\tau}_n(t + [x]) \partial_t \hat{\tau}_n = x \partial_t \hat{\tau}_{n+1}(t + [x]) \hat{\tau}_{n-1} + \hat{\tau}_n \partial_t \hat{\tau}_n(t + [x]), \]
which implies
\[ \frac{\partial_t \hat{\tau}_{n+1}(t + [x])}{\hat{\tau}_n} x^n = \partial_t \log \frac{\hat{\tau}_{n+1}(t + [x])}{\hat{\tau}_n} x^n + \sum_{i=2}^n (-1)^{n-i} \left( \partial_t \log \frac{\hat{\tau}_{i+1} \hat{\tau}_{i-1}(t + [x])}{\hat{\tau}_i} \right) x^{i-1} \]
\[ + (-1)^{n-1} \left( \partial_t \log \hat{\tau}_1(t + [x]) - \partial_t \hat{\tau}_1(t + [x]) \right), \] (4.33)
where the tail term comes from the assumption \( \hat{\tau}_{-1} = 0 \) and \( \hat{\tau}_0 = 1 \). Since we have obtained the derivatives of \( \hat{\tau}_n(t \pm [x]) \), we can state the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.12.** Under the rank two shift condition, the bilinear identity for the wave functions (4.8) could be written as
\[ (-1)^{n+m} \left( \partial_t \hat{\tau}_n(t) \cdot \hat{\tau}_m(t') - \hat{\tau}_n(t) \cdot \partial_t \hat{\tau}_m(t') \right) \]
\[ = \oint_{C_0} e^{\xi(t'-t,z^{-1})} z^{n-m-1} \hat{\tau}_{m+1}(t + [z]) \hat{\tau}_m(t' - [z])dz \]
\[ - \oint_{C_\infty} e^{\xi(t-t',z)} z^{n-m-1} \hat{\tau}_{m+1}(t - [z^{-1}]) \hat{\tau}_m(t' + [z^{-1}])dz. \] (4.34)

The proof of this proposition needs the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.13.** Under the assumption that \( \tau_{-1} = 0 \), we have
\[ \oint_{C_0} e^{\xi(t'-t,z^{-1})} z^{-m} \partial_t \hat{\tau}_1(t + [z]) \hat{\tau}_m(t' - [z])dz = (-1)^{m-1} \partial_t \hat{\tau}_m(t'). \] (4.35)
Proof. Taking \( n = 0 \) in the large BKP hierarchy (4.19) and taking the \( t_1 \)-derivative on the both sides, one knows
\[
\oint_{C_0} e^{\xi(t'-t,z^{-1})}z^{-m}\partial_{t_1}\hat{\tau}_m(t)\hat{\tau}_{m-1}(t' - [z])dz = \oint_{C_0} e^{\xi(t'-t,z^{-1})}z^{-m-1}\hat{\tau}_1(t)\hat{\tau}_{m-1}(t' - [z])dz.
\]
Noting that the right hand side could be expressed as
\[
\frac{1}{2}(1 - (-1)^m)\partial_{t_1}\hat{\tau}_m(t') - \oint_{C_0} e^{\xi(t'-t,z^{-1})}z^{-m}\hat{\tau}_1(t + [z])\partial_{t_1}\hat{\tau}_{m-1}(t' - [z])dz
\]
if we take the \( t'_1 \)-derivative of the large BKP equation when \( n = 0 \). By the use of equation (4.32), one could find the latter term is equal to
\[
\frac{1}{2}(1 - (-1)^m)\hat{\tau}_n\partial_{t_1}\log \hat{\tau}_{m-1} + (-1)^{m-1}\hat{\tau}_m \sum_{i=1}^{m-1}(-1)^{(i-1)}(1 - (-1)^i)\partial_{t_1}\log \hat{\tau}_i \hat{\tau}_{m-1} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + (-1)^m)\partial_{t'}\hat{\tau}_m,
\]
and thus complete the proof. \( \square \)

Now we could state the proof of Proposition 4.12.

Proof. If we take the \( t_1 \)-derivative on the both sides of large BKP hierarchy, one finds
\[
\frac{1}{2}(1 - (-1)^{n+m})\partial_{t_1}\hat{\tau}_n(t)\cdot \hat{\tau}_m(t')
\]
\[
= \oint_{C_{\infty}} e^{\xi(t'-t,z)}\hat{\tau}_{n-1}(t - [z^{-1}])\hat{\tau}_{m+1}(t' + [z^{-1}])z^{n-m-1}dz
\]
\[
- \oint_{C_0} e^{\xi(t'-t,z^{-1})}\hat{\tau}_{n+1}(t + [z])\hat{\tau}_{m-1}(t' - [z])z^{n-m-1}dz
\]
\[
+ \oint_{C_{\infty}} e^{\xi(t'-t,z)}\partial_{t_1}\hat{\tau}_n(t - [z^{-1}])\hat{\tau}_{m+1}(t' + [z])z^{n-m-2}dz
\]
\[
+ \oint_{C_0} e^{\xi(t'-t,z^{-1})}\partial_{t_1}\hat{\tau}_{n+1}(t + [z])\hat{\tau}_{m-1}(t' - [z])z^{n-m}dz.
\]
Taking the expressions of \( \partial_{t_1}\hat{\tau}_{n-1}(t - [z^{-1}]) \) and \( \partial_{t_1}\hat{\tau}_{n+1}(t + [z]) \) from (4.32) and (4.33), and by the use of the large BKP hierarchy (4.19) again, we know the last two terms are equal to
\[
\frac{1}{2}(1 + (-1)^{n+m})\hat{\tau}_n(t')\partial_{t_1}\hat{\tau}_n(t) + (-1)^{n}\hat{\tau}_n \oint_{C_0} e^{\xi(t'-t,z)}\partial_{t_1}\hat{\tau}_1(t + [z])\hat{\tau}_{m-1}(t' - [z])z^{-m}dz.
\]
By using the result of lemma 4.13, the proof is completed. \( \square \)

It should be mentioned that the B-Toda hierarchy’s Fay identity (4.32) could give us a relation between the derivatives of partial skew orthogonal polynomials
\[
\partial_{t_1}\hat{P}_n(x; t) = \frac{\hat{\tau}_{n+1}}{\hat{\tau}_n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{n-i} \left( \partial_{t_1}\log \frac{\hat{\tau}_{i+1}}{\hat{\tau}_i} \right) \hat{\tau}_{i-1}(x; t).
\]  
(4.36)

Combining the results of (4.15) and (4.36), one could find the spectral problem of this hierarchy
\[
x\hat{P}_n(x; t) = \hat{P}_{n+1}(x; t) + \left( \partial_{t_1}\log \frac{\hat{\tau}_{n+1}}{\hat{\tau}_n} \right) \hat{P}_n(x; t) + \left( \partial_{t_1}\log \hat{\tau}_1 \right) \hat{P}_{n-1}(x; t)
\]
\[
- \frac{\hat{\tau}_{n+1}}{\hat{\tau}_n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{n-i} \left( \partial_{t_1}\log \frac{\hat{\tau}_{i+1}}{\hat{\tau}_i} \right) \hat{\tau}_{i-1}(x; t)
\]  
(4.37)
regarding the partial skew orthogonal polynomials. The equation (4.37) also implies the following
four term recurrence relation
\[ x(\tilde{P}_n(x) + u_n\tilde{P}_{n-1}(x)) = \tilde{P}_{n+1}(x) + (v_{n+1} - v_n + u_n)\tilde{P}_n(x) - u_n(v_{n+1} - v_n + u_{n+1})\tilde{P}_{n-1}(x) - u_n^2 u_{n-1}\tilde{P}_{n-2}(x) \tag{4.38} \]
for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), where \( u_n \) and \( v_n \) have the expressions
\[ u_n = \frac{\hat{\tau}_{n+1}^{\alpha} \tau_{n-1}^{\beta}}{\hat{\tau}_n^2}, \quad v_n = \partial_{t_1} \log \hat{\tau}_n. \]
if the \( t_1 \)-parameter is introduced. Therefore, one can denote the spectral operator \( \tilde{L} \) as
\[ \tilde{L} = (\Lambda^0 + \Lambda^{-1}a)^{-1}(\Lambda^1 + \Lambda^0b + \Lambda^{-1}c + \Lambda^{-2}d), \]
such that \( x\tilde{P} = \tilde{L}\tilde{P} \) and \( a = \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, \cdots) \), \( b = \text{diag}(b_0, b_1, \cdots) \), \( c = \text{diag}(c_1, c_2, \cdots) \), \( d = \text{diag}(d_2, d_3, \cdots) \) with elements \( a_n = u_n, \quad b_n = v_{n+1} - v_n + u_n, \quad c_n = -u_n(v_{n+1} - n v_n + u_{n+1}) \) and \( d_n = -u_n^2 u_{n-1} \).

We’ve showed that the tau function of the B-Toda hierarchy is obtained by (1) a skew symmetric
reduction from the 2d-Toda hierarchy; (2) a rank two shift condition. The first condition tells us
the B-Toda lattice could be viewed as a special case of the Pfaff lattice, and therefore, B-Toda
hierarchy inherits the merits of the Pfaff lattice. Moreover, the rank two shift condition suggests
us another basis of polynomials, which satisfy a four term recurrence relation and provide us an
explicit spectral problem. Therefore, we would utilise these two important features and give the
Lax representation with explicit Lax matrix for the B-Toda hierarchy.

**Proposition 4.14.** Given a skew symmetric moment matrix \( m_\infty \) with the rank two shift condition
(4.21) and its related skew-Borel decomposition \( m_\infty = S^{-1}hS^{-\top} \), one can define a family of
skew orthogonal polynomials \( P(x) = S\chi(x) \) satisfying the spectral problem \( LP(x) = xP(x) \) with
\( L = SAS^{-1} \), and \( L \) could be expressed as
\[ L = (\Lambda^0 + \Lambda^{-1}a)^{-1}(\Lambda^1 + \Lambda^0b + \Lambda^{-1}c + \Lambda^{-2}d)(\Lambda^0 + \Lambda^{-1}a + \Lambda^{-2}b)^{-1} \]
with \( \alpha = \text{diag}(v_1, 0, v_3, 0, v_5, \cdots) \) and \( \beta = \text{diag}(0, -u_1u_2, 0, -u_3u_4, \cdots) \). Moreover, one can get the
Lax integrability of the B-Toda hierarchy from the skew-Borel decomposition
\[ \partial_{t_n}L = \{-(L^n + hL^\top h^{-1})<0, L\} := [-\pi_i(L^n), L], \]
where \( \pi_i \) is the projection to the strict lower triangular matrix part in \( 2 \times 2 \) block matrix sense.

In general, the Lax matrix \( L \) for the skew-orthogonal polynomials could hardly be written in an
explicit form except the first few diagonals, see [5, Equation 0.17] and [25, Equation 1.5]. However,
this proposition tells us, under the rank two shift condition, the Lax matrix \( L \) could be written
explicitly and therefore one can get more information about the Lax integrability of the B-Toda
hierarchy.

5. Concluding remarks

This article is about two new sub-hierarchies regarding the symmetric and skew symmetric re-
duction to the moment matrix, which is motivated by the Cauchy two-matrix model and Bures
ensemble. Although the first members of these hierarchies have been achieved by the average character-
istic polynomials approach, the moment matrix method provides an insight to the structures
for the whole hierarchies. Moreover, the rank shift condition has never appeared before, and we hope this kind of concepts would bring some new features to the studies, not only in integrable system theory but in random matrix theory.

However, there are some important problems are left for future studies. One is regarding the partial skew orthogonal relation. Notice that the matrix $\hat{h}$ could be written as

$$\hat{h} = \hat{\tau}(\Lambda - \Lambda^\top)^{-1}\hat{\tau}, \quad \hat{\tau} = \text{diag} \left( \frac{\hat{\tau}_1}{\hat{\tau}_0}, \frac{\hat{\tau}_2}{\hat{\tau}_1}, \cdots \right),$$

which is simply structured. We don’t know what the role they will play in the random matrix theory and it is also difficult to see how do these odd-indexed tau functions come from the matrix decomposition theory and how do they connect with 2d-Toda’s tau functions.

In addition, these two hierarchies could be viewed as the discrete version of BKP and CKP hierarchies, just the same with Toda and KP hierarchy. The first members of these discrete flows, in fact, have been partially demonstrated in [28, Equation (27)] and [27, Equation (31)] in the studies of symmetry reductions from the continuous hierarchies and Darboux transformations. At the same time, due to the lack of the discrete variables, the equations in the above references are not closed in $t_1$-flow. However, They provide some hints revealing the relations between these discrete and continuous hierarchies. This result may help us to consider the Virasoro constraints for these discrete hierarchies, and further to get the gap probabilities for the Bures ensemble and Cauchy two-matrix model, regarding the continuous hierarchies have already been known, e.g. the Adler-Shiota-van Moerbeke formula and Virasoro symmetries for the BKP hierarchy have been shown in [34] and non-linearizable Virasoro symmetries for the CKP hierarchy has been given in [13].
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